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#### Abstract

The four-dim ensional ${ }^{4}$ theory is usually considered to be trivial in the continuum lim it. In fact, two de nitions of triviality were mixed in the literature. The rst one, introduced by $W$ ilson, is equivalent to positiveness of the Gell.M ann \{ Low function ( g ) for $\mathrm{g} \in 0$; it is con med by all available inform ation and can be considered as mly established. The second de nition, introduced by $m$ athem atical com $m$ unity, corresponds to the true triviality, ie. principalim possibility to construct continuous theory $w$ ith nite interaction at large distances: it needs not only positiveness of $(\mathrm{g})$ but also its su ciently quick grow th at in nity. Indications of true triviality are not num erous and allow di erent interpretation. A ccording to the recent results, such triviality is surely absent.


## 1. Introduction

The problem of the "zero charge" or "triviality" of quantum eld theories was raised rstly by Landau and co-w orkers [1, [2]. A coording to Landau, Abrikosov, K halatnikov [1], relation of the bare charge $g_{0} w$ th observable charge $g$ for renom alizable eld theories is given by expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{g_{0}}{1+{ }_{2} g_{0} \ln ^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the $m$ ass of the particle, and is the $m$ om entum cut-o. For nite $g$ and
! 1 , the observable charge $g!0$ and the "zero charge" situation takes place. The proper interpretation ofEq. 1 consists in its inverting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}=\frac{g}{1 \quad{ }_{2} g \ln ^{2}=m^{2}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the bare charge $g_{0}$ is related to the length scale ${ }^{1}$ and chosen to give a correct value of $g$. The grow th of $g_{0} w$ ith invalidates Eqs.1,2 in the region $g(1$ and existence of the "Landau pole" in Eq 2 has no physical sense.

The actualbehavior of the charge $g(L)$ as a function of the length scale $L$ is determ ined by the G ell. M ann \{ Low equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g}{d \ln L^{2}}=\quad(g)=29^{2}+3 g^{3}+::: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and depends on appearance of the function (g). A ccording to classi cation by B ogolyubov and Shirkov [3], the grow th ofg $(\mathrm{L})$ is saturated, if ( g ) has a zero for nite $g$, and continues to in nity, if ( g ) is non-altemating and behaves as ( g ) $\mathrm{g} w$ ith 1 for large g ; if, however, ( g ) g with $>1$, then $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{L})$ is divergent at nite $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0}$ (the realLandau pole arises) and the theory is intemally inconsistent due to indeterm inacy of $g(L)$ for $L<L_{0}$. Landau and Pom eranchuk [2] tried to justify the latter possibility, arguing that Eq. 1 is valid w ithout restrictions; how ever, it is possible only for the strict equality $\quad(g)={ }_{2} g^{2}$, which is surely invalid due to niteness of 3 .

O ne can see that solution of the "zero charge" problem needs calculation of the $G$ ellM ann \{ Low function ( g ) at arbitrary g , and in particular its asym ptotic behavior for $\mathrm{g}!1$. This problem is very di cult and corresponding inform ation has appeared only recently (Sec.4). N evertheless, scienti c comm unity looks rather convinced in triviality of ,${ }^{4}$ theory [4] \{ [30]. Such situation is rather strange, since attem pts to study strong coupling behavior of quantum eld theories are not num erous and their results cannot be considered as com $m$ only accepted.

In fact, two de nitions of triviality were $m$ ixed in the literature. The rst one, introduced by $W$ ilson [4] (Sec 2), is equivalent to positiveness of ( g ) forg g 0 ; it is con m ed by allavailable inform ation and can be considered as m yy established. The second de nition, introduced by $m$ athem atical com m unity [5, 6, 7] (Sec.3), corresponds to the true triviality and is equivalent to intemal inconsistency in the B ogolyubov and Shirkov sense: it requires not only positiveness of ( g ) but also corresponding asym ptotical behavior. Evidence of true triviality is not extensive and allows di erent interpretation (Sec.5,6) : according to recent results (Sec.4) such triviality is absent. These recent results [B1] give new insight to the problem : to obtain nontrivial theory one needs to use the com plex values of the bare charge $g_{0}$, which were never exploited in $m$ athem atical proofs and num erical sim ulations.

