Controlling soliton interactions in Bose-Einstein condensates by synchronizing the Feshbach resonance and harm onic trap

Xiao-Fei Zhang^{1,2}, Q in Yang^{1,3}, Jie-Fang Zhang⁴, X.Z.Chen⁵, and W.M.Liu¹

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

²Department of Physics, Honghe University, Mengzi 661100, China

³School of Electrical and Mechanical Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing 314001, China

⁴Institute of Nonlinear Physics, Zhejiang Norm al University, Jinhua 321004, China and

 $^5\mathrm{K}\,\mathrm{ey}$ Laboratory for Quantum Information and M easurements of M inistry of Education,

School of Electronics Engineering and Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (D ated: February 20, 2024)

W e present how to control interactions between solitons, either bright or dark, in Bose-E instein condensates by synchronizing Feshbach resonance and harm onic trap. Our results show that as long as the scattering length is to be modulated in time via a changing magnetic eld near the Feshbach resonance, and the harm onic trapping frequencies are also modulated in time, exact solutions of the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation can be found in a general closed form, and interactions between two solitons are modulated in detail in currently experimental conditions. W e also propose experimental protocols to observe the phenomena such as fusion, ssion, warp, oscillation, elastic collision in future experiments.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Lm, 03.75 Kk, 37.10 De

I. IN TRODUCTION

Observation of Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) in gases of weakly interacting alkalim etal atom s has stim ulated intensive studies of the nonlinear matter waves. One of the central questions in this eld is how to explore properties of BECs. It is known that interatom ic interactions greatly a ect a number of properties of BECs, including both static (such as the size, shape and stability) and dynam ic ones (like the collective excitation, soliton, and vortex behavior, etc.). A comm on practice to change the interaction strength, even its sign, is to m odulate the s-wave scattering length, as, by using the Feshbach resonance with a tunable tim e-dependent magnetic eld B (t) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]: $a_s(t) = a_1$ [1] 4 = (B (t))B_n)]. where a_1 is the o-resonance scattering length, t is the time, B_0 and 4 are the resonance position and width, respectively. This o ers a good opportunity for manipulation of atom ic m atter waves and nonlinear excitations in BECs. In real experiments, various form s of the time dependence of B (t) have been explored [9, 10, 11], observation of dark and bright solitons have been reported. In theoretical studies, several form softim e-varying scattering lengths have been proposed and treated separately, such as the exponential function e^t [12, 13, 14], or the periodic function $g_0 + g_1 \sin(t)$ [15, 16, 17, 18, 19], and so on.

In the present paper, we will consider the general case with arbitrary, time-varying scattering length $a_s(t)$, and discuss how to control dynamics of solitons in BECs by synchronizing the Feshbach resonance and harm onic trap in current experimental conditions. We est obtain a family of exact solutions to the general nonlinear Schrodinger equation with an external potential and ar-

bitrary tim e-varying scattering length a_s (t), then further discuss how to control the interaction of solitons including the bright and dark solitons. We observe several interesting phenom ena such as fusion, ssion, warp, oscillation, elastic collision in BECs with di erent kinds of scattering length correspond to di erent real experim ental cases.

II. THE MODEL AND SOLITON SOLUTIONS

Consider condensates in a harmonic trap V (r) = m $!_2^2$ (y² + z²)=2+m $!_1^2x^2=2$, where m is atom icm ass, $!_2$ and $!_1$ the transversal and axial frequency, respectively. Such a trap can be realized, for instance, as a dipole trap form ed by a strong o -resonant laser eld. In the m eaneld theory, the dynam ics of BEC at low temperature is governed by the so-called G ross-P itaevskii (G P) equation in three-dimensions. If $!_2 = j!_1 j$, it is reasonable to reduce the GP equation for the condensate wave function to the quasi-one-dimensional (quasi-1D) nonlinear Schrodinger equation [20, 21, 22, 23, 24],

