E ect of CuO chains on the local density of states in the vortex phase of YBa₂Cu₃O₇

W A Atkinson

D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, T rent U niversity, 1600 W est B ank D r., P eterborough O N , K 9J 7B 8, C anada

E-m ail: billatkinson@trentu.ca

A bstract. We exam ine the e ects of the CuO chains on the density of states in the vortex phase in YBa₂Cu₃O₇, via a calculation based on the tight-binding proximity model. In this model, chain superconductivity results from single-electron hopping between the intrinsically-norm alchains and intrinsically-superconducting CuO₂ planes. The calculations are based on self-consistent solutions of the Bogolyubov-de G ennes equations for a bilayer consisting of a single CuO₂ layer and a single CuO chain layer. We nd that, in addition to the dispersing resonances found in single-layerm odels, the chains introduce a second set of dispersing resonances associated with the induced gap in the chain layer. These new resonances are highly anisotropic and distort the vortex core shape.

PACS num bers: 74 25 Jb,74 25 Qt,74.72 Bk

Subm itted to: Supercond. Sci. Technol.

1. Introduction

Because of the availability of large high-quality single crystals, $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ is one of the m ost widely studied of the high tem perature superconductors (HTS). As with other HTS, the essential structural components are the two-dimensional (2D) CuO₂ layers. In these layers, the Cu and O orbitals are partially led and are therefore conducting. The interlayer coupling is generally weak, meaning that the electronic bands contributing at the Ferm i energy derive most of their weight from individual CuO₂ layers. For this reason, the standard model for a generic cuprate HTS consists of a single 2D CuO₂ layer in isolation.

 $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ di ers from other cuprate HTS in one signi cant respect: in addition to the CuO_2 layers, there are layers of one-dimensional (1D) chains. Band structure calculations[1] suggest that the chains are roughly one-quarter lled, and contribute a quasi-1D Ferm i surface. Despite this, the chains are nearly always ignored in theories of $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$, presum ably because it has many physical properties that are common to all HTS, suggesting a minor role for the chains. In addition, the metallicity of the chains has been questioned, either because electrons in 1D are localized by arbitrarily weak disorder, or because they are unstable towards the form ation of insulating charge density wave states.

Early evidence for the metallicity of the chains came from measurements of the resistivity anisotropy [2], which found $_{a}=_{b}$ 2 at 100 K, where $_{a}$ and $_{b}$ are the resistivities parallel to the two axes of the CuO₂ planes, and where b is also parallel to the chains. Such an anisotropy is expected if the chains are conducting and carry current in parallel with the planes. Since O -vacancies, which are prevalent in YBa₂Cu₃O₇, would strongly localize 1D electrons, the observed anisotropy appears to indicate a relatively large hybridization of chain and plane states. Further support for this comes from c-axis (perpendicular to the planes) resistivity measurements [3, 4] which is nd an anisotropy $_{c}=_{a}$ 50 at 100 K in optimally-doped YBa₂Cu₃O_{6:93}. In a tight binding model, this corresponds to $t_{7}=t$ 7 where t and t_{7} are the in-plane and perpendicular hopping amplitudes. This anisotropy is smaller than in other HTS and suggests coherent c-axis transport [5].

There has been some debate as to whether the CuO chains undergo a Peierls transition to a charge density wave state. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) experiments on chain-terminated surfaces found charge modulations which were interpreted as charge density waves.[6] However, later experiments[7] found that the modulation wavelength depends on the bias voltage of the STM tip, which is a characteristic of Friedel oscillations (i.e. standing wave patters produced by in purity scattering of itinerant electrons) rather than charge density waves. These later experiments are consistent with metallic chains.

Direct evidence for a chain Ferm i surface has recently been found in a number of angle resolved photoem ission experiments [8, 9, 10]. The Ferm i surface appears to be consistent with that predicted by band structure calculations, although a

complete characterization of the band structure is rendered di cult by the existence of surface states, which dom inate surface-sensitive experiments such as tunneling and photoem ission. The correspondence between the surface states and electronic states in the bulk is not yet established.

Complementary information on the chain states comes from penetration depth anisotropy measurements[11, 12] which indicate that the chains are superconducting below the bulk critical temperature T_c, and have a substantial super uid density. Because the chains and CuO_2 planes are structurally di erent, the apparent similarity of their superconducting states, as measured by the penetration depth, was puzzling for many years. The chains, being far from half-lled, should not have an intrinsic pairing interaction capable of producing high critical tem peratures. A more likely scenario is that the chains derive their superconductivity from proximity coupling to the CuO₂ planes.[13] Calculations showed that proximity models for chain superconductivity introduce small energy scales related to the induced gap in the chains. To date, these small scales have not been observed in penetration depth measurements.[14] It was later shown [15] that the smallest energy scale, which is relevant to low temperature m easurem ents, com es from a subset of chain-derived electronic states that are weakly hybridized with the CuO_2 planes. Since these particular states have a predom inantly 1D character, they are are strongly a ected by localization corrections due to chain disorder, and are therefore di cult to detect via the electrodynam ic response.

