Deform ations of glassy polymers in very low tem perature regime within cylindrical micropores

Guanghua Zhu

Chem S.-S. Institute of M athem atics, Nankai University, Road W eijin, Tianjin 300071, China China

Abstract

The deform ation kinetics for glassy polymers conned in microscopic domain at very low temperature regime was investigated using a transition-rate-state dependent model considering the shear thinning behavior which means, once material being subjected to high shear rates, the viscosity diminishes with increasing shear rate. The preliminary results show that there might be nearly frictionless elds for rate of deformation due to the almost vanishing shear stress in micro-pores at very low temperature regime subjected to some surface conditions : The relatively larger roughness (compared to the macroscopic domain) inside micropores and the slip. As the pore size decreases, the surface-to-volume ratio increases and therefore, surface roughness will greatly a ect the deformation kinetics in micropores. By using the boundary perturbation method, we obtained a class of temperature and activation energy dependent elds for the deformation kinetics at low temperature regime with the presumed small wavy roughness distributed along the walls of an cylindricalmicropore. The critical deformation which equivalent and is proportional to the temperature, activation energy, activation volume, orientation dependent and is proportional to the (referenced) shear rate, the slip length, the amplitude and the orientation of the wavy-roughness.

PACS num bers: 83.60 St, 83.60 R s,83.50 Lh

I. IN TRODUCTION

G lasses are an orphous m aterials of polym eric, m etallic, inorganic or organic type. The plastic deform ation of an orphous m aterials and glasses at low tem peratures and high strain rates is known to be inhom ogeneous and rate-dependent. In fact, the m echanical behavior of am orphous m aterials such as polym ers [1-7] and bulk m etallic glasses [8-10] continues to present great theoretical challenges. W hile dislocations have long been recognized as playing a central role in plasticity of crystalline systems, no counterpart is easily identiable in disordered m atter. In addition, yield and deform ation kinetics [11-13] occur very far from equilibrium, where the state of the system m ay have a complex history dependence.

In recent years, considerable e ort was geared towards understanding how glasses respond to shear [14]. Phenom ena such as shear thinning and 'rejuvenation' are common when shear deformation (rate) is imposed. At low temperatures they behave in a brittle elastic manner; at high temperatures, much above the glass transition the behavior is more (rubbery) (viscoelastic). There is a huge drop in modulus when the temperature is increased above the glass transition temperature, indicating a shift in behavior from (glassy) to (rubbery). Because of these peculiar mechanical properties of polymeric materials, the linear theory of viscoelasticity is unable to model closely the observed response and thus there is a need for a non-linear theory of viscoelasticity.

Unlike crystals, glasses also age, meaning that their state depends on their history. When a glass falls out of equilibrium, it evolves over very long time scales. Motivated by the above issues and the interesting characteristics of deform ation kinetics at very low temperature we shall study the deform ation kinetics in microscopic dom ain at low enough temperature which is an interesting topic for applications in micro- and nanodom ain [15–16] or the validation in using quantum mechanic formulations [9,17] where the nonlinear constitutive relations should be adopted.

M eanwhile most of the classical solutions of contact problems, starting from the Hertzian case, rely on the assumption of nom inally smooth geometries, which is reasonable at large enough scales. However, real surfaces are rough [18–19] at the micro-or even at the meso-scale, and the elect of multi-point contact is important for a series of phenomena involving friction and wear. The role of surface roughness has been extensively investigated, and opposite conclusions have been reached so far. For instance, friction can increase when two

opposing surfaces are m ade sm oother (this is the case of cold welding of highly polished m etals). On the other hand, friction increases with roughness when interlocking e ects am ong the asperities com e into play. This apparent contradiction is due to the e ects of length scales, which appear to be of crucial in portance in this phenom enon [15,20-21].

