## E scape transition of a polymer chain from a nanotube: how to avoid spurious results by use of the force-biased pruned-enriched Rosenbluth algorithm

H siao-P ing H su and K urt B inder Institut fur Physik, Johannes G utenberg-U niversitat M ainz D-55099 M ainz, Staudinger W eg 7, G erm any

Leonid I. Klushin

Am erican University of Beirut, Department of Physics, Beirut, Lebanon

A lexander M . Skvortsov

ChemicalPharm aceuticalAcademy, Prof. Popova 14, 197022 St. Petersburg, Russia. (D ated: February 20, 2024)

A polymer chain containing N m onomers con ned in a nite cylindrical tube of diameter D graffed at a distance L from the open end of the tube m ay undergo a rather abrupt transition, where part of the chain escapes from the tube to form a \crown-like" coil outside of the tube. W hen this problem is studied by M onte C arlo simulation of self-avoiding walks on the simple cubic lattice applying a cylindrical con nem ent and using the standard pruned-enriched Rosenbluth method (PERM), one obtains spurious results, however: with increasing chain length the transition gets weaker and weaker, due to insu cient sam pling of the \escaped" states, as a detailed analysis shows. In order to solve this problem, a new variant of a biased sequential sampling algorithm with re-sampling is proposed, force-biased PERM : the di culty of sam pling both phases in the region of the st order transition with the correct weights is treated by applying a force at the free end pulling it out of the tube. Di erent strengths of this force need to be used and reweighting techniques are applied. U sing rather long chains (up to N = 18000) and wide tubes (up to D = 29 lattice spacings), the free energy of the chain, its end-to-end distance, the number of \im prisoned" m onom ers can be estim ated, as well as the order parameter and its distribution. It is suggested that this new algorithm should be useful for other problem s involving state changes of polymers, where the dierent states belong to rather disjunct \valleys" in the phase space of the system .

### I. IN TRODUCTION

A polymer chain with one end grafted to a nonadsorbing impenetrable surface exists in a mushroom conformation. If it is progressively squeezed by a at piston of nite radius L from above the conform ation gradually changes into a relatively thick pancake. How ever, beyond a certain critical compression, the chain con guration changes abruptly. O ne part of the chain form sa stem stretching from the grafting point to the piston edge, while the rest of the segments form s a coiled crown outside the piston, thus escaping from the region underneath the piston. This phenom enon was named the escape transition and has attracted great interest [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. An abrupt change from one state to another implies a rst order transition. P hase transitions at the level of an individual m acrom olecule have generic features that are common to all conventional phase transitions in uids or magnetics. On the other hand, they may be quite unusual, and the conceptual fram ework that would encom pass all the speci cs of this class of phase transitions is still being elaborated. A single m acrom olecule always consists of a nite number of monomers N: computer m odeling rarely deals with N larger than  $10^5$  [6] so that

nite-size e ects in the single-molecule phase transitions are the rule rather than exception. The concept of a phase transition requires that the thermodynamic limit is explored. For a single macromolecule this means the lim it of N a ! 1 , while in the speci c case of the escape transition it was shown that the appropriate lim its are N a ! 1 and L ! 1 but N a=L = const.

The classical examples of phase transitions in a single m acrom olecule are the coil-globule and adsorption transitions as well as the coil-stretch transition in a longitudinal

ow that have been studied form any years theoretically, experim entally and by com puter sim ulations. A dram atic progress in experim entalm ethods allow ing detection and m an ipulation of individual m acrom olecules happened in the last decade and includes the AFM manipulations, opticaltweezers, high-resolution uorescent probes 7, 8, 9]. As for the escape transition in its standard setup, ensuring that the piston is at and parallel to the grafting surface currently turned out to be a di cult technical problem . The progress in these m ethods is, how ever, so rapid and impressive that experimental studies of the escape transition must soon become within reach. Meanwhile the theoretical analysis suggests that the escape transition is extremely unusual in many aspects as compared to conventional phase transitions in uids or magnetics. First, this is a rst-order transition with no phase coexistence, and therefore, no phase boundaries, no nucleation e ects, etc. On the other hand, metastable states are well de ned up to spinodal lines; they have a clear physical meaning and can be easily visualized. The transition is purely entropy-driven, the energy playing no role at all. Of course, this is a consequence of considering



FIG.1: Schem atic drawings of a exible polymer chain of length N graffed to the bottom of the left side of which is open at the right end, of a tube of length L and diam eter D at the transition point. As the chain is fully conned in the tube (in an imprisoned state), it forms a sequence of  $n_b = N D^{1=}$  blobs in a cigar-like shape, here is the 3D F lory exponent. As one part of the chain escapes from the tube (in an escaped state), it forms a ower-like conguration which consists of a \stem " and a coiled \crown". By adjusting one of the three parameters D, L and N, an escape transition occurs. At the transition point D = D, L = L, or N = N, the conformation of a polymer chain is either in an imprisoned state or in an escaped state.

only the excluded volum e and hard-wall potentials, and the sim ilar entropy-driven transitions were found in the hard-sphere and hard-rod uids [0]. Further, the escape transition dem onstrates negative compressibility and a van-der-W aals-type loop in a fully equilibrium isotherm : this follows from exact analytical theory and was veried by equilibrium M onte-C arlo simulations. Finally, it was shown that the equation of state (compression force versus the piston separation) corresponding to the escape transition setting is not the same in two conjugate ensem bles (constant force and constant separation) giving a unique exam ple of the non-equivalence of statistical ensem bles that persists in the therm odynam ic lim it. N aturally the literature on the subject is quite extensive [11].