Small energy scales have been seen in other experiments. For example, it has been found [16, 17] that the vortex cores in YBa₂Cu₃O₇, as measured by SR experiments, are much larger at small magnetic elds B than expected from the measured upper critical eld, and that the cores contract rapidly with increasing B below a crossover eld B. This anom abus behaviour has been explained by the presence of CuO chains[18, 19], and it can be shown that B E_s^2 . It has also been suggested that a similar small energy scale seen in tunneling experiments[20, 21, 22] can be attributed to the chains.

In sum mary, we argue that there is reasonable evidence that the CuO chains in $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$ (a) are metallic, (b) are significantly hybridized with the CuO₂ planes, and (c) superconduct as a result of this hybridization. There remain many unresolved questions regarding the role of the chains, however. First, there are practical issues: neither the strength norm on entum -dependence of the chain-plane coupling have been established with any degree of certainty. Second, there are questions of fundam ental interest: what are the properties of a weakly correlated 1D m etal in close contact with a strongly-correlated electron liquid. Finally, there is the question of how the chainsmanifest them selves in various experiments. In some cases, for example transport anisotropy, the role played by the chains is intuitively obvious. However, in many cases, the e ects of the chains are not a priori obvious, as in the case of the vortex core contraction mentioned above.

In this work, we exam ine the e ects of chains on the local density of states (LDOS) (r;!) in the vortex state of YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Experiments have found that the electronic structure of vortices in YBa₂Cu₃O₇ is dimension other HTS23 and

E ect of Chains on the LDOS in the Vortex Phase of YBCO



F igure 1. Electronic structure near the vortex core for a single tetragonal layer with a d-w ave superconducting order parameter. (a) M agnitude of the current density jj(r)j as a function of position. The gure shows a pair of vortices belonging to a square lattice whose axes are rotated 45 relative to the crystalline axes (which are parallel to the gure axes). (b) Local density of states at a series of positions along the line draw n through the lower vortex core in (a). Note that the nondispersing peak at ! = 0:9 is a van H ove singularity associated with the band structure. Far from the vortex core, the d-w ave order parameter is j j = 0.38. (c) The LDOS ($r; "_F$) is shown as a function of position near a single vortex core. Note the logarithm ic scale. Here and throughout the Ferm i energy is "_F = 0.

from theoretical calculations [24, 25]. Theory predicts that, for a single superconducting layer with a d-wave order parameter, there should be a peak in the LDOS at the vortex core centre (a \zero bias conduction peak" or ZBCP), and that as one moves away from the core, the peak splits and disperses towards higher energies, eventually merging with the coherence peaks far from the vortex core. This behaviour is illustrated in Figure 1, where the results of a calculation performed for a single-layer version of the model used in this work are shown.

Experimentally, the situation is rather dierent. The ZBCP at the vortex core is absent, and a pair of nite-energy peaks at ! 5 meV are present instead. Furthermore, unlike the calculations, these peaks do not shift significantly as one moves away from the vortex core. It is possible that these peaks have the same origin as peaks measured in zero-eld tunneling experiments, but this is not established.

In this work we ask two questions: (i) what are the signatures of the chains in the vortex structure within the proximity model and (ii) to what extent can the proximity model explain the existing experiments?

2.M ethod

Since the technical details of the calculation have been given in [19], we only give a sum m ary of the m ain ideas here. The plane-chain m odel is m ade up of a single bilayer consisting of a tetragonal 2D plane layer (representing a CuO₂ layer) and a layer of 1D chains (representing a CuO layer). The layers are connected by single electron hopping, so that the plane and chain bands hybridize to form new bands. These bands have either predom inantly plane or chain character, and we refer to them as plane-derived or chain-derived respectively. The chain layer is represented by a tight binding H am iltonian with a single orbital per unit cell. In band structure calculations, this orbital is a linear com bination of the chain Cud_{22 y2} and O_{py} orbitals.[1] The CuO₂ band structure is also represented by a tight binding m odel with a single orbital per unit cell. In this case, the orbital represents a singlet state involving the Cud_{22 y2} and O_{p(xyy)} orbitals.[26].

There is a pairing interaction in the plane layer leading to d-wave superconductivity, but the chain layer is intrinsically norm al. Nonetheless, the chain superconducts because of the the proximity elect; single electron hopping between the plane and chain layers induces a gap in the chains. One important feature of the proximity model is that the induced gap in the chains does not share the d-wave symmetry of the plane layer[15]. This is because the chain dispersion is quasi-1D, and the k-dependence of the excitation gap depends on the symmetry of both the order parameter and the underlying band.

We de ne coordinates x, y, and z which are aligned with the crystalline axes a, b, and c respectively, and consider a magnetic eld aligned with the c-axis. The screening currents, therefore, circulate within the plane and chain layers. It is for this con guration that the vortex core contraction is seen in SR experiments, [16] and that STM experiments are performed.

The Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2 + \hat{H}_2 :$$
(1)

where \hat{H}_1 is the H am iltonian for the isolated plane, \hat{H}_2 the H am iltonian for the isolated chains, and \hat{H}_2 the single-electron hopping term that couples the two layers. For comparison, we also consider a single-layer model described by \hat{H}_1 alone. We have

$$\hat{H}_{1} = \begin{array}{c} & T_{1ij}c_{1}^{y} (r_{i})c_{1} (r_{j}) + \begin{array}{c} & I_{1} \\ & I_{1j}c_{1}^{y} (r_{i})c_{1}^{y} (r_{j}) \\ & I_{j} \\ & + \begin{array}{c} & I_{j}c_{1}_{\#} (r_{j})c_{1}^{w} (r_{i}) \end{array} \right);$$
(2)

where c_1 (r_i) is the annihilation operator for an electron in the plane on site i with spin , and position $r_i = (x_i; y_i)$, t_{iij} are hopping matrix elements, and $_{ij}$ are superconducting pair energies. The subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the plane and chain layers respectively. The hopping matrix element t_{iij} between sites i and j includes the electron for an electron eld via

$$t_{iij} = t_{iij} \exp i \frac{e}{-c} \int_{r_j}^{r_i} dr A (r)$$

E ect of Chains on the LDOS in the Vortex Phase of YBCO

=
$$t_{ij} \exp i \frac{y_i + y_j}{2} (x_i - x_j)$$
; (3)

where t_{1ij} are the zero- eld matrix elements, A $(r_i) = B_0 y_i x$ is the static magnetic vector potential, B_0 is the uniform applied magnetic eld, and $= eB_0 = -c$.

We take a square tight-binding lattice with hopping up to second-nearest neighbours. The matrix elements in zero magnetic eld are $t_{ii} = t_{1;0}$, $t_{1hi;ji} = t_{1;nn}$, and $t_{1hhi;jii} = t_{1;nnn}$, where hi; ji and hhi; jii refer to nearest and next-nearest neighbours respectively. When B = 0, the dispersion is $_1(k) = t_{1;0} + 2t_{1;nn} (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4t_{1;nnn} \cos k_x \cos k_y$.

The order parameter for superconductivity is denoted $_{ij}$, where i and j denote sites on the lattice. The order parameter is determined self-consistently using a nearest-neighbour attractive interaction of magnitude V. Then,

$$_{ij} = \frac{V}{2} hc_{l\#}(r_{j})c_{l"}(r_{i}) + c_{l\#}(r_{i})c_{l"}(r_{j})i_{hi;ji}:$$
(4)

The d-wave component, de ned by $(r_i) = \int_{j}^{1} (1)^{y_i y_j} i_j$; is the dom inant component of the order parameter.

The Hamiltonian for the chain layer is

$$H_{2} = \int_{ij}^{A} t_{2ij}c_{2}^{y} (r_{i})c_{2} (r_{j})$$
(5)

where $t_{2ii} = t_{2;0}$ and $t_{2ij} = t_{2;nn}$ for i and j nearest-neighbour sites belonging to the same chain. The matrix elements are unchanged by the magnetic eld because of the choice of gauge. When B = 0, the chain dispersion is $_2 (k) = t_{2;0} + 2t_{2;nn} \cos k_y$.

The term describing the interlayer hopping is

$$H_{2} = t_{2} \int_{1}^{X} [c_{1}^{y} (r_{1})c_{2} (r_{1}) + c_{2}^{y} (r_{1})c_{1} (r_{1})];$$
(6)

which m ixes the chain and plane wavefunctions.

The model parameters used in this work are $ft_{1,0}$; $t_{1,mn}$; $t_{2,mn}$; $t_{2,0}$; $t_{2,$

There is a quasi-periodicity which allows us to de ne an $L_x = L_y$ magnetic supercell containing N = $L_x L_y = a_0^2$ atom ic lattice sites (a_0 is the lattice constant) and enclosing two superconducting ux quanta (i.e. two vortices), where the superconducting ux quantum is $_0 = hc=2e$. The magnetic eld is therefore B = 2 $_0=L_x L_y$. In order to obtain low magnetic elds, we need large values of L_x and L_y . Unless otherwise stated, all results shown in this paper are for a 60 = 60 = N_z-site supercell (N_z = 1;2) corresponding to B = 2 $_0=3600$. For this case, we sum over $5^2 = 25$ K-vectors, which is form ally equivalent to studying a system of 50 vortices on a 300 300 2 site lattice. Taking a unit cell size of $a_0 = 4 \text{ A}$, this corresponds to B = 7 T. W hile this is a strong eld for YBa₂Cu₃O₇, we have chosen the model parameters such that this is in the low - eld lim it of the proximity model (i.e. chain superconductivity is not quenched at this eld).

