G raphene Quantum Dots: Beyond a Dirac Billiard

Florian Libisch¹, Christoph Stampfer², and Joachim Burgdorfer¹

¹Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology

W iedner Hauptstra e 8-10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria, European Union

²Solid State Physics Laboratory, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

(Dated: February 20, 2024)

W e present realistic simulations of quantum con nem ent e ects in ballistic graphene quantum dots with linear dimensions of 10 to 40 nm. W e determ ine wavefunctions and energy level statistics in the presence of disorder resulting from edge roughness, charge impurities, or short-ranged scatterers. Marked deviations from a simple D irac billiard for massless ferm ions are found. W e nd a remarkably stable dependence of the nearest-neighbor level spacing on edge roughness suggesting that the roughness of fabricated devices can be potentially characterized by the distribution of m easured C oulom b blockade peaks.

PACS num bers: 73.22 D j, 81.05 Jw, 05.45 M t

Graphene [1, 2], the rst true two-dimensional (2D) solid, is attracting considerable attention, mostly due to unique dynam ics of electrons near the Ferm i energy which closely m in ics that of a massless D irac H am iltonian [see Fig.1 (a)]. M oreover, the double cone structure near the K and K⁰ points of the sublattices in reciprocal space gives rise to a near \pseudospin" degeneracy, suggesting an analog of D irac four spinors. Envisioned applications range from high-mobility nanoelectronics [3], spin-qubits in graphene quantum dots [4] and the creation of \neutrino" billiards [5, 6]. Dirac (including neutrino) billiards receive growing interest as a com plem ent to classical and quantum (Schrodinger) billiards, which have taken central stage in studies elucidating the quantum -to-classical crossover in both regular and chaotic devices. Additionally, spin coherence tim es in graphene are expected to be very long due to weak spin-orbit and hyper ne couplings [7, 8] making graphene quantum dots promising for future spin based quantum computation [4]. However, con ning electrons in graphene is a challenge, due to the gap-less electronic structure and the K lein tunneling paradox [9, 10]. This di culty has recently been overcom e by structuring 2D graphene and quantum mechanical con nement e ects have been observed in nanoribbons [11, 12, 13], interference devices [14], single electron transistors [15, 16] and graphene quantum billiards [6].

In the following we present a realistic simulation for the single particle spectrum of graphene quantum dots (i.e. billiards) by explicitly considering rough edges and disorder. This work was motivated by recent advances in fabricating dots with linear dimension d ranging from a few hundred nm down to about 40 nm, and determ ining their nearest neighbor energy level spacing distribution [6, 16]. We analyze dot wavefunctions, the density of states (D O S) and the nearest neighbor spacing distribution (N N SD). We address the question to what extent the electron spectra now experimentally accessible via measurements of C oulom b blockade peaks reveal inform ation



FIG.1: (color online) (a) G raphene dispersion near K and K 0 points of the in nitely extended sheet. (b) Rectangular segment of a graphene ake, vertical edge arm chair, horizon-taledge zig-zag term inated. (c) (A pproximately) rectangular quantum dot with rough edges.

on the roughness and size of the graphene quantum dot. To put it provocatively: C an one \hear" the nugged shape of a drum if it is made of a graphene ake?

We investigate graphene dots with linear dimensions between 10 and 40 nm, in line with currently fabricated devices [6]. The shape is chosen regular in the absence of edge roughness. One motivation of this choice was the remarkable result [5] that a Dirac neutrino billiard, in sharp contrast to a Schrodinger billiard, would feature chaotic dynamics. We consider a ballistic dot, thereby neglecting inelastic scattering. This is justiled as the inelastic mean free path $\frac{19}{4LW} = \frac{found}{4LW} = of the dot, d = 400 nm$ []. Our simulation allows for the inclu-

sion of disorder through (i) edge roughness [see F ig.1 (c)], (ii) short-range disorder due to point defects in the interior, and (iii) long-range screened C oulom b distortion due to charge deposition. Rough edges are simulated by m odulating the boundary of the dot by steps of height

w and length l random ly chosen from the interval [0; W]; W d. We refer to W as the amplitude of edge roughness which varies between 0.3 nm (weak disorder) and 2 nm (strong disorder). The resulting piecewise straight edge features alternating zig-zag and am - chair sections [see Figs. 1 (b,c)]. We describe in purities

and defects in the ake by positioning either long range (V (r) = $V_0 e^{-jr r_0 j}$) or short range (V (r) = (r r)) scatterers at random ly selected lattice sites r_0 . We use an in purity density $n_i < 1.8 \quad 10^3$ in purities/carbon (10 to 100 defects per ake), as estimated by recent work [17].

