Numerical solutions of integro-differential systems by hybrid of general block-pulse functions and the second Chebyshev polynomials
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Abstract
By applying hybrid functions of general block-pulse functions and the second Chebyshev polynomials, integro-differential systems are converted into a system of algebraic equations. The approximate solutions of integro-differential systems are derived. The numerical examples illustrate that the algorithms are valid.
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1. Introduction
Integro-differential systems are a class of systems of importance [1]. Since it is difficult to obtain the analytic solutions of integro-differential systems, numerical methods to obtain approximate solutions are interested. Many orthogonal functions or polynomials, such as block-pulse functions [2, 3], Walsh functions [4], Fourier series [5], Legendre polynomials [6], Chebyshev polynomials [7] and Laguerre polynomials [8], were used to derive solutions of some systems. In recent years different kinds of hybrid functions [9, 10] and Legendre wavelets [11] were used. In this article we apply the hybrid functions consisting of general block-pulse functions and the second Chebyshev polynomials to converting integro-differential systems into a system of algebraic equations. The hybrid solutions of integro-differential systems
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systems are obtained. We present the general operational matrices. The numerical examples show that this method is convenient for application.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Definitions

A set of block-pulse function $b_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, on the interval $[t_0, t_f)$ are defined as

$$b_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_{k-1} \leq t < t_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $t_K = t_f$ and $[t_{k-1}, t_k) \subseteq [t_0, t_f)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$.

The $m$ order second Chebyshev polynomials in the interval $[-1, 1]$ are defined by the following:

$$S_m(t) = \sin((m+1)\arccos(t))(1 - t^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which are also given by the following recursive formula

$$\begin{cases} S_0(t) = 1, \\ S_1(t) = 2t, \\ S_{m+1}(t) = 2tS_m(t) - S_{m-1}(t), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots. \end{cases}$$

The hybrid functions $h_{km}(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$; $m = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1$, on the interval $[t_0, t_f)$ are defined as

$$h_{km}(t) = b_k(t)S_m(d_k^{-1}(2t - t_{k-1} - t_k)),$$

where $d_k = t_k - t_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$.

2.2. The operational matrix

Let

$$H_k(t) = [h_{k0}(t), \ldots, h_{k,M-1}(t)]^\tau, \quad H(t) = [H_1^\tau(t), \ldots, H_K^\tau(t)]^\tau.$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} H(\tilde{t})d\tilde{t} \simeq PH(t),$$
where

\[
P = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_K) \otimes \hat{P} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^{K-k} d_i E_{i,i+k}^{(K)} \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{M+1}{2} \right\rfloor} \frac{1}{2k-1} E_{2k-1,1}^{(M)},
\]

\[
\hat{P} = E_{11}^{(M)} - \frac{3}{4} E_{21}^{(M)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{k} E_{k,k+1}^{(M)} - \sum_{k=2}^{M-1} \frac{1}{k+1} E_{k+1,k}^{(M)} \right) + \sum_{k=3}^{M} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} E_{k1}^{(M)},
\]

\(E_{ij}^{(m)}\) is the \(m \times m\) matrix with 1 at its entry \((i, j)\) and zeros elsewhere, \(\otimes\) denotes Kronecker product and \(\left\lfloor \frac{M+1}{2} \right\rfloor\) is the greatest integer part of \(\frac{M+1}{2}\).

### 2.3. Function approximation

An \(l\)-dimensional vector function \(f(t)\) on the interval \([t_0, t_f]\) is expressed as

\[
f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad (5)
\]

where

\[
f_{km} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(2^{-1}(d_k t + t_{k-1} + t_k)) S_m(t)(1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.
\]

Rewrite \(f(t)\) as

\[
f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} F_k H_k(t) = F H(t),
\]

where

\[
F_k = [f_{k0}, \ldots, f_{k,M-1}], \quad F = [F_1, \ldots, F_K].
\]

For corresponding \(F_k\) and \(F\) we denote

\[
\hat{F}_k = [f_{k0}^\tau, \ldots, f_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau, \quad \hat{F} = [\hat{F}_1^\tau, \ldots, \hat{F}_K^\tau]^\tau,
\]

where \(\tau\) is the transpose.