The details of the transformation to Bloch states are given in [19]. Here, we simply mention that our self-consistent calculations proceed in several steps. First, we diagonalize the Ham iltonian to generate a set of eigenenergies E_{iK} and wavefunctions

 $_{jK}$ (n; ;r) where K are the supercell wavevectors, 2 (1;2N) (the factor of two is for spin) is a quantum number labelling the eigenenergies for each K, n = 1;2 refers to the layer, and r to sites within each layer. Note that a Bogolyubov transform ation has been made, such that the spin index refers to spin-up electrons with wavevector K (= ") or spin-down holes with wavevector K (= #). The second step is to calculate the Fourier-transform ed order parameter $_{ij}$ (K) from the wavefunctions. This new order parameter is inserted into the H am iltonian, and a new set of eigenfunctions and energies is calculated. The iterative process term inates when the largest dimension between the input and output values of $_{ij}$ (K) is less than 10³.

We present results for two observables in this work. The LDOS at energy $!\,$ in layer n is

$${}_{n}(\mathbf{r};!) = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{K=1}^{X \times X^{N}} j_{K}(\mathbf{n};";\mathbf{r}) \hat{j}(! \in E_{K})$$

+ $j_{K}(\mathbf{n};\#;\mathbf{r}) \hat{j}(! \in E_{K});$ (7)

and the total density of states (DOS) is $(!) = N^{1} P^{P}_{n}(r;!)$ where N is the total number of sites in the lattice. The second observable is the 2D current density in layer n, denoted by $j_{n}(r) = (j_{n,x}(r); j_{n,y}(r))$ with

$$j_{n,x}(r_{i}) = \frac{e}{2 \sim a_{0}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{K=1}^{X} f(E_{jK})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{X} (K_{i})_{jK}(n;";r_{i})_{jK}(n;";r_{i} = a_{0} \hat{X})$$

$$t_{ij}(K_{i})_{jK}(n; \#;r_{i})_{jK}(n; \#;r_{i} = a_{0} \hat{X}); \qquad (8)$$

and a similar expression for j_{yn} (r_i). Note that the layer index n is om itted when we present quantities for the single-layer model.

3. Results and Discussion

The LDOS is shown in Figure 1 for a model consisting of a single tetragonal plane. This case is well-studied, and we include it here as a point of comparison for later calculations. In this gure, one sees a ZBCP at the vortex core. Away from the vortex core, the ZBCP splits into two peaks which disperse away from the Fermi energy " $_{\rm F}$ as the distance to the core increases, eventually merging with the coherence peaks at



F igure 2. Superconducting density of states. (a) Spatially-averaged density of states in zero magnetic eld for the bilayer model. The superconducting coherence peaks for the 2D plane are split by plane-chain coupling (A), while there are two distinct energy scales (B and C) associated with the induced gap in the chains. The inset shows a sketch of the chain (red) and plane (blue) Ferm i surfaces. The portions of the chain Ferm i surface contributing to the DOS at the energies B and C are indicated. (b) The e ect of magnetic eld on the spatially-averaged DOS. The eld strengths are $B = 2_{0}=60^{2}$ (B 60) and $B = 2_{0}=30^{2}$ (B 30). The latter results are calculated using a 30 30 2 lattice with 15^{2} supercell K -points.

 $! = E_L$. This is the behaviour predicted for isolated vortices in both s-[27] and dwave[25, 24, 28] superconductors. For an isolated vortex in an s-wave superconductor, quasiparticle eigenstates have a well-de ned angularm on entum, and it was shown that peaks at di erent distances from the core correspond to di erent angularm on entum eigenstates. Su ciently near the core, both the peak energy and distance to the core are linearly proportional to the angularm on entum .[29] A s we will show, this relationship between angularm on entum and the peak energy is relevant to the electronic structure of CuO chains in the vortex state.

We remark that, although dispersing peaks have been observed experimentally in the s-wave superconductor NbSe₂ [30], they have not been seen in either YBa₂Cu₃O₇ or Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈.[23] A number of strong-correlation-related mechanisms have been proposed for the absence of the ZBCP [23], and it is known that disorder can suppress the ZBCP [23]. Furtherm ore, it is possible that nontrivial tunneling matrix elements can signi cantly alter the LDOS.[31] At present, the reason for the lack of a ZBCP in the HTS has not been resolved.

The spatial dependence of the LDOS at the Ferm i energy, $(r;"_F)$, is plotted in Figure 1 (c). A logarithm ic plot is used to emphasize the long-range tails extending from the vortex core. These are a signature of BCS-like d-wave superconductivity [25] and, like the ZBCP, have not been seen experimentally. Again, the reason for this is not clear.

E ect of Chains on the LDOS in the Vortex Phase of YBCO

In Figure 2, we compare the spatially-averaged density of states for three di erent cases: the bilayer model in zero magnetic eld, and the single-layer and bilayer models for B \in 0. This quies shows that the DOS for the bilayer model has a relatively complicated structure when B = 0, with four distinct energy scales (see [15] for a complete discussion). First, the coherence peaks associated with plane superconductivity are split by chain-plane coupling (A). A similar splitting has been observed in STM experiments[22]. We identify the lower-energy of the two coherence peaks as the \large gap" E_{L} . Because the chains act as pair-breakers, E_{L} is slightly smaller than the gap in the single-layer model. Next, there are two gap energy scales associated with induced superconductivity in the chains. The larger of these (B) comes from the region of the chain-derived Ferm i surface nearest (;0) in the Brillouin zone. Here, the energetic proximity of the chain and plane bands leads to a large hybridization of their wavefunctions and a relatively large induced gap. We believe that this energy scale, denoted E_{s} , is the small gap that has been seen in STM experiments in zero eld. The sm allest energy scale (C) is associated with superconductivity on the portion of the chain Ferm i surface nearest the Brillouin zone centre. This gap is wiped out by small am ounts of disorder or therm albroadening, and the proximity model therefore predicts nite residual density of states at the Ferm i energy, in accordance with tunneling а experim ents.[20, 21, 22]

