C ollisionless relaxation in gravitational system s: From violent relaxation to gravotherm al collapse

Yan Levin, Renato Pakter, and Felipe B. Rizzato

Instituto de F sica, UFRGS, Caixa Postal 15051, CEP 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

(D ated: A pril 2, 2024)

Theory and simulations are used to study collisionless relaxation of a gravitational N-body system. It is shown that when the initial one particle distribution function satis es the virial condition { potential energy is minus twice the kinetic energy { the system quickly relaxes to a metastable state described quantitatively by the Lynden-Bell distribution with a cuto . If the initial distribution function does not meet the virial requirement, the system undergoes violent oscillations, resulting in a partial evaporation of mass. The leftover particles phase separate into a core-halo structure. The theory presented allows us to quantitatively predict the amount and the distribution of mass left in the central core, without any adjustable parameters. On a longer time scale $_{\rm G}$ N collisionless relaxation leads to a gravotherm al collapse.

Since the pioneering works of Boltzm ann and Gibbs, systems with long range interactions have been a mapr stum bling block to the developm ent of statistical mechanics [1]. The di culty was already well appreciated by Gibbs, who has noted that the equivalence between statistical ensembles breaks down when the interparticle potentials decay with exponents smaller than the dimensionality of the embedding space [2]. When this happens, system s exhibit som e very unusual properties which appear to violate the second law of therm odynam ics. For example, con ned non-neutral plasm as are found to phase separate into coexisting phases of di erent tem peratures [3], while the self-gravitating system s, such as elliptical galaxies, are characterized by a negative speci c heat [4]. The explanation for these counterintuitive results lies in the fact that when the interactions are long ranged, therm odynam ic equilibrium is never reached [5] and the laws of equilibrium therm odynamics do not apрŀy.

In the lim it in which the number of particle goes to in nity (N ! 1), while the total mass and charge are kept xed the so called therm odynamic limit the collision duration tim e diverges, and the dynam ical evolution of non-neutral plasm as and gravitational system s is governed exactly by the collision less Boltzm ann or as it is known in plasm a physics, V lasov equation [6]. This equation never reaches a stationary state | the spatiotem poral evolution continues ad in nitum on smaller and smaller length scales, while the one particle position and velocity distribution function evolves in time as an incompressible uid. In practice, however, since there is always a minimum resolution most systems do appear to evolve to a well de ned stationary state. This state, how ever, is very di erent from the norm al thermodynamic equilibrium characterized by the Maxwell-Boltzm ann distribution | it explicitly depends on the initial distribution of the particle positions and velocities.

Forty years ago [7], Lynden-Bell argued that although the ne-grained distribution function of positions r and velocities v, f (t;r;v), never reaches equilibrium, the coarse-grained distribution function f (t;r;v;), av-

eraged on m icroscopic length scales, relaxes to a m etaequilibrium with f (r;v). Since in practice the very sm all length scales can not be resolved experim entally, observations and simulations can only provide us with the information about the coarse-grained distribution function f(r;v). To obtain f(r;v) we divide the phase space into macrocells of volume d^3rd^3v , which are in tum subdivided into m icrocells, each of volume h^3 . The initial distribution function $f_0(r;v)$ is discretized into a set of levels j, with j = 1::p. The incom pressibility of the V lasov dynam ics requires that at any time t each m icrocell contains at most one discretized level i and that the overall hypervolum e of each level $_{\rm i})d^3rd^3v$, be preserved by the $(_{i}) =$ (f(t;r;v) dynam ics. W e denote the fraction of the volum e of the m acrocellat (r;v) occupied by the level j as $_{i}(r;v)$. U sing a standard combinatorial procedure [3, 7, 8] it is now possible to associate a coarse-grained entropy S with the distribution of f ig. Lynden-Bell argued that the collisionless relaxation should lead to the density distribution of levels which is most likely, i.e. the one that maxim izes the coarse-grained entropy, consistent with the conservation of all the dynam ical invariants energy, m om entum, angular m om entum, and the hypervolum es (i). In term softhe volum e fractions f ig, the stationary distribution function becomes f(r;v) =jj(r;v). If the initial distribution has only one level p = 1 (is waterbaq),

