A nom alous elasticity in nem atic and sm ectic elastom er tubule

0 laf Stenull

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA (Dated: February 20, 2024)

We study anom alous elasticity in the tubule phases of nem atic and smectic elastom erm embranes, which are at in one direction and crum pled in another. These phases share the same emacroscopic sym metry properties including spontaneously-broken in-plane isotropy and hence belong to the same universality class. Below an upper critical value $D_c = 3$ of the membranes' intrinsic dimension D, therm all uctuations renorm alize the elasticity with respect to elastic displacements along the tubule axis so that elastic moduli for compression along the tubule axis and for bending the tubule axis become elength-scale dependent. This anom alous elasticity belongs to the same universality class as that of d-dimensional conventional smectics with D taking on the role of d. For physical tubule, D = 2, this anomaly is of power-law type and thus might by easier to detect experimentally than the logarithm ic anomaly in conventional smectics.

PACS num bers: 61.30.-v, 61.41.+ e, 64.60 F-

Liquid crystal elastom ers [1] are unique m aterials that com bine the rubber elasticity of polym er networks with the orientational properties and rich phase behavior of liquid crystals [2] which includes nem atic, smectic-(SmA) and smectic-C (SmC) phases. Elaborate А crosslinking techniques have been developed to synthesize m onodom ain samples of nem atic [3] and sm ectic [4] elastomers. With these techniques, one can e ciently produce from sm all am ounts of m aterial sam ples in the form of thin lm s or m em branes. For example, sm ectic elastomer $\ln s$ have been produced as thin as 75 nm [5]. Experiments on such Ims include measurements of the electroclinic e ect in at lm s [5, 6] and m easurem ents of elastic constants of Ims that have been in ated to sphericalbubbles [7]. The potential of liquid crystal elastom er m em branes for further experim ental realizations appears prom ising and calls for a deepening of their theoretical understanding.

Isotropic polymerized membranes have been extensively studied over the past two decades [8]. For example, it is well established that a at phase with long-range orientational order in the local membrane norm al is favored at low tem perature over a crum pled phase which is entropically preferred at high tem perature. M ore recently, it has been discovered that perm anent in-plane anisotropy modies the phase diagram [9, 10]; it leads to interm ediate phases between the usual at and crum pled phases, so-called tubule phases, which are extended in one direction and crum pled in another. Very recently, liquid crystal elastom er m em branes (see Fig. 1 for cartoons) have gained some interest [11, 12, 13], in part because of their potential to realize anisotropic membranes experim entally. However, their mesogenic com ponent not only allows for anisotropy, it also allows for a spontaneous developm ent thereof. This unique feature sets them apart from perm anently an isotropic m em branes and provides for a number of interesting phenom ena. Com pared to perm anently an isotropic m em -



FIG.1: Cartoons of elastom er m em branes in the (a) Sm C { at, (b) nem atic{ at, and (c) nem atic{ or Sm C {tubule phase. In (a), the thickness of the m em brane is vastly exaggerated to allow for a depiction of the m esogens and the arrows sym bolize the c-director, i.e., the com ponents of the Frank director perpendicular to the local m em brane norm al. In (c), the arrows sym bolize am biguously the c-director or the director depending on whether the m em brane is sm ectic or nem atic.

branes, their phase diagram s are richer, at least if one assumes, as we do, idealized crosslinking that avoids locking-in permanent anisotropy. The mean-eld phase diagram sofnem atic [12] and smectic [13] elastomermem branes each feature ve phases, namely isotropic{ at, isotropic{crum pled, nem atic{ at, nem atic{crum pled and nem atic{tubule for the former, and SmA{ at, SmA{ crum pled, SmC{ at, SmC{crum pled and SmC{tubule for the latter. Because of spontaneous breaking of inplane isotropy, the nem atic{ at, nem atic{tubule, SmC{ at, and SmC{tubule phases exhibit soft elasticity, i.e., certain elastic moduli vanish as mandated by the Goldstone theorem, that is qualitatively distinct from the elasticity of the at and tubule phases of permanently anisotropic mem branes.

In this note, we study the e ects of therm al uctuations on the elasticity of the tubule phases of nem atic and sm ectic elastom er m em branes. These phases share the sam e m acroscopic sym m etries including spontaneously broken in-plane isotropy and the resulting softness and hence belong to the same universality class. Typically, uctuation e ects are strong in soft phases because uctuations drive elastic nonlinearities, which are often negligible in system s without soft elasticity, to qualitatively modify the elasticity through a G rinstein-P elcovits (GP)type renorm alization [14]. As a consequence of this renorm alization, the elasticity becom es anom alous with length-scale dependent elastic constants. We explore this anom alous elasticity of nem atic and sm ectic elastom er tubule by using renorm alized eld theory [15].

