

# Crossover from $hc/e$ to $hc/2e$ current oscillations in rings of $s$ -wave superconductors

F. Loder<sup>1</sup>, A. P. Kampf<sup>1</sup>, and T. Kopp<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, Institute of Physics,  
University of Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany*

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

We analyze the crossover from an  $hc/e$ -periodicity of the persistent current in flux threaded clean metallic rings towards an  $hc/2e$ -flux periodicity of the supercurrent upon entering the superconducting state. On the basis of a model calculation for a one-dimensional ring we identify the underlying mechanism, which balances the  $hc/e$  versus the  $hc/2e$  periodic components of the current density. When the ring circumference exceeds the coherence length of the superconductor, the flux dependence is strictly  $hc/2e$  periodic. Further, we develop a multi-channel model which reduces the Bogoliubov - de Gennes equations to a one-dimensional differential equation for the radial component of the wave function. The discretization of this differential equation introduces transverse channels, whose number scales with the thickness of the ring. The periodicity crossover is analyzed close the critical temperature.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 74.25.Fy, 74.25.Sv, 74.62.Yb

## I. INTRODUCTION

Charged particles, which encircle a magnetic flux threaded region, acquire a geometric phase. This Aharonov-Bohm (AB) phase leads to quantum interference phenomena along multiply connected paths<sup>1</sup>. A particular manifestation of the AB-effect is the persistent current in mesoscopic metal rings<sup>2,3</sup>, which is modulated periodically by the magnetic flux piercing the interior of the ring with the period of a flux quantum  $\Phi_0 = hc/e$  for clean rings.

Likewise, in superconducting rings the order parameter responds periodically to magnetic flux, as implied by the requirement of a single-valued superconducting wave function in the presence of a supercurrent<sup>4,5,6</sup>. Measurements of magnetic flux trapped in small cylinders proved that the flux in superconductors is quantized in units of  $\Phi_0/2$ <sup>7,8</sup>. The  $hc/2e$  superconducting flux quantum was corroborated by measurements of the  $hc/2e$  periodicity of the critical temperature of superconducting rings by Little and Parks<sup>9,10</sup>, and by the  $hc/2e$  flux quantization of Abrikosov vortices<sup>11</sup>.

The oscillations of the persistent current or the supercurrent with respect to the magnetic flux implies the corresponding periodicity for all thermodynamic functions<sup>12</sup>. Two classes of condensate states have been identified which are not related by a gauge transformation. In the thermodynamic limit, they are degenerate for integer and half integer flux values, which results in the observed  $\Phi_0/2$  periodicity. This degeneracy is however lifted for discrete systems<sup>13</sup>, which was implicitly understood already in the early works of Byers and Yang<sup>5</sup> and by Brenig<sup>14</sup>. The lifting of the degeneracy can be made explicit through the evaluation of the supercurrent in sufficiently small rings<sup>15</sup>. Recently, nodal superconductors have been in the focus of research<sup>15,16,17,18</sup> as they allow for striking differences in the excitation spectrum for

flux sectors centered around integer and half integer  $\Phi_0$  values, respectively.

For rings of  $s$ -wave superconductors, it is expected, that the  $hc/e$  periodicity is restored if, the ring diameter is smaller than the coherence length<sup>15,19,20,21</sup>. While the  $\Phi_0$  and the  $\Phi_0/2$  periods are well understood in metallic and superconducting rings, it has remained unaddressed how the periodicity evolves for such a small ring when the normal metal turns superconducting. Here we analyze the periodicity crossover in a one-dimensional (1D) model for a flux threaded ring at zero temperature, which allows for a transparent analytical treatment on the basis of the Gor'kov equations in an external magnetic field (Sec. II).

The gap equation for the current carrying superconducting state is solved for finite size rings to evaluate the field dependence of the discrete energy spectrum and the supercurrent. We identify two components of the current with  $hc/e$  and  $hc/2e$  periodicity, respectively, whose magnitudes shift with the opening and increase of the energy gap in the superconducting state. When the coherence length of the superconducting ring is of the order of the ring size, only the  $hc/2e$ -periodic component remains. A similar analysis for the temperature driven crossover in clean and dirty 1D rings has recently been published by Wei and Goldbart<sup>20</sup>.

It is well known that a long-range ordered superconducting state does not exist in 1D. However, whereas thermal phase slips suppress a transition into the superconducting state at finite temperature, quantum phase slips at zero temperature are rare events. Even if phase coherence is broken at certain instants in time and space, the supercurrent does not decay in the ring. We therefore investigate only the zero temperature transition for the 1D ring.

Subsequently (Sec. III) we extend our analysis to rings of finite thickness ("annuli"), which represent, from a formal point of view, multichannel systems. For the annuli

we present the periodicity crossover upon cooling through the superconducting transition temperature. For annular systems, that are confined to a  $2D$  plane, we introduce a semi-analytical approach in which the numerical work is reduced to the solution of a one-dimensional differential equation for the radial component of the wave function. Its discretization allows to introduce a fixed number of (transverse) channels, whose number parameterizes the thickness of the annulus.

## II. 1D RING

We start from the tight binding form of the kinetic energy for a  $1D$  ring with  $N$  sites as given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, s} e^{i\varphi_{ij}} c_{js}^\dagger c_{is}, \quad (1)$$

where the sum extends over all nearest-neighbor sites  $i$  and  $j$ ;  $s = \uparrow, \downarrow$  denotes the spin, and  $t$  is the hopping matrix element. The vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  of an external magnetic field enters through the Peierls phase factor  $\varphi_{ij} = (e/\hbar c) \int_i^j \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 2\pi\phi/N$ , where  $\phi = \Phi/\Phi_0$  and  $\Phi$  is the magnetic flux through the ring. After Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{H}_0$  becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{ks} \epsilon_{k-\phi} c_{ks}^\dagger c_{ks}, \quad (2)$$

with the single-particle energy for a state with angular momentum  $\hbar k$

$$\epsilon_{k-\phi} = -2t \cos\left(\frac{k-\phi}{R}\right). \quad (3)$$

$R = N/2\pi$  denotes the dimensionless radius of the ring and  $k = -N/2, \dots, N/2 - 1$ . If  $N$  is a multiple of 4, a  $k$ -value exists for which  $\epsilon_k = 0$ , with two states exactly at the Fermi energy  $E_F = 0$  for  $\phi = 0$ . To ensure a unique ground state, we choose  $\mu = t/N$  which is placed in between two single-particle energies  $\epsilon_k$ . This is achieved for even  $N$ , which are not multiples of 4. All calculations were performed for this generic choice of  $N$  and  $\mu$ .

