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#### Abstract

A polym erchain containing N m onom ers con ned in a nite cylindricaltube ofdiam eter $D$ grafted at a distance $L$ from the open end of the tube $m$ ay undergo a rather abrupt transition, where part of the chain escapes from the tube to form a \crow n-like" coil outside of the tube. W hen this problem is studied by M onte C arlo simulation of self-avoiding walks on the sim ple cubic lattice applying a cylindrical con nem ent and using the standard pruned-enriched Rosenbluth $m$ ethod (PERM), one obtains spurious results, how ever: w ith increasing chain length the transition gets weaker and weaker, due to insu cient sam pling of the \escaped" states, as a detailed analysis show s. In order to solve this problem, a new variant of a biased sequential sam pling algorithm with re-sam pling is proposed, force-biased PERM : the di culty of sam pling both phases in the region of the rst order transition $w$ ith the correct weights is treated by applying a force at the free end pulling it out of the tube. D i erent strengths of th is force need to be used and rew eighting techniques are applied. U sing rather long chains (up to $N=18000$ ) and wide tubes (up to $D=29$ lattice spacings), the free energy of the chain, its end-to-end distance, the num ber of \im prisoned" $m$ onom ers can be estim ated, as well as the order param eter and its distribution. It is suggested that this new algorithm should be useful for other problem sinvolving state changes of polym ers, where the di erent states belong to rather disjunct \valleys" in the phase space of the system .


## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

A polymer chain with one end grafted to a nonadsorbing im penetrable surface exists in a mushroom conform ation. If it is progressively squeezed by a at piston of nite radiusL from above the conform ation gradually changes into a relatively thick pancake. H ow ever, beyond a œrtain critical com pression, the chain con guration changes abruptly. O ne part ofthe chain form sa stem stretching from the grafting point to the piston edge, while the rest of the segm ents form s a coiled crown outside the piston, thus escaping from the region undemeath the piston. Thisphenom enon wasnam ed the escape transition and has attracted great interest [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. A n abrupt change from one state to another implies a rst order transition. P hase transitions at the level of an individual m acrom olecule have generic features that are comm on to all conventional phase transitions in uids or $m$ agnetics. On the other hand, they $m$ ay be quite unusual, and the conceptual fram ew ork that would encom pass all the speci cs of this class of phase transitions is still being elaborated. A single $m$ acrom olecule alw ays consists of a nite number of $m$ onom ers $N$ : com puter m odeling rarely deals w ith N larger than $10^{5}$ [6] so that nite-size e ects in the single-m olecule phase transitions are the rule rather than exception. The concept of a phase transition requires that the therm odynam ic lim it is explored. For a single $m$ acrom olecule this $m$ eans the
lim it of N a! 1 , while in the speci c case of the escape transition it $w$ as show $n$ that the appropriate lim its are Na ! 1 and L! 1 but $\mathrm{N} a=\mathrm{L}=$ const.

The classical exam ples of phase transitions in a single m acrom olecule are the coil-globule and adsonption transitions asw ellas the coil-stretch transition in a longitudinal ow that have been studied form any years theoretically, experim entally and by com puter sim ulations. A dram atic progress in experim entalm ethods allow ing detection and m anipulation of individual m acrom olecules happened in the last decade and includes the A FM m anipulations, opticaltw eezers, high-resolution uorescent probes $7,8,9]$. As for the escape transition in its standard setup, ensuring that the piston is at and parallel to the grafting surface currently tumed out to be a di cult technicalproblem. The progress in these $m$ ethods is, how ever, so rapid and im pressive that experim ental studies of the escape transition $m$ ust soon becom $e$ within reach. M eanw hile the theoretical analysis suggests that the escape transition is extrem ely unusual in $m$ any aspects as com pared to conventional phase transitions in uids or m agnetics. F irst, this is a rst-order transition w ith no phase coexistence, and therefore, no phase boundaries, no nucleation $e$ ects, etc. On the other hand, m etastable states are wellde ned up to spinodal lines; they have a clear physical m eaning and can be easily visualized. The transition is purely entropy-driven, the energy playing no role at all. Of course, this is a consequence of considering


F IG . 1: Schem atic draw ings of a exible polym er chain of length N grafted to the bottom of the left side of which is open at the right end, of a tube of length $L$ and diam eter $D$ at the transition point. As the chain is fully con ned in the tube (in an im prisoned state), it form $s$ a sequence of $n_{b}=N D{ }^{1=} b l o b s$ in a cigar-like shape, here is the 3D $F$ lory exponent. A s one part of the chain escapes from the tube (in an escaped state), it form s a ower-like con guration which consists of a and a coiled \crown". By adjusting one of the three param eters D, L and N, an escape transition occurs. At the transition point $D=D, L=L$, or $N=N$, the conform ation of a polym er chain is either in an im prisoned state or in an escaped state.
only the excluded volum e and hard-w all potentials, and the sim ilar entropy-driven transitions w ere found in the hard-sphere and hard-rod uids I0]. Further, the escape transition dem onstrates negative com pressibility and a van-der-W aals-type loop in a fully equilibrium isotherm : this follow s from exact analytical theory and was veri ed by equilibrium $M$ onte- $C$ arlo sim ulations. Finally, it was show $n$ that the equation of state (com pression force versus the piston separation) corresponding to the escape transition setting is not the same in tw o conjugate ensembles (constant force and constant separation) gixing a unique exam ple of the non-equivalence of statisticalensem bles that persists in the them odynam ic lim it. N aturally the literature on the sub ject is quite extensive [11].

