

Proc. 24th Winter Workshop on
Nuclear Dynamics (2008) 000{000

24th Winter Workshop
on Nuclear Dynamics
South Padre, Texas,
U S A
April 5{12, 2008

A fully integrated Boltzmann+hydrodynamics approach: Multiplicities, transverse dynamics and HBT

Hannah Petersen^{1,2}, Jan Steinheimer², Qingfeng Li¹, Gerhard
Burau² and Marcus Bleicher²

¹ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS),
Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, D -60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

² Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe-Universität,
Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, D -60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Abstract. We present a coupled Boltzmann and hydrodynamics approach to relativistic heavy ion reactions. This hybrid approach is based on the Ultra-relativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics (UrQMD) transport approach with an intermediate hydrodynamical evolution for the hot and dense stage of the collision. This implementation allows to compare microscopic transport calculations with hydrodynamic calculations using exactly the same initial conditions and freeze-out procedure. Here, the results of the different calculations for particle multiplicities, the mean transverse mass excitation function and the HBT radii at all SPS energies are discussed in the context of the available data.

Keywords: Relativistic Heavy-ion collisions, Monte Carlo simulations, Hydrodynamic models, Particle correlations and fluctuations

PACS: 25.75.-q, 24.10.Lx, 24.10.Nz, 25.75.Gz

One of the main motivations to study high energy heavy ion collisions is the creation of a new deconfined phase of strongly interacting matter, the so called Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) [1]. Since the direct detection of free quarks and gluons is impossible due to the confining nature of QCD, it is important to model the dynamical evolution of heavy ion reactions to draw conclusions from the final state particle distributions about the interesting early stage of the reaction. To get a more consistent picture of the whole dynamics of heavy ion reactions various so called microscopic plus macroscopic (micro+macro) hybrid approaches have been launched during the last decade [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

In this paper we briefly describe the specific micro+macro hybrid approach that embeds a hydrodynamic phase in the UrQMD approach. This allows to reduce the parameters for the initial conditions and the freeze-out prescription. At present calculations in posing a hadron gas EOS are shown to provide a baseline to disentangle

the effects of the different assumptions for the underlying dynamics in a transport vs. hydrodynamic calculation. We show results for particle multiplicity, HBT radii and m_T excitation functions in the context of the available data at FAIR/SPS energies.

The Ultra-relativistic Quantum Molecular Dynamics Model (UrQMD) is used to calculate the initial state of a heavy ion collision for the hydrodynamic evolution [9, 10, 11]. This has been done to account for the non-equilibrium dynamics in the very early stage of the collision. In this configuration the effect of event-by-event fluctuations of the initial state are naturally included. The coupling between the UrQMD initial state and the hydrodynamic evolution proceeds when the two Lorentz-contracted nuclei have passed through each other.




Fig. 1. (Color online) Excitation function of particle multiplicities (4) in Au+Au/Pb+Pb collisions from $E_{\text{lab}} = 2A \text{ GeV}$ to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. UrQMD+Hydro (HG) calculations are depicted with full lines, while UrQMD-2.3 calculations are depicted with dotted lines. The corresponding data from different experiments [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] are depicted with symbols.

After the UrQMD initial stage, a full (3+1) dimensional hydrodynamic evolution is performed using the SHASTA algorithm [12, 13]. For the results presented here an equation of state for a free hadron gas without any phase transition is used [14].

The hydrodynamic evolution is stopped, if the energy density drops below five times the ground state energy density (i.e. $\approx 730 \text{ MeV/fm}^3$) in all cells. This criterion corresponds to a $T = T_B$ configuration where the phase transition is expected – approximately $T = 170 \text{ MeV}$ at $T_B = 0$. The hydrodynamic fields are mapped to particle degrees of freedom via the Cooper-Frye equation on an isochronous hypersurface. The particle vector information is then transferred back to the UrQMD model, where rescatterings and the final decays are performed using the hadronic cascade. A more detailed description of the hybrid model including parameter tests and results for multiplicities and spectra can be found in [15].