The superconducting state in this strictly  $1D$  ring model is controlled by a BCS-type Hamiltonian of the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \sum_{k,q} \left[ \Delta_k^*(q) c_{-k+q\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} + \Delta_k(q) c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-k+q\downarrow}^\dagger \right], \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta_k(q)$  is the superconducting order parameter for the formation of Cooper pairs with finite angular momentum  $\hbar q$  and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The order parameter is obtained from the anomalous imaginary time Green's function  $F(k, k', \tau - \tau') = \langle T_\tau c_{k\downarrow}(\tau) c_{-k'\uparrow}(\tau') \rangle$  by

$$\Delta_k(q) = k_B T \sum_{k'} \sum_n V_{kk'} F(k', k' - q, \omega_n), \quad (5)$$




FIG. 1: Energy dispersion of a ring with an order parameter  $\Delta = 0.22t$  for  $\phi = 0$  (dashed line) and  $\phi = \phi_c \approx 0.24t$  (solid line), where the indirect energy gap closes. The filled (empty) circles represent occupied (unoccupied)  $k$ -states for a ring with  $N = 18$ . The asymmetry for  $\pm k$  scales with  $1/R$ .

where  $\omega_n = (2n - 1)\pi k_B T$  is the fermionic Matsubara frequency for temperature  $T$  and  $V_{kk'}$  is the pairing interaction;  $T_\tau$  is the time-ordering operator.

$\Delta_k(q)$  has to be determined self-consistently in the superconducting state. This is achieved by solving the equations of motion for the anomalous Green's function and the single particle propagator  $G(k, \tau - \tau') = \langle T_\tau c_{ks}(\tau) c_{ks}^\dagger(\tau') \rangle$ , which is diagonal with respect to momentum and spin. This leads to the self-consistent set of Gor'kov equations:

$$G^{-1}(k, \omega_n) = G_0^{-1}(k, \omega_n) + \sum_q \Delta_k(q) G_0(-k + q, -\omega_n) \Delta_{k-q}^*(q), \quad (6)$$

$$F(k, k - q, \omega_n) = G_0(k, \omega_n) \Delta_k(q) G(-k + q, -\omega_n), \quad (7)$$

where  $G_0(k, \omega_n) = [i\hbar\omega_n - \epsilon_{k-\phi}]^{-1}$  is the Green's function in the normal state.

We assume that the unique ground state of the superconducting condensate is characterized by a single integer quantum number  $q(\phi)$ . For rings larger than the coherence length, the  $q$ -number of the ground state advances to the next integer whenever  $\phi$  crosses the flux values  $(2n - 1)/4$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.,  $q(\phi) = \text{floor}(2\phi + 1/2)$ , where  $\text{floor}(x)$  is the largest integer smaller than  $x$ . Discreteness of the energy levels shifts the increment in  $q$  slightly according to the energy difference of even- $q$  and odd- $q$  states. Disregarding this small shift in a first approach (see comment at the end of this section), we take  $\Delta_k(q)$  of the form

$$\Delta_k(x) = \delta(x - q(\phi)) \Delta_k. \quad (8)$$

For  $s$ -wave pairing, which is the only Cooper-pair state possible in a strictly  $1D$  system,  $\Delta_k \equiv \Delta$  is constant.




FIG. 2: Eigenenergies  $E_{\pm}(k, \phi)$  (10) as a function of flux  $\phi$  for  $N = 26$  and a self-consistently calculated order parameter  $\Delta$ ; black lines:  $E_-(k, \phi)$ , green lines:  $E_+(k, \phi)$ . Upper panel: “large gap” regime ( $V = 1.9t$ ,  $\Delta_{1/2} \approx 0.30t$ ); Lower panel: “small gap” regime ( $V = 1.1t$ ,  $\Delta_{1/2} \approx 0.08t$ ). Superconductivity occurs only in the odd- $q$  sectors for  $V = 1.1t$  (see Fig. 3). The bold line marks the highest occupied state for all  $\phi$ . For the definition of  $\Delta_{1/2}$  see text after Eq. (11).

With this ansatz, we determine the Green’s function from Eq. (6) as

$$G(k, \omega_n) = \frac{-i\hbar\omega_n - \epsilon_{-k-\phi+q}}{(i\hbar\omega_n - E_+(k, \phi))(i\hbar\omega_n - E_-(k, \phi))}, \quad (9)$$

where the two energy branches  $E_{\pm}(k, q)$  are given by

$$E_{\pm}(k, \phi) = \frac{\epsilon_{k-\phi} - \epsilon_{-k-\phi+q}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \epsilon^2(k, \phi)} \quad (10)$$

with  $\epsilon(k, \phi) = (\epsilon_{k-\phi} + \epsilon_{-k-\phi+q})/2$ . The energies  $E_{\pm}(k, \phi)$  are plotted in Fig. 1 as a function of  $k$ . The upper ( $E_+$ ) and the lower branch ( $E_-$ ) are separated by an indirect energy gap, which closes at a critical value  $\Delta_c$ . For finite flux the dispersion is asymmetric with respect to an inversion in the angular momentum  $k \rightarrow -k$  (see Fig. 1), and this asymmetry induces a finite supercurrent. In the “small gap” regime  $\Delta < \Delta_c$ , both  $E_+(k, \phi)$  and  $E_-(k, \phi)$  can be positive or negative, whereas in the “large gap” regime  $\Delta > \Delta_c$ ,  $E_+(k, \phi) > 0$  and  $E_-(k, \phi) < 0$  for all  $k$  and  $\phi$  (see Fig. 2(a)). Close to  $E_F$ ,  $E_{\pm}(k, \phi)$  simplifies to

$$E_{\pm}(\pm k, \phi) \approx \mp \frac{t}{R}(2\phi - q) \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + l(t/R)^2} \quad (11)$$