Yet one $m$ ore intriguing feature of the escape transition is that it persists in quasi-one-dim ensional settings such as a 2d chain con ned in a strip or a 3d chain con-
ned in a tube. The existence of such a transition seem $s$ to form ally contradict a w ell-know n statem ent forbidding phase transitions in 1d system s [12]. It is also counterintuitive and goes against a sim ple blob picture of a chain in a tube [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], w hich suggests that squeezing the tube would result in progressively pushing out the chain segm ents very $m$ uch alike the toothpaste. P redictions of the naive blob picture were discussed in the context of a 2d chain con ned in an open strip of nite length [18]. It was show $n$ that they contradict both the MC simulations w ith PERM and a m ore sophisticated analytical theory. There are two reasons that prom pt a revisiting of the escape transition in one-dim ensional geom etry. First, the strip con nem ent of a $2 d$ chain is rather exotic and di cult to realize experim entally. O n the other hand, con ning a realchain in a nanotube w ith
the diam eterm uch sm aller than the chain gyration radius in solution is experim entally feasible. W ell-calibrated nanochannels are being produced by lithographicalm ethods with the width in the range between 30 and 400 nm [19]. Partially escaped con gurations appear also in situations where a long polym er chain is translocating through a pore in a relatively thick mem brane [20]. From the point ofview of com putational physics, in general, rst-order transitions and inhom ogeneous polym er conform ations are particularly very di cult to copew ith; we have encountered these di culties in the study of a 2d escape transition [18], which m otivated the developm ent of a new variant of the algorithm PERM, force-biased PERM.This new algorithm is speci cally suited for exploring w ell-separated regions in the phase space.

The aim of our paper is tw ofold: (1) we dem onstrate unam biguously the existence of a rst-order escape transition in the setting of a real 3d polym er con ned in a tube; (2) we present the force-biased PERM, and show its advantages in com parison w ith PERM, orm ore precisely, PERM with $k$-step $M$ arkovian anticipation.

The paper is organized in a non-traditional way. In Sec. II, we present three di erent scenarios of the escape transition and the sim ulationalmodel. In Sec. III and Sec. IV, we rst present the results of the M onte C arlo (MC) simulationswith PERM and force-biased PERM. These include a collection of equilibrium characteristics and lateralsize distribution for a chain in an in nite tube (w thout the possibility ofescape) in com parison w th the scaling predictions. T hen we discuss the characteristics of the escape transition and its sm oothing due to the
nite-size e ects. In Sec. V . and VI, we explain the idea and the im plem entation of force-biased PERM, and give


F IG . 2: Schem atic draw ing of a one-end grafted polym er chain grow ing as a self-avoiding walk inside a nite tube of length L and diam eter $D$ along the $x$-direction. The rst $m$ onom er is located at ( $x=0 ; y=0 ; z=0$ ). 0 therm onom ers are allow ed to sit on lattice sites of a sim ple cubic lattice, except for the lattice sites representing the cylindricalw alls $f 0 \quad x \quad L ; y^{2}+z^{2}=D^{2}=4 g$ and the bottom $\mathrm{fx}=0 ; \mathrm{y}^{2}+\mathrm{z}^{2}<\mathrm{D}^{2}=4 \mathrm{~g}$.
a clear dem onstration of a failure of PERM in application to the escape transition. W e also present a glim pse of the com putational technique w hereby the artifacts of the $m$ ethod could lead to $m$ isleading conclusions conceming the nature of the transition in the therm odynam ic lim it, and discuss ways to detect the possible aws in the sim ulation results. F inally, a sum m ary is given in Sec. V II.

## II. ESCAPE TRANSITION SCENARIOSAND SIM ULATIONALMODEL

The escape transition of an end-attached polym er chain inside a con ned space $m$ ay be triggered by changing any of the follow ing param eters:
(a) the piston separation [1, 2, $3,4,4]$ or the tube diam eter [18] which control the degree of con nem ent;
(b) the distance from the grafting point inside the conned space to the edge of the opening (this would be the piston radius $R$ in a traditional escape setting [1, $2,3,4,5]$ or the tube length $L$ )
(c) the chain contour length, N a, where a is the seg$m$ ent length. $a$ is set to 1 throughout the paper hereafter.

Three corresponding scenarios of a polym er chain escaping from a tube are schem atically illustrated in Fig. (1, although not all of them can nd a reasonable experim ental im plem entation. In a classical escape setting, the con nem ent width is easily changed by moving the piston, while the piston radius was assum ed to be a constant [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. For a tube, changing its diam eter $D$ is $m u c h ~ m o r e ~ d i ~ c u l t ~ t o ~ r e a l i z e ~ e x p e r i m ~ e n t a l l y, ~ b u t ~$ the distance from the grafting point to the tube opening, $L$, can be changed naturally by $m$ oving a bead with a chain end grafted to it, inside the tube. Chopping the tube $O$ in thin sliges is another way of changing $L$ albeit rather arti cial. Finally, one can envisage a m echanism
which leads to a gradual change in the chain contour length (this $m$ ay involve ferm ents that cut a m olecule). From the point ofview of ERRM, the scenario ofgradual grow ing a chain is the easiest one to be im plem ented. We study single exible polym er chains of length N w ith one end grafted to the center of the bottom of a nite tube of length $L$ and diam eter D under good solvent conditions. They are described by a self-avoiding random walk (SAW) of $N$ steps on a sim ple cubic lattice as shown in F ig. 2 w ith the restriction that m onom ers are forbidden to be located on the surface of the cylinder. Taking the bottom center at the origin $(x ; y ; z)=(0 ; 0 ; 0)$ w th the
rst $m$ onom er xed there, and the tube axis along the $x$-direction, $m$ onom ers are not allow ed to be located on the lattioe sites $0 \quad x \quad L, y+z^{2}=D^{2}=4$ and $x=0$, $y^{2}+z^{2}<D^{2}=4$. The idea of using a half-opened tube and a chain with one end grafted to its bottom is that w ith a chain grow th algorithm the chain has to grow either along $+x$-direction or $x$-direction as N $D$, and the partition sum just di ers by a constant com paring to the case of un xed chain ends 21]. H ow ever, the scaling behaviour of con ned polym er chains rem ains the sam e for this sim pli ed $m$ odel.