In the following results from the UrQMD+Hydro (HG) model are compared to the default transport calculation (UrQMD-2.3) and to the experimental data. Note that parameters are constant for different energies and/or centralities in the hybrid model calculation.




Fig. 2. (Color online) Mean transverse mass excitation function of pions at midrapidity ($|y| < 0.5$) for central ($b < 3.4 \text{ fm}$) Au+Au/Pb+Pb collisions from $E_{lab} = 2 - 160 \text{ A GeV}$. UrQMD+Hydro (HG) calculations are depicted with full lines, while UrQMD-2.3 calculations are depicted with dotted lines. The corresponding data from different experiments [28, 19, 20] are depicted with symbols.

Fig. 1 shows the excitation functions of the total multiplicities for central Au+Au/Pb+Pb collisions for $E_{lab} = 2 \text{ A GeV}$ to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$. Compared to the default simulation, the pion and proton multiplicities are decreased over the whole energy range in the hybrid model calculation due to the conservation of entropy in the ideal hydrodynamic evolution. The non-equilibrium transport

calculation produces entropy and therefore the yields of pions are higher. The production of strange particles however, is enhanced due to the establishment of full local equilibrium in the hybrid calculation. Since the yield of strange particles is small they survive the interactions in the UrQMD evolution that follows the hydrodynamic freeze-out almost without re-thermalization.

Next, we turn to the excitation function for the mean transverse mass of pions. The UrQMD approach shows a softening of the equation of state in the region where the phase transition is expected because of excited resonances and non-equilibrium dynamics while the hydrodynamic calculation with hadron gas EoS just rises as a function of the energy.




Fig. 3. (Color online) k_T dependence of the Pratt radii of the pion source in central Pb+Pb collisions at $E_{\text{lab}} = 20, 30, 40, 80$, and 158 A GeV and mid-rapidity $0 < Y < 0.5$. Preliminary NA49 data are taken from [30].

Finally we show HBT results. It is well known that the Hanbury-Brown-Twiss interferometry (HBT) technique can provide important information about the spatio-temporal structure of the particle emission source (the region of homogeneity) [29]. The HBT radii can be extracted by fitting the correlator of the particle pair with a Gaussian form. Using the Pratt's three-dimensional convention (the LCM S system), the parametrization of the correlation function reads $C(q_L; q_O; q_S) = 1 + e^{-R_L^2 q_L^2 - R_O^2 q_O^2 - R_S^2 q_S^2 - 2R_{OL} q_O q_L}$ where is normally referred to as an incoherence factor, but we can regard it as a free parameter since it might be affected by many other factors. R_L, R_O , and R_S are the Pratt radii in longitudinal, outward, and sideward directions, while the cross-term R_{OL} plays a role at large

rapidities. q_i is the pair relative momentum $q = p_1 - p_2$ in the i direction.

Fig. 3 shows the transverse momentum k_T dependence ($k_T = (p_{1T} + p_{2T})/2$) of the HBT-radii R_L (top plots), R_O (middle plots), and R_S (bottom plots) of the negatively charged pion source in Pb+Pb reactions at beam energies $E_{lab} = 20, 30, 40, 80$, and $158A$ GeV. The calculations (lines with symbols) are compared with preliminary NA49 data (stars) [30] ($< 7.2\%$ of the total cross section σ_T). The pair-rapidity $0 < Y_\pi < 0.5$ is chosen for all reactions.

One observes that the calculations in the UrQMD cascade mode can reproduce the k_T -dependence of HBT radii R_L and R_S fairly well. Only at small k_T values, the calculated R_L and R_S values are up to 25% lower than data [31]. For the R_O values, the calculations reach higher values than the experimental data especially at relatively large k_T . The HBT R_L radii from the hybrid model are seen to be larger than data and those from cascade UrQMD calculations. Interestingly, however is the fact that the stronger expansion leads to a better description of the R_S radii, improving the R_O/R_S ratios. Certainly, the EoS used in hydro-process in the hybrid model will also strongly influence the final HBT radii and will be investigated in a forthcoming paper.




