GORENSTEIN APPROXIMATION, DUAL FILTERATIONS
AND APPLICATIONS
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Abstract. We give a two step method to study certain questions regarding associated graded module of a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) module $M$ with respect to an $m$-primary ideal $a$ in a complete Noetherian local ring $(A, m)$. The first step, we call it Gorenstein approximation, enables us to reduce to the case when both $A$, $G_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/a^{n+1}$ are Gorenstein and $M$ is a maximal CM $A$-module. The second step consists of analyzing the classical filtration $\{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the dual $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. We give many applications of this point of view. For instance let $(A, m)$ be equicharacteristic & CM. Let $a(G_a(A))$ be the $a$-invariant of $G_a(A)$. We prove:
(1) $a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A$ if and only if $a$ is generated by a regular sequence.
(2) If $a$ is integrally closed and $a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A + 1$ then $a$ has minimal multiplicity.
We extend to modules a result of Ooishi relating symmetry of $h$-vectors.
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1. Introduction

Let $(A, m)$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d$, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal in $A$ and let $M$ be a Cohen-Macaulay (CM) module of dimension $r$. Set $G_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/a^{n+1};$ the associated graded ring of $A$ with respect to $a$ and let $G_a(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n M/a^{n+1} M$ be the associated graded module of $M$ with respect to $a$.
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In this paper we give a two step method to study certain questions regarding $G_a(M)$ when $A$ is complete. Surprisingly this is also useful in the case of $M = A$. We call the first step Gorenstein approximation. This step enables us to reduce to the case when $A$, $G_a(A)$ are Gorenstein and $M$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) $A$-module. This reduction surprised a few and attracted some skepticism. Nevertheless it is true. The second step consists in studying the filtration $\{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the dual $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. This filtration is classical cf. [25, p. 12]. However it has not been used before in the study of blow-up algebra’s (modules) of Cohen-Macaulay rings (modules).

We begin by a general definition of our notion of approximation. Let $P$ be a property of Noetherian rings. For instance $P = \text{regular, complete intersection (CI), Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulay etc.}$

**Definition 1.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Noetherian local ring and let $a$ be a proper ideal in $A$. We say $A$ admits a $P$-approximation with respect to $a$ if there exists a local ring $(B, n)$, an ideal $b$ of $B$ and $\psi : B \to A$, a local ring homomorphism with $\psi(b)A = a$ such that the following three properties hold:

1. $A$ is a finitely generated $B$ module (via $\psi$).
2. $\dim A = \dim B$.
3. $B$ and $G_b(B)$ have property $P$.

If the above conditions hold we say $[B, n, b, \psi]$ is a $P$-approximation of $[A, m, a]$.

**Remark 2.**
- Regular approximations seems to be rare while Cohen-Macaulay approximations don’t seem to have many applications.
- For applications we will often insist that $\psi, b$ satisfy some additional properties.

When $A$ is complete we prove the following general result (see 11.3). Let $s(a)$ denote the analytic spread of $a$, see 2.8.

**Theorem 3.** Let $(A, m)$ be a complete Noetherian local ring and let $a$ be a proper in $A$ such that $s(a) + \dim A/a = \dim A$. Then $A$ admits a CI-approximation with respect to $a$.

Notice the hypothesis of Theorem 3 is satisfied if $a$ is $m$-primary. Another significant case when Theorem 3 holds is when $A$ is equidimensional and $a$ is equimultiple; see [6, 2.6]. For definition of an equimultiple ideal see 2.8.

**Remark 4.**
- All the examples of rings and ideals in this paper have CI (and so Gorenstein) approximation. **However I have only used the Gorenstein property of $B$, $G_b(B)$ for applications.** I have been unable to use the fact that $B, G_b(B)$ are CI and not just Gorenstein.
- However the author believes that in the case of ideals having non-zero analytic deviation (see 2.8), it may not be possible to get CI-approximation. We might have to settle for only Gorenstein approximation.

The proof of Theorem 4 is fairly involved. The entire section 11 is devoted for a proof of it. The following two special cases are easy to prove.

1. Let $(A, m)$ be a quotient of a regular local ring. Then $[A, m, m]$ admits a CI approximation $[B, n, n, \phi]$ with $\phi$-onto. (see 3.5).
2. Let $(A, m)$ be a complete equicharacteristic local ring and let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal. Then $[A, m, a]$ admits a CI approximation $[B, n, b, \phi]$ with $b = n$ and $B/n \cong A/m$ and $\mu(n) = \mu(a)$. (see 3.6).
Before proceeding further we need some notation. Set \( a^n = A \) for \( n \leq 0 \). Let \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n t^n \) be the extended Rees-algebra of \( A \) with respect to \( a \). We consider \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \) as a subring of \( A[t, t^{-1}] \). Set \( \mathcal{R}(a, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n M t^n \) Clearly \( \mathcal{R}(a, M) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-module respectively.

**Definition 5.** By the dual filtration of \( M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \) with respect to \( a \) we mean the filtration \( \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \).

We study the dual filtration in detail when \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) is Gorenstein, \( a \) is \( m \)-primary, \( M \) is MCM and \( G_a(A) \) is Gorenstein. Let \( \mathcal{F} = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be the dual filtration of \( M^* \) with respect to \( a \). We prove that if \( G_a(M) \) is CM then

\[
G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), G_a(A)); \quad \text{see} \ 4.8
\]

Even when \( G_a(M) \) is not Cohen-Macaulay we find the following relation: Set \( \text{red}_a(A) = \) reduction number of \( A \) with respect to \( a \) (see 2.10), \( a(G_a(M)) = \) the \( a \)-invariant of \( G_a(M) \) (see 2.9), and let

\[
\alpha_a(M) = \min\{n \mid G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)_n \neq 0\}.
\]

We prove

\[
a(G_a(M)) \geq \text{red}_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - \dim A \quad \text{(see} \ 6.6).\]

**Applications**

I. \( a \)-invariant of associated graded rings of \( m \)-primary ideals

Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal in a Noetherian local ring \((A, \mathfrak{m})\). It is easy to see that \( a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A \). We analyze the case when equality holds when \( A \) is Cohen-Macaulay. If \( \dim A = 1 \), it follows immediately from a result of T.Marley ** that \( a \) is principal. When \( d = 2 \), it follows from Lemma ** and a result of Northcott that \( a \) is also generated by regular sequence of length two.

We cannot use induction to analyze the case when \( a(G_a(A)) = -d \) since if \( x \) is \( A \)-superficial with respect to \( A \) then it does not immediately follow that \( a(G_a/(x))(A/(x)) = -d + 1 \)

We prove that if \( A \) is Cohen-Macaulay then

\[
a(G_m(A)) = -\dim A \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A \quad \text{is regular} \quad \text{(see} \ 7.2).\]

See 7.3 for an example of a non-Cohen-Macaulay ring \( A \) with \( a(G_m(A)) = -\dim A \).

For arbitrary \( m \)-primary ideals in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring we unfortunately have to assume that \( A \) is equicharacteristic. In this case we prove that

\[
a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A \iff a \quad \text{is generated by a regular sequence} \quad \text{(see} \ 7.4).\]

Next we try to classify ideals such that \( a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A + 1 \). When \( A \) is an equicharacteristic Cohen-Macaulay local ring and \( a \) is integrally closed we prove

\[
a(G_a(A)) = -\dim A + 1 \iff a \quad \text{has minimal multiplicity} \quad \text{(see} \ 7.5).\]

The result above need not hold if \( a \) is not integrally closed (see 7.4). When \( \dim A = 2 \) we have been able to characterize \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) (not necessarily integrally closed) with \( a(G_a(A)) \leq -1 \).

II. Symmetric \( h \)-vectors.

Let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded algebra over a field. For definition of \( h_R(z) \); the \( h \)-polynomial of \( R \) see 2.11. If \( R \) is Gorenstein then \( h_R(z) \) is symmetric cf. 2.4.4.6. The converse need not hold even if \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay cf. 2.4.4.7(b)]. A celebrated theorem due to Stanley asserts that if \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay domain and \( h_R(z) \) is symmetric then \( R \) is Gorenstein (27.4.4)].
If \( R = G_a(A) \) the associated graded ring of an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal then Ooishi, [17, 1.6], proves that if \( A \) is Gorenstein, \( G_a(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( h_a(z) \) is symmetric then \( G_a(A) \) is Gorenstein. We generalize Ooishi’s result as follows:

Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be CM with a canonical module \( \omega_A \). Let \( \mathfrak{a} \) be an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal and let \( M \) be a CM \( A \)-module. Set \( M^\dagger = \text{Hom}_A(M, \omega_A) \), \( r = \text{red}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \) and \( c(M) = \dim A - \dim M \). Assume \( G_a(A), G_a(M) \) and \( G_a(M^\dagger) \) are CM. Let \( \Omega_a \) be the canonical module of \( G_a(A) \). We prove (see Theorem 9.6)

\[
h_a(M^\dagger, z) = z^r h(M, z^{-1}) \iff G_a(M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_a(A)}^c(G_a(M), \Omega_a) \text{ (up to a shift)}.\]

The implication \((\iff)\) follows from [2, 4.4.5]. The assertion \(\Rightarrow\) is new and generalizes Ooishi’s result.

In section 10 we use of a MCM module \( M \) to show that the dual filtration of a MCM module \( M \) with respect to \( \mathfrak{m} \) over a Gorenstein local ring \( A \) with \( G_m(A) \) Gorenstein, is a shift of the usual \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic filtration in the following cases.

1. \( M \) is Ulrich (i.e., \( \deg h_M(z) = 0 \); equivalently \( e(M) = \mu(M) \)).
2. \( \text{type}(M) = e(M) - \mu(M) \) and \( M \) has minimal multiplicity.

### III. \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideals \( \mathfrak{a} \) with \( \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim A + 1 \)

Using Gorenstein approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\) when \( A \) is complete and the structure of dual filtration of MCM modules over a hypersurface ring we prove (see [8, 17]):

Suppose \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) is an equicharacteristic Gorenstein local ring of dimension \( d \geq 0 \) and \( \mathfrak{a} \) is an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal of \( A \) with \( \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = d + 1 \). Then \( G_a(A) \) is Gorenstein if and only if \( h_a(z) = \ell(A/\mathfrak{a})(1 + z + \ldots + z^s) \) for some \( s \geq 1 \).

Here \( \ell(\cdot) \) denotes length. The surprising thing is: \( h_a(z) \) determines that \( G_a(A) \) is Gorenstein without a priori assuming \( G_a(A) \) is CM.

### IV. Associated graded modules of the canonical module

Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be CM local ring with a canonical module \( \omega_A \). Let \( \mathfrak{a} \) be an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal such that \( G_a(A) \) is CM. Let \( \Omega_a \) be the canonical module of \( G_a(A) \). A natural question is when is \( G_a(\omega_A) \) isomorphic to \( \Omega_a \) up to a shift? Set \( r = \text{red}(\mathfrak{a}, A) \).

Ooishi proves that if \( G_m(A) \) is CM then \( G_m(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_m \) (up to a shift) if and only if \( G_m(\omega_A) \) is CM and \( h(\omega_A, z) = \omega^r h(A, z^{-1}) \); see [10, 3.5].

The hypothesis \( G_a(\omega_A) \) is CM is difficult to verify. So there is a need to bypass this assumption. We first consider the case when \( \mu(\mathfrak{a}) = d + 1 \). We prove

\[
G_a(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_a^A \text{ (up to a shift)} \iff h_a(A, z) = \ell(A/\mathfrak{a})(1 + z + \ldots + z^s); \text{ see [8, 16].}
\]

The assumption \( G_a(\omega_A) \) is CM holds automatically if \( \dim A = 0 \). Surprisingly the following general result holds: Let \( x, \ldots, x_d \) be a maximal \( A \)-superficial sequence with respect to \( \mathfrak{a} \). Set \( B = A/(x) \) and \( \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}/(x) \). We prove (see 10.6).

\[
\text{If } h(\omega_B, z) = \omega^r h(B, z^{-1}) \text{ then } G_a(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_a \text{ up to a shift.}
\]

This result is quite practical. Using COCOA [3 & MACAULAY [5], we can determine whether \( G_a(\omega_A) \) is CM up to a shift. See Example 10.7. Another application of [10.6] (see [10.8] is: If \( \text{red}(A) = 2 \) then

\[
G_m(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_m \text{(up to a shift)} \text{ if and only if } \text{type}(A) = e_0(A) - h_1(A) - 1.
\]

Ooishi derives the same result essentially assuming \( G_m(\omega_A) \) is CM, see [10, 4.4].

### V. Lengths of duals of associated graded modules over Gorenstein Artin local rings
Let \((A, m)\) be a Gorenstein Artin local ring, \(a\) an ideal in \(A\) and let \(M\) be a finitely generated \(A\)-module. Set \(G = G_a(A)\) and \(G(M) = G_a(M)\). We prove
\[
\ell_G(G(M)) \leq \ell_G(\text{Hom}_G(G(M), G))
\]
This is surprising since \(G_a(A)\) need not be CM.

VI. Generalization of some results from CM rings to CM modules.

Let \((A, m)\) be CM local ring of dimension \(d\) with infinite residue field and let \(a\) be an \(m\)-primary ideal. Let \(\mathfrak{c}\) be a minimal reduction of \(a\). Set \(\delta = \sum_{n \geq 0} \ell(a^{n+1}/\mathfrak{c} a^n)\).

Then for \(0 \leq \delta \leq 2\) one has depth \(G_a(A) \geq d - \delta\). This is due to Valabrega and Valla [20, 2.3] (for \(\delta = 0\)), Guerrieri [17, 3.2] (for \(\delta = 1\)) and Wang [30, 2.6.3.1] (for \(\delta = 2\)).

We extend these results to CM modules. Using Gorenstein approximation we prove it by reducing it to the case of rings, see 5.1.

VII. Relation between \(c_\mathfrak{a}^\delta(M), e_\mathfrak{a}^\delta(M)\) and \(a(G_a(M))\).

Let \(M\) be a CM \(A\) module of dimension = 2. Let \(a\) be an \(m\)-primary ideal. For \(i \geq 0\) let \(c_\mathfrak{a}^\delta(M)\) be the Hilbert-coefficients of \(M\) with respect to \(a\). It can be easily proved that
\[
e_\mathfrak{a}^\delta(M) \leq e_\mathfrak{a}^n(A)(a(G_a(A)) + 2)
\]
It is natural to investigate when equality holds occurs in 1.0.1. We prove that equality holds in 1.0.1 if and only if \(G_a(M)\) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and \(H^2(G_a(A))^\mathfrak{c}\) is generalized Ulrich \(G_a(A)\)-module.

Here is an overview of the contents of this paper. In section two we introduce notation and discuss a few preliminary facts that we need. In section three we prove the result on Gorenstein approximations when \(a = m\) and \(A\) is a quotient of a regular local ring. We also prove it when \(A\) is complete, \(a\) is \(m\)-primary and contains a field.

In section four we introduce dual filtrations. Furthermore application \(V\) is proved in this section. For applications VI and VII see section 5. In section six we discuss on the initial degree of the dual filtration. We also relate it to the \(a\)-invariant of \(G_a(M)\). For application I see section 7. Application III is discussed in section 8.