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta x^{2}} + \frac{a_{s}(t)}{a_{B}}jj^{2} + \frac{!_{1}^{2}}{2!_{2}^{2}}x^{2}; \quad (1)$$

where the time t and coordinate x are measured, respectively, in units of $!_2^{-1}$ and a_2^{-} , with a_2^{-} , with a_3^{-} , with a_3^{-} , with a_3^{-} and $!_2^{-}$; is measured in units of $1=(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac$

¹Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics,

scattering length a_s (t), we have

$$\frac{1}{a_{s}(t)}\frac{d^{2}a_{s}(t)}{dt^{2}} + \frac{2}{a_{s}^{2}(t)}\left(\frac{da_{s}(t)}{dt}\right)^{2} + \frac{!_{1}^{2}(t)}{!_{2}^{2}} = 0: \quad (2)$$

Then, the nonlinear GP equation (1) with time-varying coe cients can be reduced to the standard nonlinear Schrödinger equation and exactly solved, with the following general solution:

$$Z^{t}$$

(x;t) = exp[(t)dt] (X;T) exp[i (t)x²]; (3)

where is an arbitrary function of X and T, with new spatial and temporal variables X = $A_1 \exp \left[\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ t_0 \end{array} \right]^R (t)dt x, T = \frac{A_1^2}{2} \operatorname{Ret}_{t_0} \exp \left[\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ t_0 \end{array} \right]^R (t^0)dt^0 dt. A_1$ is a real constant, which together with (t) are determined by

$$a_{s}(t) = a_{b} A_{1}^{2} \exp [2(t)dt]$$
: (4)

M eanwhile, the trapping frequency can also be expressed in terms of (t) given by

$$\frac{!_{1}^{2}(t)}{!_{2}^{2}} = 2_{t} 4 (t)^{2}:$$
 (5)

From Eqs. (4) and (5), we see that both the scattering length and the trapping frequency can be expressed in terms of the function. It shows that the trapping potential can become repulsive, we will give a detailed exposition of this situation in the following section. Since the trapping potential we consider here is the cigar-shaped harm onic potential (here-after, the frequency $!_2$ is not varying), once the function of scattering length is determined, the function and the function of the trap potential can also be determined. Note that the exact solution (x;t) can be obtained for arbitrary time dependence of a_s (t), since we can always choose an appropriate time-dependent axial frequency, $!_1$ (t), to satisfy the integrability relation.

W hen the interatom ic interaction is attractive, i.e., $a_s(t) < 0, Eq.$ (1) has bright N -soliton solutions. The simplest case for studying soliton interactions is the two bright solitons solution, for which (x;t) is expressed by Eq. (3) with (X;T) given by

$$\begin{array}{l} (X;T) = 2bexpfi[CX (c^2 b^2)T ']g \\ \hline (2b^2T i) \cosh[b(X 2cT X_0)] + ib(X 2cT) \sinh[b(X 2cT X_0)] \\ \hline (\cosh^2[b(X 2cT X_0)] + b^2[(X 2cT)^2 + 4b^2T] \\ \hline \end{array} ;$$
(6)

where b;c, ' , X $_{\rm 0}$ are arbitrary constants.

W hen the interatom ic interaction is repulsive, i.e., $a_s(t) > 0$, there are dark N -soliton solutions to Eq. (1).

For the two dark solitons solution, (x;t) is again expressed by Eq. (3), but with (X;T) given by

III.EFFECTS OF THE TIM E-DEPENDENT MAGNETIC FIELDS ON THE SOLITONS

Now we consider the elementary applications of solutions (3) with (6) and (7) respectively, with linear, exponential and sinusoidal time dependence of the magnetic eld via Feshbach resonance, and propose how to control dynam ics of solitons in BECs by synchronizing Feshbach resonance and harm onic trap in future experiments.

