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ABSTRACT. We give a two step method to study certain questions regarding associated graded module of a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) module $M$ with respect to an $m$-primary ideal $a$ in a complete Noetherian local ring $(A, m)$. The first step, we call it Gorenstein approximation, enables us to reduce to the case when both $A, G_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/a^{n+1}$ are Gorenstein and $M$ is a maximal CM $A$-module. The second step consists of analyzing the classical filtration $\{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the dual $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. We give many applications of this point of view. For instance let $(A, m)$ be equicharacteristic & CM. Let $a(G_a(A))$ be the $a$-invariant of $G_a(A)$. We prove:

1. $a(G_a(A)) = - \dim A$ if and only if $a$ is generated by a regular sequence.
2. If $a$ is integrally closed and $a(G_a(A)) = - \dim A + 1$ then $a$ has minimal multiplicity.

We extend to modules a result of Ooishi relating symmetry of $h$-vectors. Finally we prove a conjecture of Itoh, if $a$ is a normal ideal with $e_{a}^{3}(A) = 0$ then $G_a(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
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1. Introduction

Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension \(d\), \(a\) an \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary ideal in \(A\) and let \(M\) be a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) module of dimension \(r\). Set \(G_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/a^{n+1}\); the associated graded ring of \(A\) with respect to \(a\) and let \(G_a(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^nM/a^{n+1}M\) be the associated graded module of \(M\) with respect to \(a\).

In this paper we give a two step method to study certain questions regarding \(G_a(M)\) when \(A\) is complete. Surprisingly this is also useful in the case of \(M = A\). We call the first step Gorenstein approximation. This step enables us to reduce to the case when \(A, G_a(A)\) are Gorenstein and \(M\) is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) \(A\)-module. This reduction surprised a few and attracted some skepticism. Nevertheless it is true. The second step consists in studying the filtration \(\{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) of the dual \(\text{Hom}_A(M, A)\). This filtration is classical cf. [28, p. 12]. However it has not been used before in the study of blow-up algebra’s (modules) of Cohen-Macaulay rings (modules).

We begin by a general definition of our notion of approximation. Let \(P\) be a property of Noetherian rings. For instance \(P = \text{regular, complete intersection (CI), Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulay etc.}\)

**Definition 1.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Noetherian local ring and let \(a\) be a proper ideal in \(A\). We say \(A\) admits a \(P\)-approximation with respect to \(a\) if there exists a local ring \((B, \mathfrak{n}), \text{ an ideal } b \text{ of } B \text{ and } \psi : B \to A, \text{ a local ring homomorphism with } \psi(b)A = a \text{ such that the following three properties hold:}

1. \(A\) is a finitely generated \(B\) module (via \(\psi\)).
2. \(\dim A = \dim B\).
3. \(B\) and \(G_b(B)\) have property \(P\).

If the above conditions hold we say \([B, \mathfrak{n}, b, \psi]\) is a \(P\)-approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, a]\).

**Remark 2.**

- Regular approximations seems to be rare while Cohen-Macaulay approximations don’t seem to have many applications.
- For applications we will often insist that \(\psi, b\) satisfy some additional properties.

When \(A\) is complete we prove the following general result(see [11,3]). Let \(s(a)\) denote the analytic spread of \(a\), see [2,8]

**Theorem 3.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a complete Noetherian local ring and let \(a\) be a proper in \(A\) such that \(s(a) + \dim A/a = \dim A\). Then \(A\) admits a CI-approximation with respect to \(a\).

Notice the hypothesis of Theorem 3 is satisfied if \(a\) is \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary. Another significant case when Theorem 3 holds is when \(A\) is equidimensional and \(a\) is equimultiple; see [6, 2.6]. For definition of an equimultiple ideal see [2,8].

**Remark 4.**

- All the examples of rings and ideals in this paper have CI (and so Gorenstein) approximation. However I have only used the Gorenstein property of \(B, G_b(B)\) for applications. I have been unable to use the fact that \(B, G_b(B)\) are CI and not just Gorenstein.
- However the author believes that in the case of ideals having non-zero analytic deviation (see [2,8]), it may not be possible to get CI-approximation. We might have to settle for only Gorenstein approximation.

The proof of Theorem 4 is fairly involved. The entire section 11 is devoted for a proof of it. The following two special cases are easy to prove.
(1) Let \((A, m)\) be a quotient of a regular local ring. Then \([A, m, m]\) admits a CI approximation \([B, n, n, \phi]\) with \(\phi\)-onto. (see 3.3).

(2) Let \((A, m)\) be a complete equicharacteristic local ring and let \(a\) be an \(m\)-primary ideal. Then \([A, m, a]\) admits a CI approximation \([B, n, b, \phi]\) with \(b = n\) and \(B/n \cong A/m\) and \(\mu(n) = \mu(a)\). (see 3.4).

Before proceeding further we need some notation. Set \(a^n = A\) for \(n \leq 0\). Let \(\mathcal{R}_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{nt} n\) be the \(\text{extended Rees-algebra}\) of \(A\) with respect to \(a\). We consider \(\mathcal{R}_a(A)\) as a subring of \(A[t, t^{-1}]\). Set \(\mathcal{R}(a, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n M t^n\) Clearly \(\mathcal{R}(a, M)\) is a finitely generated \(\mathcal{R}_a(A)\)-module respectively.

**Definition 5.** By the dual filtration of \(M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)\) with respect to \(a\) we mean the filtration \(\{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\).

We study the dual filtration in detail when \((A, m)\) is Gorenstein, \(a\) is \(m\)-primary, \(M\) is MCM and \(G_a(A)\) is Gorenstein. Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be the dual filtration of \(M^*\) with respect to \(a\). We prove that if \(G_a(M)\) is CM then

\[G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \cong \ast \text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A));\] see 4.8

Even when \(G_a(M)\) is not Cohen-Macaulay we find the following relation: Set \(\text{red}_a(A) = \text{reduction number of } A\) with respect to \(a\) (see 2.10), \(a(G_a(M)) = \alpha_a(M) = \text{min}\{n \mid G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)_n \neq 0\}\).

We prove

\[a(G_a(M)) \geq \text{red}_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - \dim A\] (see 6.6).

**Applications**

1. \(\alpha\)-invariant of associated graded rings of \(m\)-primary ideals

Let \(a\) be an \(m\)-primary ideal in a Noetherian local ring \((A, m)\). It is easy to see that \(a(G_a(A)) \geq -\dim A\). We analyze the case when equality holds when \(A\) is Cohen-Macaulay. If \(\dim A = 1\), it follows immediately from a result of T.Marley ** that \(a\) is principal. When \(d = 2\), it follows from Lemma ** and a result of Northcott that \(a\) is also generated by regular sequence of length two.

We cannot use induction to analyze the case when \(a(G_a(A)) = -d\) since if \(x\) is \(A\)-superficial with respect to \(A\) then it does not immediately follow that \(a(G_a/(x)(A/(x))) = -d + 1\).

We prove that if \(A\) is Cohen-Macaulay then

\[a(G_m(A)) = -\dim A\] if and only if \(A\) is regular (see 7.2).

See 7.3 for an example of a non-Cohen-Macaulay ring \(A\) with \(a(G_m(A)) = -\dim A\).

For arbitrary \(m\)-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring we unfortunately have to assume that \(A\) is equicharacteristic. In this case we prove that

\[a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A \iff a\] is generated by a regular sequence (see 7.4).

Next we try to classify ideals such that \(a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A + 1\). When \(A\) is an equicharacteristic Cohen-Macaulay local ring and \(a\) is integrally closed we prove

\[a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A + 1 \iff a\] has minimal multiplicity (see 7.5).

The result above need not hold if \(a\) is not integrally closed (see 7.7). When \(\dim A = 2\) we have been able to characterize \(m\)-primary ideals \(a\) (not necessarily integrally closed) with \(a(G_a(A)) \leq -1\).
II. Symmetric h-vectors.

Let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded algebra over a field. For definition of \( h_R(z) \); the \( h \)-polynomial of \( R \) see \[2.11\]. If \( R \) is Gorenstein then \( h_R(z) \) is symmetric cf. \[2\] 4.4.6]. The converse need not hold even if \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay cf. \[2\] 4.4.7(b)].

A celebrated theorem due to Stanley asserts that if \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( h_R(z) \) is symmetric then \( R \) is Gorenstein \((30)\). If \( R = \mathcal{G}(A) \) the associated graded ring of an \( m \)-primary ideal then Ooishi, \[20\] 1.6], proves that if \( A \) is Gorenstein, \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( h_A(z) \) is symmetric then \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is Gorenstein. We generalize Ooishi’s result as follows:

Let \((A, m)\) be CM with a canonical module \( \omega_A \). Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal and let \( M \) be a CM \( A \)-module. Set \( M^\dagger = \text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A) \), \( r = \text{red}(a, M) \) and \( e(M) = \dim A - \dim M \). Assume \( \mathcal{G}(A) \), \( \mathcal{G}(M) \) and \( \mathcal{G}(M^\dagger) \) are CM. Let \( \Omega_a \) be the canonical module of \( \mathcal{G}(A) \). We prove (see Theorem \[9.4\].) \( h_a(M^\dagger, z) = z^r h(M, z^{-1}) \iff \mathcal{G}(M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}(A)}^e(\mathcal{G}(M), \Omega_a) \) (up to a shift).

The implication \((\iff)\) follows from \[2\] 4.4.5]. The assertion \( \Rightarrow \) is new and generalizes Ooishi’s result.

In section \[10\] we use of a MCM module \( M \) to show that the dual filtration of a MCM module \( M \) with respect to \( m \), over a Gorenstein local ring \( A \) with \( \mathcal{G}(M) \) Gorenstein, is a shift of the usual \( m \)-adic filtration in the following cases:

1. \( M \) is Ulrich (i.e., \( \deg h_M(z) = 0 \); equivalently \( e(M) = \mu(M) \)).
2. \( \text{type}(M) = e(M) - \mu(M) \) and \( M \) has minimal multiplicity.

III. \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) with \( \mu(a) = \dim A + 1 \)

Using Gorenstein approximation of \([A, m, a]\) when \( A \) is complete and the structure of dual filtration of MCM modules over a hypersurface ring we prove (see \[8.17\]):

Suppose \((A, m)\) is an equicharacteristic Gorenstein local ring of dimension \( d \geq 0 \) and \( a \) is an \( m \)-primary ideal of \( A \) with \( \mu(a) = d + 1 \). Then \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is Gorenstein if and only if \( h_a(z) = \ell(A/a)(1 + z + \ldots + z^s) \) for some \( s \geq 1 \).

Here \( \ell(\cdot) \) denotes length. The surprising thing is: \( h_a(z) \) determines that \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is Gorenstein without a priori assuming \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is CM.

IV. Associated graded modules of the canonical module

Let \((A, m)\) be CM local ring with a canonical module \( \omega_A \). Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal such that \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is CM. Let \( \Omega_a \) be the canonical module of \( \mathcal{G}(A) \). A natural question is when is \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) isomorphic to \( \Omega_a \) up to a shift? Set \( r = \text{red}(a, A) \). Ooishi proves that if \( \mathcal{G}(A) \) is CM then \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_m \) (up to a shift) if and only if \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) is CM and \( h(\omega_A, z) = z^r h(A, z^{-1}) \); see \[19\] 3.5].

The hypothesis \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) is CM is difficult to verify. So there is a need to bypass this assumption. We first consider the case when \( \mu(a) = d + 1 \). We prove \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_a^d \) (up to a shift) \iff \( h_a(A, z) = \ell(A/a)(1 + z + \ldots + z^r) \); see \[8.16\].

The assumption \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) is CM holds automatically if \( \dim A = 0 \). Surprisingly the following general result holds: Let \( x, \ldots, x_d \) be a maximal \( A \)-superficial sequence with respect to \( a \). Set \( B = A/(x) \) and \( b = a/(x) \). We prove (see \[10.6\]).

If \( h(\omega_B, z) = z^r h(B, z^{-1}) \) then \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_a \) up to a shift.

This result is quite practical. Using COCOA \[3\] & MACAULAY \[5\], we can determine whether \( \mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_a \) up to a shift. See Example \[10.7\]. Another application of \[10.6\] (see \[10.8\]) is: If \( \text{red}(A) = 2 \) then
Let $(A, m)$ be a Gorenstein Artin local ring, $a$ an ideal in $A$ and let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module. Set $G = G_a(A)$ and $G(M) = G_a(M)$. We prove

$$
\ell_G(G(M)) \leq \ell_G\left( \ast \text{Hom}_G(G(M), G) \right)
$$

This is surprising since $G_a(A)$ need not be Gorenstein.

**VI. Generalization of some results from CM rings to CM modules.**

Let $(A, m)$ be CM local ring of dimension $d$ with infinite residue field and let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal. Let $c$ be a minimal reduction of $a$. Set $\delta = \sum_{n \geq 0} \ell((a^{n+1}/ca^n)/c)$. Then for $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$ one has $\text{depth} G_a(A) \geq d - \delta$. This is due to Valabrega and Valla [32 2.3] (for $\delta = 0$), Guerrieri [7 3.2] (for $\delta = 1$) and Wang [33 2.6.3.1] (for $\delta = 2$).

We extend these results to CM modules. Using Gorenstein approximation we prove by reducing it to the case of rings, see [5,1]

**VII. Relation between $e^a_i(M), \omega^a_i(M)$ and $a(G_a(M))$.**

Let $M$ be a CM $A$ module of dimension $= 2$. Let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal. For $i \geq 0$ let $e^a_i(M)$ be the Hilbert-coefficients of $M$ with respect to $a$. It can be easily proved that

$$
(1.0.1) \quad e^a_i(M) \leq e^a_0(A)(a(G_a(A)) + 2)
$$

It is natural to investigate when equality holds occurs in (1.0.1). We prove that equality holds in (1.0.1) if and only if $G_a(M)$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and $H^2(G_a(A))^\vee$ is generalized Ulrich $G_a(A)$-module.

**VIII.** It is well-known that the multiplicity of a Noetherian local ring $A$ is positive. When $A$ is Cohen-Macaulay, Northcott [15] proved that $e^a_1(A) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $a$ is generated by a regular sequence. Narita showed that $e^a_2(A) \geq 0$, see [17]. Furthermore he gave an example which showed that $e^a_3(A)$ can be negative. Recall an ideal $a$ is said to be normal if $a^n$ is integrally closed for all $n \geq 1$. Itoh conjectured that if $a$ is normal then $e^a_3(A) \geq 0$. Furthermore he conjectured that if $e^a_3(A) = 0$ (and $a$ is normal) then $G_a(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. A major consequence of our theory of Gorenstein approximation, Dual filtration is a proof of Itoh’s conjecture.

Here is an overview of the contents of this paper. In section two we introduce notation and discuss a few preliminary facts that we need. In section 3 we prove the result on Gorenstein approximations when $a = m$ and $A$ is a quotient of a regular local ring. We also prove it when $A$ is complete, $a$ is $m$-primary and contains a field. In section 4 we introduce dual filtrations. Furthermore application V is proved in this section. For applications VI and VII see section 5. In section six we discuss on the initial degree of the dual filtration. We also relate it to the $a$-invariant of $G_a(M)$. For application I see section 7. Application III is discussed in section 8. In section 9 we deal with symmetric $h$-vectors and prove generalization of Ooishi’s result (i.e., application II). In section 10 we use application II to get application IV. In section 11 we prove our result on Gorenstein approximation of equimultiple ideals. In section twelve we discuss behaviour of dual filtrations with respect to superficial elements. In section thirteen we discuss a few preliminaries.
that are needed to prove Itoh’s theorem. Finally in section fourteen we prove Itoh’s theorem.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

In this paper all rings are commutative Noetherian and all modules are assumed to be finitely generated. Recall an \(a\)-filtration \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) on \(M\) is a collection of submodules of \(M\) with the properties

1. \(M_n \supset M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).
2. \(M_n = M\) for all \(n \ll 0\).
3. \(aM_n \subseteq M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

If \(aM_n = M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \gg 0\) then we say \(\mathcal{F}\) is \(a\)-stable. We usually set \(\mathcal{F}_n = M_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

2.1. Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be an \(a\)-filtration on \(M\) and let \(N\) be a submodule of \(M\). By the quotient filtration on \(M/N\) we mean the filtration \(\mathcal{F}/N = \{(M_n + N)/N\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\). If \(\mathcal{F}\) is an \(a\)-stable filtration on \(M\) then \(\mathcal{F}/N\) is an \(a\)-stable filtration on \(M/N\). Usually for us \(N = \mathfrak{x}M\) for some \(\mathfrak{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathfrak{a}\).

2.2. If \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is an \(a\)-stable filtration on \(M\) then set \(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n t^n\) the extended Rees-module of \(M\) with respect to \(\mathcal{F}\). Notice that \(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)\) is a finitely generated graded \(\mathcal{R}(A)\)-module. If \(\mathcal{F}\) is the usual \(a\)-adic filtration then set \(\mathcal{R}(a, M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)\).

Set \(G(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n/M_{n+1}\), the associated graded module of \(M\) with respect to \(\mathcal{F}\). Notice \(G(\mathcal{F}, M)\) is a finitely generated graded module over \(G_a(A)\). Furthermore \(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)/t^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) = G(\mathcal{F}, M)\).

2.3. Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be an \(a\)-filtration on \(M\) and let \(s \in \mathbb{Z}\). By the \(s\)-th shift of \(\mathcal{F}\), denoted by \(\mathcal{F}(s)\) we mean the filtration \(\{\mathcal{F}(s)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) where \(\mathcal{F}(s)_n = \mathcal{F}_{n+s}\). Clearly \(G(\mathcal{F}(s), M) = G(\mathcal{F}, M)(s)\) and \(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}(s), M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)(s)\).