In section nine we deal with symmetric \(h\)-vectors and prove generalization of Ooishi’s result (i.e., application II). In section ten we use application II to get application IV. In section eleven we prove our result on Gorenstein approximation of equimultiple ideals. In section twelve we discuss behaviour of dual filtrations with respect to superficial elements.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

In this paper all rings are commutative Noetherian and all modules are assumed to be finitely generated. Recall an \(\alpha\)-filtration \(\mathcal{G} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) on \(M\) is a collection of submodules of \(M\) with the properties

1. \(M_n \supset M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).
2. \(M_n = M\) for all \(n \ll 0\).
3. \(aM_n \subseteq M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

If \(aM_n = M_{n+1}\) for all \(n \gg 0\) then we say \(\mathcal{G}\) is \(a\)-stable. We usually set \(\mathcal{G}_n = M_n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

2.1. Let \(\mathcal{G} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) be an \(a\)-filtration on \(M\) and let \(N\) be a submodule of \(M\).

By the quotient filtration on \(M/N\) we mean the filtration \(\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{(M_n + N)/N\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\).
If $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\alpha$-stable filtration on $M$ then $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\alpha$-stable filtration on $M/N$. Usually for us $N = xM$ for some $x = x_1, \ldots, x_s \in \mathfrak{a}$.

2.2. If $\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an $\alpha$ stable filtration on $M$ then set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_nt^n$ the extended Rees-module of $M$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Notice that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is a finitely generated graded $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)$-module. If $\mathcal{F}$ is the usual $\alpha$-adic filtration then set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

Set $G(\mathcal{F}, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n/M_{n+1}$, the associated graded module of $M$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. Notice $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$ is a finitely generated graded module over $G(\mathcal{F}, M)$. Furthermore $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)/t^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) = G(\mathcal{F}, M)$.

2.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an $\alpha$-filtration on $M$ and let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the $s$-th shift of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}(s)$ we mean the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(s)n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $\mathcal{F}(s)n = \mathcal{F}n+s$. Clearly $G(\mathcal{F}(s), M) = G(\mathcal{F}, M)(s)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}(s), M) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M)(s)$.

2.4. All filtration’s in this paper $\mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ will be separated i.e., $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n = \{0\}$. This is automatic if $A$ is local, $\mathfrak{a} \neq A$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is $\alpha$-stable. If $m$ is a non-zero element of $M$ and if $j$ is the largest integer such that $m \in M_j$, then we let $m^*_j$ denote the image of $m$ in $M_j \setminus M_{j+1}$ and we call it the initial form of $m$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is clear from the context then we drop the subscript $\mathcal{F}$.

The following result is well-known and easy to prove.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and let $M$ be an $A$-module. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\alpha$-stable filtration of $M$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $G(\mathcal{F}, M) \cong G(M)$ up to a shift.

(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ is the $\alpha$-adic filtration up to a shift.

$\square$


2.7. Flat Base Change: In our paper we do many flat changes of rings. The general set up we consider is as follows:

Let $\phi: (A, \mathfrak{m}) \to (A', \mathfrak{m}')$ be a flat local ring homomorphism with $\mathfrak{m}A' = \mathfrak{m}'$. Set $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a}A'$ and if $N$ is an $A$-module set $N' = N \otimes A'$. Set $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ and $k' = A'/\mathfrak{m}'$.

**Properties preserved during our flat base-changes:**

(1) $\ell_A(N) = \ell_{A'}(N')$. So $H_\mathfrak{a}(M, n) = H_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M', n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

(2) $\dim M = \dim M'$ and $\text{grade}(K, M) = \text{grade}(KA', M')$ for any ideal $K$ of $A$.

(3) $\text{depth} G_\mathfrak{a}(M) = \text{depth} G_{\mathfrak{a}'}(M')$.

(4) For each $i \geq 0$ we have $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, k) \otimes_A A' \cong \text{Tor}^A_i(k', k')$. In particular $A$ is regular local if and only if $A'$ is.

**Specific flat Base-changes:**

(a) $A' = A[X]_S$ where $S = A[X] \setminus \mathfrak{m}A[X]$. The maximal ideal of $A'$ is $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}A'$.

The residue field of $A'$ is $k' = k(X)$. Notice that $k'$ is infinite.

(b) $A' = \hat{A}$ the completion of $A$ with respect to the maximal ideal.

(c) Applying (a) and (b) successively ensures that $A'$ is complete with $k'$ infinite.

(d) $A' = A[X_1, \ldots , X_n]_S$ where $S = A[X_1, \ldots , X_n] \setminus \mathfrak{m}A[X_1, \ldots , X_n]$. The maximal ideal of $A'$ is $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}A'$. The residue field of $A'$ is $l = k(X_1, \ldots , X_n)$. Notice that if $I$ is integrally closed then $I'$ is also integrally closed. When $\dim A \geq 2$ and $I$ is normal, Itoh [2, Theorem 1] shows that in $A'$ (for $n = \mu(I)$ in (ii)) there exists a superficial element $y \in I'$ such that the $A'/\langle y \rangle$ ideal $J = I'/\langle y \rangle$
is asymptotically normal i.e., $J^n$ is integrally closed for all $n \gg 0$. We call $A'$

general extension of $A$.

**2.8.** The *fiber cone* of $a$ is the $k$-algebra $F(a) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} a^n/ma^n$. Set $s(a) = \dim F(a)$, the *analytic spread* of $a$. Recall $\dim A \geq s(a) \geq \text{ht}
a$. We say $a$ is *equimultiple* if $s(a) = \text{ht}
a$. Clearly $m$-primary ideals are equimultiple. The number $s(a) - \text{ht}
a$ is

called the analytic deviation of $a$.

**2.9.** Assume $a$ is $m$-primary and $\dim M = r$. Then $H_{G_a(A)+}^j(G_a(M)) = 0$ for $i > r$.

Recall that the $a$-*invariant* of $G_a(M)$ is

$$ a(G_a(M)) = \max \{ n \mid H_{G_a(A)+}^i(G_a(M))_n \neq 0 \}.$$ 

For $i \geq 0$ set $a^i(M) = \max \{ n \mid H_{G_a(A)+}^i(G_a(M))_n \neq 0 \}$.

**2.10.** We assume $k = A/m$ is infinite. Let $\epsilon = (x_1, \ldots, x_l)$ be a minimal reduction of $a$ with respect to $M$. We denote by $\text{red}_\epsilon(a, M) := \min \{ n \mid \epsilon^a M = a^{n+1} M \}$ the *reduction number* of $a$ with respect to $\epsilon$ and $M$. Let

$$ \text{red}(a, M) = \min \{ \text{red}_\epsilon(a, M) \mid \epsilon \text{ is a minimal reduction of } a \}$$

be the *reduction number* of $M$ with respect to $a$. Set $\text{red}_a(A) = \text{red}(a, A)$.

**2.11.** Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a standard algebra over an Artin local ring $(R_0, m_0)$ i.e., there exists $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in R_1$ such that $R = R_0[x_1, \ldots, x_s]$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be an $R$-module. By Hilbert-Serre theorem

$$ H(M, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \ell_{R_0}(M_n) z^n = \frac{h_M(z)}{(1 - z)^{\dim M}} \quad \text{here } h_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}] \text{ & } h_R(1) \neq 0.$$ 

We call $H_M(z)$ the *Hilbert series* of $M$ and

$$ h_M(z) = h_{-p}z^{-p} + \ldots + h_{-1}z^{-1} + h_0 + h_1z + \ldots + h_sz^s \quad \text{ (with } h_s \neq 0 \text{ and } h_{-p} \neq 0 \text{)}$$

the $h$-*polynomial* of $M$. Notice $h_M(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ if and only if $M_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$.

**2.12.** We say $h_M(z)$ is *symmetric* if $h_{s-i} = h_{-p+i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, s + p$.

**2.13.** Let $R$, $M$, $h_M(z)$ be as in 2.11. We also assume that $M_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. If $f$ is a polynomial we use $f^{(i)}$ to denote the $i$-th formal derivative of $f$. The integers $e_i(M) = h_M^{(i)}/i!$ for all $i \geq 0$ are called the *Hilbert coefficients* of $M$. The number $e_0(M)$ is also called the *multiplicity* of $M$.

**2.14.** Let $(A, m)$ be a local ring, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal and let $M$ be an $A$-module. Set $H_a(M, z) = H(G_a(M)(z), z)$ and $h_a(M, z) = h_{G_a(M)}(z)$. For $i \geq 0$ set $e_i^a(M) = e_i(G_a(M))$. If $a = m$ we usually drop the "label" $m$ and write $h(M, z) = h_A(A, z)$, $e_i(M) = e_i^a(M)$ and $\text{red}(A) = \text{red}(m, A)$.

3. **CI-Approximation: Some special cases**

In this section we prove that if $(A, m)$ is a quotient of a regular local ring then $[A, m, m]$ has a CI-approximation $[B, n, n, \phi]$ with $\phi$ onto. In Theorem 2.14 we state our main result regarding CI-approximations. This will be proved in section 10. Finally in Theorem 3.0 we prove a result regarding CI-approximation of an $m$-primary ideal $a$ in a complete equicharacteristic local ring $(A, m)$. The following Lemma regarding annihilators is crucial.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be an $A$-module and let $a$ be an ideal. Set $G = G_a(A)$. Suppose there exists $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_s \in a$ such that $\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)$ for each $i$. Also assume that $\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_s^*$ is a $G$-regular sequence. Then there exists $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in a$ such that

1. $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ is an $A$-regular sequence.
2. $u_i \in \text{ann}_G M$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$.
3. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ there exists $n_i \geq 1$ such that $u_i^* = (\xi_i^*)^{n_i}$.
4. $u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)$.
5. $u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^*$ is a $G$-regular sequence.

Proof. Suppose we have constructed $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ satisfying (2) and (3) then $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ satisfy all the remaining properties. This can be seen as follows:

4. follows from (3); since $\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)$ for each $i$.

1. and (5). As $\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_s^*$ is a $G$-regular sequence we also get $(\xi_1^*)^{n_1}, \ldots, (\xi_s^*)^{n_s}$ is a $G$-regular sequence [13, 16.1]. Thus $u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^*$ is a $G$-regular sequence. It follows from [20, 2.4] that $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ is an $A$-regular sequence. Thus it suffices to show there exists $u_1, \ldots, u_s$ satisfying (2) and (3).

Fix $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Set $\xi_i = \xi_i^*$. Say $\xi_i \in a^r \setminus a^{r+1}$ for some $r \geq 1$. Since $\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_G G_a(M)$ we have $\xi_i M \subseteq a^{r+1} M$. Set $q = a^{r+1}$. By the determinant trick, [13, 2.1], there exists a monic polynomial $f(X) \in A[X]$ such that

$$f(X) = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1}X + a_n \quad \text{with} \quad a_i \in q^i, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

and $u = f(\xi_i) = \xi_i^n + a_1\xi_i^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1}\xi + a_n \in \text{ann}_M$.

As $\xi_i^*$ is $G$-regular we have $\xi_i^* \in a^n \setminus a^{n+1}$. However for each $i \geq 1$ we have

$$a_i\xi_i^{n-1} \in q^i a^{(n-i)r} = a^{i(r+1)}a^{nr-i} = a^{nr+i} \subseteq a^{n+1}.$$

Thus $u^* = (\xi_i^*)^* = (\xi_i^*)^n$ (since $\xi_i^*$ is $G$-regular). Set $u_i = u$ and $n_i = n$. □

The following Corollary is useful.

Corollary 3.2. Let $(A, m)$ be Cohen-Macaulay local ring, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal and let $M$ be an $A$-module. Set $c = \dim A - \dim M$. If $G_a(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay then there exists $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in a$ such that

1. $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_A M$.
2. $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^* \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M)$.
3. $u_1, \ldots, u_c$ is an $A$-regular sequence.
4. $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*$ is a $G_a(A)$-regular sequence.
5. $u_1t, \ldots, u_c t \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} \mathcal{R}(M)$.
6. $u_1t, \ldots, u_c t$ is a $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-regular sequence.

Proof. Since $G_a(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that

$$\text{grade } \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M) = \text{ht } \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M)$$

$$= \dim G_a(A) - \dim G_a(M), \quad \text{since } G_a(A) \text{ is } *-\text{local}$$

$$= \dim A - \dim M.$$.

Therefore (1), (2), (3) and (4) follow from Lemma 3.1. The assertion (5) is clear.

(6). Since $t^{-1}$ is $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-regular and $u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*$ is $G_a(A) = \mathcal{R}(A)/t^{-1}\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-regular sequence it follows that $t^{-1} u_1, \ldots, u_c t$ is a $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-regular sequence. Notice $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$ is a $*$-local. It follows that $u_1 t, \ldots, u_c t$ is a $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-regular sequence. □

We state our general result regarding CI-Approximations...
Theorem 3.3. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a complete local ring and let \(\mathfrak{a}\) be a proper ideal in \(A\) with \(\dim A/\mathfrak{a} + s(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim A\). Then \(A\) has a CI-approximation with respect to \(\mathfrak{a}\). Furthermore if \(\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) then we may choose a CI-approximation \([B, n, b, \phi]\) of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\) such that there exists \(u_1, \ldots, u_m \in b\) with \(\phi(u_i) = x_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, m\).

Theorem 3.3 is proved in section 10.

Remark 3.4. The hypothesis of the theorem holds when \(\mathfrak{a}\) is \(m\)-primary. It is also satisfied when \(\mathfrak{a}\) is equimultiple and \(A\) is equidimensional [15 34.5]. Our hypothesis ensures that \(G_a(A)\) has a homogeneous system of parameters, [6 2.6].

For the case when \(\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}\) and \(A\) is a quotient of a regular local ring \(T\) we have:

Theorem 3.5. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a local ring such that \(A\) is a quotient of a regular local ring \((T, t)\). Then \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\) has a CI-approximation \([B, n, b, \phi]\) with \(b = n\) and \(B/n \cong A/\mathfrak{m}\) and \(\mu(n) = \mu(\mathfrak{a})\).

Proof. Set \(k = A/\mathfrak{m} = T/t\). Let \(\psi : T \to A\) be the quotient map. We consider \(A\) as a \(T\)-module. So \(G_a(A) = G_t(T)\). Set \(c = \dim T - \dim A = \dim G_t(T) - \dim G_a(A)\). Its well-known \(G_t(T) \cong k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\); where \(n = \dim T\). In particular \(G_t(T)\) is Cohen-Macaulay. Let \(u_1, \ldots, u_c\) be as in Corollary 3.2.

Set \((B, n) = (T/(u), t/(u))\) and let \(\phi : B \to A\) be the map induced by \(\psi\). So \(\phi\) is onto. Clearly \([B, n, n, \phi]\) is a CI-approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\). \(\square\)

For \(m\)-primary ideals in a complete equicharacteristic complete local ring we prove:

Theorem 3.6. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a complete equicharacteristic local ring and let \(\mathfrak{a}\) be an \(m\)-primary ideal. Then \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\) has a CI-approximation \([B, n, b, \phi]\) with \(b = n\) and \(B/n \cong A/\mathfrak{m}\) and \(\mu(n) = \mu(\mathfrak{a})\).