$$f_0(r;v) = _1(r_m r)(v_m v)$$
 (1)

where (x) is the Heaviside step function and $_1 = 9=16 \ ^2 r_m^3 v_m^3$ | the maximization procedure is particularly simple, yielding a Ferm i-D irac distribution,

$$f(r;v) = _{1} (r;v) = \frac{1}{e^{[(r;v)]} + 1}$$
: (2)

In the expression above, is the mean energy of particles at position r with velocity v. and are two Lagrange multipliers required by the conservations of energy and the number of particles,

$$d^{3}rd^{3}v$$
 (r;v)f (r;v) = ₀; (3)

where $_0$ is the energy per particle of the initial distribution and the units are such that h = 1. By analogy with the usual Ferm i-D irac statistics, we de ne the elective temperature of a stationary state T as $= 1 = k_B T$. This temperature should not be confused with the standard de nition of temperature in terms of the average kinetic energy { the latter being valid only for classical systems in therm odynam ic equilibrium. In the therm odynam ic limit, the gravitational potential of N particles with the totalm ass M satis es the Poisson equation

$$r^{2} = 4 G m n (r);$$
 (4)

were m = M = N and $n(r) = N f d^3 v$ is the particle number density. The Poisson equation (4) and the equations (2,3) form the basis of Lynden-Bell's violent relaxation theory [7, 9, 10]. The idea is that the original distribution f_0 which is far from equilibrium i.e. is statistically unlikely | will relax rapidly to f(r;v), thus maxim izing the coarse grained entropy. In practice, however, what is found is that self-gravitating system s usually relax to structures characterized by dense cores surrounded by dilute halos, the distribution functions of which are quite di erent from Lynden-Bell's f. The failure of the theory was attributed to the fact that the violent relaxation occurs on very fast dynam icaltim e scale and the system does not have time to explore all of the phase space to nd the most probable con qurations [11]. Recent work on non-neutral plasm as β], how ever, provides a very di erent picture. It has been found that con ned non-neutral plasm as also relax to a core-halo structure. In that case, however, the halo production has been clearly shown to be the result of param etric resonances arising from the macroscopic bulk oscillations [12]. If the initial distribution is constructed in such a way as to suppress m acroscopic oscillations, the resulting stationary state was found to be precisely the one predicted by the Lynden-Bell theory $[\beta]$. It is reasonable, therefore, to suppose that a sim ilar mechanism will be at work for the self-gravitating systems as well. Strong oscillations will lead to parametric resonances a form of a non-linear Landau dam ping [13] which will transfer a large am ount of energy to som e particles at the expense of the rest. These particles will either escape to in nity (evaporate) or will form a dilute halo which will surround the central core.

To test this theory, we rst consider the case in which the macroscopic oscillations are suppressed. This can be achieved by forcing the original distribution to satisfy the virial condition 2K = U, the virial number R = 2K = U is one, where K is the total kinetic energy and U is the total gravitational energy. To sim plify the discussion, we will restrict our attention to the initial distributions of the water-bag form (p = 1). For these distributions the <u>yirial condition</u> reduces to the requirement that $v_m = \frac{GM}{GM} = r_m$ and the average energy per

