The onset of super uidity in capillary ow of liquid helium 4

Shun-ichiro Koh

Physics Division, Faculty of Education, Kochi University Akebono-cho, 2-5-1, Kochi, 780, Japan (Dated: February 20, 2024)

Abstract

The onset mechanism of super uidity is examined by taking the case of the capillary ow of liquid helium 4. In the capillary ow, a substantial fall of the shear viscosity has been observed in the norm alphase (T < T < 3.7K). In this tem perature region, under the strong in uence of Bose statistics, the coherent many-body wave function grows to an intermediate size between a m acroscopic and a microscopic one, which is di erent from therm al uctuation. We consider such a capillary ow by including it in a general picture that includes the ow of rotating helium 4 as well. Using the K ram ers-K ronig relation, we express 1= in terms of the generalized susceptibility of the system, and obtain a form ula for the shear viscosity in the vicinity of T . Regarding bosons without the condensate as a non-perturbative state, we make a perturbation calculation of the susceptibility with respect to the repulsive interaction. With decreasing temperature from 3:7K, the growth of the coherent wave function gradually suppresses the shear viscosity, and makes the super uid ow stable. Comparing form ulas obtained to the experimental data, we estimate that the ratio of the super und density \hat{s} (T) = de ned in the mechanical sense reaches 10^5 just above т.

PACS num bers: 67.10 H k, 67.25 dg, 66.20 -d

arXiv:0808.4030v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 1 Sep 2008

E lectronic address: koh@ kochi+u.ac.jp

I. IN TRODUCTION

Super uidity was not discovered as a frictionless ow through a capillary or a narrow slit [1]. The simplest experiment for this phenomenon is as follows. A capillary is set up vertically in gravity, the upper end of which is connected to a reservoir, and the lower end of which is open to a helium bath [2][3][4]. A fler some liquid is led into the reservoir, the liquid ow s out of the reservoir through the capillary. In gravity, the level h (t) of the liquid in the reservoir varies with time t as h (t) = h (0) e^{-t}, where is a constant that is inversely proportional to the coe cient of shear viscosity . Figure 1 shows the kinematic shear viscosity (T) = (T) of liquid helium 4 in the vicinity of T in 1 atm (is a density) [5]. When liquid helium 4 approaches the super uid phase (! 0, hence ! 1), it extrem ely rapidly ows down through the capillary. This phenomenon instilled us with the notion that the frictionless ow is a prototype of super uidity.

We norm ally interpret the phenom ena in liquid helium 4 using the two- uid model, the essence of which is to separate the norm al- uid and super uid part from the beginning, and to assume the abrupt emergence of the super uid part at the -point. All anom abus properties above T are regarded as being caused by them al uctuations. In cooling the Bose systems, we observe the increase of the speci c heat, or the damping of the sound wave at jT = T $1j < 10^2$. These anom abus properties above T, in which all particles random ly move with no speci c direction, are attributed to the them al uctuation that is characteristic to the Bose system s [6]. The wave functions arising from them al uctuation are random ly oriented.

In the capillary ow, we know a remarkable experimental result in connection with this point. In liquid helium 4 just above the point, the kinematic shear viscosity (T) does not abruptly drops to zero at T as in Fig.1. Rather, after reaching a maximum value at 3.7K, it gradually decreases with decreasing temperature, and nally drops to zero at the -point. This behavior has been often attributed to thermal uctuations, but this explanation is questionable. Super uidity, observed in the capillary ow or the rotation in a bucket, requires a long-lived translationalm otion of particles with a stable specific direction. The characteristic time of the ow experiment is far longer than the relaxation time the of thermal uctuations []. Even if the coherent wave function obeying Bose statistics arises as a thermal uctuation, it will decay long before it manifests itself as a macroscopic ow.

2



FIG. 1: The temperature dependence of the kinematic shear viscosity (T) of liquid helium 4 obtained in Ref.[5].

Furtherm ore, the wave function arising from therm al uctuation is random ly oriented, but the macroscopic ow must have a speci c direction. In this respect, it is impossible that therm al uctuations lead to super uidity 8]. Similarly, the macroscopic ow showing a partial disappearance of (T) at T < T < 3:7K needs the stable translational motion of atom s with a speci c direction, and is qualitatively di erent from therm al uctuations.