Fig. 4. (Color online) Beam-energy dependence of the ratio R_O/R_S at $100 < k_T < 200$ MeV/c for central Pb+Pb collisions at SPS energies.

Fig. 4 illustrates the beam-energy dependence of the ratio R_O/R_S of the pion source at $100 < k_T < 200$ MeV/c for central Pb+Pb collisions at SPS energies. In the cascade mode, the calculated ratio is higher than the experimental data, while with the embedded hydrodynamic evolution the ratio is driven down towards the experimental data. With increasing beam energy, the difference of the R_O/R_S ratio between the default transport and the hybrid model calculation becomes larger.

We have presented the main ideas of an integrated Boltzmann and hydrodynamics approach to relativistic heavy ion reactions. The final pion and proton

multiplicities are lower in the hybrid model calculation due to the isentropic hydrodynamic expansion while the yields for strange particles are enhanced due to the local equilibrium in the hydrodynamic evolution. The results of the different calculations for the mean transverse mass excitation function and the HBT radii at all FAIR/SPS energies are sensitive to the underlying dynamics and reflect the stronger transverse expansion in the hybrid model.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Center for the Scientific Computing (CSC) at Frankfurt for the computing resources. The authors thank Dirk Rischke for providing the fluid hydrodynamics code. H. Petersen gratefully acknowledges financial support by the Deutsche Telekom-Stiftung and support from the Helmholtz Research School on Quark Matter Studies. This work was supported by GSI and BM BF.

References

1. S. A. Bass, M. Gyulassy, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, J. Phys. G 25, R1 (1999)
2. A. Dumitru et al., Phys. Lett. B 460, 411 (1999)
3. S. A. Bass et al., Phys. Rev. C 60, 021902 (1999)
4. S. A. Bass and A. Dumitru, Phys. Rev. C 61, 064909 (2000)
5. S. So, S. A. Bass and A. Dumitru, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3981 (2001)
6. S. So et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 072301 (2002)
7. C. Nonaka and S. A. Bass, Phys. Rev. C 75, 014902 (2007)
8. R. Andrade et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 202302 (2006)
9. J. Steinheimer et al., Phys. Rev. C 77, 034901 (2008)
10. S. A. Bass et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41, 255 (1998) [Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41, 225 (1998)]
11. M. Bleicher et al., J. Phys. G 25, 1859 (1999)
12. D. H. Rischke, S. Bernard and J. A. M. Amuhn, Nucl. Phys. A 595, 346 (1995)
13. D. H. Rischke, Y. P. Purnun and J. A. M. Amuhn, Nucl. Phys. A 595, 383 (1995)
14. D. Zschesche et al., Phys. Lett. B 547, 7 (2002)
15. H. Petersen, J. Steinheimer, G. Burau, M. Bleicher and H. Stoecker, arXiv:0806.1695 [nucl-th].
16. J. L. Klay et al. [E-895 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 68, 054905 (2003)
17. C. Pinkenburg et al. [E-895 Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. A 698, 495 (2002)
18. P. Chung et al. [E-895 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 202301 (2003)
19. C. Alt et al. [NA 49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 77, 024903 (2008)
20. S. V. Afanasiev et al. [The NA 49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C 66, 054902 (2002)
21. T. Anticic et al. [NA 49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 022302 (2004)
22. A. Richard [NA 49 Collaboration], J. Phys. G 31, S155 (2005).
23. M. K. M. Itrovskiet al. [NA 49 Collaboration], J. Phys. G 32, S43 (2006)

24. C. Alt et al. [NA49 Collaboration], arXiv:0804.3770 [nucl-ex].
25. C. Blume [NA49 Collaboration], J. Phys. G 31, S685 (2005)
26. S. V. Afanasiev et al. [NA49 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 538, 275 (2002)
27. C. Alt et al. [NA49 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 192301 (2005)
28. L. Ahle et al. [E866 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 476, 1 (2000)
29. D. H. Rischke and M. Gyulassy, Nucl. Phys. A 608, 479 (1996)
30. S. Kniege et al. [NA49 Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. 828, 473 (2006)
31. Q. Li, M. Bleicher and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B 659, 525 (2008)