From the mechanical point of view, a contact problem involves the determination of the traction distributions transmitted from one surface to the other, in general involving norm al pressures and, if friction is present, shear tractions, according to an appropriate set of equalities and inequalities governing the physics of the contact [22]. W hen there is friction at the contact interface, Coulomb friction behaviour is usually introduced to give the conditions necessary to determ ine the shear traction distribution. Any point in the contact area must be either in 'stick', or 'slip' condition, and the tangential tractions must behave accordingly. In this paper we shall consider the deform ation kinetics of glassy polymer at very low tem perature in m icropores which have radius-or transverse corrugations along the cross-section. The glassy polymer will be treated as a shear-shinning material. To consider the transport of this kind of glass (shear-thinning) polymer in microdom ain, we adopt the veried model initiated by Cagle and Eyring [8] which was used to study the annealing of glass. To obtain the law of annealing of glass for explaining the too rapid annealing at the earliest time, because the relaxation at the beginning was steeper than could be explained by the bim olecular law, Cagle and Eyring [8] tried a hyperbolic sine law between the shear (strain) rate : - and (large) shear stress : and obtained the close agreem ent with experim ental data. This model has sound physical foundation from the therm alactivation process (Eyring [9] already considered a kind of (quantum) tunneling which relates to the matter rearranging by sum ounting a potential energy barrier; cf., Fig. 1). With this model we can associate the (glassy) polymer with the momentum transfer between neighboring atom ic clusters on the m icroscopic scale and reveals the atom ic interaction in the relaxation of ow with (viscous) dissipation.

The outline of this short paper is as follows. Section 2 describes the general mathematical and physical formulations of the framework. In this Section, explicit derivations for the glassy deformation kinetics are introduced based on a microscopic model proposed by Eyring [9]. The boundary perturbation technique [18,23] will be implemented, too. In the third Section, we consider the very-low temperature limit of our derived solutions which are highly temperature as well as activation energy dependent at rather low temperature

regime. Relevant results and discussion are given therein.

II. MATHEMATICALAND PHYSICAL FORMULATIONS

The beginnings of theoretical molecular mechanisms of deformation in amorphous polymers and glass are as old as the subject of atom ic mechanisms of deformation and yield in metals. The rst speci cmolecular mechanism of deformation for polymers and glass was published by Eyring [9] and later, Taylor [24] published the model of an edge dislocation to account for the plastic deformation in metals. However, whilst the theory of dislocations and crystal defects has become a major stream in the science of solid state, the corresponding e ort applied to this problem in amorphous polymers must be considered rather small by comparison.

The molecular theory of deform ation kinetics came from a di erent stream of science than that of structure and motion of crystal defects (in particular dislocations). Its roots stretch to the developmental stages of theories of chemical reactions and thermodynamic description of their temperature dependence, culminating in the key formulation by Arrhenius of the equation for reaction rates. By the beginning of this century the concept of activation entropy was included in the model, and it was considered that molecules go both in the forward direction (product state) and in the backward direction (reactant state).

The developm ent of statistical mechanics, and later quantum mechanics, led to the concept of the potential energy surface. This was a very in portant step in our modem understanding of atom ic models of deform ation. Eyring's contribution to this subject was the form aldevelopm ent of the transition state theory which provided the basis for deform ation kinetics, as well as all other therm ally activated processes, such as crystallisation, di usion, polym erisation. etc.

The motion of atom s is represented in the conguration space; on the potential surface the stable molecules are in the valleys, which are connected by a pass that leads through the saddle point. An atom at the saddle point is in the transition (activated) state. Under the action of an applied stress the forward velocity of a (plastic) ow unit is the net number of times it moves forward, multiplied by the distance it jumps. Eyring proposed a speci c molecularm odel of the am orphous structure and a mechanism of deformation kinetics. With

reference to this idea, this mechanism results in a (shear) strain rate given by

$$= 2 \frac{V_h}{V_m} \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left(\frac{E}{k_B T}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{V_h}{2k_B T}\right)$$
(1)

where

$$V_h = {}_{2 3}$$
; $V_m = {}_{2 3 1}$;

 $_1$ is the perpendicular distance between two neighboring layers of m olecules sliding past each other, is the average distance between equilibrium positions in the direction ofm otion, $_2$ is the distance between neighboring m olecules in this same direction (which m ay or m ay not equal), $_3$ is the m olecule to m olecule distance in the plane norm all to the direction of m otion, and is the local applied stress, E is the activation energy, h is the P lanck constant, k_B is the Boltzm ann constant, T is the tem perature, V_h is the activation volum e for the m olecular event [9]. The deform ation kinetics of the polymer chain is envisaged as the propagation of kinks in the m olecules into available holes. In order for the m otion of the kink to result in a plastic ow, it must be raised (energised) into the activated state and pass over the saddle point. This was the earliest m olecular theory of yield behaviour in am orphous polymers, and Eyring presented a theoretical fram ework which form ed the basis ofm any subsequent considerations.

