

A First-Principles Constitutive Equation for Suspension Rheology: Supplementary Material

J. M. Brader¹, M. E. Cates² and M. Fuchs¹

¹Fachbereich Physik, Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany

²SUPA, School of Physics, The University of Edinburgh, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK

In this supplementary material we first provide additional details of our integration through transients approach to the constitutive equation and distorted microstructure, clarifying the approximations required to obtain Eqs.(11) and (12). We then present an exact result for the friction kernel and details of the approximations leading to Eqs.(13)–(15). Finally, we provide additional comments regarding two continuum mechanics principles from the standpoint of the present work.

I. INTEGRATION THROUGH TRANSIENTS

Central to our integration through transients approach is Eq.(10) which enables averages of functions of the particle coordinates to be calculated [7]. Applying (10) to a general function f of the phase space coordinates yields the time-dependent average

$$\langle f \rangle(t) = \langle f \rangle + \int_0^t dt' \langle \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}(t')) \rangle e^{-\int_{t'}^t ds \gamma(s)} f \mathbf{i}:$$

The time-ordered exponential function $e^{-\int_{t'}^t ds \gamma(s)}$ generalizes the exponential function for (differential) operators [20]. Choosing $f = \hat{\rho} = V$, where V is the system volume, yields directly an exact generalized Green-Kubo relation for the stress tensor

$$\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \int_0^t dt' \langle \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}(t')) \rangle e^{-\int_{t'}^t ds \gamma(s)} \mathbf{i}:$$

This equation is nonlinear in $\langle \mathbf{t} \rangle$ due to the appearance of $\gamma(t)$ in the exponent. The stress autocorrelation function $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{i}$ appearing in the above expression is the overlap between a stress fluctuation at time t^0 and a second fluctuation at later time t which has evolved under a combination of shear-driven and internal motion. This correlation function is approximated by calculating overlaps of the stress fluctuations with density fluctuations describing slow structural relaxation of the dense system. The lowest order non-zero projection of the dynamics is onto pairs of density fluctuations and is achieved using the pair projector

$$P_2 = \sum_{k > p} \mathbf{i}_k \mathbf{i}_p \frac{1}{N^2 S_k S_p} \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{i}_p:$$

Insertion of this projector either side of the time-ordered exponential function followed by factorization of a fourth moment in density fluctuations into the product of second

moments (viz. the correlators) leads to an approximate expression for the stress tensor. The time evolution of stress fluctuations is thus represented by the transient density correlator $\langle \rho_k(t; t^0) \rangle$. Within our approach the static structure factor S_k serves to represent the direct potential interactions. For glassy states ageing leads to a residual time dependence of S_k which cannot be resolved within our approach. We therefore employ an extrapolation of the equilibrium S_k when working above the glass transition.

In order to calculate the distorted structure factor we use our general result (10) to calculate the average of

$$S_k(t; t^0) = \langle \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{i}_k + \int_0^t dt' \langle \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}(t')) \rangle e^{-\int_{t'}^t ds \gamma(s)} \mathbf{i}_k \mathbf{i}_k:$$

As for the stress we can apply the projection operator P_2 to approximate the average in the integrand, leading to the expression (11) for the distorted structure factor. We note that in calculating $S_k(t; t^0)$ we require Baxter's result $\langle \mathbf{h}_k \mathbf{i}_k \rangle = N S_0 S_k + n \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial n}$ to treat the three point function which arises as a result of the projection operator steps [21].

II. EQUATION OF MOTION

In order to close our constitutive theory we require an equation of motion for the transient density correlator. The advected wavevector appearing in the definition of $\langle \rho_k(t; t^0) \rangle$ may be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho_k(t; t^0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{N S_k} \langle \mathbf{h}_k e^{\int_{t^0}^t ds \gamma(s)} \mathbf{i}_k(t; t^0) \mathbf{i}_k \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N S_k} \langle \mathbf{h}_k e^{\int_{t^0}^t ds \gamma(s)} e^{\int_{t^0}^t ds \gamma(s)} \mathbf{i}_k \mathbf{i}_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we introduce $\gamma(t) = \sum_i^P \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_i$. Exact operator identities generalizing [7] lead directly to the first equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \rho_q(t; t_0) \rangle &+ \langle \rho_q(t; t_0) \rangle \langle \rho_q(t; t_0) \rangle \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t dt' M_q(t; t'; t_0) \langle \rho_q(t'; t_0) \rangle = \langle \rho_q(t; t_0) \rangle: \end{aligned}$$

The initial decay rate is given explicitly by $\langle \rho_q(t; t_0) \rangle = q^2(t; t_0) = S_q(t; t_0)$. Exact formal expressions are obtained for both the generalized diffusion kernel $M_q(t; t'; t_0)$ and

correction function $m_q(t; t_0)$. Regarding the first equation of motion as a Volterra integro-differential equation of the second kind enables the analytical exact form for the equation of motion to be formulated