Proof. \(A\) contains its residue field \(k = A/\mathfrak{m}\); see [13 28.3]. Let \(\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)\). Set \(S = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\) and let \(\psi : S \to A\) be the natural map which sends \(X_i\) to \(x_i\) for each \(i\). Since \(A/(X)A = A/\mathfrak{a}\) has finite length (as an \(S\)-module) it follows that \(A\) is a finitely generated \(S\)-module, see [13 8.4]. Set \(\eta = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)\). Notice \(\psi(\eta) = \mathfrak{a}\) and \(G_a(S) = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n^*]\) the polynomial ring in \(n\)-variables.

If \(\dim A = \dim S\) then set \([B, n, b, \phi] = [S, \eta, \eta, \psi]\). Otherwise set \(c = \dim S - \dim A = \dim G_a(S) - \dim G_a(A)\). Let \(u_1, \ldots, u_c\) be as in Corollary 3.2. Set \(B = S/(u), n = \eta/(u)\) and \(b = n\). Clearly \(G_n(B) = G_n(S)/(u^*)\) is CI. The map \(\psi\) induces \(\phi : B \to A\). It can be easily checked that \([B, n, n, \phi]\) is a CI-approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\). Finally by our construction its clear that \(\mu(n) = \mu(\mathfrak{a})\). \(\square\)

4. A classical filtration of the dual

Let \(M\) be an \(A\)-module. The following filtration of the dual of \(M\), i.e, \(M^* = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A)\) is classical cf. [25 p. 12]. Set

\[
M_n^* = \{ f \in M^* \mid f(M) \subseteq a^n \} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, a^n).
\]

It is easily verified that \(\mathcal{F}_M = \{ M_n^* \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) is an \(a\)-stable filtration on \(M^*\). Set \(\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(a) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n M^n\) and \(\mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(a, M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a^n M^n\). Let \(f \in M_n^*\). We show that \(f\) induces a homogeneous \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear map \(f\) of degree \(n\) from \(\mathcal{R}(M)\) to \(\mathcal{R}\); (see 4.2). Theorem 4.3 shows that \(\Psi_M\) is a \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear isomorphism.

\[
\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})
\]

\[
f \mapsto \hat{f}
\]
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\[
\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})
\]

\[
f \mapsto \hat{f}
\]
It is easily seen, see [3.5] that \( \Psi_M \) induces a natural map

\[
\Phi_M : G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow ^*\text{Hom}_{G_\alpha(A)}(G_\alpha(M), G_\alpha(A)).
\]

We prove \( \Phi_M \) is injective and as a consequence deduce application \( V \) as stated in the introduction. In Corollary [4.3] we give a sufficient condition for \( \Phi_M \) to be an isomorphism.

The following result is well-known.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \((A, m)\) be local, \(a\) an ideal in \(A\) and let \(M\) an \(A\)-module. Then \(a\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n) = \text{Hom}_A(M, a^{n+1})\) for all \(n \geq 0\). \(\square\)

**4.2.** Let \(f \in M_n^*\).

**Claim:** \(f\) induces a homogeneous \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear map \(\hat{f}\) of degree \(n\) from \(\mathcal{R}(M)\) to \(\mathcal{R}\).

Since \(f(M) \subseteq a^n\), for each \(j \geq 0\) we have \(f(a^j M) \subseteq a^{n+j}\). Furthermore for \(j < 0\) as \(a^j M = M\) and notice that \(f(M) \subset a^n \subseteq a^{n+j}\). Thus

\[
(*) \quad f(a^j M) \subseteq a^{n+j} \quad \text{for each} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

This enables us to define

\[
\hat{f} : \mathcal{R}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}
\]

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j t^j \mapsto \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m_j)t^{n+j}.
\]

Next we prove that \(\hat{f}\) is \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear. Clearly \(\hat{f}\) is \(A\)-linear. Notice

\[
\hat{f}((x_j t^j) \bullet (m_i t^i)) = \hat{f}(x_j m_i t^{i+j}) = f(x_j m_i) t^{i+j+n} = x_j t^j f(m_i) t^{i+n}
\]

\[
(x_j t^j) \bullet \hat{f}(m_i t^i) = x_j t^j f(m_i) t^{i+n}.
\]

Thus \(\hat{f}\) is \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear.

**4.3.** We define a map

\[
\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow ^*\text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})
\]

\[
f \mapsto \hat{f}
\]

**Theorem 4.4.** \(\Psi_M : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \longrightarrow ^*\text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})\) is a \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear isomorphism.

**Proof.** If \(a \in a^j\) and \(f \in M_n^*\) then \(af \in M^*_{n+j}\). Check that \((at^j) \bullet \hat{f} = a\hat{f}\). Thus \(\Psi_M\) is \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear. Clearly if \(\hat{f} = 0\) then \(f = 0\). Thus \(\Psi_M\) is injective.

We show \(\Psi_M\) is surjective. Let \(g \in ^*\text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})\). We write \(g\) as

\[
g \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} m_j t^j \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} g_j(m_j) t^{n+j}.
\]

For each \(j \in \mathbb{Z}\) the map \(g_j : a^j M \rightarrow a^{n+j}\) is \(A\)-linear. Define \(f = i \circ g_0\) where \(i : a^n \rightarrow A\) is the inclusion map. Clearly \(f \in M_n^*\).

**Claim:** \(\hat{f} = g\).

Let \(m_j t^j \in a^j M t^j\).

Case 1. \(j = 0\).

Set \(m = m_0\). Notice

\[
g(mt^0) = g_0(m)t^n = \hat{f}(mt^0).
\]

The last equality above holds since \(\hat{f}\) is \(\mathcal{R}\)-linear.
In the next two cases we use Case 1 and the fact that $\hat{f}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear.

Case 2. $j < 0$.
Notice $m_j t^j = t^j \cdot m_j t^0$. So
\[ g(m_j t^j) = t^j \cdot g(m_j t^0) = t^j \cdot \hat{f}(m_j t^0). \]

Case 3. $j > 0$.
Set $m_j = \sum_{l=1}^{s} u_{jl} n_l$ where $u_{jl} \in a^l$ and $n_l \in M$. Fix $l$. Notice
\[ u_{jl} n_l t^j = u_{jl} t^j \cdot n_l t^0. \]

Therefore for $l = 1, \ldots, s$,
\[ g(u_{jl} n_l t^j) = u_{jl} t^j \cdot g(n_l t^0) = u_{jl} t^j \cdot \hat{f}(n_l t^0) = \hat{f}(u_{jl} n_l t^j). \]

Note the last equality above is since $\hat{f}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear. So we have
\[ g(m_j t^j) = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{s} u_{jl} n_l \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} g(u_{jl} n_l) = \sum_{l=1}^{s} \hat{f}(u_{jl} n_l) = \hat{f}(m_j t^j). \]

Again the last equality above holds since $\hat{f}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear. \qed

Observation 4.5. $f \in M_n^*$ induces $\hat{f}: \mathcal{R}(M) \to \mathcal{R}$ which is homogeneous of degree $n$. So $\hat{f}$ induces a map $\bar{f}: G_\alpha(M) \to G_\alpha(A)$ which is also homogeneous of degree $n$. Clearly $\bar{f} = 0$ if and only if $f \in M_{n+1}^*$. So we have
\[ \Phi_M: G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \to \text{^Hom}_{G_\alpha}(G_\alpha(M), G_\alpha(A)) \]
\[ f + M_{n+1} \mapsto \bar{f}. \]

Clearly $\Phi_M = \Psi_M \otimes \frac{\mathcal{R}}{t^{-1} \mathcal{R}}$.

Corollary 4.6. Set $G = G_\alpha(A)$. There is an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \xrightarrow{\Phi_M} \text{^Hom}_{G_\alpha}(G_\alpha(M), G) \to \text{^Ext}_{\mathcal{R}}^1(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(+1) \]

Proof. The map $0 \to \mathcal{R}(+1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} \mathcal{R} \to G \to 0$ induces the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \text{^Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(+1) \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} \text{^Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \to \text{^Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), G) \to \text{^Ext}_{\mathcal{R}}^1(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(+1) \]

Note that $\text{^Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), G) \cong \text{^Hom}_{G_\alpha}(G_\alpha(M), G)$. The result follows by using Theorem 4.4 and Observation 4.5. \qed

A consequence of Corollary 4.6 is Application V.

Corollary 4.7. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be an Artin Gorenstein local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ a proper ideal in $A$ and let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module. Then
\[ \ell(G_\alpha(M)) \leq \ell \left( \text{^Hom}_{G_\alpha}(G_\alpha(A), G_\alpha(A)) \right). \]

Proof. Set $G = G_\alpha(A)$ and $G(M) = G_\alpha(M)$. Notice that
\[ \ell(G_\alpha(M)) = e_0(G_\alpha(M)) = \ell(M) \]
\[ \ell(G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)) = e_0(G_\alpha(M^*)) = \ell(M^*). \]

Since $A$ is self-injective, $\ell(M) = \ell(M^*)$. The result follows from 4.6. \qed
Another important consequence of Corollary 4.6 is the following:

**Corollary 4.8.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Gorenstein local ring and let \(\mathfrak{a}\) be an ideal such that \(G_\mathfrak{a}(A)\) is Gorenstein. Let \(M\) be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay \(A\)-module with \(G_\mathfrak{a}(M)\)-Cohen-Macaulay. Then

\[
G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_\mathfrak{a}(A)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(M), G_\mathfrak{a}(A)).
\]

**Proof.** Notice \(\mathcal{R}\) is also a Gorenstein ring and \(\mathcal{R}(M)\) is maximal Cohen-Macaulay. It follows that \(\text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) = 0\). The result follows from Corollary 4.6 \(\square\)

4.9. In the theory of Hilbert functions over local rings the notion of superficial elements plays an important role. In our case, first we assume for convenience that (\(A, \mathfrak{m}\)) is also Gorenstein and \(M\) is an \(M\)-module. Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_r\) be a \(M \oplus A\) superficial sequence. Set \(B = A/(x), \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}/(X), \quad N = M/\mathfrak{x}M\) and

\[
\mathcal{F}_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, \mathfrak{a}^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_N = \{\text{Hom}_B(M, \mathfrak{b}^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.
\]

We may ask when does

\[
(4.9.1) \quad \frac{G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{\mathfrak{x}^n G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \cong G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

We prove

**Theorem 4.10.** [with hypothesis as in 4.9] If \(G_\mathfrak{a}(A)\) is Gorenstein and \(G_\mathfrak{a}(M)\) is Cohen-Macaulay then \(G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)\) holds.

See Theorem [12,5,2] for a proof of Theorem 4.10.

5. **Some preliminary applications of Gorenstein approximation**

In this section we give give Applications VI and VII as stated in the introduction. First we generalize to modules some results of Valabrega and Valla[20, 2.3], Guerrieri [17, 3.2] and Wang [30, 2.6.3.1]. If \(\dim M = 2\) and \(\mathfrak{a}\) an ideal of definition for \(M\), then it is easy to prove \(\ell \mathfrak{a}^1(M) \leq \ell \mathfrak{a}^0(M)(\alpha_2(G_\mathfrak{a}(M)) + 2)\). We give a complete classification of when equality holds.

**Theorem 5.1.** [Appl. VI] Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a local ring with infinite residue field, \(M\) a Cohen-Macaulay \(A\)-module of dimension \(r\) and \(\mathfrak{a}\) an ideal of definition for \(M\). Let \(\mathfrak{c} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)\) be a minimal reduction of \(\mathfrak{a}\) with respect to \(M\). Set

\[
\delta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \ell \left( \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{n+1}M \cap \mathfrak{c}M}{\mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{a}^n M} \right).
\]

If \(\delta = 0, 1, 2\) then \(\text{depth} G_\mathfrak{a}(M) \geq d - \delta\).

**Proof.** We may go mod \(\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{M}\). Thus we may assume \(\dim M = \dim A\) and \(\mathfrak{a}\) is \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary. Since \(M\) is a faithful \(A\)-module it can be easily checked that \(\mathfrak{c}\) is a minimal reduction of \(\mathfrak{a}\) with respect to \(A\). Our hypothesis nor conclusion change under completion so we may assume \(A\) is complete.

Let \([B, n, \mathfrak{b}, \varphi]\) be a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\). We do base change and consider \(M\) as a \(B\)-module. Notice \(G_\mathfrak{b}(M) = G_\mathfrak{a}(M)\). Let \(y_i \in \mathfrak{b}\) be such that \(\varphi(y_i) = x_i\). Set \(R = B \times M\), the idealization of \(M\) and consider the ideal \(q = \mathfrak{b} \times M\). Then \(G_q(R) = G_\mathfrak{b}(B) \times G_\mathfrak{a}(M)(-1)\).
As $G_q(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, depth $G_q(R) = \text{depth} \, G_q(M)$. Set $z_i = (y_i, 0)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Notice $q^i = b^i \alpha^{-1} M$ for $i \geq 0$.

Therefore $q^i \cap (z) = (b^i \cap (y), a^{-1} M \cap c M)$ and
\[ q^i \cap (z) = (0, \frac{a^{-1} M \cap c M}{ac^{-2} M}). \]
The result now follow from the case of rings. \hfill \Box

The previous result did not use the fact the Gorenstein property of $G_q(B)$. All we used was that $G_q(B)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The next result uses the fact that $G_q(B)$ is Gorenstein. However we need the following elementary result.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $R = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a standard graded Noetherian ring with $R_0$ Artin local. Let $E$ be a graded $R$-module of dimension two. Then
\[ e_1(E) \leq e_0(E)(a_2(E) + 2). \]

**Sketch.** We may assume that $H^0_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E) = 0$. Set $t = a_2 + 1$. By the Grothendieck-Serre formula
\[ H_E(t) - \{e_0(t+1) - e_1\} = -\ell(H^1(M)_t). \]
Hence $e_1(E) \leq e_0(E)(a_2 + 2)$. \hfill \Box

It is important to understand when equality holds above. In general we cannot say much. However if $M$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension two over a local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ then we can give a characterization when equality holds above. Before proceeding we need the following notation: If $D$ is a graded Artin $G\alpha(A)$-module then $D^\vee$ denotes the dual of $D$ with respect to to the injective hull of $k$ as a $G\alpha(A)$-module (note that if $\alpha$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary then $G\alpha(A)$ is *-complete (see BH**)). Furthermore a finitely generated $C$ over $G\alpha(A)$ is said to generalized Ulrich if the $h$-polynomial of $C$ is of the form $h_C(z) = az^l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We give application VII of our notion of Gorenstein approximation.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $\alpha$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal in $A$ and $M$ an $A$-module with dim $M = 2$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $e^b_i(M) = e^a_i(M) (a^2(M) + 2)$.

(ii) $G\alpha(M)$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay and $H^2(G\alpha(A))^\vee$ is Generalized Ulrich $G\alpha(A)$-module.

**Proof.** We may assume $A$ is complete, $\alpha$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary and $\dim M = \dim A$. Let $[B, n, b, \varphi]$ be a Gorenstein approximation of $[A, \mathfrak{m}, \alpha]$. Notice
\[ a_i^b(M) = a_i^a(M) \text{ and } e_i^b(M) = e_i^a(M) \text{ for all } i. \]
Thus we may assume $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is Gorenstein local and $G\alpha(A)$ is Gorenstein. We may further assume $A$ has an infinite residue field. This we do. Set
\[ G = G\alpha(A), \quad D = H^2_{G\alpha}(G\alpha(M)) = \bigoplus_{a \leq n} D_n \text{ where } \alpha = a^2(M). \]

Set $(−)^\vee = \Hom_G(−, H^2_{G\alpha}(G))$ the Matlis dual functor over $G$. Notice $D^\vee = \bigoplus_{n \geq -\alpha} D_n^\vee$ where $D_n^\vee = \Hom_{A/\alpha}(D_{-n}, E_{A/\alpha}(k))$, and $E_{A/\alpha}(k)$ is the injective hull of $k$ as an $A/\alpha$-module. By Matlis duality $D^\vee$ is a finitely generated $G$-module.