FIG. 3: Solution of the self-consistency equation (13) for different values of the pairing energy  $V$  at  $T = 0$ . From top to bottom:  $V = 1.9t$ ,  $1.6t$ ,  $1.35t$ ,  $1.1t$ .

where  $k > 0$  and  $l = 1$  for even  $q$  and  $l = 0$  for odd  $q$ . The maximum direct energy gap in the even- $q$  sectors is therefore  $\Delta_0 = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (t/R)^2}$ , whereas in the odd- $q$  sectors it is  $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} = \Delta$ . Eq. (11) shows that the shift of the eigenenergies scales with the ring size as  $1/R$  in the “small gap” regime.

By inserting  $G(k, \omega_n)$  into the Gor’kov equation (7), one finds for the anomalous Green’s function

$$F(k, k - q, \omega_n) = \frac{\Delta(\phi)}{(i\hbar\omega_n - E_+(k, \phi))(i\hbar\omega_n - E_-(k, \phi))}. \quad (12)$$

For a momentum independent pairing interaction  $V_{kk'} \equiv V$  we obtain the self-consistency equation for  $\Delta(\phi)$  from Eq.(5) by summation over  $\omega_n$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_k \frac{f(E_-(k, \phi)) - f(E_+(k, \phi))}{2\sqrt{\Delta(\phi)^2 + \epsilon^2(k, \phi)}} = \frac{1}{V}, \quad (13)$$

where  $f(E)$  denotes the Fermi distribution function. Instead of lowering the temperature we explore below the transition into the superconducting state at zero temperature by increasing the pairing interaction strength  $V$ .

The flux  $\phi$  affects the solution of the gap equation (13) for  $\Delta$  in two ways. For small-size rings the magnitude of  $\Delta$  is mainly controlled by the energy of the level closest to  $E_F$ . If the quantity  $\delta_\phi = \min_k |\epsilon(k, \phi) - E_F| > 0$ , a solution of Eq. (13) exists only above a threshold value of the pairing interaction. In the even- $q$  sectors, this is the case for all values of  $\phi$ , whereas in all odd- $q$  sectors a flux value  $\phi$  exists, for which  $\delta_\phi = 0$  and Eq. (13) has a solution for all  $V > 0$  (cf. Fig. 3). This is a consequence of the discreteness of the energy levels. In the strong coupling regime  $V \gg t$ ,  $\Delta$  is modulated only slightly by the flux. For weak coupling  $V \approx t$ , a solution  $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} < \Delta_c$  is possible in the “small gap” regime, where  $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}$  denotes the order parameter at half-integer flux values. In this case the energy gap closes at a critical flux  $\phi_c$  in the odd- $q$  sectors and  $E_+(k, \phi)$  turns negative for the level closest to  $E_F$  (see Fig. 2). Thus the dominant term in the sum




FIG. 4: Crossover from the  $\hbar c/e$ -periodic normal persistent current to the  $\hbar c/2e$ -periodic supercurrent in a ring with  $N = 26$  at  $T = 0$ . For this ring size  $\Delta_c \approx 0.24t$ . The discontinuities occur where the  $\phi$ -derivative of the highest occupied state energy changes sign.

of Eq. (13) switches sign and the solution for  $\Delta$  vanishes discontinuously. This is equivalent to a breaking of the Cooper pair closest to  $E_F$ , which provides the main contribution to the condensation energy<sup>24,25</sup>. These features for the solution of the self-consistency equation are special for strictly 1D rings. In these rings superconductivity is destroyed for velocities of circulating Cooper pairs exceeding the Landau critical velocity, which is approached at  $\phi = \phi_c$ <sup>26</sup>.

With the discrete lattice gradient  $\nabla_i f(i) = \frac{1}{2} [f(i+1) - f(i-1)]$ , the current is obtained from

$$J(\phi) = \frac{-te}{\hbar} (\nabla_i - \nabla_j) G(i-j) e^{i\varphi_{ij}} \Big|_{i=j} = \frac{e}{\hbar} \sum_k \frac{\partial \epsilon_k}{\partial k} n(k), \quad (14)$$

where  $n(k) = k_B T \sum_n G(k, \omega_n)$  is the momentum distribution function. The result is shown in Fig. 4. For  $V = \Delta = 0$  one recovers the  $\hbar c/e$ -periodic saw-tooth pattern for the normal persistent current as discussed in<sup>22</sup>. With increasing  $\Delta$ , new linear sections appear continuously. These are the sections where the order parameter is finite in the “small-gap” regime<sup>19</sup>. The occupied state closest to  $E_F$  contributes dominantly to the current, because all other contributions tend to almost cancel in pairs. The discontinuities of the current occur where the  $\phi$  derivative of the energy of the highest occupied state switches sign (see Fig. 2). These linear sections increase with increasing  $\Delta$ ; once they extend to a range  $\hbar c/2e$  upon reaching the “large gap” regime, the current becomes strictly  $\hbar c/2e$ -periodic.

We obtain further insight into the mechanisms, which determine the current periodicity, by analyzing  $\Delta_c$ . According to Eq.(11), close to  $E_F$ , the maximum energy shift is  $t/(2R)$ , and the condition for a direct energy gap (or  $E_+(k, \phi) > 0$  for all  $k, \phi$ ) and an  $\hbar c/2e$ -periodic current pattern is therefore  $\Delta > \Delta_c = t/(2R)$ . The corresponding critical ring radius is  $R_c = t/(2\Delta)$ .