London stressed that the total disappearance of shear viscosity is attributed to rotv = 0 over the whole volum e of a liquid [9]. The partial disappearance of shear viscosity at T < T < 3:7K in Fig.1 suggests the emergence of regions in which rotv = 0 is locally realized. C om pared to an ordinary liquid, liquid helium 4 above T has a 10³ times sm aller coe cient of shear viscosity. A lthough in the norm alphase, it is already an anom alous liquid under the strong in uence of B ose statistics. Hence, it seems natural to assume the existence of large but not yet m acroscopic coherent wave functions [10]. If there exist such interm ediate-sized wave functions above T , they must a ect the macroscopic ow.

In conventional theories, we norm ally do not think of the large but not yet m acroscopic wave function. This is because we use the in nite volum e lim it (V ! 1) in order to m ake a clear de nition of the order parameter in the phase transition. In the V ! 1 lim it, \large but not yet m acroscopic" is equivalent to \mbox{m} icroscopic", and therefore the interm ediate-sized wave function disappears from the beginning. For the system in which the distinction

between \mbox{m} icroscopic" and \mbox{m} acroscopic" is clear, the V ! 1 limit is a realistic one. For the Bose system at low temperature, however, the Bose statistical coherence develops to a m acroscopic or an interm ediate size, and the container and the coherent region of a liquid are comparable in size. The V ! 1 limit is therefore a questionable assumption for considering the mechanical properties of the Bose system just above T. (For the therm odynam ical properties, we encounter no di culty in the V ! 1 limit.)

The shear viscosity of liquid helium 4 has been subjected to considerable experimental and theoretical studies. These studies are mainly focused on the fam ous paradox at T < T that super uid helium 4 behaves as a viscous or non-viscous uid, depending on the experimental methods. For (T) at T < T < 3.7K, it is often said that it resembles (T) of a gas rather than a liquid in its magnitude and in its temperature dependence. But this explanation is somewhat misleading. In liquid helium 4, many features associated to Bose statistics have been masked by the strongly interacting nature of the liquid. Well below the lambda point T, various excitations of liquid helium 4 are strictly suppressed except for phonons and rotons. Hence, although the excitation in a liquid, these phonons and rotons are norm ally regarded as a weakly interacting dilute Bose gas [11]. For (T) above T, however, the dilute-gas picture has no grounds, because the macroscopic condensate, which is the basis for the above picture, has not yet developed. To explain (T) above T, we must deal with the dissipation mechanism of a liquid and the in uence of Bose statistics on it.

At present, for the accuracy of data, the early measurements of (T) using the capillary have been superseded by new measurements using more accurate techniques such as the vibrating wire [12][13] [14]. Above the point, the latter method gives not only quantitatively similar, but more precise data of (T). Applying the statistical analysis to these accumulated data, a precise temperature dependence of (T) and (T) above T was obtained [5]. A theory worth comparing with these precise data is desired.

This paper gives a model of the onset of super unid ow in the capillary. Instead of assum ing the macroscopic condensate from the beginning, we begin with the repulsive Bose system with no o -diagonal-long-range order (ODLO), and show that, with decreasing tem perature above T, the coherent many-body wave function gradually grows. In Ref.[10], we showed that this grow th relects in the rotational properties such as the moment of inertia above T. In contrast with the rotation, however, the capillary ow of a classical liquid is a phenomenon that is accompanied by therm all dissipation. The frictionless capillary ow

4

at T < T is super uidity which occurs in the strongly dissipative system when it is at T > T. Hence, to consider this phenom enon, we must devise a dimensionalism. To take the nature of the liquid into consideration, we will go back to midmechanics in the norm all phase, and begin with the solution of the Stokes equation for the capillary ow (Poiseuille formula). The capillary ow is embedded into a general picture that is applicable both to dissipative and to non-dissipative ows using the generalized susceptibility. Applying the K ramers-K ronig relation to the generalized susceptibility, we relate the capillary ow to its non-dissipative counterpart, rotating helium 4 in a bucket, and derive the decrease of (T) from the growth of the coherent wave function. When this result is combined with that in R ef.[10], we come to understand the onset of two types of super midity in the shear viscosity and in the moment of inertia from a common origin.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the capillary ow in terms of the generalized susceptibility. Using this method, Sec.3A gives a formula for the shear viscosity in the vicinity of T, and Sec.3B examines the role of Bose statistics and the repulsive interaction in the suppression of the shear viscosity. Section A develops a microscopic model of the onset of the super uid ow. By generalizing the formalism in Refl[0] to the time-dependent case, we derive the decrease of just above T from the growth of the coherent wave function, and discuss the stability of super uid ow through a capillary. To describe the system just above T, the mechanical super uid density $\hat{s}(T)$, which is de ned without using V ! 1, is more useful than the conventional super uid density s(T). In Sec.5, using

(T) of Fig.1, we estimate the ratio $\hat{s}(T) = ,$ and compare this $\hat{s}(T) = w$ ith another $\hat{s}(T) =$ obtained by the rotation experiment [15]. Section 6 discusses some related problem s.