A.MAGNETIC FIELD RAMPED LINEARLY W ITH TIME

In real experiments [8, 10], the magnetic eld is linearly ram ped down with time t. We can design an experim ental protocol to control the soliton interaction in BECs near Feshbach resonance with the following steps: (i) In real experiment of ⁸⁷Rb atoms, the scattering length can be chosen as a function of magnetic eld, i.e., $a_{s}(t) = a_{1} [1 \ 4 = (B \ (t) \ B_{0})] [8], where the ormesonant$ scattering length $a_1 = 108a_B$, a_B is the Bohr radius, B_0 is the Feshbach resonance position, and 4 is the resonance width, respectively. The best-t value for the width is 4 = 0.20 G, resulting in $B_0 = 1007.40 \text{ G}$. Near the Feshbach resonance, the eld B (t) varies linearly with the rate 0.02 G /m s. For a better understanding, we plot Fig. 1, which shows the scattering length and the trapping frequency vary with time when the eld B (t) approaches the Feshbach resonance position B_0 . (ii) The realistic experim ental param eters for a quasi-1D repulsive condensate can be chosen N 10^3 atom s and with peak atom ic density $n_0 = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Then the scattering length a_s is of order of nanom eter, e.g., $ja_s j = 5.8$ nm for a 87 Rb condensate, and $!_2 = 2$ 400 Hz, with the ratio $!_1 = !_2$ being very close to zero.

The validity of the GP equation relies on the condition that the system be dilute and weakly interacting: $n ja_s j^2$ 1, where n is the average density of the condensate. Applying the above conclusions to real experiments,



FIG.1: (color online) The scattering length (red dotted line) and the trapping potential (blue solid line) vary with time when the magnetic eld ramps linearly with time t. (a) The selected eld B (t) varies from 1007.54 G to 1007.42 G, corresponding to the attractive interaction between atom s. (b) The selected eld B (t) varies from 1007.70 G to 1008.50 G, corresponding to the repulsive interaction between atom s. The scattering length is measured in units of a_1 , the axial frequency is measured in units of w_2^2 , and the eld B (t) varies linearly with the rate 0.02 G/m s.

we need to exam ine whether the validity condition for the GP equation can be satis ed or not. In the ground state for ⁸⁷Rb condensate, the scattering length is known to be $\dot{p}_s j = 5.8$ nm [8]; the typical value of the density ranges from 10^{13} 10^{45} cm ³. Son $\dot{p}_s \dot{j}^2 < 10^{-3}$ 1 is satis ed. M oreover, the experimental data agree reasonably well with the mean-eld results [25], which further proves the validity of the GP equation with $\dot{p}_s j = 5.8$ nm. A nother in portant issue is quantum depletion of the GP equation. The physics beyond the GP equation should also be very rich, and we will work on m ore rigorous solutions beyond the GP equation in the future.

Figure 2(a) shows the bright solitons interaction in BECs near the Feshbach resonance. In this case, the s-wave scattering length $a_s < 0$. According to the integrability relation, the tim e-dependent axial frequency is in aginary which indicates a repulsive potential. As shown in Fig. 1(a), when the eld B (t) approaches the Feshbach resonance position B_0 , the absolute value of the scattering length increases, but the time-dependent axial frequency decrease linearly. With the increasing of the absolute of the scattering length, the interactions between atom s becom e stronger, the peak of each soliton increases and its width decreases. M eanwhile, under the expulsive potential, the two bright solitons will be set into motion. As a result, the left bright soliton feels two forces which come from the right bright soliton and the trapping potential, it drives the left bright soliton to the right-hand side. The right-hand one moves slower than the left-hand one. Finally, the distance between the solitons becomes smaller. When the eld B (t) innitely approaches B₀, the absolute value of the scattering length and axial frequency become in nite. The two solitons interact very strongly and alm ost m erge into one



FIG. 2: (color online) Controlling matter wave bright and dark soliton interaction near the Feshbach resonance (B₀ = 1007.40 G) when the magnetic eld ram ps linearly with time t. (a) The selected eld B (t) varies from 1007.54 G to 1007.42 G. The parameters are given as follows: b = 0.01, X₀ = 3000, c = 0.002 in Eq. (3) with Eq. (6). (b) The selected eld B (t) varies from 1007.70 G to 1008.50 G. The parameters are given as follows: $j_1 j_1^2 = 0.0001$, $_1 = 0.01$, $_2 = 0.02$, $_1^{(0)} = 112$, $_2^{(0)} = 0$, $_2 = 1$ in Eq. (3) with Eq. (7).