2.4. All filtration’s in this paper \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) will be separated i.e., \(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n = \{0\}\). This is automatic if \(A\) is local, \(a \neq A\) and \(\mathcal{F}\) is \(a\)-stable. If \(m\) is a non-zero element of \(M\) and if \(j\) is the largest integer such that \(m \in M_j\), then we let \(m^*_{\mathcal{F}}\) denote the image of \(m\) in \(M_j \setminus M_{j+1}\) and we call it the initial form of \(m\) with respect to \(\mathcal{F}\). If \(\mathcal{F}\) is clear from the context then we drop the subscript \(\mathcal{F}\).

The following result is well-known and easy to prove.

Proposition 2.5. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a local ring, \(a\) an \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary ideal and let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module. Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be an \(a\)-stable filtration of \(M\) with respect to \(a\). The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(G(\mathcal{F}, M) \cong G(M)\) up to a shift.
(ii) \(\mathcal{F}\) is the \(a\)-adic filtration up to a shift. \(\square\)

2.6. For definition and basic properties of superficial sequences see [21] p. 86-87.

2.7. Flat Base Change: In our paper we do many flat changes of rings. The general set up we consider is as follows:

Let \(\phi : (A, \mathfrak{m}) \to (A', \mathfrak{m}')\) be a flat local ring homomorphism with \(\mathfrak{m}A' = \mathfrak{m}'\). Set \(a' = aA'\) and if \(N\) is an \(A\)-module set \(N' = N \otimes A'\). Set \(k = A/\mathfrak{m}\) and \(k' = A'/\mathfrak{m}'\).

Properties preserved during our flat base-changes:

(1) \(\ell_A(N) = \ell_{A'}(N')\). So \(H_a(M, n) = H_{a'}(M', n)\) for all \(n \geq 0\).
We assume 2.10. \(a\) of reduction number \(b\) in particular \(A\) is regular local if and only if \(A'\) is.

**Specific flat Base-changes:**

(a) \(A' = A[X]_S\) where \(S = A[X] \setminus mA[X]\). The maximal ideal of \(A'\) is \(n = mA'\). The residue field of \(A'\) is \(k' = k(X)\). Notice that \(k'\) is infinite.

(b) \(A' = \hat{A}\) the completion of \(A\) with respect to the maximal ideal.

(c) Applying (a) and (b) successively ensures that \(A'\) is complete with \(k'\) infinite.

(d) \(A' = A[X_1, \ldots, X_n]_S\) where \(S = A[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \setminus mA[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\). The maximal ideal of \(A'\) is \(n = mA'\). The residue field of \(A'\) is \(l = k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\). Notice that if \(I\) is integrally closed then \(I'\) is also integrally closed. When \(\dim A \geq 2\) and \(I\) is normal, Itoh [1] Theorem 1 shows that in \(A'\) (for \(n = \mu(I)\) in (ii)) there exists a superficial element \(y \in I'\) such that the \(A'/y\) ideal \(J = I'/y\) is asymptotically normal i.e., \(J^n\) is integrally closed for all \(n \gg 0\). We call \(A'\) general extension of \(A\).

2.8. The fiber cone of \(a\) is the \(k\)-algebra \(F(a) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/ma^n\). Set \(s(a) = \dim F(a)\), the analytic spread of \(a\). Recall \(\dim A \geq s(a) \geq \text{ht} a\). We say \(a\) is equimultiple if \(s(a) = \text{ht} a\). Clearly \(m\)-primary ideals are equimultiple. The number \(s(a) - \text{ht} a\) is called the analytic deviation of \(a\).

2.9. Assume \(a\) is \(m\)-primary and \(\dim M = r\). Then \(H_{G_a(A)_+}^i(G_a(M)) = 0\) for \(i > r\). Recall that the \(a\)-invariant of \(G_a(M)\) is

\[
a(G_a(M)) = \max\{ n \mid H_{G_a(A)_+}^i(G_a(M))_n \neq 0 \}.
\]

For \(i \geq 0\) set \(a^n_i(M) = \max\{ n \mid H_{G_a(A)_+}^i(G_a(M))_n \neq 0 \} \).

2.10. We assume \(k = A/m\) is infinite. Let \(\epsilon = (x_1, \ldots, x_i)\) be a minimal reduction of \(a\) with respect to \(M\). We denote by \(\text{red}_\epsilon(a, M) := \min\{ n \mid ca^nM = a^{n+1}M \}\) the reduction number of \(a\) with respect to \(\epsilon\) and \(M\). Let

\[
\text{red}_\epsilon(a, M) = \min\{ \text{red}_\epsilon(a, M) \mid \epsilon \text{ is a minimal reduction of } a \}
\]

be the reduction number of \(M\) with respect to \(a\). Set \(\text{red}_\epsilon(A) = \text{red}_\epsilon(a, A)\).

2.11. Let \(R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n\) be a standard algebra over an Artin local ring \((R_0, m_0)\) i.e., there exists \(x_1, \ldots, x_s \in R_1\) such that \(R = R_0[x_1, \ldots, x_s]\). Let \(M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n\) be an \(R\)-module. By Hilbert-Serre theorem

\[
H(M, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{R_0}(M_n)z^n = \frac{h_M(z)}{(1-z)^{\dim M}} \quad \text{here } h_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}] \text{ and } h_R(1) \neq 0.
\]

We call \(H_M(z)\) the Hilbert series of \(M\) and \(h_M(z) = h_{-p}z^{-p} + \ldots + h_{-1}z^{-1} + h_0 + h_1z + \ldots + h_s z^s\) (with \(h_s \neq 0\) and \(h_{-p} \neq 0\)) the \(h\)-polynomial of \(M\). Notice \(h_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]\) if and only if \(M_n = 0\) for all \(n < 0\).

2.12. We say \(h_M(z)\) is symmetric if \(h_{-i} = h_{-p+i}\) for \(i = 0, 1, \ldots, s + p\).

2.13. Let \(R, M, h_M(z)\) be as in 2.11. We also assume that \(M_n = 0\) for \(n < 0\). If \(f\) is a polynomial we use \(f^{(i)}\) to denote the \(i\)-th formal derivative of \(f\). The integers \(e_i(M) = h_M^{(i)}/i!\) for all \(i \geq 0\) are called the Hilbert coefficients of \(M\). The number \(e_0(M)\) is also called the multiplicity of \(M\).
2.14. Let \((A, m)\) be a local ring, \(a\) an \(m\)-primary ideal and let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module. Set \(H_0(M, z) = H (G_0(M)(z), z)\) and \(h_0(M, z) = h_{G_0(M)}(z)\). For \(i \geq 0\) set \(e_i(M) = e_i(G_0(M))\). If \(a = m\) we usually drop the "label" \(m\) and write \(h(M, z) = h_m(A, z)\), \(e_i(M) = e_i^m(M)\) and \(\text{red}(A) = \text{red}(m, A)\).

3. CI-Approximation: Some Special Cases

In this section we prove that if \((A, m)\) is a quotient of a regular local ring then \([A, m, m]\) has a CI-approximation \([B, n, n, \phi]\) with \(\phi\) onto. In Theorem 3.9 we state our main result regarding CI-approximations. This will be proved in section 10. Finally in Theorem 3.10 we prove a result regarding CI-approximation of an \(m\)-primary ideal \(a\) in a complete equicharacteristic local ring \((A, m)\). The following Lemma regarding annihilators is crucial.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module and let \(a\) be an ideal. Set \(G = G_a(A)\). Suppose there exists \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s \in a\) such that \(\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)\) for each \(i\). Also assume that \(\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_s^*\) is a \(G\)-regular sequence. Then there exists \(u_1, \ldots, u_s \in a\) such that

1. \(u_1, \ldots, u_s\) is an \(A\)-regular sequence.
2. \(u_i \in \text{ann} M\) for each \(i = 1, \ldots, s\).
3. For each \(i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}\) there exists \(n_i \geq 1\) such that \(u_i^* = (\xi_i^*)^{n_i}\).
4. \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)\).
5. \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^*\) is a \(G\)-regular sequence.

**Proof.** Suppose we have constructed \(u_1, \ldots, u_s\) satisfying (2) and (3) then \(u_1, \ldots, u_s\) satisfy all the remaining properties. This can be seen as follows:

(4) follows from (3) since \(\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)\) for each \(i\).

(1) and (5). As \(\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_s^*\) is a \(G\)-regular sequence we also get \((\xi_1^*)^{n_1}, \ldots, (\xi_s^*)^{n_s}\) is a \(G\)-regular sequence [14, 2.1]. Thus \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^*\) is a \(G\)-regular sequence. It follows from [32, 2.4] that \(u_1, \ldots, u_s\) is an \(A\)-regular sequence. Thus it suffices to show there exists \(u_1, \ldots, u_s\) satisfying (2) and (3).

Fix \(i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}\). Set \(\xi = \xi_i\). Say \(\xi \in a^r \setminus a^{r+1}\) for some \(r \geq 1\). Since \(\xi^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)\) we have \(\xi M \subseteq a^{r+1}M\). Set \(q = a^{r+1}\). By the determinant trick, [14, 2.1], there exists a monic polynomial \(f(X) \in A[X]\) such that

\[f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n X + a_n\quad \text{with } a_i \in q^i, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\]

and \(u = f(\xi) = \xi^n + a_1 \xi^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n - 1 \xi + a_n \in \text{ann} M\).

As \(\xi^*\) is \(G\)-regular we have \(\xi^n \in a^{nr} \setminus a^{nr+1}\). However for each \(i \geq 1\) we have

\[a_i \xi^{n-i} \in q^i a^{(n-i)r} = a^{i(r+1)} a^{nr-ir} = a^{nr+i} \subseteq a^{nr+1}\]

Thus \(u^* = (\xi^*)^n = (\xi^*)^n\) (since \(\xi^*\) is \(G\)-regular). Set \(u_i = u\) and \(n_i = n\). \(\square\)

The following Corollary is useful.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \((A, m)\) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring, \(a\) an \(m\)-primary ideal and let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module. Set \(c = \dim A - \dim M\). If \(G_a(A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay then there exists \(u_1, \ldots, u_c \in a\) such that

1. \(u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_A M\).
2. \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^* \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M)\).
3. \(u_1, \ldots, u_c\) is an \(A\)-regular sequence.
4. \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*\) is a \(G_a(A)\)-regular sequence.
5. \(u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} R(M)\).
(6) \( u_1 t, \ldots, u_c t \) is a \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-regular sequence.

**Proof.** Since \( G_a(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that

\[
\text{grade} \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M) = \text{ht} \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M) = \dim G_a(A) - \dim G_a(M), \quad \text{since } G_a(A) \text{ is } \ast\text{-local}
\]

\[
= \dim A - \dim M.
\]

Therefore (1), (2), (3) and (4) follow from Lemma 3.1. The assertion (5) is clear.

(6). Since \( t^{-1} \) is \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-regular and \( u_1, \ldots, u_c \) is \( G_a(A) = \mathcal{R}_a(A)/t^{-1}\mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-regular sequence it follows that \( t^{-1}, u_1 t, \ldots, u_c t \) is a \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-regular sequence. Notice \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \) is a \( \ast\text{-local} \). It follows that \( u_1 t, \ldots, u_c t \) is a \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-regular sequence. \( \Box \)

We state our general result regarding CI-Approximations

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( (A, m) \) be a complete local ring and let \( a \) be a proper ideal in \( A \) with \( \dim A/a + s(a) = \dim A \). Then \( A \) has a CI-approximation with respect to \( a \). Furthermore if \( a = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) then we may choose a CI-approximation \( [B, n, b, \phi] \) of \( [A, m, a] \) such that there exists \( u_1, \ldots, u_m \in b \) with \( \phi(u_i) = x_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

**Theorem 3.3** is proved in section 10.

**Remark 3.4.** The hypothesis of the theorem holds when \( a \) is \( m \)-primary. It is also satisfied when \( a \) is equimultiple and \( A \) is equidimensional \([16] 34.5\). Our hypothesis ensures that \( G_a(A) \) has a homogeneouse system of parameters, \([6] 2.6\).

For the case when \( a = m \) and \( A \) is a quotient of a regular local ring \( T \) we have:

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( (A, m) \) be a local ring such that \( A \) is a quotient of a regular local ring \( (T, t) \). Then \( [A, m, m] \) has a CI-approximation \( [B, n, n, \phi] \) with \( \phi \)-onto.

**Proof.** Set \( k = A/m = T/t \). Let \( \psi : T \to A \) be the quotient map. We consider \( A \) as a \( T \)-module. So \( G_a(A) = G_t(A) \). Set \( c = \dim T - \dim A = \dim G_t(T) - \dim G_a(A) \). Its well-known \( G_t(T) \cong k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \); where \( n = \dim T \). In particular \( G_t(T) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. Let \( u_1, \ldots, u_c \) be as in Corollary 3.2.

Set \( (B, n) = (T/(u), t/(u)) \) and let \( \phi : B \to A \) be the map induced by \( \psi \). So \( \phi \) is onto. Clearly \( [B, n, n, \phi] \) is a CI-approximation of \( [A, m, m] \). \( \Box \)

For \( m \)-primary ideals in a complete equicharacteristic complete local ring we prove:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let \( (A, m) \) be a complete equicharacteristic local ring and let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal. Then \( [A, m, a] \) has a CI-approximation \( [B, n, b, \phi] \) with \( b = n \) and \( B/n \cong A/m \) and \( \mu(n) = \mu(a) \).

**Proof.** \( A \) contains its residue field \( k = A/m; \) see \([14] 28.3\). Let \( a = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). Set \( S = k[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \) and let \( \psi : S \to A \) be the natural map which sends \( X_i \) to \( x_i \) for each \( i \). Since \( A/(X_i)A = A/a \) has finite length (as an \( S \)-module) it follows that \( A \) is a finitely generated as an \( S \)-module, see \([14] 8.4\). Set \( \eta = (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \). Notice \( \psi(\eta) = a \) and \( G_n(S) = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \) the polynomial ring in \( n \)-variables.

If \( \dim A = \dim S \) then set \( [B, n, b, \phi] = [S, \eta, \eta, \psi] \). Otherwise set \( c = \dim S - \dim A = \dim G_n(S) - \dim G_a(A) \). Let \( u_1, \ldots, u_c \) be as in Corollary 3.2. Set \( B = S/(u), n = \eta/(u) \) and \( b = n \). Clearly \( G_n(B) = G_n(S)/(u^c) \) is CI. The map \( \psi \) induces \( \phi : B \to A \). It can be easily checked that \( [B, n, n, \phi] \) is a CI-approximation of \( [A, m, a] \). Finally by our construction its clear that \( \mu(n) = \mu(a) \). \( \Box \)
4. A classical filtration of the dual

Let $M$ be an $A$-module. The following filtration of the dual of $M$, i.e., $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ is classical cf. [28, p. 12]. Set

$$M_n^* = \{ f \in M^* \mid f(M) \subseteq a^n \} \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, a^n).$$

It is easily verified that $\mathcal{F}_M = \{ M_n^* \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $a$-stable filtration on $M^*$. Set $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(a) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n t^n$ and $\mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(a, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n Mt^n$. Let $f \in M_n^*$. We show that $f$ induces a homogeneous $\mathcal{R}$-linear map $\hat{f}$ of degree $n$ from $\mathcal{R}(M)$ to $\mathcal{R}$; (see [12]). Theorem 4.4 shows that $\Psi_M$ is a $\mathcal{R}$-linear isomorphism.

$$\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})$$

$$f \mapsto \hat{f}$$

It is easily seen, see [4.3], that $\Psi_M$ induces a natural map

$$\Phi_M : G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G(a)}(G_a(M), G_a(A)).$$

We prove $\Phi_M$ is injective and as a consequence deduce application $V$ as stated in the introduction. In Corollary 4.8 we give a sufficient condition for $\Phi_M$ to be an isomorphism.

The following result is well-known.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $(A, m)$ be local, $a$ an ideal in $A$ and let $M$ an $A$-module. Then $\text{a Hom}_A(M, a^n) = \text{Hom}_A(M, a^{n+1})$ for all $n \geq 0$. \hfill \Box

**4.2.** Let $f \in M_n^*$.

Claim: $f$ induces a homogeneous $\mathcal{R}$-linear map $\hat{f}$ of degree $n$ from $\mathcal{R}(M)$ to $\mathcal{R}$.

Since $f(M) \subseteq a^n$, for each $j \geq 0$ we have $f(a^j M) \subseteq a^{n+j}$. Furthermore for $j < 0$ as $a^j M = M$ and notice that $f(M) \subseteq a^n \subset a^{n+j}$. Thus

$$(*) \quad f(a^j M) \subseteq a^{n+j} \quad \text{for each } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

This enables us to define

$$\hat{f} : \mathcal{R}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j t^j \mapsto \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m_j) t^{n+j}. $$

Next we prove that $\hat{f}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear. Clearly $\hat{f}$ is $A$-linear. Notice

$$\hat{f}((x_j t^j) \cdot (m_i t^i)) = \hat{f}(x_j m_i t^{i+j}) = f(x_j m_i t^{i+j+n}) = x_j t^j f(m_i) t^{i+n}$$

$$\quad \quad \quad \quad (x_j t^j) \cdot \hat{f}(m_i t^i) = x_j t^j f(m_i) t^{i+n}.$$ 

Thus $\hat{f}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear.

**4.3.** We define a map

$$\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})$$

$$f \mapsto \hat{f}$$

**Theorem 4.4.** $\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})$ is a $\mathcal{R}$-linear isomorphism.
Proof. If \( a \in a^i \) and \( f \in M_n^* \) then \( af \in M_{n+j}^* \). Check that \((af) \hat{f} = af \). Thus \( \Psi_M \) is \( R \)-linear. Clearly if \( \hat{f} = 0 \) then \( f = 0 \). Thus \( \Psi_M \) is injective.

We show \( \Psi_M \) is surjective. Let \( g \in \ast \text{Hom}_R(R(M), R) \). We write \( g \) as

\[
g \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j t^j \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_j(m_j)t^{n+j}.
\]

For each \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \) the map \( g_j: a^j M \rightarrow a^{n+j} \) is \( A \)-linear. Define \( f = i \circ g_0 \) where \( i: a^n \rightarrow A \) is the inclusion map. Clearly \( f \in M_n^* \).