particle is $_0 = \frac{3}{10}m v_m^2 - \frac{3}{5}\frac{G M m}{r_m}$. We expect that under these conditions f₀ will relax to the distribution given particle is $_0 = \frac{3}{10} \text{m v}_{\text{m}}^2$ by Eq. (2), with $(r;v) = mv^2 = 2 + m$ (r), subject to constraints of Eqs. (3). There is, how ever, one di culty. Since the gravitational potential decays to zero at large distances, Eq.(2) requires that at any nite tem perature there should be a non-vanishing particle density over all. space. The norm alization conditions (3), therefore, cannot be satis ed in an in nite space. However, if we conne our attention to su ciently short times, before a signi cant num ber of particles has a chance to escape from the main clusters in practice this time is very large when the virial condition is satis ed | the normalization problem can be avoided by articially restricting the particle positions to lie within a sphere of radius R. The situation here is very similar to the one encountered in the theory of electrolyte solutions [14]. In that case, the canonical partition function of an ionic cluster is found to diverge and a cuto has to be introduced to obtain nite results. The divergence is a natural consequence of the fact that at any nite tem perature ionic clusters are unstable and will fall apart after a su ciently long time. On short tim e scales, how ever, the dynam ics of ionic clusters is well described by a statistical theory with a cuto . Furtherm ore, the therm odynam ics of electrolyte solutions at low temperatures is found to be completely insensitive to the precise value of the cuto used [15]. We nd the same is true for the gravitational systems as well. In the in nite time limit, a gravitational cluster satisfying a virial condition is unstable and som e particles will slow ly evaporate. On \short" time scales, how ever, the cluster properties are well described by a statistical theory with a cuto . The precise value of the cuto is unim portant as long as it is not too large. In our calculations we have taken the cuto to be at $R = 10r_m$, but all the results remain visibly una ected if we replace this by $5r_m$ or $100r_m$. The cuto -Lynden-Bell distribution (cLB) [9] is then given by $f_{cLB}(r;v) = f(r;v)$ (R r). It is also possible to use an energy cuto [16], but for the purposes of the present calculation this is not necessary. We now iteratively solve the Poisson equation Eq. (4) with the distribution f_{cLB} subject to the conservation equations (3). Integrating the Ferm i-D irac distribution over all velocities and taking advantage of the radial sym m etry of the distribution (1) the Poisson's equation (4) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\theta}{\theta r}r^{2}\frac{\theta}{\theta r} = 16GM^{2} \frac{r}{1}\frac{r}{2^{\sim 3}}Li_{3=2}(e^{[-(r)]});$$
(5)

where $\sim = m$, $\sim = =m$ and L_i (x) is the nth polylogarithm function of x. This nonlinear equation is solved numerically with the boundary conditions $^{0}(r = 0) = 0$ and (r ! 1) = 0. The gravitational potential (r) depends parametrically on \sim and \sim , which are determined using the conservation equations (3). Integrating over the velocities these becom e

$$r = Z_{R} = \frac{3}{1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{5=2} (e^{[r (r)]}) + \frac{(r)}{r^{3=2}} \operatorname{Li}_{3=2} (e^{[r (r)]}) r^{2} dr = "_{0}; \quad (6)$$

$$16^{2} \frac{r}{1 - \frac{Z_{R}}{2r^{3}}} r^{2} \operatorname{Li}_{3=2} (e^{[r (r)]}) dr = 1:$$

To compare the theory with the simulations, we calculate the num ber particles inside shells located between r and r + dr, N (r)dr = 4 N $r^2 dr$ $d^3 v f(r; v)$. In the sim ulations 20;000 particles were initially distributed according to the water-bag distribution Eq. (1) and then allowed to evolve in an in nite space in accordance with Newton's equations of motion. To avoid the collisional e ects and to speed up the simulations, the forces were calculated using the mean-eld G auss law . A s discussed earlier, this procedure becom es exact in the therm odynam ic lim it. In Fig. 1 the solid lines are the values of N (r)=N obtained using the theory above, while the points are the results of the dynam ics simulation, the distances are measured in units of r_m and the dynamical $r_m^3 = GM$. An excellent agreem ent time scale is $_{\rm D}$ = is found between the theory and the simulations, without any adjustable parameters. To further explore the



FIG.1: M ass distribution for R = 1: solid curve is obtained using the cLB distribution and the points are the result of dynamics simulation. There is no halo, all mass is in the core.