> III. CONFINED CHA $\mathbb{C N S} \mathbb{N}$ AN $\mathbb{N} F \mathbb{I N}$ ITE TUBE

The properties of a single $m$ acrom olecule con ned in a tube have been studied extensively for decades, both by analytical theory and by num erical sim ulations for various models of exible and sem i- exible chains $13,14,15,16,17]$. For a hom ogeneous con ned state there are scaling predictions [13] conceming various chain characteristics which were tested by MC sim ulations.

The scaling laws are well known [13, 22] for a fully con ned polym er chain of chain length $N$ in a tube of length $L$ and diam eter $D$ under a good solvent condition. O ne should expect a crossover betw een a weak con ne-
$m$ ent region $1 \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{D}$ ( is the F lory exponent), where the chain form s a three-dim ensional random coil, and a strong con nem ent region $1 \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{N}$, where the chain form sa quasi-one dim ensional conform ation (a long cigar-shaped ob ject which is described as a chain of sphericalblobs in a blob picture).

For a grafted polym er chain con ned in a very wide tube, $D \gg N$, since the chain stays essentially unaffected by the existence of the cylindrical hard surface, i.e., there is no repulsive interaction between the chain and the cylindrical hard surface, the system is sim pli ed to be in the situation that a polym er chain is with one end grafted to a repulsive wall in a good solvent. U sing a self-avoiding walk (SAW) ofN steps on a sim ple cubic lattioe $w$ ith a constraint that $x>0$, it is well know $n$ that in the $\lim$ it of N ! 1 the end-to-end distance scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{~N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $=0: 58765$ (20) [23], w hich show $s$ the sam e behavior as in the bulk.

A scaling ansatz of the end-to-end distance along the direction parallel to the tube axis, $R_{j j}(\mathbb{N} ; D)$, for the cross-over betw een these tw o regim es $1 \ll N \ll D$ and $1 \ll \mathrm{D} \ll \mathrm{N}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{D}\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{N}$ is given by Eq. (1) and requesting that for large $N$ the parallel linear dim ension is proportional to $N$ yields for the function $R$ the lim iting behaviours

$$
R()=\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { const }  \tag{3}\\
1+1= & \text { for } & \text { for } & 0 \\
! & 1
\end{array}
$$

w th $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{D}$. The same scaling ansatz is also expected for $R$ ? ( $N$; $D$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{?}(\mathbb{N} ; D)=R_{N} \quad R\left(R_{N}=D\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and requesting that for large N the perpendicular linear dim ension is independent of $N$ yields for the function $R$ the lim iting behaviours

$$
R()=\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { const for } & \text { ! } & 0  \tag{5}\\
1 & \text { for } & 1
\end{array}:
$$

In order to obtain the fiull fiunctions $R(), R_{R}()$ interpolating between the quoted lim its, we apply M C $m$ ethods. For the MC simulations, we use the chain grow th algorithm PERM with $k$-step $M$ arkovian anticipation and sim ulate single fully con ned chains of chain lengths $N$ up to 44000 , and tube diam eters D up to 97. A ccording to the cross-over scaling ansatz, Eqs. (2) and (4), we plot the rescaled average root $m$ ean square ( m s) end-to-end distances parallel and penpendicular to the tube axis, $R_{k}=N$ and $R_{?}=N$, against $N=D$ in $F$ ig. 3 and 4, respectively. A nice data collapse is indeed seen in both gures. For a further check of the scaling law depending on $D$ in the regim e of $N \gg D$ (the strong


F IG .3: R escaled $m$ s end-to-end distance parallel to the tube, $R_{j j}(\mathbb{N} ; D)=N$, plotted against $=N=D$. The data collapse is achieved by Eq. (2) and corrections to scaling are not visible in a log-log scale. The inset show s the log-log plot of $R_{j j ; 1}=\lim _{N}!1 R_{k}(\mathbb{N} ; D)=N$ versus $D$. The dashed line is $0: 93 D^{11}=$.


FIG. 4: Rescaled m s end-to-end distance perpendicular to the tube, $R$ ? $(N ; D)=N$, plotted against . The data collapse is ach ieved by E q. (4) and corrections to scaling are not visible in a log-log scale. T he inset show s the log-log plot ofR?;1 = $\lim _{N}!1 R_{\text {? }}(\mathbb{N} ; D)$ versus $D$. The dashed line is $0: 295 \mathrm{D}$.
con nem ent lim it) and giving a precise estim ate of the prefactor, we estim ate the asym ptotic ratios between $R_{k}$ and $N$, i.e. $R_{k ; 1}=\lim _{N}!1 R_{k}(\mathbb{N} ; D)=N$. and the asym ptotic value of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {? }}$ as N ! 1, i.e. $\mathrm{R}_{\text {? ; } 1}=$ $\lim _{N}!1 R_{?}(\mathbb{N} ; D)$, for various diam eters $D . R$ esults of $R_{k ; 1}$ and $R_{? ; 1}$, plotted against $D$ are show $n$ in the inset of F ig. 3 and F ig. 4, respectively. In the therm odynam ic $\lim$ it $N!1, R_{k}$ and $R_{\text {? }}$ scale as follow $S$

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}=\begin{align*}
& 0: 85(2) \mathrm{N}  \tag{6}\\
& 0: 93(2) \mathrm{ND}^{1} 1=\begin{array}{l}
\text { for } \mathrm{D} \gg \mathrm{~N} \gg 1 \\
\text { for } \mathrm{N} \gg \mathrm{D} \gg 1
\end{array} ; ~ ; ~
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
R_{?}=\quad \begin{array}{lll}
0: 89(2) N & \text { for } D \gg N & \gg 1  \tag{7}\\
0: 295(8) D & \text { for } N \gg D \gg 1
\end{array}:
$$