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} m_q(t; t_0) + m_q(t; t_0) - m_q(t; t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t dt' m_q(t; t'; t_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} m_q(t'; t_0) = \tilde{m}_q(t; t_0);$$

The generalized friction kernel and modified correction function are given formally by

$$m_q(t; t^0; t_0) = \frac{h_q \frac{y_a(t; t^0; t_0)}{S_q(t^0; t_0)} U_i(t; t^0; t_0) \frac{y_r(t; t_0)}{S_q(t; t_0)} i}{N S_q(t^0; t_0)};$$

$$\tilde{m}_q(t; t^0) = \frac{h_q U_i(t; t^0; 0) \frac{y_r(t)}{S_q(t)} i}{N S_q};$$

where we have introduced the operators

$$\frac{y_a(t^0; t_0)}{S_q(t^0; t_0)} = e^{\int_{t_0}^{t^0} ds \frac{y_a(s)}{S_q(s)}} \frac{y_a(t^0)}{S_q(t^0)};$$

$$\frac{y_r(t; t_0)}{S_q(t; t_0)} = e^{\int_{t_0}^t ds \frac{y_r(s)}{S_q(s)}} Q(t; t_0) \frac{y_r(t_0)}{S_q(t_0)};$$

$$\frac{y_i(t; t_0)}{S_q(t; t_0)} = \frac{y_r(t; t_0)}{S_q(t; t_0)} \frac{1}{h_q} \frac{ih_q \frac{y_a(t; t_0)}{S_q(t_0)}}{h_q \frac{y_a(t; t_0)}{S_q(t_0)}} i;$$

which depend upon $\frac{y_a(t)}{S_q(t)} = \frac{P}{N S_q(t; t_0)} \frac{1}{i} r_i^T(t) (\partial_i + F_i)$ and $Q(t; t_0) = 1 - \frac{1}{N S_q(t; t_0)} \frac{1}{i} h_q \frac{y_a(t; t_0)}{S_q(t_0)}$. The irreducible part of the dynamics is contained in

$$U_i(t; t^0; t_0) = e^{\int_{t_0}^{t^0} ds \frac{y_i(s; t_0)}{S_q(s)}};$$

All results are at this stage formally exact. Approximation of the friction kernel proceeds via two steps and is based on the assumption that $U_i(t; t^0; t_0)$ contains slow dynamics only because of coupling to higher density modes describing structural relaxation. Firstly, we project the average in the numerator onto density pairs using the time dependent projection operator

$$P_2(t; t_0) = \frac{X_{k>p}}{N^2 S_k(t; t_0) S_p(t; t_0)} \frac{ih_k(t; t_0) p(t; t_0)}{S_k(t; t_0) S_p(t; t_0)};$$

This reduces the problem to the calculation of a four point correlation function. The second step is to approximate this correlator, in the spirit of quiescent mode coupling theory, by a product of pair correlators. The modified correction function makes a negligible contribution for small accumulated strains which suggests the approximation $\tilde{m}(t; t_0) = 0$ [22]. We thus arrive at Eqs.(14) and (15) given in the main text.

III. CONTINUUM MECHANICS PRINCIPLES

The principle of material objectivity states that the relationship between the stress and strain tensors should be independent of the rotational state of either the sample or the observer [11,16,17]. This is satisfied by the Smoluchowski equation which neglects inertial effects. That this invariance is preserved in our approximate equations can be explicitly confirmed by considering the imposition of a time dependent rotation onto an arbitrary flow. The shear gradient, deformation gradient, left and right Cauchy-Green tensors in the rotating frame are thus given by

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = R(t) \sigma(t) R^T(t) + R(t) \dot{R}^T(t);$$

$$\hat{F}(t; t^0) = R(t) F(t; t^0) R^T(t^0);$$

$$\hat{B}(t; t^0) = R(t) B(t; t^0) R^T(t^0);$$

$$\hat{C}^{-1}(t; t^0) = R(t^0) C^{-1}(t; t^0) R^T(t^0);$$

where $R(t)$ is a time-dependent rotation matrix. It is a straightforward but laborious exercise to substitute the transformed tensors into expressions (8), (9), (11-14) in order to obtain the required invariance result for the stress tensor $\hat{\sigma}(t) = R(t) \sigma(t) R^T(t)$:

An invariance requirement often implicitly employed in continuum modeling is Otdoyd's principle of local action [11] which states that only neighbouring particles are involved in determining the stress at any given point. The k integrals in (11) and (12) are insensitive to the low- k behavior of the integrands. In this sense local action is substantiated by the present microscopic theory.

[20] N.G. van Kampen, Chapter XV Section 3, in Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford 1981).

[21] R.J. Baxter, J.Chem Phys. 41 553 (1964).

[22] M. Fuchs and M.E. Cates, (in preparation).