Yet one more intriguing feature of the escape transition is that it persists in quasi-one-dimensional settings such as a 2d chain con ned in a strip or a 3d chain con-

ned in a tube. The existence of such a transition seems to form ally contradict a well-known statem ent forbidding phase transitions in 1d system s [12]. It is also counterintuitive and goes against a simple blob picture of a chain in a tube [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], which suggests that squeezing the tube would result in progressively pushing out the chain segments very much alike the toothpaste. Predictions of the naive blob picture were discussed in the context of a 2d chain con ned in an open strip of nite length [18]. It was shown that they contradict both the MC simulations with PERM and a more sophisticated analytical theory. There are two reasons that prompt a revisiting of the escape transition in one-dimensional geometry. First, the strip con nement of a 2d chain is rather exotic and di cult to realize experim entally. On the other hand, con ning a real chain in a nanotube with

the diam eterm uch sm aller than the chain gyration radius in solution is experimentally feasible. Well-calibrated nanochannels are being produced by lithographicalm ethods with the width in the range between 30 and 400 nm [19]. Partially escaped con gurations appear also in situations where a long polymer chain is translocating through a pore in a relatively thick mem brane [20]. From the point of view of computational physics, in general, rst-order transitions and inhom ogeneous polymer conform ations are particularly very dicult to cope with; we have encountered these diculties in the study of a 2d escape transition [18], which motivated the development of a new variant of the algorithm PERM, force-biased PERM. This new algorithm is speci cally suited for exploring well-separated regions in the phase space.

The aim of our paper is twofold: (1) we demonstrate unambiguously the existence of a rst-order escape transition in the setting of a real 3d polymer con ned in a tube; (2) we present the force-biased PERM, and show its advantages in comparison with PERM, or more precisely, PERM with k-step M arkovian anticipation.

The paper is organized in a non-traditional way. In Sec. II, we present three di erent scenarios of the escape transition and the simulational model. In Sec. III and Sec. IV, we rst present the results of the Monte Carlo (MC) simulations with PERM and force-biased PERM. These include a collection of equilibrium characteristics and lateral size distribution for a chain in an in nite tube (without the possibility of escape) in comparison with the scaling predictions. Then we discuss the characteristics of the escape transition and its smoothing due to the

nite-size e ects. In Sec.V. and VI, we explain the idea and the implementation of force-biased PERM, and give



FIG.2: Schem atic drawing of a one-end grafted polymer chain growing as a self-avoiding walk inside a nite tube of length L and diameter D along the x-direction. The rst monomer is located at (x = 0; y = 0; z = 0). Other monomers are allowed to sit on lattice sites of a simple cubic lattice, except for the lattice sites representing the cylindrical walls f0 x  $L; y^2 + z^2 = D^2 = 4g$  and the bottom fx = 0;  $y^2 + z^2 < D^2 = 4g$ .

a clear demonstration of a failure of PERM in application to the escape transition. We also present a glim pse of the computational technique whereby the artifacts of the m ethod could lead to m isleading conclusions concerning the nature of the transition in the therm odynam ic lim it, and discuss ways to detect the possible aws in the sim ulation results. Finally, a summary is given in Sec. V II.

# II. ESCAPE TRANSITION SCENARIOS AND SIM ULATIONAL MODEL

The escape transition of an end-attached polymer chain inside a con ned space may be triggered by changing any of the following parameters:

- (a) the piston separation [1,2,3,4,5] or the tube diam eter [18] which control the degree of con nem ent;
- (b) the distance from the grafting point inside the conned space to the edge of the opening (this would be the piston radius R in a traditional escape setting [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] or the tube length L)
- (c) the chain contour length, N a, where a is the segm ent length. a is set to 1 throughout the paper hereafter.

Three corresponding scenarios of a polymer chain escaping from a tube are schem atically illustrated in Fig.1, although not all of them can nd a reasonable experimental implementation. In a classical escape setting, the con nement width is easily changed by moving the piston, while the piston radius was assumed to be a constant [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. For a tube, changing its diameter D is much more di cult to realize experimentally, but the distance from the grafting point to the tube opening, L, can be changed naturally by moving a bead with a chain end grafted to it, inside the tube. Chopping the tube o in thin slices is another way of changing L albeit rather arti cial. Finally, one can envisage a mechanism which leads to a gradual change in the chain contour length (this may involve ferments that cut a molecule). From the point of view of PERM, the scenario of gradual growing a chain is the easiest one to be implemented. We study single exible polymer chains of length N with one end grafted to the center of the bottom of a nite tube of length L and diameter D under good solvent conditions. They are described by a self-avoiding random walk (SAW) of N steps on a simple cubic lattice as shown in Fig. 2 with the restriction that monomers are forbidden to be located on the surface of the cylinder. Taking the bottom center at the origin (x;y;z) = (0;0;0) with the

rst monom er xed there, and the tube axis along the x-direction, monom ers are not allowed to be located on the lattice sites 0 x L,  $\hat{y} + z^2 = D^2 = 4$  and x = 0,  $y^2 + z^2 < D^2 = 4$ . The idea of using a half-opened tube and a chain with one end graffed to its bottom is that with a chain grow th algorithm the chain has to grow either along + x-direction or x-direction as N D, and the partition sum just di ers by a constant com paring to the case of un xed chain ends 21]. How ever, the scaling behaviour of con ned polym er chains remains the same for this simplied model.

#### III. CONFINED CHAINS IN AN INFINITE TUBE

The properties of a single macromolecule con ned in a tube have been studied extensively for decades, both by analytical theory and by numerical simulations for various models of exible and sem i- exible chains [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. For a homogeneous con ned state there are scaling predictions [13] concerning various chain characteristics which were tested by MC simulations.

The scaling laws are well known [13, 22] for a fully con ned polymer chain of chain length N in a tube of length L and diameter D under a good solvent condition. One should expect a crossover between a weak con nem ent region 1 N D ( is the Flory exponent), where the chain forms a three-dimensional random coil, and a strong con nement region 1 D N, where the chain forms a quasi-one dimensional conformation (a long cigar-shaped object which is described as a chain of spherical blobs in a blob picture).