By Local duality we have
\[ D^\vee = H^2(G\alpha(M))^\vee \simeq \Hom_G(G\alpha(M), G(\alpha)) \]
(Here $a = \text{red}_a(A) - 2$, the $a$-invariant of $G$).

**Claim 1:** $D^\vee$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $G$-module of dimension 2.

**Proof of Claim 1:** By [26, 17.1.10] it follows that the function $n \mapsto \ell(D^\vee_n)$ is polynomial of degree 1. So $\dim D^\vee = 2$. Let $F_1 \to F_0 \to G_a(M) \to 0$ be a free presentation of $G_a(M)$ as a $G$-module. Notice

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_G(G_a(M), G) \to \text{Hom}_G(F_0, G) \to \text{Hom}_G(F_1, G)$$

As $G$ is Cohen-Macaulay we get Claim 1.

**Claim 2:** $\ell(D_n) = C_0(\alpha - n + 1) - C_1$ for all $n \ll 0$ where $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 \geq 0$.

**Proof of Claim 2:** $D^\vee = \bigoplus_{m \geq -\alpha} D^\vee_m$. Notice $\ell(D^\vee_m) = \ell(D_{-m})$ for all $m$. Set $D^\vee(-\alpha)_j = 0$ for $j < 0$. So $D^\vee(-\alpha)$ has Hilbert series

$$h_0 + h_1 z + \ldots + h_s z^2 \quad \frac{(1 - z)^2}{(1 - z^2)}.$$

As $D^\vee(-\alpha)$ is Cohen-Macaulay we have $h_i \geq 0$ for all $i$. Thus there exists $C_0 > 0$ and $C_1 \geq 0$ such that $\ell(D^\vee(-\alpha)_n) = C_0(n + 1) - C_1$ for all $n \gg 0$. Therefore

$$\ell(D_n) = \ell(D^\vee_n) = C_0(-n + \alpha + 1) - C_1 \quad \text{for all } n \ll 0.$$

This proves Claim 2.

By a result due to Serre cf. [26, 4.4.3]

$$H(G_a(M), n) - P(G_a(M), n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^i \ell(H^i(G_a(M)))_n.$$

Clearly $H^0(G_a(M)))_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. Notice $\ell(H^1(G_a(M)))_n = \xi$, a constant for all $n \ll 0$, see [2, 17.1.9]. So we get

$$-\left[ e^0_0(M)(n + 1) - e^0_1(M) \right] = C_0(\alpha + 1 - n) - C_1 - \xi$$

Therefore $C_0 = e^0_0(M)$. Comparing coefficients we get

$$e^1_1(M) = e^0_0(M)(\alpha + 2) - \xi - C_1.$$

The result follows.

---

6. THE INITIAL DEGREE OF THE DUAL FILTRATION AND THE $a$-INVERTANT

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Gorenstein local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an equimultiple ideal in $A$ and $M$ be an MCM $A$-module. Let $\alpha_a(M)$ be the order of $M$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ (see [6.1]). We prove that $\alpha_a(M) \leq \text{red}_a(A)$. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary then we prove that

$$\alpha_a(G_a(M)) \geq \text{red}_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - \dim A.$$

We also prove that $\alpha_m(M) = \text{red}(A)$ if and only if $M$ is an Ulrich module.

**6.1.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal in $A$ and $M$ and $A$-module. Set $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{M^*_n = \text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the dual filtration of $M^*$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. If $f \neq 0 \in M^*$ set $\alpha_a(f) = \max\{n \mid f(M) \subseteq a^n\}$. Set

$$\alpha_a(M) = \min\{\alpha_a(f) \mid f \in M^*, f \neq 0\}.$$

Notice $\alpha_a(M) = \max\{n \mid M^*_n \neq M^*\} = \min\{n \mid G(\mathcal{F}, M^*)_n \neq 0\}.$
6.2. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in a$ be a sequence. Set $(B, m) = (A/(x), m/(x))$ and $N = M/xM$. If $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ define $\psi(f) : N \to B$, by

$$\psi(f)(m + xM) = f(m) + xA.$$ 

One can check readily $\psi(f) \in \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$. Define

$$\Upsilon_X : \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B)$$

$$\Upsilon_X(f) = \psi(f).$$

**Proposition 6.3.** Let $(A, m)$ be a Gorenstein local ring and let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module. If $x_1, \ldots, x_r \in a$ is an $A$-regular sequence and then $\Upsilon_X$ is onto.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove for $r = 1$. The exact sequence $0 \to A \to A \to B \to 0$ yields $0 \to M^* \to M^* \to \text{Hom}_B(N, B) \to \text{Ext}^1_A(M, A)$. Notice $\text{Ext}^1_A(M, A) = 0$.

The map:

$$\text{Hom}(M, A) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M, \pi)} \text{Hom}(N, B)$$

$$f \mapsto \overline{f}$$

is nothing but $\Upsilon_x$. Thus $\Upsilon_x$ is onto. \qed

For equimultiple ideals we have

**Corollary 6.4.** [with hypothesis as in 6.3] If $a$ is an equimultiple ideal then

$$\alpha_a(M) \leq \text{red}_a(A).$$

**Proof.** Let $J = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ be a minimal reduction of $a$ such that

$$r = \text{red}_a(A) = \min\{n \mid \text{a}^{n+1} = J\text{a}^n\}. $$

By hypothesis $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a regular sequence. Consider $\Upsilon_X : \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \to \text{Hom}_{A/J}(M/JM, A/JM)$ as defined earlier.

If $\alpha(M) > r$ then note that $\psi(f) = 0$ for each $f \in M^*$. By 6.3 $\Upsilon$ is onto. So $\text{Hom}_{A/J}(M/JM, A/J) = 0$, a contradiction. \qed

6.5. Set $r = \text{red}(a)$ and $d = \text{dim} A$. Recall that if $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein then $\Omega_a = G(r-d)$ is the canonical module of $G_a(A)$. Using graded local duality we get

$$(6.5.1) \quad \alpha_a(G_a(M)) = -\min\{n \mid \text{Hom}_G(G_a(M), G_a(A)(r-d))_n \neq 0\}. $$

The following result gives a lower bound on $a(G_a(M))$ in terms of $\alpha_a(M)$.

**Proposition 6.6.** Let $(A, m)$ be a $d$-dimensional Gorenstein local ring, $a$ an $m$-primary ideal with $G_a(A)$ Gorenstein and let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module.

$$a(G_a(M)) \geq \text{red}_a(A) - \alpha_a(M) - d.$$ 

**Proof.** Set $G(M) = G_a(M), G = G_a(A), r = \text{red}_a(A)$ and $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$. By [4.6] we have an inclusion $0 \to G(F, M^*) \to \text{Hom}_G(G(M), G)$. So

$$(*) \quad 0 \to G(F, M^*)(r-d) \to \text{Hom}_G(G(M), G(r-d)).$$

Notice $G(F, M^*)((r-d))_{\alpha_a(M)-(r-d)} = G(F, M^*)_{\alpha_a(M)} \neq 0$.

Looking at initial degrees of sequence $(*)$ and using [6.5.1] we get

$$-a(G_a(M)) \leq \alpha_a(M) - (r-d).$$

The result follows. \qed
Remark 6.7. If $a$ is $m$-primary then by Proposition 6.6 and 6.3 it follows that $a(G_a(M)) \geq -\dim A$. But this also follows easily from other arguments.

The case when $a = m$.

\textbf{Notation:} Set $G(-) = G_m(-)$ and $\alpha(-) = \alpha_m(-)$. If we have to specify the ring then we write $\alpha_A(-)$. Clearly $\alpha(M) = 0$ if and only if $M$ has a free summand.

\textbf{Lemma 6.8.} Let $(A,m)$ be a Gorenstein local ring with $G(A)$ Gorenstein. Let $x \in m \setminus m^2$ be $M \oplus A$-superficial with respect to $m$. Then

\[ \alpha_A(M) \leq \alpha_{A/(x)}(M/xM). \]

\textbf{Proof.} Set $N = M/xM$ and $(B,n) = (A/(x),m/(x))$. By Proposition 6.3

\[ \Upsilon : \text{Hom}_A(M,A) \to \text{Hom}_B(N,B) \]

is onto. Set $\alpha = \alpha_A(M)$. Then $f(M) \subseteq m^\alpha$ for all $f \in M^\ast$. Therefore $\Upsilon(f)(N) \subseteq n^\alpha$ for all $f \in M^\ast$. Since $\Upsilon$ is onto, we get $g(N) \subseteq n^\alpha$ for all $g \in N^\ast$. Thus

\[ \alpha_B(N) \geq \alpha = \alpha_A(M). \]

\[ \square \]

6.9. Reduction to dimension zero :

Let $x = x_1 \ldots x_d$ be a maximal $M \oplus A$-superficial sequence. Set $(B,n) = (A/(x),m/(x))$ and $N = M/xM$.

\textbf{Remark 6.10.} Recall an MCM $a$-module $M$ is called \textit{Ulrich} if $e(M) = \mu(M)$.

(a) $M$ is Ulrich $\iff$ $N$ is Ulrich. Notice $N$ is Ulrich $\iff N \cong k^{\mu(N)}$.

(b) Since $G(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay we have $\text{red}(A) = \text{red}(B)$.

(c) If $\alpha_A(M) = \text{red}(A)$ then $\alpha_B(N) = \text{red}(B)$. This is so, since

\[ \text{red}(A) = \alpha_A(M) \leq \alpha_B(N) \leq \text{red}(B) \quad \text{(inequalities due to 6.8 & 6.4)}. \]

(d) If $\alpha_B(N) = \text{red}(B)$ then $N = k^s$ for some $s \geq 1$. \textit{The converse also holds} since $B$ is Gorenstein.

\textbf{Proposition 6.11.} Let $(A,m)$ be a Gorenstein local ring with $G_m(A)$ Gorenstein. Also assume that $A$ has infinite residue field. Let $M$ be a MCM $A$-module. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\alpha(M) = \text{red}(A)$.

(ii) $M$ is an Ulrich $A$-module.

(iii) $a(G(M)) = -\dim A$.

\textbf{Proof.} Set $r = \text{red}(A)$, $\alpha = \alpha_A(M)$ and $d = \dim A$. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ be a maximal $M \oplus A$-superficial sequence. Set $(B,n) = (A/(x),m/(x))$ and $N = M/xM$.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): If $\alpha(M) = \text{red}(A)$ then by 6.10(c), $\alpha(N) = \text{red}(B) = r$. We have $\alpha(N) = r$. So $N^\ast = N^\ast_r = \text{Hom}_B(N,n^r)$. Since $B$ is Gorenstein with $n^r \neq 0$ and $n^j = 0$ for $j > r$ we get $n^r \cong k$. So $N^\ast = \text{Hom}_A(N,k) = k^{\mu(N)}$. Therefore $N \cong N^{\ast\ast} = k^{\mu(N)}$. So $N$ is Ulrich. By 6.10(a) $M$ is an Ulrich $A$-module.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By Proposition 6.6 we get

\[ a(G(M)) \geq r - \alpha - d. \]

So we obtain $\alpha \geq r$. But $\alpha \leq r$ always (see 6.3). So $\alpha = r$. \hfill $\square$
7. \( a \)-invariant: Borderline cases

Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be CM. It is well-known that \( a(G_m(A)) \geq -\dim A \). We prove that \( a(G_m(A)) = -\dim A \) if and only if \( A \) is regular local (see 7.2). If \( A \) is equicharacteristic we prove

- \( a(G_n(A)) = -\dim A \) if and only if \( n \) is generated by a regular sequence.
- (assume \( a \) is also integrally closed). \( a(G_n(A)) = -\dim A + 1 \) if and only if \( a \) has minimal multiplicity.

**7.1. Discussion:** We can easily show that if \( \dim A = 1, 2 \), then \( a(G_n(A)) = -\dim A \) if and only if \( A \) is generated by a regular sequence. When \( d = 1 \) it easily follows from a result of Marley \**. When \( d = 2 \) it follows from 5.2 that \( e(A) = 0 \). It follows from a result of Northcott \** that \( a \) is generated by a regular sequence. We cannot use induction to analyze the case when \( a(G_n(A)) = -d \) since if \( x \) is \( A \)-superficial with respect to \( A \) then it does not immediately follow that \( a(G_n(A))(A/x)) = -d + 1 \)

We begin our investigations when \( a = \mathfrak{m} \) the maximal ideal of \( A \).

**Theorem 7.2.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( A \) is regular local.

(ii) \( a(G(A)) = -\dim A \).

The example below shows that the assumption, \( A \) is CM in 7.2 is crucial.

**Example 7.3.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a regular local ring of dimension \( d > 0 \). Let \( M \) be an \( A \)-module with \( \dim M < d \). Let \( R = A \otimes M \), the idealization of \( M \). Notice \( R \) is a quotient of \( A \) to \( A \) is local with maximal ideal \( n = m \otimes M \). Also \( \dim R = \dim A = d \). Furthermore \( \operatorname{depth} R = \min \{ \operatorname{depth} M, \operatorname{depth} A \} < d \). So \( R \) is not CM.

**Claim:** \( a(G_m(R)) = -d \).

Set \( T = G_m(A), N = G_m(M) \) and \( S = G_n(R) \). Notice \( S = T \otimes N \), the idealization of \( N \). Since \( N^2 = 0 \) in \( S \) we have that \( H_T^*(-) = H_T^*(S) \). Furthermore as \( S \) is a finite module we get that \( H_T^*(S) = H_T^*(S) \) as \( T \)-modules.

Since \( S = T \otimes N \) as \( T \)-modules we get that \( H_T^*(S) = H_T^*(T) \otimes H_T^*(N) \) for each \( i \geq 0 \). Since \( \dim N = \dim M < d \) we get that \( H_T^*(S) = H_T^*(T) \). Thus \( H_T^*(S) = H_T^*(T) \) as \( T \)-modules. The result follows.

**Proof of Theorem 7.2.** The assertion (i) \( \implies \) (ii) is clear. To prove the converse we may assume that \( A \) is complete with infinite residue field \( k \); see 2.7(c) and 2.7(5).

Since \( A \) is complete, \( A \) is the quotient of a regular local ring. So by 3.6 \((A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})\) has a Gorenstein approximation \((B, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}, \phi)\) with \( \phi \) onto. We consider \( A \) as a \( B \)-module. Notice \( G_n(A) = G_m(A) \) as a \( G_n(B) \)-module. It is also be easily seen that for each \( i \geq 0 \) we have \( H_T^*(G_n(A)) \equiv H_T^*(G_m(A)) \) as a \( G_n(B) \)-module.

