Zero tem perature phase diagram of the square-shoulder system

Gernot J. Pauschenwein¹ and Gerhard Kahl¹

¹Institut fur Theoretische Physik and Center for Computational Materials Science (CMS),

Technische Universitat W ien, W iedner Hauptstra e 8-10, A-1040 W ien, Austria

(D ated: February 20, 2024)

Particles that interact via a square-shoulder potential, consisting of an impenetrable hard core with an adjacent, repulsive, step-like corona, are able to self-organize in a surprisingly rich variety of rather unconventional ordered structures. Using optimization strategies that are based on ideas of genetic algorithm swe encounter, as we system atically increase the pressure, the following archetypes of aggregates: low -sym m etry cluster and colum nar phases, followed by lam ellar particle arrangements, until at high pressure values com pact, high-sym m etry lattices emerge. These structures are characterized in the NPT ensemble as con gurations of m inim um G ibbs free energy. B ased on sim - ple considerations, i.e., basically m inimizing the number of overlapping coronae while m axim izing at the same time the density, the sequence of emerging structures can easily be understood.

submitted to J.Chem. Phys.

PACS num bers: 64.70 K, 81.16 Dn, 64.70 Nd

I. IN TRODUCTION

For more than 25 years considerable e ort has been dedicated to study the therm odynamic, structural, and dynam ical properties of hard core particles with an adjacent soft repulsive shoulder, i.e., so-called core softened potentials. This class of potentials was probably rst considered by Hemmer and Stell in 1970 [1] in a model where the soft repulsion was characterized by a linear ram p with an additional, weak attractive tail. The system was introduced in an e ort to study the possibility of the occurrence of more than one critical point in the phase diagram of a simple model system . In num erous, subsequent investigations evidence was provided for a surprisingly rich variety of rather unusual properties of this class of system s: these features range from isostructural solid-solid transitions, where possibly several solid structures are involved (see, e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]), over a very com plex phase diagram of the solid phases [8, 9], to di erent sorts of anom alous behavior, encountered in the static and/or in the dynam ic properties (see, e.g., [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]).

W ithin this class of core softened potentials the squareshoulder interaction, consisting of an impenetrable hard core with an adjacent, repulsive shoulder (or corona), is undoubtedly the sim plest representative. Despite its simple, radially symmetric functional form this system is nevertheless able to o er a large variety of unexpected features, which are mostly related to its structural properties. This propensity and ability for unconventional self-assembly scenarios was already discovered in the remarkable study by Jagla [9] on a particular family of two-dim ensional core softened system s, where the squareshoulder system was included as a special case: in this contribution evidence was given that the particles are able to self-organize in a surprisingly broad variety of highly complex ordered structures. In subsequent work on the two-dimensional case these particle arrangements

that include, am ongst others, cage-or lane-form ation as well as micellar or inverse micellar con gurations were con med or newly discovered both in computer simulations [15, 16] and in theoretical investigations [17]. A more system atic study of the ordered particle con gurations of the two-dimensional square-shoulder system was presented in [18].

The aim of the present contribution is to investigate in a system atic and thorough way the ordered particle arrangements of the square-shoulder system in three dimensions. To this end we study the system at T = 0and in the NPT ensemble; thus, we search for con gurations that m inim ize the G ibbs free energy, which we will term { to be consistent with previous contributions { as minimum energy con gurations (MECs). To provide a deeper insight into the self-assembly strategies of the system we have considered a small, an intermediate, and a large shoulder range. W hile prelim inary results have already been presented in [19], we identify in the present, m ore system atic investigation an overw helm ingly rich variety of MECs. Analyzing these data, we give evidence that these MECs can be grouped together in four structural archetypes, that emerge in dependence of the value of the pressure, P, that is exerted on the system : cluster structures are preferentially form ed at low P -values, while colum nar and lam ellar structures are predom inantly identi ed at interm ediate pressure values;

nally, compact particle con gurations emerge at high pressure. W hile this general rule might still be less obvious at small shoulder range, it is nearly perfectly obeyed for an intermediate shoulder width and de nitely holds for the case of a broad corona. W ith its simple functional form the inter-particle interaction o ers not only many computational advantages [20]. It allows to understand via simple geometrical considerations the system's self-assembly strategy: it is in particular the range of the shoulder that turns out to be responsible in a highly sophisticated way for the form ation of the complex structures. In addition, the at energetic plateau of the shoulder with its nite range represents a very sensitive antenna to distinguish between energetically competing structures. Our observations provide a deeper insight into the system's strategy to form ordered equilibrium particle con gurations, a know ledge that m ight be useful to understand self-assem bly processes in other systems with more complex interactions.

O b jections against the simple functional form of the potential are refuted by the argument that it is able to capture the essential features of colloidal particles with core-corona architecture as they are, for instance, treated in [21] and references therein. And indeed, several of the MECs that we could identify had already been encountered in previous theoretical, experimental, and com – puter simulation investigations: m icellar and inverse m i-cellar structures [17, 22], spirals [23], chains and layers [8, 9, 11, 17, 24, 25], and cluster phases [26, 27, 28], to name a few examples.

Although the identi cation of MECs represents a 'sim ple' optim ization problem of the G ibbs free energy, its solution has turned out to be highly non-trivial. In this contribution we present a system atic sequence of ordered MECs for the three selected values of shoulder width. This achievem ent is mainly due to our search strategy, which is based on ideas of genetic algorithms (GA). Introduced already several decades ago in a com pletely different context [29], these approaches have m eanwhile becom e a highly appreciated optim ization tool to identify ordered particle arrangements both in hard [30, 31, 32] as wellas in soft matter system s [18, 19, 33, 34, 35, 36]. The high reliability, exibility, and e ciency of GA-based optim ization strategies, in combination with a particular search strategy that is intimately related to the simple functional form of the square-shoulder potential, make us believe that the sequences of MECs, that will be presented and discussed in the following, are complete.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we brie y present the square-shoulder system. The subsequent section deals with the theoretical tools of this contribution: the GA-based search strategy as well as the theoretical considerations to identify close-packed particle arrangements of the system as a function of the shoulder width. The results of our investigations are sum m arized in section IV: we start with the close-packed particle arrangements (as they play a key-role in the search strategy) and present and discuss in the following the MEC s that we have identied for the three different cases of shoulder width. The conclusions of the contribution are sum marized in section V, which also contains the discussion of possible future work.