For a grafted polym er chain con ned in a very wide tube, D >> N, since the chain stays essentially unaffected by the existence of the cylindrical hard surface, i.e., there is no repulsive interaction between the chain and the cylindrical hard surface, the system is simplied to be in the situation that a polym er chain is with one end grafted to a repulsive wall in a good solvent. U sing a self-avoiding walk (SAW) of N steps on a simple cubic lattice with a constraint that x > 0, it is well known that in the lim it of N ! 1 the end-to-end distance scales as

$$R_N N$$
 (1)

with = 0.58765(20) [23], which shows the same behavior as in the bulk.

A scaling ansatz of the end-to-end distance along the direction parallel to the tube axis, R  $_{jj}$  (N ;D ), for the cross-over between these two regimes 1 << N << D and 1 << D << N is

$$R_{k}(N;D) = R_{N} R(R_{N}=D);$$
 (2)

where  $R_N$  is given by Eq. (1) and requesting that for large N the parallel linear dimension is proportional to N yields for the function  $_R$  the limiting behaviours

$$R_{R}() = \frac{\text{const for } ! 0}{1+1=} \text{ for } ! 1$$
(3)

with = N =D. The same scaling ansatz is also expected for  $R_2$  (N;D),

$$R_{?} (N; D) = R_{N} R(R_{N} = D);$$
 (4)

and requesting that for large N the perpendicular linear dimension is independent of N yields for the function  $_{\rm R}$  the limiting behaviours

$$_{R}() = \frac{\text{const for } ! 0}{1 \text{ for } ! 1}$$
 (5)

In order to obtain the full functions  $_{\rm R}$  (),  $_{\rm R}$  () interpolating between the quoted limits, we apply MC m ethods. For the MC simulations, we use the chain grow th algorithm PERM with k-step M arkovian anticipation and simulate single fully conned chains of chain lengths N up to 44000, and tube diameters D up to 97. A coording to the cross-over scaling ansatz, Eqs. (2) and (4), we plot the rescaled average root mean square (m s) end-to-end distances parallel and perpendicular to the tube axis,  $R_k=N$  and  $R_2=N$ , against N =D in Fig. 3 and 4, respectively. A nice data collapse is indeed seen in both gures. For a further check of the scaling law depending on D in the regime of N >> D (the strong



FIG.3: Rescaled m send-to-end distance parallel to the tube, R  $_{jj}$ (N;D)=N , plotted against = N =D. The data collapse is achieved by Eq.(2) and corrections to scaling are not visible in a log-log scale. The inset shows the log-log plot of R  $_{jj,1}$  = lim  $_{\rm N}$ ! 1 R  $_k$ (N;D)=N versus D. The dashed line is 0:93D  $^{1-1}$ .



FIG. 4: Rescaled mm s end-to-end distance perpendicular to the tube, R<sub>?</sub> (N;D)=N, plotted against. The data collapse is achieved by Eq. (4) and corrections to scaling are not visible in a log-log scale. The inset shows the log-log plot of R<sub>?,1</sub> = lim<sub>N!1</sub> R<sub>?</sub> (N;D) versus D. The dashed line is 0.295D.

con nement limit) and giving a precise estimate of the prefactor, we estimate the asymptotic ratios between  $R_k$  and N, i.e.  $R_{k;1} = \lim_{N \mid 1} R_k(N;D) = N$ . and the asymptotic value of  $R_2$  as N ! 1, i.e.  $R_{2;1} = \lim_{N \mid 1} R_2(N;D)$ , for various diameters D. Results of  $R_{k;1}$  and  $R_{2;1}$ , plotted against D are shown in the inset of Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. In the therm odynamic limit N ! 1,  $R_k$  and  $R_2$  scale as follows

$$R_{k} = \begin{array}{ccc} 0.85(2)N & \text{for } D >> N >> 1\\ 0.93(2)N D^{1} \stackrel{1=}{=} & \text{for } N >> D >> 1 \end{array}; (6)$$

and

$$R_{?} = \begin{array}{c} 0.39(2)N & \text{for } D >> N >> 1\\ 0.295(8)D & \text{for } N >> D >> 1 \end{array} ; (7)$$

D ue to the con nem ent of the chain in a tube, in Eq. (7) we see that R<sub>2</sub> is only dependent on the tube diam eter D but independent of N in the strong con nem ent regime. In the very weak or no con nem ent regime, the estimate of the ratio between the average mean square end-to-end distances in both directions, (R<sub>k</sub>=R<sub>2</sub>)<sup>2</sup> 0:91 (8) is in good agreem ent with the result in Ref. [24] (<  $z_N^2$  > = <  $x_N^2$  +  $y_N^2$  >= 0:938 (2) as N ! 1 ), although our chain lengths in the region D >> N >> 1 are much shorter.

It is also remarkable that the crossover between both lim its in Eqs. (6), (7) is rather sharp and not spread out over a wide regime in the scaling variable N =D. For R<sub>jj</sub> this crossover occurs for N =D 1 but for R<sub>2</sub> it occurs near N =D 0:4, however.

An additional bonus of the PERM algorithm [6], as compared to standard \dynamic" MC algorithms as applied in [14, 15] is that it yields the free energy. The excess free energy relative to a one-end grafted random coil, F (N;D) =  $\ln Z$  (N;D)= $Z_N^{(1)}$ , where  $Z_N^{(1)} = 0.6786(12)$  [24], is a constant in the regime D >> N >> 1 while it is proportional to the number of blobs,  $n_b = N D^{-1-}$ , in the unit of  $k_B T$  in the regime N >> D >> 1. Therefore, we can rewrite the scaling law as follows,

$$\frac{F(N;D)}{ND^{1=}} = \begin{array}{cc} const & {}^{1=} & for & ! & 0\\ const^{0} & for & ! & 1 \end{array}$$
(8)

A sexpected, results of the rescaled free energy in F ig.5 also show the nice data collapse of the cross-overbehavior predicted by Eq. (8). In the strong con nem ent regime, the imprisoned free energy scaled as follows,

$$F_{imp}(N;D) = 5:40(2)n_b$$
: (9)

A gain the crossover is very sharp, occurring near a value N = D 0:4 of the scaling variable.

