Spectral properties of quasi-one-dim ensional conductors with a nite transverse band dispersion

Z Bonacic Losic¹, A Bjelis² and P Zupanovic¹ ¹ Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, M athematics and K inesiology, University of Split, Teslina 12, 21000 Split, Croatia and ²Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, POB 162, 10001 Zagreb, Croatia^y

Abstract

We determ ine the one-particle spectral function and the corresponding derived quantities for the conducting chain lattice with the nite inter-chain hopping t_2 and the three-dimensional longrange C culom b electron-electron interaction. The standard G₀W₀ approximation is used. It is shown that, due to the optical character of the anisotropic plasm on dispersion caused by the nite t_2 , the low energy quasi-particle -peak appears in the spectral function in addition to the hum p present at the energies of the order of plasm on energy. The particular attention is devoted to the continuous cross-over from the non-Ferm i liquid to the Ferm i liquid regime by increasing t_2 . It is shown that the spectral weight of the hum p transfers to the quasi-particle as the optical gap in the plasm on dispersion increases together with t_2 , with the quasi-particle residuum Z behaving like

 $(\ln t_2)^1$ in the lim it t_2 ! 0. Our approach is appropriate for the wide range of energy scales given by the plasm on energy and the width of the conduction band, and is complem entary to the Luttinger liquid techniques that are lim ited to the low energy regime close to the Ferm i surface.

E lectronic address: agicz@ pm fst.hr

^yE lectronic address: b jelis@ phy.hr

I. IN TRODUCTION

Recent ARPES measurements of photoem ission spectra show that a series of quasi-onedimensional conductors, in particular the acceptor-donor chain compound TTF-TCNQ [1,2] and Bechgaard salts (TM TSF)₂X with X = PF₆, CD₄, ReO₄,... [3, 4, 5], have unusual properties, clearly distinguishable from the spectra of standard three-dimensional conductors. Quasi-particle peaks in these compounds are absent, and the spectra are instead dominated by a wide feature spread across energy scales of the order of plasm on energies. Such data are in qualitative accordance with the conclusions of our recent calculation [6] for the spectral function of the one-dimensional electron band with the three dimensional long range C oulom b electron-electron interaction, obtained within the so-called G₀W₀ approximation [7]. The physical origin of such behavior is the one-dimensionality of the electron band that causes an anisotropic acoustic plasm on dispersion. Since such dispersion spreads through the whole range of energies, from zero up to the plasm on energy pl, it introduces the wide feature into the spectral function at these energies, leaving thus no space for the creation of quasi-particle -peaks.

The spectral density N (!) and other quantities related to the electron spectral properties have been also calculated exactly within the Luttinger liquid approach, using mostly the bosonization method [8, 9]. Such analyzes are however limited to the narrow range of low E_F ; pl, where E_F is the Ferm i energy of the order of bandwidth. It was energies, ! shown that, together with the absence of quasi-particle peaks, the spectral function shows power law behavior with the anom alous dimension , de ned by N (!) j! j [8, 9] and being interaction dependent. The com parison with measurem ents at low frequencies suggests values of a nom abus dimension in the range > 1. This corresponds to the regime of strong three-dimensional long-range Coulomb interactions [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], which additionally suggests that the corresponding plasm on energy scale is not sm all, being at least of the order of band-width or larger. The G $_0W$ $_0$ approximation is the only known approach which, as was already pointed out, enables the calculation of spectral properties in such wide ranges. However, it does not lead to the correct power law exponent in the limit !! 0. As such, it is complementary to the Luttinger liquid approach [8,9] which is concentrated and limited to the low energy region.

The combination of two above approaches thus covers the whole energy range relevant

2

for the analysis of the photoem ission properties of quasi-one-dimensional metals. As was already stated, the main emerging conclusion for the electron liquid with a strictly onedimensional band dispersion is that, although three-dimensionally coupled through longrange C oulomb interaction, it does not show the essential property of Fermi liquids, namely the presence of quasi-particle excitations in the one-particle spectral properties. However in order to understand better the spectral properties of real quasi-one-dimensional conductors one has to take into account deviations from the one-dimensional band dispersion which come from nite inter-chain electron tunnellings. The corresponding question of both, theoretical and experimental interests is: how one reestablishes the Fermi liquid character of spectral properties by introducing and gradually increasing the transverse bandwidth t₂, approaching thus the regime of standard isotropic three-dimensional conducting band?

In this work we address this question by extending our earlier G_0W_0 approach to the rectangular lattice of parallel chains with a nite transverse tunnelling integral t_2 . A fler taking into account the corresponding nite transverse curvature in the three-dimensional band dispersion [15, 16], the screened C oulom b interaction W_0 calculated within the random phase approximation (RPA) shows a nite optical plasm on gap proportional to t_2 in the long-wavelength limit. The plasm on dispersion thus has a three-dimensional, albeit strongly anisotropic, character for any nite value of t_2 . A more detailed insight into the electron self-energy within the G_0W_0 approach shows that this property of plasm on dispersion has the dom inant e ect on the dressed electron propagator through the screened C oulom b interaction W_0 , while the in uence of nite t_2 through a bare electron G reen's function and other quantities that follow from it.

The obtained result reveals the appearance of low energy quasi-particle peaks, in addition to the sm eared structure at higher energies which is a characteristic of the strictly onedimensional ($t_2 = 0$) limit [6]. Note that the early G_0W_0 approach to the isotropic threedimensional "jellium" [17, 18, 19] led to the analogous result for the spectral function, showing quasi-particle peaks in the energy range $p_1 < ! < + p_1$ where p_1 is the minimum of the optical long-wavelength plasm on dispersion, and an additional structure due to the plasm on mode, with the nite spectral weight below and above these energies.