II. M O D E L

W e consider a system of particles that interact via the square-shoulder potential, which we parameterize as follow s:

$$(r) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < 1 & r \\ & < r \\ : 0 & < r \end{cases}$$
 (1)

is the diameter of the impenetrable core and is the width of the adjacent, repulsive shoulder (or corona) of height , > 0. Further, we introduce the numberdensity, = N=V (N being the number of particles and V being the volume of the system), and the dimensionless numberdensity, $^2 = ^3$. Therm odynamic quantities will be used in the following reduced units: pressure, $P^2 = P^{-3} =$, internal energy, $e^2 = E = (N)$, and G ibbs free energy, $g^2 = G = (N)$. Since we perform our investigations at T = 0, G = E + PV and hence $g^2 = e^2 + P^2 = ?$.

The simple functional form of the square-shoulder potential with its constant potential barrier and its nite range m akes the system the 'quintessential test system ' [20] for the purpose of the present contribution. It also simpli es considerably therm odynam ic considerations. For a given periodic particle arrangem ent, which we characterize by the num ber of overlapping coronae, e? is a rational num ber: it is given as the ratio of the num ber of overlaps per particle in the unit cell, divided by the number of these particles, which we denote as n_b . For this particle arrangem ent $g^2 = e^2 + P^2 = ?$, is therefore a linear function of the pressure, P[?], and is consequently represented in the (g?; P?)-plane by a straight line: its slope is given by 1 = ?, while its intercept is the energy of the con guration, e. The lim iting particle arrangement at low pressure is easily identied as a closepacked arrangement of spheres with diameter : thus $e^{?} = 0$ and the slope of $g^{?}$ in the $(g^{?}; P^{?})$ -diagram is given by $1 = \frac{?}{m in} = \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. For the high pressure lim it the situation is more delicate: while the slope of $g^{?}$ as a function of P $\stackrel{?}{p}$ is easily identi ed for obvious reasons as $1 = \stackrel{?}{\underset{max}{max}} = 1 = 2$, the value of e[?] depends in a sensitive way on . In subsection IIIB we will give evidence that the square-shoulder system shows a rich variety of close-packed scenarios as varies.

W ith the above considerations in m ind, we can anticipate that the g[?]-values of all M ECs will be located on a sequence of intersecting straight lines in the (g[?]; P[?])-plane, each of them being characterized by a slope of 1= [?], with 1= [?]_{m in} > 1= [?] > 1= [?]_{m ax}, and by an intercept e[?]. This fact will simplify considerably our search for M ECs (see discussion in subsection IV B).

III. THEORY

A. Genetic algorithm s

The MECs of our system have been identied with a search strategy that is based on ideas of genetic algorithm s (GAs). GAs are very general optimization tools that model natural evolution processes, such as recombination, mutation, or survival of the ttest 29]. Their

successful applications in a wide range of elds dem onstrate their exibility and reliability. The basic ideas of GAs can be summarized as follows: the central quantity of this concept is an individual, I, which represents a possible solution to the problem. The quality of a solution, i.e., of an individual I, is measured via a so-called thess function, f(I). Individuals with a higher thess value are assumed to be of higher quality. In our search for ordered particle congurations that minimize the G ibbs free energy, an individual corresponds to a lattice while the thess function is related to G and will be specied below. Starting from a large number of individuals of a subsequent generation are created with recombination

a subsequent generation are created with recombination and mutation processes, both of them having a highly stochastic character. Individuals with a higher tness value are preferred in the reproduction process. In addition, mutation operations are performed on the individuals with some probability p_m . By iterating this process we create a reasonably large number of generations. The nal result of the GA-based search strategy is the individual with the overall highest tness value.

For our particular problem an individual I is identied by a (possibly non-simple) periodic crystal structure. Due to the highly stochastic character of the reproduction and of the mutation processes, a straightforward im plem entation of the algorithm is prone to propose a large num ber of ordered con gurations where the hard cores of the particles overlap and which therefore correspond to unphysical particle arrangem ents. This, in turn, causes a drastic reduction of the e ciency of the algorithm s. To overcom e this problem we have developed a particular param eterization of an arbitrary simple lattice via three lattice vectors $fa_1; a_2; a_3g$ [37]: here, $a_1 = ja_1 j$ represents the shortest possible distance between two lattice sites in the entire lattice and $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}a_2$ j is the second smallest distance in the lattice (i.e., a1 a_2) with a_1 and a2 being linearly independent; nally, a sim ilar relation holds between a_3 on one side and a_1 and a_2 on the other side. Thus if $a_1 >$, it is guaranteed that the hard cores of the particles will not overlap and consequently the GA will create only simple lattices where overlap of the cores is avoided a priori. For non simple lattices, the distances between all particles within the unit cell and including also the particles of the 26 neighboring cells have to be determ ined. If the sm allest of these distances, l_0 , is smaller than a_1 , then the lattice is scaled with a factor $a_1 = l_0$. The rather complex form alism is most conveniently implemented in the NPT ensemble. Thus, a state is characterized by a value for the pressure, P, while the equilibrium density, , is a result of the optimization procedure. For details we refer to [37].

For the implementation of the individuals we use the encoding strategy presented in [35]. D istances and angles are encoded in binary strings with a length ranging from four to six. Since in the NPT ensemble the G ibbs free energy has to be minimized, we use the following thess function

$$f(I) = \exp f [G(I) G(I_0)] = G(I_0)g;$$
 (2)

where I_0 corresponds to some reference structure. A pool of 700 individuals is evolved through reproduction and m utation processes over 500 generations: creation of individuals of the new generation from individuals of the preceding generation is carried out via one-point or random cross-over operations while the m utation process, which re-introduces lost genetic m aterials and avoids inbreeding, is realized with a m utation probability of $p_m = 5$ %. For each state point, 1000 of such independent runs have been carried out. Finally, the individual with the overall lowest G-value, $I_{m in}$, is considered to be the solution of the GA. To account for the limited accuracy caused by the encoding procedure, the param eters of $I_{m in}$ were re ned via a nalPowell optim ization algorithm 38].