Physical membranes are generically two-dimensional manifolds embedded in three-dimensional space. In the following, to facilitate eld theory, we consider generalizations to D -dim ensional manifolds in d-dim ensional space. For simplicity, we ignore the e ects of selfavoidence and heterogeneities such as random stresses. We employ the framework of Lagrange elasticity theory [16, 17]. We label mass points in the undeform ed m em brane by a reference space vector x =D;)x W e denote the position in target space of $(x_1;$ the mass point with intrinsic coordinate x by \Re (x) = d;(₨)), and label the corresponding coor-(R₁(x); dinates with indices from the middle of the alphabet, i; j = 1; ;d. To keep our discussion as simple as possible, we use orthonorm al target space basis vectors \hat{e}_i with components $\hat{e}_{i;j} = i_j$ satisfying $\hat{e}_i \neq i_j$ and choose the reference space basis vectors to form a subset of the set $f\hat{e}_i g$ as we can, because the reference space can be viewed as a subspace of the target space.

Physicalnem atic and sm ectic tubule phases are characterized by an equilibrium metric tensor with one positive eigenvalue, say 2 , and one vanishing eigenvalue [12, 13]. To facilitate eld theory, we consider in the following the m ore general case that there are $(D \quad 1)$ vanishing eigenvalues instead [18]. Choosing our basis so that \hat{e}_x ê₁ is along the eigenvector associated with 2 (which is in the direction of the nem atic or c-director, respectively), we can represent the reference conformation of the tubule as $\mathbb{R}^{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$. We label the components of x in the reference-space directions perpendicular to \hat{e}_x with indices from the G reek alphabet, ; = 2;;D. Unless stated otherwise, the sum mation convention on repeated reference and the target space indices is understood. To describe distortions, we use a one-dimensional elastic displacement eld u (x) and a (d 1)-dimensional height eld $\tilde{n}(x)$ which is perpendicular to the tubule's axis \hat{e}_x . With this param etrization, the target space coordinate of the m ass point x after distortion becom es

$$\Re(x) = [x + u(x)] \hat{e}_x + \tilde{h}(x)$$
: (1)

The corresponding metric tensor g has the components

$$g_{xx} = {}^{2} + 2u_{xx}$$
; $g_{x} = 2u_{x}$; $g = 2u$; (2)

with the components of the strain tensor \underline{u} given by

$$u_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} 2 Q_x u + (Q_x u)^2 + Q_x h Qh;$$
 (3a)

$$u_x = \frac{1}{2} (+ Q_x u)Q u + Q_x h Q h;$$
 (3b)

$$u = \frac{1}{2} @ u @ u + @ ñ @ñ : (3c)$$

There are various possibilities to set up elastic energy densities for liquid crystal elastom er m em branes including form ulations which account for liquid crystalline degrees of freedom explicitly [12, 13]. For our purposes, the m ost econom ical one is an elective form ulation in term s of elastic degrees of freedom only. As a starting point for our theory, we use the well established stretching energy density for isotropic polymerized m em branes [19] which we augment with higher-order terms to ensure m echanical stability under the development of a tubule phase,

$$f = ttr\underline{g} + \frac{1}{2}B tr^{2}\underline{g} + tr\underline{g}^{2} C tr\underline{g}tr\underline{g}^{2} + E tr^{2}\underline{g}^{2};$$
(4)

where t is a strongly temperature-dependent parameter, B and are Lame coe cients, and C and E are higher-order expansion coe cients. In Eq. (4), we om it term sbeyond fourth order that are irrelevant for our purposes and we disregard third and fourth order term s such as $tr^3 g$ and $tr^4 g$ whose inclusion does not change our ndings qualitatively. Next, we expand Eq. (4) about the equilibrium metric tensor describing an undistorted tubulus. D ropping inconsequential constant term swe obtain

$$f = a_{1}u_{xx} + a_{2}u + b_{1}u_{xx}^{2} + b_{2}u_{xx}u + b_{3}u^{2} + b_{4}u^{2} + 2u_{x}^{2} \qquad 8C \operatorname{tr}\underline{u}\operatorname{tr}\underline{u}^{2} + 32 \operatorname{}^{2}E u_{xx}\operatorname{tr}\underline{u}^{2} + 16E \operatorname{tr}^{2}\underline{u}^{2}: \qquad (5)$$

