N eutrino O scillation P aram eters A fter H igh Statistics K am LAND R esults

Abhijit Bandyopadhyay^a, Sandhya Choubey^a, Srubabati Goswam f^a, S.T. Petcov^{b;c 1}, D.P. Roy^{d;e}

^{a)}Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad 211 019, India,

^{b)} Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati, I-34014, Trieste, Italy,

^{c)} INFN , Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy,

^{d)} AHEP Group, Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), CSIC-U. de Valencia, Edicio de Instituto de Patema, Apartado de Correos 22085, E-46071 Valencia, Spain

e) Hom i Bhabha Centre for Science Education, Tata Institute of Fundam ental Research,

M um bai 400088, India

Abstract

W e do a re-analysis to asses the in pact of the results of the Borexino experim ent and the recent 2.8 KTy Kam LAND data on the solar neutrino oscillation parameters. The current B orexino results are found to have no in pact on the allowed solar neutrino parameter space. The new Kam LAND data causes a signi cant reduction of the allowed range of m $\frac{2}{21}$, determ ining it with an unprecedented precision of 8.3% at 3 . The precision of m $\frac{2}{21}$ is controlled practically by the Kam LAND data alone. Inclusion of new Kam LAND results also in proves the upper bound on \sin^2_{12} , but the precision of this parameter continues to be controlled by the solar data. The third mixing angle is constrained to be $\sin^2 1_3 < 0.063$ at 3 from a combined t to the solar, K am LAND, atm ospheric and CHOOZ results. W e also address the issue of how much further reduction of allowed range of m $\frac{2}{21}$ and $\sin^2 \frac{12}{12}$ is possible with increased statistics from Kam LAND.We nd that there is a sharp reduction of the 3 \spread" with enhanced statistics till about 10 K Ty after which the spread tends to atten out reaching to less than 4% with 15 KTy data. For \sin^2_{12} however, the spread is more than 25% even after 20 K T y exposure and assuming $_{12}$ < =4, as dictated by the solar data. We show that with a Kam LAND like reactor \SPM IN " experiment at a distance of km, the spread of \sin^2_{12} could be reduced to about 5% at 3 level while m $\frac{2}{21}$ could be determined to within 4%, with just 3 K Ty exposure.

¹A lso at: INRNE, Bulgarian A cadem y of Sciences, So a, Bulgaria

1 Introduction

Over the past few years there has been a paradigm shift in the studies of neutrino physics. The aim of neutrino experiments shifted from establishing the existence of neutrino mass and mixing to precision determ ination of these oscillation parameters. In the case of solar neutrino oscillation, this has been possible thanks to a succession of precision data from the SNO and Kam LAND experiments over the past few years. First, the simultaneous measurement of solar neutrino events from both charged and neutral current interactions by the SNO experiment [1, 2] was instrumental in narrowing down the solar neutrino mass and mixing parameters to the region of the so called Large M ixing Angle (LMA) solution [3, 4, 5, 6]. This was con med by the Kam LAND reactor (anti)neutrino experiment [7]. Moreover, it pinned down the solar neutrino m ass param eter to two narrow bands called low-LMA and high-LMA (also called LMA-I and II, respectively), corresponding to the 1st and 2nd oscillation nodes [7, 8, 9]. Then came the data from the second phase (salt phase) of SNO, which had a better detection e ciency for the neutral current events [10]. Including this data in a global analysis constrained the range of the solar neutrino m ixing angle further, ruling out m axim alm ixing at m ore than 6 level [11, 12]. Besides, it strongly favoured the low-LMA region of solar neutrino mass over the high-LMA, allowing the latter only at the 3 level. This was followed by the 766 Ty Kam LAND data [13], which had a nearly 5 tim es higher statistics than their ist data. Including this data set in a global analysis pinned down the solar neutrino mass nally to the low-LMA region, while ruling out high-LMA at more than 4 level [14, 15, 16]. In particular, our two-avour neutrino oscillation analysis determ ined the best-t solar neutrino m ass and m ixing parameters to be m $\frac{2}{21}$ = 8 10 ⁵eV ² and $\sin^2_{12} = 0.28$, with a 3 spread of about 15% and 30% respectively [14]. Extending this analysis to the three- avour neutrino oscillation we found these m ass and m ixing angle values to be robust. Finally, the three-avour oscillation analysis led to a moderate in provem ent of the CHOOZ [17] lim it on the third m ixing angle, \sin^2_{13} . It should be noted here that the most precisely determined neutrino parameter to date is the above mass parameter m_{21}^2 ; and the results from the Kam LAND reactor neutrino experim ent has played a pivotal role in this.