II. CAPILLARY FLOW

In an ordinary liquid owing along x-direction, the shear viscosity causes the shear stress F_{xy} between two adjacent layers at di erent velocities (see Fig.2)

$$F_{xy} = \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} :$$
 (1)

In the linear-response theory, of a stationary ow is given by the following two-time correlation function of a tensor $J_{xy}(t) = \int_{i}^{P} (p_{i,x}p_{i,y}=m)$

$$= \frac{1}{V k_{B} T} \int_{0}^{L_{1}} dt < J_{xy}(0) J_{xy}(t) > :$$
 (2)



FIG.2: A ow through a capillary.

In principle, of a liquid, and therefore the e ect of Bose statistics on is obtained by calculating an in nite series of the perturbation expansion of Eq.(2) with respect to the particle interaction. However, it seems to be a hopeless attempt, because the dissipation in a liquid is a complicated phenom enon that allows no simple approximation [16].

Fluid mechanics is a simple phenom enological theory of non-equilibrium behaviors for situations in which physical quantities vary slow ly in space and time. In this paper, we will develop an intermediate theory between the microscopic and phenom enological one [17], and derive some information on the shear viscosity from the correspondence between these two dimensional events of description. In an ordinary ow through a capillary with a circular cross-section (a radius d and a length L), the Stokes equation has a solution of the velocity distribution v_z (r) under the pressure dimensional events of the pressure dimensional events are appreciated as a solution of the subscription.

$$v_{z}(r) = \frac{d^{2} + r^{2}}{4} + \frac{P}{L};$$
 (3)

where r is a radius in the cylindrical coordinates (Poiseuille ow [8]. Fig 2). W ithout loss of generality, we may focus on a ow velocity on the axis of rotational symmetry (z-axis). We de neamass- ow density j = v(r = 0), and rewrite Eq.(3) as

$$j = d \frac{P}{L}; = \frac{1}{4}:$$
(4)

We call the conductivity of a viscous liquid ow through a capillary ($P = Pe_z$) [19]. From a microscopic view point, it is a long-standing problem to derive the absolute value of using Eq.(2) [20]. In this paper, however, instead of Eq.(2), we will regard Poiseuille's form ula Eq.(4) as a starting point. We regard Eq.(4) as a phenom enological linear-response relation, and compare it to the microscopic form ula for the mass- ow density j like Eq.(28). By this comparison, we will extract only the change of near T from the total in Sec.3, and derive this change from a microscopic model in Sec.4.

In a ow through a capillary set up vertically, the level of a liquid in the reservoir varies with time t as $h(t) = h(0)e^{-t}$ (see Appendix A). Hence, P (t) in Eq.(4) decreases as P (t) = P (0)e^{-t} as well. With the aid of

$$e^{t} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{p!^{2} + 2} e^{i(!t)} d!; \qquad (5)$$

(tan = !=), P (t) is decomposed into the frequency components P (!) within a range of $[0;!_e]$ where $!_e$ is a frequency width. Let us generalize Eq.(4) to the case of j (!) $e^{i!t}$ under the oscillatory pressure P (!) $e^{i!t}$ as follows

$$j(!) = (!)\hat{d} \frac{P(!)}{L}$$
 (6)

The conductivity spectrum (!) must satisfy the following sum rule [21]

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} (!) d! = f(d);$$
(7)

where f(d) is a conserved quantity. (The Stokes equation gives an expression of (!) and f(d) of the classical liquid. See Appendix B.) In Eq.(4), we must incorporate the e ect of time variation of P (t) into j and , and we de ne the frequency-averaged as $= !_{e}^{1} \frac{R_{!e}}{0}$ (!)d! using (!) in Eq.(6).