Since \( \dim B = \dim A = d(say) \), we get \( a(G_n(A)) = -\dim B \). By Theorem 6.11 we get that \( A \) is Ulrich as a \( B \)-module. Let \( J = (u_1, \ldots, u_d) \) be a minimal reduction of \( A \) with respect to \( n \). Set \( x_i = \phi(u_i) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \). As \( A \) is an Ulrich \( B \)-module we get \( JA = nA \). It follows that \( n = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \). So \( A \) is regular local.

We prove an analogue of 7.2 for \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideals. Unfortunately we have to assume that \( A \) is equicharacteristic (i.e., it contains a field).

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be an equicharacteristic CM local ring of \( \dim d \geq 1 \). Let \( \mathfrak{a} \) be an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal. Then \( a(G_n(A)) = -\dim A \iff \mathfrak{a} \) is a parameter ideal.
Proof. We may assume, without loss of any generality that \( A \) is complete and has an infinite residue field. As \( A \) is equicharacteristic we choose a Gorenstein approximation \([B, n, b, \varphi]\) of \([A, m, a]\) with \( b = n \). Notice \( G_n(A) = G_a(A) \) and notice \( H_{G_n(B)}^i(G_a(A)) = H_{G_n(B)}^i(G_a(A)) \). If \( a(G_a(A)) = -d \) then \( A \) is Ulrich as an \( B \)-module. So \( nA = JA \) where \( J = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \) is a minimal reduction of \( n \) with respect to \( A \). But \( nA = aA \). We get \( a = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \). It follows that \( a \) is a parameter ideal.

Conversely if \( a \) is a parameter ideal then its clear that \( a(G_A(A)) = -d \). □

We might also ask what happens when \( a(G_a(A)) = -d + 1 \). We show

Theorem 7.5. Let \((A, m)\) be an equicharacteristic CM local ring of \( \dim d \geq 1 \). Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal. If \( a \) has minimal multiplicity then \( a(G_A(A)) = -d + 1 \). The converse holds if

(1) \( a \) is integrally closed.
(2) \( \dim A = 1 \).

Proof. If \( a \) has minimal multiplicity then \( G_a(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. The description of Hilbert series of \( G_a(A) \) gives \( a(G_a(A)) = -d + 1 \). To prove the converse we may assume that \( A \) is complete with an infinite residue field.

Case (1): \( a \) is integrally closed.

As \( A \) is equicharacteristic we choose a Gorenstein approximation \([B, n, b, \varphi]\) of \([A, m, a]\) with \( b = n \). From Lemma \( 6.3 \) we have

\[
\alpha_n(A) \leq \alpha_{n/(x)}(A/xA)
\]

where \( x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \) is a maximal \( A \oplus B \) superficial sequence with respect to \( n \).

By \( 6.6 \) we have \( \alpha_n(A) \geq r - 1 \) where \( r = \text{red } B = \text{red } B/(x) \). Therefore \( \alpha_{n/(x)}(A/xA) \geq r - 1 \). It follows that \( \pi^2A = 0 \). Thus \( \pi^2 A \subseteq xA \). Let \( y_i = \varphi(x_i) \) for all \( i \). Set \( q = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \). Then we have \( q^2 \subseteq J \). Since \( a \) is integrally closed we have \( a^2 = qa \). So \( a \) has minimal multiplicity.

Case (2): \( \dim A = 1 \).

By hypothesis \( a_1(G_a(A)) = a(G_a(A)) = 0 \). If \( G_a(A) \) is CM then we have nothing to show. We assert that \( G_a(A) \) is CM. If not then by a result of Marley \( 12 \) we get \( a_1(G_a(A)) < a_1(G_a(A)) \) a contradiction, since \( H_{G_a(A)+}^0(G_a(A)) \) is concentrated in non-negative degrees.

When \( \dim A = 2 \) there exists \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) which do not have minimal multiplicity but have \( a(G_a(A)) = -1 \) (see \( 7.7 \)). In fact when \( \dim A = 2 \) we give the following characterization of \( m \)-primary ideals \( a \) with \( a(G_a(A)) \leq -1 \).

Proposition 7.6. Let \((A, m)\) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with \( \dim A = 2 \). Let \( a \) be an \( m \)-primary ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( a(G_a(A)) \leq -1 \).
(ii) \( \text{red}_{a^n}(A) = 1 \) for all \( n \gg 0 \).
(iii) \( e_2^a(A) = 0 \).

Proof. By \( 11 \) we get \( a(G_a(A)) < 0 \) if and only if \( \text{red}(a^n) = \dim A - 1 \) for all \( n \gg 0 \). Thus the assertions (i) and (ii) are equivalent. By \( ? \), we get that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

The example below was constructed by Marley \( 11 \) for a different purpose.
The Hilbert function of $\mathcal{H}(A, z) = 38 + 3z + 3z^2 + 3z^3 + 3z^4 + 3z^5 + 4z^6$.

Notice $e_0^2(A) = 0$. So by Remark 8.4 we get $a(G_2(A)) < 0$. Since $a(G_2(A)) \geq -2$ and $a$ is not generated by a regular sequence it follows from (7.4) that $a(G_2(A)) = -1$.

**Example 7.7.** Let $A = k[X, Y]_{(X, Y)}$ and let $a = (X^7, X^6Y, XY^6, Y^7)$. Using COCOA one verifies that

$$h_a(X, Y) = 38 + 3X + 3X^2 + 3X^3 + 3X^4 + 3X^5 + 4X^6.$$

**8. Dual Filtrations of MCM modules over hypersurface rings**

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete equicharacteristic hypersurface ring and let $M$ be a $\mathcal{M} A$-module. When $G_\mathcal{M}(M)$ is CM we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the dual filtration, $F_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, m^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, on $M^*$ to be a shift of the $m$-adic filtration on $M^*$: see (8.11). This result along with Gorenstein approximation is then used to deduce results about associated graded rings of $q$-primary $a$ in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring $(R, q)$ with $\mu(a) = \dim R + 1$. We give proof of application III and half of application IV, stated in the introduction: see (8.17) and (8.19).

**8.1. Setup:** Let $Q = k[[X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d]]$ where $k$ is an infinite field and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the maximal ideal of $Q$. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}) = (Q/(f), \mathfrak{n}/(f))$ where $f \in \mathfrak{n}^e \setminus \mathfrak{n}^{e+1}$ and $e \geq 2$. Notice $e_0(A) = e$ and $G_\mathcal{M}(A) = G_\mathfrak{m}(Q)/(f^*)$. So $G_\mathcal{M}(A)$ is Gorenstein.

**8.2. Some invariants of a MCM module over a hypersurface ring.**

**Case 1:** $\dim A = 0$. So $Q$ is a DVR. Let $\mathfrak{n} = (\Pi)$. As a $Q$-module

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} Q/\mathfrak{m}^{\nu_a_i(M)}$$

where $1 \leq a_1(M) \leq \ldots \leq a_{\mu(M)}(M) \leq e$.

Thus the decomposition above is as $A$-modules.

**8.3. The Hilbert function of $M$ is**

$$H(M, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} (1 + z + \ldots + z^{a_i(M) - 1}).$$

**Remark 8.4.** Notice $H(M, z)$ completely determines $a_1(M), \ldots, a_{\mu(M)}(M)$ in the case when $\dim M = 0$.

**Case 2:** $d = \dim A \geq 1$.

By an argument similar to [19] 7.6 we get that for sufficiently general $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, the Hilbert function of $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)M$ is constant. Using (8.4) we can define $a_i(M) = a_i(M/(x)M)$ for sufficiently general $x$.

**Definition 8.5.** We call the numbers $a_1(M), \ldots, a_{\mu(M)}(M)$ the **generic superficial invariants** of $M$.

**Corollary 8.6.** (with assumptions as above)

$$e_0(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(M).$$
Proof. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d$ be sufficiently general. Set $N = M/xM$. Then

$$e_0(M) = e_0(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} a_i(N).$$

\hfill \Box

8.7. Assume $0 \rightarrow Q^n \xrightarrow{\phi} Q^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal presentation of $M$. Set

$$i_\phi = \max\{i \mid \text{all entries of } \phi \text{ are in } n^i\}.$$ 

It is well known that $i_\phi$ is an invariant of $M$. We set $i(M) = i_\phi$.

Proposition 8.8. [with hypothesis as above] $i(M) = a_1(M)$.

Proof. When dim $M = 0$ it is clear that $i(M) = a_1(M)$.

The Dual Filtration in dimension zero.

Proposition 8.9. If $E = M \oplus N$ then

$$E_i = \text{Hom}_A(M \oplus N, m^i) = M_i^* \oplus N_i^*.$$ 

So $G(F_E, E^*) = G(F_M, M^*) \oplus G(F_N, N^*)$.

The Dual Filtration in dimension zero.

Proposition 8.10. Let $(Q, \Pi)$ be a DVR. Set $A = Q/(\Pi^e)$ for some $e \geq 2$ and let $M$ be an $A$-module.

1. If $M = Q/(\Pi^i)$ then $G(F_M, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(e - i)$.

2. If $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} Q/(\Pi^{a_i(M)})$ then

$$G(F_M, M^*) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu(M)} G(Q/(\Pi^{a_i(M)}))(e - a_i(M)).$$ 

3. $G(F_M, M) \simeq G(M^*)$ (up to a shift) if and only if $a_1(M) = \cdots = a_{\mu(M)}(M)$.

Proof. (1). Set $M_j^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, m^j) = \text{Hom}_{Q/(\Pi^e)}(Q/(\Pi^i), (\Pi^j)/(\Pi^e))$.

Clearly $M_j^* = M^*$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, e - i$.

For $j > e - i$ let $f \in M_j^*$. Let $f(\bar{1}) = \alpha \Pi^{-i} = \Pi^{j-(e-i)} \cdot (a\Pi^{e-i})$.

Define $g \in M^*$ by $g(\bar{1}) = a\Pi^{e-i}$. Clearly $g$ is $A$-linear. Also $g \in M_{e-i} = M^*$.

Thus $f(\bar{1}) = \Pi^{j-(e-i)}g(\bar{1}) \in \Pi^{j-(e-i)}M_{e-i}$. Therefore $M_j^* \subseteq \Pi^{j-(e-i)}M^*$. But

$$\Pi^{j-(e-i)}M^* \subseteq M_j^*$$

always. So $M_j^* = \Pi^{j-(e-i)}M^*$. Thus

$$G(F_M, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(e - i).$$

(2). This follows from [8.9] and [11].

(3). This follows from [2].

\hfill \Box

Theorem 8.11. [with hypothesis as in 8.7] Assume $G(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $G(M^*) \simeq \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A))$ up to a shift.

(ii) $a_1(M) = \cdots = a_{\mu(M)}(M)$.

(iii) $h_M(z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \cdots + z^{i(M)-1})$. 

\hfill \Box
(iv) $h_M(z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1})$ for some $s \geq 1$.

We need the following

**Lemma 8.12.** (with hypothesis as in 8.7) Set $\alpha = i(M)$. Then

$$h(M, z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{\alpha-1}) + \sum_{i \geq \alpha} h_i(M) z^i.$$  

Furthermore $h_\alpha(M) < \mu(M)$.

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on dimension of $A$. When $\dim A = 0$ then the result follows by 8.3.

If $\dim A > 0$ then let $x \in m \setminus m^2$ be sufficiently general. Set $N = M/xM$. We may choose $x$ such that $i(M) = i(N) = \alpha$, see [19, 4.4]. By induction hypothesis

$$h(N, z) = \mu(N)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{\alpha-1}) + \sum_{i \geq \alpha} h_i(N) z^i$$  

and $h_\alpha(N) < \mu(N)$.

By Singh’s Lemma [26, Theorem 1]

$$H(M, z) = \frac{H(N, z)}{(1 - z)} - \sum_{i \geq 0} \ell \left( \frac{m^{i+1}M : x}{m^iM} \right) z^i.$$  

By [19, 4.6] we get $(m^{i+1}M : x) = m^iM$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1$.

So

$$h_M(z) = h(N, z) - (1 - z)^d \sum_{i \geq \alpha} \ell \left( \frac{m^{i+1}M : x}{m^iM} \right) z^i.$$  

It follows that $h_i(M) = h_i(N)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \alpha - 1$ and

$$h_\alpha(M) = h_\alpha(N) - \ell \left( \frac{m^{\alpha+1}M : x}{m^\alpha M} \right) \leq h_\alpha(N) < \mu(N) = \mu(M).$$

The result follows. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 8.13.** If $h(M, z) = \mu(M)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1})$ than $i(M) = s$ and $G(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Proof.** The assertion $i(M) = s$ follows from Lemma 8.12. The fact that $G(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay follows from [19, Theorem 2]. \hfill \Box

We now give a

**Proof of Theorem 8.7** (iii) $\iff$ (ii) follows from [19, Theorem 2].

(iii) $\implies$ (i). Let $\mathcal{F}_M$ be the dual filtration on $M^*$ with respect to $m$. As $G(M)$ is Cohen-Macaulay it follows from Corollary 4.3 that $G(\mathcal{F}, M^*) \cong \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A))$. Let $x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \in m \setminus m^2$ be sufficiently general. Set $N = M/xM$. Then by 4.11 we have

$$G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)/xG(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \cong G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).$$

Notice $a_1(M) = \ldots = a_{\mu(M)}(M) = i(M)$. By 8.10 it follows that the Hilbert series of $G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*)$ is $\mu(M)z^{s-i(M)}(1 + z + \ldots + z^{i(M)-1})$. It follows that the Hilbert series of $G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)$ is

$$\frac{\mu(M)z^{s-i(M)}(1 + z + \ldots + z^{i(M)-1})}{(1 - z)^d}$$
Note $\alpha = \alpha(M) = e - i(M)$. For all $i \geq 0$ we always have $m^{i+1}M^* \subseteq M^*_{i+\alpha+1}$. So we have an exact sequence

$$\frac{M^*}{m^{i+1}M^*} \rightarrow \frac{M^*}{M^*_{i+\alpha+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

Since the lengths are equal it is an isomorphism. Thus $m^{i+1}M^* = M^*_{i+\alpha+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore $G(M^*) \simeq \text{Hom}(G(M), G(A))$ up to a shift.

(i) $\implies$ (iii) By hypothesis $G(M^*) \simeq G(\mathfrak{F}, M^*)$ (up to a shift). Thus $G(M^*)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By 4.10 we go mod a maximal regular sequence to reduce to dimension zero case. Here the assertion is true by 8.10(3).

(iii) $\implies$ (iv) Nothing to show.

(iv) $\implies$ (iii) Follows from Corollary 8.13 $\Box$

8.14. The case when $(A, m)$ is Cohen-Macaulay (need not be a hypersurface ring) but $a$ is an $m$-primary ideal with $\mu(a) = d + 1$.

Remark 8.15. Assume $A$ is also complete and equicharacteristic. By 3.4 we get that $[A, m, a]$ has a Gorenstein approximation $[B, n, n, \psi]$ with $\mu(n) = d + 1$. It follows that $B$ is a hypersurface ring. Also $A$ is a MCM as a $B$-module. Clearly $G_a(A) = G_n(A)$. It can be easily checked that $\omega_A \cong \text{Hom}_B(A, B) = A^*$ and $\Omega_a = \text{Hom}_B(G_n(A), \Omega_n)$. Also note that $G_n(B) \cong \Omega_n^\vee$ up to a shift.

