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#### Abstract

We analyzed the Josephson bifircation am pli er (JBA) readout process of a superconducting qubit quantum $m$ echanically. This was achieved by em ploying num erical analyses of the dynam ics of the density operator of a driven nonlinear oscillator and a qubit coupled system during the $m$ easurem ent process. In purely quantum cases, the wavefunction of the JBA is trapped in a quasienergy-state, and bifurcation is im possible. Introducing decoherence enables us to reproduce the bifurcation w ith a nite hysteresis. M oreover, we discuss in detail the dynam ics involved when a qubit is initially in a superposition state. W e have observed the qubit-probe (JBA) entangled state and it is divided into two separable states at the $m$ om ent of the JBA transition begins. This corresponds to \projection". To readout the $m$ easurem ent result, how ever, we $m$ ust wait until the two JBA states are $m$ acroscopically w ell separated. The waiting tim e is detem ined by the strength of the decoherence in the JBA.


PACS num bers: $85.25 . \mathrm{Cp}, 05.45 .-\mathrm{a}, 85.25 \mathrm{Am}, 03.65 \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{z}, 42.50 \mathrm{Lc}$,

The readout of superconducting qubit states $w$ th the Josephson bifurcation am pli er (JBA) technique provides non-destructive and high visibility readout. T herefore, now it is widely and successfully used in actual experim ents [1]. M athem atically, a JBA is described as a driven Du ng oscillator [2]. It enhances a sm all di erence in operation conditions by utilizing the bifurcation phenom enon. U nder an appropriate driving force, a classicalnonlinear oscillator becom es bistable [2]. O ne stable state has a sm all am plitude (low-am plitude state), and the other has a larger am plitude (high-am plitude state). $T$ he criticaldriving force $f_{c}$ or the criticaldetuning $c$ for the transition betw een these tw o states is very sensitive to sm allchanges in the operationalparam eters of the oscillator. For exam ple, when we increase or decrease the driving force continuously, the am plitude of the oscillation behaves hysteretically as shown in F ig. 1. W hen using a JBA as a qubit state readout probe, the JBA detects a sm all change depending on the qubit state. H ow ever, the quantum $m$ echanicalbehavior of the JBA readout process has not been established theoretically. This is because the bifurcation phenom enon can be discussed only for classical oscillators, and is im possible from the view point of pure quantum $m$ echanics for an isolated system [3]. A classical analysis gives no inform ation on entanglem ent betw een the qubit and the probe (JBA ) or the decoherence in the com posite system, although all the quantum properties (projection, $m$ easurem ent backaction, etc.) in the readout are contained in such infor-
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FIG. 1: Hysteretic behavior of the oscillation am plitude $a(t)$ of a JBA as a function of the driving amplitude $f(t)$ (schem atic).
$m$ ation. A quantum $m$ echanical analysis is indispensable if we are to understand the readout process.

In this letter, w e analyze the quantum $m$ echanicaltim e evolution of a JBA, and clarify how a bifurcation appears in an actual situation. M oreover, we invesitgate w hat happens during the process of the qubit state readout w ith a JB A by analyzing dynam ics of the qubit-JB A com posite system .

In a highly quantum $m$ echanical JBA case, tunneling betw een classically stable states destroys the criticality in a classical oscillator. This type of phenom enon has been precisely discussed in [3] and in references therein. that the charging energy of the JBA ( $2 e^{2}=C$, where C is the e ective capacitance in the JBA circuit) is com parable to the energy barrier ( the nonlinearity introduced below) betw een two stable states, and decoherecne is negligibly sm all. H ow evr, actual JB A m easurem ents are m ade w ith m ore classical conditions. R igo et al. [4] investigated such an oscillator w ith a sem i-classical tra jectory analysis. In order to obtain quantum inform ation $m$ ore directly, here, we analyze the tim e evolution of a JBA
and a qubit during the readout process.
A JBA can be m odeled as an anhorm onic oscillator in a rotating fram e approxim ation with a H am iltonian;

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{J}=(\quad!) n_{a}+n_{a}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} f\left(a^{y}+a\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $a^{y}(a)$ is the creation (annihilation) operator of the Josephson plasm a oscillation. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}$, and is the linear resonant frequency of the JBA oscillator. ! is the driving frequency, which is slightly sm aller than by the detuning
!. f is the driving strength, and $(>0)$ is the nonlinearity. In a classical approxim ation, this $m$ odel show $s$ the bifurcation in an appropriate param eter region. H ow ever, for a quantum $-m$ echanical junction $w$ ith $\left[a ; a^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1$, the transition from $j \mathrm{j}$ iv (low am plitude state) to $£ i_{J}$ (high-am plitude state) or, from $\pm i_{J}$ to $j_{G} i_{J}$ is im possible.