Recently the K am LAND experiment has published their 2.8 K Ty data [18], which increases the statistics of their earlier data by alm ost 4 times. Besides, they have reduced their systematic error and expanded the analysis to include the visible energy range below 2.6 M eV. In this work we have updated our global analysis [14, 15, 19, 20] with the inclusion of this new K am LAND data. As we shall see, its most important e ect is a further reduction of the 3 spread of m $^2_{21}$ by a factor of 2. We have also studied the ext of the rst Borexino data [21] on the result of this global analysis.

Section 2 is devoted to a two- avour neutrino oscillation analysis of the global solar neutrino data along with the new Kam LAND reactor neutrino data. In Section 3 we extend this to a three- avour neutrino oscillation analysis to check the robustness of the oscillation parameters and also to update the limit on the third mixing angle. In section 4 we study the impact of future data from Borexino and Kam LAND experiments on the precision of the solar neutrino mass and mixing angle. We also discuss how the precision of this mixing angle measurement can be improved dramatically by running a Kam LAND type reactor SPM IN neutrino experiment at a lower baseline length of 60 km [22]. We conclude by summarizing our main results in section 5.



Figure 1: The 90%, 95%, 99% and 99.73% C L. allowed regions in the m $^2_{21}$ sirf $_{12}$ plane, obtained in a combined 2 -analysis of the global solar neutrino and the 2.8 K Ty K am LAND spectrum data (shaded areas). The regions allowed by the solar neutrino data and 2.8 K Ty K am LAND data are also shown separately.

2 Two Flavour Neutrino O scillation A nalysis

W e begin by reporting the status of the solar neutrino oscillation parameters m $^2_{21}$ and sin $^2_{12}$. W e present the allowed regions in the m $^2_{21}$ sin $^2_{12}$ plane and investigate the impact of the new sets of results, viz., the e ect of adding the Borexino data, and the impact of the high statistics K am LAND results.

2.1 O scillation P aram eters from Solar N eutrino D ata

The rst results from Borexino experiment were announced last year [21] providing the rst real time measurement of sub-MeV solar neutrinos. The observed rate is 47 7 (stat) 12 (syst) / (day.100 ton) whereas the expected rate without oscillation is 75 4/(day.100 ton) according to the Standard Solar M odel of [23].



Figure 2: The ² as a function of m $^2_{21}$ (right panel) and \sin^2_{12} (left panel). The results shown in both panels are obtained by allowing all the other parameters to vary freely. The dashed line shows the 3 limit corresponding to 1 parameter t. The lines for only KL and solar+KL are indistinguishable in the right panel.

This corresponds to an observed to expected Borexino rate of $R_B = 0.62$ 0.18. We include this datum in our solar neutrino analysis and nd the 90%, 95%, 99% and 99.73% allowed regions in the m $^2_{21}$ sirf 12 parameter space. These are shown as hatched contours in Fig. 1. We have used in this analysis the solar neutrino data on the total event rates from the radiochem ical experiments, Chlorine (Hom estake) [24] and Gallium (Gallex, SAGE and GNO combined) [25], the 1496 day 44 bin Zenith angle spectrum data from SuperK am iokande [26], and data from phase I (pure D $_2$ O phase) [2] and phase II (salt phase) [10, 27] of the SNO experiment. For the SNO data set, we include the combined Charged Current (CC), Neutral Current (NC) and Electron Scattering (ES) 34 bin energy spectrum data from phase I and the 34 bin CC energy spectrum data (17 day bins and 17 night bins), day and night NC rate data and day and night ES rate data from the phase II. The 8B ux norm alization factor f_B is left to vary freely in the analysis. For the other solar neutrino uxes (pp, pep, 7B e, CNO, hep), the predictions and estim ated uncertainties from standard solarm odel (SSM) [23] (BP04) have been utilized. For further details of our solar

neutrino code and error analysis we refer the reader to our earlier papers [3, 4, 11].