Solving Eqn. (1) for the force or , one obtains:

$$= \frac{2k_B T}{V_h} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{-}{B}\right); \qquad (2)$$

which in the lim it of sm all (-B) reduces to Newton's law for viscous deform ation kinetics. We consider a steady deform ation kinetics of the glassy polymer in a wavy-rough microtube of r_0 (in mean-averaged outer radius) with the outer wall being a xed wavy-rough surface $: r = r_0 + \sin(k)$ where is the amplitude of the (wavy) roughness, and the wave number : k = 2 = L (L is the wave length). The schematic is illustrated in Fig. 2. Firstly, this material can be expressed as [9,18] -= $-0 \sinh(1 = 0)$, where -is the shear rate, is the shear stress, and

$$B = \frac{2k_{\rm B}T}{h} \frac{V_{\rm h}}{V_{\rm m}} \exp\left(\frac{E}{k_{\rm B}T}\right); \tag{3}$$

is a function of tem perature with the dimension of the shear rate,

$$_{0} = \frac{2k_{\rm B}T}{V_{\rm h}}$$
(4)

is the referenced (shear) stress, (for sm all shear stress $_0$, the linear dashpot constitutive relation is recovered and $_{0}=_{-0}$ represents the viscosity of the m aterial). In fact, the force balance gives the shear stress at a radius r as = (r dp=dz)=2. dp=dz is the pressure gradient along the tube-axis or z-axis direction.

Introducing the forcing parameter = $(r_0=2_0)dp=dz$ then we have $-=_{-0} \sinh(r=r_0)$. As the (shear) strain rate is

$$-=\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}r}$$
(5)

(u is the rate of deform ation (or velocity) in the longitudinal (z-) direction of the m icrotube), after integration, we obtain

$$u = u_s + \frac{-\sigma r_o}{r_o} [\cosh \cosh (\frac{r}{r_o})];$$
(6)

here, u_s is the rate of deform ation or velocity over the surfaces of the m icrotube, which is determ ined by the boundary condition. We noticed that a general boundary condition for m aterial deform ation kinetics over a solid surface was proposed (cf., e.g., [18]) as

$$u = L_{s}^{0} - (1 -)^{1=2};$$
(7)

where u is the rate of deform ation (or velocity) jump over the solid surface, L_s^0 is a constant slip length and -c is the critical shear rate at which the slip length diverges. The value of -c is a function of the corrugation of interfacial energy. We rem ind the readers that this expression is based on the assumption of the shear rate over the solid surface being much sm aller than the critical shear rate of -c. -c represents the maximum shear rate the material can sustain beyond which there is no additional momentum transfer between the wall and material- ow molecules. How generic this behavior is and whether there exists a comparable scaling for polymeric or am orphous materials remain open questions.

At small pressure gradient, the shear-thinning matter behaves like a New tonian ow, while at high pressure gradient, the shear-thinning matter ows in a plug-ow type. Such a behavior is due to the shear thinning of the material, i.e., the higher the shear rate is, the smaller is the (plastic) ow resistance [7]. On the microscale, this shear-thinning matter can bridge the New tonian deform ation kinetics to that of the pluglike type and o ers us a mechanistic model to study the deform ation kinetics in micro-and even nanodom ain using the technique of continuum mechanics. W ith the boundary condition from (cf., e.g., [18]), we shall derive the rate of deform ation (or velocity) eld or deform ation kinetics along the wavy-rough m icrotube below using the boundary perturbation technique (cf. [23]) and dimensionless analysis. We rately select the hydrodynam ical diameter L_r to be the characteristic length scale and set

$$r^{0} = r = L_{r}; \quad R_{o} = r_{o} = L_{r}; \quad {}^{0} = = L_{r}:$$
 (8)