The spectrum of the graphene quantum dots is determined employing a Lanczos algorithm [18] giving the 500 eigenstates closest to the Fermi edge. The graphene ake is described by a third nearest neighbor tight-binding approximation to correctly reproduce the graphene bandstructure [19]. The modi ed C-C bond length at the ake boundary is accounted for by increasing nearestneighbor coupling to the outmost carbon atom s by 12% in accordance with recent ab-initio density functional calculations [20]. Our ensemble averages for the DOS h i = h_i (E E_i)i encompass typically 5000 disorder realizations .



FIG. 2: (color online) Ensemble-averaged density of states h (E)i of graphene quantum dots with increasing edge roughness, see di erent values for W in the sub gures. The size of all devices is equal, d = 20nm (20.000 atom s), their width W = 16nm . D ashed lines indicate the averaged linear DOS for D irac billiards [see Eq. (1)]. The inset shows the K K 0 splitting of 12m eV.

The linear dispersion relation of a massless D irac particle im plies a DOS linear in ",

$$(") = \frac{1}{2(hv_F)^2} d^2 J';$$
 (1)

where d = 4W L = is the elective diameter of a dot with area W L and " is measured relative to the conical intersection [Fig.1(a)] assumed to coincide with the Ferm i edge. The simulated DOS for the quantum dots display marked deviations from Eq. (1). For weak disorder pronounced size quantization peaks appear [see e.g. vertical arrow in Fig. 2(a)]. Their positions are determined by the smallest linear dimension of the ake [21]. W e have investigated both cases W < L (W > L), and nd the same qualitative behavior. In the following, we assum e W < L. Note that width (W) and length (L) of the rectangular ake are not strictly equivalent as the vertical boundary features an arm -chair border while the horizontal form s a zig-zag border. The distance between

the quantization peaks is $E = hv_F = W$ 1:5=W [eV], width W given in nm. This yields an analytic prediction for the energy separation of 0:1eV for the peaks in Fig. 2. W eak disorder, i.e. sm alledge roughness can induce coupling between the cones at K and K 0 [Fig. 1(a)]. This manifests itself by a ne structure of size quantization by lifting the degeneracy [inset in Fig. 2(a)]. The quantum con nem entpeaks in the graphene dot are enhanced com pared to a corresponding Schrodinger billiard of the sam e geometry in part because of both the altered dispersion relation and the additional degeneracy. Strong disorder sm ears out size quantization patterns and the DOS begins to resemble that of a zero-m ass D irac ferm ion in free space (Eq.1). Only when the edge roughness can be lim ited to the sub-nanom eter scale, quantized conductance in graphene nanoribbons persists [13].

Even in the limit of strong disorder, the prominent peak in the DOS near the Ferm iedge remains unchanged [see horizontal arrows in Fig. 2 (b)]. A direct look at the wavefunction [Fig. 3 (a)] reveals its origin: A large num – ber of strongly (Anderson-)localized states at the edges of the graphene ake. Each eigenstate features a nonvanishing am plitude only at a few, not always spatially connected carbon atom s, with a decay length into the bulk of typically 0.5 nm [see left arrow in Fig. 3 (a)]. We nd that the eigenenergies of these states are extrem ely sensitive to the site energies at the corresponding lattice sites. This agrees well with the experimental observations of sharp resonance in the electron transport through graphene constrictions [15].