We nd that the present Borexino results make no impact on the allowed regions in the solar neutrino oscillation parameter space. The best-t point from global solar neutrino data analysis stays unchanged at [19, 20]

$$m_{21}^2 = 6:4 \quad 10^5 \text{ eV}^2; \quad \sin^2_{12} = 0:33; \quad f_B = 0:84:$$
 (1)

These values of m $^2_{21}$ and sin $^2_{12}$ im ply adiabatic M SW [28] conversions of the higher energy 8 B neutrinos contributing to the SNO CC and SK event rates. The corresponding solar $_{\rm e}$ survival probability is given by P $_{\rm ee}$ ' sin $^2_{12}$. For the low energy pp neutrinos, which give the dom inant contribution to the signal in the Ga-Ge experiments (SAGE, GALLEX/GNO), the predicted $_{\rm e}$ survival probability is P $_{\rm ee}$ = 1 0.5 sinf 2 $_{12}$. U sing the indicated expressions for P $_{\rm ee}$, one can roughly check that the best-t parameters given in Eq. (1) provide an excellent t to the global solar neutrino data. From an exact num erical analysis we obtain with a 2 = 114 for 119 degrees of freedom.

To quantify the constraint the global solar neutrino data in poses on the parameters m_{21}^2 and \sin^2_{12} individually, we show the 2 as a function of these parameters in the right and left panels of Fig. 2. Parameters which do not appear on the x-axis are left to vary freely in the t. The red dashed lines correspond to the case where only solar neutrino results are included. The constraints on the individual oscillation parameters at any given C L. for a one parameter t can be read o from this gure. We give in the rst row of Table 1 the ranges corresponding to the 3 C L.W e also tabulate the corresponding \spread" which quanti es the uncertainty on the given oscillation parameter and is de ned as

spread =
$$\frac{\text{prm}_{m \text{ ax}} \quad \text{prm}_{m \text{ in}}}{\text{prm}_{m \text{ ax}} + \text{prm}_{m \text{ in}}}$$
 100; (2)

where prm denotes the parameter m_{21}^2 or \sin^2_{12} , and prm_{max} and prm_{min} are the maximal and minimal values of the chosen parameter allowed at a given C L. Solar neutrino results restrict \sin^2_{12} to be uncertain at 3 by only 30% around the best-t, while for m_{21}^2 the 3 uncertainty is still as large as 70%.

2.2 Neutrino O scillation P aram eters from K am LAND D ata A lone

In theirm ost recent paper, the K am LAND collaboration has made public, data corresponding to a statistics 2.8 K Ty [18]. The earlier data releases were for 0.162 K Ty [7] and 0.7663 K Ty [13]. A part from an increased exposure time, the new data set is based on enlarged ducial volume, full volume e calibration to reduce the systematic error and expansion of the analysis to include the visible energy ² spectrum below 2.6 M eV. All these have been very important improvements, especially the measurement of the spectrum below 2.6 M eV. The earlier two data sets from K am LAND were only for visible energy above 2.6 M eV, while the latest data set covers the entire available reactor spectrum, with threshold visible energy of 0.9 M eV. W e use the 13 bin K am LAND spectrum data

²The visible energy is de ned as E_{vis} ' E 0.8 (M eV), where E is the energy of the antineutrino.

D ata set	(3) Range of	(3) spread in	(3) Range of	(3) spread in
uæd	m $^2_{21}$ eV 2	m 2 ₂₁	\sin^2 12	sin ² 12
only sol	3.0-17.0	70%	021 039	30%
sol+162 TyKL	4.9-10.7	37%	021 039	30%
so⊬ 766.3 TyKL	72-95	14%	021 037	27%
sol+2.8 KTyKL	7:1 8:3	7.8%	0.26-0.42	23.5%
only K L	7285	8.3%	0.2-0.5	43%