B. Close-packed structures

The limiting case at high pressure is always a lattice where the hard cores of the particles arrange in a closepacked structure. Thus the slope of the line that expresses the linear dependence of g^2 on P^2 in the $(g^2; P^2)$ plane is obviously given by $1 = \frac{2}{m ax} = 1 = 2$. However, the intercept of this line, i.e., the energy of this arrangement, e^2 , requires more careful considerations. Below we will give evidence, that the square-shoulder system is able to self-organize not only in the well-known closepacked scenarios, i.e., in fcc or hcp lattices, but also in more com plex structures [39]. We emphasize that the square-shoulder system serves { due to its at plateau and due to the nite range of the corona { as an antenna that is able to identify in a very sensitive way between com peting particle arrangements.

In an e ort to nd for a given value of the energetically most favorable close-packed arrangem ent of the particles we proceed as follows. We consider the lattice as being built up by periodically repeated stacking sequences of n₁ hexagonally close-packed layers, introducing for convenience the conventional labels, A, B, and C [40]. A stacking sequence of n_1 layers can therefore be described by a string of n_1 of these symbols. The trivial close-packed arrangem ents, fcc and hcp, are thus characterized by the sequences ABC (with $n_1 = 3$) and AB (with $n_1 = 2$). For a given value of n_1 we consider all possible stacking sequences of length n1; without loss of generality we start all sequences with the label A. Som e of the proposed sequences have to be ruled out: this is the case when two neighboring layers carry the same index. Som e of them can be ruled out: this is, for instance, the case when symmetry considerations reveal that two di erent stacking sequences lead to the sam e lattice.

Pursuing this strategy we nd for the smallest n-values the following situation: for $n_1 = 2$ we have only the hop structure (AB) and for $n_1 = 3$ we recover the foc lattice (ABC). Also for four-and ve-layer stackings

only one representative remains: ABAC and ABABC can be identified, respectively. At n = 6, we encounter for the first time two non-equivalent stacking sequences, namely ABABAC and ABACBC. With increasing n_1 the number of possible stacking sequences increases drastically. For instance, for = 4.5, where we have considered stackings with up to 12 layers, we were able to identify 136 different sequences. A comprehensive table of possible stacking sequences for a given value of n_1 is presented in [41].

Finally, for a given value of we include a su ciently large number of layers and evaluate and compare the energies e[?] of all candidate stackings. The nite range of the shoulder and its at energy plateau help to reduce the numerical e ort considerably. On most occasions we encounter degeneracy, i.e., two (or even more) di erent stacking sequences are characterized by exactly the same value of e[?]. In such cases, we consider the shortest am ong these stacking sequences to be the energetically most favorable con guration with the only exception that we favor fcc to hcp [42].

IV. RESULTS

A. C lose-packed structures

W ith the above considerations in m ind we can now identify the equilibrium close-packed structures for the square-shoulder system as they occur at high pressure values. These particle arrangements are summarized in Figure 1, starting from = 1 (corresponding to hard spheres) and extending to a shoulder width of = 4.5. The gure contains the energy \hat{e} of the respective structures and symbols characterize their stacking sequences.

 $< \frac{p}{2}$, only nearest neighbor inter-As long as 1 < actions have to be considered. All stackings are characterized by the same number of overlapping coronae; the energy of a tagged particles amounts to half the num ber of nearest neighbors, i.e., $e^2 = 6$. Although for 2 < $< 2^{2}$ 2=3, the second nearest neighbors start to play a role, we still obtain for all possible stackings, the sam e value for e^2 , nam ely $e^2 = 9$. For 2^2 2=3 < < <3, the di erent stackings are characterized by di erent energy values; among these, the fcc structure is one of the stackings with the lowest e^2 -value, namely $e^2 = 9$, while all other stackings are energetically equal or less favorable. Therefore in this -interval, fcc remains the simplest, energetically most favorable structure. For $\overline{3}_{i}$ a hop lattice with $e^{2} = 19$ becomes the sim -= plest structure with the lowest e-value. Further stacking sequences can be extracted from Figure 1 for -values up to 4.5. This gure shows that for a few -intervals also stacking sequences other than fcc or hcp are obtained as the energetically most favorable close-packed particle arrangem ents at high pressure.



FIG.1: Fig.1: Energy per particle, e[?], for the sim plest, energetically most favorable close-packed particle arrangements for the square-shoulder system as a function of (full curve). Sym bols specify the stacking sequences as labeled; see also text.

B. Con gurations that m inim ize the G ibbs free energy

Once we have determined the limiting high pressure MECs, we can proceed to the identication of the whole sequence of MECs as a function of the pressure. This is done in the following three subsections where we have considered square-shoulder systems with a short (=1.5), an intermediate (=4.5), and a large (=10) shoulder width. A bbreviations of the underlying lattices that are used in the text and in the Figures are sum marized in Table I.

For = 1:5 we shall give a detailed geom etrical interpretation of these particle arrangem ents; this will provide clear evidence about the system's strategy to arrange the particles at given pressure in such a way as to m in im ize the num ber of overlapping shoulders and to maxim ize at the same time the density. Although we will not be able to pursue these geom etrical considerations in full detail for the other -values, we will be able to identify an emerging sequence of structural archetypes as we increase the pressure: while at low pressure particles tend to arrange in clusters, which then populate the positions of regular lattices, we encounter with increasing pressure columnar, lam ellar, and, nally, compact structures. W ith a few exceptions this rule is obeyed for = 4:5, while it is strictly followed for = 10. For a m ore detailed presentation we refer to [41].

Before we present and discuss the sequences of MECs in detail we brie y outline how we can take bene t from the fact that for this particular system g^2 is a linear function of P[?]. Our search algorithm is sketched in F igure 2. In a rst step, we determ ine the intersection point of the two straight lines in the $(g^2; P^2)$ -diagram which rep-



FIG.2: Schematic representation of our search strategy to identify MECs in the $(g^2; P^2)$ -plane. The dotted lines represent g^2 as a function of P^2 for the limiting low and high pressure con gurations: The vertical arrows represent GA-runs that identify, starting from an initial guess (dot), an energetically more favorable MEC (square). For details see text.