D elocalized states contributing to the size quantization peaks show pronounced features well beyond the simple picture of a con ned zero-m assD irac particle. W hile the transverse quantization resembles that of a conventional conductor, the interference pattern in the electron probability density [Fig. 3(b)] results from the simultaneous presence of multiple wavelength scales for the cone near the K point (unlike wavefunctions near the point), in $k = (k_0 + v_F = L; v_F = W)$: Parallel to arm chair edges (i.e. in vertical direction in Fig. 3), the wavelength is of the order of twice the width of the ribbon-like dot

32 nm . Parallel to zigzag edges, (i.e. in horizontal direction in Fig. 3) the wave oscillations are much shorter with a typical wavelength of 0:7 nm [see Fig. 3(b)] resulting from beating (frequency ratio 3:2) between lattice periodicity a = 0.24 nm and the characteristic wavelength 0:37 nm, where k_0 v_F =L is the dis- $_0 = 2 = k_0$ and K point in reciprocal space [see tance between Fig. 1(a)]. We nd beating patterns with this characteristic length scale to be universally present in all delocalized states, even in the presence of long-range disorder. Only because of the sub-nanom eter length scale of $_0$ at the K point is the graphene dot sensitive to edge roughness and disorder on a length scale of a few nanom eters, in contrast to a D irac cone at the point. The reason for the K -point $(k_0; 0)$ [as opposed to those at 60 and



FIG. 3: (color online) Eigenstates of graphene quantum dots with dot area of about 225nm² (13.000 carbon atom s). Eigenenergies are (a) 65 m eV (b) 220 m eV (c) 650 m eV (d) 1020 m eV respectively. The edge roughness parameter W = 1nm in (a-c) while n_i = 0.005 in (d) (W hite dots m ark the center of long-range in purities).

120 degrees, $\frac{1}{2}$ (k₀; partial, partial, abcord key)], to appear in the eigenstate shown is the orientation of the zigzag (arm chair) edges in the ake parallel (orthogonal) to the (k₀;0) direction. How ever, all three directions appear for higher transverse quantum numbers, resulting in enhancements along the three zigzag-directions of the lattice [i.e. horizontal, 60 and 120 degrees, see dashed lines in Fig. 3 (c)]. As a consequence, eigenstates feature a 2D hole (\sw iss-cheese") pattern emerging from the interference of plane waves rotated by 60 degrees relative to each other [see Fig. 3 (d)].

In order to delineate the in uence of disorder and edge roughness on the energy level statistics, we have determ ined the NNSD, P (E), i.e. the probability that the energy di erence between two adjacent energy levels is E, for dierent am plitudes W of roughness. W ithin the fram ework of quantum dynam ics of Schrodinger billiards, P (E) follows a Poisson distribution for separable (classically regular) shapes while it should display a Wigner-Dyson (or GOE) distribution for irregularly shaped (classically chaotic) billiards. In contrast, even rectangular shaped Dirac neutrino billiards have been shown to feature a GUE distribution because of the broken time reversal symmetry due to chirality [5]. A fter spectral unfolding $[s = -("_i), w \text{ ith } hP(s)i = 1 \text{ and }$ hsP(s)i = 1] we nd for the ideal rectangular graphene dot (W = 0) a near-perfect Poisson distribution [see Fig. 4 (a)]. By gradually increasing either the edge roughness or the defect concentration n_i, the distribution sm oothly evolves into a W igner-D yson like statistics [see



FIG.4: (color online) NNSD P (s) of di erent billiards: Rectangular graphene ake with (a) smooth edges (= 0.07). (b) nite edge roughness W = 0.5 nm (= 0.4), (c) W = 1 nm (= 2.5). (d,e) Schrodinger billiards with same edge roughness as (a,b). The solid red curve shows ts to the Hasegawa distribution. D ashed (dotted) lines show a W igner-D yson (Poisson) statistic as guide to the eye.