A fler this, for simplicity, we drop all the primes. It means, now, r, R_o , R_i , and become dimensionless. The wall is prescribed as $r = R_o + \sin(k)$, and the presumed fully-developed plastic ow is along the z-direction (microtube-axis direction). A long the conned (wavy) boundaries, we have the strain rate

$$= \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}n}\right) \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{bn surface}}; \tag{9}$$

where, n m eans the norm al. Let the rate of deform ation u be expanded in :

$$u = u_0 + u_1 + {}^2u_2 + ;$$
 (10)

and on the boundary, we expand u $(r_0 + dr; (= _0))$ into

$$u(\mathbf{r};)j_{(\mathbf{r}_{0}+\ d\mathbf{r}; 0)} = u(\mathbf{r}_{0};) + [d\mathbf{r}u_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}_{0};)] + {}^{2} [\frac{d\mathbf{r}^{2}}{2}u_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r}_{0};)] + =$$

$$fu_{\text{slip}} + \frac{-R_{o}}{-} \cosh(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{R_{o}}) \frac{R_{o}}{t} + \frac{\sin(\mathbf{k})}{t}; \quad \mathbf{r}_{0} = R_{o}; \quad (11)$$

where the subscript m eans the partial di erentiation (say, u_r @u=@r) and

$$u_{\text{slip}} j_{\text{on surface}} = L_{s}^{0} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1=2} j_{\text{on surface}};$$
(12)

$$u_{slip_0} = L_{s \to 0}^{0} [\sinh (1 - \frac{-\sigma \sinh}{-\sigma})^{1=2}]:$$
(13)

Now, on the outer wall (cf., e.g., [23]), the (shear) strain rate

$$= \frac{du}{dn} = r u \frac{r (r - R_{o} - \sin(k -))}{jr (r - R_{o} - \sin(k -))j} = [1 + \frac{2k^{2}}{r^{2}} \cos^{2}(k -)]^{\frac{1}{2}} [u_{r} j_{R_{o} + dr; }]$$

$$= \frac{k}{r^{2}} \cos(k -)u j_{R_{o} + dr; }] = u_{0r} j_{R_{o}} + [u_{1r} j_{R_{o}} + u_{0rr} j_{R_{o}} \sin(k -)]$$

$$= \frac{k}{r^{2}} u_{0} j_{R_{o}} \cos(k -)] + \frac{2[\frac{1}{2}k^{2}}{r^{2}} \cos^{2}(k -)u_{0r} j_{R_{o}} + u_{2r} j_{R_{o}} + u_{1rr} j_{R_{o}} \sin(k -)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} u_{0rrr} j_{R_{o}} \sin^{2}(k -) - \frac{k}{r^{2}} \cos(k -)(u_{1} - j_{R_{o}} + u_{0} - j_{R_{o}} \sin(k -))] + O(-3);$$

$$= (14)$$

Considering L_s^0 R_o case, we presume sinh $_{\overline{c}}=_{\overline{0}}$ so that we can approximately replace $[1 (_{\overline{0}} \sinh)=_{\overline{c}}]^{1=2}$ by $[1 + _{\overline{0}} \sinh = (2 _{\overline{c}})]$. With equations (6),(7),(9), (10), (11) and (14), using the de nition of the (shear) strain rate $_{\overline{}}$ we can derive the rate of deformation (or velocity) eld up to the second order. The key point is to ratly obtain the slip rate of deformation (or velocity) along the wavy boundaries or surfaces.

A fter lengthy m athem atical m an ipulations and using $(1 - - - - c)^{1-2} = 1 + - - (2 - c)$,

$$u_0 = \frac{-\pi R_o}{R_o} \left[\cosh\left(\frac{r}{R_o}\right) - \cosh\left(\frac{r}{R_o}\right)\right] + u_{\text{slip}_0}; \qquad (15)$$

$$u_1 = -0 \sin(k) \sinh + u_{slip_1};$$
 (16)

wehave

$$u_{slip} = L_{s}^{0} f \begin{bmatrix} u_{0_{r}} (1 & \frac{u_{0_{r}}}{2_{\overline{c}}}) \end{bmatrix}_{J=R_{o}}^{1} + \begin{bmatrix} u_{f} (1 & \frac{u_{0_{r}}}{c}) \end{bmatrix}_{J=R_{o}}^{1} + \frac{2}{c} \begin{bmatrix} u_{f}^{2} \\ 2_{\overline{c}} \end{bmatrix} u_{sc} (1 & \frac{u_{0_{r}}}{c}) \end{bmatrix}_{J=R_{o}}^{1} g = u_{slip_{0}} + u_{slip_{1}} + \frac{2}{c} u_{slip_{2}} + O(^{3})$$
(17)