The quasienergy-states (eigenstates of the H am iltonian Eq. (1) in the rotating approxim ation) are easily calculated and it is found that eigen states never cross w hen the driving strength $f$ is changed adiabatically. This $m$ eans that if the JBA is initially in the ground state w ithout driving, it never m oves to the high-am plitude resonant state even if we increase the driving eld because the JBA state only $m$ oves along the initial quasienergy-state and never jum ps to the quasienergy-state which the higham plitude resonant state belongs.

Therefore, we expect that when a transition betw een quasienergy-states is caused by perturbation from outside the system the bifurcation phenom enon is reproduced. $T$ his is the case when decoherence is introduced into the present $m$ odel. H ere, we only take into account of the decoherence caused by a bath coupled to the JBA because decoherence that directly attacks the qubit is not lim ited to the readout process. E ven for this m odel, indirect decoherence via the JBA occurs in the qubit.

For exam ple, we introduce linear loss in the oscillator (JBA).The tim e evolution of the system (qubit-JBA) is govemed by a Liouville equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{1}{i}[; H]+\frac{-}{2}\left(2 a a^{y} \quad a^{y} a \quad a^{y} a\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the density operator of the system, and is the relaxation rate due to the linear loss in the JBA. T he Q -value is given by $=$.

First, we show a num erical exam ple of JBA dynam ics without a qubit in F igs. 2 and 3. H ere, the param eters used are $=0: 007,=810^{5}, Q=2500$, and $f$ is operated as $0!0: 025$ ! 0 . These param eters are sim ilar to those used in actual experim ents [1]. H ow ever, and are a factor of $10^{2}$ tim es sm aller than real cases in order to em phasize quantum ness and discuss the in $u-$ ences ofdecoherence. Even ifwe use di erent param eters we obtain qualitatively sam e behaviors for a JBA w ith sim ilar = ratio value.

Figure 3(a) approxim ately corresponds to the square of the JBA am plitude shown in $F$ ig. 1. So, we can see


FIG.2: (C olor online) The trajectory of the quantum expectation value ( $h^{\frac{a^{y}}{}+a}{ }^{2}$; $h^{a^{y}} \frac{a}{2 i}$ i) of the oscillator (com plex) am plitude when the driving force $f$ is operated as show $n$ in F ig. 1. The starting point is $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{w}$ thout driving, and the right convergence point is $E i_{J}$.


FIG. 3: (C olor online) $T$ im e variations of som e quantum expectation values $w$ hen the driving force $f$ is operated as show $n$ in Fig. [1. (a) $N$ um ber of bosons excited in JBA. (b) Purity of the JBA. (c) Fluctuation in JBA am plitude.
that our calculation w ith decoherence reproduces the bifurcation phenom enon well. We nd that the critical driving $f_{c}$ is approxim ately $0: 011$. O nce the driving excoeds this $f_{c}$, the behaviors of the JBA is the same not depending on them axim um ( $f=0: 025$ forFig. (3) driving strength. M oreover, our calculation provides a lot of quantum $m$ echanical inform ation about the JBA transition. Figure 3(b) show sthe tim e variation ofthe purity of the JBA state. Increasing the driving foroe $f$, we found that the purity decreases abruptly $(t=a)$. This cor-
responds to the beginning of the transition from $j_{G} i_{J}$ to $\ddagger i_{J}$ of the JBA. This is a manifestation of the fact that the transition needs an intense em ission/absonption of energy to/from an extemal energy bath. This energy transfer is incoherent. A fter the rapid decrease, the purity recovers to som e extent and the JBA approaches to the classically stable state $\mathcal{F} i_{J}(t=b)$. Since $\mathcal{F} i_{J}$ is a m eta-stable state (stationary point of the classical H am iltonian ), dragging JBA into the state by decoherence (linear loss) leads to the recovery of the purity. H ow ever, the purity does not reach unity because it is not a true ground state. T he uctuation in the JBA am plitude is plotted in F ig. 3(c). W e can see a divergence of the
uctuation at the $m$ om ent of the rapid decrease in the purity ( $t=b$ ). This suggests that this JBA transition betw een $j_{G} i_{J}$ and $\ddagger i_{J}$ is one of a phase transitions in bosonic system $s w$ ith $m$ any degrees of freedom.