Fig. 4 (b,c)]. Clearly, such a behavior rejects the conservation of time-reversal symmetry in graphene quantum dots. Among the distribution functions suggested for the transition regime for classically mixed phase space [22, 23, 24, 25, 26], the best t for the disorder parameters and geometries investigated was achieved for the two-parameter H asegawa distribution [27]

$$P_{\rm H}$$
 (s; ;) = N $\frac{{\rm se}^{\rm s} ({\rm s})^2 = 2}{\frac{2 {\rm s}^2 {\rm e}^{-2} {\rm s}^2 + 2 {\rm e}^{-2} {\rm s}^2}};$ (2)

where and N are determined by the normalization conditions $hP_H i = hsP_H i = 1$ [27]. W hile the control param eter describes the transition from Poissonian (= 0)to W igner-D yson statistics (! 1), is a system speci c constant. Indeed, we nd = 0:75 to correctly reproduce our num erically obtained NNSD for dierent values of both edge roughness as well as scatterers [see Fig. 4 (a-c)]. A strong edge roughness of 2nm (or im purity concentration $n_i = 5$ 10^3) is required to reach the completely chaotic limit, i.e. a W igner-Dyson NNSD statistics. Remarkably, for moderate values of the edge roughness am plitude (W = 0.6 nm) a Schrödinger billiard and a graphene billiard of the sam e geom etry display a markedly dierent NNSD (Fig. 4): While the Schrodinger billiard has already reached the W igner-Dyson limit (! 1), for the graphene the NNSD still is closer to the Poisson lim it, pointing to the unique spectral properties of graphene. The quasi-regular dynam ics in graphene is more stable against disorder than in corresponding Schrodinger billiards. The origin of this, at rst glance, surprising nding is closely related to the electronic structure of graphene at the K point [Fig. 1 (a)]. In a classical rectangular ballistic billiard with only rectangular edges along the arm chair or zig-zag direction



FIG.5: (color online) (a) D ependence of the control parameter for the transition from a Poisson to a W igner-D yson distribution (Eq.2) on the edge roughness amplitude W or the defect density n_1 . (b) D ependence of rescaled m ean-level.spacing = h E E = E₀ im eV, where E₀ was taken at 100m eV, on the size of the quantum dot. Triangles represent experimental data, rescaled by E ! (E E $_{c_0}$) $\frac{E}{E_0}$ = (E 5) $\frac{50}{100}$ to take into account the charging energy E_c and the energy dependence of the DOS. [6].

an additional constant of motion, the magnitude of the linear momentum $j_k j$ exists. Such billiards are therefore classically regular irrespective of the number or size of the edges. By contrast, due to the larger deB roglie wavelength of Schrödinger billiards with wavenum bers near the point cannot resolve the exactly rectangularly shaped edges, and thus m in ics chaotic dynam ics.

Of potential technological signi cance is the dependence of the NNSD on the disorder in graphene billiards. For all three classes of disorder we consider (edge roughness, short and long range disorder) we nd a linear relation between the NNSD parameter and the edge rough-2 W, and between and the defect ness am plitude, density, 0:7n_i [Fig. 5(a)]. As can be obtained with high precision from a t to P (s), the edge roughness or defect density can be deduced from the NNSD, if the distribution is Poisson-like in the limit W = 0 (n $_{i} = 0$). W e suggest that this dependence could be used to estim ate the disorder in experim entally realized regularly shaped ballistic graphene quantum dots. Num erically, we 4 in a t to data from recent experim ental invesnd tigations of a 40nm graphene billiard [6], corresponding to an e ective roughness W _e 2 nm, or an e ective defect rate n_i 5:5 10 (see black triangles in Fig. 5). As the second moment = $s^2 P(s)$ of the NNSD decreases with increasing disorder, one could alternatively obtain an estimate for the roughness of a ake from We nd however, that the dependence on is num erically more reliable, as the entire distribution is used for a tto $\ensuremath{\mathtt{P}_{\,\textsc{H}}}$.

W hile the shape of the unfolded NNSD, P (s), is sensitively dependent on disorder, it is to a good degree of approximation size independent, as our numerical data con rm s (not shown). The reason for this is the norm alization hsP (s) i = 1, which scales out size-related e ects. By contrast, the absolute level spacing h E i contains direct inform ation on the size of quantum con nem ent. By rescaling each level spacing by the local energy, relative to a xed energy E $_0 = 100m \text{ eV}$, one obtains the energy independent expectation value

$$= E = \frac{E}{E_0} = (hv_F)^2 \frac{2}{d^2 E_0} = \frac{5500 \text{ nm}^2}{d^2} \text{ m eV}: (3)$$

This rescaled m ean level spacing is, indeed, independent of edge roughness and disorder [see Fig. 5(b)]. A greement with the experimental data [6] for d = 40 nm is suprisingly good.

