## arXiv:0808.0950v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 7 Aug 2008

## Tunable pure spin currents in a triple-quantum -dot ring

Weijjang Gong, Yisong Zheng, and Tianquan Lu

Department of physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130023, People's Republic of China

(D ated: February 20, 2024)

E lectron transport properties in a triple-quantum -dot ring with three term inals are theoretically studied. By introducing local Rashba spin-orbit interaction on an individual quantum dot, we calculate the charge and spin currents in one lead. We not that a pure spin current appears in the absence of a magnetic eld. The polarization direction of the spin current can be inverted by altering the bias voltage. In addition, by tuning the magnetic eld strength, the charge and spin currents reach their respective peaks alternately.

PACS numbers: 73.63 Kv, 71.70 Ej, 72.25.-b, 85.75.-d

O ne of the central issue in spintronics is how to realize the spin accumulation and spin transport in nanodevices. Recently, there has been many theoretical proposals to achieve the pure spin current without an accompanying charge current in mesoscopic systems, such as the use of spin Halle ects,<sup>1,2</sup> optical spin orientation by linearly polarized light,<sup>3,4</sup> adiabatic or nonadiabatic spin pumping in metals and sem iconductors,<sup>5,6</sup> generation in three-term inal spin devices.<sup>7</sup> Am ong these schemes, spin-orbit (SO) coupling is exploited to inuences the electron spin state. In particular, in low-dimensional structures Rashba SO interaction comes into play by introducing an electric potential to destroy the symm etry of space inversion in an arbitrary spatial direction.<sup>8,9</sup> T hus, by virtue of the Rashba interaction, electric control and manipulation of the electronic spin state is feasible.<sup>10,11,12,13,14</sup>

In this Letter, we introduce R ashba interaction to act locally on one component quantum dot(QD) of a triple-QD ring with three term inals. Our theoretical investigation indicates that it is possible to form the pure spin current in one of the three leads even in the absence of a magnetic eld. And the polarization direction of the spin current can be inverted by altering the bias voltage.

The structure that we consider is illustrated in Fig.1. The single-particle H am iltonian for an electron in such a structure can be written as  $H_s = H_0 + H_{so} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(r) + H_{so}$  where, accompanying the kinetic energy term  $\frac{p^2}{2m}$ , the electron con ned potential V(r) denes the structure geometry; And  $H_{so} = \frac{q}{2m}$  [(^ p) + (^ p)] denotes the local Rashba SO coupling on QD-2 (QD-j represents the QD with a single-particle level"<sub>j</sub> shown in Fig.1(a)). We select the basis set f<sub>kj</sub>; j g(j=1,2,3) to second-quantize the H am iltonian. The wavefunctions j and <sub>kj</sub> have the physical meaning of the orbital eigenstates of the isolated QD and leads, in the absence of Rashba interaction, where kj indicates the continuum state in lead-j.

with = "; # denotes the eigenstates of P auli spin operator  $^{2}$ .

The second-quantized H am iltonian consists of three parts:  $H_s = H_c + H_d + H_t$ .

$$H_{c} = X = \prod_{\substack{j \neq j \\ j \neq j}} Y_{k_{j}} q_{k_{j}} q_{k_{j}} ;$$

$$H_{d} = X^{3} = \prod_{\substack{j \neq 1 \\ j=1;}} Y_{j} q_{j}^{y} q_{j} + \prod_{\substack{l=1;\\l=1;}} T_{l} q_{l+1}^{y} q_{l+1} + r_{1} (q_{l\#}^{y} q_{l+1})$$

$$H_{t} = X = V_{j} q_{j}^{y} q_{k_{j}} + H x;$$

$$H_{t} = X = V_{j} q_{j}^{y} q_{k_{j}} + H x;$$
(1)

where  $c_{k_j}^y$  and  $d_j^y$  ( $c_{k_j}$  and  $d_j$ ) are the creation (annihilation) operators corresponding to the basis states in lead-j and QD-j. "<sub>kj</sub> is the single-particle level in lead-j. V<sub>j</sub> = h<sub>j</sub>  $H_s j_{k_j}$  i denotes QD-lead hopping am plitude. The interdot hopping am plitude, written as  $t_1 = t_1$  is q(l = 1;2), has two contributions:  $t_1 = h_1 J_i j_{j+1}$  is the ordinary transfer integral intelevant to the Rashba interaction;  $s_1 = i_1 j_{j+1} j_{k_j} j_{k_j}$ 