The nite-eld DOS is shown in Figure2(b). The eld washes out the distinct energy scales; however, E_s show sup in the eld-dependence of the DOS.Com pared with the single-layer model, ("_F) for the bilayer model is a strong function of B for B < B, where B E_s^2 [19] is the crossover eld mentioned above. For B > B, ("_F) varies at a similar rate with B in both the single-layer and bilayer models. Similar behaviour has been predicted in an anisotropic single-band model for YBa₂Cu₃O₇ [32]. In the bilayer model studied here, B is the magnetic eld above which the vortex cores in the chains begin to overlap. From the perspective of the chains, there are therefore distinct low - (B < B) and high- (B > B) eld regimes. Experimentally, B 1 T in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6.95}. Because we have chosen a large value for the chain-plane coupling, the data shown here for the 60 60 2 lattices belong to the regime B < B.

The LDOS for the bilayer is shown along a series of cuts through the vortex along the (1;0) direction in Figure 3, the (1;1) direction in Figure 4, and the (0;1) direction in Figure 5. The cuts are illustrated in Figure 3 (d). There are three sources of an isotropy which contribute to di erences in $_n$ (r;!) along the three directions. First, the dwave order parameter vanishes for quasiparticles travelling in the (1; 1) directions and obtains its maximum along the (1;0) and (0;1) directions. Second, the shortest path between vortices lies along the (1; 1) directions. Third, the chains run parallel to the (0;1) direction. We can separate the third factor from the rst two by comparing our bilayer results with those for the single-layer m odel.

First, we consider the cut along the (1;0) direction (Figure 3). The LDOS in the plane layer exhibits one notable di erence from the single layer case shown in Figure 1: the ZBCP splits into a quartet, instead of a pair, of dispersing peaks as one moves away



Figure 3. Local density of states in the bilayer model. (a) The LDOS $_1$ (r;!) in the 2D plane at positions between the vortex core (top curve) and the edge of the vortex (bottom curve). Curves are o set for clarity. Positions are taken along the (1;0) cut shown in (d). (b) $_2$ (r;!) in the 1D chain layer at identical positions to (a). (c) Two of the LDOS curves from (a) are compared, showing the dispersion of the inner (I) and outer (O) peaks. Curve colours are the same as in (a). (d) Spatial dependence of the current density ji (r) j in the plane layer for a pair of vortices. The lines indicate the cuts along which LDOS curves are displayed in this gure [(1;0)], Figure 4 [(1;1)] and Figure 5 [(0;1)]. Note that the (0;1) and (1;0) cuts are parallel and perpendicular to the chains respectively.

from the vortex core. The outer peaks asymptotically approach $E_{\rm L}$ at large distances from the vortex core, while the inner peaks asymptotically approach $E_{\rm s}$. It appears as if the presence of two superconducting energy scales leads to the formation of two distinct sets of dispersing quasi-bound resonances.

The LDOS curves shown in Figure 3(b) come from di erent chains at increasing distances from the vortex core. As in the plane, there is a ZBCP at the vortex core. The splitting of the ZBCP that is readily apparent in the plane layer is also present, although di cult to resolve, in the chain layer. The LDOS curves far from the vortex core closely resemble the DOS for the chains when B = 0: there is a pronounced suppression of the DOS for j! $j < E_s$, a large residual DOS at $! = "_F$, and there are weak rem nants of the coherence peaks at E_L com ing from the superconductivity in the planes.

The LDOS is shown along the (1;1) direction in Figure 4. In both the single-layer and bilayerm odels, the peaks disperse m ore quickly along the (1;1) direction than along the (1;0) direction. Furtherm ore, in the case of the bilayer m odel, the inner peaks are weak and di cult to resolve.

W hen the cut is taken along the (0;1) direction (Figure 5), the single layer model gives the same LDOS curves as in the (1;0) direction, as required by the fourfold symmetry of the lattice. The bilayer model, however, is qualitatively dimensional the (0;1) and (1;0) cuts. In the plane layer, there are a pair of dispersing peaks



F igure 4. Local density of states in the bilayer model for the (a) plane and (b) chain layers. Cuts are taken along the (1;1) direction, illustrated in Figure 3(d), with the vortex core corresponding to the top curve. The LDOS is also shown in (c) for the single-layer model.



F igure 5. Local density of states in the bilayer model for the (a) plane and (b) chain layers. Cuts are taken along the (0;1) direction, illustrated in Figure 3(d), with the vortex core corresponding to the top curve. The LDOS is also shown in (c) for the single-layer model.