resent the high and the low pressure limiting cases; let the corresponding pressure value be $P_{[1]}^{?}$. At this state point we perform a sequence of GA searches. In each of these runs we consider a di erent num ber of basis atom s. where the maximum number of basis particles depends on the value of . This optimization step leads to a new particle con guration which is characterized by a G ibbs free energy $g^{?}_{[1]}$ that is low er than the one of the intersection point, by a density $\frac{?}{[1]}$, and by an energy $e^{?}_{[1]}$. Thus this particle arrangem ent is at given pressure P $_{[1]}^{?}$ the energetically most favorable one. $e_{[1]}^2$ and $e_{[1]}^2$ define a new line in the (q[?]; P[?])-plane; we determ ine the two intersection points of this line with the two lines representing the limiting con qurations leading to the pressure values P $_{[2a]}^{?}$ and P $_{[2b]}^{?}$. At these two state points we launch new GA searches. This procedure is repeated until at none of the intersection points of an iteration step an energetically m ore favorable particle arrangem ent can be identi ed. On one side this procedure avoids a rather time-consuming scan of the pressure range on a nite grid and thus brings along a considerable reduction of the number of GA steps and, consequently, of the com putational e ort; on the other side this strategy avoids the risk of simply 'forgetting' MECs. Both features becom e m ore and m ore im portant with increasing shoulder width, since the distribution of MECs over the whole pressure range is highly nonlinear, as can be seen in Figures 3, 5, and 7.

This system atic search strategy, in combination with the reliability of GA-based optim izations, make us con-

5

dent that the sequences of MECs that we shall present in the following, are complete.

1. Short should width (= 1:5)

The phase diagram (i.e., g^2 and e^2 as functions of P^2) for the square-shoulder system with = 1.5 is depicted in Figure 3; the corresponding ordered equilibrium struc-

FIG.3: g^2 and e^2 as functions of P² for the ordered equilibrium structures identi ed for the square-shoulder system with = 1.5, as labeled. The dotted lines indicate the low and high pressure limiting con gurations (see text). The identi ed lattices are indicated by standard abbreviations (see table I), including, if required, the number of basis particles; see also gure 4.

tures are compiled in Figure 4, except for the trivial low and high pressure structures, where particles arrange in any close-packed crystal structure, which we take to be fcc (see discussion above). Further num erical details about the seven identi ed MECs are compiled in Table II. A though we have considered in our search strategy lattices with up to eight basis particles, only lattices with at most two basis particles were identied. The limiting low pressure con guration is characterized by $\vec{e} = 0$ and ? = $\overline{2} = \frac{3}{5}$ ' 0:629. Further, since = 1:5 is slightly larger than 2, we are above the threshold value (cf. discussion in subsection IV A) where the hard cores of the particles form a close-packed structure and only the coronae of nearest neighboring particles overlap; thus $e^2 = 9$ and $? = \frac{12}{2}$.

As we start our search in the low-pressure regime, the rst non-trivial structure we encounter is a body centered orthorhom bic (bco) structure [Figure 4(1)]. A m ore detailed consideration identi es this particle arrangement as a columnar structure: particles form lanes along which the hard cores are in direct contact. W hile, of course, these lanes lead to an intra-columnar shoulder

FIG. 4: V isualization of the non-trivial ordered equilibrium structures for the square-shoulder system with = 1.5. Structures are characterized by standard abbreviations (see table I) and their respective e^2 -value. Color code: green { particles at the corner positions of the conventional unit cell; red { particles at body-or face-centered positions; blue { additional basis particles.

overlap along the lanes, any other inter-colum nar overlap is avoided; consequently, $e^2 = 1$. Sim ple geom etric considerations reveal that the edge lengths of the conventional bco unit cell have the following values: , , and $\frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{1}$.

The avoidance of inter-colum nar shoulder overlap has to be sacri ced as the pressure is further increased, leading to a rather com pact structure: we identify a face centered orthorhom bic (fco) lattice with an additional basis particle (color code: blue) [cf. Figure 4(2)]. This particle is in direct hard core contact with its four nearest neighbors: three of them are located at the faces (color code: red) and one sits at the corner (color code: green) of the conventional unit cell. Furtherm ore, this particle is separated by a distance from its second nearest neighbor (color code: green) which occupies another corner of the conventional orthorhom bic unit cell. Finally, particles at the sm allest faces of the cell (color code: red) are positioned in such a way that their shoulders touch the shoulders of the particles located at the corners of

6

the corresponding face of the conventional orthorhom – bic unit cell (color code: green). These considerations $p \frac{x \text{ the edge lengths of the unit cell to be } {2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2}$, $p \frac{x^2 + 2}{2^2 + 2}$, and $2^2 \frac{4}{4} \frac{2}{2}$.

As we further increase the pressure the particles arrange in a MEC that can be identi ed as a lam ellar structure, cf. Figure 4 (3a). It can be described as a stacking of hexagonally close-packed layers which are placed exactly on top of each other. The nearest neighbor distance is obviously . The second nearest neighbors are separated by the inter-layer distance which is xed by the requirement that the corona of a tagged particle touches the shoulders of its twelve third nearest neighbors, located in the adjacent layers. Thus, this distance am ounts to 1 1:12 . It should be pointed out that particles in nearest and second nearest neighbor distance form a rectangular particle arrangem ent [em phasized in Figure 4(3b)] that will also be encountered in the subsequent MECs: if we consider within a layer two particles in close contact, then they form with the corresponding particles of one of the adjacent layers a rectangle with edge lengths and $\frac{2}{2}$ 1 .

For even higher pressure values only compact structures are identi ed. The next MEC can be described as a single face centered orthorhom bic (sfco) lattice with two basis particles, visualized in Figure 4(4a). The orthombic unit cell is built up by two side faces that have exactly the aforem entioned rectangular shape [form ed by particles in green, emphasized in Figure 4 (4b)], while the two larger side faces are each decorated in their center by an additional particle (color code: red). Finally, the additional basis particles (color code: blue) are located in such a way, that they are in direct contact both with the four particles form ing the side faces as well. as with the two red particles located in the other side faces. Simple geometric considerations lead to the edge lengths of the orthorhom bic cell, namely: , ² 1, and $(\bar{3} +$ ²) 4

The last non-trivial compact structure is a body centered orthorhom bic lattice [emphasized in Figure 4(5a)]. A gain, we can easily identify the side faces of the conventional unit cellas the abovementioned rectangular structure [see Figure 4(5b)]. In addition, the central particle is in direct hard core contact with the particles forming the unit cell. Based on these geometrical considerations the edgeplengths of the unit cell can easily be identied to be $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{4}$.