with a very high peak and the narrowest width. A fter at least close to 1007.50 G , the absolute value of the atom ic scattering length becomes ja_s (t) j = 5:7 nm < 5:8 nm for quasi-1D ⁸⁷Rb gasm entioned above. Thism eans that the stability of soliton and the validity of 1D approximation ismaintained from 1007.54G to 1007.50G.W ith further increasing of $j_{a_s} j$ [for example, while B (t) = 1007:46G, jas jshould be 13:3nm], the system m ay be beyond the validity of the GP equation. Therefore, the phenom ena discussed in Fig. 2 (a) should be observable within the current experim ental condition from 1007.54 G to 1007.50 G.W ith synchronized Feshbach resonance and harm onic trap to change the scattering length and axial frequency, we can easily control matter wave soliton interactions and obtain a new type of atom laser with manipulatable intensity.

Figure 2 (b) shows the interaction of dark solitons with magnetic eld being selected in the range from 1007.70 G to 1008 50 G. In this case, as shown in Fig. 1 (b), the s-wave scattering length $a_s > 0$, and is in proportion to the magnetic eld, the axialtim e-dependent decrease linearly

and asym ptotic approaches to zero, it means that the system becom es a self-con ned condensate. Initially, there is only one dark soliton in BECs. Repulsive interaction between atom s becom es stronger when the absolute value of as increases. This causes the dark soliton to split and nally become two dark solitons, meanwhile, each soliton increases its peak and compresses its width. However, contrary to the bright soliton shown in Fig. 2(a), one dark soliton moves away from the other. A fter at least close to 1008.50 G, the absolute value of the atom ic scattering length becomes $j_{a_s}(t) j = 4.67$ nm, which is safely smaller than 5.8 nm for quasi-1D ⁸⁷Rb condensate, thus the stability of the soliton and validity of the 1D approximation are maintained. We conclude that the dark soliton ssion phenom enon revealed here can be realized under the current experim ental condition.

B.MAGNETIC FIELD VARYING EXPONENTIALLY W ITH TIME

When the eld is exponentially ramped down as exp(t=) to a selected eld between 545 G and 630 G = 40 m s, a_s sm all and negative or sm all [9], where and positive, the interaction param eterg (t) near the resonance varies exponentially with time: g(t) as=aB = $0:01 \exp(t), \text{ where } = j!_1 j = !_?$ 1. The integrabil- $^{2} = \frac{w_{1}^{2}}{w_{2}^{2}}$, and can be satis ed autoity relation reads m atically as long as the tim e-dependent axial frequency is in aginary which indicates a repulsive trapping potential. W ith the same parameters as in the experiment [26], ie.,N $10^{\circ}, !_{?} = 2$ 700 Hz, $!_1 = 2i$ 21 H z, and = 0:03, the interactions between the two bright solitons is shown in Fig. 3. It is interesting to observe that in the expulsive parabolic potential, the bright solitons are set into motion and propagate in the axial direction. W ith time going on, jas (t) j increases. We could observe an increase in their peaking values and a compression in widths, besides that the spacing between them decreases after they are initially generated on di erent positions in the trap, which is evidence for a short-range attractive interaction between solitons. Finally, they alm ost m erge and fusion. This phenomena is dierent from the case of [9, 26]. There, the bright solitons are set in motion by o setting the optical potential and propagate in the potential form any oscillatory cycles with the period 310 ms, the spacing between the solitons increase near the center of oscillation and bunches at the end points. The di erence is mainly caused by two factors: one is the tim e-varying scattering length strongly a ect the interaction between the solitons, and the other reason is the repulsive force provided by the potential. M eanwhile, with the increasing of the scattering length, the attractive interaction between atom s become stronger, this will leads to an attractive interaction between solitons. Eventually, the outcom e of these two forces will determ ine the



FIG.3: (color online) Controlling matter wave bright soliton interaction when eld varying exponentially with time. The parameters are given as follows: = 0.03, b = 0.5, $X_0 = 20$, c = 7 in Eq. (3) with Eq. (6). The color corresponds to the BEC density, with yellow (gray) being the smallest and blue (dark) being the largest.

motion of the two bright solitons.