It is instructive to compare  $R_c$  with the BCS coherence length  $\xi_0 = \hbar v_F/(\pi\Delta)$ , where  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity and  $\Delta$  the BCS order parameter at  $T = 0$ . On the lattice

we identify  $v_F = \hbar k_F/m$  with  $k_F = \pm\pi/2a$  and  $m = \hbar^2/(2a^2t)$ ;  $a$  is the lattice constant. Setting the length unit  $a = 1$  we obtain  $\xi_0 = t/\Delta$  and thus  $2R_c = \xi_0$ . This signifies that the current response of a superconducting ring smaller than the coherence length is generally  $\hbar c/e$ -periodic<sup>15</sup>. In these rings the Cooper pair wave function is delocalized around the ring.

A second fundamental effect, which manifestly breaks the  $\hbar c/2e$ -periodicity, is the offset of the transition from even to odd center of mass angular momenta  $q$  with respect to evenly spaced flux values  $(2n-1)\hbar c/4e$ . This small offset was already observed in our previous numerical evaluations for  $d$ -wave loops<sup>15</sup>. Vakaryuk<sup>21</sup> has traced this shift to the dependence of the internal energy of Cooper pairs on the center of mass state. For a BCS-model superconductor, this effect is fully incorporated in the Bogoliubov - de Gennes (BdG) evaluation of Ref. 15 although the quasiparticle-like presentation introduces a different perspective. In the discussion in this section we disregarded the offset for the 1D rings in order to focus on the aspects related to the opening of an indirect gap. In Sec. III we include the offset consistently in the BdG evaluation of the multi-channel annulus.

It is worthwhile to note that the condition  $\Delta > \Delta_c$  (or  $R > R_c$ ) only refers to the periodicity of the supercurrent. It does not guarantee an  $\hbar c/2e$ -periodicity of the order parameter  $\Delta$  or the total energy, but only of their derivatives. These quantities need a continuous energy spectrum with degeneracies for flux values which are multiples of  $\hbar c/2e$ <sup>6,14</sup>.

### III. MULTICHANNEL RING: ANNULUS

In this section we describe a superconducting loop of finite width as shown in Fig. 5 with an inner radius  $R_1$  and an outer radius  $R_2$ . For such an annulus, we choose a continuum approach on the basis of the Bogoliubov - de Gennes (BdG) equations. For integer and half-integer flux values, these equations can be solved analytically, as we show in subsection A. For an arbitrary magnetic flux,

we discuss a numerical solution in subsection B.

Consider the BdG equations for spin singlet pairing

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) &= \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( i\hbar \nabla + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \right)^2 - \mu \right] u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta v_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) \\ E_{\mathbf{n}} v_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) &= - \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \right)^2 - \mu \right] v_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) + \Delta^* u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with the self-consistency condition (gap equation) for the order parameter  $\Delta(\mathbf{r})$ :

$$\Delta(\mathbf{r}) = V \sum_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) v_{\mathbf{n}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \tanh \left( \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}}}{2T} \right), \quad (16)$$

where  $V$  is the local pairing potential. For an annulus of finite width we separate the angular part of the quasiparticle wave functions  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r})$  using polar coordinates  $\mathbf{r} = (r, \varphi)$  and the ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathbf{n}}(r, \varphi) &= u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) e^{\frac{i}{2}(k+q)\varphi} \\ v_{\mathbf{n}}(r, \varphi) &= v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) e^{\frac{i}{2}(k-q)\varphi}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $k$  and  $q$  are either both even or both odd integers. Thus  $\hbar k$  is the angular momentum as for the 1D ring and  $\mathbf{n} = (k, \rho)$  with the radial quantum number  $\rho$ . The order parameter factorizes into  $\Delta(r, \varphi) = \Delta(r) e^{iq\varphi}$  where the radial component

$$\Delta(r) = V \sum_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) v_{\mathbf{n}}^*(r) \tanh \left( \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}}}{2T} \right) \quad (18)$$

is real. For a magnetic flux  $\Phi$  threading the interior of the annulus we choose the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}(r, \varphi) = \mathbf{e}_{\varphi} \Phi / (2\pi r)$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_{\varphi}$  is the azimuthal unit vector. With  $\phi = (e/\hbar c)\Phi$  and

$$\left( -i\nabla \pm \frac{\phi}{r} \mathbf{e}_{\varphi} \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{r} \partial_r (r \partial_r) + \frac{1}{r^2} (-i\partial_{\varphi} \pm \phi)^2 \quad (19)$$




FIG. 5: Annulus with inner radius  $R_1$  and outer radius  $R_2$ . For a magnetic flux threading the interior of the annulus, the radial part of the Bogoliubov - de Gennes equations is solved numerically with a discretized radial coordinate.

the BdG equations therefore reduce to radial differential equations for  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) &= - \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial_r}{r} (r \partial_r) - \frac{\hbar^2 l_u^2}{2mr^2} + \mu \right] u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) + \Delta(r) v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) \\ E_{\mathbf{n}} v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) &= \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial_r}{r} (r \partial_r) - \frac{\hbar^2 l_v^2}{2mr^2} + \mu \right] v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) + \Delta(r) u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with the canonical angular momenta

$$\hbar l_u = \frac{\hbar}{2} (k + q - 2\phi), \quad (21)$$

$$\hbar l_v = \frac{\hbar}{2} (k - q + 2\phi). \quad (22)$$

The number  $q$  plays the same role as in the previous section. Here we choose  $q$  for each value of the flux to minimize the total energy of the system. The flux for which  $q$  changes to the next integer can therefore deviate from the values  $(2n-1)/4$ , where we fixed the change of  $q$  for the 1D model.