2. Interm ediate shoulder width (= 4:5)

A much larger diversity in the ordered equilibrium structures could be identi ed for an interm ediate shoulder width of = 4.5. The limiting low pressure MEC is of course again an fcc structure with a nearest neighbor distance and, hence, $e^2 = 0.0$ n the other hand, the high-density limiting particle con guration is an hcp lattice with $e^2 = 263$ (see Figure 1 and discussion in subsec-

tion IV A). W ith the help of the GA we have obtained in total 33 di erent MECs over the entire pressure regime. In our investigations unit cells with up to 10 basis particles have been considered, in the end only con gurations with up to 8 basis particles were part of the MECs.

A rst bok at these MECs gives evidence that within this sequence of MECs we can easily identify the aforementioned four structural archetypes: at low pressure, the system prefers to form cluster structures; with increasing pressure, columnar structures are formed, which then transform into lamellar particle arrangements; nally, at high pressure, we observe compact structures. This rule, which is disobeyed only twice for = 4.5, can nicely be understood via a detailed analysis of the particle arrangements, recting the system's strategy to reduce at a given pressure the number of overlapping coronae as much as possible (i.e., minimizing $e^{?}$) while maximizing at the same time the particle density.

Numerical details about these ordered structures are summarized in Table III. The phase diagram for the square-shoulder system with = 4.5 is depicted in Figure 5. It also contains information to which class of the four archetypes a particular MEC belongs. Finally, the horizontal bar at the bottom of the gure indicates those MECs where no direct contact between the cores of the particles occurs.

FIG.5: g^2 and e^2 as functions of P[?] on a double logarithm ic scale for the ordered equilibrium structure identi ed for the square-shoulder system with = 4.5, as labeled; note that due to the non-linear scale, the linear dependence between g^2 and P[?] is no longer visible. The structural archetypes to which a given MEC belongs (cluster, colum nar, lam ellar, or com pact structure) are speci ed by a sym bol (as labeled). The black horizontal bar at the bottom of the gure indicates those ordered particle arrangements where no direct contact between the cores of the particles is observed.

At low pressure-values (i.e., up to P[?] / 1:70) particles prefer to arrange in ordered clusters of up to eight particles, which, in turn, populate the positions of crystal

lattices. A closer analysis of these structures reveals a strong interplay between the shape of the clusters and of the symmetry of the unit cell: the more aspherical the clusters are the lower is the symmetry of the lattice. This tendency re ects the system 's e orts to avoid to a highest possible degree a shoulder overlap of neighboring clusters. A nice visualization of this strategy can, for instance, be observed in the structure depicted in Figure 6(5): the rather elongated four-particle clusters are located on a low-symmetry triclinic lattice; on the other hand, the nearly spherically shaped eight-particle clusters of the structure depicted in Figure 6(8) populate the lattice positions of a bco structure, that has a considerably higher symmetry. A systematic, quantitative analysis of all cluster structures reveals that for most of these MECs only rarely shoulder overlaps of neighboring clusters are observed. A nice example that dem onstrates the complexity of cluster structures is depicted in Figure 6(4). In this MEC we can identify two di erent sorts of clusters: tetrahedral clusters occupy the corners of a triclinic lattice, while the other four-particle cluster species populates a central position in the body of the triclinic œll.

As the pressure is further increased there is a drastic change in the system 's strategy to arrange particles, namely the formation of columnar structures, where particles self-organize in lanes. This leads to a considerable energetic penalty, since { due to the short inter-particle distance within the columns { an appreciable number of overlapping shoulders is induced; at the same time a rather high particle density is guaranteed along these lanes which, in turn, contributes to e reduction in the G ibbs free energy. Simultaneously, the system tries to compensate for this high energetic cost within the lanes by arranging these columns in such a way as to minim ize the inter-colum nar shoulder overlap. This strategy leads rst to the form ation of single-colum nar structures [as depicted in Figure 6(7)], and later, as the pressure is increased, to double-colum nar particle arrangem ents [cf. Figure 6 (9{11)]. We point out that within the lanes particles are only in direct contact at su ciently high pressure; in double-colum nar structures, particles of adjacent colum ns are always in direct contact. The system 's strategy to avoid shoulder overlap between the lanes can nicely be traced in a closer analysis: for the structures depicted in Figures 6 (7,9,10), no corona overlap between the single or double strands is observed; only at su ciently high pressure { cf. Figure 6(11) { the coronae of di erent neighboring double colum ns start to overlap.

For pressures values above $P^{?}$ & 3, the system has to search for new ideas of how to minimize the G ibbs free energy. Now the change to a new strategy is considerably smoother than the preceding one: in an e ort to cope with the increasing pressure the system forms lamellar structures { cf. Figures 6 (12 {21}) and Figure 6 (24). These MECs emerge from columnar structures as the columns approach each other, form ing thereby lamellae; some intermediate stages of this transition can be

FIG. 6: V isualization of all 33 ordered equilibrium structures for the square-shoulder system with = 4:5. Structures are characterized by standard abbreviations (see table I) and their respective e^2 -value. Color code: green { particles at the corner positions of the conventional unit cell; red { particles at body-or face-centered positions; blue { additional basis particles. The shoulders of the yellow particles in panel (17) touch the ones of the other yellow particles, located in the neighboring layers.

observed in Figure 6(12) and Figure 6(15). W ithin the lam ellar structure the system 's strategy is obvious. First optim ize the packing inside a layer, leading to hexagonal particle arrangem ents inside a lam ella: while at low pressure values [Figures 6(13,14)] particles are more loosely packed, they are forced to form a nearly hexagonally close-packed structure with a nearest neighbor distance of' 1:03 at higher pressure [Figures 6(16,17)]. Particular attention should be dedicated to the latter structure: the three neighboring, parallel planes depicted in Figure 6(17) are not equally spaced; the two di erent em erging inter-lam ellar distances are rather governed by the fact that the shoulders of the particles marked in yellow located in the three neighboring layers touch. If the possibility for optim izing the packing within a single lam ella has been exhausted, the system starts to form double layers [cf. Figures 6 (18 {21)] or even triple layers [cf. Figure 6(24)]. A closer analysis of the double-layer structure reveals a very complex strategy which we try to explain as follows. We consider two pairs of neighboring doublelayers. On one hand we observe shoulder overlap between single layers (belonging to di erent pairs) that face each other: for instance, in Figure 6 (19), the layer form ed by blue particles in the left-most layer pair and the layer form ed by red particles in the central layer pair; on the other hand the distance between pairs of layers is chosen in such a way as to avoid shoulder overlap of single layers that do not face each other: for instance, in Figure 6(19), the layers form ed by green particles and the layers form ed by red particles. These observations turn out to be valid for all double layer structures that have been identi ed for this particular shoulder width.