According to the scaling theory [13], the free energy in the strong con nem ent lim it is always proportional to the number of blobs, n<sub>b</sub>. In the special case of quasi-1d con nem ent (a tube for 3d chains or a strip for 2d chains) the average end-to-end distance is proportional to  $n_b D$  , so that the ratio  $FD = R_k$  is independent of both N and D. Physically, this ratio gives an estimate for the free energy of con nem ent per blob in kg T units. The question of whether this ratio is model-dependent or universal was addressed by Burkhardt and Guim [25]. Using eldtheoretical m ethods and the equivalence of self-avoiding walks and the n-vectorm odelofm agnetism in the lim it of n! 0 they have shown that this ratio (which we denote in the following as the Burkhardt amplitude  $A_B$  ) is indeed universal. Extrapolating nite-size transfer-m atrix results for the case of repulsive walls in d = 2 they ob-2:10 0:01. A tained a num erical estim ate of  $A_{B2}$ though MC simulation data for 2d SAW s con ned in a strip with hard walls were obtained a few years ago, no direct com parison to the theoretical prediction wasm ade



FIG. 5: Rescaled free energy relative to a one-end grafted random coil, F (N;D)=(ND<sup>1=</sup>) in a log-log scale. The data collapse is achieved by Eq. (8). The inset shows the log-log plot of  $F_{im\,p;1} = \lim_{N \, ! \, 1} F(N;D)=N$  versus D. The dashed line is 5:40D<sup>1=</sup>.

at the time. The M C data of H su and G rassberger give  $R_k$  0.915N D <sup>1=3</sup> [21] and F = 1.944 (2)N D <sup>4=3</sup> [18] which results in  $A_{B,2}$  2.12 (4) in excellent agreement with eld-theoretical calculations. For a 3d chain in a tube the Burkhardt am plitude m ust be also universalal-though no num ericalestim ates were everproduced. From our M C results, Eq. (6) and (9), it gives  $A_{B,3}$  5:79 (6). It would be interesting to check this value using other simulation m odels.

It was proposed and checked numerically for short polymer chains in Ref. [16] that the distribution for the gyration radius  $r_g$  along the tube axis can be presented as a sum of two term s:

$$\ln P(r_{g} N; D) = N(D = a)^{1} A u + B u; (10)$$

where and are linked to the space dimension d and the F lory exponent by =  $(d \ 1)^{1}$  and =  $(1 \ )^{1}$ , and u =  $(r_{g}=N \ a)(D=a)^{1+1=}$  is the segment volume concentration expressed as a function of the gyration radius and the connem ent geometry. The parameters A and B are model-dependent numerical coecients of order unity, which do not depend on N or D.

From our M C simulations, we found that the above formula has to be corrected by an additional  $r_g$  -independent term , i.e.

$$\ln P (r_{g} N; D) = N (D = a)^{1=} A u + Bu + C :$$
(11)

Results of the rescaled distribution  $\ln (P(r_g \mathbf{N}; D))=P(r_m \mathbf{N}; D))=N D^{1=}$  plotted against  $r_g=(N D^{1-1=})$  for N=5000, and 10000, and for D=25, 33, and 49 are shown in Fig 6. Here the radius of gyration  $r_{gm}$  corresponds to the maximum of the distribution  $P(r_g \mathbf{N}; D)$ . Near  $r_{gm}$ , we see a very nice data collapse, and the rescaled distribution can be



FIG. 6: Rescaled distribution h (P ( $r_g N$ ; D)=P ( $r_{g,m} N$ ; D))=(N D<sup>1=</sup>) plotted against  $r_g$ =N D<sup>11=</sup> for various values of N and D. The radius of gyration  $r_{g,m}$  corresponds to the maximum of the distribution P ( $r_g N$ ; D). Near  $r_{g,m}$ , f(x) = A (x + Bx + C) with A = 0:185, B = 76:757, and C = 8:784 gives the best t of the data, here x =  $r_g$ =(N D<sup>11=</sup>).



FIG. 7: Rescaled distribution  $\ln (\mathbb{P} (r_k \ N \ ; D) = \mathbb{P} (r_{k,m} \ N \ ; D)) = (\mathbb{N} \ D^{-1} = )$  plotted against  $r_k = \mathbb{N} \ D^{-1} = for \ various \ values \ of \mathbb{N}$  and D. The end-to-end distance  $r_{k,m}$  corresponds to the maximum of the distribution P ( $r_k \ N \ ; D$ ). Near  $r_{g,m}$ , f(x) = A (x + Bx + C) with A = 0.953, B = 0.801, and C = 1:771 gives the best tof the data, here x =  $r_k = (\mathbb{N} \ D^{-1})^{-1}$ ).

described by Eq. (11) with A = 0.185, B = 76:757, and C = 8:784 very well. Thus we conclude that Eq. (1), even if it is not exact, at least is a very good num erical approximation to the actual distribution function. In Fig. 7, we check that the results for the end-to-end distance distribution P ( $r_k$  N; D) can be also described by the same function fEq. 11g with A = 0:953, B = 0:801, and C = 1:771.



FIG. 8: Free energy relative to a one-end graffed chain, F(N;L;D) =  $\ln [Z(N;L;D)=Z_N^{(1)}(D)]$ , plotted against ND<sup>1=</sup>.

