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Abstract

An acyclic edge coloring of a graph is a proper edge coloring such that there are no bichromatic cycles. The acyclic chromatic index of a graph is the minimum number k such that there is an acyclic edge coloring using k colors and is denoted by $\chi'(G)$. It was conjectured by Alon, Sudakov and Zaks (and earlier by Fiamcik) that $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 2$, where $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of the graph. Alon et.al also raised the question whether the complete graphs of even order are the only regular graphs which require $\Delta + 2$ colors to be acyclically edge colored. In this paper, using a simple counting argument we observe not only that this is not true, but in fact all d-regular graphs with $2n$ vertices and $d > n$, requires at least $d + 2$ colors. We also show that $\chi'(K_{n,n}) \geq n + 2$, when $n$ is odd using a more non-trivial argument (Here $K_{n,n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with $n$ vertices on each side). This lower bound for $K_{n,n}$ can be shown to be tight for some families of complete bipartite graphs and for small values of $n$. We also infer that for every $d, n$ such that $d \geq 5, n \geq 2d + 3$ and $dn$ even, there exist $d$-regular graphs which require at least $d + 2$-colors to be acyclically edge colored.
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All graphs considered in this paper are finite and simple. A proper edge coloring of $G = (V, E)$ is a map $c : E \rightarrow C$ (where $C$ is the set of available colors) with $c(e) \neq c(f)$ for any adjacent edges $e, f$. The minimum number of colors needed to properly color the edges of $G$, is called the chromatic index of $G$ and is denoted by $\chi(G)$. A proper edge coloring $c$ is called acyclic if there are no bichromatic cycles in the graph. In other words an edge coloring is acyclic if the union of any two color classes induces a set of paths (i.e., linear forest) in $G$. The acyclic edge chromatic index (also called acyclic chromatic index), denoted by $\chi'(G)$, is the minimum number of colors required to acyclically edge color $G$. The concept of acyclic coloring of a graph was introduced by Grünbaum [6]. Let $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ denote the maximum degree of a vertex in graph $G$. By Vizing’s theorem, we have $\Delta \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$ (see [4] for proof). Since any acyclic edge coloring is also proper, we have $\chi'(G) \geq \chi'(G) \geq \Delta$.

It has been conjectured by Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] that $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 2$ for any $G$. We were informed by Alon that the same conjecture was raised earlier by Fiamcik [5]. Using probabilistic arguments Alon, McDiarmid and Reed [1] proved that $\chi'(G) \leq 60\Delta$. The best known result up to now for arbitrary graph, is by Molloy and Reed [7] who showed that $\chi'(G) \leq 16\Delta$.

Our Result: Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] suggested a possibility that complete graphs of even order are the only regular graphs which require $\Delta + 2$ colors to be acyclically edge colored. Nešetřil and Wormald [8] supported the statement by showing that the acyclic edge chromatic number of a random $d$-regular graph is asymptotically almost surely equal to $d + 1$ (when $d \geq 2$). In this paper, we show that this is not true in general. More specifically we prove the following Theorems:

**Theorem 1.** Let $G$ be a $d$-regular graph with $2n$ vertices and $d > n$, then $\chi'(G) \geq d + 2 = \Delta(G) + 2$.

**Theorem 2.** For any $d$ and $n$ such that $dn$ is even and $d \geq 5, n \geq 2d + 3$, there exists a connected $d$-regular graphs that require $d + 2$ colors to be acyclically edge colored.
Theorem 3. \(a'(K_{n,n}) \geq n + 2 = \Delta + 2\), when \(n\) is odd.

**Remarks:**

1. It is interesting to compare the statement of Theorem 1 to the result of [8], namely that almost all \(d\)-regular graphs for a fixed \(d\), require only \(d + 1\) colors to be acyclically edge colored. From the introduction of [8], it appears that the authors expect their result for random \(d\)-regular graphs would extend to all \(d\)-regular graphs except for \(K_{n,n}\) if even. From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, it is clear that this is not true: There exists a large number of \(d\)-regular graphs which require \(d + 2\) colors to be acyclically edge colored, even \(d\) is fixed.