The spectral properties for the generalized Luttinger liquid with a weak electron tunnelling between m etallic chains and with the three-dimensional electron-electron C oulom b

3

interaction were analyzed by using the appropriately developed higher-dimensional bosonization technique [13, 14] in which the Ferm i surface is approximated by a nite number of at patches. This technique inherits in itself two approximations, namely the momentum transfer between di erent patches is ignored and the local band dispersion is linearized. On the other hand, it handles the case of $t_2 \notin 0$ without having to rely on an expansion in powers of t, used in earlier studies of the model of parallel chains with a nite inter-chain hopping [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. Using the 4-patch approximation for the Fermi surface K opietz et al. [13, 14] obtained in the strong coupling lim it the spectral function with the low energy quasi-particle having the weight proportional to $^{\circ}$, = t_2 $= t_2$. Here $_{cb}$ is the anom alous dimension of corresponding Luttinger liquid for $t_2 = 0$, and E_F is Fermi energy. Furtherm ore, it is shown that there exists a large interm ediate regime of wave vectors and frequencies where the G reen's function satis es the sam e anom alous scaling behavior as for $t_2 = 0$. This is to be contrasted with the result of the perturbation treatment of t_2 [20] in which the quasi-particle peak appears only when the one-dimensional G reen's function diverges, i.e. for the anom alous dimension less than unity.

Again, like in the case $t_2 = 0$, the higher dimensional bosonization and our G_0W_0 approach are complementary, since the former is limited to the scaling behavior of the G reen's function in the low energy range and the latter enables the reliable calculation of the wide maximum at the range of plasm on energy in the spectral function. It is important to note that the essential ingredient in both approaches is that the nite t_2 enters into calculations through the long wavelength optical gap in the plasm on dispersion, and not through the corrugation of the band dispersion at the Ferm i energy as in the perturbation approach of Ref. [20]. On the other side, while both W en's expansion in terms of t_2 [20] and the higher-dimensional bosonization treatment cover low energy scaling, only the present G_0W_0 approach describes appropriately the cross over from the one-dimensional non-Ferm i liquid regime to the three-dimensional Ferm i liquid one in the whole range of energies.

In Section II we calculate the electron G reen's function within the G_0W_0 m ethod developed in our previous work [6]. Section III is devoted to the spectral function. The density and the momentum distribution function are discussed in Section IV. Section V contains concluding remarks.

A. D ielectric function and excitations

We begin by considering the elect of nite transverse bandwidth on the plasm on dispersion. The electron band dispersion is modeled by

$$E(k) = 2t_{b}(\cos k_{k}b \cos k_{F}b) 2t_{b}(\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c);$$
(1)

where b and a; c are longitudinal and two transverse lattice constants respectively, while t_0 and t_2 are corresponding transfer integrals. The RPA polarization diagram now reads

$$(q;!) = \frac{4}{N_{a}N_{b}N_{c}} \frac{X^{\overline{a}} X^{\overline{b}} X^{\overline{c}}}{k_{x} = \frac{1}{a}k_{k} = \frac{1}{b}k_{z} = \frac{1}{c}} \frac{n(k) \mathbb{E}(k+q) \mathbb{E}(k)}{(!+i \text{ sign}!)^{2} \mathbb{E}(k+q) \mathbb{E}(k)}; \quad (2)$$

where

$$n(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{2} & 1; E(k) < E_{F} \\ \frac{3}{2} & 0; E(k) > E_{F} \end{cases}$$
(3)

is the occupation function. In the long wave-length lim it q ! 0, where ! E(k+q) E(k), the polarization diagram reduces to [25]

$$(q;!) = \frac{2}{N_{a}N_{b}N_{c}(! + i sign!)^{2}} \sum_{k_{x}=\frac{1}{a}k_{k}=\frac{1}{b}k_{z}=\frac{1}{c}}^{X^{a}} X^{b} X^{c}} n(k)(q r)^{2}E(k)$$
(4)

with

$$(q f_{k})^{2}E(k) = q_{k}^{2}\frac{\theta^{2}E(k)}{\theta k_{k}^{2}} + q_{k}^{2}\frac{\theta^{2}E(k)}{\theta k_{k}^{2}} + q_{k}^{2}\frac{\theta^{2}E(k)}{\theta k_{k}^{2}} + q_{k}^{2}\frac{\theta^{2}E(k)}{\theta k_{k}^{2}}$$
(5)

Since by assumption t_2 t_0 , the Ferm i surface is only slightly corrugated, i. e. $(k_x;k_z)=k_F$ 1, where $(k_x;k_z)$ is the deviation of the component of the Ferm i wave vector in the chain direction from k_F , the latter being its value at $t_2 = 0$. The expansion of the band dispersion (1) in terms of up to the second order [15] leads to the equation for the Ferm i surface

$$E (k_{x}; k_{F} + ; k_{z}) \quad \Psi + E_{F}^{00} = 2 = 2 \quad 2 \ddagger (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c) = E_{F}$$
(6)

where $v_F = 2t_0 b \sin k_F b$ is Ferm i velocity, $E_F^{00} = \ell k_k^2$ at $k_k = k_F$, and E_F is the shift of the Ferm i energy with respect to its value for $t_2 = 0.0$ ur aim is to nd out how $(k_x; k_z)$ depends on t_2 , and to determ ine the corresponding value of E_F . To this end we note that by switching to nite t_2 the band lling does not change, so that

$$Z =_{a} Z =_{c} (k_{x};k_{z})dk_{x}dk_{z} = 0:$$
(7)
=a =c

Then, since ξ to the lowest order, the integration of Eq. 6 in terms of k_x and k_z gives $E_F = \xi$. The explicit expansions follow after expressing $(k_x; k_z)$ from Eq. 6,

$$(k_{x};k_{z}) = \frac{v_{F}}{E_{F}^{0}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{2E_{F}^{0}}{1} E_{F}^{2}}{1} E_{F}^{2} (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c) + \frac{2t_{2}}{v_{F}^{2}} (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c) + \frac{2}{v_{F}} \frac{2E_{F}^{0}}{v_{F}^{3}} t_{2}^{2} (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c)^{2}:$$
(8)