Table 1: 3 allowed ranges of m $^{2}_{21}$ and sin² $_{12}$ from the analysis of the global solar neutrino, and global solar neutrino + K am LAND (past and present) data. We show also the % spread in the allowed values of the two neutrino oscillation parameters. Note that for only K am LAND we ignore the allowed region of sin² $_{12}$ in the Dark Zone ($_{12}$ > =4) so that the maximum allowed value of sin² $_{12}$ is 0.5

with a threshold from 0.9 M eV and de ne a ² assuming a Gaussian distribution as

$${}^{2}_{KL} = {X^{N} \atop {}^{i}_{j;j=1}} (R_{i}^{expt} R_{i}^{theory}) ({}^{2}_{ij})^{-1} (R_{j}^{expt} R_{j}^{theory})$$
(3)

where R^{theory} and R^{expt}_i are the theoretically predicted and experimentally observed number of events in the ith energy bin, and $^2_{ij}$ is the error correlation matrix comprising of the statistical and system atic errors. The latter is taken to be 4.1%, fully correlated between the energy bins. The other details of our analysis can be found in [8, 14, 29]. Som e of the reactors, particularly the K ashiw azakiK ariwa and Fukushim a I and II reactor complexes, were partially/totally shut-down during som e of the period of data taking in K am LAND. We have approximately taken into account this change in the ux due to the reactor shut-down using the plots show ing the time variations of the number of ssions in a given reactor and hence the expected reactor $_{e}$ ux in K am LAND [30]. We have also used the information on the reactor operation schedules available on the web [31].

The 90%, 95%, 99% and 99.73% C.L. allowed areas in the m $^2_{21}$ sinf $_{12}$ parameter space, obtained using only the K am LAND data, can be seen within the open contours in Fig. 1. We show the allowed regions derived from the solar neutrino and K am LAND data taken individually in the same plot to allow for better comparison. The best-t point for the K am LAND data alone, according to our analysis, is at

$$m_{21}^2 = 7.7 \quad 10^5 \text{ eV}^2; \quad \sin^2_{12} = 0.39:$$
 (4)

W e note that both these best-t values are larger than those obtained from the analysis of the solar neutrino data only. Note also that while the K am LAND data constrains m $^2_{21}$ much better than the solar neutrino data, the constraint on the mixing parameter \sin^2_{21} and \sin^2_{12} from the solar neutrino data is much stronger. The range of allowed values for m $^2_{21}$ and \sin^2_{12} at a given C L. derived using the K am LAND data alone can be seen from the blue dashed lines in Fig. 2. The lim its at 3

and the corresponding spread are given in Table 1. The latest K am LAND data alone excludes the high-LMA solution at more than 4 . Note that the earlier 766 Ty K am LAND results disfavored high-LMA at 2.56 only (1 parameter t).

2.3 Constraints from Combined Solar and Kam LAND Data Analysis For the combined analysis of solar and Kam LAND data we de ne the global² as

$$2_{\text{global}}^2 = 2 + 2_{\text{KL}}^2$$
; (5)

where ${}^2_{\rm K\,L}$ is the 2 for the K am LAND analysis given in Eq. (3), and 2 is the 2 computed from the global analysis of the world solar neutrino data. We refer the reader to our earlier papers [3, 4, 11] for the details concerning 2 . The results are plotted as C L. contours shown by the shaded zones in Fig. 1. We nd that with the inclusion of the latest K am LAND spectrum data, the allowed range of m ${}^2_{21}$ is sharpened considerably and the solar neutrino data plays practically no role in constraining m ${}^2_{21}$. On the other hand, the solar neutrino data is instrum ental in reducing the allowed range of values of \sin^2_{12} . The best-t for combined solar neutrino and K am LAND data analysis is at,

m
$$_{21}^2 = 7.7$$
 10 $^5 \text{ eV}^2$; $\sin^2_{12} = 0.33$; $f_B = 0.84$: (6)

The best-tvalue of m $^2_{21}$ we nd agrees very well with that obtained by the Kam LAND collaboration [18], while our best tvalue of sin² $_{12}$ is somewhat lower than that found in [18] because of di erences in the tting procedure. The best-tvalue of m $^2_{21}$ in the global t is controlled by the Kam LAND data, whereas the best-tvalue of sin² $_{12}$ is controlled by the global solar neutrino data. For sim ilar recent analyses see also [32].