Now we discuss the criterion of decoherence that determ ines whether a bifurcation is observed or not. From the above analyses we know that there is no critical value. W hen the decoherence is very sm all ( < ), the speed of the transfer from $j \in i_{J}$ to $\mathcal{F} i_{J}$ becom es exponentially slower as (schem atically) $\exp [=]$, where is a nu$m$ erical factor of the order of unity.

Inform ation about the qubit state is transferred to the probe (JBA) through the form ation of an entanglem ent betw een the qubit and the probe. W hat we actually observe is the $m$ acroscopic state of the JBA, and $m$ erely postulate the qubit state. T herefore, the process by w hich the entanglem ent is form ed and split into separable states due to decoherence ( $\backslash$ pro jection") is very im portant for understanding the readout process [5].

The qubit-JBA com posite system is approxim ately expressed by the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{z}}+\quad \mathrm{x}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is the Pauli operator representation of the qubit. $k$ is the interaction constant between the qubit and the JBA. T he qubit state $\left(z_{\text {}}\right.$ ) slightly changes the $e$ ective detuning $+k_{z}$, resulting in a change in the critical value $f_{c}$. By detecting the change in $f_{c}$, we can distinguish the qubit state, i.e., whether $z$ is 1 or -1 . For a ux qubit, the eigenstates of $z$ are the two ux states.
is the bias provided by an extemal applied $m$ agnetic
eld, and corresponds to the tunneling energy betw een two ux states.

For the qubit-JBA coupled system, we carried out calculations sim ilar to those w thout a qubit shown above. T he qubit readout process is w ell understood by em ploying know ledge of the quantum behavior in the tim e evolution of the JBA w ithout a qubit that we have already discussed.

W e show a num erical exam ple of the dynam ics during the qubit readout process in Fig. 4. JBA param eters are the sam e as for the above exam ple. T he initial state is a separable state; $\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{g} i_{q}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{e} \dot{i} i_{q}\right) \quad I G i_{v}$, that is, the qubit is in a superposition. Here, $\dot{\operatorname{g}} \dot{i}_{q}$ and $\dot{\dot{e} \dot{i}_{q}}$ are
the ground and excited states of the qubit, respectively. $Q$ ubit param eters are $=0.2,==1=2$. The coupling betw een the qubit and the JBA is set at $k=0: 001$. T he driving force $f$ is increased from 0 to $0: 012$ ( slightly larger than $f_{c}$ of the JBA) and $m$ aintained. This param eter set gives a typical behavior of successfiul qubit readout.

The $Q$-representations of the JBA state $T r_{q}[]$ are shown in $F$ ig. 4, where is the density operator of the qubit-JBA coupled system, and $T r_{q}[\quad]$ denotes taking partialtrace about qubit degrees of freedom. In the readout we can distinguish tw o peaks appearing in $F$ ig. 4(d), which is the nalstage of the readout. These peaks constitute an incoherent $m$ ixture, so they correspond to two possibilities in the $m$ easurem ent result.

To discuss the entanglem ent between the $\bar{B} A$ and the qubit, we adopt $E \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(T r_{q}[]\right)^{2}$, as a meai sure of the entanglem ent. T he reduction in $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{Tr} r_{q}[]\right)^{2}$ is the purity decrease in the reduced density operator of the JBA, that contains the decrease due to both decoherence and the entanglem ent form ation. The reduction in $\operatorname{Tr}{ }^{2}$ of the total system corresponds to the decrease due to decoherence. Therefore, E de ned above show s the strength of entanglem ent.
$T$ he tim e variation of the entanglem ent $m$ easure $E$ is shown in $F$ ig. 5. This process can be schem atically expressed as

Entanglem ent form ation and \pro jection" correspond to the second ( $t=1$ ) and third $(t=2)$ lines of Eq. (4), respectively. At this $m$ om ent (2), how ever, it is im possible to obtain any inform ation about the qubit from the observed probe (JBA) state because $j^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i}$ closely resembles f i in a classicalm echanical sense ( F ig. [4(b))
 these tw o states are orthogonal. $W$ hen we increase the driving force, one JBA state $\mathrm{J}^{0}{ }^{\mathrm{i}_{J}} \mathrm{~m}$ oves to $\mathrm{F} \mathrm{i}_{J}$. In contrast, the other $j \in i_{J}$ does not $m$ ove signi cantly. (see, Figs. [4(c), (d)) Then (3), we can easily distinguish $\ddagger i_{J}$ or $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{J}}$. This leads to a good postulation of the qubit state $\dot{j} i_{q}$ or $j i_{q}$, which brings us to the end of the readout.