Inserting this expression into the condition (7) one gets $E_F = 2E_F^{00}t_2^2 = v_F^2$, and nally

$$(k_{x};k_{z}) = \frac{2t_{?}}{v_{F}} (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c) + 2\frac{E_{F}^{0}}{v_{F}^{3}}t_{?}^{2} \qquad 2\frac{E_{F}^{0}}{v_{F}^{3}}t_{?}^{2} (\cos k_{x}a + \cos k_{z}c)^{2}:$$
(9)

The expansion (9) enables the analytical derivation of the dielectric function "_m (q;!) = 1 V (q) (q;!), where V (q) = $\frac{4}{v_0 q^2}$ is the bare C oulom b interaction. A fler replacing

in Eq. 4, and taking into account that

$$\frac{2^{k_{F}+}}{(k_{F}+)} dk_{k} \frac{\theta^{2} E(k)}{\theta k_{k}^{2}} = 2 \frac{\theta E(k)}{\theta k_{k}} \frac{k_{F}+}{0} = 2(v_{F} + E_{F}^{00} + E_{F}^{002} = 2)$$
(11)

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 E(k)}{\partial k_x^2} = 2t_2 a^2 \cos k_x a_i \frac{\partial^2 E(k)}{\partial k_z^2} = 2t_2 c^2 \cos k_z c_i$$
(12)

we get

$$"_{m} (q;!) = 1 \quad \frac{!^{2} (q)}{(! + i \text{ sign}!)^{2}}$$
(13)

with the plasm on dispersion given by

$$!^{2}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{{}^{2}_{p1}\mathbf{q}_{k}^{2} + !^{2}_{pa}\mathbf{q}_{k}^{2} + !^{2}_{pc}\mathbf{q}_{z}^{2}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}} :$$
(14)

Here longitudinal and transverse plasm on frequencies are given by ${}^{2}_{pl} = \frac{8e^{2}v_{F}}{ac} + 2\frac{E_{p}^{\infty}}{v_{F}^{2}}t_{2}^{2}$ and $!_{pa}^{2} = \frac{16e^{2}t_{2}^{2}a}{cv_{F}}$, $!_{pc}^{2} = \frac{16e^{2}t_{2}^{2}c}{av_{F}}$ respectively. Thus, the niteness of the transverse bandwidth retains the optical character of the plasm on dispersion in all directions of the long wavelength



FIG.1: Plasm on dispersion ! (q) (see Eq. 15).

rangeq ! 0, with the anisotropy scaled by the ratio $\frac{t_2}{t_0}$ as shown in Fig.1. A slong as t_2 to we can skip the correction proportional to t_2^2 in $\frac{2}{p_1}$. Also, for simplicity we put a = c and get the simplified expression for the long wavelength plasm on dispersion,

$$!^{2}(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{{}^{2}_{pl}\mathbf{q}_{k}^{2} + !{}^{2}_{pl}\mathbf{q}_{p}^{2}}{\mathbf{q}_{l}^{2}};$$
(15)

with $l_{pl}^2 = \frac{16e^2t_2^2}{v_F}$ and q_2^2 $q_1^2 + q_2^2$. Note that in the regime of strong C oulomb interaction, p_1 t_0 [6], we also have

$$\frac{!_{pl}}{t_{?}} = \frac{1}{2b^{2}} \frac{1}{t_{0} \sin(k_{\rm F} b)} = 1:$$
(16)

B . G reen's function

In the calculation of the reciprocal G reen's function G¹ (k;!), we follow the G₀W₀ approximation [6]. The extension of this procedure obtained by the inclusion of the full q-dependence in the band dispersion (1) leads to the generalization of the equation (20) in Ref. [6],

$$G^{1}(k;!) = ! E(k) + i [1 2n(k)] E_{X}(k) \frac{1}{2N} V(q)!(q)$$

$$\frac{1 \quad n \ (k + q)}{! \quad ! \ (q) \quad E \ (k + q) + i} + \frac{n \ (k + q)}{! \quad + ! \ (q) \quad E \ (k + q) \quad i}$$

Here

11

$$E_{ex}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{q}^{X} V(q)n(k+q)$$
(18)

is the exchange energy per elementary cell for the one particle state with the wave vector k. Further simplication follows after noticing that, as far as we are in the regime of strong C oulomb interaction, p_1 t₀ (see R ef. [6] and Eq. 16), two second terms in the dispersion E (k + q) E_0 (k_k) + v_F q_k + E_2 (k_a + q_b; k_c + q_b) appearing in the denom inators of Eq. 17 can be neglected with respect to that of the plasm on dispersion ! (q). As it will be seen later, this approximation introduces small losses in the spectral density at low frequencies, but does not a ect its main qualitative features. A fler a few nonessential simplications which do not a ect the physical content, like taking the at Ferm i surface at $j_{k}j = k_F$ for the occupation function (3) and using cylindrical coordinates in the integration across the I B rillouin zone [6], one gets the analytical expression for G⁻¹ (k;!). Its real part reads