The individual constraints on m $^2_{21}$ and sin $^2_{12}$ from the combined analysis of the solar neutrino and K am LAND data can be seen in Fig. 2, where we have plotted the 2 $^2_{m in}$ as a function of these parameters, taken one at a time. The corresponding 3 allowed ranges and spread are given in Table 1. In order to show how the statistics from the K am LAND experiment has e ected the precision of the measurement of m $^2_{21}$ and sin $^2_{12}$, we have also given in the Table the 3 allowed ranges and spread we had obtained by combining the solar neutrino data with the rst K am LAND results (0.162 K Ty data) and second K am LAND results (0.7663 K Ty data). We can see that while the error on m $^2_{21}$ has been dramatically reduced as K am LAND has accumulated more and more statistics, the uncertainty on sin $^2_{12}$ has remained rather large. The reason why K am LAND has limited ability in constraining sin $^2_{12}$ while its sensitivity to m $^2_{21}$ is quite remarkable was pointed out in [22] and discussed in detail in [33, 34, 35, 36].

3 Three Neutrino O scillation A nalysis

So far we have restricted ourselves to two-generation oscillations where we have put the third mixing $_{13} = 0$. However, oscillation of solar and K am LAND (anti)neutrinos do depend on $_{13}$,

albeit weakly. Since m_{31}^2 m_{21}^2 , the three-neutrino oscillation survival probability relevant for both solar and K am LAND (anti)neutrinos is approximately given by

$$P_{ee}^{3g} \prime \cos^{4} {}_{13}P_{ee}^{2g} + \sin^{4} {}_{13};$$
(7)

where P_{ee}^{2g} is e survival probability in the case of two-neutrino oscillations. For solar neutrinos, P_{ee}^{2g} is given by the standard expression (see [37]), in which the electron number density N_e is replaced by [38] N_e cos² 13. For K am LAND, P_{ee}^{2g} coincides with the usual two-neutrino vacuum oscillation probability used in the previous section. Thus, both solar and Kam LAND have some sensitivity to $_{13}$ and can therefore constrain it. We show in Fig. 3 the 2 obtained as a function of \sin^2_{13} when all other oscillation parameters are allowed to vary freely. While m $\frac{2}{21}$ and \sin^2_{12} are allowed to take any value in t, the values of m $\frac{2}{31}$ are restricted within its current 3 range. We show results for analysis of the CHOOZ reactor antineutrino and atm ospheric results (solid line), as well as by adding solar and K am LAND data to this set (dashed line). The combined global data from solar neutrino, atm ospheric neutrino and reactor antineutrino experim ents put a bound of $\sin^2_{13} < 0.063$ at 3 . We have checked that there is practically no increase in the allowed \sin^2_{12} plane, when one goes from two to three avor neutrino oscillation regions in the m $\frac{2}{21}$ analysis of the global solar neutrino and Kam LAND spectrum data. To show the impact of the solar and Kam LAND data on three neutrino parameters we present in Fig. 4 the 90%, 95%, 99% and 99.73% C L. allowed contours in the \sin^2_{12} sinf $_{13}$ plane obtained from the combined analysis of the global solar neutrino data, the latest K am LAND data and CHOOZ data. It is to be noted that the P_{ee}^{2g} for high energy ⁸B neutrinos is from $f_{ee} \sin^2 1_2$ while for Kam LAND it is given as 1 $\sin^2 2_{12} \sin^2 m_{21}^2 L=4E$. Thus while for solar neutrinos an increase in 1_3 implies an increase in 12, for Kam LAND an increase in 13 would imply a decrease in 12 [39]. This opposing trend is instrumental in putting constraints in the \sin^2_{12} sin² is plane.

4 W hat lies in the Future

The eld of solar neutrino research has become quite mature now. The latest results from Borexino experiment has made real time detection of the ⁷B e solar neutrinos possible and the results are consistent with the expectations from the LMA solution. The results from the K am LAND reactor data have provided independent and solid support to the LMA solution of the solar neutrino problem. With the recent K am LAND data, the precision of m $^2_{21}$ gets controlled solely by K am LAND. At this point we ask the question, what will be the impact of future results from Borexino and K am LAND. In particular, we address two questions:

C an improved precision of B or xino data play any role in further reducing the allowed ranges of m $^2_{21}$ and/or $^{12}_{12}$?

W hat will be the impact of a further increase of statistics of the Kam LAND data?