Fusion is very interesting phenomenon and it comes from the interatom ic attractive interaction. In other words, with time going on, both bright solitons change their positions, warp in a certain radian, and almost merge into one single soliton. Such morphology has been observed in coronal plasm a [27]. We hope that such morphology would be detected in BEC experiments too in the near future.

In real experiments [9], the length of the background of BECs can reach at least 2L = 370 m. At the same time, in Fig. 3, solitons travel from x = 50 to 200, i.e., $150 \quad 1.4 \text{ m} = 210 \text{ m}$. [The dimensionless unit of the coordinate, x = 1, corresponds to a $_2 = (\sim=m!_2)^{1=2} = 1.4 \text{ m}$]. We indeed have 210 m < 370 m, a necessary condition for observing the morphology in BEC experiments [14]. Additionally, after at least up to 100 dimensionless units of time, $j_{\text{As}}(t)j$ reaches the value $0.2a_{\text{B}}$, which is less than $j_{\text{Afinal}}j = 4a_{\text{B}}$. This means that during the time evolution, the stability of solitons and the validity of 1D approximation can be maintained as displayed in Fig. 3. Therefore, the phenomena discussed in this case are also expected to be observable within the current experimental capability.

The interactions between two dark solitons are also intriguing. The rst experimental evidence of attraction between dark solitons in nonlocal nonlinear media has been presented [28]. Our results (3), (5), and (7) also indicated that attraction between dark solitons should be observable in BECs with repulsive long-range interatom ic interaction. In a previous case, the eld is linearly ram ped down as time, leading to the trap axial frequency $!_1 = 0$ and external potential vanishing. It means that the system becomes a self-con ned condensate. So the repulsion between the dark solitons is observed in BEC with repulsive interatom ic interaction [seen in Fig. 2 (b)]. However, in the present case, the eld varies ex-



FIG.4: (color online) The scattering length varies with time when eld varying periodically with timet. The yellow curve (gray) denotes the attractive interaction case, at t = 30 (m arked by an arrow), the amplitude of the scattering length is larger than before by suddenly jumping the amplitude of the ac drive m to m = 0:3, w = 30. The red curve denotes the repulsive interaction for m = 0:1 (dark solid line) and m = 0:01 (dark dotted line), w = 2. All of the lengths are m easured in units of a_B .

ponentially with time, the trap axial potential is not vanishing and time independent, the system is in an expulsive parabolic potential. Following the experimental setup in [26], we can ist create a BEC in the quasione-dimensional potential. Second, the trap potential is tuned to the value in our paper, meanwhile, the scattering length varies exponentially with time to a small and positive value. The potential provides an attractive force which counters the natural repulsion of the solitons. F inally, the competition between these two forces will determ ine the outcom e of the interaction between solitons. If the attractive force which is caused by the potential is stronger than the natural repulsion of the solitons, the two dark solitons will move towards the other, which is the evidence for a short-range attractive interaction between dark solitons. However, the lifetime of a BEC in current experim ents is of the order of 1 s and the region of a BEC is small, the solitons will be dissipation in their motion before reaching the edge of the condensate.

C.MAGNETIC FIELD VARYING PERIODICALLY WITH TIME

It was observed that a small sinusoidal modulation of the magnetic eld close to the Feshbach resonance gave rise to a modulation of the interaction strength, $g(t) = a_B = [1 + m sin(!t)]$ [1], where the amplitudem of the acdrive was small and satis ed 0 < m < 1. A coording to the integrability condition, the axial frequency of the harm onic potential should be

$$!_{1}^{2}(t) = \frac{m !_{2}^{2} !_{2}^{2}}{[1 + m \sin (! t)]^{2}} [\sin (! t) + m + m \cos^{2} (! t)]: (8)$$

Now, we investigate how the amplitude of the ac drive



FIG.5: (color online) The trapping frequency varies with timewhen eldvarying periodically with timet. (a) m = 0.01 (0 < t < 30), m = 0.3 (30 < t < 50), and w = 30 for the case with atom ic attractive interaction. (b) m = 0.01 (red solid line), m = 0.1 (blue dashed line), and w = 2 for the case with atom ic repulsive interaction. The frequency is measured in units of w_2^2 .