Finally, we enter for high pressure values the regime of compact structures, characterized, in general, by a large number of nearest neighbors. In most of these MECs direct core contact is avoided (cf. horizontal bar in Figure 5), only in the high-pressure regime, where a ct-lattice [cf. Figure 6(32)] and, nally, an hcp-structure [the limiting case for = 4.5, see Figure 6(33)] are the respective MECs, the cores are in direct contact. A gain, with simple geometric considerations, the system's strategy to form MECs can be traced back to avoiding unnecessary shoulder overlap while maxim izing the particle density.

3. Large shoulder width (= 10)

Finally, we consider the case of a large shoulder width for which we have chosen = 10. Now the hard core region is relatively small with respect to the shoulder range. Thus at low densities the core plays a minor role and the system becomes closely related to the penetrable sphere model (PSM) [43]. The PSM belongs to a class of soft matter systems where particles are able to solidify in so called cluster phases [44], i.e., where stable clusters of particles form, which, in turn, populate the positions of periodic lattices. Evidence for this particular phase behavior has been given in density functional based investigations and in computer simulations for the PSM [45] and via purely theoretical considerations, combined with computer simulations for a closely related model potential [27, 28, 46, 47]. A swewill show below, such cluster phases can also be observed for the square-shoulder system at low pressure values where the hard cores of the particles still have a negligible e ect on the properties of the system.

Since the MECs are expected to be rather complex we have considered up to 29 basis particles in our GA based search strategy, up to 22 appeared in the MECs. In total we have identi ed as much as 47 MECs, i.e., a relatively large num ber which makes a detailed discussion and interpretation of the structures in possible. The therm odynamic properties of all these MECs are displayed in Figure 7. We point out that the high pressure limiting con guration is a hcp lattice with $\hat{e} = 2947$. For the case

FIG. 7: g^2 and e^2 as functions of P^2 on a double logarithm ic scale for the ordered equilibrium structures identi ed for the square-shoulder system with = 10, as labeled; note that due to the non-linear scale, the linear dependence between g^2 and P^2 is no longer visible. The structural archetypes to which a given MEC belongs (cluster, colum nar, lam ellar, or com pact structure) are speci ed by a sym bol (as labeled). O rdered equilibrium structures m arked by crosses are visualized in Figure 8.

= 10 the rule for the sequence of structural archetypes (cluster { columnar { lam ellar - compact structures) is strictly obeyed (see symbols in Figure 7).

A s expected cluster structures em erge at low pressure values. A few examples of the ten cluster structures that have been identi ed are depicted in Figures8 (1{3). The clusters can contain as m any as 22 particles [e.g., in the structure in depicted in Figure 8(3)] and are arranged in complex structures. An example for a typical cluster is depicted in Figure 8(2); in general the intra-cluster arrangem ent of the particles turns out to be irregular.

At P? ' 0:76 the transition to the colum nar structures occurs. The relatively large shoulder width allows for a

(1) fcc, $e^* = 3/2$ (2) sfcm, $e^* = 6$; single cluster (enlarged) (3) tric, $e^* = 140/11$ (4) sm, $e^* = 24$

FIG. 8: V isualization of a selection of the 47 ordered equilibrium structures for the square-shoulder system with = 10. Structures are characterized by standard abbreviations (see table I) and their respective e^2 -value. C obr code: green { particles at the corner positions of the conventional unit cell; red { particles at body- or face-centered positions; blue { additional basis particles.

large variety of ∞ hum nar m orphologies, including multicolum nar arrangem ents or com plex helical ∞ hum ns { cf. Figures 8(4{6}. In Figure 8(5) a side view of a single ∞ hum n gives evidence of its com plex internal structure. Ten basis particles were required to param eterize this MEC; a single ∞ hum n can be considered to be built up by a sequence of aligned clusters. We point out that also in experiment helical colum ns were have been observed for a particular class of colloidal particles [23]. Examples for multi-colum nar arrangem ents are the triple-colum ns displayed in Figure 8(4), or the MEC shown in Figure 8(6): here six parallel single-colum ns that are nearly in close contact are aligned in parallel to built the sixfold-colum n, as can be seen from the right-m ost colum n, where the direction of projection has been chosen to be parallel to the colum nar axis.

M ost of the MECs identied for the case = 10 have lam ellar character: in total we have identied as much as 28 lam ellar MECs. Again, we observe a similar strategy as the one identied for = 4.5: rst the particle arrangement within the single layer structures is optimized; then, if this possibility for close-packed arrangements is exhausted, multi-layer structures are formed. The large shoulder width is responsible both for the large interlayer distance as well as the close contact within groups of lam ellae: O ne has the impression that the large range of the shoulder compacti es adjacent layers, bringing them in direct contact, while maxim izing at the same time the distance between these groups of layers [cf. Figures 8 (8 { 12)].

Finally, we enter the regime of compact structures. Since they resemble very closely those MECs that have been identied for = 4.5, we do not present them here.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this contribution we have thoroughly investigated the phase diagram of the square-shoulder system at T = 0, taking into account a short, an intermediate, and a large shoulder width. M easuring the range of the corona in terms of (where is the hard core diam eter) we have assumed the following speci c values for the three = 1:5, = 4:5, and = 10. Investigating cases: the system in the NPT ensemble we have searched for ordered particle con qurations that m in im ize the G ibbs free energy; this means that the internal energy is minim ized while the particle density is simultaneously maxim ized. These particle arrangem ents have been identi ed by means of a search strategy that is based on ideas of genetic algorithm s. W ith this reliable, exible, and e cient optim ization toolathand and taking bene tof the simple functional form of the inter-particle potential, which considerably facilitates both num erical calculations as well as geom etrical interpretations, we give evidence that the sequences of emerging particle con gurations of m in im um energy are com plete.

rst look on the total of con gurations gives clear Α indications that the form ation of the particle arrangements follows well-de ned rules as the pressure is increased: while at low pressure values the system prefers to form clusters, which, in turn, populate the positions of low-sym m etry lattices, we encounter at m edium pressure values colum nar and then lam ellar structures. As a rule of the thum b we found, the distances between clusters, colum ns and lam ellae are always roughly equal to the shoulder width. Finally, at high pressure values, rather com pact particle con gurations are identied, that are in general characterized by a large num ber of nearest neighbors. W hile this rule for the structural ordering m ight be still less obvious for sm all values of , it becom es m ore apparent with increasing range of the corona: at the interm ediate -value of 4:5, it is disobeyed only at two occasions, and for = 10 the ordered particle con gurations fully match this rule.