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ReG}^{-1}(\mathbf{k}; !) &= ! \quad \operatorname{E}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{e^{2}}{2b} \ln \frac{bQ_{?}}{2}^{2} + 1 + \frac{2bQ_{?}}{2} \arctan \frac{bQ_{?}}{bQ_{?}} \operatorname{arctan} \frac{bQ_{?}}{bQ_{?}} \\ &= \frac{e^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))!_{p1}}{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p1}^{2}} \frac{2}{p_{p1}^{2}} \ln \frac{!_{p1}}{p_{p1}^{2} + p_{p1}} + \ln \frac{1 + \frac{bQ_{?}}{2}^{2}}{1 + \frac{bQ_{?}}{2}^{2}} + \frac{bQ_{?}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} + \frac{bQ_{?}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} + \frac{bQ_{?}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \\ &+ \frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{F}{p_{p1}^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} + \frac{bQ_{?}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \\ &+ \frac{2}{b} \ln \frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{F}{p_{p1}^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{r}{p_{p1}^{2}} \frac{r}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}}} \\ &+ Q_{?} \frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{2}{p_{p1}^{2}}}{\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{2}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{2}{p_{p1}^{2}} \frac{2}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{dy}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}}} \\ &+ Q_{?} \frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{3}(!\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}} \frac{2}{p_{p1}^{2}})}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p1}^{2}}} \frac{2}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{dy}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \right) \\ &+ Q_{?} \frac{(! \quad \operatorname{E}_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{3}(!\frac{p_{p1}^{2}}{p_{1}^{2}} \frac{2}{p_{p1}^{2}})}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{\frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{p_{p2}^{2}}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}} \frac{1}{p_{p2}^{2}}$$

with functions R and F given by the expressions

$$R (k_{k};!) = R_{1} (k_{F} j_{jj};!) + R_{1} (k_{F} + j_{k};j!) - j_{k}; j_{k}; k_{F}$$

$$+ R_{1} (k_{F} j_{jj};!) + 2R_{1} - j_{f}; R_{1} - \frac{2}{b} k_{F} j_{k}; j! k_{F} + j_{k}; j_{F};$$

$$(20)$$

with

$$R_{1}(x;!) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless \\ 2x \ln jx j + x \ln x^{2} + Q_{2}^{2} \frac{(! E_{0}(k_{k}))^{2} ! \frac{2}{p_{1}}}{(! E_{0}(k_{k}))^{2} ! \frac{2}{p_{1}}} + F(x;!); x \in 0; \\ \Re_{1}(x;!) = \\ \Re_{1}(x;!) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ \Re_{1}(x;!) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(21)

and

$$F(\mathbf{x}; !) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2Q_{2} & \frac{\left[\frac{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ ! \frac{2}{p_{1}}}{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ \frac{2}{p_{1}}}\right]}{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ \frac{2}{p_{1}}} & \operatorname{arctan} \frac{\mathbf{r} \ \frac{\mathbf{x}}{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ \frac{2}{p_{1}}}}{\mathbf{r} \ \frac{Q_{2}}{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ \frac{2}{p_{1}}}}{\mathbf{r} \ \frac{Q_{2}}{(! \ E \ 0 \ (k_{k}))^{2} \ \frac{2}{p_{1}}}} & \text{for } \mathbf{j}! \quad E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})\mathbf{j}: \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}$$

The exchange energy in the expression (19) is given by

with

H (x) x ln (
$$Q_2^2$$
 + x²) + 2Q₂ arctan $\frac{x}{Q_2}$ x ln x²: (24)

The further simplication follows after realizing that in the regime of strong C oulomb interaction the self-energy contribution is dominant in comparison to the transverse dispersion term $2t_2$ (cosk_xa + cosk_zc) in E (k). Consequently, we can skip the dependence of ReG⁻¹ (k;!) on k_x and k_z as irrelevant for further considerations. Namely, after taking into account that $Q_2 = 2^{p-p} = ac$ = b, the leading contribution to the third term on the right hand side in Eq. 19 reduces to $\frac{e^2}{2}Q_2$ $0.16\frac{t_{p1-p1}}{t_2}$ t₂. This justiles the above approximation, after which we can proceed to a great extend along the lines of Ref. [6]. In particular, the chemical potential in Eq. 19 is now, after taking into account the self-consistent condition Ref ⁻¹ (k_F;) = 0, given by

$$= \frac{e^2}{2b} \ln \frac{bQ_{?}}{2}^2 + 1 + \frac{2bQ_{?}}{2} \arctan \frac{bQ_{?}}{bQ_{?}} :$$
 (25)

The imaginary part of the reciprocal G reen's function is given by

$$\operatorname{Im} G^{1}(\mathbf{k}_{k}; !) = \frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{(! \quad E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{2}}{(! \quad E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k}))^{2} \quad !_{p1}^{2}} 2q_{c}(! \quad E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})) \quad (! + + E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})) + (! + E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})) \quad (! + + E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})) + (! + E_{0}(\mathbf{k}_{k})) \quad 2q_{c}(\mathbf{k}_{F} \quad \mathbf{j}_{F}; \mathbf{q}) + 2k_{F}(\mathbf{q}_{c} \quad \mathbf{j}_{F}; \mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q})$$



FIG.2: Frequency dependence of ReG¹ (k_k ;!)=t₀ (full lines) and Im G¹ (k_k ;!)=t₀ (dashed lines) for k_F = =2b and k_k = 0 (a), k_k = k_F (b), and k_k = 2 k_F (c).