To address the st point we analyze the solar neutrino data taking the Borexino rate as its present experimental value, but reducing the 1 sign a error (combined statistical and system atic) from 30 to 15%. However, even then there is no impact of Borexino on the allowed solar neutrino

parameter space. To asses the impact of the central value of the Borexino rate on the above result, we vary the allowed parameters in the combined solar and K am LAND analysis within their 3 range and use the maximum and minimum predictions for the Borexino rate as the central value and accomplish an analysis of the combined solar data using 15% total error. But the allowed parameter space in the m $_{21}^2$ sir $_{12}^2$ plane remains stable against these variations. However, the measurement of the ⁷Be neutrino ux with a higher precision will be very important for the determination of some of the basic solar model parameters [40].

In order to address the second question, we show in upper panels of F ig. 5 the spread in \sin^2_{12} (left panel) and m $\frac{2}{21}$ (right panel) as a function of the number of KTy of data in Kam LAND. The x-axis starts from the current K am LAND statistics of 2.8 KTy. Note that while plotting the spread of \sin^2_{12} , we ignore the allowed range of \sin^2_{12} in the dark zone ($_{12}$ > =4), as dictated by the solar data. The gure shows that the spread in m $^2_{21}$ shows a steady decrease till about 10 KTy of statistics of K am LAND after which the spread starts to decrease m ore gradually reaching to less than 4% with 15 KTy of statistics. The gure reveals that the spread in \sin^2 12 from Kam LAND also reduces with statistics, but even with 20 KTy of data, the spread in \sin^2_{12} is m ore than 25%, which is not signi cantly better than the value of 30% obtained from the current solar data (cf. Table 1). It has been already pointed out in the literature that maximum precision in sin² ₁₂ can be obtained in a reactor antineutrino experiment, identical to Kam LAND in all respects, except that the baseline of this experim ent would be tuned to the Survival Probability M IN in um (SPM IN) [22, 35, 36]. Note that the present K am LAND experiment is situated at an average distance of about 180 km, which is a maxim a of the survival probability (SPM AX). In the lower panels of this gure we show the projected sensitivity to these parameters in a \SPM IN " experiment [22, 35, 36]. For the current best-t m $^2_{21}$, the baseline corresponding to SPM IN would be at about L = 60 km. One can see from the gure the remarkable sensitivity that this experiment would have to the mixing angle \sin^2 $_{12}$. Even with 1 KTy of data, we could determine sin² 12 to 8% precision and this could improve to about 5% with about 3 KTy of statistics. The sensitivity to m_{21}^2 is also seen to be good. A lthough the survival probability is larger at the SPMAX than at the SPMIN, the latter is situated at a shorter distance of 60 km as compared to SPMAX (180 km at the present best t value). So the distance factor makes up for the probability. A loo it is to be noted that since K am LAND receives ux from several reactors at di erent distances, it is actually at an average SPM AX and so it cannot see the full distortion of the spectral shape. For the above reasons a dedicated SPM IN experiment also gives a comparatively better sensitivity to m $^2_{21}$. We could determ ine m $^2_{21}$ within 4% precision with 3 KTy data. The above results are obtained by taking $\sin^2_{13} = 0$. How ever, inclusion of a non-zero \sin^2_{13} is not expected to alter the conclusions signicantly [35]. A nother experimental idea which could be used to return very good precision to the solar neutrino oscillation parameters consists of doping the SuperK am iokande with gadolinium [34, 41].

5 Conclusions

We have updated the solar neutrino parameter space including the Borexino results and the 2.8 KTy Kam LAND spectrum data in global solar neutrino oscillation analysis.

The present B orexino results are found to have no in pact on the solar neutrino param eter space. We also not that the allowed area in m $_{21}^2$ sin $_{12}^2$ plane remains stable against reduction in B orexino error by half its present value or by shifting the central value within the predicted 3 range of the global solar and K am LAND analysis. The inclusion of the latest K am LAND results on the other hand causes a reduction in the spread in m $_{21}^2$ by a factor of 2.

The allowed range of m $^2_{21}$ is controlled practically by the Kam LAND data. There is also a slight increase in the lower bound of $_{12}$ with the inclusion of Kam LAND data, though the precision in \sin^2_{12} is controlled by the solar data.

The 3 upper limit on \sin^2_{13} from global solar, atmospheric and reactor antineutrino data is 0.063. There is practically no change in the allowed region in the m²₂₁ sin²₁₂ plane when one goes from two to three avor neutrino oscillation analysis of the global solar neutrino and K am LAND spectrum data. The e ect of combined solar and reactor antineutrino data on three avour parameters have been presented in terms of allowed regions in the \sin^2_{12} sin² \sin^2_{13} plane.