In conclusion, the spectrum of realistic graphene quantum dots in the presence of disorder (edge roughness or defects) reveal unique features which di er from both Schrodinger or D irac billiards of con ned m assive or m assless free particles. The graphene bandstructure near the K point leaves clear imprints. They include interference structures in the wavefunctions, enhanced connem ent e ects, and a delayed transition from Poisson to W igner-D yson nearest-neighbor distributions. W hile one still \cannot hear the imperfect shape of the dnum ", the size and roughness of graphene quantum dots can be, indeed, inferred from the spectral properties.

W e thank K.Ensslin, T.Ihn, S.Rotter and L.W irtz for valuable discussions. F.L. and J.B. acknow ledge support from the FW F-SFB Adlis, C.S. support from NCCR.

- [1] K.S.Novoselov et al., Nature, 438, 197-200, (2005).
- [2] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, P. Kim, Nature, 438, 201-204, (2005).
- [3] A.K.Geim and K.S.Novoselov, Nat.Mater. 6, 18 (2007)
- [4] B. Trauzettel, D. V. Bulaev, D. Loss, and G. Burkard, Nature Physics, 3, 192, (2007).
- [5] M.V.Berry, F.R.S. and R.J.M ondragon, Proc.R.Soc. Lond. A 412, 53-74 (1987).
- [6] L.A. Ponom arenko et al., Science, 320, 356 (2008).
- [7] H.M in et al, Phys. Rev. B, 74, 165310, (2006).
- [8] N. Tom bros et al., Nature, 448, 571-574, (2007).
- [9] M.I.Katsnelson, K.S.Novoselov, amd A.K.Geim, Nature Phys. 2, 620 (2006).
- [10] N. D om bay, and A. Calogeracos, Phys. Rep., 315, 4158 (1999).
- [11] M.Y.Han, B.Ozyim az, Y.Zhang, and P.Kim, Phys. Rev.Lett., 98, 206805 (2007).
- [12] Z.Chen, Y.Lin, M. Rooks and P.A vouris, Physica E, 40, 228, (2007).
- [13] Y.-M. Lin, V. Perebeinos, Z. Chen, and P. Avouris, arXiv:0805.0035v2 (2008)
- [14] F. M iao, S. W ijeratne, Y. Zhang, U. C. Coskun, W. Bao, C. N. Lau, Science, 317, 1530 (2007)
- [15] C. Stam pfer et al, Appl. Phys. Lett., 92, 012102 (2008).
- [16] C.Stam pfer, E.Schurtenberger, F.M olitor, J.G uttinger, T. Ihn, and K.Ensslin, Nano Lett., in press (2008).
- [17] J.H. Chen et al., Nature physics, 4, 377 (2008)
- [18] C. Lanczos, Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, 45, 255, (1950)

- [19] S. Reich, J. M aultzsch, C. Thom sen, and P. Ordejon, PRB 66,035412 (2002)
- [20] Y.-W. Son, M. L. Cohen, and S. G. Louie, PRL 97, 216803, (2006).
- [21] N.M.R.Peres, A.H.CastroN eto, and F.Guinea, Phys Rev.B 73, 195411, (2006) D.Dunlycke, D.A.Areshkin, and C.T.W hite, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 142104, (2007) J.Fernandez-Rossier, J.J.Palacios, and L.Brey, Phys. Rev.B 75, 205441, (2007)
- [22] M.V.Berry and M.Robnik, J.Phys. A 17, 2413 (1984).
- [23] T.A.Brody, Lett.Nuov.Cim.7, 482 (1973).
- [24] E.Caurier, B.G ram m aticos, and A.R am ani, J.Phys.A 23, 4903 (1990).
- [25] F.M. Izmilev, Phys. Lett. A 134, 13 (1988).
- [26] G.Lenz and F.Haake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1 (1991).
- [27] H.Hasegawa, H.J.M ikeska and H.Frahm, PRA 38, 395 (1988).