In what follow S , we have in m ind the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ \{sym metric ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ theory w th an action

$$
\begin{gather*}
S f^{\prime} g=d^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \prime^{2}+\frac{1}{8} u^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} ;  \tag{4}\\
u=g_{0} ; \quad ; \quad=4 \quad d
\end{gather*}
$$

in d\{dim ensional space.

## 2. Triviality in W ilson's sense

In the theory of critical phenom ena, Eq. 1 has entirely di erent interpretation. In this case, the cut-o and the bare charge $g$ have a direct physical sense and are related


Figure 1: $F$ low of $g$ with increase in $L$ according to the $G$ ell-M ann \{ Low equation : (a) in the case of non-altemating ( g ), evolution ends in the $G$ aussian xed point $g=0$; (b) in the case of altemating ( g ), the dom ain of attraction of the G aussian xed point is restricted by the boundary $g_{f}$. For d $<4$, -function has a negative portion (dashed line in $F$ ig. $1, a$ ).
with a lattice spacing and the coe cient in the e ective Landau Ham iltonian. The "zero charge" situation occurs in this case for m ! 0, i.e. at approaching the phase transition point, and corresponds to the absence of interaction between large-scale uctuations of the order param eter. A coording to $W$ ilson's renorm alization group analysis [32], the ' ${ }^{4}$ theory reduces at large distances to the trivial $G$ aussian $m$ odel for space dim ensionality d 4. Success of $W$ ilson's \{expansion 32, 33, 34] is directly related w ith this triviality: for $d=4$, interaction betwen large-scale uctuations becomes nite but sm all for 1.

In subsequent papers, $W$ ilson set problem m ore deeply: does triviality for $\mathrm{d}=4$ exist only for sm all $g_{0}$, or has the globalcharacter? The answ er depends on the properties of the -function: if ( g ) has no non-trivialroots ( F ig. $1, \mathrm{a}$ ), then e ective interaction tends to zero at large distances for any initial value $g_{0}$. If, how ever, ( g ) is altemating ( F ig. $1, \mathrm{~b}$ ), then
non-trivial lim it $g \mathrm{~m}$ ay occur at large length scales. T he latter possibility is of essential interest for the condensed $m$ atter physics [35]: it $m$ eans existence of phase transitions of the new type, which are not described by W ilson's \{expansion.

U sing logic of proofby contradiction, $W$ ilson assum ed existence of the boundary $g_{f}$ for the dom ain of attraction of the $G$ aussian xed point $g=0$ (which is equivalent to altemating behavior for ( $g$ ) ) and derived the consequences convenient for num erical veri cation. A ccording to his results [4]], there are no indications of existence $g_{f}$. H istorically, it was the rst real attem pt to investigate the strong coupling region for ${ }^{\prime 4}$ theory and the rst evidence of non-altemating character of ( $g$ ).

## 3. T riviality in $m$ athem atical sense.

A nother de nition of trivially was given in the $m$ athem atical papers[馬 [7]. If a eld theory is understood as a lim it of lattice theories, then one can introduce the bare charge $g_{0}$ as a function of interatom ic spacing $a_{0}$. A theory is nontrivial, if for som e choige of dependence $g_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)$ one can take the lim it $a_{0}$ ! 0 and provide nite interaction at large distances; if it is im possible for any choige of $g_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)$, then a theory is trivial. Such de nition corresponds to the true triviality, i.e. principalim possibility to construct continuous theory w ith nite interaction at large L. It is equivalent to intemalinconsistency in the B ogolyubov and Shirkov sense (Sec.1). Indeed, in the latter case a theory does not exist for scales $L<L_{0}$, if a charge $g_{1}$ is nite for $L^{>} \mathrm{m}^{1}$; realization of the lim it $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ ! 0 dem ands to dim inish $L_{0}$ till zero, which is possible only for $g_{1}!0$.