where

$$u_{0_r} = -v \sinh\left(\frac{1}{R_o}r\right); \tag{18}$$

$$u_{0_{rr}} = - \frac{1}{R_{o}} \cosh\left(\frac{1}{R_{o}}r\right);$$
(19)

$$u_{0_{rrr}} = -\frac{1}{R_{o}^{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{1}{R_{o}}r\right); \qquad (20)$$

$$u_{f} = u_{1_{r}} + u_{0_{rr}} \sin(k) + \frac{k}{r^{2}} \cos(k) u_{0} = \frac{1}{R_{o}} \cosh(\frac{1}{R_{o}}r) \sin(k);$$
 (21)

and

$$u_{sc} = \frac{k^2}{2r^2} \cos^2(k) u_{0r} + \frac{1}{2} u_{0rrr} \sin^2(k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}r^2} \cos^2(k) \frac{2}{R_0^2} \sin^2(k) \sin^2(k)$$

Thus, at $r = R_{\circ}$, up to the second order,

$$u_{slip} \quad u_{s} = L_{s}^{0} - sinh (1 + \frac{K_{0}}{2}) + -sin(k) [sinh + \frac{K_{0}}{R_{o}} L_{s}^{0} - sin(1 + K_{0})] + {}^{2}L_{s}^{0} - \frac{s}{2}f[$$

$$\frac{\cosh}{R_{o}L_{s}^{0}}\sin^{2}(k) = \frac{k^{2}}{R_{o}^{2}}\cos^{2}(k) + \frac{2}{R_{o}^{2}}\sin^{2}(k) \sin^{2}(k) \sin^{2}(k) + \frac{2}{R_{o}^{2}}\frac{-\tau}{-\tau}\cosh^{2}(k) \sin^{2}(k) \sin^{2}(k$$

where

$$K_0 = 1 + (-0 \sinh) = -c$$
 (24)

From the rate of deformation (or velocity) elds (up to the second order), we can integrate them with respect to the cross-section to get the volume (plastic) ow rate (Q, also up to the second order here).

$$Q = \int_{0}^{Z_{p} Z_{R_{0}} + \sin(k)} u(r;) r dr d = Q_{sm ooth} + Q_{p_{0}} + {}^{2}Q_{p_{2}}:$$
(25)

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We rstly check the roughness e ect upon the shearing characteristics because there are no available experimental data and numerical simulations for the same geometric conguration (microscopic tubes with wavy corrugations in transverse direction). With a series of forcings (due to imposed pressure gradients) : $R_o(dp=dz)=(2_0)$, we can determine the enhanced shear rates (d =dt) due to forcings. From equation (5), we have (up to the rst order)

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d_0}{dt} [\sinh + \sin (k) + \frac{1}{R_0} \cosh]; \qquad (26)$$

The calculated results are demonstrated in Figs. 3 and 4. The parameters are xed below (the orientation e ect : sin (k) is xed here). r_o (the mean outer radius) is selected as the same as the slip length $L_s^0 = 100 \text{ nm}$. The amplitude of wavy roughness is = 0.04; 0.07; 0.1, the Boltzm ann constant (k_B) is 1:38 10^{23} Joule/K, and the Planck constant (h) is 6:626 10^{34} Joule s.

In each panel, the inner curve is the relevant boundary of the tube or the geom etric part of the presentation. The distance between the inner and corresponding outer curves is the calculated physical shear rate : –, W e can observe once the tem perature (T) changes a little from 285 to 295, the enhancement of -becomes at least three orders of magnitude (for = 1, the activation energy : 3 10^{23} Joules). Even at very low temperature Fig. 4 gives very large strain rates which are required to to obtain the necessary strain for plastic deformation. Thus, the constitutive relations is highly nonlinear at rather low temperature regime [9]. It is worth pointing out that the Eyring model requires the interaction between atoms in the direction perpendicular to the shearing direction for the momentum transfer. This might explain why our result is orientation dependent. The e ect of wavy-roughness will be signi cant once the forcing () is rather large (the maximum is of the order of magnitude of [tanh ()=R $_{o}$]).