$$+ (k_{\rm F} \ \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{q}_{\rm c}) (\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{q}_{\rm c} \ \mathbf{k}_{\rm F}) (k_{\rm F} \ \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{j}\mathbf{j} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{j}}) (\frac{2}{b} \ \mathbf{k}_{\rm F} \ \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{j} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{j}}) + (2k_{\rm F} + 2q_{\rm c} \ \frac{2}{b}) (k_{\rm F} \ \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{j} \ \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{j}}) (\frac{2}{b} + k_{\rm F} + \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{q}_{\rm c})^{\mathbf{i}}$$
(26)

for $!_{pl} < j!$ $E_0(k_k)j < _{pl}$, and Im G¹(k_k;!) = 0 elsewhere. The wave number q_c in Eq. 26 is defined by

$$q_{c} = m \text{ in } Q_{2} \stackrel{V_{u}}{\stackrel{V_{u}}{=}} \frac{(! \quad E_{\theta}(k_{k}))^{2} \quad !_{p_{1}}^{2}}{\frac{2}{p_{1}} \quad (! \quad E_{\theta}(k_{k}))^{2}}; \frac{1}{b} : \qquad (27)$$

ReG¹ (k_k;!) and Im G¹ (k_k;!) are shown in Fig. 2 for three representative values of k_k, namely for k_k equal to 0, k_F, and 2k_F. Let us at rst book more closely into Im G¹ (k_k;!). The vanishing of Im G¹ (k_k;!) in the ranges j! E₀ (k_k) j< !_{p1} and j! E₀ (k_k) j> _{p1} can be traced already from the expression (17) after approximating E (k + q) E_0 (k_k). N amely, in this ranges there are no poles of the reciprocal G reen's function contributing to Im G¹ (k_k;!).

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Im } G^{-1} \ (k_k; ! \) \text{ vanishes also in the range } + !_{pl} + E_0 \ (k_k) < ! < + ! \ (k_k \quad k_F; Q_?) + E_0 \ (k_k) \\ \text{for } k_k < k_F \ \text{, as well as in the range } ! \ (k_k \quad k_F; Q_?) + E_0 \ (k_k) < ! < !_{pl} + E_0 \ (k_k) \\ \text{for } k_k > k_F \ \text{. This vanishing can be also traced from the expression (17). Namely, due to } \end{array}$

the presence of the occupation function n(k + q) in the q - sum m ation the non-vanishing contributions from dense discrete poles at $! = !(q) + E_0(k_k) + i$ contribute only in the range $_{pl} + E_0(k_k) < ! < !(k_k - k_F;Q_?) + E_0(k_k)$, while the non-vanishing contributions from poles at $! = + !(q) + E_0(k_k)$ i contribute only in the range $+ !(k_k - k_F;Q_?) + E_0(k_k) < ! < + _{pl} + E_0(k_k)$.

In the range $!_{p1} < j!$ $E_0(k_k)j < p_1 \text{ Im G}^{-1}(k_k;!)$ is covered by the expression (26). It has a step singularity of the width $\frac{e^2k_F}{p_1!} \frac{2}{p_1!}$ at $! = p_1 + E_0(k_k)$ and diverges at the energies $! = !_{p1} + E_0(k_k)$ for k_k k_F and $! = + !_{p1} + E_0(k_k)$ for k_k k_F . At energies $!_{1,2} = !(=b;Q_2) + E_0(k_k)$ Im G⁻¹($k_k;!$) has respective anom abous m inim um and maximum, with jumps in the rst derivatives. These extrem a originate from the con nem ent of the q -sum mation in the expression (17) to the rst Brillouin zone. The integration in terms of $\frac{q_F}{p_1}$ from 0 to Q_2 results in the limitation on the q_k - integration to the range $j_k j < Q_2$ $\frac{(! - E_0(k_k))^2 \cdot !_{p1}^2}{p_1^2 \cdot (! - E_0(k_k))^2}$ as far as this limit is within the IB rillouin zone. However, for values of ! in the ranges $p_1 + E_0(k_k)$ we have $\frac{1}{b} < Q_2$ $r \frac{(! - b;Q_2) + E_0(k_k)}{p_1^2 \cdot (! - E_0(k_k))^2 \cdot !_{p1}^2}$, so that the q_k - integration is limited to the IB rillouin zone, i. e. by the ! - independent boundary $q_t = \frac{1}{b}$. The resulting values of Im G⁻¹($k_k;!$) at the anom alous minimum and maximum are $e^2k_F \frac{(!_{122} - E_0(k_k))^2}{(!_{122} - E_0(k_k))^2 \cdot !_{p1}^2}$.

Let us now consider ReG¹ (k_k;!). As is seen from Fig. 2, it diverges towards 1 at the respective energies ! = $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) at which Im G¹ (k_k;!) has step singularities. These singularities are shifted towards larger values of ! as k_k increases. The zeroes of ReG¹ (k_k;!) at ! < $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) and ! > + $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) are also shifted to the right as k_k increases, form er approaching the singularity at ! = $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) and latter increasing the distance from the singularity at ! = + $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k). ReG¹ (k_k;!) has also essential singularities at ! = $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) (for k_k k_F) and ! = + ! $_{p1}$ + E₀ (k_k) (for k_k k_F), i. e. at energies at which Im G¹ (k_k;!) diverges.