It was rigorously proved in [5] \{ [7] that ${ }^{\prime 4}$ theory is trivial for $d>4$ and nontrivial for $d<4$; using experience of these proofs, som e plausible argum ents were given in favor of triviality for $d=4$. From the physical view point, these results are rather evident. Indeed, ${ }^{4}$ theory is nonrenorm alizable for $d>4$ and the lim it $a_{0}!0$ cannot be taken w thout destroying its structure; in the given de nition of triviality, the structure of ${ }^{\prime 4}$ theory is $m$ aintained arti cially for arbitrary $s m$ all a, and hence the only possibility for it is to "throw o " interaction and transfer to the G aussian theory. N on-triviality of ${ }^{4}$ theory for $d<4$ is related w ith the negative portion of the -fiunction ( $F$ ig. 1 , a, dashed line), forw hidh $g(L)!g$ at large distances and $g(L)!0$ for $L!0$; existence of this negative portion can be dem onstrated analytically for $d=4 \quad$ w th $\quad 1$ and num erically for $d=2$ and $d=3$ [36]. O ne can see, that the results proved in [5] \{ [7] do not require any study of the strong coupling region, and hence no propositions can be made for the case $d=4$, where such investigation is obligatory. In fact, to obtain nontrivial theory for $d=4$, one needs to use the com plex values of $g_{0}$ (Sec.4), which w ere never considered in $m$ athem aticalproofs.


A bove discussion $m$ akes clear the di erence betw een two de nitions of triviality. Trivially in W ilson's sense needs only positiveness of the $\{$ function for $g \in 0$, while the true triviality dem ands in addition its su ciently quidk grow th at large $g$, ( g ) g with
$>1$. This di erence is practically not understood in the literature. Som e authors (see
e.g. [10, 17]) clearly state that the $\lim$ its ! 1 and $m$ ! 0 are equivalent. Indeed, the form al solution of Eq. 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}^{m} \frac{d g}{(g)}=\ln \frac{2}{m^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is determ ined only by the ratio $=m$; how ever, its physical consequences depend on setting the problem. If and $g$ are xed, then for positive ( $g$ ) we always have $g!0$ for $m!0$. If $m$ and $g_{m}$ are $x e d$, then the possibility $g!1,!1$ is realized only for 1, while in the opposite case the lim it ! 1 is im possible at all.

$$
\text { 4. A vailable in form ation on the -function for } d=4
$$

Inform ation on the -fiuction in ${ }^{4}$ theory can be obtained using the fact that the rst four coe cients v in Eq. 3 are known from diagram $m$ atic calculations [37, 38], while their large order behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{as}}=\mathrm{ca}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad(\mathbb{N}+\mathrm{b}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be established by the Lipatov $m$ ethod [39, 40]. Sm ooth interpolation of the coe cient function and the proper sum $m$ ation of the perturbation series allow $s$ in principle to obtain
(g) for all $g$. The general appearance of the -function in the four-dim ensional ${ }^{4}$ theory, obtained in [41], is show $n$ in $F$ ig 2, as well as the results of som e other authors [42]-[44]. There is no doubt that ( g ) is positive and hence triviality in W ilson's sense does exist. $T$ here are also grounds to expect $m$ anifestations of true triviality. Indeed, F ig 2 corresponds to the "natural" norm alization of charge, when param eter a in the Lipatov asym ptotics (6) is equal to unity, while the interaction term in the action (4) is written as ( $16^{2}=4$ !) $g^{\prime 4}$. In this case, the nearest singularity in the Borel plane lies at the unit distance from the origin, and ( g ) is expected to change on the scale of the order of unity. It is $m$ ore or less so (Fig 2), but the one-loop behavior appears to be som ew hat dragged-out: approxim ately quadratic dependence continues tillg 10. For the conventional nom alization of charge, when the interaction term is written as $g^{\prime 4}=8$ or $g^{\prime 4}=4$ !, the boundary between "weak coupling" and "strong coupling" regions lies at $g$ 103 instead of $g 1 . M$ ore than that, convexity dow nwards takes place for the -function till $g 100$ 41] (in the "natural" norm alization) and behavior of any quantities is indistinguishable from "trivial" in the w ide range of param eters. $N$ evertheless, according to [41] the asym ptotics of ( g ) in fourdim ensional ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ theory has a form $\quad 19$ with 1 and the true triviality $m$ ay be absent. This point was ultim ately clari ed in the paper [1].