To be specific, we can illustrate the shear rate (\rightarrow) with respect to the temperature (T) once we calculate \neg_{0} as the latter is temperature dependent (but presum ed roughness independent here) which could be traced from equation (1). This is shown in Fig. 5.

Note that, based on the rate-state Eyring model (of stress-biased therm alactivation), structural rearrangement is associated with a single energy barrier (height) E that is lowered or raised linearly by a (shear) yield stress . If the transition rate is proportional to the plastic (shear) strain rate (with a constant ratio : C_0 ; $-= C_0 R_t$, R_t is the transition rate in the direction aided by stress), we have $= E = V + (k_B T = V) \ln (-=C_{0-0})$ or

$$= \frac{E}{V} + \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{V}\right) \ln\left(\frac{j_{\rm J}V}{_{0}k_{\rm B}T}\right); \qquad (27)$$

where $V = V_h$ is a constant called the activation volum e, k_B is the Boltzm ann constant, T is the temperature, $_0$ is an attempt frequency or transition rate [8,25], and _ is the stress rate. Norm ally, the value of V is associated with a typical volum e required for a molecular shear rearrangement. Thus, if there is a rather-small (plastic) ow (of the glass) at low temperature environment then it could be related to a barrier-overcoming or tunneling for shear-thinning matter along the wavy-roughness (geometric valley and peak served as atom ic potential surfaces) in cylindrical micropores when the wavy-roughness is present. Once the geometry-tuned potentials (energy) overcomethis barrier, then the tunneling (spontaneous transport) inside wavy-rough cylindrical micropores occurs.

To exam ine the behavior of the shear rate at low tem perature regine, we calculate $_{0}$ and $-(C_{0}_{0} = 5 \quad 10^{0} \text{ s}^{1})$ with respect to the tem perature T and show the results in Fig. 5. For a selected activation energy : $5 \quad 10^{22}$ Joule or 10^{3} eV (a little bit sm aller than the binding energy of ³H e), we can nd a sharp decrease of shear rates around T 0.01 K. Below this tem perature, there m ight be nearly frictionless transport of glassy m atter. Note also that, according to C agle and Eyring [8], V = 3V =2 for certain m aterial during an activation event, where V is the deform ation volume, is the increment of shear strain. If we select a (xed) tem perature, say, T = 0:1 K, then from the expression of $_{0}$, we can obtain the shear stress corresponding to above forcings ():

$$= _{0} \sinh^{1} [\sinh() + \sin(k) - \cosh()]; \qquad (28)$$

There is no doubt that the orientation e ect () is also present for deform ation kinetics of polymeric matter. For illustration (shown in Fig. 6), we only consider the maximum case

: jsin (k) j = 1. The trend of enhancement due to (pressure-forcing) and (roughness) is similar to those presented in Figs. 3 and 4. We remind the readers that, due to the appearance of $_0$, we x the temperature to be the same and the activation volume : 10²⁵ m³.

In fact, as shown in Fig. 6, the calculated (shear) stress (which is directly linked to the resistance of the glassy matter) also shows a sudden decrease around T 1:1K especially for the case of ($C_{0\ 0} = 2$ 10⁹ s¹). Here, the activation volume (V or V_h) is selected as 0.2 nm³ [25]. Thus, the nearly friction less transport of the glassy uid at low temperature environment (relevant to the supersolidity, cf. [26]) could be related to a barrier-overcom ing or tunneling for shear-thinning matter along the wavy-roughness (geometric valley and peak served as atom ic potential surfaces) in cylindrical micropores when the wavy-roughness is present. Once the geometry-tuned potentials (energy) overcom e this barrier, then the tunneling (alm ost friction less transport) inside wavy-rough cylindrical micropores occurs.