### 2.4. Expression of the product of a matrix function and a vector function
Let a matrix function $M(t)$ be appropriate to a vector function $f(t)$. We express $M(t)$ and $f(t)$, respectively, as

$$M(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} M_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{km} h_{km}(t).$$

Then

$$M(t) f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} M_{ki} f_{kj} h_{ki}(t) h_{kj}(t).$$

From

$$h_{ki}(t) h_{kj}(t) \simeq \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} h_{km}(t),$$

where

$$d_{km}^{(ij)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} S_i(t) S_j(t) S_m(t) (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt, \quad (7)$$

we have

$$M(t) f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} M_{ki} f_{kj} \right) h_{km}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{M}_{km} h_{km}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tilde{M}_k H_k(t), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\tilde{M}_{km} = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} M_{ki} f_{kj} = \tilde{M}_{km} \hat{F}_k, \quad \hat{F}_k = [f_{k0}^T, \ldots, f_{k,M-1}^T], \quad \tilde{M}_k = \hat{M}_k \hat{F}_k,$$

$$\tilde{M}_k = [\tilde{M}_{k0}^T, \ldots, \tilde{M}_{k,M-1}^T]^T, \quad \hat{M}_k = [\hat{M}_{k0}^T, \ldots, \hat{M}_{k,M-1}^T]^T,$$

$$\tilde{M}_{km} = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i0)} M_{ki}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} M_{ki} \right].$$

Therefore

$$N(\bar{t}, t) f(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{N}_{km}(\bar{t}) h_{km}(t), \quad (9)$$
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where

\[
\tilde{N}_{km}(\bar{t}) = \tilde{N}_{km}(\bar{t}) \tilde{F}_k, \quad \tilde{F}_k = [f_{k0}, \ldots, f_{k,M-1}]^\tau,
\]

\[
\hat{N}_{km}(\bar{t}) = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,0)} N_{ki}(\bar{t}), \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} N_{ki}(\bar{t}) \right],
\]

\[
N_{ki}(\bar{t}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} N(\bar{t}, 2^{-1}(d_k t + t_{k-1} + t_k)) S_i(t)(1 - t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dt.
\]

Let

\[
w(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} N(t, \bar{t}) \hat{f}(\bar{t}) \, d\bar{t} \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad (10)
\]

\[
\tilde{N}_{km}(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \hat{N}_{km}^{(jl)} h_{jl}(t),
\]

where

\[
\hat{N}_{km}^{(jl)} = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,0)} N_{ki}^{(jl)}, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} N_{ki}^{(jl)} \right],
\]

\[
N_{ki}^{(jl)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} N_{ki}(2^{-1}(d_j t + t_{j-1} + t_j)) S_i(t)(1 - t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \, dt.
\]

By Eq.(9) we have

\[
w(t) \simeq \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \hat{N}_{km}^{(jl)} \left( \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} h_{jl}(t) \, dt \right) \tilde{F}_k h_{jl}(t). \quad (11)
\]

So

\[
\hat{W} = \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} E_{ij}^{(K)} \otimes \frac{d_j}{2k-1} N^{(i)}_{j,2k-1} \hat{F}, \quad (12)
\]

where

\[
N^{(i)}_{j,2k-1} = \left[ N_{j,2k-1}^{(i,0)}, \ldots, N_{j,2k-1}^{(i,M-1)} \right]^\tau.
\]