W ithout loss of generality, we assume that each QD connest the electron by an isotropic harmonic potential  $\frac{1}{2}m$   $!_0r^2$ ; and the three QD s are positioned on a circle equidistantly. Then by a straightforward derivation, we nd some rough relationships between the relevant parameters in the above H am illonian:  $t_1 = t_2$ ,  $s_1 = s_2$ ,  $r_1 = t_2$ , and  $j_2 = j_1 j'$   $\sim t_1$ , where  $\sim = 1$  m = (3 - 3! 0), is a dimensionless R ashba coe cient. Following

these relations we can rew rite the interdot hopping am plitude in an alternative form :  $t_1 = t_1^p \overline{1 + \cdot \cdot^2} e^{i} = t_0 e^{i}$  with ' = tan<sup>1</sup> ~. Thus, just three independent parameters,  $t_0$ , ~ and the magnetic phase factor , are needed to characterize the interdot hopping. It should be noted that the Rashba interaction brings about a spin dependent phase factor '.

We now proceed to study the electronic transport through this QD ring. By m eans of the G reen function technique, at zero temperature the electron current with a specic spin in an arbitrary lead, say lead-1, can be expressed as<sup>15</sup>

$$J_{1} = \frac{e}{h} \frac{X}{j^{0}} d! T_{1} ; j^{0} \circ (!);$$
(2)

where  $T_{1}_{j^{0}} \circ (!) = {}_{1}G_{1}^{r}_{j^{0}} \circ (!) {}_{j^{0}}G_{j^{0}}^{a}_{j^{0}} \circ (!)$  denotes the transm ission probability between spin-<sup>0</sup> electron in lead-j<sup>0</sup> and spin- electron in lead-1.  ${}_{j} = 2 {}_{j} y_{j} {}_{j}^{2} {}_{j} (!)$ , associated with the density of states in lead-j  ${}_{j} (!)$ , can be usually regarded as a constant if  ${}_{j}$  is a slow-varying function in the energy scale as far as the electron transport is concerned.<sup>16</sup> G<sup>r</sup> and G<sup>a</sup>, the retarded and advanced G reen functions, are 6 6 m atrixes for the triple-QD ring. They have the relationship  $[G^{r}] = [G^{a}]^{v}$ . From the equation-ofm otion m ethod, we obtain the retarded G reen function m atrix,

_						<sup>r</sup> ] <sup>1</sup> =	G
3	0	r,	0	te <sup>i</sup>	ħ.,	a. <sup>1</sup>	2
7	r.	0	r	5- 5-	a. <sup>1</sup>	51 t	6
7	- <u>2</u>	~	т 0	~ <sup>1</sup>	92 +	4" + o <sup>i</sup>	Ğ
4	0	<u>r</u> 2	1	9 <sub>3</sub>	۳ ځا	gе	ğ
2	ţe ∸	⊈# 1	$g_1^{-1}$	0	ŋ	0	Ğ
С	¢#	$g_2^{\perp}$	ţ#	Ę	0	$r_1$	4
	$g_3^1$	ţ#	ţei	0	$r_2$	0	
	0 te <sup>i</sup> t <sup>g</sup> # g <sub>3</sub> <sup>1</sup>	r2 t2# g2 <sup>1</sup> t2#	0 g1 <sup>1</sup> t# te <sup>i</sup>	g <sub>3</sub> - 0 1 <u>5</u> 0	5" 1 0 r <sub>2</sub>	tge 0 r <sub>1</sub> 0	00004

In the above expression,  $g_j$  is the G reen function of QD -junperturbed by the other QDs and in the absence of Rashba e ect.  $g_j = [(z \quad "_j) + \frac{i}{2} \quad _j]^1$  with  $z = ! + i0^+$ .