Claim: \( \hat{f} = g \).

Let \( m_j t^j \in a^j Mt^j \).

Case 1. \( j = 0 \).

Set \( m = m_0 \). Notice

\[
g(mt^0) = g_0(m)t^0 = \hat{f}(mt^0).
\]

The last equality above holds since \( \hat{f} \) is \( R \)-linear.

In the next two cases we use Case 1 and the fact that \( \hat{f} \) is \( R \)-linear.

Case 2. \( j < 0 \).

Notice \( m_j t^j = t^j \cdot m_j t^0 \). So

\[
g(m_j t^j) = t^j \cdot g(m_j t^0) = t^j \cdot \hat{f}(m_j t^0).
\]

Case 3. \( j > 0 \).

Set \( m_j = \sum_{l=1}^s u_{jl} n_l \) where \( u_{jl} \in a^j \) and \( n_l \in M \). Fix \( l \). Notice

\[
u_{jl} n_l t^j = u_{jl} t^j \cdot n_l t^0.
\]

Therefore for \( l = 1, \ldots, s \),

\[
g(u_{jl} n_l t^j) = u_{jl} t^j \cdot g(n_l t^0) = u_{jl} t^j \cdot \hat{f}(n_l t^0) = \hat{f}(u_{jl} n_l t^j).
\]

Note the last equality above is since \( \hat{f} \) is \( R \)-linear. So we have

\[
g(m_j t^j) = g \left( \sum_{l=1}^s u_{jl} n_l \right) = \sum_{l=1}^s g(u_{jl} n_l) = \sum_{l=1}^s \hat{f}(u_{jl} n_l) = \hat{f}(m_j t^j).
\]

Again the last equality above holds since \( \hat{f} \) is \( R \)-linear. \( \square \)

Observation 4.5. \( f \in M_n^* \) induces \( \hat{f}: R(M) \rightarrow R \) which is homogeneous of degree \( n \). So \( \hat{f} \) induces a map \( \hat{f}: G_a(M) \rightarrow G_a(A) \) which is also homogeneous of degree \( n \). Clearly \( \hat{f} = 0 \) if and only if \( f \in M_{n+1}^* \). So we have

\[
\Phi_M: G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \ast \text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A))
\]

\[
f + M_{n+1}^* \rightarrow \hat{f}.
\]

Clearly \( \Phi_M = \Psi_M \otimes \frac{R}{t^{-1}R} \).

Corollary 4.6. Set \( G = G_a(A) \). There is an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \xrightarrow{\Psi_M} \ast \text{Hom}_{G_a(M)}(G_a(M), G) \rightarrow \ast \text{Ext}^1_R(R(M), R)(+1)
\]
Proof. The map $0 \to \mathcal{R}(+1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} \mathcal{R} \to G \to 0$ induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(+1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), G) \to \text{Ext}_1^\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(+1)$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), G) \cong \text{Hom}_G(G_a(M), G)$. The result follows by using Theorem 4.4 and Observation 4.5. □

A consequence of Corollary 4.6 is Application V.

Corollary 4.7. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be an Artin Gorenstein local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ a proper ideal in $A$ and let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module. Then

$$\ell(G_a(M)) \leq \ell\left(\text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A))\right).$$

Proof. Set $G = G_a(A)$ and $G(M) = G_a(M)$. Notice that

$$\ell(G_a(M)) = e_0(G_a(M)) = \ell(M)$$
$$\ell(G_a(M^*)) = e_0(G_a(M^*)) = \ell(M^*).$$

Since $A$ is self-injective, $\ell(M) = \ell(M^*)$. The result follows from [4.6] □

Another important consequence of Corollary 4.6 is the following:

Corollary 4.8. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal such that $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein. Let $M$ be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $A$-module with $G_a(M)$-Cohen-Macaulay. Then

$$G(F^M, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A)).$$

Proof. Notice $\mathcal{R}$ is also a Gorenstein ring and $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is maximal Cohen-Macaulay. It follows that $\text{Ext}_1^\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) = 0$. The result follows from Corollary 4.6 □

4.9. In the theory of Hilbert functions over local rings the notion of superficial elements plays an important role. In our case, first we assume for convenience that $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is also Gorenstein and $M$ is a MCM $A$-module. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_r$ be a $M \bigoplus A$ superficial sequence. Set $B = A/(x)$, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}/(X)$, $N = M/xM$ and $F_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $F_N = \{\text{Hom}_B(M, n^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

We may ask when does

$$(4.9.1) \quad \frac{G(F_M, M^*)}{x^*G(F_M, M^*)} \cong G(F_N, N^*).$$

We prove

Theorem 4.10. [with hypothesis as in 4.9] If $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein and $G_a(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay then [4.9.7] holds.

See Theorem [12.5.2] for a proof of Theorem 4.10.
5. SOME PRELIMINARY APPLICATIONS OF GORENSTEIN APPROXIMATION

In this section we give Applications VI and VII as stated in the introduction. First we generalize to modules some results of Valabrega and Valia [22, 2.3], Guerrieri [27, 3.2] and Wang [33, 2.6.3.1]. If \( \dim M = 2 \) and \( \mathfrak{a} \) an ideal of definition for \( M \), then it is easy to prove \( e_1(M) \leq e_0(M)(a_2(G_a(M)) + 2) \). We give a complete classification of when equality holds.

**Theorem 5.1.** [Appl. VI] Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a local ring with infinite residue field, \( M \) a Cohen-Macaulay \( A \)-module of dimension \( r \) and \( \mathfrak{a} \) an ideal of definition for \( M \). Let \( c = (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \) be a minimal reduction of \( \mathfrak{a} \) with respect to \( M \). Set

\[
\delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell \left( \frac{a^{n+1} M \cap cM}{ca^n M} \right).
\]

If \( \delta = 0, 1, 2 \) then \( \text{depth} G_\mathfrak{a}(M) \geq d - \delta \).

**Proof.** We may go mod \( \text{ann} M \). Thus we may assume \( \dim M = \dim A \) and \( \mathfrak{a} \) is \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary. Since \( M \) is a faithful \( A \)-module it can be easily checked that \( c \) is a minimal reduction of \( \mathfrak{a} \) with respect to \( A \). Our hypothesis nor conclusion change under completion so we may assume \( A \) is complete.

Let \([B, n, \mathfrak{b}, \varphi]\) be a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\). We do base change and consider \( M \) as a \( B \)-module. Notice \( G_\mathfrak{b}(M) = G_\mathfrak{a}(M) \). Let \( y_i \in \mathfrak{b} \) be such that \( \varphi(y_i) = x_i \). Set \( R = B \otimes \mathcal{M} \), the idealization of \( M \) and consider the ideal \( q = \mathfrak{b} \otimes M \). Then \( G_q(R) = G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \otimes G_\mathfrak{a}(M)(-1) \).

As \( G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \) is Cohen-Macaulay, \( \text{depth} G_q(R) = \text{depth} G_\mathfrak{a}(M) \). Set \( z_i = (y_i, 0) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). Notice \( q^i = b^i \otimes a^{i-1} M \) for \( i \geq 0 \).

Therefore \( q^i \cap (z) = (b^i \cap (y), a^{i-1} M \cap cM) \) and

\[
\frac{q^i \cap (z)}{q^{i-1} \cap (z)} = \left( 0, \frac{a^{i-1} M \cap cM}{a^{i-2} M} \right).
\]

The result now follow from the case of rings. \( \square \)

The previous result did not use the fact the Gorenstein property of \( G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \). All we used was that \( G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. The next result uses the fact that \( G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \) is Gorenstein. However we need the following elementary result .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let \( R = \bigoplus R_n \) be a standard graded Noetherian ring with \( R_0 \) Artin local. Let \( E \) be a graded \( R \)-module of dimension two. Then

\[
e_1(E) \leq e_0(E)(a_2(E) + 2).
\]

**Sketch.** We may assume that \( H^0_{R_+}(E) = 0 \). Set \( t = a_2 + 1 \). By the Grothendieck-Serre formula

\[
H_E(t) - \{ e_0(t + 1) - e_1 \} = -\ell(H^1(M)_t)
\]

Hence \( e_1(E) \leq e_0(E)(a_2 + 2) \) \( \square \)

It is important to understand when equality holds above. In general we cannot say much. However if \( M \) is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension two over a local ring \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) then we can give a characterization when equality holds above. Before proceeding we need the following notation: If \( D \) is a graded Artin \( G_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-module then \( D' \) denotes the dual of \( D \) with respect to to the injective hull of \( \mathfrak{k} \) as a \( G_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-module (note that if \( \mathfrak{a} \) is \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary then \( G_\mathfrak{a}(A) \) is \(*\)-complete (see BH**).
Furthermore a finitely generated $C$ over $G_a(A)$ is said to generalized Ulrich if the $h$-polynomial of $C$ is of the form $h_C(z) = az^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We give application VII of our notion of Gorenstein approximation.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in $A$ and $M$ an $A$-module with $\dim M = 2$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $e_i^0(M) = e_i^0(M) (a_1^0(M) + 2)$.

(ii) $G_a(M)$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and $H^2(\mathbb{P}_a(A))^\vee$ is Generalized Ulrich $G_a(A)$-module.

**Proof.** We may assume $A$ is complete, $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary and $\dim M = \dim A$. Let $[B, n, b, \psi]$ be a Gorenstein approximation of $[A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]$. Notice $a_i^b(M) = a_i^a(M)$ and $e_i^b(M) = e_i^a(M)$ for all $i$.

Thus we may assume $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is Gorenstein local and $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein. We may further assume $A$ has an infinite residue field. This we do. Set

$$G = G_a(A), \quad D = H^2_{G_a}(G_a(M)) = \bigoplus_{n \leq a} D_n \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha = a_2^a(M).$$

Set $(-)\vee = \Hom_G(-, H^2_{G_a}(G))$ the Matlis dual functor over $G$. Notice $D^\vee = \bigoplus_{n \geq -\alpha} D_n^\vee$ where $D^\vee = \Hom_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(D_{-i}, E_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(k))$, and $E_{A/\mathfrak{a}}(k)$ is the injective hull of $k$ as an $A/\mathfrak{a}$-module. By Matlis duality $D^\vee$ is a finitely generated $G$-module.

By Local duality we have

$$D^\vee = H^2(G_a(M))^\vee \simeq \Hom_{G_a}(G_a(M), G(a))$$

(Here $a = \text{red}_a(A) - 2$, the $a$-invariant of $G$).

Claim 1: $D^\vee$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $G$-module of dim $2$.

**Proof of Claim 1:** By [24, 17.1.10] it follows that the function $n \mapsto \ell(D^\vee_n)$ is polynomial of degree $1$. So $\dim D^\vee = 2$. Let $F_1 \to F_0 \to G_a(M) \to 0$ be a free presentation of $G_a(M)$ as a $G$-module. Notice

$$0 \to \Hom_{G_a}(G_a(M), G) \to \Hom_{G_a}(F_0, G) \to \Hom_{G_a}(F_1, G)$$

As $G$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dim $2$ we get Claim 1.

Claim 2: $\ell(D_n) = C_0(\alpha - n + 1) - C_1$ for all $n < 0$ where $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 \geq 0$.

**Proof of Claim 2:** $D^\vee = \bigoplus_{m \geq -\alpha} D_m^\vee$. Notice $\ell(D^\vee_m) = \ell(D_{-m})$ for all $m$. Set $D^\vee(-\alpha) = 0$ for $j < 0$. So $D^\vee(-\alpha)$ has Hilbert series

$$\frac{b_0 + h_1 z + \ldots + h_s z^i}{(1 - z)^2}.$$

As $D^\vee(-\alpha)$ is Cohen-Macaulay we have $h_i \geq 0$ for all $i$. Thus there exists $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 \geq 0$ such that $\ell(D^\vee(-\alpha)_n) = C_0(n + 1) - C_1$ for all $n \gg 0$. Therefore

$$\ell(D_n) = \ell(D_{-n}^\vee) = C_0(-n + \alpha + 1) - C_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \ll 0.$$

This proves Claim 2.

By a result due to Serre cf. [2, 4.4.3]

$$H(\mathbb{P}_a(M), n) - P(\mathbb{P}_a(M), n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^i \ell(H^i(\mathbb{P}_a(M)))_n.$$


Corollary 6.4. (with hypothesis as in 6.3) If $H_n^{0}(G_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) = 0$ for $n < 0$. Notice $f(H^1(G_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))_n) = \xi$, a constant for all $n < 0$, see [1] 17.1.9). So we get

$$- [e_0^\mathfrak{a}(M)(n + 1) - e_1^\mathfrak{a}(M)] = C_0(\alpha + 1 - n) - C_1 - \xi$$

Therefore $C_0 = e_0^\mathfrak{a}(M)$. Comparing coefficients we get

$$e_1^\mathfrak{a}(M) = e_0^\mathfrak{a}(M)(\alpha + 2) - \xi - C_1.$$

The result follows.

6. THE INITIAL DEGREE OF THE DUAL FILTRATION AND THE $a$-IN INVARIANT

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an equimultiple ideal in $A$ and let $M$ be an MCM $A$-module. Let $\alpha_a(M)$ be the order of $M$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ (see 6.1). We prove that $\alpha_a(M) \leq \text{red}_a(A)$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is m-primary then we prove that

$$\alpha_a(G_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)) \geq \alpha_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - \text{dim} \, A.$$

We also prove that $\alpha_m(M) = \text{red}(A)$ if and only if $M$ is an Ulrich module.

6.1. Let $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ be a local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal in $A$ and $M$ and $A$-module. Set $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{M^*_n = \text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the dual filtration of $M^*$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. If $f \neq 0 \in M^*$ set $\alpha_a(f) = \max\{n \mid f(M) \subseteq a^n\}$. Set

$$\alpha_a(M) = \min\{\alpha_a(f) \mid f \in M^*, f \neq 0\}.$$

Notice $\alpha_a(M) = \max\{n \mid M^*_n \neq M^*\} = \min\{n \mid G(\mathcal{F}, M^*_n) \neq 0\}$.

6.2. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathfrak{a}$ be a sequence. Set $(B, \mathfrak{n}) = (A/(x), \mathfrak{m}/(x))$ and $N = M/\mathfrak{m}M$. If $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ define $\psi(f) : N \to B$, by

$$\psi(f)(m + \mathfrak{m}M) = f(m) + \mathfrak{m}A.$$

One can check readily $\psi(f) \in \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$. Define

$$\Upsilon_X : \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$$

$$\Upsilon_X(f) = \psi(f).$$

Proposition 6.3. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module. If $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathfrak{a}$ is an $A$-regular sequence and then $\Upsilon_X$ is onto.

Proof. It suffices to prove for $r = 1$. The exact sequence $0 \to A \xrightarrow{x} A \to B \to 0$ yields $0 \to M^* \xrightarrow{x} M^* \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(M, A)$. Notice $\text{Ext}^1_A(M, A) = 0$.

The map:

$$\text{Hom}(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$$

$$f \mapsto f$$

is nothing but $\Upsilon_{x_1}$. Thus $\Upsilon_{x_1}$ is onto.

For equimultiple ideals we have

Corollary 6.4. (with hypothesis as in 6.3) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an equimultiple ideal then

$$\alpha_a(M) \leq \text{red}_a(A).$$
Proof. Let $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ be a minimal reduction of $a$ such that
$$r = \text{red}_a(A) = \min \{ n \mid a^{n+1} = Ja^n \}.$$

By hypothesis $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a regular sequence. Consider $\Upsilon_X : \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_{A/J}(M/MJ, A/JM)$ as defined earlier.

If $\alpha(M) > r$ then note that $\psi(f) = 0$ for each $f \in M^*$. By 6.3 $\Upsilon$ is onto. So $\text{Hom}_{A/J}(M/MJ, A/J) = 0$, a contradiction. \hfill $\Box$

6.5. Set $r = \text{red}(a)$ and $d = \dim A$. Recall that if $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein then $\Omega_a = G(r - d)$ is the canonical module of $G_a(A)$. Using graded local duality we get

$$a(G_a(M)) = -\min \{ n \mid \text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A)(r - d))_n \neq 0 \}.$$ (6.5.1)

The following result gives a lower bound on $a(G_a(M))$ in terms of $\alpha_a(M)$.

**Proposition 6.6.** Let $(A, m)$ be a $d$-dimensional Gorenstein local ring, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal with $G_a(A)$ Gorenstein and let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module.

$$a(G_a(M)) \geq \text{red}_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - d.$$ \hfill $\Box$

**Proof.** Set $G(M) = G_a(M), G = G_a(A), r = \text{red}_a(A)$ and $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. By 4.6 we have an inclusion $0 \to G(\mathfrak{T}, M^*) \to \text{Hom}_G(G(M), G)$. So

$$0 \to G(\mathfrak{T}, M^*)(r - d) \to \text{Hom}_G(G(M), G(r - d)).$$ (*)&

Notice $G(\mathfrak{T}, M^*)((r - d))_{\alpha_a(M) - (r - d)} = G(\mathfrak{T}, M^*)_{\alpha_a(M)} \neq 0$.

Looking at initial degrees of sequence (*) and using 6.5.1 we get

$$-a(G_a(M)) \leq \alpha_a(M) - (r - d).$$

The result follows. \hfill $\Box$

**Remark 6.7.** If $a$ is $m$-primary then by Proposition 6.6 and 6.4 it follows that $a(G_a(M)) \geq -\dim A$. But this also follows easily from other arguments.