D ue to the con nem ent of the chain in a tube, in Eq. (V) we see that $R$ ? is only dependent on the tube diam eter $D$ but independent of N in the strong con nem ent regim e. In the very weak or no con nem ent regim e, the estim ate of the ratio betw een the average $m$ ean square end-to-end distances in both directions, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{R}_{\text {? }}\right)^{2} \quad 0: 91$ (8) is in good agreem ent with the result in Ref. [24] $\ll z_{N}^{2}>=<$ $x_{N}^{2}+y_{N}^{2}>=0: 938(2)$ as $N$ ! 1 ), although our chain lengths in the region $\mathrm{D} \gg \mathrm{N} \gg 1$ are m uch shorter.

It is also rem arkable that the crossover betw een both lim its in Eqs. (6), (7) is rather sharp and not spread out over a w ide regim e in the scaling variable $N=D$. For ${ }_{j j}$ this crossover occurs for $N=D \quad 1$ but for $R$ ? it occurs near $N=D \quad 0: 4$, how ever.

An additional bonus of the PERM algorithm [6], as compared to standard \dynam ic" MC algorithms as applied in [14, 15] is that it yields the free energy. The exœess free energy relativf to a one-end grafted random coil, $\mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})=\ln \mathrm{Z}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(1)}$, where $Z_{N}^{(1)} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}^{(1)}{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith $=0.21349098$ (5) and ${ }^{(1)}=0: 6786$ (12) [24], is a constant in the regim e D >>N N > 1 while it is proportional to the num ber ofblobs, $n_{b}=N D^{1=}$, in the unit of $k_{B} T$ in the regim $e$ $\mathrm{N} \gg \mathrm{D} \gg 1$. Therefore, we can rew rite the scaling law as follow S ,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})}{\mathrm{ND}{ }^{1=}}=\begin{align*}
& \text { const }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { const }^{0}
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { for } \\
& \text { for }
\end{align*} \quad \text { ! } \quad 0
$$

A s expected, results of the rescaled free energy in $F$ ig. 5 also show the nice data collapse of the cross-overbehavior predicted by Eq. (8). In the strong con nem ent regim e, the im prisoned free energy scaled as follow s,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{imp}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})=5: 40(2) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{b}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain the crossover is very sharp, occurring near a value $N=D \quad 0: 4$ of the scaling variable.

A ccording to the scaling theory [13], the free energy in the strong con nem ent lim it is alw ays proportional to the num ber of blobs, $n_{b}$. In the special case of quasi-1d con nem ent (a tube for 3d chains or a strip for 2d chains) the average end-to-end distance is proportional to $n_{b} D$, so that the ratio $F D=R_{k}$ is independent of both $N$ and D . Physically, this ratio gives an estim ate for the free energy of con nem ent perblob in $k_{B} T$ units. The question of w hether this ratio ism odel-dependent or universal w as addressed by B urkhardt and G uim [25]. U sing eldtheoretical $m$ ethods and the equivalence of self-avoiding walks and then-vectorm odelofm agnetism in the lim it of n ! 0 they have show $n$ that this ratio (w hich we denote in the follow ing as the Burkhardt am plitude $A_{B}$ ) is indeed universal. Extrapolating nite-size transfer-m atrix results for the case of repulsive walls in $d=2$ they obtained a num erical estim ate of $A_{B 2} \quad 2: 10 \quad 0: 01$. A 1though M C simulation data for 2d SAW $s$ con ned in a strip w ith hard walls were obtained a few years ago, no direct com parison to the theoretical prediction w asm ade


FIG. 5: R escaled free energy relative to a one-end grafted random coil, $\mathrm{F}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{D})=\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{D}^{1=}\right.$ ) in a log-log scale. T he data collapse is achieved by Eq. (8). The inset shows the log$\log p l a t$ of $F_{i m p ; 1}=\lim _{N}!1 F(\mathbb{N} ; D)=N$ versus $D$. The dashed line is 5:40D ${ }^{1=}$.
at the time. The M C data of $H$ su and $G$ rassberger give $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 0: 915 \mathrm{ND}{ }^{1=3}$ [21] and $\mathrm{F}=1: 944$ (2)ND ${ }^{4=3}$ [18] which results in $A_{B 2} \quad 2: 12$ (4) in excellent agreem ent w ith eld-theoretical calculations. For a 3d chain in a tube the B urkhardt am plitude $m$ ust be also universalalthough no num ericalestim ates w ere ever produced. From our M C results, Eq. (6) and (9), it gives $A_{B} 3$ 5:79 (6). It would be interesting to check this value using other sim ulation $m$ odels.

It was proposed and checked num erically for short polym er chains in $R$ ef. [16] that the distribution for the gyration radius $r_{g}$ along the tube axis can be presented as a sum oftwo term s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln P\left(r_{g} N ; D\right)=N(D=a)^{1=} A \quad u+B u \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and are linked to the space dim ension $d$ and the F lory exponent by $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{d} & 1)^{1} \text { and }=(1 \quad)^{1} \text {, }\end{array}\right.$ and $u=\left(r_{g}=N a\right)(D=a)^{1+1=}$ is the segm ent volum e concentration expressed as a function of the gyration radius and the con nem ent geom etry. T he param eters A and $B$ are $m$ odel-dependent num erical coe cients of order unity, which do not depend on $N$ or D.