Them easure $E$ is su ciently quantitative for us to discuss the tim e variation of the entanglem ent but it does not show the absolute strength of the entanglem ent. To estim ate the absolute strength we can calculate the entanglem ent of form ation for every eigenstate consisting the total system density operator ( $t$ ). For exam ple, the tim e variation of the value of the $m$ ost dom inant eigenstate is quantitatively proportional to the behavior of E. H ow ever, it alm ost becom es unity when it reaches its $m$ axim um. The values for less dom inant states also


F IG . 4: (C ollor online) Tim e-evolution of JBA during readout. The gures show $Q$-representations of the JBA oscillator states $T r_{q}[]$ (in the rotating fram e). (a) Beginning of the readout. The state of the total system is (schem atically)
$=j 0 i h o j w i t h j o i=1=\overline{2}\left(j i_{q}+j i_{q}\right) \quad j G i_{J}$. (b) Starting the transition. Entanglem ent form ation and projection are carried out during this period. (c) During the transition. E ntanglem ent has already been destroyed. (d) T he entire system has becom e a m ixture of classically correlated states.
alm ost reaches unity. This $m$ eans that the correlation betw een the qubit and JBA state becom es alm ost perfect via the interaction betw een them. A s a result an ideal JBA readout exhibits $100 \%$ visibility if the qubit relaxation discussed below is negligible.


FIG. 5: (C olor on line) Time variation of the entanglem ent between the JBA and the qubit. $i(i=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ corresponds to those in Eq. 4, that is , 1 : entanglem ent form ation, 2 : projection, 3 : end of the readout.

The backaction on the qubit caused by the $m$ easure$m$ ent is induced as a result of the non-com mutation relation betw een the qubit H am iltonian and the interaction $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~m}$ iltonian. W hen the qubit gap is much sm aller than other energies, the interaction commutes $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$. Therefore, the JBA readout causes only pure dephasing on the qubit. T his does not pollute the $m$ easurem ent result because the $m$ easurem ent itself requires the projection onto the $z$ basis. This is simply the condition of the \non-dem olition $m$ easurem ent". H ow ever, when is not negligible com pared w ith , the $m$ easurem ent sim ultaneously causes qubit relaxation. T he non-com $m$ uting part induces coherent transition betw een $\dot{g} i_{q}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{j}} i_{q}$ in the qubit. This coherent transition itself is not hum ful, but when such a transition is accom panied by decoherence (linear loss), stochastic energy relaxation in the qubit accum ulate and a nite error rem ains. In fact, in the num erical exam ple show $n$ above, the average $h{ }_{z} i$ of the qubit deviates slightly (0.1\%) from the in itial value 0 because of qubit relaxation. Stronger decoherence causes larger deform ation in the readout result although it is often $m$ uch $s m$ aller than the deform ation caused by other factors not discussed here, such as qubit relaxation as a reshut of decoherence directly attacking the qubit, even if we use $10^{2}$ tim es strong decoherence of JBA as in actual experim ents.

A s described above, the state of the total system is al-
 just after the transition $j^{G}{ }^{0} i_{J}$ ! $\Psi i_{J}$ starts. $T$ herefore, \pro jection" itself is successfuleven ifthe transition takes much longer in the absence ofsu ciently strong decoherence (here, linear loss ). H ow ever, we cannot distinguish $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{i}_{J}$ and $E \mathrm{i}_{J}$ until the transition nishes. Then, the readout fails unless we can wait and m aintain the JBA state until the transition is com plete.

In sum $m$ ary, we analyzed the quantum dynam ics of the density operator of a system composed of a qubit and a JBA as the probe of the qubit state readout. From the analysis results, we have succeeded in extracting the essential feature of the JBA readout process of a superconducting qubit.
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