**The case when $a = m$.**

**Notation:** Set $G(-) = G_m(-)$ and $\alpha(-) = \alpha_m(-)$. If we have to specify the ring then we write $\alpha_A(-)$. Clearly $\alpha(M) = 0$ if and only if $M$ has a free summand.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Gorenstein local ring with $G(A)$ Gorenstein. Let $x \in m \setminus m^2$ be $M \oplus A$-superficial with respect to $m$. Then

$$\alpha_A(M) \leq \alpha_{A/(x)}(M/xM).$$

**Proof.** Set $N = M/xM$ and $(B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))$. By Proposition 6.3

$$\Upsilon : \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$$

is onto. Set $\alpha = \alpha_A(M)$. Then $f(M) \subseteq m^a$ for all $f \in M^*$. Therefore $\Upsilon(f)(N) \subseteq n^a$ for all $f \in M^*$. Since $\Upsilon$ is onto, we get $g(N) \subseteq n^a$ for all $g \in N^*$. Thus $\alpha_B(N) \geq \alpha = \alpha_A(M)$. \hfill $\Box$

6.9. **Reduction to dimension zero :**

Let $x = x_1 \ldots x_d$ be a maximal $M \oplus A$-superficial sequence. Set $(B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))$ and $N = M/xM$.

**Remark 6.10.** Recall an MCM $a$-module $M$ is called Ulrich if $e(M) = \mu(M)$.

(a) $M$ is Ulrich $\iff$ $N$ is Ulrich. Notice $N$ is Ulrich $\iff$ $N \cong \mu(N)$.

(b) Since $G(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay we have $\text{red}(A) = \text{red}(B)$.
(c) If $\alpha_A(M) = \text{red}(A)$ then $\alpha_B(N) = \text{red}(B)$. This is so, since

$$\text{red}(A) = \alpha_A(M) \leq \alpha_B(N) \leq \text{red}(B) \quad \text{(inequalities due to [6.8] & [6.3]).}$$

(d) If $\alpha_B(N) = \text{red}(B)$ then $N = k^s$ for some $s \geq 1$. The converse also holds since $B$ is Gorenstein.

**Proposition 6.11.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Gorenstein local ring with $G_m(A)$ Gorenstein. Also assume that $A$ has infinite residue field. Let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\alpha(M) = \text{red}(A)$.

(ii) $M$ is an Ulrich $A$-module.

(iii) $a(G(M)) = - \dim A$.

**Proof.** Set $r = \text{red}(A)$, $\alpha = \alpha_A(M)$ and $d = \dim A$. Let $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ be a maximal $M \oplus A$-superficial sequence. Set $(B, \mathfrak{n}) = (A/(\mathbf{x}), m/(\mathbf{x}))$ and $N = M/\mathbf{x}M$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): If $\alpha(M) = \text{red}(A)$ then by 6.10(c), $\alpha(N) = \text{red}(B) = r$. We have $\alpha(N) = r$. So $N^* = N^*_r = \text{Hom}_B(N, \mathfrak{n}^r)$. Since $B$ is Gorenstein with $\mathfrak{n}^r \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{n}^j = 0$ for $j > r$ we get $\mathfrak{n}^r \cong k$. So $N^* = \text{Hom}_A(N, k) = k\mathfrak{n}^r$. Therefore $N \cong N^* = k\mathfrak{n}^r$. So $N$ is Ulrich. By 6.10(a) $M$ is an Ulrich $A$-module.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Proposition 6.6 we get

$$a(G(M)) \geq r - \alpha - d.$$ 

So we obtain $\alpha \geq r$. But $\alpha \leq r$ always (see 6.4). So $\alpha = r$. \qed

7. $a$-invariant: Borderline cases

Let $(A, m)$ be CM. It is well-known that $a(G_m(A)) \geq - \dim A$. We prove that $a(G_m(A)) = - \dim A$ if and only if $A$ is regular local (see 7.2). If $A$ is equicharacteristic we prove

- $a(G_\alpha(A)) = - \dim A$ if and only if $\alpha$ is generated by a regular sequence.

- (assume $\alpha$ is also integrally closed). $a(G_\alpha(A)) = - \dim A + 1$ if and only if $\alpha$ has minimal multiplicity.

7.1. **Discussion:** We can easily show that if $\dim A = 1, 2$, then $a(G_\alpha(A)) = - \dim A$ if and only if $\alpha$ is generated by a regular sequence. When $d = 1$ it easily follows from a result of Marley **. When $d = 2$ it follows from [5.2] that $\epsilon_1(A) = 0$. It follows from a result of Northcott ** that $\alpha$ is generated by a regular sequence. We cannot use induction to analyze the case when $a(G_\alpha(A)) = - d$ since if $x$ is $A$-superficial with respect to $A$ then it does not immediately follow that $a(G_{\alpha/(x)}(A/(x))) = - d + 1$

We begin our investigations when $\alpha = m$ the maximal ideal of $A$.

**Theorem 7.2.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $A$ is regular local.

(ii) $a(G(A)) = - \dim A$.

The example below shows that the assumption, $A$ is CM in [7.2] is crucial.

**Example 7.3.** Let $(A, m)$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d > 0$. Let $M$ be an $A$-module with $\dim M < d$. Let $R = A \times M$, the idealization of $M$. Notice $R$ is local with maximal ideal $n = m \times M$. Also $\dim R = \dim A = d$. Furthermore $\text{depth } R = \min\{\text{depth } M, \text{depth } A\} < d$. So $R$ is not CM.
Claim: $a(G_n(R)) = -d$.

Set $T = G_m(A), N = G_m(M)(-1)$ and $S = G_m(R)$. Notice $S = T \cong N$, the idealization of $N$. Since $N^2 = 0$ in $S$ we have that $H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(S) = H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(T)$. Furthermore as $S$ is a finite $T$-module we get that $H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(S) = H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(T)$ as $T$-modules. Since $S = T \oplus N$ as $T$-modules we get that $H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(S) = H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(T) \oplus H^i_\mathfrak{n}_+(N)$ for each $i \geq 0$. Since $\dim N = \dim M < d$ we get that $H^d_\mathfrak{n}_+(S) = H^d_\mathfrak{n}_+(T)$. Thus $H^d_\mathfrak{n}_+(S) = H^d_\mathfrak{n}_+(T)$ as $T$-modules. The result follows.

Proof of Theorem 7.4. The assertion (i) $\implies$ (ii) is clear. To prove the converse we may assume that $A$ is complete with infinite residue field $k$; see (2.7(c) and (2.7(5)).

Since $A$ is complete, $A$ is the quotient of a regular local ring. So by [56] $[A, m, m]$ has a Gorenstein approximation $[B, n, n, \varphi]$ with $\varphi$ onto. We consider $A$ as a $B$-module. Notice $G_n(A) = G_m(A)$ as a $G_n(B)$-module. It is also be easily seen that for each $i \geq 0$ we have $H^i_{G_m(B)_+}(G_n(A)) \cong H^i_{G_m(A)_+}(G_m(A))$ as a $G_n(B)$-module.

Since $\dim B = \dim A = d$ (say), we get $a(G_n(A)) = -\dim B$. By Theorem 6.11 we get that $A$ is Ulrich as a $B$-module. Let $J = (u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ be a minimal reduction of $A$ with respect to $n$. Set $x_i = \varphi(u_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. As $A$ is an Ulrich $B$-module we get $JA = nA$. It follows that $m = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. So $A$ is regular local.

We prove an analogue of (7.2) for $m$-primary ideals. Unfortunately we have to assume that $A$ is equicharacteristic (i.e., it contains a field).

Theorem 7.4. Let $(A, m)$ be an equicharacteristic CM local ring of $\dim d \geq 1$. Let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal. Then $a(G_n(A)) = -\dim A \iff a$ is a parameter ideal.

Proof. We may assume, without loss of any generality that $A$ is complete and has an infinite residue field. As $A$ is equicharacteristic we choose a Gorenstein approximation $[B, n, b, \varphi]$ of $[A, m, a]$ with $b = n$. Notice $G_n(A) = G_m(A)$ and notice $H^i_{G_n(B)_+}(G_n(A)) = H^i_{G_m(B)_+}(G_m(A))$. If $a(G_n(A)) = -d$ then $A$ is Ulrich as a $B$-module. So $nA = JA$ where $J = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ is a minimal reduction of $n$ with respect to $A$. But $nA = aA$. Set $x_i = \varphi(y_i)$. We get $a = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$. It follows that $a$ is a parameter ideal.

Conversely if $a$ is a parameter ideal then its clear that $a(G_n(A)) = -d$. \square

We might also ask what happens when $a(G_n(A)) = -d + 1$. We show

Theorem 7.5. Let $(A, m)$ be an equicharacteristic CM local ring of $\dim d \geq 1$. Let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal. If $a$ has minimal multiplicity then $a(G_n(A)) = -d + 1$. The converse holds if
1. $a$ is integrally closed.
2. $\dim A = 1$.

Proof. If $a$ has minimal multiplicity then $a(G_n(A))$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The description of Hilbert series of $G_n(A)$ gives $a(G_n(A)) = -d + 1$. To prove the converse we may assume that $A$ is complete with an infinite residue field.

Case (1): $a$ is integrally closed.

As $A$ is equicharacteristic we choose a Gorenstein approximation $[B, n, b, \varphi]$ of $[A, m, a]$ with $b = n$. From Lemma 6.8 we have
$$\alpha_n(A) \leq \alpha_n((A/xa))$$
where $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is a maximal $A \oplus B$ superficial sequence with respect to $n$. 

By Proposition 7.6 we have \( \alpha_a(A) \geq r - 1 \) where \( r = \text{red } B = \text{red } B/(x) \). Therefore \( \alpha_n/(x)(A/xA) \geq r - 1 \). It follows that \( \mathfrak{m}^2A = 0 \). Thus \( \mathfrak{n}^2A \subseteq xA \). Let \( y_i = \varphi(x_i) \) for all \( i \). Set \( q = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \). Then we have \( a^2 \subseteq J \). Since \( a \) is integrally closed we have \( a^2 = qa \). So \( a \) has minimal multiplicity.

Case (2): \( \text{dim } A = 1 \).

By hypothesis \( a_1(G_a(A)) = a(G_a(A)) = 0 \). If \( G_a(A) \) is CM then we have nothing to show. We assert that \( G_a(A) \) is CM. If not then by a result of Marley [13] 2.1(a) we get \( a_0(G_a(A)) < a_1(G_a(A)) \) a contradiction, since \( H_{G_a(A)}^0(G_a(A)) \) is concentrated in non-negative degrees.

When \( \text{dim } A = 2 \) there exists \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) which do not have minimal multiplicity but have \( a(G_a(A)) = -1 \) (see 7.7). In fact when \( \text{dim } A = 2 \) we give the following characterization of \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) with \( a(G_a(A)) \leq -1 \).

**Proposition 7.6.** Let \( (A, m) \) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with \( \text{dim } A = 2 \). Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( a(G_a(A)) \leq -1 \).
(ii) \( \text{red}_a(A) = 1 \) for all \( n \gg 0 \).
(iii) \( e_a^2(A) = 0 \).

**Proof.** By [10] 2.6 we get \( a(G_a(A)) < 0 \) if and only if \( \text{red}(a^n) = \text{dim } A - 1 \) for all \( n \gg 0 \). Thus the assertions (i) and (ii) are equivalent. By [17], we get that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. \( \square \)

The example below was constructed by Marley [12] 4.1 for a different purpose.

**Example 7.7.** Let \( A = k[X,Y]/(X,Y) \) and let \( a = (X^7, X^6Y, XY^6, Y^7) \). Using COCOA [3] one verifies that

\[
h_a(A, z) = 38 + 3z + 3z^2 + 3z^3 + 3z^4 + 3z^5 - 4z^6.\]

Notice \( e_a^2(A) = 0 \). So by [7,0] we get \( a(G_a(A)) < 0 \). Since \( a(G_a(A)) \geq -2 \) and \( a \) is not generated by a regular sequence it follows from [7,2] that \( a(G_a(A)) = -1 \).

**Question 7.8.** What are all the \( m \)-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring \( (A, m) \) having \( a(G_a(A)) = -\text{dim } A + 1 \)?

---

8. Dual Filtrations of MCM Modules over Hypersurface Rings

Let \( (A, m) \) be a complete equicharacteristic hypersurface ring and let \( M \) be a MCM \( A \)-module. When \( G_m(M) \) is CM we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the dual filtration, \( \mathcal{F}_M = \{ \text{Hom}_A(M, m^n) \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \), on \( M^* \) to be a shift of the \( m \)-adic filtration on \( M^* \): see [8,11]. This result along with Gorenstein approximation is then used to deduce results about associated graded rings of \( q \)-primary \( a \) in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring \( (R, q) \) with \( \mu(a) = \text{dim } R + 1 \). We give proof of application III and half of application IV, stated in the introduction: see [8,17] and [8,10].

8.1. Setup: Let \( Q = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d]] \) where \( k \) is an infinite field and let \( \mathfrak{n} \) be the maximal ideal of \( Q \). Let \( (A, m) = (Q/(f), \mathfrak{n}/(f)) \) where \( f \in \mathfrak{n}^e \setminus \mathfrak{n}^{e+1} \) and \( e \geq 2 \). Notice \( e_0(A) = e \) and \( G_m(A) = G_\mathfrak{n}(Q)/(f^e) \). So \( G_m(A) \) is Gorenstein.

8.2. Some invariants of a MCM module over a hypersurface ring.
Case 1: \( \dim A = 0 \). So \( Q \) is a DVR. Let \( n = (\Pi) \). As a \( Q \)-module

\[
M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} Q/(\Pi^{a_i(M)}) \quad \text{where} \quad 1 \leq a_1(M) \leq \cdots \leq a_{\mu(M)}(M) \leq e.
\]

Thus the decomposition above is as \( A \)-modules.

8.3. The Hilbert function of \( M \) is

\[
H(M, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} (1 + z + \cdots + z^{a_i(M) - 1}).
\]

Remark 8.4. Notice \( H(M, z) \) completely determines \( a_1(M), \ldots, a_{\mu(M)}(M) \) in the case when \( \dim M = 0 \).

Case 2: \( d = \dim A \geq 1 \).

By an argument similar to [22, 7.6] we get that for sufficiently general \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \in m \setminus m^2 \), the Hilbert function of \( M/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)M \) is constant. Using 8.4 we can define \( a_i(M) = a_i(M/(x)M) \) for sufficiently general \( x \).

Definition 8.5. We call the numbers \( a_1(M), \ldots, a_{\mu(M)}(M) \) the 

\textit{generic superficial invariants} of \( M \).

Corollary 8.6. (with assumptions as above)

\[
e_0(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(M).
\]

Proof. Let \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \) be sufficiently general. Set \( N = M/xF \). Then

\[
e_0(M) = e_0(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(M).
\]

□

8.7. Assume \( 0 \rightarrow Q^n \xrightarrow{\phi} Q^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \) is a minimal presentation of \( M \). Set

\[
i_\phi = \max\{i \mid \text{all entries of } \phi \text{ are in } n^i\}.
\]

It is well known that \( i_\phi \) is an invariant of \( M \). We set \( i(M) = i_\phi \).

Proposition 8.8. (with hypothesis as above) \( i(M) = a_1(M) \).

Proof. When \( \dim M = 0 \) the its clear that \( i(M) = a_1(M) \). We can choose \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \) sufficiently general such that \( i(M) = i(M/xF) \) (see [22, 4.4]). The result follows from the zero-dimensional case. □

Remark 8.9. If \( E = M \oplus N \) then

\[
E_i = \text{Hom}_A(M \oplus N, m^i) = M_i^* \oplus N_i^*.
\]

So \( G(\mathcal{F}_E, E^*) = G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \oplus G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \).

The Dual Filtration in dimension zero.

Proposition 8.10. Let \((Q, \Pi)\) be a DVR. Set \( A = Q/\Pi^e \) for some \( e \geq 2 \) and let \( M \) be an \( A \)-module.

1. If \( M = Q/\Pi^e \) then \( G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(e - i) \).
(2) If $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} Q/(P_{a_i(M)})$ then
\[ G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} G(Q/(P_{a_i(M)}))(e - a_i(M)). \]

(3) $G(\mathcal{F}_M, M) \simeq G(M^*)$ (up to a shift) if and only if $a_1(M) = \cdots = a_{\mu(M)}(M)$.

Proof. (1). Set
\[ M_j = \text{Hom}_A(M, m^j) = \text{Hom}_{Q/(\Pi^e)}(Q/(\Pi^f), (\Pi^f)/(\Pi^e)). \]
Clearly $M_j^* = M^*$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, e - i$.

For $j > e - i$ let $f \in M_j^*$. Let $f(1) = aM = \Pi^{j-i}(\alpha \Pi^{e-i})$.

Define $g \in M^*$ by $g(1) = \Pi^{j-i}(\alpha \Pi^{e-i})$. Clearly $g$ is $A$-linear. Also $g \in M_{e-i} = M^*$.
Thus $f(1) = \Pi^{j-i}(\alpha \Pi^{e-i})g(1) \in \Pi^{j-i}(\alpha \Pi^{e-i})M_{e-i}$. Therefore $M_j^* \subseteq M_{j-i}^* \subseteq M_j^*$ always. So $M_j^* = M_{j-i}^* \subseteq M_j^*$.

Hence $G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(e - i)$.

(2). This follows from [8.9] and [11].

(3). This follows from [22].

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 8.11.** (with hypothesis as in [8.7]) Assume $G(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $G(M^*) \simeq \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A))$ up to a shift.

(ii) $a_1(M) = \cdots = a_{\mu(M)}(M)$.

(iii) $h_M(z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{i(M)-1})$.

(iv) $h_M(z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1})$ for some $s \geq 1$.

We need the following

**Lemma 8.12.** (with hypothesis as in [8.7]) Set $\alpha = i(M)$. Then
\[ h(M, z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{\alpha-1}) + \sum_{i \geq \alpha} h_i(M)z^i. \]

Furthermore $h_{\alpha}(M) < \mu(M)$.

Proof. We prove the result by induction on dimension of $A$. When $\dim A = 0$ then the result follows by [8.3].