From ourM C sim ulations, we found that the above formula has to be corrected by an additional $r_{g}$-independent term, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln P\left(r_{g} N ; D\right)=N(D=a)^{1=} A u+B u+C \quad: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results of the rescaled distribution $\ln \left(P\left(r_{g} N ; D\right)=P\left(r_{m} \mathcal{N} ; D\right)\right)=N D{ }^{1=}$ plotted against $r_{g}=\left(\mathbb{N ~ D}^{1}{ }^{1=}\right.$ ) for $N=5000$, and 10000 , and for $\mathrm{D}=25,33$, and 49 are shown in F ig 6. Here the radius of gyration $r_{g ; m}$ corresponds to the $m$ axim um of the distribution $P\left(r_{g} \mathcal{N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)$. N ear $\mathrm{r}_{g ; m}$, we see a very nice data collapse, and the rescaled distribution can be


FIG . 6: Rescaled distribution $\ln \left(\mathrm{P}\left(r_{g} \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)\right)=\left(\mathrm{N}^{1=}\right)$ plotted against $r_{g}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{D}^{1}{ }^{1=}$ for various values of N and $D$. The radius of gyration $r_{g ; m}$ corresponds to the $m$ axim um of the distribution $P\left(r_{g} N ; D\right) . N$ ear $r_{g ; m}, f(x)=A(x \quad+B x+C) w i t h$ $A=0: 185, B=76: 757$, and $C=8: 784$ gives the best $t$ of the data, here $x=r_{g}=\left(\mathbb{N D}^{1} 1=\right)$.


FIG. 7: R escaled distribution
$\ln \left(\mathrm{P}\left(r_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)\right)=\left(\mathrm{N} \mathrm{D}^{1=}\right)$ plotted against $r_{k}=\mathrm{ND}^{1}{ }^{1=}$ for various values of N and D . The end-to-end distance $r_{k ; m}$ corresponds to the $m$ axim um of the distribution $P\left(r_{k} \mathcal{N} ; D\right)$. Near $r_{g ; m}, f(x)=A(x+B x+C) w$ ith $A=0: 953, B=0: 801$, and $C=1: 771$ gives the best $t$ of the data, here $x=r_{k}=\left(\mathbb{N D}^{1} 1=\right)$.
described by Eq. (11) w ith $A=0: 185, B=76: 757$, and $C=8: 784$ very well. Thus we conclude that Eq. (1), even if it is not exact, at least is a very good num erical approxim ation to the actual distribution function. In Fig. 7, we check that the results for the end-to-end distance distribution $P\left(r_{k}-\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{D}\right)$ can be also described by the same function fEq. 11] with $A=0: 953$, $B=0: 801$, and $C=1: 771$.


FIG. 8: Free energy relative to a one-end grafted chain, $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\ln \left[\mathrm{Z}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{D})\right]$, plotted against ND ${ }^{1=}$.

## IV. SINGLE POLYMER CHAINSESCAPE FROM A NANOTUBE

W e sim ulate 3D SAW and B SAW s starting at the grafting point of the tube $w$ ith length $L=200,400,800$, and 1600 , and diam eter $D=17,21,25$ and 29. Depending on the chosen size of $L$ and $D$, the total chain length is varied from 1400 to 18000 in order to cover the transition regim e. Results of the free energy relative to a one-end grafted random coilfor $L=800$ and $L=1600$ are show $n$ in F ig. 8. It is clear that there are tw o branches of the free energy. For a xed diam eter D we see that initially the free energy increases linearly w ith the chain length N , but as N exceeds a certain value, the chain escapes from the tube, a shanp crossover behavior from an im prisoned state to an escaped state is indeed seen. Values of the excess free energy of the chain in an escaped state, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {esc }}(\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})$, are determ ined by the horizontal curves show n in F ig. 8 , which are independent of N . Results of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {esc }}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})$ for various values of $L$ and $D$ are presented in $F$ ig. 9, where we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{esc}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=4: 23(7) \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the requirem ent that the free energies of polym er chains in both im prisoned and escaped states should be the sam e at the transition point, the transition point is therefore determ ined by equating Eq. (9) and Eq. (12). W e obtain the relation between L, N, and D at the transition point, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N}=\mathrm{L})_{\mathrm{tr}}=0: 78(2) \mathrm{D}^{1=}{ }^{1}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can also estim ate N D ${ }^{1=}$ at the transition point directly from $F$ ig. 8 for $x e d$ tube length $L$ and tube diam eter D. In Fig. 10 we plot N D ${ }^{1=}$ against $L=D$. $T$ he best $t$ for the data given by the straight line is $\mathrm{ND}^{1=}=0: 785(10) \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}$ which is in perfect agreem ent with Eq. (13).


F IG . 9: The log-log plot of the excess free energy of the escaped chain, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {esc }}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})$, plotted against $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{D}$ for various values of $L$ and $D$. The dashed line is $4: 23 \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{D}$ and gives the best $t$ of the data.


FIG. 10: Results of the transition points obtained by esti$m$ ating the intersection points of the two branches shown in F ig. 8 . The dashed line is $0: 785 \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{D}$ and gives the best t of the data.

In order to understand the conform ational change of the polym er chains from an im prisoned state to an escaped state, in F ig. 11 we show the results of the end-toend distance parallel to the tube axis norm alized by the tube length $L, R_{j j}=L$, versus $N=L$. A s the chain is still in the strong con nem ent regim $e, R_{j j}$ increases linearly with N , show ing that the chain is stretched. B eyond a certain value of $N=L$, there is a jum $p$ in each curve, show ing the chain undergoes an escape transition, i.e., one part of the chain escapes from the tube (see F ig. (1). O bviously, we see that the transition becom es sharper as the tube length $L$ increases or the tube diam eter $D$ decreases. The pronounced jump indicates that the transition is rstorder like. A sim ilar jum pw ise change is also expected for the average num ber of im prisoned $m$ onom ers, $N_{\text {im }} p$. In F ig. [12, we plot the fraction of im prisoned $m$ onom ers, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {im }}=\mathrm{N}$, versus $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ for various values of L and D . It is indeed seen that there exist jum ps from $N_{\text {im }}=N=1$


F IG. 11: A verage end-to-end distance divided by $L, R_{j j}=L$, plotted against $N=L$ for various values of $L$ and $D$. The rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length $N$.