We also noticed that, as described in [9], mechanical loading lowers energy barriers, thus facilitating progress over the barrier by random therm al uctuations. The simpli ed Eyring m odel approximates the loading dependence of the barrier height as linear. This Eyring m odel, with this linear barrier height dependence on load, has been used over a large fraction of the last century to describe the response of a wide range of system s and underlies m odern approaches to sheared glasses. The linear dependence will always correctly describe small changes in the barrier height, since it is simply the rst term in the Taylor expansion of the barrier height as a function of load. It is thus appropriate when the barrier height changes only slightly before the system escapes the local energy m inimum. This situation occurs at higher tem peratures; for example, New tonian deform ation kinetics is obtained in the Eyring model in the limit where the system experiences only small changes in the barrier height before therm ally escaping the energy m in in um. As the tem perature decreases, larger changes in the barrier height occur before the system escapes the energy minimum (giving rise to, for example, non-New tonian deform ation kinetics). In this regime, the linear dependence is not necessarily appropriate, and can lead to inaccurate modelling. This explains why we should adopt the hyperbolic sine law [9] to treat the glassy matter. To be specic, our results are rather sensitive to the tem perature (T) and the activation energy. Fig. 7 shows especially the tem perature dependence of the forcing parameter () if dp=dz is prescribed (say, around 6 10^{10} Pa/m) and the activation volume is 0.2 nm³

 $(r_{o} = 100 \text{ nm})$. We can observe that once T increases decreases. -calculated using prescribed and using directly T also di ers. Finally, we present the calculated maximum velocity (unit : m /s) with respect to the tem perature in Fig. 8. Geometric parameters : r_{0} and the activation volume are the same as those in Fig. 7 and the roughness amplitude 10²³, 1 10^{22} = $0.02; 0.05R_{0}$. We consider the e ect of the activation energy : 9.0 10²² Joule. A round T 0:35K, the maximum velocity (of the glassy matter) and 2 either keeps decreasing as the tem perature increases for larger activation energy or instead increases as the tem perature increases for sm aller activation energy! The results presented in Fig. 8 m ight be related to the m icroscopic origin for physical aging or e ects of therm al history [27] and indeterm inate solutions discussed in [28]. The latter observation m ight be related to the argues raised in [29] for the annealing process of solid helium at similar low tem perature environm ent if we treat the solid helium to be glassy at low tem perature regime.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To give a brief summary, we analytically obtain a class of temperature as well as activation energy dependent elds of the rate of deformation for glassy polymer in microscopically conned wavy-rough domain at very low temperature regime. The elects of wavy corrugation upon the conned deformation kinetics at very-low temperature are clearly illustrated. It is found that there exist almost frictionless plastic ow elds for the rate of deformation of glassy polymer inside cylindrical micropores at very low temperature once the micropore surface is wavy-rough and the activation energy is prescribed. Once the temperature, activation volume, and geometry are xed, the increase of activation energy instead reduces signi cantly the (maximum) rate of deformation of the glassy matter. The critical rate of deformation is proportional to the (referenced) shear rate, the slip length, the orientation and the am plitude of the wavy-roughness as illustrated above.

^[1] T A.P laisted and S.N em at-N asser, A cta M ater. 55, 5684 (2007).

^[2] R.C. Scogna and R.A. Register, Polymer 49, 992 (2008).

^[3] A J. Jacobsen, W. Barvosa-Carter and S. Nutt, A cta M ater. 56, 1209 (2008).