The elastic constants featured in Eq. (5) are conglom erates of the original elastic constants featured in Eq. (4)and powers of \cdot . They are not independent; they obey the relations

$$a_1 \quad a_2 \quad {}^2b_4 = 0; \quad b_2 \quad 2b_3 + 8 \quad {}^2C = 0;$$
 (6a)
 $b_1 \quad b_2 + b_3 \quad b_4 \quad 16 \quad {}^4E = 0;$ (6b)

Because u_{xx} describes deviations of g_{xx} from its equilibrium value ², the coe cient a_1 of the term linear in u_{xx} must vanish. Exploiting the W ard identities (6) and setting $a_1 = 0$, we can recast the elastic energy density as

$$f = b_1 w_{xx}^2 + b_2 w_{xx} w + b_3 w^2 + b_4 \qquad ^2 u + u^2 + 2u_x^2 \qquad ^2 u_{xx} tr \underline{u}^2 \qquad ^4 u_{xx} tr^2 \underline{u}^2 ; \qquad (7)$$

where we have introduced the composite strains

$$w_{xx} = u_{xx} + {}^{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\underline{u}}^{2}; \quad w = u \qquad {}^{2} \operatorname{tr} \underline{\underline{u}}^{2}: (8)$$

The b_4 -term and w are of a peculiar structure such that multiple cancellations occur once we express f in term s of u and \tilde{n} via Eq. (3),

$$w_{xx} = Q_x u + \frac{1}{2} (Q_u)^2 + \text{ invelevant}; \qquad (9a)$$

$$w = 0 + irrelevant; \tag{9b}$$

$$b_4 - \text{term} = \frac{1}{2} b_4^{2} (0) \tilde{h} (0) + \text{irrelevant}; \quad (9c)$$

where we, anticipating results of power-counting argum ents to be presented below, dropped all terms that turn out being irrelevant in the sense of the renormalization group (RG). Note that among the various relevant contributions to the b₄-term that cancel, are the contributions quadratic in @ u, and thus the tubules are soft with respect to @ u-deformations. In order to proceed towards the desired eld theoretic H am iltonian, we insert Eq. (9) into the elastic energy density (7) and we rescale x ! x. Then we add bending terms as mandated by mechanical stability and nally integrate over the reference space coordinate x to switch from the elastic energy density. These steps result in

$$\frac{H}{T} = \frac{1}{2T} \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ d^{D} & {}^{1}x_{?} \end{bmatrix} dx \quad B_{u} \quad (\theta_{x}u + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_{u})^{2} + B_{h}(\theta_{u} \cap \theta_{u} \cap \theta_{u} \cap \theta_{u})^{2} + K_{h}(\theta_{u}^{2} \cap \theta_{u})^{2} + K_{h}(\theta_{u}^{2$$

where $B_u = 2b_1$, $B_h = (^2=2)b_4$ and where K_u and K_h are bending moduli. When expressed in terms of the original elastic constants and , B_h reads $B_h = 4 \ ^4 \ C \ ^2 E \ = \ ^2]$. Form echanical stability, B_h must be positive implying that C has to satisfy C > $\ ^2 E \ + \ = \ ^2$.

At this point it is worthwhile to discuss the rotational sym metries of the H am iltonian (10) brie y. As is the case for any elastic medium, the elastic energy describing our tubule must be invariant under global rotations in target space. Moreover, because we are interested in tubules that emerge from a phase with no in-plane anisotropy via spontaneous sym metry breaking, our elastic energy must also be invariant under global rotations in reference space. However, because we neglect irrelevant term s, H can satisfy these sym metries at best for small rotation angles, and indeed it does, as can be checked straightforwardly, e.g., by rotating the reference and target space bases using appropriate rotation matrixes.

Next, we turn to the aforem entioned power-counting analysis to assess the relevance of the various terms in the sense of the RG. To have some guidance in the analysis, we rst consider the mean square uctuations of the displacement and height elds in real space in the harm onic approximation. The Ham iltonian (10) im plies that the Gaussian propagators of these elds are given in momentum or wave-vector space by

$$G_{u}(q) = \frac{T}{B_{u}q_{x}^{2} + K_{u}q_{y}^{4}}; \quad G_{h;ij}(q) = \frac{T_{ij}}{B_{h}q_{y}^{2} + K_{h}q_{x}^{4}};$$
(11)