The zero of R eG¹ (k_k ;!) in the range $!_{p1} + E_0 (k_k) < ! < + !_{p1} + E_0 (k_k)$ in which Im G¹ (k_k ;!) vanishes is the low energy pole of the electron propagator G (k_k ;!). It is of the form $y(k_k) = E^{e}(k_k)$ i (k_k), where (k_k) is in nitesimally small in the present approach. A coordingly, our G reen's function has in this range the standard resonant form

$$G(k_{k};!) = \frac{Z(k_{k})}{! y(k_{k})};$$
(28)



FIG.3: Z_F obtained numerically (full curve) and from the expression (29) (dashed curve).

where $Z(k_k) = j (R e G^{-1}(k_k; y(k_k))) = (l + j^{-1})$ is the residuum of the G reen function at the pole $y(k_k)$. We emphasize that the low energy pole appears due to the optical gap l_{p1} in the long wavelength plasm on dispersion introduced by the nite interchain transfer integral l_{p1} in the lectron dispersion. This is illustrated by the analytical expression for the residuum $Z(k_k)$ at $k_k = k_F$ in the lim it l_{p1} pl.

$$Z_{F} = 1 \quad 1 + \frac{e^{2} Q_{?}}{p_{1}} \ln \frac{4_{p_{1}}}{!_{p_{1}}} = 1 \quad 1 + \frac{e^{2} Q_{?}}{p_{1}} \ln \frac{p_{1}}{et_{?}} : \qquad (29)$$

The dependence of Z_F on t_2 obtained numerically, as well as with the use the expression (29), is shown in Fig. 3. The G reen's function has the standard resonant form (28) also in the frequency range j! $E_0(k_k)$ j> $_{p1}$ in which R eG⁻¹ (k_k ;!) has zeroes and Im G⁻¹ (k_k ;!) vanishes.

On the other hand the structure of the G reen's function in the region $!_{pl} < j!$ $E_0(k_k)j < _{pl}$ in which Im G⁻¹ $(k_k;!) \in 0$ is in unceed by the plasm on dispersion contribution to the expression (17).

III. SPECTRAL FUNCTION

The single-particle spectral function is de ned by

A
$$(k_k;!) = \frac{1}{2} j \text{Im } G (k_k;!) j:$$
 (30)

It can be directly expressed in term s of ReG 1 (k_k;!) and Im G 1 (k_k;!),

$$A (k_{k};!) = \frac{1}{\mathbb{R} \in G^{-1} (k_{k};!)^{2} + [\operatorname{Im} G^{-1} (k_{k};!)^{2}]};$$
(31)

unless in the case of ReG¹ (k_k ;!) having a zero y(k_k) in the frequency range in which Im G¹ (k_k ;!) = 0, when it is represented by the quasi-particle -peak

A
$$(k_k; !) = Z (k_k) (! y (k)):$$
 (32)

The spectral function A $(k_k; !)$, obtained after inserting expressions (19) and (26) into Eqs. (31) and (32), is shown in Fig. 4 for two values of the transverse plasm on frequency, $!_{pl} = 0.26 \text{eV}$ and 0.63 eV. Generally it is characterized by the coexistence of wide humps and quasi-particle -peaks. Hum ps originate from the plasm on dispersion in the range $!_{pl} < j!$ $E_{\theta}(k_k) j < p_1$. Their positions vary slow by with the wave num ber k_k . As for the -peaks, they are situated in the energy ranges $+ E_0(k_k) = \frac{1}{2} < + E_0(k_k) + \frac{1}{2}$ and j! $E_{p}(k_{k})$ j> pl. It is to be noted that peaks are present for any nite t. However, the decrease of taleads to the decrease of the weight of the quasi-particle -peak in the range $+ E_0(k_k)$ $!_{pl} < ! < + E_0(k_k) + !_{pl}$ in favor of the growing weight of the hum p. In the lim it to ! 0, i. e. ! pl ! 0, these quasi-particles disappear and all their spectral weight transfers to the hump. The vanishing of the quasi-particle weight in the range $+ E_0(k_k)$ $!_{pl} < ! < + E_0(k_k) + !_{pl}$ as $t_2 ! 0$ is visible in the dependence of Z (k_k) on t_? for $k_k = k_F$ as shown by Eq. 29 and in Fig. 3. We thus come to the spectral function for $t_2 = 0$ which has no low energy quasi-particle. In other words, the cross-over from the t, for Fermi liquid regime to the t, = 0 non-Fermi liquid regime takes place through the decrease of the quasi-particle weight by closing the optical gap in the long wavelength plasm on mode.

We note that num erically obtained spectral function shown in Fig. 4 fulls excellently the sum rule Z_{-1}



(d)

(a)

FIG. 4: Spectral function A $(k_k;!)$ for sm all $(!_{pl} = 0.26eV)$ (a) and large $(!_{pl} = 0.63eV)$ (b) value of the transverse plasm on frequency $!_{pl}$ in the case $k_F = =2b$. B road m axim a for di erent values of the wave number k_k follow from Eq.(31), while -peaks are represented by their weight Z (k_k) according to Eq. 32.

with the agreem ent up to 10⁴ in the whole range of the wave vector k_k , and for all considered values of t_2 . Finally, we notice that, in contrast to the quasi-particles in the range + $E_0(k_k)$ $!_{pl} < ! < + E_0(k_k) + !_{pl}$, the quasi-particles in the energy range j! $E_0(k_k)$ j> $_{pl}$ are not critically sensitive to the plasm on optical gap $!_{pl}$ and keep a nite intensity in the lim it t_2 ! 0 as was already shown in Ref. [6].

A swas already mentioned in the Introduction, the main property of the above spectral function, namely the quasi-particles at low energies coexisting with the wide structure originating from the collective plasm on branch, resembles to the result obtained in the early investigation of the isotropic "jellium" model within the G_0W_0 approach by Hedin and Lundqvist [17, 18, 19]. They showed that due to the nite long-wavelength minimum in the optical plasm on dispersion, pl, a quasi-particle with reduced weight appears in the region

 $_{pl} < ! < + _{pl}$, while the rest of the spectral weight is widely distributed at energies outside this range.