dynam ics of the relaxation process, we de ne the tem poral deviation of the density distribution N (r;t) from the stationary cLB value, N $_{\rm CLB}$ (r),

$$(t) = \frac{1}{N^{2}} \int_{0}^{2} [N(r;t) N_{cLB}(r)]^{2}; \qquad (7)$$

The inset of F ig 2a shows that after a very short time interval of $_{\rm R}$ $_{\rm D}$, the original distribution f_0 quickly relaxes to the cLB form . Furtherm ore the relaxation time $_{\rm R}$ is independent on the number of particles in the system | this is precisely the Lynden-Bell's violent relaxation regime. The metastable cLB distribution persists until a nite fraction of particles evaporates from

the main cluster. Following the violent relaxation, begins to increase again. The rate of this increase depends strongly on the number of particles in the system, Fig 2a. We de ne _G as the time at which the (violently) relaxed distribution begins to deviate from the cLB form by 1%, = 0.01. This time depends on N as _G 4N _D. Scaling the simulation time with _G gives an excellent collapse of the data on a single universal curve, see Fig. 2b. The fact that _G diverges with N in plies that in



FIG.2: (a) (t) for di erent num berof particles in the system . Inset shows the violent relaxation regime which occurs on a very short time scale $_{\rm D}$ and is independent of N . Following the violent relaxation, the system undergoes sm all oscillations which die out after about 100 $_{\rm D}$. At this time the distribution is precisely of the cLB form . On a longer time scale $_{\rm G}$ the system undergoes a gravotherm al collapse. The rate of this collapse is a linear function of N . (b) W hen the time is scaled with $_{\rm G}$, all the data in (a) collapses on one universal curve.

the therm odynam ic lim it the cLB distribution will last forever! We conclude that if the virial condition is satised and the macroscopic oscillations are suppressed, the phasem ixing | linear Landau dam ping [17] | mechanism is extremely e cient to produce a local ergodicity. For times larger than $_{\rm G}$, the phase space incompressibility condition $_{1}(r;v)$ 1 is violated and the system undergoes a slow gravotherm alcollapse. We note, how ever, that since the time scale $_{\rm G}$, is larger than the Chandrasekhar time $_{\rm Ch}$ $_{\rm D}$ N = h(N), the binary collisions

om itted in our simulations must be explicitly taken into account to study this regime.

Sm all deviations from the virial condition 0.8 < R <12 result only in weak oscillation which are not su cient to produce signi cant param etric resonances. Thus, we nd that for this range of virial num bers the cLB theory remains in good agreement with the dynamics simulations. For larger deviations from R = 1, the situation changes dram atically. In these cases, the initial distribution undergoes violent oscillations resulting in a partial m ass evaporation and a halo production. A fraction of the particles quickly gain enough energy from the resonances to completely escape from the main cluster (evaporate), while the other fraction gains only enough energy to move away from the core, remaining gravitationally bound to it. This latter class forms a dilute halo surrounding the dense central core. The evaporation and halo production progressively cooldown the cluster until all the collective oscillation cease at T = 0. The particles left in the core should then be in the energy ground state, with their distribution function given by that of a fully degenerate Ferm i gas $f_c(r;v) = 1$ (). This is precisely what is found when the cuto R in the cLB distribution is extended to in nity. In this lim it the cLB distribution splits into two domains | a compact zero tem perature core described by $f_c(r;v)$ plus an evaporated fraction of zero energy particles at in nity. Integrating over velocities, the Poisson equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{r^{2}}\frac{\varrho}{\varrho r}r^{2}\frac{\varrho}{\varrho r} = \frac{32GM}{3}(-(r))^{3=2}(-(r));$$
(8)

where the value of \sim is determ ined by the energy conservation,

$$\frac{32 {}^{2} {}^{2} {}^{2} {}^{2} {}_{1}}{5} {}^{2} {}_{0} r^{2} (\sim (r))^{5=2} (\sim (r)) dr = "_{0}:$$
(9)

The norm of f_c then gives the amount of mass left in the central core after the process of collision less relaxation is completed. Fig.4 shows that the theoretically predicted f_c is in excellent agreement with the core mass distribution obtained using the dynamics simulations. However, in order to have a complete account of the halo mass distribution a more detailed dynamical study is necessary.