Theorem 8.16. Let $(A, m)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay equicharacteristic local ring and a canonical module $\omega_A$. Let $a$ be an $m$-primary ideal with $\mu(a) = d + 1$ and $G_a(A)$ Cohen-Macaulay with canonical module $\Omega_a^\vee$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $G_a(\omega_A) \simeq \Omega_a^\vee$ (up to a shift).

(ii) $h_a(A, z) = \ell(A/a)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1})$ for some $s \geq 2$.

Proof. This follows from 8.15 and 8.11 $\Box$

Theorem 8.17. Let $(A, m)$ be a equicharacteristic Gorenstein local ring and $a$ an $m$-primary ideal with $\mu(a) = d + 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $G_a(A)$ is Gorenstein.

(ii) $h_a(A, z) = \ell(A/a)(1 + z + \ldots + z^{s-1})$ for some $s \geq 2$.

Proof. The assertion (i) $\implies$ (ii) follows from 8.16

(ii) $\implies$ (i). We use notation as in 8.15. Notice $A$ is a MCM $B$-module and $h_a(A, z) = h_a(A, z)$. So by 8.13 we get that $G(A) = G_a(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. The result follows from 8.16 $\Box$

Question 8.18. Are the results of Theorem 8.17 and Theorem 8.16 true when $A$ does not contain a field?

9. A generalization of a result due to Ooishi

9.1. Introduction & Setup: Let $(A, m)$ be a CM local ring with a canonical module $\omega_A$. Let $a$ an $m$-primary ideal and $M$ a CM $A$-module. Set $M^1 = \text{Ext}^\text{dim} A - \text{dim} M^1(M, \omega_A)$. Assume $G_a(A), G_a(M)$ are CM.

Let $\Omega_a, K_a$ be the canonical module of $G_a(A), R_a(A)$ respectively. Notice that $\Omega_a \cong K_a/\omega^1 K_a(-1)$(see [2, 3.6.14]).
In Theorem 9.5 we show that if $A$ is complete then there is a $a$-stable filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on $M^1$ such that

\[(9.1.1) \quad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M^1) \cong \text{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{K_a}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), K_a)\]

\[(9.1.2) \quad \text{equivalently } G(\mathcal{F}(-1), M^1) \cong \text{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), \Omega_a).\]

As an application we give a generalization of a result due to Ooishi.

**Definition 9.2.** [With hypotheses as in 9.1] If there exists a $a$-stable filtration $\mathcal{F}$ on $M^1$ such that (9.1.1) (equivalently 9.1.2) holds then we say $\mathcal{F}$ is a canonical filtration on $M^1$ with respect to $a$.

Two canonical filtrations (say $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$) on $M^1$ are equivalent, i.e., there exists an $A$-linear isomorphism $\sigma: M^1 \to M^1$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_n) = \mathcal{G}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is due to the following well-known result.

**Theorem 9.3.** Let $M$ be an $A$-module and let $a$ be an ideal in $A$. Suppose $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are two $a$-stable filtrations such that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, M) \cong \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{G}, M)$ as $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-modules. Then $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are equivalent filtrations.

We need the following graded version of a result of Rees [22].

**Remark 9.4.** Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n$ be a graded ring and let $M, N$ be graded $R$-modules. Let $x$ be a homogeneous element in $R$. If $x$ is both $M$ and $R$-regular element and $x \cdot N = 0$ then

\[\text{Ext}^i_{R/xR}(N, M/xM) \cong \text{Ext}^{i+1}_R(N, M)(-\deg x) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.\]

The proof is along the same lines as given (for example in) [2] 3.1.16.

**Theorem 9.5.** [With hypotheses as in 9.1] Further assume $A$ is complete. Then $M^1$ has a canonical filtration. Furthermore if $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ are two such filtration’s then they are equivalent as filtration’s on $M^1$.

**Proof.** Uniqueness of the filtration up to equivalence follows by 9.3.

**Case 1:** $M$ is a MCM $A$-module.

Let $[B, n, b, \phi]$ be a Gorenstein approximation of $(A, m, a)$. Consider the dual filtration $\mathcal{F}_M = \{\text{Hom}_B(B, b^0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $M^1$. Since $G_b(B)$ is Gorenstein & $G_b(M) = G_a(M)$ is CM, we get

\[(9.5.1) \quad G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^1) \cong \text{Hom}^{G_b(B)}(G_a(M), G_b(B)) \quad (\text{see 4.8}).\]

The canonical module of $G_b(B)$ is $G_b(B)(s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. So

\[\Omega_a \cong \text{Hom}^{G_b(B)}(G_a(A), G_b(B)(s)) \quad (\text{see 2 3.6.12}).\]

Notice that we have the following isomorphisms of $G = G_a(A)$-modules

\[\text{Hom}^G(G_a(M), \Omega_a) \cong \text{Hom}^G(G_a(M), \text{Hom}^{G_b(B)}(G_a(M), G_b(B)(s)))\]

\[\cong \text{Hom}^{G_b(B)}(G_a(M), G_b(B)(s))\]

\[G(\mathcal{F}_M(s), M^1), \quad \text{using 9.5.1 and 2.3}.\]

**Case 2:** $M$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $A$-module but not necessarily MCM

By case 2 we may assume that $c = \dim A - \dim M > 0$. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_c$ be as in Corollary 8.2. Recall

1. $u_1, \ldots, u_c \in \text{ann}_A M$ and $u^*_1, \ldots, u^*_c \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M)$.
(2) \( u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^* \) is a \( G_a(A) \)-regular sequence.

Set \( A' = A/(u) \), \( a' = a/(u) \). Then \( M \) is an \( A' \)-module. Also notice that \( G_{a'}(M) = G_a(M) \). Since \( \Omega_a \) is a MCM \( G_a(A) \)-module, it follows that \( u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^* \) is \( \Omega_a \)-regular.

Notice also that \( u_1, \ldots, u_s \in \text{ann}_A M \) and \( u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^* \in \text{ann}_{G_a(A)} G_a(M) \).

Set \( w = \sum_{i=1}^c \deg u_i^* \). Notice \( \Omega_a/(u \ast \Omega_a)(w) \) is the canonical module of \( G_{a'}(A') \); cf. [2] 3.6.14. Using \( \ref{9.4} \) repeatedly we get

\[
\text{Hom}_{G_{a'}(A)}(G_{a'}(M), \Omega_a/(u \ast \Omega_a)) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_a(A)}^{\ast}(G_a(M), \Omega_a)(-w).
\]

By case 1 we have a \( \mathfrak{a}' \)-stable filtration \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( M^\dagger \) such that

\[
G(\mathcal{F}, M^\dagger) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{a'}(A)}(G_{a'}(M), \Omega_a/(u \ast \Omega_a)(w)) \).
\]

Notice \( \mathcal{F} \) is an \( \mathfrak{a} \)-stable filtration on \( M^\dagger \). Also \( G_{a'}(M) = G_a(M) \). By \( \ref{9.2} \) we get

\[
G(\mathcal{F}, M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_a(A)}^\dim A - \dim M(G_a(M), \Omega_a).
\]

We now state our generalization of Oishi’s result.

**Theorem 9.6.** [with hypotheses as in \( \ref{9.7} \)] Set \( r = \text{red}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \) and assume further that \( G(M^\dagger) \) is Cohen-Macaulay. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( b(M^\dagger, z) = z^r \cdot h(M, z^{-1}) \).

(ii) \( G(M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}_{G_a(A)}^{\dim A - \dim M}(G_a(M), \Omega_a) \) (up to a shift).

For proving Theorem 9.6 we need the following Lemma which gives a sufficient condition for an \( \mathfrak{a} \)-stable filtration to be \( \mathfrak{a} \)-adic

**Lemma 9.7.** Let \( (A, \mathfrak{m}) \) be local, \( \mathfrak{a} \) an \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal and let \( M \) be a Cohen-Macaulay \( A \)-module of dimension \( r \geq 1 \). Let \( \mathcal{F} = \{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be an \( \mathfrak{a} \)-stable filtration on \( M \) such that

(1) \( M_n = M \) for \( n \leq 0 \) and \( M_1 \neq M \).

(2) \( G(\mathcal{F}, M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

Let \( \mathfrak{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_s \) be an \( M \)-superficial sequence with respect to \( M \) such that \( \mathfrak{x}^* = x_1^*, \ldots, x_s^* \) is \( G(\mathcal{F}, M) \)-regular. Set \( B = A/(\mathfrak{x}) \), \( \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}/(\mathfrak{x}) \) and \( N = M/\mathfrak{x}M \). Let \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{ (M_n + \mathfrak{x}M)/\mathfrak{x}M \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be the quotient filtration on \( N \). If \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \) is the \( \mathfrak{b} \)-adic filtration on \( N \) then \( \mathcal{F} \) is the \( \mathfrak{a} \)-adic filtration on \( M \).

**Proof.** Since \( M_0 = M \) we get \( \mathfrak{a}^iM \subseteq M_i \) for all \( i \geq 1 \). We prove by induction on \( n \) that \( M_n = \mathfrak{a}^nM \) for \( n \geq 1 \).

**The case** \( n = 1 \).

\( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \) is \( \mathfrak{b} \)-adic. So

\[
\frac{M_1 + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M} = \frac{\mathfrak{a}M + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M}.
\]

Thus \( M_1 + \mathfrak{x}M = \mathfrak{a}M + \mathfrak{x}M \). But \( \mathfrak{x}M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M \subseteq M_1 \). Therefore \( M_1 = \mathfrak{a}M \).

Assume the result for \( n = p \) and prove for \( n = p + 1 \). By hypothesis on \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \),

\[
M_{p+1} + \mathfrak{x}M \cong \frac{M_{p+1} + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M \cap M_{p+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M + \mathfrak{x}M \cong \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M \cap \mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M}.
\]

Notice

\[
\frac{M_{p+1} + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M} \cong \frac{M_{p+1}}{\mathfrak{x}M \cap M_{p+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M + \mathfrak{x}M}{\mathfrak{x}M} \cong \frac{\mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M}{\mathfrak{x}M \cap \mathfrak{a}^{p+1}M}.
\]
Observe that
\[ xa^p M \subseteq a^{p+1} M \cap xM \subseteq M_{p+1} \cap xM = xM_p \quad [4 \ 2.3] \]
\[ = xa^p M \quad \text{by induction hypothesis} \]
So \( xM \cap M_{p+1} = xa^p M = a^{p+1} M \cap xM \). The result follows from (*) and (**).

**Proof of Theorem 9.6.** The assertion (ii) \( \iff \) (i) follows from [2, 4.4.5].

To prove the converse, we note that using the argument Case 2 in Theorem 9.5 we may assume that \( M \) is a MCM \( A \)-module. Furthermore we may assume that \( A \) is complete with infinite residue field.

We first consider the case when \( \dim A = 0 \). Consider the usual \( a \)-adic filtration:
\[ M^\dagger \supseteq aM^\dagger \supseteq a^2 M^\dagger \supseteq \ldots \supseteq a^r M^\dagger \supseteq a^{r+1} M^\dagger = 0 \]
Let the canonical filtration of \( M^\dagger \) (up to a shift) be:
\[ M^\dagger \supseteq q_1 \supseteq q_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq q_r \supseteq q_{r+1} = 0 \quad \text{with} \ q_1 \neq M^\dagger. \]
Let the Hilbert series of \( M^\dagger \) with respect to \( a \) be
\[ H_a(M, z) = h_0 + \ldots + h_r z^r. \]
Then the Hilbert series of the canonical module of \( G_a(M)^\dagger \) is
\[ H_a(M, z^{-1}) = h_r z^{-r} + h_{r-1} z^{-r+1} + \ldots + h_1 z^{-1} + h_0 \]
cf. [2, 4.4.5]. It follow that \( s = r \). Also \( \ell(q_r) = h_0 = h_r = \ell(a^r M^\dagger) \). Since \( q_r \supseteq a^r M^\dagger \) we have \( a^r M^\dagger = q_r \).

By downward induction we show \( q_i = a^i M^\dagger \) for \( i \leq r \). Notice \( q_i \supseteq a^i M^\dagger \).

For \( i = r \) we just showed \( a^r M^\dagger = q_r \). Assume for \( i = j + 1 \) and prove for \( i = j \).
Notice that
\[ \ell \left( \frac{q_j}{q_{j+1}} \right) = h_{r-j} = h_j = \ell \left( \frac{a^j M^\dagger}{a^{j+1} M^\dagger} \right) \]

But \( q_{j+1} = a^{j+1} M^\dagger \) and \( q_j \supseteq a^j M^\dagger \). So \( q_j = a^j M^\dagger \). The result follows.

Next we consider the case when \( d = \dim A > 0 \). By hypothesis \( G_a(A), G_a(M) \) are Cohen-Macaulay. Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \in a/\bar{a} \) be such that \( x_1^*, \ldots, x_d^* \) is \( G_a(A) \) and \( G_a(M) \) regular sequence. Let \( B = A/(x) \), \( N = M/xM \) and \( b = aB \). Furthermore \( G_b(B) = G_a(A)/(x^*) \) and \( G_b(N) = G_a(M)/x^* G_a(M) \). So the \( h \)-vector of \( G_b(N) \) is symmetric. By the previous case we have that the \( b \)-adic filtration on \( N^\dagger \) is the canonical filtration on \( N^\dagger \) up to a shift. By [2, 7] it follows that the \( a \)-adic filtration on \( M^\dagger \) is the canonical filtration on \( M^\dagger \) up to a shift. Therefore
\[ G(M^\dagger) \cong \text{Ext}^{\dim A - \dim M}_{G_a(A)}(G_a(M), \Omega_a) \quad \text{(up to a shift)}. \]

**10. Application of our generalization of Ooishi’s result**

We use Theorem 9.6 to to show that the dual filtration with respect to \( m \) of a MCM module \( M \), over a Gorenstein local ring \( A \) with \( G_m(A) \) Gorenstein, is a shift of the usual \( m \)-adic filtration in the following cases:

1. \( M \) is *Ulrich* (i.e., \( \deg h_M(z) = 0 \); equivalently \( e(M) = \mu(M) \)).
2. \( \text{type}(M) = e(M) - \mu(M) \) and \( M \) has *minimal multiplicity*. 

\[ \square \]
Assume \((R, n)\) is CM local with a canonical module \(\omega_R\) and \(G_q(R)\) is CM for some \(n\)-primary ideal \(q\). We use Theorem 9.6 to give an easily verifiable condition on whether \(G_q(\omega_R)\) is the canonical module of \(G_q(R)\) (up to a shift).

The following criterion is useful to show dual filtrations are \(a\)-adic up to a shift.

**Proposition 10.1.** Let \((A, m)\) be Gorenstein, \(a\) an \(m\)-primary ideal with \(G_a(A)\) Gorenstein. Let \(M\) be an MCM \(A\)-module with \(G_a(M)\) Cohen-Macaulay. Let \(F\) be the dual filtration of \(M\) with respect to \(a\). Set \(c = \text{red}(a, M)\). Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_d\) be an \(A\) superficial sequence with respect to \(a\). Set \((B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))\), \(b = A/(x)\) and \(N = M/xM\). Set \(N^* = \text{Hom}_B(N, B)\). The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(h(N^*, z) = z^n \cdot h(M, z^{-1})\).

(ii) \(G_a(M^*) \cong G(F, M^*) \) (up to a shift).

(iii) \(F\) is the \(a\)-adic filtration of \(M^*\) (up to a shift).