We also studied the impact of further reduction of K am LAND statistics on the precision of m $_{21}^2$ and sin² $_{12}$ and nd that till about 10 KTy of statistics there is steady improvement of precision beyond which the spread in m $_{21}^2$ attens out, reaching less than 4% with 15 KTy of statistics. Spread in sin² $_{12}$ shows hardly much improvement with increased K am LAND statistics. Even after accumulation of 20 KTy of statistics, the spread hovers around 25%, which is not much better than the 30% precision which the current solar data gives. A dramatic improvement in precision in sin² $_{12}$ is possible in a dedicated K am LAND type of experiment at a distance of 60 km. Such an experiment can give 5% precision in sin² $_{12}$ and 4% precision in m $_{21}^2$ with only 3 KTy of statistics.

The work of A B., S.C. and S.G. was supported by the Neutrino Project under the X Ith plan at Harish Chandra Research Institute. D P.R. was supported in part by BRNS (DAE) through Raja Ram anna Fellow ship and in part by MEC grants FPA 2005-01269, SAB 2005-0131. The work of S.T.P. was supported in part by the Italian INFN and M IUR program s \F isica A stroparticellare" and \Fundam ental Constituents of the Universe", and by the European Network of Theoretical A stroparticle Physics ILIAS/N6 (contract R II3-CT-2004-506222).

References

- [1] Q.R.Ahm ad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 071301 (2001).
- [2] Q.R.Ahm ad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 011301 (2002).Q.R.Ahm ad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 011302 (2002).
- [3] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, S.Goswamiand K.Kar, Phys. Lett. B 519, 83 (2001).
- [4] A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswamiand D. P. Roy, Phys. Lett. B 540, 14 (2002);
 S. Choubey, A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Goswamiand D. P. Roy, arX iv hep-ph/0209222.
- [5] G.L. Fogli, E. Lisi, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo, Phys. Rev. D 64, 093007 (2001); JN. Bahcall, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, C. Pana-Garay, JHEP 0108, 014 (2001); P. I. Krastev and

A.Y.Sm innov, Phys.Rev.D 65,073022 (2002); M.V.G arzelli and C.G iunti, JHEP 0112,017 (2001).

- [6] G.L.Fogli, E.Lisi, A.Marrone, D.Montanino and A.Palazzo, Phys. Rev. D 66, 053010 (2002); J.N.Bahcall, M.C.Gonzalez-Garcia and C.Pena-Garay, JHEP 0207, 054 (2002);
 V.Barger, D.Marfatia, K.W hisnant and B.P.W ood, Phys. Lett. B 537, 179 (2002).
- [7] K.Eguchietal. [Kam LAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 021802 (2003).
- [8] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, R.Gandhi, S.Goswamiand D.P.Roy, Phys. Lett. B 559, 121 (2003).
- [9] G.L.Fogliet al, E.Lisi, A.Marrone, D.Montanino, A.Palazzo and A.M.Rotunno, Phys. Rev.D 67, 073002 (2003); M.Maltoni, T.Schwetz and J.W.Valle, Phys.Rev.D 67, 093003 (2003); J.N.Bahcall, M.C.Gonzalez-Garcia and C.Pena-Garay, JHEP 0302, 009 (2003); H.Nunokawa, W.J.Teves and R.Zukanovich Funchal, Phys.Lett.B 562, 28 (2003); P.Aliani, V.Antonelli, M.Picariello and E.Torrente-Lujan, Phys.Rev.D 69, 013005 (2004);

P.C. de Holanda and A.Y. Sm imov, JCAP 0302, 001 (2003).