FIG. 12: A verage fraction of im prisoned num ber, $N_{i m p}=N$, plotted against $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ for various values of L and D . $T$ he rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length N .
while the chain is con ned com pletely to $N_{\text {im }}=N \quad 3=4$ as $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ increases, and the rounding of the transition is due to the nite size e ect. In the problem of escape transition, the order param eter is de ned by the stretching degree of the con ned segm ents of polym er chains. As the chain is in an im prisoned state, $s=r=N$ where $r$ is the instantaneous end-to-end distance of the con ned chain along the tube axis, while as the chain is in an escaped state, $s=L=n$ where $n$ is the im prisoned num ber ofm onom ers (num ber ofm onom ers in the stem) [26]. R esults of the average order param eter $S=\langle s\rangle$ against $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ are presented in F ig. 13. A n abrupt jum $p$ from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{im}} \mathrm{p}$ to $S_{\text {esc }}$ is developed at the transition point in $F$ ig. 13 as the system size $L$ increases for each data set of a given value ofD. Since it is di cult to give a precise estim ate of the transition point directly from those results show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11-13, we use a di erent strategy by plotting a


F IG . 13: A verage order param eter $S$ plotted against $N=L$ for various values of $L$ and $D$. The rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length $N$.


F IG . 14: D istribution functions of the order param eter P (N;L;D;s) vs. $s$ near the transition point for $L=1600$ pnd $\mathrm{D}=17$. . The distribution is norm alized by choosing
${ }_{s} P(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D ; s)=1$. A constant is added to distinguish between di erent curves.
straight line $w$ ith $N=L=0: 785 D^{1=}{ }^{1}$ for each $D$. We see that the straight lines do go through the intersection point for a xed value $D$ (results are not shown).
$F$ inally, results of the distribution function of the order param eter, $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$, are presented in Fig . 14 for $L=1600$ and $D=17$ near the transition point. For our sim ulations, the distribution function $P(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$ is given by properly norm alized acqum ulated histogram s of the order param eter $s$, nam ely ${ }_{s} P(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D ; s)=1$ (see Sec. V).W e see the bim odalbehavior of the distribution functions as one should expect for the rst-order transition near the transition point. At the transition point $(\mathbb{N}=\mathrm{L})_{\operatorname{tr}} \quad 5: 7$, the two peaks are of equal height. Below the transition point chains are in favor of staying in an im prisoned state, while above the transition point chains stay in an escaped state.

A ccording to the predictions of the Landau free energy approach in three dim ensions at the escape transition point, the $m$ agnitude of the jum ps of the end-toend distance, the order param eter, and the fraction of im prisoned num ber of $m$ onom ers should be $m$ uch $m$ ore prom inent than that for the 2 d case described in our previous work [18]. There, polym er chains were described by 2d SAW on a square lattioe w th the constraint that chains are con ned in nite strips. In order to suppress dense con gurations and sam ple $m$ ore relatively open chain con gurations, we em ployed the algorithm PERM w ith $k$-step $M$ arkovian anticipation [6, 21] to study the problem of 2d escape transition. H ow ever, this $m$ ethod is very e cient for generating hom ogeneous con gurations of very long polym er chains in the im prisoned state not only for the 2 d case but also for the 3d case which w illbe presented in the next section, while it fails w ith producing inhom ogeneous ower-like con gurations in the escaped state. T he di culty was already noticed in R ef. [18] by the disappearance of jum ps in the them odynam ic lim it and the lack of data for describing the Landau free energy as a function of the order param eter $s$ in an escaped state for larger system s. C learly, it becom es a m ore serious problem when the sam e sam pling $m$ ethod and $m$ odel are applied to the study of polym er chains escaping from a tube. O ur test run show ed that for a xed diam eterD the transition occursm uch later (ifwe choose $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ as a control param eter) and the m agnitude of the jum $p$ decreases as the tube length $L$ increases (see Fig.(15). O ne could im agine that in the them odynam ic lim it of N! 1 and L! 1 , the conform ation change becom es continuous. H ow ever this con jecture is based on the artifacts due to the ine ciency of the originalchosen m odel and m ethod.

A new strategy for generating su cient sam plings of the ower-like con gurations in the phase space is proposed as follow s: W e rst apply an extra constant force along the tube to pull the free end of a grafted chain outward to the open end of the tube as long as the chain is still con ned in a tube, and release the chain once som e segm ents of it is outside the tube. By varying the strength of the force, we obtain con gurations in the escaped state $w$ ith various stretching degree of $m$ onom er segm ents (stem s) which are still con ned in a tube. Finally the contributions for the escaped states are given by properly rew eighting these con gurations to the case w ithout applying extra forces. N ow a partially stretched polym er in a good solvent is described by a biased SAW (BSAW) w ith nite cylindrical geom etry con nem ent. $T$ he stretching is denoted by a factorb $=\exp (\mathrm{aF})$ where $a$ is the lattioe constant, $F$ is the stretching force, and
$=1=k_{B} T$. For our sim ulations, a and are rescaled as units of length and inverse energy, respectively. B oth are set to 1 in the simulations. The partition sum of a