#### IV. SINGLE POLYMER CHAINSESCAPE FROM A NANOTUBE

We simulate 3D SAW and BSAW sstarting at the grafting point of the tube with length L = 200, 400, 800, and1600, and diam eter D = 17, 21, 25 and 29. Depending on the chosen size of L and D, the total chain length is varied from 1400 to 18000 in order to cover the transition regime. Results of the free energy relative to a one-end grafted random coil for L = 800 and L = 1600 are shown in Fig. 8. It is clear that there are two branches of the free energy. For a xed diam eter D we see that initially the free energy increases linearly with the chain length N, but as N exceeds a certain value, the chain escapes from the tube, a sharp crossover behavior from an im prisoned state to an escaped state is indeed seen. Values of the excess free energy of the chain in an escaped state,  $F_{esc} (N; L; D)$ , are determined by the horizontal curves shown in Fig. 8, which are independent of N. Results of Fesc (N;L;D) for various values of L and D are presented in Fig. 9, where we obtain

$$F_{esc} (N; L; D) = 4:23(7) L = D$$
 (12)

A coording to the requirem ent that the free energies of polym er chains in both im prisoned and escaped states should be the same at the transition point, the transition point is therefore determ ined by equating Eq. (9) and Eq. (12). We obtain the relation between L, N, and D at the transition point, i.e.,

$$(N = L)_{tr} = 0.78 (2) D^{1=1}$$
: (13)

O ne can also estimate N D  $^{1=}$  at the transition point directly from Fig. 8 for xed tube length L and tube diameter D. In Fig. 10 we plot N D  $^{1=}$  against L=D. The best t for the data given by the straight line is N D  $^{1=}$  = 0:785(10)L=D which is in perfect agreement with Eq. (13).



FIG.9: The log-log plot of the excess free energy of the escaped chain,  $F_{\rm esc}$  (N;L;D), plotted against L=D for various values of L and D. The dashed line is 4:23L=D and gives the best t of the data.



FIG. 10: Results of the transition points obtained by estimating the intersection points of the two branches shown in Fig.8. The dashed line is 0.785L=D and gives the best t of the data.





FIG.11: A verage end-to-end distance divided by L, R $_{jj}{=}L$ , plotted against N =L for various values of L and D. The rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length N.



FIG.12: A verage fraction of imprisoned number, N  $_{\rm im}$  p=N , plotted against N =L for various values of L and D . The rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length N .

while the chain is con ned completely to N<sub>imp</sub>=N 3 = 4as N=L increases, and the rounding of the transition is due to the nite size e ect. In the problem of escape transition, the order parameter is de ned by the stretching degree of the con ned segments of polymer chains. As the chain is in an imprisoned state, s = r=N where r is the instantaneous end-to-end distance of the con ned chain along the tube axis, while as the chain is in an escaped state, s = L=n where n is the imprisoned num ber of m onom ers (num ber of m onom ers in the stem ) [26]. Results of the average order parameter S = < s > againstN = L are presented in Fig. 13. An abrupt jum p from Sim p to S<sub>esc</sub> is developed at the transition point in Fig. 13 as the system size L increases for each data set of a given value of D. Since it is di cult to give a precise estimate of the transition point directly from those results shown in Fig. 11-13, we use a di erent strategy by plotting a



FIG.13: A verage order parameter S plotted against N =L for various values of L and D. The rounding of the transition is due to the niteness of the chain length N.



FIG. 14: Distribution functions of the order parameter P(N;L;D;s) vs. s near the transition point for L = 1600 and D = 17. The distribution is normalized by choosing  $_{s}P(N;L;D;s) = 1$ . A constant is added to distinguish between di erent curves.

straight line with  $N = L = 0.785D^{1=1}$  for each D. We see that the straight lines do go through the intersection point for a xed value D (results are not shown).

Finally, results of the distribution function of the order parameter, P (N;L;D;s), are presented in Fig. 14 for L = 1600 and D = 17 near the transition point. For our simulations, the distribution function P (N;L;D;s) is given by properly normalized acqumulated histograms of the order parameters, namely  $_{\rm s}$  P (N;L;D;s) = 1 (see Sec. V). We see the bim odal behavior of the distribution functions as one should expect for the rst-order transition near the transition point. At the transition point (N =L)<sub>tr</sub> 5:7, the two peaks are of equal height. Below the transition point chains are in favor of staying in an imprisoned state, while above the transition point chains stay in an escaped state.

### V. FORCE-BIASED PERM

According to the predictions of the Landau free energy approach in three dimensions at the escape transition point, the magnitude of the jumps of the end-toend distance, the order parameter, and the fraction of im prisoned number of monomers should be much more prominent than that for the 2d case described in our previous work [18]. There, polymer chains were described by 2d SAW on a square lattice with the constraint that chains are con ned in nite strips. In order to suppress dense con gurations and sam ple m ore relatively open chain con gurations, we employed the algorithm PERM with k-step Markovian anticipation [6, 21] to study the problem of 2d escape transition. How ever, this method is very e cient for generating hom ogeneous con gurations of very long polym er chains in the im prisoned state not only for the 2d case but also for the 3d case which will be presented in the next section, while it fails with producing inhom ogeneous ower-like con qurations in the escaped state. The di culty was already noticed in Ref. [18] by the disappearance of jum ps in the therm odynam ic lim it and the lack of data for describing the Landau free energy as a function of the order param eter s in an escaped state for larger system s. C learly, it becom es a m ore serious problem when the same sam pling m ethod and m odel are applied to the study of polym er chains escaping from a tube. O ur test run show ed that for xed diam eter D the transition occursm uch later (if we а choose N =L as a control param eter) and the m agnitude of the jump decreases as the tube length L increases (see Fig. 15). One could imagine that in the therm odynam ic limit of N ! 1 and L ! 1, the conform ation change becom es continuous. How ever this con jecture is based on the artifacts due to the ine ciency of the original chosen m odel and m ethod.