Figure 6. LDOS maps for two vortices on a 60 N_z lattice. Figures show n(r;!) as a function of r for the single-layer ($N_z = 1$; top row), and bilayer m odel ($N_z = 2$; m iddle and bottom rows). Each column corresponds to a di erent value of !, indicated at the top of the column.

that asymptotically approach E_L at large distances from the vortex core. These peaks correspond to the outer peaks found along the (1;0) direction. In contrast, the inner peaks that are seen along the (1;0) direction are absent here. Instead, there is a pronounced ZBCP that extends 10 unit cells from the vortex core along the (0;1) direction. The ZBCP is particularly pronounced in the chain layer, where extends a longer distance than in the plane layer. C loser exam ination reveals a very slight splitting of the peak at large distances. For reasons discussed below, we believe that this splitting occurs because chain-plane coupling m akes the chain-derived states weakly 2D.

For comparison, the spatial maps of $_{n}$ (r;!) are shown at three dimentivalues of ! in Figure 6. This gure provides a dimension perspective on the results presented in the preceding discussion. We begin with the plots for != "F. The single-layer model exhibits a fourfold symmetry, as expected. The ZBCP appears as the bright cross in the middle of each vortex core, and disappears away from core, where the peaks disperse to higher energies. In the bilayer model, we can clearly see that the LDOS in the plane, 1 (r; "F), is orthorhom ically distorted and has long tails along the chain direction. In the chain layer, the vortex core is even more anisotropic; the extent of the core states along the a-axis is similar to that in the plane layer, but the extent along the b-axis is determined by the coherence length in the chains, $_{c} = ~v_{F,c} = E_{s} = 10a_{0}$, where $v_{F,c}$ is the chain Fermi velocity.

At the two larger values of !, the LD O S shows a vortex core that appears to expand with increasing !. This is because the apparent border of the core is determined by the positions of the dispersing peaks at that value of !. A s discussed earlier, the position and energy of these peaks are approximately linearly related. The most noticeable dimension between the single-layer and bilayer models is the orthorhom bic distortion of the vortex core in the bilayer model, not present in the single-layer calculation. The single-layer model exhibits the fourfold \star" shape, rst described in 25], while the LDOS in the bilayer plane is stretched along the a-axis, perpendicular to the chains. We note that STM experiments in YBa₂Cu₃O₇ found a similar stretching of the cores along the a-axis, with a ratio of 1.5 between major and minor axes.[23] A lthough our results are suggestive, it is premature to declare the chains to be the underlying mechanism for this anisotropy, especially given the number of unresolved discrepancies between theory and experiment. We return to this point below.

We can construct a qualitative argument for the anisotropy between the (1;0)and (0;1) directions in the dispersion of the inner peaks. The fact that these peaks asymptotically approach E_s at large distances from the vortex core suggests that they are closely connected to superconductivity in the chain layer. We therefore consider the behaviour of quasiparticles travelling at the chain Ferm i velocity $v_{F,c}$ within a chain a perpendicular distance r_2 from the vortex core. Since the direction along which the quasiparticle travels does not change as it moves along the chain, the quasiparticle angularm om entum is conserved and is $L_c = r_2 v_{F,c}$. This is obviously an approximate statem ent since a quasiparticle, as a result of chain-plane hybridization, spends a fraction of its time in the plane layer where its trajectory is altered by the magnetic eld. The linear relationship between r? and L c is therefore also approximate. However, it is su cient to explain the anisotropy between (1;0) and (0;1) directions. We recall that in conventional superconductors there is a linear relationship between the angular m om entum of a quasiparticle eigenstate and its energy. [29, 27] For the (1;0) cut, r_2 is equal to the distance r from the vortex core, L grows linearly with r, and the inner peaks are expected (by this argum ent) to disperse linearly with r. This prediction is consistent with the num erical results shown in Figure 3. On the other hand, $r_2 = 0$ everywhere along the (0;1) cut, since that cut follows along the chain that passes through the vortex core. We therefore have $L_c = 0$ everywhere along this cut, and the inner peaks remain at $! = "_{F}$. Since the single-electron hopping between the chain and plane layers makes the chain-derived states weakly 2D, L_{c} is only approximately conserved along the length of the chain. We therefore expect a very weak dispersion of the peak energies along the (0;1) direction. A gain, this simple argument is consistent with numerical results, shown in Figure 5.

We mish this section with a brief discussion of the relevance of this work to experiments. There is a large body of literature attempting to explain STM experiments in HTS, both in zero magnetic eld and in mite eld 2β]. Existing theories are successful at reproducing broad qualitative features of the DOS spectrum, but generally fail to correctly predict quantitative details such as the spatial dependence of the LDOS near isolated in purities or vortex cores. The same appears to be true of the work discussed here: the proximity model is consistent with experiments indicating the existence of a small superconducting gap scale E_s 5 meV but, as with previous

calculations, there is a discrepancy between the experiments and theory regarding the spatial dependence of the LDOS. Whether the failure to explain experiments is an experimental issue, or is due to unknown tunneling matrix element elects, surface states, or a failure of the basic mean-eld model, is unclear at this stage.