**Proof.** (iii) \(\implies\) (ii). Is trivial

(ii) \(\implies\) (iii). Follows from 2.5

(i) \(\implies\) (iii). Let \(G\) be the dual filtration of \(N^*\) with respect to \(b\). Notice \(h(N, z) = h(M, z)\), as \(G_a(M)\) is CM. Using 9.6 we get that \(G\) is \(b\)-adic up to a shift.

Notice \(x^* = x_1^*, \ldots, x_d^*\) is a \(G_a(A)\)-regular sequence, [18, 8]. So \(x^* = x_1^*, \ldots, x_d^*\) is a \(G_a(M)\)-regular sequence. Using 4.10 we get that \(G\) is the quotient filtration of \(F\). By 9.7 it follows that \(F\) is \(a\)-adic up to a shift.

(iii) \(\implies\) (i). By 10.1 \(G_a(M^*)\) is a MCM \(G_a(A)\)-module. So \(h(N^*, z) = h(M^*, z)\). We also have \(h(N, z) = h(M, z)\). The result follows from 9.6

Applications:

Dual filtrations of Ulrich modules:

**Theorem 10.2.** Let \((A, m)\) be a Gorenstein local ring with \(G_m(A)\) Gorenstein. Assume \(A\) has infinite residue field. Let \(r = \text{red}(A)\). Let \(M\) be a MCM \(A\)-module.

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(M_{r+i} = m^i M^*\) for all \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\).

(ii) \(G(F, M^*) \simeq G(M^*)(-r)\).

(iii) \(M\) is an Ulrich \(A\)-module.

**Proof.** By 2.5 (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

(ii) \(\implies\) (iii). Notice \(\alpha(M) = r = \text{red}(A)\). So \(M\) is Ulrich; see 6.11

(iii) \(\implies\) (ii). Let \(N = M/xM\) where \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_d\) is a maximal superficial \(A\)-sequence. Notice \(N = k^{\text{soc}(M)}\). Set \((B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))\). Notice \(N^* = \text{Hom}_B(N, B) \cong N\) since \(B\) is Gorenstein. Clearly \(h(N^*, z) = e_0(M) = z^n h(M, z^{-1})\). So by 10.1 we get that \(G(F, M^*) \cong G(M^*)\) up to a shift; say \(t\).

Determining \(t\): Since \(G(M)\) is Cohen-Macaulay, we may reduce to the zero-dimensional case, see 4.10. So \(M = k^{\mu(M)}\). In this case it is easy to show that \(G(F, M^*) \cong G(M^*)(-r)\). So \(t = r\).

Dual filtration of non-Ulrich Modules having minimal multiplicity

**Remark 10.3.** Let \(\text{type}(M) = \text{Cohen-Macaulay-type of } M = \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^d(k, M)\). If \(M\) has minimal multiplicity then \(r(M) \geq e_0(M) - \mu(M)\).

**Proof :** Let \(x_1, \ldots, x_d\) be a maximal \(M\)-superficial sequence. Set \(N = M/xM\). Note that \(e_0(M) = e_0(N) = \ell(N)\). Set \((B, n) = (A/(x), m/(x))\). Since \(M\) has minimal multiplicity we get \(n^2 N = 0\). So \(nN \subseteq \text{soc}(N)\). As \(G_n(N) = N/nN \oplus \)
Theorem 10.4. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Gorenstein local ring with an infinite residue field. Assume \(G_m(A)\) is Gorenstein. Let \(M\) be a MCM \(A\)-module with minimal multiplicity with respect to \(\mathfrak{m}\) and \(M\) is NOT Ulrich. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(\text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)\).
(ii) \(M^*\) has minimal multiplicity and \(G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \simeq G_m(M^*)\) up to a shift.
(iii) \((\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \simeq G_m(M^*)\) up to a shift.

Proof. Set \(G = G_m(A), G(M) = G_m(A)\).

(i) \(\implies\) (ii). Since \(M\) has minimal multiplicity we get that

\[ h(M, z) = \mu(M) + (e_0(M) - \mu(M))z \]

Notice \(M^*\) is MCM. Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2\) be sufficiently general. Set \((B, n) = (A/(x), \mathfrak{m}/(x)), N = M/xM\). Also note that \(N^* \cong M^*/xM^*\). Since \(n^2N = 0\), we get \(nN = 0\). So \(H(N^*, z) = \mu(N^*) + \ell(nN)z\). By hypothesis it follows that \(\mu(N^*) = \text{type}(N) = \text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)\). Since \(\ell(N^*) = e_0(N^*) = e_0(N) = e_0(M)\) we get that

\[ h(N^*, z) = (e_0(M) - \mu(M)) + \mu(M)z = zh(M, z^{-1}). \]

So by [10.1] we get \(G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \cong G(M^*)\) up to a shift. So \(G(M^*)\) is Cohen-Macaulay (by [4.8]). Since \(N^* \cong M^*/xM^*\) and \(N^*\) has minimal multiplicity it follows that \(M^*\) has minimal multiplicity.

(ii) \(\implies\) (iii) is clear.

(iii) \(\implies\) (i) Using [10.1] and [4.8] it follows that \(h(M^*, z) = zh(M, z^{-1})\). It follows that \(\text{type}(M) = e_0(M) - \mu(M)\).

Associated graded module of the Canonical module

10.5. Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a canonical module \(\omega_A\). Let \(a\) be an \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary ideal such that \(G_a(A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay. Let \(r = \text{red}(a, A)\). Let \(\Omega_a\) be the canonical module of \(G_a(A)\). Let \(\text{type}(A) = \ell(\text{Ext}^d_A(A/\mathfrak{m}, A))\) be the Cohen-Macaulay type of \(A\).

Theorem 10.6. [Hypothesis as in 10.5] Let \(x, \ldots, x_d\) be a maximal \(A\)-superficial sequence with respect to \(a\). Set \(B = A/(x)\) and \(b = a/(x)\). If \(h(\omega_B, z) = z^rh(B, z^{-1})\) then \(G_a(\omega_A)\) is Cohen-Macaulay and isomorphic to \(\Omega_a\) up to a shift.

Proof. Notice that we may assume that \(A\) is complete. Then by [11.4] there exists \([R, n, q, \phi]\), a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, a]\) with the property that there exists \(y_1, \ldots, y_d \in q\) such that \(\phi(y_i) = x_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, d\). Furthermore \(y_1^*, \ldots, y_d^*\) is \(G_q(R)\)-regular. Notice that \(\omega_A \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, R)\), i.e., the dual of the MCM \(R\)-module \(A\). The result follows from [10.1].

Theorem 10.6 is quite practical as shown by the following:

Example 10.7. Let \(A = \mathbb{Q}[t^{13}, t^{18}, t^{23}, t^{28}, t^{33}]\) and \(\mathfrak{m} = (t^{13}, \ldots, t^{33})\). Set \(B = A/(t^{13})\). By [11.1.1] \(G_m(A_m)\) is CM. Set \(R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, w]\). Consider the natural map \(\phi: R \to A\) with \(\phi(x) = t^{13}, \ldots, \phi(w) = t^{33}\). Using MACAULAY we can...
compute \( q = \ker \phi \). Set \( S = R/\mathfrak{q} \) and \( n = (x, y, z, w) \). Also set \( T = S/(x) \). Using COCOA we get
\[
h(A, z) = h(S, z) = 1 + 4z + 4z^2 + 4z^3 \quad \text{and} \quad h(B, z) = h(T, z) = 1 + 4z + 4z^2 + 4z^3
\]
This proves that \( G_n(S_n) \) is CM and \( x^r = G_n(S_n) \)-regular. (Notice this also proves \( G_m(A_m) \) is CM and \( t^{13^r} \) is \( G_m(A_m) \)-regular).

We consider \( R \) graded with \( \deg x = 13, \deg y = 18, \deg z = 28 \) and \( \deg w = 33 \). Set \( D = \text{Ext}_h^1(R/(\mathfrak{q} + (x)), R) \). Note that
\[
D \cong \omega_{T_n} \cong \omega_{S_n}/x\omega_{S_n} \cong \omega_{A_m}/t^{13}\omega_{A_m}.
\]
Using MACAULAY we get that
\[
h(D, z) = 4 + 4z + 4z^2 + z^3 = z^3h(B, z^{-1})
\]
By Corollary 10.6 we get that \( G(\omega_{A_m}) \) is the canonical module of \( G_m(A_m) \) up to a shift.

An easy consequence of Corollary 10.6 is the following:

**Corollary 10.8.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be Cohen-Macaulay local ring with \( \text{red}(A) = 2 \). Then \( G_m(\omega_A) \cong \Omega_m(\text{up to a shift}) \) if and only if \( \text{type}(A) = e_0(A) - h_1(A) - 1 \).

**Proof.** Notice \( G_m(A) \) is CM; [24, 2.1]. Set \( h(A, z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 \) and \( e = e_0(A) - e_0(\omega_A) \).

\( \Rightarrow \) This implies \( h(\omega_A, z) = z^2h(A, z^{-1}) \). It follows that
\[
\text{type}(A) = \mu(\omega) = h_2 = e_0(A) - h_1 - 1.
\]

\( \Leftarrow \) We may assume \( A \) has infinite residue field. Notice \( h_2 = \text{type}(A) \). Let \( \mathfrak{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_5 \) be a maximal superficial \( A \)-sequence. Set \((B, \mathfrak{n}) = (A/\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{x}).\)

Notice \( \omega_B \cong \omega_A/(\mathfrak{x})\omega_A \). Check that
\[
h(\omega_B, z) = \text{type}(B) + (e - \text{type}(B) - 1)z + z^2 = z^2h(A, z^{-1}).
\]
The result follows from Corollary 10.6. \( \square \)

11. CI approximation: The general case

In this section we prove our general result regarding complete intersection (CI) approximation; see 11.3. In 3.6 we showed that every \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \) in an equicharacteristic local ring \( A \) has a CI-approximation. Even if we are interested only in the case of \( \mathfrak{m} \)-primary ideals; we have to take some care for dealing with the case of local rings with mixed characteristics. The essential point is to show existence of homogeneous regular sequences in certain graded ideals. We also prove a Lemma regarding lifting of superficial sequence along a Gorenstein approximation; see 11.4.

This section is divided into two subsections. In the first subsection \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded algebra over a local ring \((R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)\). When \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) is a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module generated by elements in \( M_0 \), we give conditions to ensure a regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \text{ann}_R M \) where \( c = \dim R - \dim M \) (see Theorem 11.2). In the second subsection we prove Theorem 11.3.

**Homogeneous regular sequence**

Let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard algebra over a local ring \((R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)\) and let \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) be a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module. In general a graded module \( M \) need not have homogeneous regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \text{ann}_R M \) where \( c = \)
grade \( M \) (see [13]). When \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) is a finitely generated graded \( R \)-module generated by elements in \( M_0 \), we give conditions to ensure a regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \cap \text{ann}_R M \) where \( c = \dim R - \dim M \).

We adapt an example from [2], p. 34 to show that a homogeneous regular sequence in \( R_+ \cap \text{ann}_R M \) need not exist.

**Example 11.1.** Let \( A = k[[X]] \) and \( T = A[Y] \). Set \( R = T/(XY) \). Notice that \( R_0 = A \). Set \( \mathfrak{N} = (X,Y)R \) the unique graded maximal ideal of \( R \) and let \( M = R/\mathfrak{N} = k \). Clearly \( \text{grade} M = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{N}, R) = 1 \). It can be easily checked that every homogeneous element in \( R_+ \) is a zero-divisor.

The following result regarding existence of homogeneous regular sequence in \((\text{ann} M) \cap R_+\) (under certain conditions) is crucial in our proof of Theorem 11.3.

**Theorem 11.2.** Let \((R_0, \mathfrak{m}_0)\) be a local ring and let \( R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n \) be a standard graded \( R_0 \)-algebra and let \( M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n \) be a finitely generated \( R \)-module. Assume \( R \) has a homogeneous s.o.p. Set \( c = \dim R - \dim M \). Assume

1. \( R_0 \) is Cohen-Macaulay and \( R \) is Cohen-Macaulay.
2. \( M \) is generated as an \( R \)-module by some elements in \( M_0 \).
3. There exists \( y_1, \ldots, y_s \in R_0 \) a system of parameters for both \( M_0 \) and \( R_0 \) and a part of a homogeneous system of parameters for both \( M \) and \( R \).

Then there exists a homogeneous regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in R_+ \) such that \( \xi_i \in \text{ann}_R M \) for each \( i \).

**Proof.** We prove this by induction on \( s = \dim R_0 \). When \( s = 0 \) \( R_0 \) is Artinian. So \( \text{grade}(\text{ann} M, R) = \text{grade}(\text{ann} M \cap R_+, R) \). As \( R \) is CM we have \( \text{grade}(\text{ann} M, R) = c \). The result follows from [2], 1.5.11.

We prove the assertion for \( s = r + 1 \) assuming it to be valid for \( s = r \). Set \( S = R/y_1 R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n \) and \( N = M/y_1 M \). Note that \( N \) is also generated in degree 0. Let \( \overline{y}_i = y_i \mod (y_1) \) with \( 2 \leq i \leq s \). Then \( \overline{y}_2 \ldots \overline{y}_s \) is a system of parameters for both \( S_0 \) and \( N_0 = M_0/y_1 M_0 \). Notice \( c = \dim R - \dim M = \dim S - \dim N \). Also note that \( S \) has h.s.o.p. By induction hypothesis there exists a \( S \)-regular sequence \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{c-1} \in S_+ \) such that \( \xi_i \in \text{ann}_S N \) for each \( i \).

\( R \) is CM. So \( y_1 \) is \( R \)-regular. Therefore \( y_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_c \) is an \( R \)-regular sequence. Set \( q = y_1 R_+ \). Let \( M \) be generated as an \( R \)-module by \( u_1, \ldots, u_m \in M_0 \). Fix \( i \in \{1, \ldots, c\} \) and set \( \xi = \xi_i \). By construction \( \xi u_j \in y_1 M \) for each \( j \). However \( \xi \in R_+ \) and \( y_1 \in R_0 \). So \( \xi u_j \in qM \) for each \( j \). By the determinant trick (observing that each \( u_j \) has degree zero) there exists a homogeneous polynomial

\[ \Delta(\xi) = \xi^n + a_1 \xi^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} \xi + a_n \in \text{ann}_R M \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \in q^i \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n. \]

Clearly \( \Delta(\xi) \in R_+ \). Notice that \( \Delta(\xi) = \xi^n \mod (y_1) \). So \( y_1, \Delta(\xi_1), \ldots, \Delta(\xi_c) \) is a regular sequence. [13], 16.1. Therefore \( \Delta(\xi_1), \Delta(\xi_2), \ldots, \Delta(\xi_c) \) is an \( R \)-regular sequence. This proves the assertion when \( s = r + 1 \).

**CI approximation**

In this subsection we prove our general result regarding CI-approximation.