BSAW of N steps is, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{walks}}^{\mathrm{x}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{b}=\quad \begin{align*}
& 1  \tag{15}\\
& 1 \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\\
\\
\\
; \text { otherw ise }(\mathrm{SAW})
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

here $x=x_{N} \quad x_{0}$ is the displacem ent (in units of a lattige constant) of the end-to-end vector onto the direction off (along the tube axis). The rst $m$ onom er is located at $x_{0}=0$ as shown in F ig.2. B ased on the algorithm PERM, polym er chains are built like random walks by adding one $m$ onom er at each step and each con guration carries its ow $n$ weight which is a product of those weight gains at each step, i.e. $W(\mathbb{N})=\underset{j=1}{N} W$ (j) w ith $\left.w(j)=b^{\left(x_{j}\right.} x_{j}{ }^{1}\right)$ and $w(j=0)=1$ in the current case (cf. Eq. (14) ). It has the advantage that one can estim ate the partition sum directly as given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{b}}}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})={\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}}}_{\mathrm{fC}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ denotes a con guration of a BSAW of N steps, con ned in a nite tube of length $L$ and diam eter $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the total trial con gurations, and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=$ $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})$ is the totalweight of obtaining the con guration $C_{b}$. Thus, each con guration of a BSAW $w$ ith the stretching factor $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ contributes a weight $\mathrm{W}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})$ after re-w eighting to com pensate for the bias introduced earlier as

$$
\mathrm{W}^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\quad \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}}  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{L}} ; \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}>\mathrm{L}
\end{align*} ;
$$

here index $k$ labels runs $w$ ith di erent values of the stretching factorb.

C ombining data nuns $w$ ith di erent values ofb the average value of any observable $O$ is given by
and the estim ate of the partition sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D)=\frac{1}{M}^{X} X_{k \quad \operatorname{config}: 2 C_{b_{k}}} W^{(k)}(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $M$ is the total number of trial con gurations.
The distribution of the order parameter, $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s}) / \mathrm{H}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$, is obtained by accumulating the histogram s H ( $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s}$ ) of s , where $\mathrm{H}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$ is given by,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H (N;L;D;s) } \\
& =\frac{1}{M}^{X} H^{(k)}(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D ; s) \\
& =\frac{1}{M}^{X_{k} \text { config:2 } C_{b_{k}}} W^{(k)}\left(N ; L ; D ; s^{0}\right) s ; s^{0} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and the partition sum of polym er chains con ned in a nite tube can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D)=^{X} \quad H(N ; L ; D ; s) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance w ith Eq. (19).

## VI. COMPARISON OFRESULTSBYOLDAND NEW ALGORITHM

Here we are going to show som e of the technical details of sim ulations by P ERM and the resulting problem. W e present the spurious results obtained by P ERM w ithout force biases in detailand the far-reaching conclusions they could lead to. T he whole situation is of considerable $m$ ethodological and pedagogical value. W e also discuss som e general w ays to avoid the pitfalls and discrim inate betw een authentic and spurious data.

## A. R esults w ithout force biases: new phase transition physics suggested?

Let us focus on the results of the average end-to-end distance $R_{k}=L$. U sing the algorithm PERM w ith k-step M arkovian anticipation as in our previous work (2d escape transition) [18], we present the data of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{L}$ as a function of the reduced chain length param eter $N=L$ for $\mathrm{D}=21$ in F ig. 15 . E ach curve corresponds to a xed tube length L . W ith increasing the system size, i.e. increasing $L$ from $L=200$ to $L=1600$, the system atic change of the curves describes the approach to the them odynam ic lim it. T he data points fall on sm ooth curves w ithoutm uch statisticalscattering, and the curves them selves suggest a system atic trend as $L$ increases. It is clear that for each $L$ the chain size experiences a shanp increase at som e value of $N=L$. T he shanpness of the curves increases to som e extent as the system size increases near the transition point, which is not unexpected if one suspects a
rst-order transition to be involved. T he transition point of a nite system can be estim ated, and a shift of its position $w$ ith increase in $L$ is obvious. Thus one is im $m$ ediately tem pted to extrapolate the transition point to L ! 1 , which is pointed by an arrow in F ig. 15 . Sim ultaneously, the $m$ agnitude of the jum $p$ is also changing system atically which calls for another extrapolation. This extrapolation show sthat in the therm odynam ic lim it the jum p vanishes (the long dashed line in Fig. 15.) O verall, this suggests a sophisticated and weak nst-order transition with nite-size e ects that disappears in the ther$m$ odynam ic lim it. This is in agreem ent with the intuitive picture of a chain overgrow ing and escaping out of the tube opening w ithout any jum ps and also follows the conventional blob picture. Further analysis would have to reconcile severalcon icting ndings. At the extrapolated transition point, the free energy still has a


FIG. 15: A verage end-to-end distance divided by $L, R_{j j}=L$, plotted against $N=L$ for various values of $L$ and $D=21$. Results are obtained by PERM without force biases. The transition point indicated by the arrow is the intersection between the extrapolated curve (long dashed curve) and the curve $R_{j j}=L=1$.


F IG. 16: A verage end-to-end distance divided by $L, R_{j j}=\mathrm{L}$, plotted against $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ for $\mathrm{L}=200$ and 600, and $\mathrm{D}=21 . \mathrm{Re}$ sults are obtained by PERM w ith (new) and w ithout (old) force biases. The true transition point is indicated by an arrow.
discontinuity in the slope leaving the signature of a rstorder transition. On the other hand, the extrapolated curve of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{L}$ versus $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}$ also acquires a discontinuity in the slope suggesting a continuous transition. A thoughtfill investigator w ould recall the statem ent about im possibility of phase transitions in 1d system s. How ever, at the transition point $\left(R_{k}=L\right)$, the chain starts leaving the tube and claim s back (at least partially) its three-dim ensionalnature! O verall, the picture of the phenom enon is very rich and thought-provoking. The only curve that is slightly outside the generalsystem atic trend corresponds to $L=200$. H ow ever, this can be clearly attributed to the stronger nite-size e ect.