As for the chemical potentials in the three leads, we  $x_1 = 0$ . It is the reference point of energy of the system. And we let  $_2 = _3 = eV=2$  with V being a small bias voltage. Then the net charge  $J_{1c}$  and spin currents  $J_{1s}$  in lead-1 are respectively de ned as  $J_{1c} = J_{1"} + J_{1\#}$  and  $J_{1s} = J_{1"} - J_{1\#}$ .

Now we are ready to carry out the num erical calculation about the spectra of the charge and spin currents in lead-1. To do this, we choose the R ashba coe cient  $\sim = 0.5$  which is available under the current experimental circum stance<sup>17</sup>. And the bias voltage is  $eV = 2t_0$  with  $t_0$  being an appropriate unit of energy. In Fig.1 (b)  $J_{1c}$  and  $J_{1s}$  versus the magnetic phase factor are shown. Besides, their traces as a function of the QD level are shown in Fig.1 (c) and (d). The following interesting features in these spectra are noteworthy. With the variation of the applied magnetic eld, the charge and spin currents oscillate out of phase. In the vicinity of  $= (n + \frac{1}{2})$ , namely, the magnetic phase factor is the odd multiple of =2,  $J_{1c}$  reaches its maximum. Simultaneously, the spin current  $J_{1s}$  just be very close to a zero point. On the contrary, when = n the situation is just inverted, the maximum of  $J_{1s}$  encounters the zero of  $J_{1c}$ . This indicates that a striking pure spin current can be in plemented without an accompanying charge current. In particular, such a pure spin current emerges even at the vicinity of = 0, which im plies that an applied magnetic eld is not an indispensable condition for the occurrence of the pure spin current.

In Fig.1 (c), the currents versus the QD levels are shown in the absence of magnetic eld. Apart from the pure spin current at a speci c value of " $_0$ , one can nd the more interesting phenom enon that the polarization direction of the spin current can be inverted by the reversal of the bias. In addition, as shown in Fig.1 (d), when the coupling of the QD ring to lead-3 is cut o, the spin current disappears, though the R ashba interaction still exists. This means that the three-term inal con guration is a necessary condition for the occurrence of pure spin current.

The calculated transm ission functions are plotted in Fig 2. They are just the integrands for the calculation of the charge and spin currents, see Eq.(2). By comparing the results shown in Fig 2(a) and (b) we can see that these transm ission functions depend nontrivially on the magnetic phase factor. At = 0, i.e., the zero magnetic eld case, the traces of transm ission functions  $T_{1,2}$  (!) and  $T_{1,3}$  (!) coincide with each other very well. However, as shown in Fig 2(b), at = =2 the four transm ission function show distinct traces. In this case a noticeable feature is the transm ission between lead-1 and lead-2 is relatively suppressed, in comparison with  $T_{1,3}$  (!). Substituting such integrands into the current form ulae, and noting the opposite bias voltages of lead-2 and lead-3 with respect to lead-1, one can certainly arrive at the results of the distinct charge and spin currents at = 0 and =2 respectively, as shown in Fig1.

The underlying physics being responsible for the spin dependence of the transmission functions is the quantum interference, which becomes manifest if we analyze the electron transmission process in language

of the Feynm an path. First of all, we notice that the spin ip arising from the Rashba interaction does not play a leading role in causing the tunable spin and charge currents. To illustrate this, we plot the spectra of the charge and spin currents in the absence of the spin ip term (i.e.,  $r_1 = 0$ ) in Fig.1 (b). We can see that the corresponding results coincide with the com plete spectra very well. Therefore, to keep the argum ent sim ple, we drop the spin ip term for the analysis of quantum interference.