If $\dim A > 0$ then let $x \in m \setminus m^2$ be sufficiently general. Set $N = M/xM$. We may choose $x$ such that $i(M) = i(N) = \alpha$, see [22, 4.4]. By induction hypothesis
\[ h(N, z) = \mu(N)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{\alpha-1}) + \sum_{i \geq \alpha} h_i(N)z^i \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\alpha}(N) < \mu(N). \]

By Singh’s Lemma [29, Theorem 1]
\[ H(M, z) = \frac{H(N, z)}{1 - z} - \sum_{i \geq 0} \ell \left( \frac{m^{i+1}M : x}{m^iM} \right) z^i. \]

By [22, 4.6] we get $(m^{i+1}M : x) = m^iM$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1$.

So
\[ h_M(z) = h(N, z) - (1 - z)^d \sum_{i \geq \alpha} \ell \left( \frac{m^{i+1}M : x}{m^iM} \right) z^i. \]
It follows that \( h_i(M) = h_i(N) \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, \alpha - 1 \) and
\[
h_\alpha(M) = h_\alpha(N) - \ell \left( \frac{m^{\alpha+1}M : x}{m^\alpha M} \right) \leq h_\alpha(N) < \mu(N) = \mu(M).
\]
The result follows.

**Corollary 8.13.** If \( h(M, z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1}) \) then \( i(M) = s \) and \( G(M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Proof.** The assertion \( i(M) = s \) follows from Lemma 8.12. The fact that \( G(M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay follows from [22, Theorem 2]. \( \square \)

We now give a

**Proof of Theorem 8.17** (iii) \( \iff \) (ii) follows from [22, Theorem 2].

(iii) \( \implies \) (i). Let \( \mathcal{F}_M \) be the dual filtration on \( M^* \) with respect to \( m \). As \( G(M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay it follows from Corollary 8.16 that \( G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A)) \).

Let \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \in m \setminus m^2 \) be sufficiently general. Set \( N = M/xM \). Then by 4.10 we have
\[
G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)/x^iG(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

Notice \( a_1(M) = \ldots = a_\mu(M)(M) = i(M) \). By 4.10 it follows that the Hilbert series of \( G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \) is \( \mu(M)z^{e-i(M)}(1 + z + \ldots + z^{i(M)-1}) \). It follows that the Hilbert series of \( G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \) is
\[
\frac{\mu(M)z^{e-i(M)}(1 + z + \ldots + z^{i(M)-1})}{(1 - z)^d}
\]
Note \( \alpha = \alpha(M) = e - i(M) \). For all \( i \geq 0 \) we always have \( m^{i+1}M^* \subseteq M^*_{i+\alpha+1} \). So we have an exact sequence
\[
\xrightarrow{\text{m}^{i+1}M^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow 0}
\]
Since the lengths are equal it is an isomorphism. Thus \( m^{i+1}M^* = M^*_{i+\alpha+1} \) for all \( i \geq 0 \). Therefore \( G(M^*) \cong \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A)) \) up to a shift.

(i) \( \implies \) (iii) By hypothesis \( G(M^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \) (up to a shift). Thus \( G(M^*) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. By 4.10 we go mod a maximal regular sequence to reduce to dimension zero case. Here the assertion is true by 8.10(3).

(iii) \( \implies \) (iv) Nothing to show.

(iv) \( \implies \) (iii) Follows from Corollary 8.13. \( \square \)

**8.14. The case when \((A, m)\) is Cohen-Macaulay (need not be a hypersurface ring) but \(a\) is an \(m\)-primary ideal with \(\mu(a) = d + 1\).**

**Remark 8.15.** Assume \( A \) is also complete and equicharacteristic. By 3.6 we get that \([A, m, a]\) has a Gorenstein approximation \([B, n, n, \psi]\) with \(\mu(n) = d + 1\). It follows that \( B \) is a hypersurface ring. Also \( A \) is a MCM as a \( B \)-module. Clearly \( G_a(A) = G_n(A) \). It can be easily checked that \( \omega_A^* \cong \text{Hom}_B(A, B) = A^* \) and \( \Omega_a^A = \text{Hom}_B(G_a(A), \Omega_n^B) \). Also note that \( G_a(B) \cong \Omega^n_a \) up to a shift.

**Theorem 8.16.** Let \((A, m)\) be a Cohen-Macaulay equicharacteristic local ring and a canonical module \(\omega_A\). Let \( a \) be an \(m\)-primary ideal with \(\mu(a) = d + 1\) and \( G_a(A) \) Cohen-Macaulay with canonical module \(\Omega_a^A \). The following conditions are equivalent:
9. A generalization of a result due to Ooishi

9.1. Introduction & Setup: Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a CM local ring with a canonical module \(\omega_A\). Let \(a\) an \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary ideal and \(M\) a CM \(A\)-module. Set \(M^! = \text{Ext}_A^{\dim A - \dim M}(M, \omega_A)\). Assume \(G_a(A)\), \(G_a(M)\) are CM.

Let \(\Omega_a\), \(\mathcal{K}_a\) be the canonical module of \(G_a(A)\), \(\mathcal{R}_a(A)\) respectively. Notice that \(\Omega_a \cong \mathcal{K}_a/t^{-1}\mathcal{K}_a(-1)\) (see [2, 3.6.14]).

In Theorem 9.3 we show that if \(A\) is complete then there is an \(a\)-stable filtration \(\mathcal{F}\) on \(M^!\) such that

\[(9.1.1) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^!) \cong *\text{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{G_a(a)}(\mathcal{R}_a(M), \mathcal{K}_a)\]

\[(9.1.2) \quad \text{equivalently} \quad G(\mathcal{F}(-1), M^!) \cong *\text{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{G_a(a)}(G_a(M), \Omega_a).\]

As an application we give a generalization of a result due to Ooishi.

**Definition 9.2.** [With hypotheses as in 9.1] If there exists an \(a\)-stable filtration \(\mathcal{F}\) on \(M^!\) such that \((9.1.1)\) (equivalently \((9.1.2)\)) holds then we say \(\mathcal{F}\) is a **canonical filtration** on \(M^!\) with respect to \(a\).

Two canonical filtrations (say \(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\)) on \(M^!\) are equivalent, i.e., there exists an \(A\)-linear isomorphism \(\sigma: M^! \rightarrow M^!\) such that \(\sigma(\mathcal{F}_n) = \mathcal{G}_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). This is due to the following well-known result.

**Theorem 9.3.** Let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module and let \(a\) be an ideal in \(A\). Suppose \(\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) and \(\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) are two \(a\)-stable filtrations such that \(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}, M)\) as \(\mathcal{R}_a(A)\)-modules. Then \(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\) are equivalent filtration’s.

We need the following graded version of a result of Rees [25].

**Remark 9.4.** Let \(R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n\) be a graded ring and let \(M, N\) be graded \(R\)-modules. Let \(x\) be a homogeneous element in \(R\). If \(x\) is both \(M\) and \(R\)-regular element and \(x \cdot N = 0\) then

\[\text{Ext}^i_{R/xR}(N, M/xM) \cong \text{Ext}^{i+1}_{R}(N, M)(-\deg x) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.\]

The proof is along the same lines as given (for example in) [2, 3.1.16]
Theorem 9.5. [With hypotheses as in (7.4)] Further assume $A$ is complete. Then $M^\dagger$ has a canonical filtration. Furthermore if $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are two such filtration’s then they are equivalent as filtration’s on $M^\dagger$.

Proof. Uniqueness of the filtration up to equivalence follows by 

Case 1: $M$ is a MCM $A$-module.

Let $[B, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{b}, \phi]$ be a Gorenstein approximation of $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a})$. Consider the dual filtration $\mathcal{F}_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, b^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $M^\dagger$. Since $G_b(B)$ is Gorenstein & $G_b(M) = G_\mathfrak{a}(M)$ is CM, we get

$$G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^\dagger) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_b(B)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), G_b(B)) \quad \text{(see (4.3)).}$$

The canonical module of $G_b(B)$ is $G_b(B)(s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

$$\Omega_\mathfrak{a} \cong \text{Hom}_{G_b(B)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(A), G_b(B)(s)) \quad \text{(see [2, 3.6.12]).}$$

Notice that we have the following isomorphisms of $G = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$-modules

$$\text{Hom}_G(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a}) \cong \text{Hom}_G(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), \text{Hom}_{G_b(B)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), G_b(B)(s)))$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{G_b(B)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), G_b(B)(s))$$

$$\cong G(\mathcal{F}_M(s), M^\dagger), \text{ using (9.4.1) and (2.2).}$$

Case 2: $M$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $A$-module but not necessarily MCM

By case 2 we may assume that $c = \dim A - \dim M > 0$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_c$ be as in Corollary (3.2) Recall

(1) $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_A M$ and $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^* \in \text{ann}_{G_\mathfrak{a}(A)} G_\mathfrak{a}(M)$.

(2) $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*$ is a $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$-regular sequence.

Set $A' = A/(u), \mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a}/(u)$. Then $M$ is a $A'$-module. Also notice that $G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M) = G_\mathfrak{a}(M)$. Since $\Omega_\mathfrak{a}$ is a MCM $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$ module, it follows that $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*$ is $\Omega_\mathfrak{a}$-regular. Notice also that $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_A M^\dagger$ and $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^* \in \text{ann}_{G_\mathfrak{a}(A)} G_\mathfrak{a}(M^\dagger)$.

Set $w = \sum_{i=1}^c \deg u_i^*$. Notice $\Omega_\mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a}^*\Omega_\mathfrak{a})(w)$ is the canonical module of $G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(A')$; cf. [2, 3.6.14]. Using (9.4) repeatedly we get

$$\text{Hom}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(A)}(G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a}^*\Omega_\mathfrak{a})(w)) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(A)}(G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a})(-w).$$

By case 1 we have a $\mathfrak{a}'$-stable filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on $M^\dagger$ such that

$$G(\mathcal{F}, M^\dagger) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(A)}(G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{a}^*\Omega_\mathfrak{a})(w)) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(A)}(G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a})(-w).$$

Notice $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathfrak{a}$-stable filtration on $M^\dagger$. Also $G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M) = G_\mathfrak{a}(M)$. By (9.4.2) we get

$$G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)}^{\dim A - \dim M}(G_{\mathfrak{a}}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a}).$$

We now state our generalization of Ooishi’s result.

Theorem 9.6. [with hypotheses as in (7.4)] Set $r = \text{red}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and assume further that $G(M^\dagger)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $h(M^\dagger, z) = z^r \cdot h(M, z^{-1})$.

(ii) $G(M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)}^{\dim A - \dim M}(G_{\mathfrak{a}}(M), \Omega_\mathfrak{a})$ (up to a shift).

For proving Theorem 9.6 we need the following Lemma which gives a sufficient condition for an $\mathfrak{a}$-stable filtration to be $\mathfrak{a}$-adic.
Lemma 9.7. Let \((A, m)\) be local, \(a\) an \(m\)-primary ideal and let \(M\) be a Cohen-Macaulay \(A\)-module of dimension \(r \geq 1\). Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be an \(a\)-stable filtration on \(M\) such that

\begin{enumerate}[(1)]
  \item \(M_n = M\) for \(n \leq 0\) and \(M_1 \neq M\).
  \item \(G(\mathcal{F}, M)\) is Cohen-Macaulay.
\end{enumerate}

Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_s\) be an \(M\)-superficial sequence with respect to \(M\) such that \(x^* = x_1^*, \ldots, x_s^*\) is \(G(\mathcal{F}, M)\)-regular. Set \(B = A/(x)\), \(b = a/(x)\) and \(N = M/\mathcal{F} M\). Let \(\mathcal{F} = \{(M_n + xM)/xM\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be the quotient filtration on \(N\). If \(\mathcal{F}\) is the \(b\)-adic filtration on \(N\) then \(\mathcal{F}\) is the \(a\)-adic filtration on \(M\).

Proof. Since \(M_0 = M\) we get \(a^n M \subseteq M_i\) for all \(i \geq 1\). We prove by induction on \(n\) that \(M_n = a^n M\) for \(n \geq 1\).

The case \(n = 1\).

\(\mathcal{F}\) is \(b\)-adic. So

\[
\frac{M_1 + xM}{xM} = \frac{aM + xM}{xM}.
\]

Thus \(M_1 + xM = aM + xM\). But \(xM \subseteq aM \subseteq M_1\). Therefore \(M_1 = aM\).

Assume the result for \(n = p\) and prove for \(n = p + 1\). By hypothesis on \(\mathcal{F}\),

\[
\frac{M_{p+1} + xM}{xM} = \frac{a^{p+1}M + xM}{xM}.
\]

Notice

\[
\frac{M_{p+1} + xM}{xM} \cong \frac{M_{p+1}}{xM \cap M_{p+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a^{p+1}M + xM}{xM} \cong \frac{a^{p+1}M}{xM \cap a^{p+1}M}.
\]

Observe that

\[
x a^p M \subseteq a^{p+1} M \cap x M \subseteq M_{p+1} \cap x M
\]

\[
= x M_p \quad \text{[2.3]}
\]

\[
= x a^p M \quad \text{by induction hypothesis}
\]

So \(x M \cap M_{p+1} = x a^p M = a^{p+1} M \cap x M\). The result follows from (*) and (**). \(\square\)

Proof of Theorem 2.6. The assertion (ii) \(\implies\) (i) follows from [2] 4.4.5).

To prove the converse, we note that using the argument Case 2 in Theorem 9.5 we may assume that \(M\) is a MCM \(A\)-module. Furthermore we may assume that \(A\) is complete with infinite residue field.

We first consider the case when \(\dim A = 0\). Consider the usual \(a\)-adic filtration:

\[
M^\dagger \supseteq a M^\dagger \supseteq a^2 M^\dagger \supseteq \ldots \supseteq a^r M^\dagger \supseteq a^{r+1} M^\dagger = 0
\]

Let the canonical filtration of \(M^\dagger\) (up to a shift) be:

\[
M^\dagger \supseteq q_1 \supseteq q_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq q_s \supseteq q_{s+1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad q_1 \neq M^\dagger.
\]

Let the Hilbert series of \(M^\dagger\) with respect to \(a\) be

\[
H_a(M, z) = h_0 + \ldots + h_r z^r.
\]

Then the Hilbert series of the canonical module of \(G_a(M)^\dagger\) is

\[
H_a(M, z^{-1}) = h_r z^{-r} + h_{r-1} z^{-r+1} + \ldots + h_1 z^{-1} + h_0.
\]

cf. [2] 4.4.5. It follow that \(s = r\). Also \(\ell(q_r) = h_0 = h_r = \ell(a^r M^\dagger)\). Since \(q_r \supseteq a^r M^\dagger\) we have \(a^r M^\dagger = q_r\).

By downward induction we show \(q_i \supseteq a^i M^\dagger\) for \(i \leq r\). Notice \(q_i \supseteq a^i M^\dagger\).
For $i = r$ we just showed $a^r M^1 = q_r$. Assume for $i = j + 1$ and prove for $i = j$. Notice that
\[ \ell \left( \frac{q_j}{q_{j+1}} \right) = h_{r-j} = h_j = \ell \left( \frac{a^j M^1}{a^{j+1} M^1} \right). \]
But $q_{j+1} = a^{j+1} M^1$ and $q_j \supseteq a^j M^1$, so $q_j = a^j M^1$. The result follows.

Next we consider the case when $d = \dim A > 0$. By hypothesis $G_a(A), G_a(M)$ are Cohen-Macaulay. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in a/a^2$ be such that $x_1^* \ldots, x_d^*$ is $G_a(A)$ and $G_a(M)$ regular sequence. Set $B = A/(x)$, $N = M/xM$ and $b = aB$. Furthermore $G_b(B) = G_a(A)/(x^*)$ and $G_b(N) = G_a(M)/x^*G_a(M)$. So the $h$-vector of $G_b(N)$ is symmetric. By the previous case we have that the $b$-adic filtration on $N^1$ is the canonical filtration on $N^1$ up to a shift. By $9.7$ it follows that the $a$-adic filtration on $M^1$ is the canonical filtration on $M^1$ up to a shift. Therefore
\[ G(M^1) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), \Omega_a) \quad \text{(up to a shift).} \]

10. Application of our generalization of Ooishi’s result

We use Theorem $9.16$ to show that the dual filtration with respect to $m$ of a MCM module $M$, over a Gorenstein local ring $A$ with $G_m(A)$ Gorenstein, is a shift of the usual $m$-adic filtration in the following cases:

(i) $M$ is Ulrich (i.e., $\deg h_M(z) = 0$; equivalently $e(M) = \mu(M)$).
(ii) $\text{type}(M) = e(M) - \mu(M)$ and $M$ has minimal multiplicity.

Assume $(R, n)$ is CM local with a canonical module $\omega_R$ and $G_q(R)$ is CM for some $q$-primary ideal $q$. We use Theorem $9.6$ to give an easily verifiable condition on whether $G_a(\omega_R)$ is the canonical module of $G_a(R)$ (up to a shift).

The following criterion is useful to show dual filtrations are $a$-adic up to a shift.

**Proposition 10.1.** Let $(A, m)$ be Gorenstein, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal with $G_a(A)$ Gorenstein. Let $M$ be an MCM $A$-module with $G_a(M)$ Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the dual filtration of $M$ with respect to $a$. Set $c = \text{red}(a, M)$. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ be an $A$ superficial sequence with respect to $a$. Set $(B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))$, $b = A/(x)$ and $N = M/xM$. Set $N^* = \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $h(N^*, z) = z^c \cdot h(M, z^{-1})$.
(ii) $G_a(M^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)$ (up to a shift).
(iii) $\mathcal{F}$ is the $a$-adic filtration of $M^*$ (up to a shift).

**Proof.** (iii) $\implies$ (ii). Is trivial
(ii) $\implies$ (iii). Follows from $2.5$
(i) $\implies$ (iii). Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the dual filtration of $N^*$ with respect to $b$. Notice $h(N, z) = h(M, z)$, and $G_a(M)$ is CM. Using $9.6$ we get that $\mathcal{G}$ is $b$-adic up to a shift.