3. Analysis of integrodifferential systems
Consider the following integrodifferential system \( [1] \) described by

\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} N(t, \bar{t})x(\bar{t})d\bar{t} + B(t)u(t), & t \in [t_0, t_f], \\
x(t_0) = x_0,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
\]

where \( x(t) \) is an \( n \)-dimensional vector function and \( u(t) \) an \( r \)-dimensional vector function. \( A(t), B(t) \) and \( N(t, \bar{t}) \) are the matrix functions of appropriate dimensions. Express \( A(t), x(t), \int_{t_0}^{t_f} N(t, \bar{t})x(\bar{t})d\bar{t}, B(t) \) and \( u(t) \) respectively, as Eqs.(5) and (10). By Eqs.(8) and (9) we have

\[
A(t)x(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \hat{A}_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad B(t)u(t) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \hat{B}_{km} h_{km}(t),
\]

\[
\int_{t_0}^{t_f} N(t, \bar{t})f(\bar{t})d\bar{t} \simeq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} w_{km} h_{km}(t).
\]

Integrating Eq.(13) from \( t_0 \) to \( t \) and combining Eqs.(8), (9) and (12) we obtain

\[
[X_1, \ldots, X_K]H(t) - [X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0K}]H(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ [\hat{A}_1, \ldots, \hat{A}_K] + [W_1, \ldots, W_K] + [\hat{B}_1, \ldots, \hat{B}_K] \right\} H(\bar{t})d\bar{t}
\]

where

\[
X_k = [x_{k0}, \ldots, x_{k,M-1}], \quad X_{0k} = [x_{00}, \ldots, 0], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K.
\]

Thus

\[
[X_1, \ldots, X_K] - [X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0K}] = \{ [\hat{A}_1, \ldots, \hat{A}_K] + [W_1, \ldots, W_K] + [\hat{B}_1, \ldots, \hat{B}_K] \} P.
\]

Using Kronecker product we rewrite the above equation as

\[
\hat{X} - \hat{X}_0 = (P^r \otimes I_n)\left\{ [\hat{A}_1^r, \ldots, \hat{A}_K^r]^r + [\hat{W}_1^r, \ldots, \hat{W}_K^r]^r + [\hat{B}_1^r, \ldots, \hat{B}_K^r]^r \right\},
\]

where

\[
\hat{X} = [\hat{X}_1^r, \ldots, \hat{X}_K^r]^r, \quad \hat{X}_k = [x_{k0}^r, \ldots, x_{k,M-1}^r]^r, \quad \hat{A}_k = [\hat{A}_{k0}^r, \ldots, \hat{A}_{k,M-1}^r]^r,
\]

\[
\hat{X}_0 = [\hat{X}_{01}^r, \ldots, \hat{X}_{0K}^r]^r, \quad \hat{X}_{0k} = [x_{0k}^r, 0^r, \ldots, 0^r]^r, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K.
\]

\( \hat{W}_K \) and \( \hat{B}_K \) have the similar meaning as \( \hat{A}_K \). So

\[
\hat{X} - \hat{X}_0 = (P^r \otimes I_n)\left\{ [(\hat{A}_1\hat{X}_1)^r, \ldots, (\hat{A}_K\hat{X}_K)^r]^r + \hat{W} + [(\hat{B}_1\hat{U}_1)^r, \ldots, (\hat{B}_K\hat{U}_K)^r]^r \right\}
\]
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\[
(P^r \otimes I_n) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{K} (E_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) \hat{X} + \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} E_{ij}^{(K)} \otimes \frac{d_j}{2k-1} N_{j,2k-1}^{(i)} \hat{X} \\
+ \sum_{k=1}^{K} (E_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{B}_k) \hat{U} \right].
\]

Therefore
\[
\hat{X} = \Gamma \hat{U} + \Omega, \tag{14}
\]

where
\[
\Gamma = [I_{MKn} - (P^r \otimes I_n) \Phi]^{-1} (P^r \otimes I_n) \sum_{k=1}^{K} (E_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{B}_k),
\]
\[
\Phi = \sum_{k=1}^{K} (E_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} E_{ij}^{(K)} \otimes \frac{d_j}{2k-1} N_{j,2k-1}^{(i)},
\]
\[
\Omega = [I_{MNn} - (P^r \otimes I_n) \Phi]^{-1} \hat{X}_0.
\]