### A. Hankel-Function Ansatz

A natural choice of an ansatz for the solutions of the coupled differential equations (20) are linear combinations of the Hankel functions  $H_l^{(1)}$  and  $H_l^{(2)}$ , since they are individually solutions of the uncoupled equations (20) for  $\Delta(r) = 0$ :

$$\left( \frac{1}{r} \partial_r (r \partial_r) - \frac{l^2}{r^2} \right) H_l^{(1,2)}(\gamma r) = \gamma^2 H_l^{(1,2)}(\gamma r). \quad (23)$$

We therefore take  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  of the form:

$$u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) = u_{\mathbf{n}} \left[ H_{l_u}^{(1)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u r) + c_{\mathbf{n}}^u H_{l_u}^{(2)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u r) \right], \quad (24)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) = v_{\mathbf{n}} \left[ H_{l_v}^{(1)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v r) + c_{\mathbf{n}}^v H_{l_v}^{(2)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v r) \right]. \quad (25)$$

The equations (20) then become

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) &= - \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u)^2 + \mu \right] u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) + \Delta(r) v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) \\ E_{\mathbf{n}} v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) &= \left[ \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v)^2 + \mu \right] v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) + \Delta(r) u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The coefficients  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha = u, v$  are fixed by the open boundary conditions, for which  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  vanish on the inner and outer boundaries of the annulus:  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(R_1) = u_{\mathbf{n}}(R_2) = 0$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(R_1) = v_{\mathbf{n}}(R_2) = 0$ . This generates the defining equations for  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha}$

$$c_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha} = - \frac{H_{l_{\alpha}}^{(1)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha} R_1)}{H_{l_{\alpha}}^{(2)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha} R_1)} = - \frac{H_{l_{\alpha}}^{(1)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha} R_2)}{H_{l_{\alpha}}^{(2)}(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha} R_2)}. \quad (27)$$

For all integer and half-integer values of flux,  $q = 2\phi$  in the ground state, thus  $l_u = l_v = k/2$ . Assuming a constant order parameter  $\Delta(r) = \Delta$ , the  $r$ -dependence drops out from Eqs. (26) and we find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the usual BCS type

$$E_{\mathbf{n}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^2 - \mu\right)^2 + \Delta^2} \quad (28)$$

with  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u = \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v$  and

$$u_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^2 + \mu \right) / E_{\mathbf{n}} \right], \quad (29)$$

$$v_{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^2 + \mu \right) / E_{\mathbf{n}} \right]. \quad (30)$$

These are the two distinct classes of superconducting states as discussed for the 1D loop: for integer flux values,  $\Delta$  is given by summing over all even angular momenta  $k$ , whereas for half-integer flux values,  $\Delta$  is obtained by summing over odd angular momenta.

For general values of magnetic flux,  $l_u$  and  $l_v$  are different and so are  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u$  and  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v$ . The  $r$ -dependence of  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  is therefore different from  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  as contained in Eqs. (24,25). In App. A we analyze the solution of the uncoupled Eqs. (20) for  $\Delta = 0$  and find that the eigenfunctions account for the flux induced Doppler shift by shifting their nodes closer together or further apart—most importantly,  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  shifts its nodes in the opposite direction than does  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$ . This implies that  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  with the ansatz of Eqs. (24) and (25) cannot be solutions of the coupled Eqs. (26) for  $\Delta(r) \neq 0$ .

Moreover, we show in App. A for the limit of a thin annulus ( $R_1 \gg R_2 - R_1$ ) that both the Doppler shift and the shift of the nodes of  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  are in leading order linear functions of  $q - 2\phi$ . It is therefore not possible to find an approximate solution of Eqs. (20) that contains the effects of the Doppler shift but neglects the shift of the nodes. Consequently, we have to resort to a numerical solution of the radial component of the BdG equations.

## B. Self-Consistent Numerical Solution

The numerical solution of Eqs. (20) is achieved by discretizing the interval  $[R_1, R_2]$  for the radial coordinate  $r$  into  $M$  radii  $r_i$ , which defines the grid constant  $a = (R_2 - R_1)/M$ . In this way we obtain for each angular momentum  $\hbar k$   $M$  radial eigenstates (channels), which correspond to the  $M$  eigenstates with the lowest eigenenergies  $E_{\mathbf{n}}$  of the continuum model. On this set of  $M$  radial coordinates, we use the symmetric discrete differential operators  $\partial_i f(r_i) = [f(r_{i+1}) - f(r_{i-1})]/a$  and  $\partial_i^2 f(r_i) = [f(r_{i+1}) + f(r_{i-1}) - 2f(r_i)]/a^2$ . Inserting these discrete operators into Eqs. (20) and using  $(1/r)\partial_r r \partial_r = (1/r)\partial_r + \partial_r^2$ , one obtains the eigenvalue

equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{t} + \hat{\mu}_k^u & \hat{\Delta} \\ \hat{\Delta} & -\hat{t} - \hat{\mu}_k^v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathbf{n}} \\ v_{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix} = E_{\mathbf{n}} \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathbf{n}} \\ v_{\mathbf{n}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

where  $u_{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}$  are real and the operators  $\hat{t}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_k^\alpha$ , and  $\hat{\Delta}$  are defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{t}u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i) &= t[u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_{i+1}) + u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_{i-1})] \\ &\quad + t \frac{a}{r_i} [u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_{i+1}) - u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_{i-1})], \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_k^\alpha u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i) = t \left[ \frac{a^2}{r_i^2} l_\alpha^2 - 2 \right] u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i), \quad (33)$$

$$\hat{\Delta}u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i) = \Delta(r_i)u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i), \quad (34)$$

where  $t = \hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ . A self-consistent solution of Eq. (31) and the gap equation

$$\Delta(r_i) = V \sum_{\mathbf{n}} u_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i) v_{\mathbf{n}}(r_i) \tanh \left( \frac{E_{\mathbf{n}}}{2T} \right) \quad (35)$$

is found iteratively. The operator  $\hat{t}$  consists of a symmetric and an antisymmetric part with respect to  $r_{i-1}$  and  $r_{i+1}$ . In order to ensure that the eigenvalues of Eq. (31) are real, the prefactor of the second, anti-symmetric term in Eq. (32) must be smaller or equal to the prefactor of the symmetric term, which means  $M \geq (R_2 - R_1)/2R_1$ . This condition is fulfilled since  $M = (R_2 - R_1)/a > (R_2 - R_1)/2R_1$ .