- [10] S.N.Ahmed et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 181301 (2004).
- [11] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, S.Goswami, S.T.Petcov and D.P.Roy, Phys.Lett.B 583, 134 (2004).
- [12] G.L.Fogli, E.Lisi, A.Marrone and A.Palazzo, Phys. Lett. B 583, 149 (2004); P.C.de Holanda and A.Y.Smirnov, Astropart. Phys. 21, 287 (2004).
- [13] T.Arakietal. [Kam LAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 081801 (2005).
- [14] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, S.Goswami, S.T.Petcov and D.P.Roy, Phys.Lett.B 608, 115 (2005).
- [15] S.Goswami, A.Bandyopadhyay and S.Choubey, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 143, 121 (2005).
- [16] .M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J.W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. 6, 122 (2004);
 G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone and A. Palazzo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57, 742 (2006);
 J.N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, JHEP 0408, 016 (2004).
- [17] M.Apollonio et al, Eur. Phys. J.C 27, 331 (2003).
- [18] S.Abe et al. Kam LAND Collaboration], arX iv 0801.4589 [hep-ex].
- [19] S.Goswami, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 21, 1901 (2006).
- [20] S.Choubey, Phys. Atom . Nucl. 69, 1930 (2006).
- [21] Borexino Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 658, 101 (2008).

- [22] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey and S.Goswami, Phys. Rev. D 67, 113011 (2003).
- [23] J.N.Bahcalland M.H.Pinsonneault, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 121301 (2004).
- [24] B.T.Cleveland et al., A strophys. J. 496, 505 (1998).
- [25] J. N. Abdurashitov et al. [SAGE Collaboration], J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 95, 181 (2002) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 122, 211 (2002)]; W. Ham pelet al. [GALLEX Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 447, 127 (1999); C. Cattadori, Talk at Neutrino 2004, Paris, France, June 14–19, 2004.
- [26] S.Fukuda et al. [Super-K am iokande Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 539, 179 (2002).
- [27] B.Aham in et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 72, 055502 (2005).
- [28] L.Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 17, 2369 (1978); S.P.M ikheev and A.Y. Smirnov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 42 (1985) 913 [Yad. Fiz. 42, 1441 (1985)].
- [29] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, R.Gandhi, S.Goswamiand D.P.Roy, J.Phys.G 29, 2465 (2003).
- [30] G A. Horton-Sm ith, talk at Neutrino O scillations in Venice, December 3-5, 2003, Venice, Italy; http://axpd24.pd.infn.it/NO-VE/NO-VE.html
- [31] http:==www:fepc atomic:p=public=fo=public=index.html:
- [32] Version 6 of the rst reference of [16]; M.C.Gonzalez-Garcia and M.Maltoni, arX iv:0704.1800 [hep-ph].A.B.Balantekin and D.Y ilm az, arX iv:0804.3345 [hep-ph].
- [33] A.Bandyopadhyay, S.Choubey, S.Goswam iand S.T.Petcov, Phys.Lett.B 581, 62 (2004).
- [34] S.Choubey and S.T.Petcov, Phys. Lett. B 594, 333 (2004).
- [35] A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 033013 (2005).
- [36] H.M inakata, H.Nunokawa, W.J.C. Teves and R.Zukanovich Funchal, Phys. Rev. D 71, 013005 (2005).
- [37] S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B 200, 373 (1988), and Phys. Lett. B 214, 139 (1988); S.T. Petcov and J.Rich, Phys. Lett. B 224, 401 (1989); P.I. K rastev and S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B 207, 64 (1988); E.Lisiet al, Phys. Rev. D 63, 093002 (2000).
- [38] S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B 214, 259 (1988).
- [39] S.Goswamiand A.Y.Sminnov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 053011 (2005).
- [40] A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Goswami and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Rev. D 75, 093007 (2007).
- [41] J.F.Beacom and M.R.Vagins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 171101 (2004).



Figure 3: Bounds on the mixing angle $_{13}$ using the CHOOZ data only (dashed line) and the combined solar, CHOOZ and Kam LAND data (solid line). The m $_{31}^2$ is allowed to vary freely in its current 3 limit allowed by the atm ospheric and long baseline neutrino data. The short-dashed verticle lines show the 3 limits corresponding to the case of 1 parameter t.



Figure 4: The 90%, 95%, 99% and 99.73% C L. allowed regions in the \sin^2_{12} \sin^2_{13} plane, obtained in a three-neutrino oscillation analysis of the global solar and reactor neutrino data, including the data from the K am LAND and CHOOZ experiments. Here we use two parameter ² values to plot the C L. contours.



Figure 5: Expected 3 spread of m $^2_{21}$ and sin $^2_{12}$ as a function of the statistics for K am LAND (upper panels) and the SPM IN experiment (lower panels).