Notice $x^* = x_1^*, \ldots, x_d^*$ is a $G_a(A)$-regular sequence. Using $4.10$ we get that $\mathcal{G}$ is the quotient filtration of $\mathcal{F}$. By $9.7$ it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ is $a$-adic up to a shift.

Applications:

Dual filtrations of Ulrich modules:
Theorem 10.2. Let $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring with $G_\mathfrak{m}(A)$ Gorenstein. Assume $A$ has infinite residue field. Let $r = \text{red}(A)$. Let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $M_{r+i} = \mathfrak{m}^i M^*$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) $G(F, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(-r)$.
(iii) $M$ is an Ulrich $A$-module.

Proof. By 2.5 (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

The following conditions are equivalent:

Let $\text{dim}_k \text{Ext}^d_k(k, M)$. If $M$ has minimal multiplicity then $\tau(M) \geq e_0(M) - \mu(M)$.

Remark 10.3. Let type$(M) =$ Cohen-Macaulay-type of $M = \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^d(k, M)$. Notice $\alpha(M) = r = \text{red}(A)$. So $M$ is Ulrich; see \text{[111]}.

Dual filtration of non-Ulrich Modules having minimal multiplicity

Theorem 10.4. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring with an infinite residue field. Assume $G_\mathfrak{m}(A)$ is Gorenstein. Let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module with minimal multiplicity with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ and $M$ is NOT Ulrich. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)$.
(ii) $M^*$ has minimal multiplicity and $G(F_M, M^*) \simeq G_M(M^*)$ up to a shift.
(iii) $G(F_M, M^*) \simeq G_M(M^*)$ up to a shift.

Proof. Set $G = G_M(A)$, $G(M) = G_M(A)$. (i) $\implies$ (ii). Since $M$ has minimal multiplicity we get that

$$h(M, z) = \mu(M) + (e_0(M) - \mu(M))z$$

Notice $M^*$ is MCM. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ be sufficiently general. Set $(B, n) = (A/\langle x \rangle, \mathfrak{m}/\langle x \rangle)$, $N = M/\mathfrak{x} M$. Also note that $N^* \cong M^*/\mathfrak{x} M^*$. Since $n^2N = 0$, we get $n^2N^* = 0$. So $H(N^*, z) = \mu(N^*) + \ell(nN^*)z$. By hypothesis it follows that $\mu(N^*) = \text{type}(N) = \text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)$. Since $\ell(N^*) = e_0(N^*) = e_0(N) = e_0(M)$ we get that

$$h(N^*, z) = (e_0(M) - \mu(M)) + \mu(M)z = zh(M, z^{-1}).$$

So by [10.1] we get $G(F_M, M^*) \cong G(M^*)$ up to a shift. So $G(M^*)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (by [4.10]). Since $N^* \cong M^*/\mathfrak{x} M^*$ and $N^*$ has minimal multiplicity it follows that $M^*$ has minimal multiplicity.

(ii) $\implies$ (iii) is clear.

(iii) $\implies$ (i) Using [10.1] and [4.8] it follows that $h(M^*, z) = zh(M, z^{-1})$. It follows that $\text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)$. 

\hfill $\Box$
Associated graded module of the Canonical module

10.5. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module \(\omega_A\). Let \(\mathfrak{a}\) be an \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary ideal such that \(G_\mathfrak{a}(A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay. Let \(r = \text{red}(\mathfrak{a}, A)\). Let \(\Omega_\mathfrak{a}\) be the canonical module of \(G_\mathfrak{a}(A)\). Let \(\text{type}(A) = \ell(\text{Ext}_A^d(A/\mathfrak{m}, A))\) be the Cohen-Macaulay type of \(A\).

**Theorem 10.6.** [Hypothesis as in [10.5]] Let \(x, \ldots, x_d\) be a maximal \(A\)-superficial sequence with respect to \(\mathfrak{a}\). Set \(B = A/(x)\) and \(\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}/(x)\). If \(h(\omega_B, z) = z^r h(B, z^{-1})\) then \(G_\mathfrak{a}(\omega_A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay and isomorphic to \(\Omega_\mathfrak{a}\) up to a shift.

**Proof.** Notice that we may assume that \(A\) is complete. Then by [11.3] there exists \([R, n, q, \phi]\), a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, m, \mathfrak{a}]\) with the property that there exists \(y_1, \ldots, y_d \in q\) such that \(\phi(y_i) = x_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, d\). Furthermore \(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*\) is \(G_q(R)\)-regular. Notice that \(\omega_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, R)\), i.e., the dual of the MCM \(R\)-module \(A\). The result follows from 10.1.

Theorem 10.6 is quite practical as shown by the following:

**Example 10.7.** Let \(A = \mathbb{Q}[t^{13}, t^{18}, t^{23}, t^{28}, t^{33}]\) and \(m = (t^{13}, \ldots, t^{33})\). Set \(B = A/(t^{13})\). By [15] 1.1; \(G_m(A_m)\) is CM. Set \(R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]\). Consider the natural map \(\phi: R \to A\) with \(\phi(x) = t^{13}, \ldots, \phi(w) = t^{33}\). Using MACAULAY we can compute \(q = \ker \phi\). Set \(S = R/q\) and \(n = (x, y, z, w)\). Also set \(T = S/(x)\). Using COCOA we get:

\[ h(A, z) = h(S, z) = 1 + 4z + 4z^2 + 4z^3 \quad \text{and} \quad h(B, z) = h(T, z) = 1 + 4z + 4z^2 + 4z^3 \]

This proves that \(G_n(S_n)\) is CM and \(x^*\) is \(G_n(S_n)\)-regular. (Notice this also proves \(G_m(A_m)\) is CM and \(t^{13}\) is \(G_m(A_m)\)-regular).

We consider \(R\) graded with \(\deg x = 13, \deg y = 18, \deg z = 28\) and \(\deg w = 33\). Set \(D = \text{Ext}_R^1(R/(q + (x)), R)\). Note that:

\[ D \cong \omega_T / \omega_N / x \omega_N \cong \omega_A / t^{13} \omega_A. \]

Using MACAULAY we get that:

\[ h(D, z) = 4 + 4z + 4z^2 + z^3 = z^4 h(B, z)^{-1}. \]

By [10.6] we get that \(G(\omega_A)\) is the canonical module of \(G_m(A_m)\) up to a shift.

An easy consequence of 10.6 is the following:

**Corollary 10.8.** Let \((A, m)\) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring with \(\text{red}(A) = 2\). Then \(G_m(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_m(\text{up to a shift})\) if and only if \(\text{type}(A) = e_0(A) - h_1(A) - 1\).

**Proof.** Notice \(G_m(A)\) is CM; [27] 2.1. Set \(h(A, z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + e = e_0(A) = e_0(\omega_A)\).

\((\Rightarrow)\) This implies \(h(\omega_A, z) = z^2 h(A, z)^{-1}\). It follows that:

\[ \text{type}(A) = \mu(\omega) = h_2 = e_0(A) - h_1 - 1. \]

\((\Leftarrow)\) We may assume \(A\) has infinite residue field. Notice \(h_2 = \text{type}(A)\). Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_n\) be a maximal superficial \(A\)-sequence. Set \((B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))\). Notice \(\omega_B \cong \omega_A/(x)\omega_A\). Check that:

\[ h(\omega_B, z) = \text{type}(B) + (e - \text{type}(B) - 1)z + z^2 = z^2 h(A, z^{-1}). \]

The result follows from 10.6.
11. CI approximation: The general case

In this section we prove our general result regarding complete intersection (CI) approximation; see \ref{11.3}. In \ref{3.6} we showed that every \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \) in an equicharacteristic local ring \( A \) has a CI-approximation. Even if we are interested only in the case of \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideals; we have to take some care for dealing with the case of local rings with mixed characteristics. The essential point is to show existence of homogeneous regular sequences in certain graded ideals. We also prove a Lemma regarding lifting of superficial sequence along a Gorenstein approximation; see \ref{11.4}. This section is divided into two subsections. In the first subsection \( \mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded algebra over a local ring \( (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) \). When \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) is a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module generated by elements in \( M_0 \), we give conditions to ensure a regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \Ann_R M \) where \( c = \dim R - \dim M \) (see Theorem \ref{11.2}). In the second subsection we prove Theorem \ref{11.3}.

**Homogeneous regular sequence**

Let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard algebra over a local ring \( (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) \) and let \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) be a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module. In general a graded module \( M \) need not have homogeneous regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \Ann_R M \) where \( c = \grade M \) (see \ref{11.1}). When \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) is a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module generated by elements in \( M_0 \), we give conditions to ensure a regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \Ann_R M \) where \( c = \dim R - \dim M \).

We adapt an example from \cite{2} p. 34] to show that a homogeneous regular sequence of length \( \grade M \) in \( R_+ \cap \Ann_R M \) need not exist.

**Example 11.1.** Let \( A = k[[X]] \) and \( T = A[Y] \). Set \( \mathfrak{M} = T/(XY) \). Notice that \( R_0 = A \). Set \( \mathfrak{M} = (X,Y)R \) the unique graded maximal ideal of \( R \) and let \( M = R/\mathfrak{M} = k \). Clearly \( \grade M = \grade(\mathfrak{M}, R) = 1 \). It can be easily checked that every homogeneous element in \( R_+ \) is a zero-divisor.

The following result regarding existence of homogeneous regular sequence in \( (\Ann M) \cap R_+ \) (under certain conditions) is crucial in our proof of Theorem \ref{11.3}.

**Theorem 11.2.** Let \( (R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0) \) be a local ring and let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded \( R_0 \)-algebra and let \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) be a finitely generated \( R \)-module. Assume \( R \) has a homogeneous s.o.p. Set \( c = \dim R - \dim M \). Assume

1. \( R_0 \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay.
2. \( M \) is generated as an \( R \)-module by some elements in \( M_0 \).
3. There exists \( y_1, \ldots, y_s \in R_0 \) a system of parameters for both \( M_0 \) and \( R_0 \) and a part of a homogeneous system of parameters for both \( M \) and \( R \).

Then there exists a homogeneous regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \) such that \( \xi_i \in \Ann_R M \) for each \( i \).

**Proof.** We prove this by induction on \( s = \dim R_0 \). When \( s = 0 \) \( R_0 \) is Artinian. So \( \grade(\Ann M, R) = \grade(\Ann M \cap R_+, R) \). As \( R \) is CM we have \( \grade(\Ann M, R) = c \). The result follows from \cite{2} 1.5.11].

We prove the assertion for \( s = r + 1 \) assuming it to be valid for \( s = r \). Set \( S = R/y_1 R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n \) and \( N = M/y_1 M \). Note that \( N \) is also generated in degree 0. Let \( \overline{y}_i = y_i \mod (y_1) \) with \( 2 \leq i \leq s \). Then \( \overline{y}_2 \ldots \overline{y}_s \) is a system of parameters for both \( S_0 \) and \( N_0 = M_0/y_1 M \). Notice \( c = \dim R - \dim M = \dim S - \dim N \). Also
note that $S$ has h.s.o.p. By induction hypothesis there exists a $S$-regular sequence $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in S_+$ such that $\xi_i \in \text{ann}_S N$ for each $i$.

$R$ is CM. So $y_1$ is $R$-regular. Therefore $y_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_c$ is an $R$-regular sequence. Set $q = y_1R_+$. Let $M$ be generated as an $R$-module by $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in M_0$. Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and set $\xi = \xi_i$. By construction $\xi u_j \in q M$ for each $j$. However $\xi \in R_+$ and $y_1 \in R_0$. So $\xi u_j \in q M$ for each $j$. By the determinant trick (observing that each $u_j$ has degree zero) there exists a \textit{homogeneous} polynomial
\[ \Delta(\xi) = \xi^n + a_1\xi^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n \xi + a_n \in \text{ann}_R M \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \in q^i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n. \]
Clearly $\Delta(\xi) \in R_+$. Notice that $\Delta(\xi) = \xi^n \mod (y_1)$. So $y_1, \Delta(\xi_1), \ldots, \Delta(\xi_c)$ is a regular sequence. \[ \text{[}\text{14]} \text{16.1}. \] Therefore $\Delta(\xi_1), \Delta(\xi_2), \ldots, \Delta(\xi_c)$ is an $R$-regular sequence. This proves the assertion when $s = r + 1$.

\textbf{CI approximation}

In this subsection we prove our general result regarding CI-approximation.

\textbf{Theorem 11.3.} Let $(A, m)$ be a complete local ring and let $a$ be a proper ideal in $A$ with $\dim A/a + s(a) = \dim A$. Then $A$ has a CI-approximation with respect to $a$.

Furthermore if $a = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ then we may choose a CI-approximation $[B, n, b, \varphi]$ of $[A, m, a]$ such that there exists $u_1, \ldots, u_m \in b$ with $\varphi(u_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

\textbf{Proof.} Notice $G_a(A)$ has a homogeneous s.o.p (see proof of Proposition 2.6 in \[ \text{[}\text{6]} \]. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in A$ such that $\overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_s}$ (their images in $A/a$) is an s.o.p of $A/a$.

\textbf{Case 1.} $A$ contains a field: As $A$ is a complete $A$ contains $k = A/m$. Set $S = k[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_s, X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$. Define $\phi : S \to A$ which maps $Y_i$ to $y_i$ and $X_j$ to $x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $q = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_s)$. Notice
\[ \frac{A}{(q, X_1, \ldots, X_n)A} = \frac{A}{(a, y_1, \ldots, y_s)} \] has finite length.

As $S$ is complete we get that $A$ is a finitely generated $S$-module, cf. \[ \text{[}\text{14]} \text{8.4}. \] Since $\psi((X))A = a$, we get $G_a(A) = G_{(X)}(A)$ is a finitely generated $G_{(X)}(S)$-module.

Notice $G_{(X)}(S) \cong S/(X)[X_1^*, \ldots, X_s^*]$ and $S/(X) \cong k[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_s]]$. Furthermore $G_{(X)}(A) = G_a(A)$ is generated in degree zero as a $G_{(X)}(S)$-module. Set $c = \dim S - \dim A = \dim G_{(X)}(S) - \dim G_a(A)$. Notice that $Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a s.o.p of $G_{(X)}(S)a$ and $G_a(A)_0$. We apply Theorem 11.2 to get $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in (X)$ such that $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in G_{(X)}(S)$ is a \textit{regular sequence} in $G_{(X)}(S)$ and $\xi^*_i \in \text{ann}_{G_{(X)}(S)} G_a(A)$ for all $i$. We now apply Lemma 3.1 to get $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in (X)$ an $S$-regular sequence in $\text{ann}_S(A)$ such that $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*$ is a $G_{(X)}(S)$-regular sequence in $\text{ann}_{G_{(X)}(S)} G_a(A)$.

Set $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_c)$, $B = S/u$, $b = (X + u)/u$. Let $n$ be the maximal ideal in $B$ and let $\overline{\phi} : B \to A$ be the map induced by $\phi : S \to A$. Then it is clear that $(B, n, b, \overline{\phi})$ is a CI approximation of $A$ with respect to $a$.

\textbf{Case 2.} $A$ does not contain a field: There exists a DVR $(D, \pi)$ and a local ring homomorphism $\eta : D \to A$ such that $\eta$ induces an isomorphism $D/(\pi) \to A/m$. Set $S = D[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_s, X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$. Define $\phi : S \to A$, with $\phi(d) = \eta(d)$ for each $d \in D$ and maps $Y_i$ to $y_i$ and $X_j$ to $x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $q = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_s)$. Notice
\[ \frac{A}{(q, X_1, \ldots, X_n)A} = \frac{A}{(a, y_1, \ldots, y_s)} \] has finite length.

As $S$ is complete we get that $A$ is a finitely generated $S$-module.
Set $T = S/(X) = G_X(S)_0$. Notice $\dim T$ is one more than that of $A/\mathfrak{a} = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)_0$. To deal with this situation we use an argument from [24], which we repeat for the convenience of the reader. Let $t$ be the maximal ideal of $T$. Set $\overline{Y}_i$ be image of $Y_i$ in $T$. Set $V = A/\mathfrak{a}$. Note that

$$t = \sqrt{\ann_T(V/\overline{Y}V)} = \sqrt{\ann_T(V) + (\overline{Y})}.$$ 

So there exists $\overline{f} \in \ann_T(V) \setminus (\overline{Y})$ such that $\overline{f}, \overline{Y}_1, \ldots, \overline{Y}_s$ is an s.o.p. of $T$. Since $T$ is CM; $f, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $T$-regular sequence. So $X_1, \ldots, X_n, f, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $S$-regular sequence. Since $\overline{f} \in \ann_T(A/\mathfrak{a})$ we get $fA \subseteq \mathfrak{a}A$. Using the determinant trick there exists

$$\Delta = f^m + \alpha_1 f^{m-1} + \ldots + \alpha_m f + \alpha_m \in \ann_S A \quad \& \alpha_i \in (X)^i; \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$ 

Notice that $\Delta = f^m \equiv (X)^m$, Thus $X_1, \ldots, X_n, \Delta, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $S$-regular sequence. So $\Delta$ is $S$-regular. Set $U = S/(\Delta)$ and $\xi = (\langle X \rangle + (\Delta))/(\Delta)$. Notice that $A$ is a finitely generated $U$-module and $G_\xi(A) = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. Furthermore $G_\xi(U) \cong T/(f^m)[X_1^*, \ldots, X_n^*]$ is CI.

Note that as a $G_\xi(U)$ module $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$ is generated in degree zero. Furthermore $\dim G_\xi(U)_0 = \dim G_\mathfrak{a}(A)_0$. Set $c = \dim U - d = \dim G_\xi(U) - \dim G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. The subsequent argument is similar to that of Case 1. \hfill $\Box$

The following result is needed for Theorem 10.6

**Lemma 11.4.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d$ and let $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d, w_1, \ldots, w_s)$ be a $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Assume that $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is an $A$-superficial sequence. Then there exist $[B, n, b, \phi]$, a Gorenstein approximation of $[A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]$ such that

1. there exists $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in \mathfrak{b}$ with $\phi(v_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$.
2. $v_1^*, \ldots, v_d^*$ is $G_b(B)$-regular.