can be used to control the bright soliton interactions. As shown in Fig. 6, for the case with atom ic attractive interaction, when the amplitude is small, m = 0.01(0 < t < 30). The periodically varying of the scattering length and the trapping frequency are small as shown in Figs. 4 and 5, the repulsive and attractive force between solitons can becom e balanced. Two bright solitons will move in parallel with their separation keeping constant. This property would be interesting for optical com m unication with low bit-error rates. In BEC, this e ect may play an important role in potential application of matter wave communication with atom lasers. If the amplitude is increased to the value m = 0.3 (30 < t < 50), the solitons begin to oscillate due to the tem poral periodic m odulation of the s-w ave scattering and trapping potential are both stronger than before. This phenom ena is very sim ilar to the evolution of optical solitons.

W e also studied the e ect of ac drive on interactions between the dark solitons. First, the amplitude m of the ac drive is chosen small, m = 0.01, this leads to a small periodical modulation of the scattering length and the trapping frequency. The repulsive interaction between atom smainly leads to the form ation of the dark solitons, this force cannot lead to a oscillation of the dark solitons due to the sm all change in the scattering length. As shown in Fig. 7(a), a faster soliton is generated behind a slower one in the mutual moving direction, after an interval of time, the faster one pulls up to the slower one and their elastic collision happens. A fter the collision, the faster one passes through the slower one and their param eters did not change, which rem arkably indicates no energy exchange between the two dark solitons. For largerac drive, for example, m = 0:1, which is 10 times of the value of the previous m, with the same initial condition, because of the strongerm odulation of the scattering length and the trapping frequency, both solitons move forward, meanwhile, they will oscillate back and forth,



FIG.6: (color online) C ontrolling m atter wave bright soliton interaction when eld varying periodically with time, where (a) m = 0:01 (0 < t < 30), (b) m = 0:3 (30 < t < 50). The other parameters are as follows: ! = 30, b = 1, $X_0 = 9$, c = 0:4 in Eq. (3) with Eq. (6).

as shown in Fig. 7(b). In all of the above cases, the trap potential can become repulsive during the entire process, but the attractive potential is stronger than the repulsive one during a period, the outcome of the potential is an attractive one which can be seen in Fig. 5. It shows that a BEC with repulsive interaction between atoms is con ned in the trap, this is di erent from the case B.

A nother in portant problem is the atom loss. As the external magnetic eld is driven close to the resonant value, the rate of loss of atom s is increasing rapidly in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance, while only a sm all fraction of atom s rem ain as soliton. In all of the above cases, the scattering length is sm all, the validity of the GP equation is satis ed. M eanwhile, when the trapping potential is m odulated according to the integrability relation, both the rate of the untrapped atom and the collective excitations will be further discussed.

IV . C O N C LU S IO N

In summary, we express how to control soliton interaction in BECs with arbitrary time-varying scattering length in a synchronized time-dependent harm onic trap. W hen the integrability condition is satis ed, we obtained the exact solutions analytically, and explored the interaction of the bright and dark solitons in BECswith Feshbach resonance magnetic eld linearly, exponentially, and periodically dependent on time. In these typical exam ples, we nd several interesting phenom ena involving soliton interactions, such as fusion, ssion, warp, oscillation, elastic collision, etc. [29, 30]. We further discussed how to control interactions between bright or dark solitons, in BECs in realistic situations, which allows for experim ental test of our predictions in the future. These phenom ena open possibilities for future applications in coherent atom optics, atom interferom etry, and atom transport.

This work was supported by NSFC Grants Nos.



FIG.7: (color online) C ontrolling m atter wave dark soliton interaction when eld varying periodically with time, where (a) m = 0:01, (b) m = 0:1. The other parameters are as follows: $! = 2, j_1 \hat{f} = 1, _1 = 12, _2 = 1:8, l_1 = 0:02, _1^{(0)} = 0:01, _2^{(0)} = 0:2, = 1$ in Eq. (3) with Eq. (7). The red (with smaller slope) and blue correspond to the slower one and the faster one respectively.