As was already argued in Ref. [6], the non-Ferm i liquid regime for $t_2 = 0$ is in the qualitative agreement with the ARPES spectra of Bechgaard salts which apparently do not show low energy quasi-particles [3, 4, 5]. On the other hand, the present results for the spectral function of the quasi-one-dimensional metal in the $t_2 \notin 0$ Ferm i liquid regime suggest that in (TMTSF)₂PF₆ (for which $t_2 = 0.0125$ eV and $t_0 = 0.125$ eV) the quasi-particle peak with the weight of the order of 20% of the total spectral weight for a given value of k_k is expected in the low energy range, at an energy distance of the order of $!_{pl} = 0.13$ eV from the lower edge of the wide hump. A more directed experimental search, supported by improved energy and intensity resolutions, is very probably necessary for nding peaks with so weak intensities.

Finally, we refer to the work [26] devoted to the quasi-two-dimensional metals with the nite transverse transfer integral t₂ between metallic planes, with the main result analogous to ours. Namely the spectral function in this case also consists of the suppressed quasi-particle peak and a broad feature. Again, the RPA screened Coulomb interaction gives a strongly anisotropic plasm on branch dispersion of the form (15) containing sm all transverse plasm on frequency compared with the longitudinal one. This result is in agreement with the ARPES spectra of quasi-two-dimensional high-T_c superconductors in the norm alconducting phase [27].

IV. DENSITY OF STATES AND MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

Integrating num erically the spectral density A $(k_k;!)$ in term s of k_k , we get the density of states for band electrons,

n (!) =
$$\frac{1}{2k_{\rm F}} \int_{0}^{Z} A(k_{\rm k};!) dk_{\rm k};$$
 (34)

shown in Fig. 5 for two values of interchain transfer integral, $t_2 = 0.025$ eV and 0.06eV. Three distinctive step singularities in n(!) originate from the edges of the corresponding quasi-particle -peak dispersions. In particular, the density of states falls from a maximum at the lowest energy of the k_k -dependent quasi-particle -peak in the range $! < + E_0 (k_k)_{pl}$ to a local minimum. Then it rises until the step discontinuity at the highest energy of the quasi-particle -peak in the energy range $! < + E_0 (k_k)_{pl}$. Further on, n(!) varies slow ly from this discontinuity until the next one at the lowest energy of the quasi-particle



FIG.5: Density of states n(!) for t_2 equal 0.025eV (a) and 0.06eV (b).

-peak in the energy range $+ E_0(k_k) + !_{pl} < ! < + E_0(k_k) + !_{pl}$, accumulating the contribution from the spectral density hump in this range. Increasing further the energy above the third step discontinuity one comes to the minimum of n(!) at ! = , the latter bearing the contribution from the quasi-particle at the chemical potential in the spectral function.

The momentum distribution function

$$n(k_k) = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ A(k_k;!)d! \end{bmatrix}$$
 (35)

is also calculated num erically, and shown in Fig.6 for $t_2 = 0.025 \text{eV}$ (a) and 0.06 eV (b). The deviation of areas below the curves (a) and (b) from the exact number of particles is smaller than 0.1%, indicating the highly satisfying self-consistency of the G₀W₀ approximation. The momentum distribution has a qualitative behavior of the dressed Ferm i liquid. It decreases from the maximal value at $k_k = 0$ towards the step discontinuity at the Ferm is ave number $k_k = k_F$. The height of this discontinuity is equal to the spectral weight Z (k_F) of the quasiparticle -peak at ! = . Fig. 6 again shows that this height decreases as t_2 decreases.



FIG. 6: M om entum distribution function for $k_F = \frac{1}{2b}$ and t_2 equal 0.025eV (a) and 0.06eV (b) showing the discontinuity at k_F .

V. CONCLUSION

The aim of the present analysis is twofold.

Firstly, we investigate the cross-over from the speci c spectral function of one-dimensional conducting band to that of standard isotropic three-dimensional Ferm i liquid. We show that the absence of quasi-particle peaks is limited to the band with the strictly one-dimensional at Ferm i surface. Quasi-particle peaks appear in mediately with introducing a nite corrugation of Ferm i surface, measured by nite t_2 in our approach. The spectral weight of these -peaks for $k_k = k_F$ is given by the expression (29) and shown in Fig. 3. It has a non-power law dependence on the transverse bandwidth $[\Sigma = (\ln t_2)^{-1}]$ in the limit t_2 ! 0. The rest of the spectral weight is carried by the wide feature in the energy range characterized by the plasm on energy $_{pl}$. As it is shown in Section II, this result is to a great part obtained analytically after few technical simplic cations which are well justi ed in the limit t_2 to; $_{pl}$.

A lthough, due to this lim itation, our method of calculation cannot be extended towards

pure three-dimensional regime (t_2, t_3) , the plausible expectation is that the quasi-particle spectral weight will increase continuously as to further increases, approaching the threedimensional regime with quantitative properties obtained long time ago by Hedin and Lundquist [17, 18, 19]. It is worthwhile to stress again that, as the above Z vs t₂ dependence illustrates, the present calculations, unlike som e others (e. g. Refs. [20, 21]), are not simple power low expansion in terms of t₂, and in this respect are complementary to the higher-dimensional bosonization approach developed in Refs. [13, 14]. The essential reason for the inadequateness of the perturbation approach in term s of t₂, even in the lim it t, ! 0, is to be recognized in a qualitative change of the plasm on spectrum, namely in the opening of the gap in its long-wavelength limit. This gap in turn enables the appearance of quasi-particles in A (k; !) already within the $G_0 W_0$ approximation. The word of warning here concerns the applicability of the $G_0 W_0$ approximation itself. Strictly, it is limited to the range of weak screened C oulom b interaction, the relevant criterion being $_{pl} < t_0$. In some of illustrations presented here we allow for values of pl above this range, expecting that no qualitatively new situation takes place in the intermediate range pl t. This range, as well as the range of strong long range Coulom b interaction (even after the RPA screening taken into account) however still awaits a better understanding.