Recent results for 2D and 3D ${ }^{4}$ theory [45, [46] also correspond to 1. The natural hypothesis arises, that (g) has the linear asym ptotics for an arbitrary space dim ension $d$. A nalysis of zero-dim ensional theory con ms asym ptotical behavior (g) $g$ and reveals its origin. It is related w ith unexpected circum stance that the strong coupling lim it for the renorm alized charge $g$ is determ ined not by large values of the bare charge $g_{0}$, but by its


Figure 2: G eneral appearance of the GellM ann \{ Low function in four-dim ensional ${ }^{4}$ theory according to [41] (solid line) and the results of other authors (dashed lines from top to bottom correspond to papers [42], [43], [44]).
com plex values ${ }^{1}$. M ore than that, it is su cient to consider the region $\operatorname{g}_{0} j \quad 1$, where the functional integrals can be evaluated in the saddle-point approxim ation. If a proper direction in the com plex $g_{0}$ plane is chosen, the saddle-point contribution of the trivial vacuum is com parable w ith the saddle-point contribution of the m ain instanton, and a functional integral can tum to zero. The lim it $g!1$ is related with a zero of a certain functional integral and appears to be com pletely controllable. A s a result, it is possible to obtain asym ptotic behavior of the -fiunction and anom alous dim ensions: the form er indeed appears to be linear [31]. A sym ptotics (g) $g$ in com bination with non-altemating behavior of ( $g$ ) corresponds to the second possibility in the B ogolyubov-Shirkov classi cation: $g$ ( L ) is nite for large L but unboundedly grow s at L! 0. H encforth, the true triviality of ${ }^{\prime 4}$ theory is absent [31].

## 5. N um erical results.

E xisting num erical results can be divided into several groups.
(a) Decreasing of $g(L)$ with the grow th of $L$. Decreasing of e ective interaction $g(L)$ was obtained in $m$ any papers (see e.g. [8] [10]) and indicates only that ( g ) is positive. The detailed analysis of this decreasing can give essential inform ation on the -function, but in fact such analysis was never perform ed.
(b) RG in the real space. This kind of research is an approxim ate realization of the $K$ adano scaling transform ation B3] in the spirit ofearly papers by $W$ ilson. The system is divided into nite blocks, which are com bined thereafter into larger blocks. The blocks are characterized by a nite num ber of param eters, whose evolution is analyzed. The papers of this direction are characterized by high quality [11, [12], but they only dem onstrate evolution of the system to the $G$ aussian xed point and con $m$ the initial analysis by $W$ ilson.
(c) Logarithm ic corrections to scaling. Phase transitions for $\mathrm{d}>4$ are described by the $m$ ean eld theory, while for $d=4$ the corresponding power-law dependence acquire logarithm ic corrections [47, 34]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M /\left(\quad j ^ { = 2 } \left[n(\quad)^{3=(n+8)} ;\right.\right. \\
& 1 / j j[n j j]^{(n+2)=(n+8)} ;  \tag{7}\\
& H / M^{3}=j \ln M j ; \quad=0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

etc, where M,H , are magnetization, magnetic eld, susceptibility and the distance to the critical point in tem perature, respectively. Existence of logarithm ic corrections is

[^0]beyond any doubt and their num erical veri cation [13]\{ [20] is either (for $g_{0} \quad 1$ ) con $r$ $m$ ation of the leading logarithm ic approxim ation [47], or (for $g_{0}>1$ ) con $m$ ation of the W ilson picture of critical phenom ena. N evertheless, the m ajority of authors directly relate their results to triviality of ${ }^{4}$ theory.
(d) Extension of Eq. 1 to the region of large $g_{0}$. D ependence of the renorm alized charge against the bare one for $x \in d=m$, studied in the papers [1]]\{ [24], looks as the only evidence of true triviality of ${ }^{4}$ theory. The typical results of such kind [21] are presented at $F$ ig. 3 and indicate that dependence $g_{0}$ on $L$ contains the Landau pole $\mathbb{N}$ is proportional to $=\mathrm{m}$ ).