Results obtained by PERM w ith and w thout force bi-
ases for $L=200$ and 1600 , for $D=21$, and for the chain length N which is large enough to cover the transition regions are shown in F ig. 16 by sym bols and curves respectively for com parison. It is clear that for the sm aller system , L = 200, both algorithm s give the sam e results. In contrast to this for $L=1600$ the new algorithm produces a very di erent curve that does not show a shift of the transition point (nor a decrease in the $m$ agnitude of the jum p ). The true transition point is indicated by an arrow and is as far as about $25 \%$ aw ay from the presum ed value obtained by extrapolating data to the therm odynam ic lim it w thout force biases. In short, the new algorithm con ms that the escape transition is a norm al rst-order transition w ith all the expected nite-size e ects which include (approxim ate) the crossing of the curves for di erent size w thout a system atic shift and sharpening of the transition $w$ ith increasing $L$.

B . H ow to avoid spurious results?

The question posed is of a very general nature that any researcher has to address again and again in various situations. As far as sim ulated system s undergoing phase transitions are concemed, the best answ er that we could come up with is related to the careful analysis of the distribution functions of the order param eter. H ere we do not address the question of how to de ne the order param eter for a particular phase transition. In the case of the escape transition, 迆 was shown in Sec. IV that the order param eter should describe the stretching of the con ned segm ents of a chain, $s=r=N$ for the con ned state, and $s=L=n$ for the escaped state. It is intuitively clear that the stretching degree is stronger, i.e., the value of s is larger, for a fully con ned chain (in an im prisoned state) than that for a partially con ned chain (in an escaped state).

Far from the transition point the distribution function of the order param eter $P(N ; L ; D ; s)$ is unim odal but near the transition point it has a bim odal form as shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 17. As the system size increases, i.e. L increases for a xed vale of $D=21$, the shape of $P(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$ changes system atically. At the transition point the two peaks are of equal height and the depth of the gap betw een them increases with L. For $L=200$ the bim odal behavior of the distribution function $P(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D ; s)$ is developed by both algorithm $s$ ( F ig [17a). A m ore close inspection shows that using PERM without force biases there is a cut-o at large $s$ although the $m$ axim a is traced very con dently. The cut-o appears earlier as $L$ increases and the problem of developing a right-hand $m$ axim um becom es progressively severe. A lready for $L=800$ the branch of the distribution function corresponding to the escaped state is com pletely cut o . For $L=1600$ even the full description of the con ned state is lost, although the vicinity of the $m$ axim um is reproduced very fairly (here the di erence betw een the old and the new $m$ ethod is only due to


FIG.17: H istogram s of the order param eter $P(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{s})$ vs. s near the transition point $(\mathbb{N}=\mathrm{L})_{\text {tr }}$ for $\mathrm{D}=2$ 1 and various values ofL. The values of $N=L$ are (a) 6:9, (b) 6:8, (c) 6:7, and (d) 6:7. The distribution is nom alized by choosing ${ }_{s} P(\mathbb{N} ; L ; D ; s)=$ 1. The left and right branches correspond to chains in the im prisoned state and escaped states respectively.
norm alization). A de cient sampling leads to spurious behavior of the equilibrium average. Since the problem is clearly related to poor sam plings of strongly stretched states, introducing the force biased strategy that enriches stretched conform ations is a natural im provem ent of the algorithm PERM.

Even if the correct distribution function w as not available, the system atic changes in the shape of the distribution function obtained $w$ thout force biases ring the alarm bell: the initially sm ooth bim odal distribution function is being progressively and forcibly cut o which does not correspond to any reasonable physical picture. This is a very strong indication that the algorithm experiences severe di culties in sam pling the relevant portions of the phase space. O nœe these portions are identi ed, a reasonable recipe forenriching the relevant set ofcon gurations could be nom ally introduced. To nalize, we stress that follow ing the behavior of the distribution functions $w$ ith the increase in the system size is a pow erful test of the quality of results.

## VII. SUM M ARY

In this paper, we have show $n$ that $w$ ith the force-biased PERM we are able to produce su cient samplings for the con gurations in the escaped regin e for the 3 d escape problem. It solves the di culty of getting inhom ogeneous ower-like conform ations $m$ entioned in the 2 d escape transition [18]. A s predicted by the Landau theory approach, we indeed see rather pronounced jum ps in the quantities of the end-to-end distance, the fraction of im prisoned num ber of $m$ onom ers, and the order param eter. These jum ps becom e shanper as the tube length $L$ increases or the tube diam eter $D$ decreases, indicating the transition is a rst-order like. The occurrence of an abrupt change of the slope of the free energy gives the precise estim ate of the transition point for the polym er chain of length $N$ con ned in a nite tube of length $L$ and diam eter D. W e are also able to give an evidence for the two $m$ inim um picture of the rst-order like transition, and our num erical results are in perfect agreem ent $w$ ith the theoretical predictions by Landau theory [27].

For fully con ned single polym er chains in a tube, we have also shown nige cross-over data collapse for the free energy and the end-to-end distance w ith high accuracy MC data by using the algorithm PERM w ith $k$-step

M arkovian anticipation. A though the scaling behaviour is well know for this problem, it has never been shown directly in the literatures apart from som e results already given in Ref. [27].
$W$ e expect that the $m$ ethod developed in the present paper, which allow s to obtain equilibrium properties of chains which are partially in free space and partially con-
ned in cylindrical tubes, could also be useful to clarify some aspects of the problem of (forced) translocation of long polym ers through narrow pores in mem branes. Of course, then one needs to consider con gurations of chains which have (in general) escaped parts on both sides of the con ning tube. In this problem, it is strongly debated to what extent these transient, partly escaped, con gurations requirem ent them alequilibrium states [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. O fcourse, for applications to biom olecules such as RNA, single-stranded

DNA m ore realistic $m$ odels than self-avoiding walks on a lattice need to be used, before one $m$ ay $m$ akes contact w th the experim ent $[37,38]$.
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