with $q_p^2 = q^2$ and where it is understood that i and j run over the subspace of the target space that is perpendicular to the tubule's axis \hat{e}_x . Calculating the Gaussian uctuations of the displacement eld in real space by Fouriertransform ing G_u (q), we nd hu (x) u (x) i L_2^{3D} , where L_? is the length of the tubule in any of its directions perpendicular \hat{e}_x were it to be attened out. Thus, in the harm onic approximation, the u-uctuations diverge in the infra-red for D < 3. For the height eld, on the L₂^{5=2 D}, which diother hand, we have $h\tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{h}(x)i$ verges in the infra-red for D < 5=2. Thus, if we decrease D from a high value where the mean eld approximation is exact to lower dimensions, infra-red divergences start to occurat D = 3, which signals that $D_{c} = 3$ is the upper critical dimension. This observation in conjunction with a inspection of G_u also signals that in our power-counting analysis we should count each power of q as two powers ofq. Note in comparison, that tubules in permanently anisotropic membranes do not feature the soft elasticity with respect to @ u-deform ations, and that their upropagator is thus qualitatively di erent from that given in Eq. (11) in that it carries a q_2^2 instead of the q_2^4 [9]. As a consequence, the real-space Gaussian uctuations in the latter become divergent in the infra-red in D < 2 rather than in D < 3 leading to an upper critical dim ension D $_{\rm c}$ = 5=2 rather than D $_{\rm c}$ = 3. As far as the lower criticaldim ension D_{lc} is concerned, i.e. the dim ension below which the membrane is inevitably crumpled, inspection of harm on ic uctuations $h\ell_x \hbar(x) \ \ell_x \hbar(x)$ i $L_2^{3=2}$ of the tubule norm alalong e_x indicates that D_{lc} = 3=2.

Now, we determ ine which terms are relevant in the sense of the RG by rescaling our coordinates such that the resulting coordinates are dimensionless: x ! $^{2}\mathbf{x}$ ^{1}x , where is an inverse length scale that and x ! must not be confused with the Lam e coe cient encountered earlier. Under this rescaling, the Ham iltonian (10) remains invariant in form provided that \tilde{n} ! \tilde{n} , T! 3 ^D T and K _h ! 6 K_h.Thismeansthat \hbar , T and K_h have the naive or engineering dimensions 1, " 3 D and 6, respectively. The eld u and the remaining param eters in Eq. (10) have a vanishing naive dimension. Above D = 3, the naive dimension of the temperature T is negative in plying that T is irrelevant above D = 3. This signals that $D_c = 3$ is the upper critical dimension in accord with what we have seen above. The Ham iltonian (10) contains all relevant term s. In addition, it contains the K_h-term although K_h has a negative naive dimension. Dropping the K $_{\rm h}$ -term, would make the \hbar propagator independent of qx which is un-physical, i.e., K_h is a dangerous irrelevant coupling constant that must be kept.

The H am iltonian (10) has the rem arkable feature that there are no relevant contributions that couple the displacement and the height eld. Thus, it decomposes into a part that depends only on u and a part that depends only ñ. The ñ-part is purely harm onic. Therefore, there is no anom abus elasticity with respect to ñ in the vicinity of D_c. The u-part is equivalent to the Landau-Peierls H am iltonian as studied by GP with d replaced by D. Consequently, there is anom abus elasticity with respect to u and this anom abus elasticity belongs to the GP universality class with D taking on the role of d. For bulk smectics as studied by GP, the physical and the upper critical dimensions coincide, and hence the compression and bending moduli B_u and K_u depend logarithm ically on wave-vectors. For our tubule, how ever, the physical case is D = $2 < D_c$, and hence B_u and K_u have power-law behavior,

$$B_{u}(q) = q_{x}^{B} S_{B}(q_{x} = \dot{q}_{?} f);$$
 (12a)

$$K_{u}(q) = q_{x} S_{K}(q_{x} = \dot{q}_{2} f);$$
 (12b)

with critical exponents given to one-loop order by $_{B} = \frac{2}{5}$ ", $_{K} = \frac{1}{5}$ " and $z = 2 - \frac{3}{5}$ " (" = 1 corresponds to the physical case). S_{B} and S_{K} are scaling functions with the asymptotic properties S_{B} (y) S_{B} (y) const for y ! 1 and S_{B} (y) y B and S_{K} (y) y K for y ! 0. The signs of $_{B}$ and $_{K}$ im ply that B_{u} and K_{u} , respectively, vanish and diverge at long length-scales. Thus, just as the interplay of them al uctuations and elastic nonlinearities stabilizes the at phase in isotropic polymetrized membranes [20], it here stabilizes the tubule phases through in nitely enhancing the bending modulus K $_{u}$ at long length-scales.