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 11.3 shows that there exists a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, \eta)$ of dimension $d+s$, an $\eta$-primary ideal and a ring homomorphism $\psi: R \to A$ such that

1. $A$ is a finitely generated as a $R$-module (via $\psi$).
2. (a) In the equicharacteristic case $R = k[[V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s]]$.
   (b) In the mixed characteristic case $R = D/(f)[[V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s]]$ with $(D, \Pi)$ a DVR and $f \in (\Pi)$.
3. In both cases $q = (V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s)$, $\psi(V_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and $\psi(W_i) = w_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. Notice $G_\eta(R) = k[V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*, W_1^*, \ldots, W_s^*]$ in the equicharacteristic case and $G_\eta(R) = D/(f)[V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*, W_1^*, \ldots, W_s^*]$ in the mixed characteristic case.

Set $c = \dim R - d = \dim A$. Set $S = R/(V_1, \ldots, V_d)$ and $\overline{q} = q/(V_1, \ldots, V_d)$. Note $G_{\overline{q}}(S) = G_\eta(R)/(V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*)$. Set $C = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)\overline{q}$

We then choose $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in \overline{q}$ such that $\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_c^* \in \ann_{G_{\overline{q}}(S)} G_{\overline{q}}(C)$ and that it is a regular sequence in $G_{\overline{q}}(R)$. After raising powers we may assume that $\deg \xi_i^* = r$ for all $i$. Set $M = A$ (note $A$ need not be cyclic as a $R$-module). Fix $i$. Set $J = \mathfrak{a}^{r+1}$. We have $\xi_i M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{r+1} M + (V_1, \ldots, V_d)M$. By the determinant trick there exists a monic polynomial $f(X) \in A[X]$ such that

$$f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} X + a_n \quad \text{with } a_i \in J^i + (V_1, \ldots, V_d).$$
and \( u_i = f(\xi_i) \in \text{ann } M \) It can be easily checked (as in proof of Lemma 3.1) that in \( G_q(S) \) we have \( u_i = (\xi_i^n)^* = (\xi_i)\). Thus \( V_1, \cdots, V_d, u_1, \ldots, u_n \) is a \( G_q(R) \)-regular sequence. Then note that \( u_1, \ldots, u_n, V_1, \cdots, V_d \) is also a \( G_q(R) \)-regular sequence.

Set \( B = R/(u), b = q/(u) \) and \( \phi = \psi \otimes R/(u) \). Notice \( G_b(B) = G_q(R)/(u)^* \).

Set \( v_i = \text{image of } V_i \) in \( B \). Then note that \( v_1, \cdots, v_d^* \) is a \( G_q(B) \)-regular sequence and \([B, n, b, \phi] \), a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, m, a]\).

\[ \square \]

12. Dual Filtrations mod a superficial sequence

The behavior of Dual filtrations mod a superficial sequence is a crucial result in our paper. Unfortunately the proof is technical and unappealing.

12.1. Setup: Let \((A, m)\) be Gorenstein, \(a\)-equimultiple with \(G_q(A)\) Gorenstein and let \(M\) be a \(MCM\) \(A\)-module. We assume \(A/m\) is an infinite field. Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_r\) be a \(M \oplus A\) superficial sequence with respect to \(a\). Set \(B = A/(x)\), \(b = a/(X)\) and \( N = M/xM \). The natural map \(G_q(A)/(x^n) \rightarrow G_q(B)\) is an isomorphism. Set

\[ \mathcal{F}_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_N = \{\text{Hom}_B(N, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}. \]

A natural question is when is

\[ G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*). \]

Theorem 12.5 asserts that this is so when \(G_q(M)\) is Cohen-Macaulay.

Some Natural Maps:

12.2. The natural maps \(\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(N, b^n)\) induces a map of \(\mathcal{R}_A(A)\)-modules

\[ \Gamma^M_x : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*). \]

Set \(q = (x_1t, \ldots, x_rt)\). Clearly \(q\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \subseteq \text{ker } \Gamma^M_x \). Since \(\mathcal{R}_A(A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay, we have \(\mathcal{R}_A(A)/q\mathcal{R}_A(A) = \mathcal{R}_b(B)\). So we have a map \(\mathcal{R}_b(B)\)-modules

\[ \Gamma^M_x : \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{q\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*). \]

12.3. The map \(\Gamma^M_x\) induces a map of \(G_q(A)\)-modules

\[ \Theta^M_x : G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*). \]

Clearly \(x^*G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \subseteq \text{ker } \Theta^M_x \). So we have a map \(G_b(B)\)-modules

\[ \Theta^M_x : \frac{G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{x^*G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \rightarrow G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*). \]

12.4. The natural map \(\mathcal{R}_A(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_b(B)\) induces a natural map of \(\mathcal{R}_A(A)\)-modules

\[ \Pi^M_x : *\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(A)}(\mathcal{R}(a, M), \mathcal{R}_A(A)) \longrightarrow *\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_b(B)}(\mathcal{R}(b, N), \mathcal{R}_b(B)). \]

Clearly \(q \left( *\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(A)}(\mathcal{R}(a, M), \mathcal{R}_A(A)) \right) \subseteq \text{ker } \Pi^M_x \).

Theorem 12.5. [with assumptions as in 12.1] If \(G_q(M)\) is Cohen-Macaulay then

1. \(\Gamma^M_x\) is an isomorphism of \(\mathcal{R}_b(B)\)-modules.
2. \(\Theta^M_x\) is an isomorphism of \(G_b(B)\)-modules.

It suffices to prove for \(r = 1\) case. Clearly if \(\Gamma^M_x\) is an isomorphism then \(\Theta^M_x\) is an isomorphism. The following observation enables us to analyze the case \(r = 1\).
Observation 12.6. Let \( x \in \mathfrak{a} \). We have a commutative diagram of \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-modules

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^* ) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^M_x} & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \\
\Psi_M \downarrow & & \Psi_N \\
^\ast \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{a}, M), \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A)) & \xrightarrow{\Pi^M_x} & ^\ast \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(b, N), \mathcal{R}_b(B))
\end{array}
\]

Here \( \Psi_M \) is as in (13). Notice that by (12.2.4) \( \Psi_M \) and \( \Psi_N \) are isomorphisms.

Remark 12.7. It is convenient to look at 12.6 in the following way:

1. By 12.2.1 and 12.2.2 we have a complex of \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-modules:

\[
\mathcal{C} : \quad 0 \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)(-1) \xrightarrow{x_t} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^M_x} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \to 0.
\]

2. Set \( \mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{a}, M), \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \) and \( \mathcal{R}_b = \mathcal{R}_b(B) \). By (12.2.4) we have a complex \( \mathcal{D} \) of \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-modules:

\[
0 \to ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(-1) \xrightarrow{x_t} ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^M_x} ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(N), \mathcal{R}) \to 0.
\]

3. We consider \( \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \) as co-chain complexes starting at 0; i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{C} : \quad 0 \to C^0 \to C^1 \to C^2 \to 0 \quad \& \quad \mathcal{D} : \quad 0 \to D^0 \to D^1 \to D^2 \to 0.
\]

4. By (12.2.6) it follows that we have a chain map of complexes of \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-modules

\[
\Xi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}
\]

with \( \Xi^i \) an isomorphism for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \).

5. It can be easily verified that \( \mathcal{C} \) is exact if and only if \( \mathcal{D} \) is exact.

Proof of Theorem 12.7. It suffices to prove for \( r = 1 \). Notice that (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2).

(1) By assumption \( x \) is an M\( \bigoplus \mathfrak{A} \)-superficial. Set \( u = xt \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A)_1 \). Since \( G_\mathfrak{a}(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay we have \( x^* \) is \( G_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-regular. So \( u \) is \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \)-regular. It is clear that \( \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A)/u\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) = \mathcal{R}_b(B) \). Set \( \mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{a}, M), \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{a}(A) \). Also set \( \mathcal{R}_b = \mathcal{R}_b(B) \) and \( \mathcal{R}(N) = \mathcal{R}(b, M) \). Since \( x \) is \( M \)-superficial we have an isomorphism \( \vartheta_M : \mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M) \to \mathcal{R}(N) \). The exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{R}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\vartheta_M} \mathcal{R}_b \to 0
\]

yields an exact sequence of \( \mathcal{R} \)-modules

\[
0 \to ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(-1) \xrightarrow{u} ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\rho_M} ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \to \cdots
\]

Since \( \mathcal{R} \) is Gorenstein and \( \mathcal{R}(M) \) is an MCM \( \mathcal{R} \)-module we get \( ^\ast \text{Ext}^1_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) = 0 \). Also notice that \( ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}_b) = ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}_b) \). Furthermore the isomorphism \( \nu_M : ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}_b) \to ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(N), \mathcal{R}_b) \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\nu_M : ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}_b) \to ^\ast \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(N), \mathcal{R}_b)
\]

It can be easily checked that \( \nu_M \circ \rho_M = \Pi^M_x \).

Therefore the complex \( \mathcal{D} \) in (12.2.4) is exact. By (12.2.5) we get that the complex \( \mathcal{C} \) in (12.7) is exact. Thus \( \Gamma^M_x \) is onto and \( \ker \Gamma^M_x = u\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M) \). Therefore \( \Gamma^M_x \) is an isomorphism.

\( \square \)
13. Some Preliminaries to prove Itoh’s conjecture

In [23] we introduced a new technique to investigate problems relating to associated graded modules. In this section we collect all the relevant results which we proved in [23]. Throughout this section \((A, m)\) is a Noetherian local ring with infinite residue field, \(M\) is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension \(r \geq 1\) and \(I\) is an \(m\)-primary ideal.

13.1. Set \(S = A[[I]];\) the Rees Algebra of \(I\). In [23] 4.2 we proved that \(L^i(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M/I^{n+1}M\) is a \(S\)-module. Note that \(L^i(M)\) is not finitely generated \(S\)-module.

13.2. Set \(\mathfrak{M} = m \oplus S_+\). Let \(H^i(-) = H^i_{\mathfrak{M}}\) denote the \(i\)th-local cohomology functor with respect to \(\mathfrak{M}\). Recall a graded \(S\)-module \(L\) is said to be \(^s\)-Artinian if every descending chain of graded submodules of \(L\) terminates. For example if \(E\) is a finitely generated \(S\)-module then \(H^i(E)\) is \(^s\)-Artinian for all \(i \geq 0\).

13.3. In [23] 4.7 we proved that

\[
H^0(L^i(M)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^{n+1}M}{I^{n+1}M}.
\]

13.4. For \(L^i(M)\) we proved that for \(0 \leq i \leq r - 1\)

(a) \(H^i(L^i(M))\) are \(^s\)-Artinian; see [23] 4.4.

(b) \(H^i(L^i(M))_n = 0\) for all \(n \gg 0\); see [23] 1.10.

(c) \(H^i(L^i(M))_n\) has finite length for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\); see [23] 6.4.

(d) \(\lambda(H^i(L^i(M)))_n\) coincides with a polynomial for all \(n \ll 0\); see [23] 6.4.

13.5. The natural maps \(0 \to I^nM/I^{n+1}M \to M/I^{n+1}M \to M/I^nM \to 0\) induce an exact sequence of \(R(I)\)-modules

\[
0 \to G_I(M) \to L^i(M) \xrightarrow{\Pi} L^i(M)(-1) \to 0.
\]

We call (13.5.1) the first fundamental exact sequence. We use (13.5.1) also to relate the local cohomology of \(G_I(M)\) and \(L^i(M)\).

13.6. Let \(x\) be \(M\)-superficial with respect to \(I\) and set \(N = M/xM\) and \(u = \lambda t \in S_1\). Notice \(L^i(M)/uL^i(M) = L^i(N)\). Let \(I = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)\). There exists \(c_i \in A\) such that \(x = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i x_i\). Set \(X = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i X_i\). For each \(n \geq 1\) we have the following exact sequence of \(A\)-modules:

\[
0 \to \frac{I^{n+1}M - x}{I^nM} \to \frac{M}{I^nM} \xrightarrow{\psi_n} \frac{M}{I^{n+1}M} \to \frac{N}{I^{n+1}N} \to 0,
\]

where \(\psi_n(m + I^nM) = xm + I^{n+1}M\).

This sequence induces the following exact sequence of \(S\)-modules:

\[
0 \to B^i(x, M) \to L^i(M)(-1) \xrightarrow{\Psi_X} L^i(M) \xrightarrow{\rho^X} L^i(N) \to 0,
\]

where \(\Psi_X\) is left multiplication by \(X\) and

\[
B^i(x, M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{(I^{n+1}M : Mx)}{I^nM}.
\]

We call (13.6.1) the second fundamental exact sequence.
13.7. Notice $\lambda(B^l(x, M)) < \infty$. A standard trick yields the following long exact sequence connecting the local cohomology of $L^l(M)$ and $L^l(N)$:

$$0 \rightarrow B^l(x, M) \rightarrow H^0(L^l(M))(-1) \rightarrow H^0(L^l(M)) \rightarrow H^0(L^l(N))$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(L^l(M))(-1) \rightarrow H^1(L^l(M)) \rightarrow H^1(L^l(N))$$

(13.7.1) $\cdots$

13.8. We will use the following well-known result regarding $*$-Artinian modules quite often:

Let $L$ be a $*$-Artinian $S$-module.

(a) If $\psi: L(-1) \rightarrow L$ is a monomorphism then $L = 0$.
(b) If $\phi: L \rightarrow L(-1)$ is a monomorphism then $L = 0$.

13.9. Set $L = L^l(M)$,

$$\xi_l(M) := \min_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} \{ i \mid H^i(L)_{-1} \neq 0 \text{ or } \ell(H^i(L)) = \infty \}. $$

$$\amp_I(M) := \max \{ n \mid H^i(L)_{n-1} \neq 0 \text{ for } i = 0, \ldots, \xi_l(M) - 1 \}. $$

In [23, 7.5] we showed that

$$\text{depth } G_{II}(M) = \xi_l(M) \text{ for all } l > \amp_I(M).$$

13.10. Recall an ideal $I$ is said to be asymptotically normal if $I^n$ is integrally closed for all $n \gg 0$. If $I$ is a asymptotically normal $m$-primary ideal and $\dim A \geq 2$ then by a result of Huckaba and Huneke [8, 3.8], depth $G_{II}(A) \geq 2$ for all $l \gg 0$ (also see [23, 7.3]). It also follows from [23, 9.2] that in this case $H^i(L)_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. In particular $\ell(H^i(L)) < \infty$ (here $L = L^l(M)$).

14. Proof of Itoh’s-conjecture

**Theorem 14.1.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$ and let $a$ be a normal $m$-primary ideal. If $e^3_2(a) = 0$ then $G_{a}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Remark 14.2.** The following assertion is well-known. If $a^n$ is integrally closed for all $n$ then $a^n$ is Ratliff-Rush for all $n \geq 1$. It follows that depth $G_{a}(A) \geq 1$.

We first show that

**Lemma 14.3.** Theorem 14.1 holds if $\dim A = 1, 2$.

This Lemma is certainly well-known to the experts. However we provide a proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proof of Lemma 14.3.** If $d = 1$ then by 14.2 the result holds.

Now assume $d = 2$. Note that we may assume that $A$ has an infinite residue field. Let $c$ be a minimal reduction of $a$. Set $\sigma_0 = \lambda(a^{d+1}/ca^d)$. Then it follows from a result of Huneke [9, 2.4] that the $h$-polynomial of $A$ with respect to $a$ is

$$h(z) = \ell(A/a) + (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1)z + (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)z^2 + \cdots + (\sigma_{s-2} - \sigma_{s-1})z^{s-1} + \sigma_{s-1}z^s.$$
It follows that
\begin{align*}
e^0_1(A) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sigma_i, \\
e^0_2(A) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} i \sigma_i, \text{ and} \\
e^0_3(A) &= \sum_{i \geq 2} \binom{i}{2} \sigma_i.
\end{align*}
As \(e^0_3(A) = 0\) we have \(\sigma_2 = 0\). So we have \(a^3 = ca^2\). As \(a\) is integrally closed we also have \(a^2 \cap c = ca\). The result follows from Valabrega-Valla Theorem [22, 2.3]. \(\square\)

14.4. Recall that an ideal \(a\) is said to be asymptotically normal if \(a^n\) is integrally closed for all \(n \gg 0\). The crucial result to prove Itoh’s conjecture is the following:

Lemma 14.5. Let \((A, m)\) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 3 and let \(a\) be an asymptotically normal \(m\)-primary ideal with \(e^0_3(A) = 0\). Set \(L^a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A/a^{n+1}\) considered as a module over the Rees algebra \(S\). Let \(M = m \oplus S_+\) be the maximal homogeneous ideal of \(S\). Then the local cohomology modules \(H^i_{M}(L^a(A))\) vanish for \(i = 1, 2\).

We will also need to extend Lemma 14.5 to dimensions \(d \geq 4\).

14.6. Remark and a Convention: Note that all the relevant graded modules considered below are modules over the Rees algebra \(S = A[at]\). Also all local cohomology will taken over \(M = m \oplus S_+\) the maximal homogeneous ideal of \(S\). We also note that if \(x\) is \(A\)-superficial with respect to \(A\) then the Rees algebra \(S' = A/(x)[a/(x)t]\) is a quotient of \(S\). As we are only interested in vanishing of certain local-cohomology modules, by the independence theorem of local cohomology it does not matter if we take local cohomology of an \(S'\)-module with respect to \(M'\) or over \(M\) (and considering the module in question as an \(S\)-module). So throughout we will only write \(H^i(\cdot)\) to mean \(H^i_{M}(\cdot)\).

Lemma 14.7. Let \((A, m)\) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension \(d \geq 3\) and let \(a\) be an asymptotically normal \(m\)-primary ideal with \(e^0_3(A) = 0\). Then the local cohomology modules \(H^i_{M}(L^a(A))\) vanish for \(1 \leq i \leq d - 1\).