Once the eigenfunctions of Eq. (31) are known, we obtain the current by evaluating the expectation value of the gauge invariant current operator<sup>24</sup>. The expectation value  $J(r)$  of the circulating current is found using a Bogoliubov transformation and the ansatz (17) in polar coordinates:

$$J(r) = \frac{\hbar e}{m} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} [J_{\mathbf{n}}^u(r) f(E_{\mathbf{n}}) - J_{\mathbf{n}}^v(r) f(-E_{\mathbf{n}})], \quad (36)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(r) &= \frac{\hbar e}{m} \text{Im} \left[ \alpha_{\mathbf{n}}^*(r, \varphi) \left( -\frac{i}{r} \partial_\varphi - \frac{\phi}{r} \right) \alpha_{\mathbf{n}}(r, \varphi) \right] \\ &= \frac{\hbar e}{m} \frac{l_\alpha}{r} \alpha_{\mathbf{n}}^2(r) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for  $\alpha = u, v$ . The contribution of each quasi-particle state to the total current is therefore determined by its angular velocity  $l_\alpha$ . The radial quantum number  $\rho$  and the  $\Delta$ -dependence enter only through the occupation probability which is controlled by the eigenenergy  $E_{\mathbf{n}}$ . Further, the total energy of the system is given by

$$E = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} E_{\mathbf{n}} \sum_i [u_{\mathbf{n}}^2(r_i) f(E_{\mathbf{n}}) + v_{\mathbf{n}}^2(r_i) f(-E_{\mathbf{n}})]. \quad (38)$$




FIG. 6: Non-self-consistent calculation of current and energy at  $T = 0$ . The circulating current (upper panel) in an annulus with an inner radius  $R_1 = 100a$  and an outer radius  $R_2 = 150a$  is shown for fixed,  $\phi$ -independent  $\Delta = 0$  (light blue line),  $\Delta = 0.002t$  (blue line),  $\Delta = 0.004t$  (dark blue line),  $\Delta = 0.006t$  (black line). The lower panel shows the difference between the total energy of the annulus as a function of  $\phi$  and the total energy at zero flux for the same values for  $\Delta$  as above.

### C. Results

The results of the non self-consistent calculations for the circulating current and the total energy at  $T = 0$  and for fixed values of  $\Delta$  are displayed in Fig. 6. In the normal state ( $\Delta = 0$ ), there are  $\sim M$  eigenstates close enough to  $E_F$  to cross  $E_F$  as a function of  $\phi$ , unlike in small 1D rings where only one state crosses  $E_F$ . For each crossing, a small jump appears in the current as a function of  $\phi$ . There is a larger jump at the value of  $\phi$  where the energies of the even- $q$  and odd- $q$  states become degenerate and  $q$  switches to the next integer. The shape of this function depends on the distribution of eigenenergies close to  $E_F$  and therefore on microscopic details of the geometry of the annulus and the Fermi energy  $E_F$ . A finite  $\Delta$  allows for a flux regime with direct energy gap and no crossings of  $E_F$ , thus in this regime the current is linear and the total energy quadratic in  $\phi$ . For the largest value ( $\Delta = 0.006t$ ) shown, there is a direct gap for all values of  $\phi$ . Even for this value of  $\Delta$ , the current and the energy are not exactly  $hc/2e$ -periodic because of the energy difference of the even and odd  $q$  states in finite systems<sup>15,21</sup>.




FIG. 7: Self-consistent calculations for the same annulus as in Fig. 6. In addition, the top panel displays the self-consistent order parameter  $\Delta$  as a function of  $\phi$ . The lines correspond to the pairing interaction  $V = 0$  (light blue line),  $V = 0.28t$  (blue line),  $V = 0.32t$  (dark blue line),  $V = 0.38t$  (black line). The black arrows mark the positions of the  $q$ -jump for  $V = 0.38t$  and  $V = 0.32t$ .

The introduction of self-consistency in  $\Delta$  does not fundamentally change these basic observations (Fig. 7). The crossover is then controlled by the pairing interaction strength  $V$ , for which we chose such values as to reproduce the crossover from the normal state to a state with direct energy gap for all flux values. The order parameter  $\Delta$  is now a function of  $\phi$ . If  $\Delta(\phi = 0) \lesssim 0.006t$  (cf. Fig. 6), the gap closes with  $\phi$  and  $\Delta$  decreases whenever a state crosses  $E_F$ . At these flux values we observe a sharp increase in the total energy of the annulus. Unlike in 1D,  $\Delta$  does not drop to zero at the closing of the energy gap, but decreases stepwise. In two or three dimensions,  $\Delta$  remains finite beyond  $\phi_c$  because it is stabilized by contributions to the condensation energy from pairs



with relative momenta perpendicular to the direction of the current flow and the closing of the indirect energy gap does not destroy superconductivity<sup>25,26</sup>. Apart from these steps, the current (energy) shows the standard linear (quadratic) behavior.

The offset of the  $q$ -jump is only relevant for values of  $V$  for which  $\Delta$  is finite for all  $\phi$ . In Fig. 7, the offset is clearly visible for the largest two values of  $V$  (marked with black arrows). Its sign depends on the geometry of the annulus and the pairing interaction  $V$ —the offset changes sign for increasing  $V$  (cf. Ref. 21).

Experimentally more relevant is to control the crossover through temperature. With the pairing interaction  $V$  sufficiently strong to produce a  $T = 0$  energy gap much larger than the maximum Doppler shift, the crossover regime is reached for temperatures slightly below  $T_c$ . For the annulus described in Fig. 8, the crossover proceeds within approximately one percent of  $T_c$ . The crossover regime gets narrower for larger rings proportional to the decrease of the Doppler shift. In the limit of a quasi 1D ring of radius  $R$  we can be more precise: If we define the crossover temperature  $T^*$  by  $\Delta(T^*) = \Delta_c$  and assuming  $\Delta_c \ll \Delta$ , we can use the Ginzburg-Landau form of the order parameter

$$\frac{\Delta(T)}{\Delta(0)} \approx 1.75 \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_c}} \quad (39)$$

and obtain

$$\frac{T_c - T^*}{T_c} \approx \frac{\Delta_c^2}{3.1\Delta(0)^2} = \frac{t^2}{12.4\Delta(0)^2 R^2} = \frac{E_F^2}{3.1T_c^2 R^2}, \quad (40)$$