A new strategy for generating su cient sam plings of the ower-like con gurations in the phase space is proposed as follows: We rst apply an extra constant force along the tube to pull the free end of a grafted chain outward to the open end of the tube as long as the chain is still con ned in a tube, and release the chain once som e segments of it is outside the tube. By varying the strength of the force, we obtain con gurations in the escaped state with various stretching degree of m onom er sequents (stems) which are still con ned in a tube. Finally the contributions for the escaped states are given by properly reweighting these con gurations to the case without applying extra forces. Now a partially stretched polym er in a good solvent is described by a biased SAW (BSAW) with nite cylindrical geometry con nement. The stretching is denoted by a factor  $b = \exp(aF)$  where a is the lattice constant, F is the stretching force, and  $= 1 = k_B T$ . For our simulations, a and are rescaled as units of length and inverse energy, respectively. Both are set to 1 in the simulations. The partition sum of a

BSAW of N steps is, therefore,

$$Z_{b}(N;L;D) = b^{x}$$
(14)

with

b = 
$$\begin{array}{c} 1 ; 0 < x \quad L ; \hat{y} + z^2 < D \\ 1 ; \text{ otherw ise (SAW )} \end{array}$$
 (15)

here  $x = x_N$   $x_0$  is the displacement (in units of a lattice constant) of the end-to-end vector onto the direction of F (along the tube axis). The rst monomer is located at  $x_0 = 0$  as shown in Fig. 2. Based on the algorithm PERM, polymer chains are built like random walks by adding one monomer at each step and each con guration carries its own weight which is a product of those weight gains at each step, i.e. W (N) =  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} w(j)$  with  $w(j) = b^{(x_j - x_{j-1})}$  and w(j = 0) = 1 in the current case (cf. Eq. (14)). It has the advantage that one can estim ate the partition sum directly as given by,

$$\hat{Z}_{b}(N;L;D) = \frac{1}{M_{b}} X_{fC_{b}g}(C_{b})$$
 (16)

here  $C_b$  denotes a con guration of a BSAW of N steps, con ned in a nite tube of length L and diameter D, M<sub>b</sub> is the total trial con gurations, and W<sub>b</sub>(C<sub>b</sub>) = W<sub>b</sub>(N;L;D) is the total weight of obtaining the con guration C<sub>b</sub>. Thus, each con guration of a BSAW with the stretching factor b<sub>k</sub> contributes a weight W <sup>(k)</sup>(N;L;D) after re-weighting to compensate for the bias introduced earlier as

$$W^{(k)}(N;L;D) = \begin{array}{c} W_{b_{k}}(N;L;D) = b_{k}^{X_{N}} & x_{0} ; x_{N} & L \\ W_{b_{k}}(N;L;D) = b_{k}^{L} ; x_{N} > L ; \end{array}$$

$$(17)$$

here index k labels runs with di erent values of the stretching factor b.

Combining data runs with di erent values of b the average value of any observable 0 is given by

$$< O > = \frac{\frac{P - P}{P - P}}{\frac{k_{p} - config: 2 C_{b_{k}} O (C_{b_{k}}) W^{-(k)} (N; L; D)}{k - config: 2 C_{b_{k}} W^{-(k)} (N; L; D)}}$$
(18)

and the estim ate of the partition sum

$$Z (N;L;D) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k \text{ config:} 2 C_{D_k}}^{X} W^{(k)} (N;L;D)$$
(19)

here M is the total num ber of trial con gurations.

The distribution of the order parameter, P(N;L;D;s) / H(N;L;D;s), is obtained by accumulating the histogram s H(N;L;D;s) of s, where H(N;L;D;s) is given by,

$$H = (N; L; D; s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} X H^{(k)} (N; L; D; s)$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} X X W^{(k)} (N; L; D; s^{0})_{s; s^{0}} (20)$$

$$= \frac{1}{M} K \operatorname{config}_{2} C_{b_{k}} (N; L; D; s^{0})_{s; s^{0}} (20)$$

and the partition sum of polymer chains con ned in a nite tube can be written as

$$Z (N;L;D) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ H (N;L;D;s) \end{array}$$
(21)

in accordance with Eq. (19).

### VI. COMPARISON OF RESULTS BY OLD AND NEW ALGORITHM

Here we are going to show some of the technical details of simulations by PERM and the resulting problem. We present the spurious results obtained by PERM without force biases in detail and the far-reaching conclusions they could lead to. The whole situation is of considerable methodological and pedagogical value. We also discuss some general ways to avoid the pitfalls and discriminate between authentic and spurious data.

# A. Results without force biases: new phase transition physics suggested?

Let us focus on the results of the average end-to-end distance R<sub>k</sub>=L. Using the algorithm PERM with k-step Markovian anticipation as in our previous work (2d escape transition) [18], we present the data of  $R_k = L$  as a function of the reduced chain length parameter N = L for D = 21 in Fig. 15. Each curve corresponds to a xed tube length L.W ith increasing the system size, i.e. increasing L from L = 200 to L = 1600, the system atic change of the curves describes the approach to the therm odynam ic lim it. The data points fallon sm ooth curves without much statistical scattering, and the curves them selves suggest a system atic trend as L increases. It is clear that for each L the chain size experiences a sharp increase at som e value of N =L. The sharpness of the curves increases to some extent as the system size increases near the transition point, which is not unexpected if one suspects a

rst-order transition to be involved. The transition point of a nite system can be estimated, and a shift of its position with increase in L is obvious. Thus one is im m ediately tem pted to extrapolate the transition point to L! 1, which is pointed by an arrow in Fig. 15. Simultaneously, the magnitude of the jum p is also changing system atically which calls for another extrapolation. This extrapolation shows that in the therm odynam ic lim it the jum p vanishes (the long dashed line in Fig. 15.) O verall, this suggests a sophisticated and weak rst-order transition with nite-size e ects that disappears in the thermodynamic limit. This is in agreement with the intuit ive picture of a chain overgrowing and escaping out of the tube opening without any jumps and also follows the conventional blob picture. Further analysis would have to reconcile several con icting ndings. At the extrapolated transition point, the free energy still has a



FIG.15: A verage end-to-end distance divided by L, R<sub>jj</sub>=L, plotted against N =L for various values of L and D = 21. Results are obtained by PERM without force biases. The transition point indicated by the arrow is the intersection between the extrapolated curve (long dashed curve) and the curve R<sub>jj</sub>=L = 1.