M ore close inspection reveals the typicalm isunderstanding related with norm alization of charge. The authors of [21] were evidently sure that values $g_{0} \quad 400$ lie in the deep of the strong coupling region. In fact, all results for nite $g$ correspond to the parabolic portion of the -function (Sec.4) and do not reveal essential deviations from Eq. 1 (see a direct com parison in [22]). Only the points for $g_{0}=1$, obtained by reduction to the Ising m odel, look nontrivial. H ow ever, in the course of such reduction, the em pirical dependence $\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}=$ constg (in fact, corresponding to the one-loop law ) was extrapolated to the region of large $g_{0}$. Such extrapolation is absolutely ungrounded and the results for $g_{0}=1$ are not reliable, whereas w thout them no serious conclusions can bem ade from Fig.3. D ependence $g$ on $g_{0}$, analogous to that in $F$ ig.3, can be obtained also from high tem perature series [24] and the lattice strong coupling expansions [23]; how ever, these approaches also use doubtful extrapolations based on the speci c reduction to the Ising $m$ odel.

In our opinion, the serious researches of such kind should rst of all reveal reliable deviations from Eq.1, related w th non-quadratic form of the -fiunction. A nalysis of such deviations is the only possibility to obtain inform ation on behavior of $(g)$ in the strong coupling region.

The recent developm ents [31] give new insight on the results under discussion. Unbounded grow th of $g(L)$ for $L$ ! 0 requires the use of the complex values of the bare charge, in order to form ulate the nontrivial continuum theory. Such possibility was not exploited in the papers [21]\{ [24], and their results (like Fig.3) do not prove anything, even if they are taken for granted.
(e) $P$ apers of the recent period. In recent years, the aspects related w th triviality are intensively discussed in the series of papers by A gody, C on soliet al [25] [ [27]. These authors suggested the nontrivial character of the continuum lim it of ${ }^{4}$ theory, which constructively corresponds to rejection of the standard perturbation expansions.

The idea is illustrated by exam ple ofnon-idealB ose gas w ith the B ogolyubov spectrum ( (k) $k$ for small $k$ and (k) ${ }^{2}$ kfor $k!1$ ). The "continuum lim it" of this model can be reached by dim inishing two characteristic scales of the problem, i.e. the scattering length and the inter-particle distance. Supporting di erent relationship betw een two scales, one can either restore the quadratic spectrum of the ideal gas ("entirely trivial theory"), or obtain the strictly linear spectrum of noninteracting phonons ("trivial theory w ith nontrivial vacuum "). The latter scenario is suggested for the continuum lim it of the ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ theory,

$F$ igure 3: The renorm alized charge $g_{R}(0)$ (estim ated for zero $m$ om enta) against the bare charge $g_{0}$ (corresponding to interatom ic spacing $a_{0}$ ) in four-dim ensional' ${ }^{4}$ theory for xed values of $N a_{0}$ and $m$ but di erent num ber $N^{4}$ of lattige sites (according to [21]).
in order to reconcile spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking $w$ ith triviality.
Even if possibility of the latter scenario is accepted, the question rem ains, why such scenario should be realized physically. For exam ple, in the case of the B ose gas of neutral atom $s$, there is no real possibility to change sim ultaneously both the gas density and the scattering length. The situation suitable for the authors of [25]\{ [27] occurs in the case of a special long-range interaction, whereby a change in the density a ects the "D ebye screening radius". H ow ever, this scenario is not arbitrary and can be predicted from the in itial H am iltonian.

A coording to [25] \{ [27], the assum ption on the nontrivialcharacter ofthe continuum lim it is con m ed by num ericalm odelling on the lattioe. H ow ever, this conclusion is based not on a direct "experim ental evidence", but only on its particular interpretation. N um erical experim ents were perform ed deep in the region of the one-loop law and could not contain any inform ation on triviality. The results, whatever unusual they m ight seem, m ust by explained w ithin the fram ew ork of a weak coupling theory.