For a ring with a radius of 2500 lattice constants ( $\approx 10 \mu\text{m}$ ) and  $\Delta(0) = 0.01t$  ( $\approx 3 \text{ meV}$ ) one finds the ratio  $(T_c - T^*)/T_c \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ . This is in reasonable qualitative agreement with the experimental results of Little and Parks<sup>9,10</sup>, discussed also by Tinkham<sup>27</sup>. Their theoretical prediction is similar to Eq. (40), up to a factor in which they include a finite mean free path. Moreover, they do not include the difference introduced through even and odd  $q$  states. This difference was considered in calculations of  $T_c$  by Bogachek *et al.*<sup>13</sup> in the one-channel limit. In Eq. (40) the value of  $\Delta(0)$  is in fact different for even and odd  $q$ . Although quantitative predictions of  $T_c - T^*$  of the theory presented here might be too large compared to the experiment; it serves as an upper limit, because it describes the maximum possible persistent current. Scattering processes in real systems will further reduce  $T_c - T^*$ .

For temperatures close to  $T_c$ , the difference of the eigenenergies of even and odd  $q$  states is less important than at  $T = 0$ . Thus the deviation from the  $hc/e$ -periodicity of the current and of the order parameter is smaller. Furthermore, persistent currents in the normal state are exponentially small compared to the persistent supercurrents below  $T_c$ . Their respective  $hc/e$ -periodic behavior is therefore essentially invisible in the flux regime where  $\Delta = 0$ . For the annulus described in




FIG. 8: The order parameter  $\Delta$  and the persistent current for the temperature driven transition from the normal to the superconducting state in an annulus with inner radius  $R_1 = 30a$  and outer radius  $R_2 = 36a$ . The pairing interaction is  $V = 0.7t$ , with a critical temperature of  $T_c \approx 0.0523t$  for zero flux. For these parameters  $\Delta(T = 0) \approx 0.1t$ . The lines correspond to the temperatures  $T = 0.0513t$  (black line),  $T = 0.0520t$  (dark blue line),  $T = 0.0522t$  (blue line). Notice that  $\Delta$  is slightly different for the flux values  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = \pm 1/2$ .

Fig. 8, the difference between  $\Delta(\phi = 0)$  and  $\Delta(\phi = 1/2)$  is still visible, but the corresponding differences in the current are too small.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have described the crossover from the  $hc/e$ -periodic persistent currents as a function of magnetic flux in a metallic loop to the  $hc/2e$ -periodic persistent supercurrent in a 1D loop as well as in a multi-channel annulus. While a 1D superconducting ring is a rather idealized system, it proves valuable for discussing the physics of this crossover. A ring with a radius smaller than half the superconducting coherence length, shows an  $hc/e$ -periodic super current, which reaches the critical current at a critical flux value  $\phi_c$ , determined by the flux dependent closing of the gap. Assuming that this relation remains unchanged on a ring with finite thickness  $d \ll R$ , as indeed suggested by the multi-channel model,  $R_c$  would be of the order of  $1 \mu\text{m}$  for aluminum rings. In two or three dimensions,  $\Delta$  remains finite beyond  $\phi_c$ . The temperature controlled crossover, while cooling through  $T_c$ , appears



within a temperature window proportional to  $1/R^2$  and thus appears hard to detect in experiment.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Yuri Barash, John Kirtley, Christof Schneider and Jochen Mannhart for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through SFB 484, the EC (Nanoxide), and the ESF (THIOX).

### APPENDIX A: DOPPLER SHIFT AND NODES OF THE HANKEL FUNCTION ANSATZ

The ansatz for  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  with two Hankel functions (Eqs. (24) and (25)) solves the normal state Schrödinger equation for the annulus as well as the BdG equations in the superconducting state with integer and half-integer flux values. In this appendix we show that it is not possible to construct an approximate analytic solution for the superconducting annulus that includes the effect of the Doppler shift. In this case  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  have the independent eigenenergies  $(\hbar^2/2m)\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{u2}$  and  $(\hbar^2/2m)\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{v2}$ .

For this purpose we analyze the relation between the Doppler shift of the eigenfunctions of the annulus in the normal state ( $\Delta = 0$ ) and the shift of their nodes with respect to the radial coordinate, using the following asymptotic form for the Hankel functions<sup>28</sup>:

$$H_l^{(1,2)}\left(\frac{l}{\cos x}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi l \tan x}} \exp\left[\pm i\left(l \tan x - l x - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

which approximates  $H_l^{(1,2)}$  for  $l \gg 1$ . Choosing  $x = \arccos(l/\gamma r)$  leads with  $\tan(\arccos x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}/x$  to

$$H_l^{(1,2)}(\gamma r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi l}} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma r}{l}\right)^2 - 1\right]^{-1/4} \times \exp\left[\pm i\left(r\sqrt{\gamma^2 - \frac{l^2}{r^2}} - l \arccos \frac{l}{\gamma r} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right]. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Thus Eq. (A2) approximates  $H_l^{(1,2)}(\gamma r)$  for  $\gamma r \gg 1$ . Inserting Eq. (A2) into the boundary conditions (27) determines the constants  $c_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha$  and  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha$ :

$$c_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha = \exp\left[2i\left(D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(R_1) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right] = \exp\left[2i\left(D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(R_2) - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right], \quad (\text{A3})$$

with

$$D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(r) = r\sqrt{(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha)^2 - \frac{l_\alpha^2}{r^2}} - l_\alpha \arccos \frac{l_\alpha}{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha r}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The wave functions  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  (Eqs. (24,25)) become

$$u_{\mathbf{n}}(r) = u_{\mathbf{n}} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi l_u}} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u r}{l_u}\right)^2 - 1\right]^{-1/4} e^{i[D_{\mathbf{n}}^u(R_1) + \frac{\pi}{4}]} \sin[D_{\mathbf{n}}^u(r) - D_{\mathbf{n}}^u(R_1)], \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$v_{\mathbf{n}}(r) = v_{\mathbf{n}} \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi l_v}} \left[\left(\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v r}{l_v}\right)^2 - 1\right]^{-1/4} e^{i[D_{\mathbf{n}}^v(R_1) + \frac{\pi}{4}]} \sin[D_{\mathbf{n}}^v(r) - D_{\mathbf{n}}^v(R_1)]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