90406017, 60525417 and 10740420252 and NKBRSFC G rants Nos. 2005CB724508 and 2006CB921400.

- [1] A.J.Moerdijk, B.J.Verhaar, and A.Axelsson, Phys. Rev. A 51, 4852 (1995).
- J.L.Roberts, N.R.Claussen, Jam es P.Burke, Jr., C.
 H.G reene, E.A.Cornell, and C.E.W iem an, Phys.Rev. Lett. 81, 5109 (1998).
- [3] J.Stenger, S.Inouye, M.R.Andrews, H.-J.Miesner, D. M.Stamper-Kum, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2422 (1999).
- [4] S. Inouye, M. R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H. -J. Miesner, D. M. Stam per-Kum, and W. Ketterle, Nature (london) 392, 151 (1998).
- [5] S. L. Comish, N. R. Claussen, J. L. Roberts, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. W iem an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1795 (2000).
- [6] E. A. Donley, N. R. Claussen, S. L. Comish, J. L. Roberts, E. A. Comell, and C. E. W iem an, Nature (London) 412, 295 (2001).
- [7] C.A.Regal, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 230404 (2003).
- [8] T. Volz, S. Durr, S. Emst, A. Marte, and G. Rempe,

Phys.Rev.A 68,010702(R) (2003).

- [9] K.E.Strecker, G.B.Partridge, A.G.Trusco, and R. G.Hulet, Nature (London) 417, 150 (2002).
- [10] C.A.Regal, M.Greiner, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 040403 (2004).
- [11] M.Greiner, C.A. Regal, and D.S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 070403 (2005).
- [12] F.K.Abdullaev, A.M.Kam chatnov, V.V.Konotop, and V.A.Brazhnyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 230402 (2003).
- [13] V.M. Perez-Garc a, V.V.Konotop, and V.A.Brazhnyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 220403 (2004).
- [14] Z.X.Liang, Z.D.Zhang, and W.M.Liu, Phys.Rev. Lett. 94, 050402 (2005).
- [15] H. Saito, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 040403 (2003).
- [16] D. E. Pelinovsky, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. J. Frantzeskakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 240201 (2003).
- [17] G.D.Montesinos, V.M. Perez-Garcia, and H.Michinel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 133901 (2004).
- [18] V.V.Konotop, and P.Pacciani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 240405 (2005).
- [19] M. Matuszewski, E. Infeld, B.A. Malom ed, and M. Trippenbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 050403 (2005).
- [20] K.D.Moll, A.L.Gaeta, and G.Fibich, Phys.Rev.Lett.

90,203902 (2003).

- [21] G.Fibich, B.Ilan, and S.Schochet, Nonlinearity 16, 1809 (2003).
- [22] V.M. Perez-Garca, H.M ichinel, H.Herrero, Phys. Rev. A 57, 3837 (1998).
- [23] P. G. Kevrekidis and D. J. Frantzeskakis, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 18, 173 (2004).
- [24] V.A.Brazhnyi, and V.V.K onotop, M od.Phys.Lett.B 18, 627 (2004).
- [25] D.S.Jin, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.W iem an, and E.A.Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 420 (1996).
- [26] L.Khaykovich, F.Schreck, G.Fernari, T.Bourdel, J.Cubizolles, L.D.Carr, Y.Castin, and C.Salomon, Science 296, 1290 (2002).
- [27] L.Golub, J.Bookbinder, E.DeLuca, M.Karovska, and H.Warren, Phys. Plasm as 6, 2205 (1999).
- [28] A. D reischuh, D. N. Neshev, D. E. Petersen, O. Bang, and W. Krolikowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 043901 (2006).
- [29] F.K. Abdullaev, and M. Salemo, J. Phys. B 36, 2851 (2003).
- [30] G. Theocharis, P. Schmelcher, P.G. Kevrekidis, and D. J.Frantzeskakis, Phys. Rev. A 72, 033614 (2005).