Because of these uncertainties, we seek simple qualitative predictions stemming from the proximity model that might reveal something about the electronic structure of the vortex cores. We believe that one qualitative feature predicted by the proximity model, the existence of an anistropy in the low energy LDOS between the (1;0) and (0;1) directions, should hold regardless of the details of the model. An experiment to measure such an anisotropy would establish whether E_s does, in fact, originate with the chains. In order to address this question, it will be necessary to perform experiments at strong elds where the vortex lattice is square.

4. Conclusions

We have studied the local density of states near a vortex core within a proximity model for $YBa_2Cu_3O_7$. This model incorporates both CuO_2 planes and CuO chains. The proximity model predicts that the CuO chains introduce a set of dispersing peaks in the LDOS, in addition to the peaks predicted for a single-layer superconductor. These peaks are associated with the small induced gap in the chain layer, and consequently have a strongly anisotropic dispersion. At $! = "_F$, the LDOS is extended along the chain direction, but at $! > "_F$, the vortex cores appear stretched along the a-axis.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by NSERC of Canada. Calculations were perform ed using the High Perform ance Computing Virtual Laboratory (HPCVL).

References

- [1] O.K.Andersen, A.I.Liechtenstein, O.Jepsen, and F.Paulsen. LDA energy bands, low energy ham iltonians, t⁰, t⁰, t₂ (k), and J₂. J.Phys.Chem. Solids, 56:1573(1591, 1995.
- [2] T. A. Friedmann, M. W. Rabin, J. Giapintzakis, J. P. Rice, and D. M. Ginsberg. Direct measurement of the anisotropy of the resistivity in the a-b plane of twin-free, single-crystal, superconducting YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. B, 42 (10):6217 (6221, Oct 1990.
- [3] S.J.Hagen, T.W. Jing, Z.Z.W ang, J.Horvath, and N.P.Ong. Out-of-plane conductivity in single-crystalYBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. B, 37(13):7928{7931, M ay 1988.
- [4] K. Takenaka, K. Mizuhashi, H. Takagi, and S. Uchida. Interplane charge transport in YBa₂Cu₃O_{7 y}: Spin-gap e ect on in-plane and out-of-plane resistivity. Phys. Rev. B, 50 (9):6534{6537, Sep 1994.
- [5] C.C. Hom es, S.V. Dordevic, D.A. Bonn, Ruixing Liang, W.N. Hardy, and T.Timusk. Coherence, incoherence, and scaling along the caxis of YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. B, 71 (18):184515, 2005.
- [6] H.L.Edwards, D.J.Derro, A.L.Barr, J.T.Markert, and A.L.de Lozanne. Spatially varying energy gap in the CuO chains of YBa₂Cu₃O_{7 x} detected by scanning tunneling spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. Lett., 75 (7):1387 {1390, Aug 1995.