The work in this direction is now in progress. On a time scale larger than $_{\rm G}$ the core is, once again, found to undergo a gravotherm al collapse.

Traditionally the failure of the Lynden-Bell's theory was attributed to the fact that for gravitational systems relaxation occurs on a short dynamical time scale $_{\rm D}$ so that the system has no time to explore all of the phase space to nd them ost \probable" con guration. P resent work, how ever, provides a very di erent picture. Strong oscillations lead to propagating density waves [18] and to parametric resonances which force som e particles into statistically in probable regions of the phase space. T hese regions do not m ix with the rest of the system. W hen the oscillations (parametric resonances) are suppressed,



FIG.3: M ass distribution for R = 1.9 { points are the result of the simulation. Solid curve obtained using f_c gives the m ass distribution inside the core. The theory predicts that 54% of the m ass will be in the halo or will evaporate, which is in agreem ent with the simulations. There are no adjustable parameters

the mixing is very e cient and the predictions of the Lynden-Bell theory are veri ed quantitatively. Outside the virial condition, strong oscillations lead to a partial mass evaporation and a core-halo coexistence. The theory presented here gives a quantitatively accurate account of the core, it also allows us to predict the am ount of mass which will be lost to the halo production and evaporation.

This work is partially supported by CNPq and by the US-AFOSR under the grant FA 9550-06-1-0345.

- [1] T.Padm anabhan, Physics Reports 188, 285 (1990).
- [2] J.W. G ibbs, Collected W orks, Longmans, G reen and Co., NY (1928).
- [3] Y. Levin, R. Pakter, and T. N. Telles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100,040604 (2008).
- [4] D. Lynden-Belland R M. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astro. Soc. 181, 405 (1977); D. Lynden-Bell, Physica A 263, 293 (1999);
- [5] K. M ichaelian and I. Santam aria-Holek, EPL 79, 43001 (2007); D. Lynden-Bell and R M. Lynden-Bell, EPL 82,

43001 (2008); K. M ichaelian and I. Santam aria-Holek, EPL 82,43002 (2008)

- [6] W. Braun and K. Hepp, Comm. Math. Phys. 56, 101 (1977); A. Antoniazzi, I F. Califano, D. Fanelli, and S. Ru o, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98.150602 (2007).
- [7] D. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 136, 101 (1967).
- [8] P.-H. Chavanis, Physica A 359, 177 (2006).
- [9] P.H. Chavanis and J. Som meria, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 296, 569 (1998).

- [10] A. Antoniazzi,1 D. Fanelli, J. Barr, P.H. Chavanis, T. Dauxois, and S.Ru o, Phys. Rev. E 75, 011112 (2007).
- [11] I.A rad and D.Lynden-Bell, Mon.Not.R.Astron.Soc. 361, 385 (2005)
- [12] R L.G luckstern, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 1247 (1994); R.P. Nunes, R.Pakter, and F.B.R izzato, Phys.P lasm as, 14, 023104 (2007).
- [13] H E. K andrup, Annals New York Acad. Sci. 848, 28 (1998).
- [14] Y.Levin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 65, 1577 (2002).
- [15] Y. Levin and M. E. Fisher, Physica A 225, 164 (1996).
- [16] P.H. Chavanis, M on. N ot. R. A stron. Soc. 300, 981 (1998)
- [17] D.Sagan, Am.J.Phys. 62, 450 (1993).
- [18] P.J. Morrison, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 467 (1998); F.B. Rizzato, R. Pakter, and Y. Levin, Phys. Plasmas 14, 110701 (2007).