4. Numerical examples

4.1. Example 1

Consider the integrodifferential system described by
\[
\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 + 1 - t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} \bar{t} \\ 3t^2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} x(\bar{t})d\bar{t} + \begin{bmatrix} -(t - 1)^2 \\ 2t^2 - t^3 \end{bmatrix} u(t),
\]
\[
x(0) = [0, 0]^r,
\]
where \(x(t)\) is a 2-dimensional state function and \(u(t)\) a 1-dimensional control function.

Let \(u(t) = 1\). Then by Eq. (14) and choosing \(M = 4\) and \(K = 3\), we have the hybrid solutions \(x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^r\):
\[
x_1(t) = \frac{5}{14} h_{10}(t) + \frac{1}{36} h_{11}(t) + \frac{1}{144} h_{12}(t) + \frac{37}{144} h_{20}(t) + \frac{1}{12} h_{21}(t) + \frac{1}{144} h_{22}(t) + \frac{101}{144} h_{30}(t) + \frac{5}{36} h_{31}(t) + \frac{1}{144} h_{32}(t),
\]
\[
x_2(t) = \frac{7}{804} h_{10}(t) + \frac{7}{804} h_{11}(t) + \frac{1}{128} h_{12}(t) + \frac{1}{1728} h_{13}(t) + \frac{13}{96} h_{20}(t) + \frac{55}{804} h_{21}(t)
\]
\[ \begin{align*} &+ \frac{1}{90} h_{22}(t) + \frac{1}{1728} h_{23}(t) + \frac{515}{864} h_{30}(t) + \frac{151}{864} h_{31}(t) + \frac{5}{288} h_{32}(t) + \frac{1}{1728} h_{33}(t), \end{align*} \]

which can be checked to be the same as the exact solutions \( x(t) = [t^2, t]^T \).

4.2. Example 2

Consider the integrodifferential system described by

\[ \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t & t^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \int_0^1 \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 3\tilde{t} & e^{-\tilde{t}} - \tilde{t}^2 \\ 3\tilde{t}^2 + \tilde{t}e^{-\tilde{t}} & -\tilde{t}^2 \end{bmatrix} x(\tilde{t})d\tilde{t} + \begin{bmatrix} 3e^{-1} - 5 - 3t \\ 2e^{-1} - 7 - t - 3\tilde{t}^2 \end{bmatrix} u(t) \right. \]
\[ \left. x(t), \quad x(0) = [1, 3]^T, \right. \]

where \( x(t) \) is a 2-dimensional state function and \( u(t) \) a 1-dimensional control function.

Let \( u(t) = e^{-t} \). Then by Eq. (14) and choosing \( M = 5 \) and \( K = 3 \), we have the hybrid solutions \( x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^T \):

\[ x_1(t) = \frac{959}{1129} h_{10}(t) - \frac{202}{2857} h_{11}(t) + \frac{67}{22756} h_{12}(t) - \frac{3}{36694} h_{13}(t) + \frac{1}{587104} h_{14}(t) \]
\[ + \frac{465}{764} h_{20}(t) - \frac{203}{4007} h_{21}(t) + \frac{121}{57355} h_{22}(t) - \frac{5}{85339} h_{23}(t) + \frac{1}{819370} h_{24}(t) \]
\[ + \frac{529}{1213} h_{30}(t) - \frac{261}{7190} h_{31}(t) + \frac{47}{31092} h_{32}(t) - \frac{5}{119117} h_{33}(t), \]
\[ x_2(t) = \frac{2877}{1129} h_{10}(t) - \frac{613}{2890} h_{11}(t) + \frac{187}{21171} h_{12}(t) - \frac{9}{36694} h_{13}(t) + \frac{2}{391403} h_{14}(t) \]
\[ + \frac{944}{517} h_{20}(t) - \frac{226}{1487} h_{21}(t) + \frac{364}{57513} h_{22}(t) - \frac{14}{79661} h_{23}(t) + \frac{2}{546247} h_{24}(t) \]
\[ + \frac{1744}{1333} h_{30}(t) - \frac{440}{4123} h_{31}(t) + \frac{47}{10564} h_{32}(t) - \frac{8}{63529} h_{33}(t) + \frac{1}{381175} h_{34}(t). \]