Proof. We prove the result by induction on \(d \geq 3\). For \(d = 3\) the result follows from Lemma 14.5. Now assume \(d \geq 4\) and the result has been proved for \(d - 1\). After passing through a general extension (see 2.7(d)) we may choose \(x\), an \(A\)-superficial element with respect to \(a\) such that in the ring \(B = A/(x)\) the ideal \(b = a/(x)\) is asymptotically normal. Furthermore note that \(e^0_3(b) = 0\). Set \(L = L^a(A)\) and \(L' = L^b(B)\). By induction hypothesis we have \(H^i(L') = 0\) for \(1 \leq i \leq d - 2\). By 13.7.1 we get a surjective map \(H^1(L)(-1) \rightarrow H^1(L)\) and for \(2 \leq i \leq d - 1\) injections \(H^i(L)(-1) \rightarrow H^i(L)\). By 13.8 it follows that \(H^i(L) = 0\) for \(i \geq 2\). By 13.10 we get that \(H^1(L)\) has finite length. So the surjection \(H^1(L)(-1) \rightarrow H^1(L)\) induces an isomorphism \(H^1(L)(-1) \cong H^1(L)\) and this forces \(H^1(L) = 0\). \(\square\)

We now give a proof of Theorem 14.4 assuming Lemma 14.5.

Proof of Theorem 14.4. If \(d = \dim A = 1, 2\), the result follows from Lemma 14.3. If \(d \geq 3\) then by Lemma 14.1 we get \(H^i_{M}(L^a(A)) = 0\) for \(1 \leq i \leq d - 1\). Also as \(a\) is normal, in-particular \(a^n\) is Ratliff-Rush for all \(n \geq 1\). So by 13.3 we get...
$H^{0}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L^{0}(A)) = 0$. By taking cohomology of the first fundamental sequence we get that $H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(G_{\ell}(A)) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Thus $G_{\ell}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

14.8. Thus to prove Itoh’s conjecture all we have to do is to prove Lemma 14.5. This requires several preparatory results. For the rest of the section we will assume $a = 3$ and $a$ is an asymptotically normal ideal with $e^{a}_{3}(A) = 0$. We first show

**Lemma 14.9.** (with hypotheses as in 14.8) Then $G_{a^{n}}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay for $n \gg 0$ and $\text{red}(\mathfrak{a}^{n}) \leq 2$ for $n \gg 0$.

**Remark 14.10.** Lemma 14.9 is certainly known to the experts. We provide a proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proof of Lemma 14.9.** We may assume that the residue field of $A$ is infinite. Let $\mathfrak{c} = (x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3})$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Notice that for all $n \geq 1$ we have $e_{1}^{a} = e_{2}^{a} = e_{3}^{a}(A) = 0$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{c}_{1}^{[n]} = (x_{1}^{n}, x_{2}^{n}, x_{3}^{n})$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{a}^{n}$. By a result of Huckaba and Huneke [8, 3.8] we have depth $G_{a^{n}}(A) \geq 2$ for all $n \gg 0$, say $n \gg n_{0}$. As $\mathfrak{a}$ is asymptotically normal we may also assume $\mathfrak{a}^{n}$ is integrally closed for all $n \geq n_{0}$.

Fix $n \geq n_{0}$. Set $\sigma_{1} = \ell((\mathfrak{a}^{n})^{i+1}/\mathfrak{c}_{1}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{a}^{n})^{i})$. Then by a result of Marley [12, 3.9], it follows that that the $h$-polynomial of $A$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}^{n}$ is $h(z) = \ell(A^{n} / \mathfrak{a}^{n}) + (\sigma_{0} - \sigma_{1}) z + (\sigma_{1} - \sigma_{2}) z^{2} + \cdots + (\sigma_{s-2} - \sigma_{s-1}) z^{s-1} + \sigma_{s-1} z^{s}.

It follows that

\[
\begin{align*}
    e^{a} _{1} (A) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \sigma_{i}, \\
    e^{a} _{2} (A) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} i \sigma_{i}, \text{ and} \\
    e^{a} _{3} (A) &= \sum_{i \geq 2} \binom{i}{2} \sigma_{i}.
\end{align*}
\]

As $e_{1}^{a}(A) = 0$ we have $\sigma_{2} = 0$. So we have $(\mathfrak{a}^{n})^{3} = \mathfrak{c}_{1}^{[n]}(\mathfrak{a}^{n})^{2}$. As $\mathfrak{a}^{n}$ is integrally closed we also have $(\mathfrak{a}^{n})^{2} \cap \mathfrak{c}_{n} = \mathfrak{c}_{1}^{[n]} \mathfrak{a}^{n}$. So by Valabrega-Valla Theorem [32, 2.3] we have that $G_{a^{n}}(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

Next we show

**Lemma 14.11.** (with hypotheses as in 14.8) We have

1. $\text{ord}(G_{a}(A)) < 0$.
2. $H^{2}(L^{0}(A)) = 0$.
3. $\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^{i} \ell(H^{i}(G_{a}(A))) = 0$.
4. For $i = 0, 1, 2$ we have $H^{i}(G_{a}(A))_{n} = 0$ for $n < 0$.

**Proof.** (1) We have $\text{red}_{[\mathfrak{c}^{[n]}]}(\mathfrak{a}^{n}) \leq 2$ for all $n \gg 0$. By a result of Hoa [10, 2.6], the result follows.

(2) Set $G = G_{a}(A)$ and $L = L^{0}(A)$. Also let $S = A[\mathfrak{a}]$ be the Rees algebra of $A$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $\mathfrak{M}$ be its maximal homogeneous ideal. Throughout let $H^{i}(\cdot) = H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\cdot)$. The first fundamental exact sequence $0 \to G \to L \to L(-1) \to 0$ yields an exact sequence in cohomology $H^{2}(L)_{n} \to H^{2}(L)_{n-1} \to H^{3}(G)_{n}$.
As \( a(G) < 0 \) we get for \( n \geq 0 \), surjections \( H^2(L)_n \to H^2(L)_{n-1} \). As \( H^2(L)_n = 0 \) for \( n \gg 0 \) we get \( H^2(L)_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq -1 \).

After passing through a general extension we may choose \( x \), an \( A \)-superficial element with respect to \( a \) such that in the ring \( B = A/(x) \) the ideal \( b = a/(x) \) is asymptotically normal. Also notice \( \dim B = 2 \). Set \( L = L^b(B) \). By [23, 9.2] we get that \( H^1(L) = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \). By [13.7.4] we have an exact sequence

\[
H^1(T_n) \to H^2(L)_{n-1} \to H^2(L)_n
\]

By setting \( n = -1 \) we get \( H^2(L)_{-2} = 0 \). Iterating we get \( H^2(L)_n = 0 \) for all \( n \leq -2 \).

It follows that \( H^2(L) = 0 \).

(3) We first note that as \( G_n(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay for all \( n \gg 0 \) the ring \( G_n(A) \) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay. We also have \( H^0(L) \) and \( H^1(L) \) have finite length (see [13.3] and [13.10]).

The first fundamental exact sequence \( 0 \to G \to L \to L(-1) \to 0 \) yields an exact sequence in cohomology

\[
0 \to H^0(G) \to H^0(L) \to H^0(L)(-1) \\
\to H^1(G) \to H^1(L) \to H^1(L)(-1) \\
\to H^2(G) \to H^2(L) = 0.
\]

Taking lengths, the result follows.

(4) As \( a \) is normal we have that \( H^1(L)_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \) (see [23, ?]). Also by [13.3] we get \( H^0(L)_n = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \). The result follows from the above exact sequence in cohomology. \( \square \)

**Remark 14.12.** Till now we have not used our theory of Gorenstein approximation. We do it now. We may after taking a general extension of \( A \) and completing it we may assume that \( (A, m) \) is a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension three, \( a \) is an asymptotically normal ideal in \( A \) and that there exists \( x \), an \( A \)-superficial element with respect to \( a \) such that in the ring \( B = A/(x) \) the ideal \( b = a/(x) \) is asymptotically normal. Let \( [T, t, q, \varphi] \) be a Gorenstein approximation of \( [A, m, a] \). Let \( R_n(T) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n \) be the extended Rees ring of \( T \) with respect to \( q \). Note that as \( G_n(T) \) is Gorenstein we also have \( R_n(T) \) is a Gorenstein ring. Let \( \mathfrak{m} \) be its maximal homogeneous ideal. Also let \( R_n(A) \) be the extended Rees ring of \( A \) with respect to \( a \).

We first show

**Lemma 14.13.** (with hypotheses as in [14.7.2]) We have

1. For any prime \( P \) in \( R_n(T) \) with \( \height P \leq 3 \) we have \( R_n(A)_P \) is Cohen-Macaulay.
2. \( H^n_n(R_n(A)) \) has finite length for \( 0 \leq i \leq 3 \).

**Proof.** As \( R_n(T) \) is a Gorenstein ring the assertion (2) follows from (1) by local duality.

(1) We first consider the case \( t^{-1} \notin P \). Then \( (R_n(A))_P \) is a localization of \( (R_n(A))_{t^{-1}} = A[t, t^{-1}] \) which is Cohen-Macaulay.

Next consider the case when \( t^{-1} \in P \). Set \( Q = P/(t^{-1}) \) a prime ideal of height \( \leq 2 \) in \( G_n(T) \). As \( G_n(A) \) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and since \( G_n(T) \) is Gorenstein, by local duality we have that \( (G_n(A))_Q \) is Cohen-Macaulay. It follows that \( (R_n(A))_P \) is Cohen-Macaulay. \( \square \)
As a consequence we get

**Corollary 14.14.** (with hypotheses as in \[14.12\]) We have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \to H^3_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \to H^4_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^5_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to 0. \]

*Proof.* The short exact sequence \( 0 \to R_a(A)(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^4_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to G_A(A) \to 0 \), yields an exact sequence of local cohomology modules

\[ 0 \to H^0_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^1_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to H^0_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \]
\[ \to H^1_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^2_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to H^1_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \]
\[ \to H^2_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to H^2_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \]
\[ \to H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^4_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \to H^3_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \]

Let \( K \) be the cokernel of \( \pi \). We note that \( H^3_{R_1}(G_A(A)) \cong H^1(G_A(A)) \). As all the module in the above exact sequence we have

\[ \ell(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^i \ell(H^i(G_A(A)) = 0 \quad \text{by Lemma 14.11(3)}. \]

Thus we have an inclusion

\[ H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \xrightarrow{t-1} H^4_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \]

As \( \ell(H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A))) \) is finite we have an isomorphism \( H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A))(+1) \cong H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A)) \). Again as \( \ell(H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A))) \) is finite this implies that \( H^3_{R_1}(R_a(A)) = 0 \). The result follows. \( \square \)

The following result is a crucial ingredient in proving Lemma 14.5.

**Theorem 14.15.** (with hypotheses as in \[14.12\]) Set \( \omega_A = \text{Hom}_T(A, T) \) be the canonical module of \( A \) and let \( E_G \) be the injective hull of \( k \) considered as a \( G_q(T) \)-module. Set \( W = \text{Hom}_{G_q(T)}(H^3(G_A(A)), E_G) \). Then

1. there exists an \( a \)-good filtration \( F \) on \( \omega_A \) such that \( G_F(\omega) \cong W \) up to a shift.
2. \( W \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* (1)\Let \( E_R \) be the injective hull of \( k \) considered as a \( R_q(T) \)-module. Set \((-)\check{\vee} = \text{Hom}_{R_q(T)}(-, E_R)\). Dualizing the exact sequence in Lemma 14.4 we get a sequence of \( R_q(T) \)-modules

\[ 0 \to H^4_{R_1}(R_a(A))^\check{\vee} \xrightarrow{t-1} H^5_{R_1}(R_a(A))^\check{\vee}(+1) \to W \to 0. \]

As \( R_q(T) \) is Gorenstein then by local duality we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \text{Hom}_{R_q(T)}(R_a(A), R_q(T)) \xrightarrow{t-1} \text{Hom}_{R_q(T)}(R_a(A), R_q(T))(+1) \to X \to 0 \]

where \( X \cong W \) up to shift. By Theorem 14.4 there exists a \( q \)-good filtration \( F \) on \( \omega_A \) such that

\[ R(F, \omega_A) \cong \text{Hom}_{R_q(T)}(R_a(A), R_q(T)) \]

Also notice that as \( qA = a \) it follows that \( F \) is in fact an \( a \)-good filtration on \( \omega_A \).
To prove this result we first claim:

Claim: The Hilbert series of \( W = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n \) is

\[
H_W(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell(W_n) = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 \frac{1}{(1-z)^3}.
\]

We first assume the claim and prove (2). By (1) there exists an \( \alpha \)-good filtration \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( \omega_A \) such that \( G_\mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \cong W(-1) \) We note that the Hilbert series of \( G_\mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) is

\[
a_1 + a_2 z \frac{1}{(1-z)^3}.
\]

Then by a result of Rossi and Valla, [26, 2.4.9] it follows that \( G_\mathcal{G}(\omega_A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. So \( W \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

It remains to prove the claim. Set \( G = G_\alpha(A) \). Let \( V = H^1(G) \). As \( a(G) < 0 \) we have that \( V_n = 0 \) for \( n \geq 0 \). So \( W_m = 0 \) for \( m \leq 0 \). By Grothendieck-Serre formula we have

\[
H_a(n) - P_a(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} (-1)^i \ell(H^i(G)_n)
\]

Where \( H_a \) (and \( P_a(z) \)) are Hilbert function(and Hilbert polynomial) of \( A \) with respect to \( \alpha \). As \( H^i(G)_n = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \) we get that

\[
\ell(V_n) = P_a(n) \quad \text{for all} \quad n < 0.
\]

It follows that \( \ell(W_m) = P_a(-m) \) for all \( m \geq 1 \). So \( P_a(z) \) is the Hilbert polynomial of \( W \) (it should be remarked that \( \deg(P_a(z)) = 2 \)). As postulation number of \( W \) is one it follows from [2] 4.1.12 the Hilbert series of \( W \) is

\[
a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 \frac{1}{(1-z)^3}
\]

As \( W_0 = 0 \) we get that \( a_0 = 0 \). The result follows.

We finally give

Proof of Lemma [L.3] We may after taking a general extension of \( A \) and completing it we may assume that \( (A, \mathfrak{m}) \) is a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension three, \( \alpha \) is an asymptotically normal ideal in \( A \) and that there exists \( x \), an \( A \)-superficial element with respect to \( \alpha \) such that in the ring \( B = A/(x) \) the ideal \( \mathfrak{b} = \alpha/(x) \) is asymptotically normal. Set \( G = G_\alpha(A), L = L^\alpha(A), \mathcal{G} = G_\mathcal{G}(B) \) and \( \mathcal{L} = L^\mathcal{G}(B) \).

We make the following

Claim-1: \( a(\mathcal{G}) \leq 0 \).

Claim-2: \( H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0 \).

Let us now assume Claims 1, 2 for the moment. We prove our result as follows. By [13, 7.1] we have an exact sequence

\[
H^1(L)(-1) \to H^1(L) \to H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0.
\]

As \( \alpha \) is asymptotically normal we have by [13, 10] that \( H^1(L) \) has finite length. Thus the surjective map \( H^1(L)(-1) \to H^1(L) \) induces an isomorphism \( H^1(L) \cong H^1(L)(-1) \). As \( H^1(L) \) has finite length we get that \( H^1(L) = 0 \). Thus all we have to prove is Claims 1 and 2.
We prove Claim-2 assuming Claim-1. As $b$ is asymptotically normal we have $H^1(\mathcal{L})_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. The first fundamental exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}(-1) \to 0$ yields an exact sequence in cohomology

$$H^1(L)_n \to H^1(L)_{n-1} \to H^2(G)_n.$$ 

As $a(\mathcal{G}) \leq 0$ we get for $n \geq 1$, surjections $H^1(\mathcal{L})_n \to H^1(\mathcal{L})_{n-1}$. As $H^1(\mathcal{L})_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$ we get $H^1(\mathcal{L})_n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. Thus $H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$. Thus we have proved Claim-2 assuming Claim-1.

Proof of Claim-1: There exists exact sequences

\begin{align}
(14.15.1) & \quad 0 \to U \to G(-1) \to x^*G \to 0 \\
(14.15.2) & \quad 0 \to V \to G/x^*G \to \mathcal{G} \to 0
\end{align}

where $U, V$ are finite length $G$-modules. By 14.15.2 we get isomorphism’s $H^1(\mathcal{G}) \cong H^1(G/x^*G)$ for $i > 0$. By 14.15.1 we have an exact sequence

$$H^2(G)(-1) \xrightarrow{x^*} H^2(G) \xrightarrow{x^*} H^3(\mathcal{G}) \to 0.$$ 

Set $C = \text{image} \pi$ and $K = \text{coker} \pi$. As $H^2(G)$ has finite length we have that $C$ has finite length.

Let $E_G$ be the injective hull of $k$ as $G$-module. Set $(-)^\vee = \text{Hom}_C(-, E_G)$. By 14.15 $H^3(G)^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 3. So $K^\vee$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 2. Also note that $H^2(\mathcal{G})^\vee$ has depth 2. The exact sequence $0 \to C \to H^2(\mathcal{G}) \to K \to 0$ induces an exact sequence $0 \to K^\vee \to H^2(\mathcal{G})^\vee \to C^\vee \to 0$. As depth$(K^\vee \oplus \mathcal{G}^\vee) \geq 2$ we get that depth $C^\vee \geq 1$. But $C^\vee$ has finite length. So $C^\vee$ (and hence $C$) is zero. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(\mathcal{G}) \to H^3(G)(-1) \xrightarrow{x^*} H^3(G) \to 0.$$ 

As $H^3(G)_n = 0$ for $n < 0$ we get that $H^2(\mathcal{G})_n = 0$ for $n \leq 0$. The result follows. \qed
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