- [4] R N. Haward and R J. Young, The Physics of G lassy Polymers (Chapman and Hall, London, 1997).
- [5] SA.Baeurle, A.Hotta and AA.Gusev, Polymer 47, 6243 (2006).
- [6] J. Mohanraj, D.C. Barton, IM. Ward, A.Dahoun, JM. Hiver and C.G'Sell, Polymer 47, 5852 (2006).
- [7] P.C. Painter and M. M. Coleman, Fundam entals of Polymer Science: An Introductory Text (Technomic Publishing Co., Inc. 2nd. ed., New York, 1997).
- [8] F W . Cagle Jr. and H. Eyring, J. Appl. Phys. 22, 771 (1951).
- [9] H.Eyring, J.Chem. Phys. 4, 283 (1936). A. Tobolsky and H.Eyring, J.Chem. Phys. 11, 125 (1943).
- [10] C P. Buckley and D C. Jones, Polymer 36, 3301 (1995).
- [11] O.Gueguen, J.Richeton, S.Ahziand A.Makradi, Acta Mater. 56, 1650 (2008).
- [12] A J.Liu and S.R.Nagel, Jamming and Rheology (Taylor & Francis, London, 2001).
- [13] K.C. Valanis and S.T.J. Peng, Polymer 24, 1551 (1983).
- [14] T.K. Haxton and A.J. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 195701 (2007).
- [15] T.Pietsch, N.Gindy, A.Fahm i, Polymer 49, 914 (2008).
- [16] J.-L. Yang, Z. Zhang, A. K. Schlarb and K. Friedrich, Polymer 47, 6745 (2006).
- [17] JF.Bell, Int.J.Plast.1, 3 (1985).JF.Bell, Int.J.Plast.4, 127 (1988).
- [18] Z.K.H.Chu, arX iv:0707.2828.
- [19] R. van Tijum, W P. Vellinga and J.ThM. De Hosson, Acta Mater. 55, 2757 (2007).
- [20] D. Trias, J. Costa, A. Turon and JE. Hurtado, Acta Mater. 54, 3471 (2006).
- [21] F. Perrin-Sarazin, M.-T. Ton-That, M. N. Bureau and J. Denault, Polymer 46, 11624 (2005).
- [22] K L. Johnson, Proc. Royal Soc. London A 453, 163 (1997).
- [23] W.K.H.Chu, Z.Angew.Math.Phys. 47, 591 (1996).
- [24] G.I.Taylor, J.Inst.Met. 62, 307 (1938).
- [25] F.M. Capaldi, M. C. Boyce and G.C. Rutledge, Polymer 45, 1391 (2004).
- [26] Y. Pom eau and S. Rica, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2426 (1994).
- [27] A.W ypych, E.Duval, G.Boiteux, J.Ulanski, L.David and A.Mermet, Polymer 46, 12523 (2005).
- [28] T.B. Stoughton and J.W. Yoon, Int. J. Plast. 24, 583 (2008).
- [29] A.Penzev, Y.Yasuta and M.Kubota, J.Low Temp. Phys. 148, 677 (2007).



Fig. 1 Increasing strain causes a local energy minimum to atten until it disappears (rem oving of energy barrier or quantum -like tunneling). The structural contribution to the shear stress is shear thinning.



Fig. 2. Schematic (plot) of a micropore. is the amplitude of small wavy-roughness.



Fig. 3. Comparison of the shear rate (-) of polymeric matter in smooth microtubes and wavy-rough microtubes for k = 10, = 0.0; 0.04; 0.07; 0.1 with $r_0 = 100$ nm. $r_{c} = r_{0} = 10$ and $L_{s}^{0} = r_{0}$. k is the wave number and is the amplitude of the wavy-roughness. T is the tem perature. The solid-line length represents the scale of $-= 500s^{1}$.



Fig. 4. Comparison of the shear rate (-) of polymeric matter in smooth microtubes and wavy-rough microtubes for k = 10, = 0.0; 0.04; 0.07; 0.1 with $r_0 = 100$ nm. $r_c = -0 = 10$ and $L_s^0 = r_0$. k is the wave number and is the amplitude of the wavy-roughness. T is the temperature. The solid-line length represents the scale of $-= 500s^1$. As the temperature increases a little, - increases signi cantly.



Fig. 5 C om parison of calculated shear (strain) rates using an activation energy 5 $\,$ 10 22 Joule or $\,$ 10 3 eV. There is a sharp decrease of shear rate around T $\,$ 1:4 K.

$$C_{0 0} = 5 \ 10^{10} s^{1} (_{0} R_{t}).$$



Fig. 6 C om parison of calculated (shear) stresses using an activation energy 5 10^{22} Joule or 10^{3} eV. There is a sharp decrease of shear stress around T 1:1 K for $C_{0\ 0} = 2$ 10^{9} s¹. Below 1:1 K, the transport of polymeric matter is nearly friction less. ₀ is an attempt frequency or transition rate²⁵.



Fig. 7 C alculated forcing parameters ($=R_{o}$) w.r.t. the tem perature (T). solid is the compressibility, A is the area, and V₂ is the selected volum e. Forcing () decreases as the tem perature (T) increases.



Fig. 8 C on parison of calculated (m aximum) velocity (unit : m/s) using two activation energies 1:5 10^{22} and 2 10^{22} Joule. A round T 0:35 K, the monotonic trend of velocity (or deformation rate) bifurcates as the temperature increases.

 $r_{o} = 100 \text{ nm}$ and $= 0.02;0.05R_{o}$.