## 6. T heoretical results

(a) A rgum ents by Landau and P om eranchuk. Landau and Pom eranchuk [2] have notioed that the grow th of $g_{0}$ in Eq. 1 drives the observable charge $g$ to the constant lim $\mathbb{I}$ $1=(2 \mathrm{ln}=\mathrm{m})$, which does not depend on g . T he sam e behavior can be obtained $m$ aking the change of variables ' ! ${ }^{\prime} \sim g_{0}{ }^{1=4}$ in the functional integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1::: ~ M}^{M}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{M}\right)=D^{\prime} r_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{2}\left(x_{2}\right):::^{\prime}{ }_{M}\left(x_{M}\right) \exp \left(S f^{\prime} g\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

determ ining the $M$ ppoint $G$ reen functions $G^{(M)}=I^{(M)}=I^{(0)}$, and om itting the quadratic in ' term $s$ in the action (4); then $G^{(M)}$ transfers to $G^{(M)} g_{0}{ }^{M=4}$. Introducing am putated vertex ${ }^{(0 ; 4)}$ by equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{(4)}=\mathrm{G}^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^{(2)}+\mathrm{G}^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^{(2)}+\mathrm{G}^{(2)} \mathrm{G}^{(2)} \quad \mathrm{G}^{(2)} \mathrm{O}^{(2)}{ }_{0} \mathrm{G}^{(2)}{ }_{0} \mathrm{G}{ }^{(2)}{ }_{0}^{(0 ; 4)}{ }_{0}^{(0)} 00 ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can see that such a change gives $G{ }^{(4)}=\left[G^{(2)}\right]^{2}=$ const $\left(g_{0}\right), \quad{ }^{(0 ; 4)}\left[G^{(2)}\right]^{2} / \quad{ }^{(0 ; 4)} Z^{2} /$ ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{(0 ; 4)}=\mathrm{g}=$ const $\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Z}^{1=2}$ is the renom alization factor of eld ${ }^{\prime}$ and notations of [34, 31] are used. If neglecting of quadratic in ' tem $s$ is valid already for $g_{0} 1$, it is all the $m$ ore valid for $g_{0}>1$ : it gives a reason to consider Eq. 1 to be valid for arbitrary $g_{0}$.

These considerations $m$ ay appear to be qualitatively correct ${ }^{2}$ for the real values of $g_{0}$, which were suggested in them. A coording to [31], variation of $g_{0}$ along the real axis corresponds to the change of $g$ from zero till nite value $g$ ax . The qualitative validity of

[^1]Eq. 1 for arbitrary $g_{0}$ requires that $g_{m}$ ax 0 for ! 1 ; the $M$ onte $C$ arlo results discussed above ( $F$ ig.3) indicate exactly such possibility. To construct nontrivial theory, one needs com plex $g_{0} w$ ith $\dot{j}_{0} j<1$ (Sec.4): in this case one cannot use nor discussed transform ation of functionalintegral (justi ed for $\overline{j g} j 11$ ), nor the form ula (1). The latter is related w ith the fact that perturbation theory cannot be used even for $\dot{g}_{0} j \quad 1$, if the region is studied where instanton contribution is essential.
(b) Sum m ation of perturbation series. The rst attem pts to reconstruct the G ell-M ann \{ Low function by sum $m$ ing the perturbation series [42] [44] led to the asym ptotics 19 with $>1$, show ing intemal inconsistency (or true triviality) of ${ }^{4}$ theory ( $F$ ig.2): it was one of the strongest argum ents for the corresponding tim e period. T he di erent sum $m$ ation result of the paper [41] at least shows that triviality cannot be reliably established from such researches ${ }^{3}$. On the other hand, all results show positiveness of ( $g$ ) and con m triviality in $W$ ilson's sense.
(c) P apers of the synthetic character. T he series of papers [28] is extensively cited as a system atic justi cation of triviality of ${ }^{\prime 4}$ theory. These papers attem $p t$ to $m$ ake som $e$ kind of a synthesis of all available inform ation, but contain nothing new from view point of advancem ent to the strong coupling region. C onclusions made in [28] are rather natural, since all easily accessible inform ation inevitably indicates triviality due to speci cfeatures of -function discussed in Sec.4.
(d) Theories with interaction ${ }^{\prime}$ p. C ertain understanding of properties of ${ }^{4}$ theory can be obtained by studing theories $w$ ith $m$ ore general interaction $r$. Consideration of the case $p=2+w$ ith expansion in param eter gives, in the authors' opinion [49], the serious argum ents in favor of triviality. On the other hand, exact calculation of the fiunction in the $\lim$ it $p!1$ [48] gives asym ptotic behavior ( $g$ ) $g(\ln g)$, proving non-triviality of theory. T he latter result looks $m$ ore reliable since it is not restricted by the real values of the bare charge, which were im plicitly im plied in [29].
(e) Lim it n ! 1 . The ${ }^{4}$ theory is considered to be exactly solvable in the lim it $n!1$ [33, 30]. Its -function appears to be e ectively of the one-loop form and leads to results like Eq.1, corresponding to asym ptotics (g) $g^{2}$. This fact is considered as evidence of triviality, even in the respectfulpapers [30].