An important question is whether our results remain valid for D 5=2. On one hand, Gaussian theory indicates that uctuations of h become divergent in these dimensions. On the other hand, it is entirely possible that the system is deep enough in the interacting regime 5=2 that the Gaussian xed point of the RG has forD lost its signi cance and that, hence, the true low er critical dimension for h may be considerably smaller than indicated by Gaussian theory. A similar problem arises, e.g., in the dynam ics of ferrom agnets [21], where the upper critical dimension is 6, and, though the upper critical dimension for corresponding statics is 4, it is generally believed that the dynam ical eld theory remains useful down to the physical dimension 3. To settle this question de nitely for the tubule, one has to devise a RG study that reliably treats both u and h below D = 5=2, which we leave for future work. We hope that our work stimulates further experimental and theoretical interest in liquid crystal elastom er m em branes and their tubule phases. It would be interesting to see m easurem ents of their elastic moduli, e.g., by light scattering, sound or stress-strain experiments. Because the dependence of B₁₁ and K_u on wave-vectors is of power-law type rather than logarithm ic, their anom alous elasticity m ight be easier to detect than that of conventional sm ectics.

Helpful discussions with H.K. Janssen and T.C. Lubensky and support by the NSF under

grant No.DMR 0404670 are gratefully acknow ledged.

- For a review see W .W amer and E M . Terentjev, Liquid Crystal E lastom ers (C larendon P ress, O x ford, 2003).
- [2] For a review see P.G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993); S. Chandrasekhar, Liquid Crystals (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [3] J.Kupfer and H.Finkelm ann, Makrom ol.Chem.Rapid Commun.12,717 (1991).
- [4] M.Brem er et al, Polym er Preprints 34, 708 (1993); M. Brem er et al, Macrom ol.Chem.Phys.195, 1891 (1994);
 I.Benne, K.Sem m ler, and H.Finkelm ann, Macrom ol. Chem.Rapid Commun.15, 295 (1994).
- [5] W .Lehm ann et al, Nature 410, 447 (2001).
- [6] R. Kohler, R. Stannarius, C. Toklsdorf, and R. Zentel, Appl. Phys. A 80, 381 (2003).
- [7] H. Schuring, R. Stannarius, C. Tolksdorf, and R. Zentel, Macromolecules 34, 3962 (2001); R. Stannarius, R. Kohler, U. Dietrich, M. Losche, C. Tolksdorf, and R. Zentel. Phys. Rev. E, 65, 041707 (2002).
- [8] For a review see Statistical M echanics of M em branes and Interfaces, edited by D. R. Nelson, T. Piram, and S. W einberg (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1989).
- [9] L.Radzihovsky and J.Toner, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 4752 (1995); Phys.Rev.E 57, 1832 (1998); see also M.Bowick, M.Falcioni, and G.Thorleifsson, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 885 (1997).
- [10] For a review see L.Radzihovsky, in The Statistical Mechanics of M embranes and Surfaces, 2nd ed., edited by D.R.Nelson, T.Piram, and S.W einberg (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 2004).
- [11] X. X ing, R. M ukhopadhyay, T. C. Lubensky, and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. E 68, 021108 (2003).
- [L2] X.Xing, and L.Radzihovsky, Phys.Rev.E 71, 011802 (2005).
- [13] O.Stenull, Phys. Rev. E 75, 051702 (2007).
- [14] G. Grinstein and R.A. Pelcovits, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 856 (1981); Phys. Rev. A 26, 915 (1982).
- [15] See, e.g., D. J. Am it, Field Theory, the Renorm alization G roup, and Critical Phenom ena (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1984); J. Zinn-Justin, Q uantum Field Theory and Critical Phenom ena (C larendon, O xford, 1989).
- [16] L D . Landau and E M . Lifshitz, Theory of E lasticity, 3rd E dition (Pergam on Press, New York, 1986).
- [17] P.M. Chaikin and T.C. Lubensky, Principles of Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [18] One could also consider the more general case of m nonvanishing and D m vanishing eigenvalues, but we restrict ourself to m = 1 for simplicity.
- [19] M. Paczuski, M. Kardar, and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2638 (1988).
- [20] D. R. Nelson and L. Peliti. J. Phys. (Paris) 48, 1085 (1987).
- M a and M azenko, Phys. Rev. B 11, 4077 (1975); N olan and M azenko, Phys. Rev. B 15, 4471 (1977), R. Bausch, H.K. Janssen, and H.W agner, Z. Phys. B 24, 113 (1976).