**Theorem 11.3.** Let \((A, \mathfrak{m})\) be a complete local ring and let \( \mathfrak{a} \) be a proper ideal in \( A \) with \( \dim A/\mathfrak{a} + s(\mathfrak{a}) = \dim A \). Then \( A \) has a CI-approximation with respect to \( \mathfrak{a} \). Furthermore if \( \mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) then we may choose a CI-approximation \([B, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{b}, \varphi]\) of \([A, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{a}]\) such that there exists \( u_1, \ldots, u_m \in \mathfrak{b} \) with \( \varphi(u_i) = x_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m.\)
Proof. Notice $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$ has a homogeneous s.o.p (see proof of Proposition 2.6 in [6]). Let $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in A$ such that $y_1, \ldots, y_s$ (their images in $A/\mathfrak{a}$) is an s.o.p of $A/\mathfrak{a}$.

Case 1. $A$ contains a field: As $A$ is a complete $A$ contains $k = A/m$. Set $S = k[[y_1, \ldots, y_s, X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$. Define $\phi: S \to A$ which maps $Y_i$ to $y_i$ and $X_j$ to $x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $Q = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$. Notice

$$\frac{A}{(q, X_1, \ldots, X_n)A} = \frac{A}{(a, y_1, \ldots, y_s)}$$

has finite length.

As $S$ is complete we get that $A$ is a finitely generated $S$-module, cf. [13, 8.4]. Since $\psi((X))A = \mathfrak{a}$, we get $G_\mathfrak{a}(A) = G_{(X)}(A)$ is a finitely generated $G_{(X)}(S)$-module.

Notice $G_{(X)}(S) \cong S/(X)[X_1^*, \ldots, X_n^*]$ and $S/(X) \cong k[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]]$. Furthermore $G_{(X)}(A) = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$ is generated in degree zero as a $G_{(X)}(S)$-module. Set $c = \dim S - \dim A = \dim G_{(X)}(S) - \dim G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. Notice that $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ is a s.o.p of $G_{(X)}(S)$ and $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. We apply Theorem [11,2] to get $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in (X)$ such that $\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_n^* \in G_{(X)}(S)$ is a regular sequence in $G_{(X)}(S)$ and $\xi_i^* \in \text{ann}_{G_{(X)}(S)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(A))$ for all $i$. We now apply Lemma [35] to get $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in (X)$ an S-regular sequence in $\text{ann}_{S}(A)$ such that $u_1^*, \ldots, u_s^*$ is a $G_{(X)}(S)$-regular sequence in $\text{ann}_{G_{(X)}(S)}(G_\mathfrak{a}(A))$. Set $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_s), B = S/u, b = (X + u)/u$. Let $a$ be the maximal ideal in $B$ and let $\varphi: B \to A$ be the map induced by $\phi: S \to A$. Then it is clear that $(B, n, b, \varphi)$ is a CI approximation of $A$ with respect to $a$.

Case 2. $A$ does not contain a field: There exists a DVR $(D, \pi)$ and a local ring homomorphism $\eta: D \to A$ such that $\eta$ induces an isomorphism $D/(\pi) \cong A/m$. Set $S = D[[y_1, \ldots, y_s, X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$. Define $\phi: S \to A$, with $\phi(d) = \eta(d)$ for each $d \in D$ and maps $Y_i$ to $y_i$ and $X_j$ to $x_j$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Set $Q = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$. Notice

$$\frac{A}{(q, X_1, \ldots, X_n)A} = \frac{A}{(a, y_1, \ldots, y_s)}$$

has finite length.

As $S$ is complete we get that $A$ is a finitely generated $S$-module.

Set $T = S/(X) = G_X(S)_0$. Notice $\dim T$ is one more than that of $A/\mathfrak{a} = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)_0$.

To deal with this situation we use an argument from [21], which we repeat for the convenience of the reader. Let $t$ be the maximal ideal of $T$. Set $Y_i = \text{image of } Y_i$ in $T$. Set $V = A/\mathfrak{a}$. Note that

$$t = \sqrt{\text{ann}_T(V/\mathfrak{Y}V)} = \sqrt{\text{ann}_T(V) + (\mathfrak{Y})}.$$

So there exists $f \in \text{ann}_T(V) \setminus (\mathfrak{Y})$ such that $f, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is an s.o.p. of $T$. Since $T$ is CM: $f, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $T$-regular sequence. So $X_1, \ldots, X_n, f, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $S$-regular sequence. Since $f \in \text{ann}_T(A/\mathfrak{a})$ we get $fA \subseteq aA = (X)A$. Using the determinant trick there exists

$$\Delta = f^m + \alpha_1 f^{m-1} + \ldots + \alpha_{m-1} f + \alpha_m \in \text{ann}_S A \quad \& \alpha_i \in (X)^f; \ 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Notice that $\Delta = f^m \mod(X)$. Thus $X_1, \ldots, X_n, \Delta, Y_1, \ldots, Y_s$ is a $S$-regular sequence. So $\Delta$ is $S$-regular. Set $U = S/(\Delta)$ and $c = ((X) + (\Delta))/(\Delta)$. Notice that $A$ is a finitely generated $U$-module and $G_c(A) = G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. Furthermore $G_c(U) \cong T/(f^m)[X_1^*, \ldots, X_n^*]$ is CI.

Note that as a $G_c(U)$ module $G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$ is generated in degree zero. Furthermore $\dim G_\mathfrak{a}(U)_0 = \dim G_a(A)_0$. Set $c = \dim U - \dim A = \dim G_c(U) - \dim G_\mathfrak{a}(A)$. The subsequent argument is similar to that of Case 1. \qed
The following result is needed for Theorem \[\text{[11.6]}\]

**Lemma 11.4.** Let \((A, m)\) be a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension \(d\) and let \(a = (x_1, \ldots, x_d, w_1, \ldots, w_s)\) be a \(m\)-primary ideal. Assume that \(x_1, \ldots, x_d\) is an \(A\)-superficial sequence. Then there exist \([B, n, b, \phi]\), a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, m, a]\) such that

1. there exists \(v_1, \ldots, v_d \in b\) with \(\phi(v_i) = x_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, d\).
2. \(v_1^*, \ldots, v_d^*\) is \(G_b(B)\)-regular.

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem \[\text{[11.3]}\] shows that there exists a Cohen-Macaulay local ring \((R, \eta)\) of dimension \(d+s\), an \(\eta\)-primary ideal and a ring homomorphism \(\psi: R \to A\) such that

1. \(A\) is a finitely generated as a \(R\)-module (via \(\psi\)).
2. (a) In the equicharacteristic case \(R = k[[V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s]]\).
   (b) In the mixed characteristic case \(R = D/(f)[[V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s]]\) with \((D, \Pi)\) a DVR and \(f \in (\Pi)\).
3. In both cases \(q = (V_1, \ldots, V_d, W_1, \ldots, W_s), \psi(V_i) = x_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, d\) and \(\psi(W_i) = w_i\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, s\). Notice \(G_q(R) = k[V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*, W_1^*, \ldots, W_s^*]\) in the equicharacteristic case and \(G_q(R) = D/(f)[V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*, W_1^*, \ldots, W_s^*]\) in the mixed characteristic case.

Set \(c = \dim R - \dim A\). Set \(S = R/(V_1, \ldots, V_d)\) and \(\overline{a} = a/(V_1, \ldots, V_d)\). Note \(G_{\overline{a}}(S) = G_q(R)/(V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*).\) Set \(C = A/(x_1, \ldots, x_d)\).

We then choose \(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_c \in \overline{a}\) such that \(\xi_1^*, \ldots, \xi_c^* \in \text{ann}_{G_q(R)} G_a(C)\) and that it is a regular sequence in \(G_q(R)\). After raising powers we may assume that \(\deg \xi_i^* = r\) for all \(i\). Set \(M = A(\text{note } A \text{ need not be cyclic as a } R\text{-module})\). Fix \(i\). Set \(J = a_i^{r+1}\).

We have \(\xi_i M \subseteq a_i^{r+1}M + (V_1, \ldots, V_d)M\). By the determinant trick there exists a monic polynomial \(f(X) \in A[X]\) such that

\[
f(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}X + a_n\]

with \(a_i \in J^i + (V_1, \ldots, V_d)\).

and \(u_i = f(\xi_i) \in \text{ann } M\) It can be easily checked (as in proof of Lemma \[\text{[5.1]}\]) in that \(G_q(S)\) we have \(u_i = (\xi_i^*)^n\). Thus \(V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*, u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*\) is a \(G_q(R)\)-regular sequence. Then note that \(u_1^*, \ldots, u_c^*, V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*\) is also a \(G_q(R)\)-regular sequence.

Set \(B = R/(u), b = q/(u)\) and \(\phi = \psi \otimes R/(u)\). Notice \(G_b(B) = G_q(R)/(u)^*\). Set \(v_i = \text{image of } V_i\) in \(B\). Then note that \(v_1^*, \ldots, v_d^*\) is a \(G_q(B)\)-regular sequence and \([B, n, b, \phi],\) a Gorenstein approximation of \([A, m, a]\). \(\square\)

### 12. Dual Filtrations mod a Superficial Sequence

The behavior of Dual filtrations mod a superficial sequence is a crucial result in our paper. Unfortunately the proof is technical and unappealing.

**12.1. Setup:** Let \((A, m)\) be Gorenstein, \(a\)-equimultiple with \(G_a(A)\) Gorenstein and let \(M\) be a MCM \(A\)-module. We assume \(A/m\) is an infinite field. Let \(x = x_1, \ldots, x_r\) be a \(M \bigoplus A\) superficial sequence with respect to \(a\). Set \(B = A/(x), b = a/(X)\) and \(N = M/x\). The natural map \(G_a(A)/(x^*) \to G_b(B)\) is an isomorphism. Set

\[
\mathcal{F}_M = \{\text{Hom}_A(M, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_N = \{\text{Hom}_B(N, a^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.
\]

A natural question is when is

\[
\frac{G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{x^*G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \cong G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]
Theorem [12.5.2] asserts that this is so when \( G_a(M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Some Natural Maps:**

12.2. The natural maps \( \text{Hom}_A(M, a^n) \to \text{Hom}_B(N, b^n) \) induces a map of \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-modules

\[
(12.2.1) \quad \Gamma^M_x : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

Set \( q = (x_1, \ldots, x_t) \). Clearly \( q\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \subseteq \ker \Gamma^M_x \). Since \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \) is Cohen-Macaulay, we have \( \mathcal{R}_a(A)/q\mathcal{R}_a(A) = \mathcal{R}_b(B) \). So we have a map \( \mathcal{R}_b(B) \)-modules

\[
(12.2.2) \quad \frac{\Gamma^M_x : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{q\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

12.3. The map \( [12.2.1] \) induces a map of \( G_a(A) \)-modules

\[
(12.3.1) \quad \Theta^M_x : G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \to G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

Clearly \( x^*G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \subseteq \ker \Theta^M_x \). So we have a map \( G_b(B) \)-modules

\[
(12.3.2) \quad \frac{\Theta^M_x : G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)}{x^*G(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)} \to G(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*).
\]

12.4. The natural map \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \to \mathcal{R}_b(B) \) induces a natural map of \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-modules

\[
(12.4.1) \quad \Pi^M_x : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_a(A)}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), \mathcal{R}_a(A))) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_b(B)}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), \mathcal{R}_a(A))).
\]

Clearly \( q \left( \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_a(A)}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), \mathcal{R}_a(A))) \right) \subseteq \ker \Pi^M_x \).

**Theorem 12.5.** [with assumptions as in [12.1]] If \( G_a(M) \) is Cohen-Macaulay then

1. \( \Gamma^M_x \) is an isomorphism of \( \mathcal{R}_b(B) \)-modules.
2. \( \Theta^M_x \) is an isomorphism of \( G_b(B) \)-modules.

It suffices to prove for \( r = 1 \) case. Clearly if \( \Gamma^M_x \) is an isomorphism then \( \Theta^M_x \) is an isomorphism. The following observation enables us to analyze the case \( r = 1 \).

**Observation 12.6.** Let \( x \in a \). We have a commutative diagram of \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-modules

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^M_x} & \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \\
\Psi_M \downarrow & & \Psi_N \\
\text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), \mathcal{R}_a(A))) & \xrightarrow{\Pi^M_x} & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}_a(A), \mathcal{R}_a(A)))
\end{array}
\]

Here \( \Psi_M \) is as in [4.3] Notice that by [4.4] \( \Psi_M \) and \( \Psi_N \) are isomorphisms.

**Remark 12.7.** It is convenient to look at [12.5] in the following way:

1. By [12.2.1] and [12.2.2] we have a complex of \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-modules:

\[
\mathcal{C} : \quad 0 \to \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*)(-1) \xrightarrow{\xi^M_x} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_M, M^*) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^M_x} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}_N, N^*) \to 0.
\]

2. Set \( \mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(a, M) \), \( \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_a(A) \) and \( \overline{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}_b(B) \). By [12.4] we have a complex \( \mathcal{D} \) of \( \mathcal{R}_a(A) \)-modules:

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_x}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(-1) \xrightarrow{\xi^M_x} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_x}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\Pi^M_x} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_x}(\mathcal{R}(N), \overline{\mathcal{R}}) \to 0.
\]

3. We consider \( \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \) as co-chain complexes starting at \( 0 \); i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C} : & \quad 0 \to C^0 \to C^1 \to C^2 \to 0 \quad \& \quad \mathcal{D} : & \quad 0 \to D^0 \to D^1 \to D^2 \to 0.
\end{align*}
\]
4. By [12.6] it follows that we have chain map of complexes of $\mathcal{R}_a(A)$-modules

$$\Xi: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$$

with $\Xi^i$ an isomorphism for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

5. It can be easily verified that $\mathcal{C}$ is exact if and only if $\mathcal{D}$ is exact.

Proof of Theorem 12.6. It suffices to prove for $r = 1$. Notice that $(1) \implies (2)$.

(1) By assumption $x$ is $M \bigoplus A$-superficial. Set $u = xt \in \mathcal{R}_a(A)$. Since $G_n(A)$ is Cohen-Macaulay we have $x^* \in G_n(A)$-regular. So $u$ is $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$-regular. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_a(A)/u\mathcal{R}_a(A) = \mathcal{R}_b(B)$. Set $\mathcal{R}(M) = \mathcal{R}(a, M), \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_a(A)$. Also set $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_b(B)$ and $\mathcal{R}(N) = \mathcal{R}(b, M)$. Since $x$ is $M$-superficial we have an isomorphism $\vartheta_M: \mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M) \to \mathcal{R}(N)$. The exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}(-1) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\vartheta M} \mathcal{R} \to 0$$

yields an exact sequence of $\mathcal{R}$-modules

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})(-1) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\vartheta M} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \to \cdots$$

Since $\mathcal{R}$ is Gorenstein and $\mathcal{R}(M)$ is a MCM $\mathcal{R}$-module we get $\text{Ext}^1_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) = 0$. Also notice that $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R})$. Furthermore the isomorphism $\vartheta_M$ induces an isomorphism

$$\nu_M: \text{Hom}_\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(M)/u\mathcal{R}(M), \mathcal{R}) \to \text{Hom}(\mathcal{R}(N), \mathcal{R}).$$

It can be easily checked that $\nu_M \circ \vartheta_M = \Pi^M$.

Therefore the complex $\mathcal{C}$ in [12.7] is exact. By [12.7] for we get that the complex $\mathcal{C}$ in [12.7] is exact. Thus $\Gamma^M_x$ is onto and $\ker \Gamma^M_x = u\mathcal{R}(F_M, M)$. Therefore $\Gamma^M_x$ is an isomorphism.
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