The large variety of ordered equilibrium con gurations that have been identi ed is overwhelm ing. It represents an impressive example of the capacity and propensity of soft matter particles to self-organize in highly non-trivial structures. For dem onstration we pick out two particular examples: rst a cluster structure, where the clusters are com posed of 22 particles, which, in turn, populate the positions of a triclinic lattice; second a colum nar structure, where the particles align in a com plex, helical colum n.

The particular shape of the repulsive shoulder, i.e., its at energetic plateau in combination with the wellde ned range of the corona, provides in addition the unique possibility to understand the system's strategy to form these complex structures. A detailed structural and energetical analysis of the emerging con gurations reveals that the shoulder width plays the dom inant role in this process; however, also the hard core can have considerable in uence on the structure form at ion since it. represents a lower boundary to inter-particle distances. Thus, this study can be viewed as a pedagogical exam ple which provides a deeper insight why particles arrange at a given state point in a particular structure, a know edge, which m ight be helpful in the investigations of selfassembly processes of systems with more complex interparticle potentials.

W hat are the next steps? The most obvious extension should be directed tow ards the investigations of the phase diagram at nite tem perature, i.e., one should address the question which of these con gurations will 'survive' at T > 0. The theoretical route to this answer is quite straightforward: one has to 'sim ply' m erge the proposed search strategy with a suitable method to evaluate the therm odynamic properties of the system at a nite tem perature. The obvious candidate to evaluate the thermodynamic properties of the system is of course classicaldensity functional theory [48]. However, we have to raise im m ediately two serious concerns. First, no reliable density functional form at for the square-shoulder system is available at present; treating, alternatively, the hard core within a suitable fundam ental measure theory form at (as, e.g., the one proposed in [49]) and considering the shoulder by a mean-eld type perturbative approach, risks to provide data that are not su ciently accurate to reliably distinguish between energetically competitive structures. Second, in search strategies based on ideas of genetic algorithms, the evaluation of the tness function for an individual represents the num erical bottleneck in this approach. A coording to our experience, a combination of classical density functional theory with this particular search strategy m ight still be too tim e-consum ing for present-day com puters. A lternatively to the theoretical route one might of course perform computer simulations and we point out that recently investigations in this direction have been performed on a related system [50].

A cknow ledgem ents

The authors are indebted to Julia Fornleitner and Dieter Gottwald (both W ien) for stimulating discussions and for computational aid. Financial support by the Austrian Science Foundation under Proj. Nos. W 004, P17823-N 08, and P19890-N 16 is gratefully acknow ledged.

- P.C.Hemmer and G.Stell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 1284 (1970).
- [2] J.M. Kincaid, G. Stell, and C.K. Hall, J. Chem. Phys. 65, 2161 (1976).
- [3] J.M.K incaid, G.Stell, and E.Goldmark, J.Chem. Phys. 65, 2172 (1976).
- [4] C.Rascon, E.Velasco, L.M ederos, and G.Navascues, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 6689 (1997).
- [5] P.Bolhuis and D.Frenkel, J.Phys.: Condens. M atter 9, 381 (1997).
- [6] A. Lang, C. N. Likos, M. W atzlawek, and H. Lowen, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 12, 5087 (2000).
- [7] E. Velasco, L. M ederos, G. Navascues, P. C. Hemmer, and G. Stell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 122 (2000).
- [8] E.Jagla, Phys. Rev. E 58, 1478 (1998).
- [9] E.Jagla, J.Chem. Phys. 110, 451 (1999).
- [10] M.R.Sadr-Lahijany, A.Scala, S.V.Buldyrev, and H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4895 (1998).
- [11] E.A. Jagla, J. Chem. Phys. 111, 8980 (1999).
- [12] Z. Yan, S. V. Buldyrev, N. Giovam battista, P. G. Debenedetti, and H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev. E 73, 051204 (2006).
- [13] P.Kumar, S.V.Buldyrev, F.Sciortino, E.Zaccarelli, and H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev. E 72, 021501 (2005).
- [14] Z.Yan, S.V. Buldyrev, N.G iovam battista, and H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 130604 (2005).
- [15] G.Malescio and G.Pellicane, Nat.Mater.2, 97 (2003).
- [16] G. M alescio and G. Pellicane, Phys. Rev. E 70, 021202 (2004).
- [17] M. Glaser, G. Grason, R. Kamien, A. Kosm rlj, C. Santangelo, and P. Ziherl, Europhys. Lett. 78, 46004 (2007).
- [18] J. Fornleitner and G. Kahl, Europhys. Lett. 82, 18001 (2008).
- [19] G. J. Pauschenwein and G. Kahl, Soft M atter 4, 1396 (2008).
- [20] P.Ziherland R.Kamien, J.Phys.Chem. B 105, 10147 (2001).
- [21] Y. Norizoe and T. Kawakatsu, Europhys. Lett. 72, 583 (2005).
- [22] C. Pierleoni, C. Addison, J.-P. Hansen, and V. Krakoviack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 128302 (2006).
- [23] A. Campbell, V. Anderson, J. van Duijneveldt, and P.Bartlett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 208301 (2005).
- [24] P.Camp, Phys.Rev.E 68, 061506 (2003).
- [25] A. de Candia, E. D. Gado, A. Fierro, N. Sator, M. Tarzia, and A. Coniglio, Phys. Rev. E 74, 010403 (R) (2006).
- [26] A. Stradner, H. Sedgwick, F. Cardinaux, W. C. K. Poon, S.U. Egelhaaf, and P. Schurtenberger, Nature 432, 492 (2004).
- [27] B. M ladek, D. Gottwald, G. Kahl, M. Neumann, and C. Likos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 045701 (2006).
- [28] B. M ladek, D. Gottwald, G. Kahl, M. Neumann, and C.Likos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 019901 (2006); erratum to [27].
- [29] J. Holland, Adaption in Natural and Articial Systems (The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1975).
- [30] S.M.Woodley, P.D.Battle, J.D.Gale, and C.R.A. Catlow, Phys.Chem. Chem. Phys. 1, 2535 (1999).
- [31] A.R.Oganov and C.W.G lass, J.Chem. Phys. 124, 244704 (2006).
- [32] A.R.Oganov and C.W.G lass, J.Phys.: Condens.M at.