P resent analysis can also provide som e estimations on the possible observability of simultaneous appearance of quasi-particles and wide humps in experiments measuring spectral properties. The energy resolution in reported photoem ission measurements on Bechgaard salts varied between 10m eV and 30m eV [3, 4, 5]. Additional complication comes from indications that surface e ects could have a ected low energy parts of existing ARPES data [28]. Thus in order to observe a dispersing sharp low-energy quasi-particle with the narrow width ranging up to 10m eV, it will be necessary to have an increased energy resolution at low energies and an enhanced bulk sensitivity of the ARPES spectra. We believe that such dem ands are achievable, particularly because our estimations suggest that the spectral weights of quasi-particle peaks are expected to range up to 20% of the total spectral weight, and to be positioned at binding energies ranging up to the energies of the order of 250m eV, appearing in the coexistence with characteristic wide humps already observed at higher energies.

Am ong quasi-one-dim ensionalm aterials investigated in photoem ission m easurem ents the acceptor-donor chain com pound TTF-TCNQ appears to be a particularly interesting ex-

18

ample [1, 2]. There are various indications, like e. g. the infrared optical measurem ents [29, 30, 31], that it has a soft longitudinal mode at 10m eV in the metallic phase. This m ode was explained theoretically within the model of the quasi-one-dimensional metalwith two bands per donor and acceptor chains and the three-dimensional RPA screened electronelectron interaction [32]. It was shown that the appearance of such mode in the low energy range is due to the strong coupling between the plasm on and the collective inter-band dipolar mode. As for the ARPES spectra, they show the absence of the low energy quasi-particles and the one-dimensional dispersion of electron bands [1, 2]. However the bandwidth values from these data are two to four times larger than the values obtained by earlier theoretical and experimental estimates [33]. This signalizes that it is necessary to include electronelectron interactions in order to improve quantitative interpretation of the data. More precisely, it remains to investigate the in uence of the elsewhere observed low energy mode on the low energy spectral properties of the quasi-one-dimensional metal with one electron band per donor and acceptor chains within the G_0W_0 approximation, but with the RPA screened Coulomb electron-electron interaction obtained for the model with two bands per chain [32]. Taking into account the results we obtained in Ref. [6] and in the present work, we expect that this low energy mode is also responsible for the low energy spectral properties of TTF-TCNQ. The full analysis of this question is under way.

A cknow ledgem ents. The work is supported by project 119–1191458–1023 of C roatian M inistry of Science, Education and Sports.

- [1] Zwick F et al 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 2974
- [2] C laessen R et al 2002 P hys. Rev. Lett. 88 096402
- [3] Zwick F et al 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 3982
- [4] Zwick F et al 2000 Solid State Commun. 113 179
- [5] Zwick F et al 2000 Eur. Phys. J. B 13 503
- [6] Bonacic Losic Z, Zupanovic P and B jelis A 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 18 3655
- [7] Hedin L 1965 Phys. Rev. 139 A 796
- [8] Meden V and Schonhammer K 1992 Phys. Rev. B 46 15753
- [9] Voit J 1993 J. Phys. Condens. M atter 5 8305

- [10] Barisic S 1983 J. Physique 44 185
- [11] Schulz H J 1983 J. Phys. C 16 6769
- [12] Botric S and Barisic S 1984 J. Physique 45 185
- [13] Kopietz P, Meden V and Schonhammer K 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 2999
- [14] Kopietz P, Meden V and Schonhammer K 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 7232
- [15] Kwak JF 1982 Phys. Rev. B 26 4789
- [16] Agic Z, Zupanovic P and Bjelis A 2004 J. Physique IV 114 95
- [17] Hedin L and Lundqvist S 1969 Solid State Physics vol 23 ed Seitz and Turnbull (A cadem ic) p 1
- [18] Lundqvist B I 1967 Phys. kondens. Materie 6 206
- [19] Lundqvist B I 1968 Phys. kondens. Materie 7 117
- [20] W en X G 1990 Phys. Rev. B 42 6623
- [21] Bourbonais C and Caron L G 1991 Int. J. M od. Phys. B 5 1033
- [22] Boies D, Bourbonnais C and Trem blay A-M S 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 968
- [23] Clarke D G and Strong S P 1996 J. Phys. Cond. M at. 8 10089
- [24] T svelik A M (P reprint cond-m at/9607209)
- [25] Zim an JM 1972 Principles of the Theory of Solids (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ.)
- [26] Artem enko S N and R em izov S V 2001 JEPTLett. 74 430 (cond-m at/0109264)
- [27] Dessau D S et al 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 2781
- [28] Sing M et al 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 125402
- [29] Tanner D B et al 1976 Phys. Rev. B 13 3381
- [30] Jacobsen C S 1979 Leture Notes in Physics vol 95 ed Barisic et al (Springer-Verlag) p 223
- [31] Basista H et al 1990 Phys. Rev. B 42 4088
- [32] Zupanovic P, B jelis A and Barisic S 1999 Europhys. Lett. 45 188
- [33] Jerom e D and Schulz H J 1982 Adv. Phys. 31 299