The vanishing of the wavefunction for  $r = R_2$  therefore implies that

$$D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(R_2) - D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(R_1) = -\pi\rho \quad (\text{A7})$$

for an integer  $\rho$ , which determines  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha$ . In the limit of a thin annulus ( $R_1 \gg R_2 - R_1$ ), we expand  $D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(r)$  in  $1/r$  and find

$$D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(r) - D_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha(R_1) \approx (r - R_1) \left[\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha - \frac{l_\alpha^2}{2\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha r R_1}\right]. \quad (\text{A8})$$

With this asymptotic form the boundary condition (A7) becomes a quadratic equation in  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha$ :

$$(\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha)^2 - \frac{\pi\rho}{R_1 - R_2} \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha - \frac{l_\alpha^2}{2R_1 R_2} = 0, \quad (\text{A9})$$

which has the positive solution

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\pi\rho}{R_1 - R_2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi\rho}{R_1 - R_2}\right)^2 + \frac{l_\alpha^2}{2R_1 R_2}} \right]. \quad (\text{A10})$$

This is the simplest possible approximation for the eigenenergies of the uncoupled equations ( $\Delta = 0$ ) of the annulus containing the Doppler shift, which is controlled by  $l_\alpha^2$ . The flux  $\phi$  enters  $l_u$  and  $l_v$  with different signs (see Eq. 22)). Thus, if  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^u$  decreases as a function of  $\phi$ ,  $\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^v$  increases. Since  $q - 2\phi < 1$  in the ground state, the Doppler shift  $(\hbar^2/2m)[\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha 2}(q - 2\phi) - \gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha 2}(0)]$  is linear in leading order in  $(q - 2\phi)/\sqrt{R_1}$ .

We further find the nodes  $r_{\mathbf{n}m}$  of  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  by setting expression (A8) equal to  $\pi m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer, and solving it for  $r > 0$ :

$$r_{\mathbf{n}m} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ R_1 + \frac{l_\alpha^2}{2\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha 2} R_1} - \frac{\pi m}{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha} + \sqrt{\left(R_1 + \frac{l_\alpha^2}{2\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha 2} R_1} - \frac{\pi m}{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^\alpha}\right)^2 - \frac{2l_\alpha^2}{\gamma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\alpha 2}}} \right]. \quad (\text{A11})$$

The shift of the nodes  $r_{\mathbf{n}m}(q - 2\phi) - r_{\mathbf{n}m}(0)$  as a function of flux is again linear in  $(q - 2\phi)/\sqrt{R_1}$  to leading order.

Thus both the Doppler shift and the nodes of  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  shift linearly with  $\phi$  and conversely when compared with the Doppler shift and the nodes of  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$ .

The coupled Eqs. (26) for  $\Delta \neq 0$  resulting from the ansatz (24,25) with non integer (or non half-integer) flux can be solved only by wave functions  $u_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$  and  $v_{\mathbf{n}}(r)$

with the same  $r$ -dependence. To obtain a solution of this problem, one can expand the wave functions as a sum of Hankel functions and numerically solve for the coefficients or directly solve the coupled differential equations numerically.

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485 (1959).
  - <sup>2</sup> M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. A **96**, 365 (1983).
  - <sup>3</sup> R. Landauer and M. Büttiker, Phys. Lett. Lett. **54**, 2049 (1985).
  - <sup>4</sup> F. London, *Superfluids* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1950).
  - <sup>5</sup> N. Byers and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 46 (1961).
  - <sup>6</sup> J. R. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity* (Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1964), chap. 8.
  - <sup>7</sup> R. Doll and M. Näbauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 51 (1961).
  - <sup>8</sup> B. S. Deaver and W. M. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 43 (1961).
  - <sup>9</sup> W. A. Little and R. D. Parks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 9 (1962).
  - <sup>10</sup> R. D. Parks and W. A. Little, Phys. Rev. **144**, A97 (1964).
  - <sup>11</sup> U. Essmann and H. Träuble, Phys. Lett. A **24**, 526 (1967).
  - <sup>12</sup> P. G. de Gennes, *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys* (Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1966), chap. 5.
  - <sup>13</sup> E. N. Bogachek, G. A. Gogadze, and I. O. Kulik, Phys. Stat. Sol. B **67**, 287 (1975).
  - <sup>14</sup> W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **7**, 337 (1961).
  - <sup>15</sup> F. Loder, A. P. Kampf, T. Kopp, J. Mannhart, C. W. Schneider, and Y. S. Barash, Nature Phys. **4**, 112 (2008).
  - <sup>16</sup> Y. S. Barash, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 177003 (2008).
  - <sup>17</sup> V. Juričić, I. F. Herbut, and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 187006 (2008).
  - <sup>18</sup> J.-X. Zhu, preprint arXiv:0806.1084 (2008).
  - <sup>19</sup> K. Czajka, M. Maška, M. Mierzejewski, and Z. Śledź, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035320 (2005).
  - <sup>20</sup> T.-C. Wei and P. M. Goldbart, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 224512 (2008).
  - <sup>21</sup> V. Vakaryuk, preprint arXiv:0805.2626 (2008).
  - <sup>22</sup> H. Cheung, Y. Gefen, E. K. Riedel, and W. Shih, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 6050 (1988).
  - <sup>23</sup> V. P. Mineev and K. V. Samokhin, *Introduction to Unconventional Superconductivity* (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1999), chap. 17.
  - <sup>24</sup> P. Bagwell, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 6841 (1994).
  - <sup>25</sup> J. Bardeen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 667 (1962).
  - <sup>26</sup> A. M. Zagoskin, *Quantum Theory of Many-Body Systems* (Springer, 1998), chap. 4.
  - <sup>27</sup> M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. **129**, 2413 (1962).
  - <sup>28</sup> M. Abramowitz and A. Stegun, *Pocketbook of Mathematical Functions* (Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun, 1984).