2. The complete bipartite graph, \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) is said to have a perfect 1-factorization if the edges of \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) can be decomposed into \(n+2\) disjoint perfect matchings such that the union of any two perfect matchings forms a hamiltonian cycle. It is obvious from Lemma 1 that \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) does not have perfect 1-factorization when \(n\) is even. When \(n\) is odd, some families have been proved to have perfect 1-factorization (see [8] for further details). It is easy to see that if \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) has a perfect 1-factorization then \(K_{n+2,n+1}\) and therefore \(K_{n+1,n+1}\) has a acyclic edge coloring using \(n + 2\) colors. Therefore the statement of Theorem 3 cannot be extended to the case when \(n\) is even in general.

3. Clearly if \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) has a perfect 1-factorization, then \(a'(K_{n,n}) = n + 2\). It is known that (see [8]), if \(n + 2 \in \{p, 2p - 1, p^2\}\), where \(p\) is an odd prime or when \(n + 2 < 50\) and odd, then \(K_{n+2,n+2}\) has a perfect 1-factorization. Thus the lower bound in Theorem 3 is tight for the above mentioned values of \(n + 2\).

**Proof of Theorem 1:**

Proof. Observe that two different color classes cannot have \(n\) edges each, since that will lead to a bichromatic cycle. Therefore at most one color class can have \(n\) edges while all other color classes can have at most \(n - 1\) edges. Thus the number of edges in the union of \(\Delta(G) + 1 = d + 1\) color classes is at most \(n + d(n - 1) < dn\), when \(d > n\) (Note that \(dn\) is the total number of edges in \(G\)). Thus \(G\) needs at least one more color. Thus \(a'(G) \geq d + 2 = \Delta(G) + 2\).

**Remark:** It is clear from the proof that if \(n + d(n - 1) + x < dn\) then even after removing \(x\) edges from the given graph, the resulting graph still would require \(d + 2\) colors to be acyclically edge colored.

**Proof of Theorem 2:**

Proof. If \(d\) is odd, let \(G' = K_{d+1}\). Else if \(d\) is even let \(G'\) be the complement of a perfect matching on \(d + 2\) vertices. Let \(H\) be any \(d\)-regular graph on \(N = n - n'\) vertices. Now remove an edge \((a, a')\) from \(G'\) and an edge \((b, b')\) from \(H\). Now connect \(a\) to \(b\) and \(a'\) to \(b'\) to create a \(d\)-regular graph \(G\). Clearly \(G\) requires \(d + 2\) colors to be acyclically edge colored since otherwise it would mean that \(G' - \{(a, a')\}\) is \(d + 1\) colorable, a contradiction in view of the Remark following Theorem 1, for \(d \geq 5\).

Complete bipartite graphs offer a interesting case since they have \(d = n\). Observe that the above counting argument fails. We deal with this case in the next section.

**Complete Bipartite Graphs**

**Lemma 1.** If \(n\) is even, then \(K_{n,n}\) does not contain three disjoint perfect matchings \(M_1, M_2, M_3\) such that \(M_i \cup M_j\) forms a hamiltonian cycle for \(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\) and \(i \neq j\).

**Proof.** Observe that a perfect matching of \(K_{n,n}\) corresponds to a permutation of \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). Let perfect matching \(M_i\) corresponds to permutation \(\pi_i\). Without loss of generality, we can assume that \(\pi_1\) is the identity permutation by renumbering the vertices of one side of \(K_{n,n}\).

Suppose \(K_{n,n}\) contains three perfect matchings \(M_1, M_2, M_3\) such that \(M_i \cup M_j\) forms a hamiltonian cycle for \(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\) and \(i \neq j\).

Now we study the permutation \(\pi_i^{-1}\pi_j\). Since \(M_i \cup M_j\) induces a hamiltonian cycle in \(K_{n,n}\), it is easy to see that the smallest \(t \geq 1\) such that \((\pi_i^{-1}\pi_j)^t(1) = 1\) equals \(n\). It follows that, in the cycle structure of \(\pi_i^{-1}\pi_j\), there exists exactly one cycle and this cycle is of length \(n\). The sign of a permutation is defined as: \(\text{sign}(\pi) = (-1)^k\), where \(k\) is the number of even cycles in the cycle structure of the permutation \(\pi\). Recalling that \(n\) is even, we have the following claim:

**Claim 1.** \(\text{sign}(\pi_i^{-1}\pi_j) = -1\) for \(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}\) and \(i \neq j\).
Now with respect to $\pi_i^{-1} \pi_j$, taking $\pi_1 = \pi_1$ (the identity permutation) and $\pi_2 = \pi_3$ (or $\pi_3$), we infer that $\text{sign}(\pi_2) = -1$ and $\text{sign}(\pi_3) = -1$. Now $\text{sign}(\pi_2^{-1} \pi_3) = \text{sign}(\pi_2^{-1}) \text{sign}(\pi_3) = (-1)(-1) = 1$, a contradiction in view of Claim\[1\] \[\Box\]