FIG.16: A verage end-to-end distance divided by L, R $_{1j}$ =L, plotted against N=L for L = 200 and 600, and D = 21. Results are obtained by PERM with (new) and without (old) force biases. The true transition point is indicated by an arrow.

discontinuity in the slope leaving the signature of a rstorder transition. On the other hand, the extrapolated curve of  $R_k$ =L versus N=L also acquires a discontinuity in the slope suggesting a continuous transition. A thoughtful investigator would recall the statem ent about in possibility of phase transitions in 1d system s. How – ever, at the transition point ( $R_k = L$ ), the chain starts leaving the tube and claim s back (at least partially) its three-dimensional nature! O verall, the picture of the phenom enon is very rich and thought-provoking. The only curve that is slightly outside the general system atic trend corresponds to L = 200. How ever, this can be clearly attributed to the stronger nite-size e ect.

Results obtained by PERM with and without force bi-

ases for L = 200 and 1600, for D = 21, and for the chain length N which is large enough to cover the transition regions are shown in Fig. 16 by symbols and curves respectively for comparison. It is clear that for the smaller system, L = 200, both algorithm s give the same results. In contrast to this for L = 1600 the new algorithm produces a very di erent curve that does not show a shift of the transition point (nor a decrease in the magnitude of the jump). The true transition point is indicated by an arrow and is as far as about 25% away from the presum ed value obtained by extrapolating data to the therm odynam ic lim it without force biases. In short, the new algorithm con m s that the escape transition is a normal rst-order transition with all the expected nite-size e ects which include (approximate) the crossing of the curves for di erent size without a system atic shift and sharpening of the transition with increasing L.

#### B. How to avoid spurious results?

The question posed is of a very general nature that any researcher has to address again and again in various situations. As far as simulated systems undergoing phase transitions are concerned, the best answer that we could come up with is related to the careful analysis of the distribution functions of the order parameter. Here we do not address the question of how to de ne the order param eter for a particular phase transition. In the case of the escape transition, it was shown in Sec. IV that the order parameter should describe the stretching of the con ned segments of a chain, s = r = N for the con ned state, and s = L = n for the escaped state. It is intuitively clear that the stretching degree is stronger, i.e., the value of s is larger, for a fully con ned chain (in an imprisoned state) than that for a partially con ned chain (in an escaped state).

Far from the transition point the distribution function of the order parameter P (N;L;D;s) is unimodal but near the transition point it has a bim odal form as shown in Fig. 14 and Fig. 17. As the system size increases, i.e. L increases for a xed vale of D = 21, the shape of P (N;L;D;s) changes system atically. At the transition point the two peaks are of equal height and the depth of the gap between them increases with L. For L = 200 the bim odal behavior of the distribution function P (N;L;D;s) is developed by both algorithms (Fig 17a). A more close inspection shows that using PERM without force biases there is a cut-o at large s although the maxima is traced very con dently. The appears earlier as L increases and the problem cut-o of developing a right-hand maximum becomes progressively severe. A lready for L = 800 the branch of the distribution function corresponding to the escaped state is completely cut o . For L = 1600 even the full description of the con ned state is lost, although the vicinity of the maximum is reproduced very fairly (here the di erence between the old and the new method is only due to



FIG. 17: H istogram s of the order parameter P (N;L;D;s) vs. s near the transition point (N=L)<sub>tr</sub> for D = 2 pand various values of L. The values of N=L are (a) 6:9, (b) 6:8, (c) 6:7, and (d) 6:7. The distribution is norm alized by choosing  $_{s}$  P (N;L;D;s) = 1. The left and right branches correspond to chains in the imprisoned state and escaped states respectively.

norm alization). A de cient sam pling leads to spurious behavior of the equilibrium average. Since the problem is clearly related to poor sam plings of strongly stretched states, introducing the force biased strategy that enriches stretched conform ations is a natural in provem ent of the algorithm PERM.

E ven if the correct distribution function was not available, the system atic changes in the shape of the distribution function obtained without force biases ring the alarm bell: the initially sm ooth bim odal distribution function is being progressively and forcibly cut o which does not correspond to any reasonable physical picture. This is a very strong indication that the algorithm experiences severe di culties in sampling the relevant portions of the phase space. Once these portions are identified, a reasonable recipe for enriching the relevant set of con gurations could be norm ally introduced. To nalize, we stress that following the behavior of the distribution functions with the increase in the system size is a powerful test of the quality of results.

#### VII. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have shown that with the force-biased PERM we are able to produce su cient samplings for the con gurations in the escaped regime for the 3d escape problem. It solves the di culty of getting inhom ogeneous ower-like conformations mentioned in the 2d escape transition [18]. As predicted by the Landau theory approach, we indeed see rather pronounced jum ps in the quantities of the end-to-end distance, the fraction of im prisoned num ber of m onom ers, and the order param eter. These jumps become sharper as the tube length L increases or the tube diam eter D decreases, indicating the transition is a rst-order like. The occurrence of an abrupt change of the slope of the free energy gives the precise estimate of the transition point for the polymer chain of length N con ned in a nite tube of length L and diameter D. We are also able to give an evidence for the two minimum picture of the rst-order like transition, and our num erical results are in perfect agreem ent with the theoretical predictions by Landau theory [27].

For fully con ned single polymer chains in a tube, we have also shown nice cross-over data collapse for the free energy and the end-to-end distance with high accuracy M C data by using the algorithm PERM with k-step M arkovian anticipation. A lthough the scaling behaviour is well know for this problem, it has never been shown directly in the literatures apart from some results already given in Ref. [27].