- E ect of Chains on the LDOS in the Vortex Phase of YBCO
- [7] D. J. Derro, E. W. Hudson, K. M. Lang, S. H. Pan, J. C. Davis, J. T. Markert, and A. L. de Lozanne. Nanoscale one-dimensional scattering resonances in the cuo chains of YBa₂Cu₃O_{6+x}. Phys. Rev. Lett., 88 (9):097002, Feb 2002.
- [8] D.H.Lu, D.L.Feng, N.P.Am itage, K.M. Shen, A.D am ascelli, C.K in, F.Ronning, Z.X.Shen, D.A.Bonn, R.Liang, W.N.Hardy, A.I.Rykov, and S.Tajima. Superconducting gap and strong in-plane anisotropy in untwinned YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. Lett., 86(19):4370{4373, M ay 2001.
- [9] V.B.Zabolotnyy, S.V.Borisenko, A.A.Kordyuk, J.Geck, D.S.Inosov, A.Koitzsch, J.Fink, M.Knupfer, B.Buchner, S.-L.Drechsler, H.Berger, A.Erb, M. Lambacher, L.Patthey, V.Hinkov, and B.Keimer. Momentum and temperature dependence of renormalization e ects in the high-temperature superconductor yba[sub 2]cu[sub 3]o[sub 7 - delta]. Phys. Rev. B, 76(6):064519,2007.
- [10] K. Nakayama, T. Sato, K. Terashima, H. Matsui, T. Takahashi, M. Kubota, K. Ono, T. Nishizaki, Y. Takahashi, and N. Kobayashi. Bulk and surface low-energy excitations in YBa₂Cu₃O₇ studied by high-resolution angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B, 75 (1):014513, 2007.
- [11] Kuan Zhang, D. A. Bonn, S. Kamal, Ruixing Liang, D. J. Baar, W. N. Hardy, D. Basov, and T. Timusk. Measurement of the ab plane anisotropy of microwave surface impedance of untwinned YBa₂Cu₃O_{6:95} single crystals. Phys. Rev. Lett., 73 (18) 2484{2487, Oct 1994.
- [12] D. N. Basov, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, B. Dabrowski, M. Quijada, D. B. Tanner, J. P. Rice, D. M. Ginsberg, and T. Timusk. In-plane anisotropy of the penetration depth in YBa₂Cu₃O_{7 x} and YBa₂Cu₄O₈ superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett., 74 (4):598 (601, Jan 1995.
- [13] W.A.Atkinson and J.P.Carbotte. Density of states of a layered s/n d-wave superconductor. Phys. Rev. B, 51 (2):1161{1174, Jan 1995.
- [14] W.A.Atkinson and J.P.Carbotte. E ect of proximity coupling of chains and planes on the penetration-depth anisotropy in YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. B, 52 (14):10601{10609,0 ct 1995.
- [15] W. A. Atkinson. Disorder and chain superconductivity in YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys. Rev. B, 59(5):3377{3380, Feb 1999.
- [16] J.E.Sonier, J.H.Brewer, R.F.Kie, G.D.Morris, R.I.Miller, D.A.Bonn, J.Chakhalian, R.H. Hener, W.N.Hardy, and R.Liang. Field induced reduction of the low-temperature super uid density in YBa₂Cu₃O_{6:95}. Phys. Rev. Lett., 83 (20):4156{4159, Nov 1999.
- [17] Je E Sonier. Investigations of the core structure of magnetic vortices in type-II superconductors using muon spin rotation. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 16 (40) \$4499 {\$4513,2004.
- [18] J.E. Sonier, S.A. Sabok-Sayr, F.D. Callaghan, C.V. Kaiser, V. Pacradouni, J.H. Brewer, S.L. Stubbs, W.N. Hardy, D.A. Bonn, R. Liang, and W. A. Atkinson. Hole-doping dependence of the magnetic penetration depth and vortex core size in YBa₂Cu₃O_y: Evidence for stripe correlations near (1/8) hole doping. Phys. Rev. B, 76 (13):134518, 2007.
- [19] W .A.Atkinson and J.E.Sonier. Role of cuo chains in vortex core structure in YBa₂Cu₃O₇ . Phys. Rev. B, 77 (2):024514, 2008.
- [20] J.M. Valles, R.C. Dynes, A.M. Cucolo, M.Gurvitch, L.F. Schneem eyer, J.P.Gamo, and J.V. Waszczak. Electron tunneling into single crystals of YBa₂Cu₃O₇. Phys.Rev.B, 44 (21):11986 [11996, Dec 1991.
- [21] N.-C. Yeh, C.-T. Chen, G. Hammerl, J. Mannhart, A. Schmehl, C.W. Schneider, R.R. Schulz, S. Tajima, K. Yoshida, D. Garrigus, and M. Strasik. Evidence of doping-dependent pairing symmetry in cuprate superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett., 87 (8):087003, Aug 2001.
- [22] J. H. Ngai, W. A. Atkinson, and J. Y. T. Wei. Tunneling spectroscopy of c-axis Y_{1 x} Ca_xBa₂Cu₃O₇ thin- In superconductors. Phys. Rev. Lett., 98 (17):177003, 2007.
- [23] ystein Fischer, Martin Kugler, Ivan Maggio-Aprile, Christophe Berthod, and Christoph Renner. Scanning tunneling spectroscopy of high-temperature superconductors. Reviews of Modern Physics, 79(1):353, 2007.
- [24] Yong Wang and A. H. MacDonald. Mixed-state quasiparticle spectrum for d-wave

superconductors. Phys. Rev. B, 52 (6) R 3876 {R 3879, Aug 1995.

- [25] Nils Schopohl and Kazum i Maki. Quasiparticle spectrum around a vortex line in a d-wave superconductor. Phys. Rev. B, 52 (1):490{493, Jul 1995.
- [26] FC Zhang, C G ros, TM R ice, and H Shiba. A renorm alized ham iltonian approach to a resonant valence bond wavefunction. Superconductor Science & Technology, 1(1):36{46, 1988.
- [27] Francois Gygiand Michael Schluter. Self-consistent electronic structure of a vortex line in a type-II superconductor. Phys. Rev. B, 43 (10):7609 (7621, Apr 1991.
- [28] M.Kato and K.Maki. Self-consistent solution for a single vortex in d-wave superconductors. EPL (Europhysics Letters), 54 (6):800{806,2001.
- [29] C.Caroli, P.G.DeGennes, and J.Matricon. Bound ferm ion states on a vortex line in a type II superconductor. Phys. Lett., 9:307{309, 1964.
- [30] H.F.Hess, R.B.Robinson, and J.V.W aszczak. Vortex-core structure observed with a scanning tunneling m icroscope. Phys. Rev. Lett., 64 (22) 2711{2714, M ay 1990.
- [31] Congjun W u, Tao X iang, and Zhao-B in Su. Absence of the zero bias peak in vortex tunneling spectra of high-tem perature superconductors. Phys. Rev. B, 62 (21):14427{14430, Dec 2000.
- [32] N.D.W helan and J.P.Carbotte. Magnetic eld as a probe of gap energy scales in yba2cu3o7 x. Phys. Rev. B, 62 (22):15221 {15225, Dec 2000.