We write  $T_{1,2} = j_{1,2} f$  by introducing the transmission probability amplitude which is dened as  $\frac{1}{D} \mathscr{P}_1 \ g_1 t_3 e^i \ g_3 t_2 \ g_2 \mathscr{P}_2 \text{ with } D = \det [G^r]^1 g. By observing the structures of <math>\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} and \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, we can$ readily recognize that they just represent the two paths from lead-2 to lead-1 via the two arms of the QD ring. The phase di erence between them is  $_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2' \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $_j$  being the argument of  $g_j$ .  $T_1$ ,  $T_1$  can be analyzed in a similar way. That is  $T_1$ ,  $T_1$  =  $j_1^{(1)}_{1,3} + J_1^{(2)}_{1,3}$ , with  $J_1^{(1)}_{1,3} = J_1 \Re_1 g_1 t_3 e^{-1} g_3 \Re_3$ and  ${}^{(2)}_{1,3} = \frac{1}{D} \mathfrak{F}_1 g_1 t_1 g_2 t_2 g_3 \mathfrak{F}_3$ . The phase di erence between the two paths is  ${}_3 = [2 \prime + {}_2]$ . Using the parameter values given in Fig.2, we can evaluate that  $\prime = 6$ , and  ${}_2 = {}_3 = \frac{1}{2}$  at the point 2 **′** + <sub>2</sub>]. of ! = 0. Thus, at = 0 we have  $_{2"} = = 6$  and  $_{2\#} = 5 = 6$ , which clearly proves that the quantum interference between  ${1 \atop 1^{\prime};2^{\prime\prime}}^{(1)}$  and  ${1 \atop 1^{\prime\prime};2^{\prime\prime}}^{(2)}$  (electron with spin up) is constructive. But  ${1 \atop 1^{\prime\prime};2^{\prime\prime}}^{(1)}$  and  ${1 \atop 1^{\prime\prime};2^{\prime\prime}}^{(2)}$  of spin down electron are of destructive interference. Moreover, we can get  ${}_{3^{\prime\prime}} = 5 = 6$  and  ${}_{3^{\prime\prime}} = = 6$ . This indicates that the situation of the quantum interference between  ${}_{1^{\prime\prime};3^{\prime\prime}}^{(1)}$  and  ${}_{1^{\prime\prime};3^{\prime\prime}}^{(2)}$  is just opposite to that between  $\binom{(1)}{1\#;2\#}$  and  $\binom{(2)}{1\#;2\#}$ . In the case of  $=\frac{1}{2}$ , by a simple evaluation we not that  $2^{n}=\#=(+=)^{2}=3$  $_{3"=\#}$  = ( =+) =3. Accordingly,  $T_{1,j}$  does not depend on the spin index sensitively. But the and constructive interference leads to the nontrivial increase of  $T_{1,3}$ , in comparison with  $T_{1,2}$ . Up to now, the characteristics of the transmission functions as shown in Fig2, hence the tunability of charge and spin currents, have been clearly explained by analyzing the quantum interference between two kinds of paths via two di errent arms of the QD ring. In the case of zero magnetic eld, the fact that the charge current is incelevant to the reversal of the bias voltage can also be understood, since the prole of  $T_{1,2}$  is the same as that of  $T_1$ ; 3, as shown in Fig.2(a).

Now let us see what happens when lead-3 is removed from the QD-ring. At  $_3 = 0, g_3$  blows up. This leads to  $j_{1,2}^{(1)}$  j  $j_{1,2}^{(2)}$  j which implies that QD-3 provides a sharply resonant path for electron transmission. As a result, the conductance is mainly determined by  $_{1,2}^{(2)}$ . The other path  $_{1,2}^{(1)}$  is only the trivial perturbation, and no spin polarization comes up. In the absence of magnetic eld,  $j_{1,2}^{(1)}$  j is not relevant to the electron spin. Therefore, in Fig.1(d) we obtain the vanishing spin current.

In sum mary, in the present triple-QD ring, the local R ashba interaction provides a spin-dependent A-B phase di erence. The three-term inal con guration balances the electron transm ission probabilities via two di erent arm s of the QD ring. The variation of the magnetic eld strength and the QD level can adjust the phase di erence between the two kinds of Feynm an paths on an equal footing. Thus the spin-dependence of the electron transm ission probability can be controlled by altering the exerted magnetic eld or the QD levels. Furtherm ore, with a speci c bias it is possible to obtain the tunable charge and spin currents. B efore ending our work, we should remark brie y on the electron transport, such as the K ondo elect.<sup>18</sup> H ow ever, our results are obtained away from the K ondo regime. To incorporate the H ubbard term into the H am iltonian, and by using the second-order approximation to truncate the G reen function equation, we have calculated the spectra of the charge and spin currents. W e is not the transport of the H ubbard U splits QD levels, hence the resonant peaks are divided into two groups, the tunable charge and spin currents remain.