W e expect that the m ethod developed in the present paper, which allows to obtain equilibrium properties of chains which are partially in free space and partially con-

ned in cylindrical tubes, could also be useful to clarify some aspects of the problem of (forced) translocation of long polymers through narrow pores in mem – branes. Of course, then one needs to consider con gurations of chains which have (in general) escaped parts on both sides of the con ning tube. In this problem, it is strongly debated to what extent these transient, partly escaped, con gurations requirement therm al equilibrium states [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. O fcourse, for applications to biom olecules such as RNA, single-stranded

- G. Subram anian, D. R. M. W illiam s, and P. A. Pincus, Europhys. Lett., 29, 285 (1995); M acrom olecules, 29, 4045 (1996).
- [2] J. Ennis, E. M. Sevick, and D. R. M. W illiam s, Phys. Rev. E, 60, 6906 (1999).
- [3] E.M. Sevick and D.R.M.W illiams, M acrom olecules, 32,6841 (1999).
- [4] B.M. Steels, F.A.M. Leerm akers, and C.A. Haynes, J. Chrom. B., 743, 31 (2000).
- [5] A.M ilchev, V.Yam akov, K.Binder, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 2083 (1999); Europhys. Lett., 47, 675 (1999).
- [6] P.G rassberger, Phys. Rev. E 56, 3682 (1997).
- [7] C.M. Schroeder, H.P.Babcock, E.S.G. Shaqfeh, and S.Chu, Science 301, 1515 (2003).
- [8] T.Hugel, M. Seitz, M acrom ol. Rapid Commun. 22, 989 (2001).
- [9] H.M atsuoka, M acrom ol. R apid C om m un. 22, 51 (2001).
- [10] M. P. Allen, D. J. T ildesley, \C om puter Simulation of liquids", Oxford University Press (1989).
- [11] A.M. Skvortsov, L.I.K lushin and F.A.M. Leern akers, J.Chem. Phys. 126, 024905 (2007).
- [12] L.D. Landau and M E. Lifshitz. \Statistical Physics", Pergam on Press, Oxford (1958).
- [13] M. Daoud, P. G. de Gennes, J. Phys. (paris), 38, 85 (1977).
- [14] K. Kremer and K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys., 81, 6381 (1984).
- [15] A.Mikhev, W. Paul, and K.Binder, Macromol. Theory Simul, 3, 305 (1994).
- [16] P. Sotta, A. Lesne, and J. M. Victor, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 1565 (2000).
- [17] Y.Yang, T.W. Burkhardt, and G.G om pper, Phys. Rev. E, 76, 011804 (2007).
- [18] H.-P.Hsu, K.Binder, L.I.Klushin, and A.M. Skvortsov, Phys. Rev. E 76, 021108 (2007).
- [19] W. Reisner, K.J.Morton, R.Riehn, Y.M.Wang, Z.Yu, M.Rosen, J.C.Sturm, S.Y.Chou, E.Frey, and R.H. Austin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 196101 (2005).
- [20] R.Randel, H.Loebl, and C.Matthai, Macromol. Theory

DNA more realistic models than self-avoiding walks on a lattice need to be used, before one may makes contact with the experiment [37, 38].

#### A cknow ledgem ents

W e are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (DFG) for nancial support: A M S. and L JK. were supported under grant Nos. 436 RUS 113/863/0, and H.-P.H. was supported under grant NO SFB 625/A 3. A M S. received partial support under grant NW O-RFBR 047.011.2005.009, and RFBR 08-03-00402a. Stim ulating discussions with A.G rosberg, J.-U. Som mer, and Z.U satenko are acknow ledged. H.-P.H. thanks P.G rassberger for very helpful discussions.

Simul.13,387 (2004).

- [21] H.-P. H su and P. G rassberger, Eur. Phys. J. B, 36, 209 (2003).
- [22] P.G. de Gennes, "Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics" ; (Cornell University Press Ithaca, New York, 1979).
- [23] H.-P. Hsu, P. Grassberger, M acrom olecules 37, 4658 (2004).
- [24] P.G rassberger, J.Phys.A: Math Gen. 38, 323 (2005).
- [25] T.W. Burkhardt and I.Guim, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5833 (1999).
- [26] L.I.K Lushin, A.M. Skvortsov, and F.A.M. Leem akers, Phys. Rev. E 69, 061101 (2004).
- [27] L.I.K Lushin, A.M. Skvortsov, H.-P.H su, and K.Binder, M acrom olecules 41, 5890 (2008).
- [28] W .Sung and P.J.Park, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 783 (1996).
- [29] M.Muthukumar, J.Chem. Phys. 111, 10371 (1999).
- [30] D.K.Lubensky and D.R.Nelson, B jophys. J. 77, 1824 (1999).
- [31] J.Chuang, Y.K antor, and M.K ardar, Phys. Rev. E 65, 011802 (2001).
- [32] Y. Kantor and M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. E 69, 021806 (2004).
- [33] A. M ikhev and K. Binder, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 6042 (2004).
- [34] K.Luo, T.Ala-Nissila, and S.-C.Ying, J.Chem.Phys. 124, 034714 (2006); K.Luo, I.Huopaniemi, T.Ala-Nissila and S.-C.Ying, J.Chem.Phys. 124, 114704 (2006).
- [35] J. K. W olterink, G. T. Barkem a, and D. Panja, Phys. Rev.Lett. 96, 208301 (2006); D. Panja, G. T. Barkem a and R. C. Ball, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 19, 432202 (2007); ibid. 20, 095224 (2008).
- [36] J.L.A.Dubbeldam, A.Milchev, V.G.Rostiashvili, and T.A.Vilgis, Phys. Rev. E 76, 010801 (R) (2007); Europhys. Lett. 79, 18002 (2007).
- [37] B. A lberts et al. M olecular Biology of the Cell (G erland Publ., New York, 1994).
- [38] A.Meller, J.Phys.: Condens.Matter 15, R 581 (2003).