**Proof of Theorem 3:**

Proof. Since $K_{n,n}$ is a regular graph, $a'(K_{n,n}) \geq \Delta + 1 = n + 1$. Suppose $n + 1$ colors are sufficient. This can be achieved only in the following way: One color class contains $n$ edges and the remaining color classes contain $n - 1$ edges each. Let $\alpha$ be the color class that has $n$ edges. Thus color $\alpha$ is present at every vertex on each side $A$ and $B$. Any other color is missing at exactly one vertex on each side.

**Observation 1.** Let $\theta \neq \alpha$ be a color class. The subgraph induced by color classes $\theta$ and $\alpha$ contains $2n - 1$ edges and since there are no bichromatic cycles, the subgraph induced is a hamiltonian path. We call this an ($\alpha, \theta$) hamiltonian path.

**Observation 2.** Let $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ be color classes with $n - 1$ edges each. The subgraph induced by color classes $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ contains $2n - 2$ edges. Since there are no bichromatic cycles, the subgraph induced consists of exactly two paths.

Note that there is a unique color missing at each vertex on each side of $K_{n,n}$. Let $m(u)$ be the color missing at vertex $u$. For $a_1 \in A$ and $b_1 \in B$, let $m(a_1) = m(b_1) = \beta$. Let the color of the edge $(a_1,b_1) = \gamma$. Clearly $\gamma \neq \alpha$ since otherwise there cannot be a $(\alpha, \beta)$ hamiltonian path, a contradiction to Observation\[1\]. For $a_2 \in A$ and $b_2 \in B$, let $m(a_2) = m(b_2) = \gamma$. It's clear that $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b_1 \neq b_2$. Consider the subgraph induced by the colors $\beta$ and $\gamma$. In view of Observation\[2\] it consists of exactly two paths. One of them is the single edge $(a_1,b_1)$. The other path has length $2n - 3$ and has $a_2$ and $b_2$ as end points.

Now we construct a $K_{n+1,n+1}$ from the above $K_{n,n}$ by adding a new vertex, $a_{n+1}$ to side $A$ and a new vertex, $b_{n+1}$ to side $B$. Now for $u \in B$ color each edge $(a_{n+1},u)$ by the color $m(u)$ and for $v \in A$ color each edge $(b_{n+1},v)$ by the color $m(v)$. Assign the color $\alpha$ to the edge $(a_{n+1},b_{n+1})$. Clearly the coloring thus obtained is a proper coloring.

Now we know that there existed a $(\alpha, \beta)$ hamiltonian path in $K_{n,n}$ with $a_1$ and $b_1$ as end points. Recalling that $m(a_1) = m(b_1) = \beta$, we have $c(a_{n+1},b_{n+1}) = c(b_{n+1},a_1) = \beta$. It’s easy to see that in $K_{n+1,n+1}$ this path along with the edges $(a_1,b_{n+1}), (b_{n+1},a_{n+1})$ and $(a_{n+1},b_1)$ forms a $(\alpha, \beta)$ hamiltonian cycle. In a similar way, for $(\alpha, \gamma)$ hamiltonian path that existed in $K_{n,n}$, we can see that in $K_{n+1,n+1}$, we have a corresponding $(\alpha, \gamma)$ hamiltonian cycle.

Recall that there was a $(\beta, \gamma)$ bichromatic path starting from $a_2$ and ending at $b_2$ in $K_{n,n}$. In the $K_{n+1,n+1}$ we created, we have $c(a_2,a_{n+1}) = \gamma, c(a_1,b_{n+1}) = \beta, c(a_{n+1},b_1) = \beta$ and $c(a_{n+1},b_2) = \gamma$. Thus the above $(\beta, \gamma)$ bichromatic path in $K_{n,n}$ along with the edges $(a_2,b_{n+1}), (b_{n+1},a_1), (a_1,b_1), (b_1,a_{n+1}), (a_{n+1},b_2)$ in that order. Thus we have 3 perfect matchings induced by the color classes $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ whose pairwise union gives rise to hamiltonian cycles in $K_{n+1,n+1}$, a contradiction to Lemma\[1\] since $n + 1$ is even. \[\Box\]
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