Correspondence author. Em ail: zys@m ail.jlu.edu.cn

- <sup>1</sup> Zutic, J. Fabian, and S.D as Sarm a, Rev. M od. Phys. 76, 323 (2004); S.M urakam i, N. Nagaosa, and S.C. Zhang, Science 301, 1348 (2003).
- <sup>2</sup> J.W underlich, B.K aestner, J.Sinova, and T.Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 047204 (2005).
- <sup>3</sup> J.Hbner, W.W.Rhle, M.Klude, D.Hommel, R.D.R.Bhat, J.E.Sipe, and H.M. van Driel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 216601 (2003); M.J.Stevens, A.L.Smirl, R.D.R.Bhat, A.Najmaie, J.E.Sipe, and H.M. van Driel, ibid: 90, 136603 (2003).
- <sup>4</sup> R.D.R.Bhat, F.Nastos, A.Najnaie, and J.E.Sipe, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 096603 (2005); S.A.Tarasenko and E.L.Ivchenko, JETP Lett. 81, 231 (2005).
- <sup>5</sup> B.W ang, J.W ang, and H.Guo, Phys. Rev. B 67, 092408 (2003); F.Rom eo and R.C itro, Eur. Phys. J.B 50, 483 (2006).
- <sup>6</sup> S.K., R.M. Potok, C.M. Marcus, and V.Um ansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 258301 (2003); P. Sharma, Science 307, 531 (2005); B.Dong, H.L.Cui, and X.L.Lei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 066601 (2005).
- <sup>7</sup> T.P.Pareek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 076601 (2004); H.F.Lu and Y.Guo, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 092128 (2007).
- <sup>8</sup> A.Bychkov and E.I.Rashba, J.Phys.C 17, 6039 (1984); J.N itta, T.Akazaki, H.Takayanagi, and T.Enoki, Phys.Rev.Lett. 78, 1335 (1997).
- <sup>9</sup> G.Engels, J.Lange, Th.Schapers, and H.Luth, Phys.Rev.B 55, R1958 (1997).D.G rundler, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 6074 (2000).
- <sup>10</sup> A.A.K iselev and K.W.Kim, Appl.Phys.Lett. 78, 775 (2001); J.Appl.Phys. 94, 4001 (2003).
- $^{11}$  I.A.Shelykh, N.G.Galkin, and N.T.Bagraev, Phys.Rev.B 72,235316 (2005).
- $^{12}$  Q.F.Sun, J.W ang, and H.Guo, Phys.Rev.B 71, 165310 (2005).
- <sup>13</sup> D.V.Bulaev and D.Loss, Phys. Rev. B 71, 205324 (2005).
- <sup>14</sup> B.K.N ikolic and S.Soum a, Phys. Rev. B 71, 195328 (2005).
- $^{15}$  Y .M eir and N .S.W ingreen, Phys.Rev.Lett. 68, 2512 (1992).
- <sup>16</sup> A.-P.Jauho, N.S.W ingreen, and Y.Meir, Phys. Rev. B 50, 5528 (1994); Y.Liu, Y.Zheng, W.Gong, and T.Lu, ibid: 75, 195316 (2007).
- <sup>17</sup> D.Sanchez and L.Serra, Phys. Rev. B 74, 153313 (2006).
- <sup>18</sup> D.Goldhaber-Gordon, H.Shtrikman, D.Mahalu, D.Abusch-Magder, U.Meirav, and M.A.Kastner, Nature (London) 391, 156 (1998).



FIG.1: (Color online) (a) A schematic of a three-term inal triple-QD ring structure with the local Rashba SO interaction on QD-2. (b)-(c) The currents versus magnetic phase factor (b) as well as the QD level"<sub>0</sub>(c), respectively.  $_{j} = 2t_{0}, \sim = 0.5$ . In (b) " $_{j} = 0$  and in (c) " $_{j} = "_{0}, = 0$ . The currents without spin ip terms are shown in (b) for comparison. (d) The currents versus " $_{0}$  in the two-term inal case( $_{3} = 0$ ).



FIG.2: (Color online) The spectra of transmission functions  $T_{1,j}$  (j = 2;3). In (a) no magnetic eld is taken into account; In (b) magnetic eld is considered with = 0.5.