Table 1 and Table 2 imply that the hybrid solutions converges the exact solutions \( x(t) = [e^{-t}, 3e^{-t}]^T \) rapidly.

6. Conclusion

Using the excellent properties of operational matrices of the hybrid function of general block-pulse functions and the second Chebyshev polynomials, the general algorithms for integrodifferential systems are derived. The illustrative examples demonstrate that this technique is convenient for application.
Table 1: Analytic and hybrid values of $x_1(t)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Analytic</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=5)$</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=7)$</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.90483741803596</td>
<td>0.90483741135846</td>
<td>0.90483741803662</td>
<td>0.90483741803596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.81873075307798</td>
<td>0.81873074696139</td>
<td>0.81873075307870</td>
<td>0.81873075307798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.74081822068172</td>
<td>0.74081822463206</td>
<td>0.74081822068111</td>
<td>0.74081822068172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.67032004603564</td>
<td>0.67032005059525</td>
<td>0.67032004603507</td>
<td>0.67032004603564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.60653065971263</td>
<td>0.60653063311834</td>
<td>0.60653065970940</td>
<td>0.60653065971263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.54881163609403</td>
<td>0.54881163079527</td>
<td>0.54881163609435</td>
<td>0.54881163609402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.49658530379141</td>
<td>0.49658529860747</td>
<td>0.49658530379175</td>
<td>0.49658530379140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.44932896411722</td>
<td>0.44932896475352</td>
<td>0.44932896411673</td>
<td>0.44932896411722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.40656965974060</td>
<td>0.40656966036876</td>
<td>0.40656965974011</td>
<td>0.40656965974059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.36787944117144</td>
<td>0.36787945775656</td>
<td>0.36787944117369</td>
<td>0.36787944117143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Analytic and hybrid values of $x_2(t)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>Analytic</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=5)$</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=7)$</th>
<th>Hybrid $(N=4,M=9)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.71451225410788</td>
<td>2.71451223459708</td>
<td>2.71451225410991</td>
<td>2.71451225410788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.4561922592395</td>
<td>2.45619224196066</td>
<td>2.45619225923618</td>
<td>2.45619225923394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.22245466204515</td>
<td>2.22245467555371</td>
<td>2.22245466204343</td>
<td>2.22245466204515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.01096013810692</td>
<td>2.01096015054562</td>
<td>2.01096013810538</td>
<td>2.01096013810692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.81959197913790</td>
<td>1.8195919025067</td>
<td>1.81959197912838</td>
<td>1.81959197913790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.64643490828208</td>
<td>1.64643489597035</td>
<td>1.64643490828328</td>
<td>1.64643490828208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.48975591137423</td>
<td>1.48975590012432</td>
<td>1.48975591137553</td>
<td>1.48975591137423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.34798689235166</td>
<td>1.34798689933842</td>
<td>1.34798689235054</td>
<td>1.34798689235166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.21970897922218</td>
<td>1.21970897055545</td>
<td>1.21970897922074</td>
<td>1.21970897922179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.10363832351433</td>
<td>1.10363838023956</td>
<td>1.10363832352153</td>
<td>1.10363832351432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9
Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Science Research Foundation in Harbin Institute of Technology (Grant No. HITC200708).

References