In fact, coe cients of the -function are polynom ials in $n$ and have the follow ing structure for $d=4 \quad$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g)=\quad g+2(n+a) g^{2}+3(n+b) g^{3}+{ }_{4}\left(n^{2}+c n+d\right) g^{4}+::: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 ; 3 ;a;::: 1.The change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{g}{n} ; \quad(g)=\frac{\sim(g)}{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]gives
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(g)=\quad g+{ }_{2} g^{2}+\frac{1}{n} f_{1}(g)+\frac{1}{n^{2}} f_{2}(g)+::: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and only two rst tem s rem ain in the $n!1$ lim it. This conchion is valid for $9 \quad 1$ or $g \quad 1=n$, which is su cient for investigation of the vicinity of the xed point and determ ination of the critical exponents [33]. H ow ever, such procedure does not give any inform ation on the region $g \quad 1$, not to $m$ ention $g \quad 1$. Henceforth, no statem ents on trivially of ${ }^{4}$ theory can be $m$ ade.

In conclusion, we have discussed the questions related $w$ ith expected triviality of fourdim ensional' ${ }^{4}$ theory in the continuum lim it. Triviality in $W$ ilson's sense is con $m$ ed by all available inform ation and can be considered as $m$ ly established. Indications of true triviality are not num erous and allow di erent interpretation. A coording to the recent results, such triviality is surely absent.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ O ne can be anxious that the com plex values of the bare charge spoils unitarity of theory, but this problem is easily solvable. O ne can begin w th the realbare charge and prove unitarity of renorm alized theory in the usual manner; it de nes theory only for $0 \quad \mathrm{~g} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{gax}_{\mathrm{ax}}$, where $g_{m}$ ax is nite. For values $g_{m}$ ax $<g<1$, the theory is de ned by analytic continuation, which conserves unitarity. In the latter case the bare charge becom es com plex but it does not a ect any observable quantities.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Their validity on the quantitative level is excluded by non-quadratic form of the -fiunction. In fact, the result $g=$ const ( $g_{0}$ ) can be obtained by the change of variables in the functional integral only for $g_{0} \quad 1$, while its validity for $g_{0} \quad 1$, based on Eq.1, $m$ ay be related w ith other reasons; for $g_{0} \quad 1$ this result is probably violated but coincidence oftw o constant values in the order ofm agnitude can be expected from the $m$ atching condition.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The results of [42, 43] have the ob jective character and originate from protracted one-loop behavior of ( g ) (Sec.4). They are reproduced in [41] as an interm ediate asym ptotics and can be explained by the characteristic dip in the coe cient function. Variational perturbation theory [44] gives results close to [41] in the region $\mathrm{g}<10$, but does not allow to obtain the correct asym ptotic behavior even theoretically.