20,064210 (2008).

- [33] D. Gottwald, C. Likos, G. Kahl, and H. Lowen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 068301 (2004).
- [34] D.Gottwald, G.Kahl, and C.Likos, J.Chem. Phys. 122, 204503 (2005).
- [35] D.Gottwald, C.Likos, G.Kahl, and H.Lowen, J.Chem. Phys. 122, 074903 (2005).
- [36] J. Fornleitner, F. Lo Verso, G. Kahl, and C. N. Likos, Soft M atter 4, 480 (2008).
- [37] G.J.Pauschenwein and G.Kahl, to be published (2008).
- [38] M.J.D.Powell, Comput.J.7, 152 (1964).
- [39] Note that the formation of non-trivial close-packed arrangements is also reported for certain rare earth elements (page 79 in [40]).
- [40] N.W. A shcroft and N.D.Mermin, Solid State Physics (Saunders College Publishing, Harcourt Brace College Publishers, Fort Worth, Philadelphia, San Diego, New York, Orlando, Austin, San Antonio, Toronto, Montreal, London, Sydney, Tokyo, 1976).
- [41] G. J. Pauschenwein, PhD. thesis, Institut fur Theoretische Physik, TU W ien (2008).
- [42] This choice is motivated by the fact that { although the stacking sequence for fcc is longer than the one for hcp { the crystallographic description for the fcc requires only one basis particle, while for the hcp structure it is a nonsim ple one.
- [43] C.N.Likos, M.W atzlawek, and H.Lowen, Phys. Rev.E 58, 3135 (1998).
- [44] C. N. Likos, A. Lang, M. W atzlawek, and H. Lowen, Phys. Rev. E 63, 31206 (2001).
- [45] G.Falkinger, B.M ladek, D.G ottwald, and G.K ahl, submitted to J.Phys.: Condens. M at. (2008).
- [46] C.N.Likos, B.M. M ladek, D.Gottwald, and G.Kahl, J.Chem. Phys. 126, 224502 (2007).
- [47] B.M. M ladek, D.G ottwald, G.K ahl, M.N eum ann, and C.N.Likos, J.Phys.Chem. B 111, 12799 (2008).
- [48] R. Evans, Fundam entals of Inhom ogeneous Fluids (M arcelDekker, New York, 1992), chap. 3, pp. 85{175.
- [49] R.Roth, R.Evans, A.Lang, and G.Kahl, J.Phys.: Condens. M at. 14, 12063 (2002).
- [50] Y.D.Fom in, N.V.Gribova, V.N.Ryzhov, D.Frenkel, and S.M.Stishov, ArX iv cond-m at 612586 (2006).

TABLE I: Standard abbreviations for the 14 B ravais lattices used in the text and the captions. The seven crystal systems are separated by double lines.

B ravais lattice	abbreviation
sim ple cubic	SC
body centered cubic	bœ
face centered cubic	fcc
hexagonal	hex
trigonal (rhom bohedral)	trig
sim ple tetragonal	st
centered tetragonal	ct
sim ple orthorhom bic	SO
single face centered orthorhom bic	sfco
body centered orthorhom bic	bco
face centered orthorhom bic	fco
sim ple m onoclinic	SM
single face centered m onoclinic	sfan
triclinic	tric

TABLE II:N um ericaldetails of the ordered equilibrium structures identi ed for the square-shoulder system with = 1:5: the underlying lattice is characterized by the according abbreviation (cf. Table I), n_b is the number of basis particles required to describe the MEC.e² and ² are the energy per particle and particle density, respectively. Since the MECs can be interpreted on the basis of geom etric considerations, ² can be given in closed, analytic expressions.

lattice	n _b	e?	?		
fcc	1	0	<u>8 2</u> 27	′	0:419
bco	1	1	3 ²³	′	0 : 556
fco	2	2	p 16 455	′	0 : 750
hex	1	4	p 4 15	′	1:03
sfco	2	5	$\frac{p \frac{16}{2} p}{2 \frac{15}{15} + 35}$	′	1:17
bco	1	7	$\frac{p^8}{p^{35}}$	′	1:35
fcc	1	9	P <u>-</u> 2	'	1:41

TABLE III: Numerical details of the ordered equilibrium structures identi ed for the square-shoulder system with = 4:5: the underlying lattice is characterized by the according abbreviation (cf. Table I), n_b is the number of basis particles required to describe the MEC.e² and ² are the energy per particle and particle density, respectively. The abbreviation in the third row indicates to which of the four archetypes the MEC belongs (cluster, colum nar, kam ellar, com pact). C losed, algebraic expressions for ² can be derived, but are not presented here due to space limitations. For details cf. [41].

lattice	$n_{\rm b}$	shape	e?	?
fcc	1	clu	0	0:0155
sm	2	clu	1=2	0:0235
sfam	3	clu	1	0:0291
tric	8	clu (4)	3=2	0:0343
tric	4	clu	7=4	0:0369
tric	6	clu	5=2	0:0454
bco	1	œl	3	0:0512
bco	8	clu	35=8	0:0638
tric	2	œl	13=2	0 : 0799
SM	2	œl	8	0:0923
tric	2	œl	21=2	0:104
bco	1	lam /col	15	0:135
trig	1	lam	18	0:154
tric	2	lam	20	0:168
tric	2	lam (col)	49=2	0:198
trig	2	lam	30	0:243
trig	2	lam	61=2	0:245
ct	2	lam	77=2	0:283
sfam	2	lam	56	0:383
SM	2	lam	58	0:394
fco	2	lam	143=2	0:484
bcc	1	com	90	0:567
ct	1	com	96	0:594
tric	3	lam	337=3	0 : 677
trig	1	com	115	0 : 692
SC	1	com	128	0 : 763
hex	1	com	138	0:811
bcc	1	com	169	0:997
ct	1	com	180	1:05
sfam	1	com	210	1:21
fcc	1	com	229	1:29
ct	1	com	243	1:34
hợp	2	com	263	1:41