The change of the starting point from Eq.(2) to Eq.(4) permits us to relate di erent types of super uidity: (a) the frictionless capillary ow, and (b) the nonclassical ow in a rotating bucket. The phenom enological equation (6) is appropriate to be generalized so as to include di erent types of super uidity. Such a method originated in studies of electron superconductivity [22]. Between the electrical conduction and the Meissner e ect in superconductivity, we nd a relationship parallel to the relationship between the capillary ow and the rotational ow in super uidity,

The generalization of Eq.(6) is as follows. The conductivity spectrum (!), which describes the dissipative response of a liquid, corresponds to an imaginary part of the generalized susceptibility (!) that describes both dissipative and non-dissipative responses of a liquid. W e will generalize Eq.(6) so as to include not only a response in phase with P (!) but a response out of phase. Hence, (!) in Eq.(6) becomes a complex number $_1 + i_2$ as follows

$$j(!) = [_1(!) + i_2(!)]d^2 - \frac{P(!)}{L};$$
(8)

where (!) in Eq.(6) is replaced by $_1$ (!). The application of the pressure gradient can be tted into the general picture as follows. As an external eld, we assume a ctitious velocity v (t) that satisfies the equation of motion 23]

$$\frac{\mathrm{dv}(t)}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{P(t)}{L}; \tag{9}$$

and replace P(!)=L in Eq.(8) with i!v(!) using Eq.(9). As a result, the real and in aginary part of (!) are interchanged [24],

$$j(!) = [!_{2}(!) + i!_{1}(!)]d^{2}v(!):$$
(10)

Equation (10) is our desired form ula, the coe cient of which consists not only of an imaginary part i! $_1(!)$ for the dissipative ow, but of a real part $!_2(!)$ for the non-dissipative one, thus form ing the generalized susceptibility. A coording to the choice of v(!), Eq.(10) expresses di erent types of ow, but the generalized susceptibility has a general structure independent of the ow type. This means that by relating $_1(!)$ to $_2(!)$, we can derive $_1(!)$ of a capillary ow from $_2(!)$ of a non-dissipative ow. C ausality requires that uid particles begins to ow only after the pressure is applied. We can use the follow ing K ram ers-K ronig relation for $!_2(!)$ and $!_1(!)$ in Eq.(10)

$${}_{1}(!^{0}) = \frac{2}{0} \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} d! \frac{!_{2}(!)}{!^{2} !^{0}}; \qquad (11)$$

$${}_{2}(!^{0}) = \frac{2!^{0}}{}^{2} \frac{1}{1} d! \frac{1}{!^{2} \frac{1}{!^{2}}} d! \frac{1}{!^{2} \frac{1}{!^{2}}}$$
(12)

If one determ ines $_2(!)$ in the non-dissipative ow, one can obtain $_1(!)$ using Eq.(11), hence (!) in Eq.(6).

As a non-dissipative ow, we will consider the ow in a rotating bucket. In a rotating bucket, a liquid m akes the rigid-body rotation owing to its viscosity. The rotational velocity, which is used as v (!) in Eq.(10), is a product of the angular velocity and the radius r such as $v_d(r)$ r. For this y(r), the dissipation function [18]

$$(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \quad \mathbf{e}_{ij} \quad \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{e}_{kk \ ij}$$
 (13)

is zero at every r, where $2e_{ij} = @v_i = @v_j = @x_j + @v_j = @x_i$. This means that, except at the boundary to the wall, there is no frictional force within a liquid even in the norm all phase, and the rigid-body rotation is therefore a non-dissipative ow. (On the other hand, for the Poiseuille ow Eq.(3), Eq.(13) is not zero at every r except for r = 0. Fluid particles in the capillary ow experience therm all dissipation not only at the boundary, but within the ow.)

The ow in a rotating bucket is formulated using the generalized susceptibility of the system (r;!) [25] (see Appendix \mathcal{L}), which is decomposed to the longitudinal and transverse part (; = x;y;z)

$$(q;!) = \frac{q q}{q^2} {}^{L} (q;!) + \frac{q q}{q^2} {}^{T} (q;!):$$
(14)

In the ow in a rotating bucket, the in uence of the bucket propagates along the radial direction from the wall to the center, which is perpendicular to the particle motion driven by rotation (Fig.2 of Ref.[10]). Hence, the ow in a rotating bucket is a transverse response of the system described by the transverse susceptibility T(q; !). In the right-hand side of Eq.(10), the real part of the susceptibility $!_2(!)d^2$ is expressed as

$$\hat{d}!_{2}(!) = \lim_{q! = 0} T(q; !):$$
(15)

Now, we can express the conductivity of the capillary ow in terms of the susceptibility of the system. Using Eq.(15) in the right-hand side of Eq.(11), we obtain $_1(!)$ for the capillary ow Z_1

$$d^{2}_{1}(!^{0}) = \frac{2}{0} \frac{2}{0} \frac{2}{0} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1$$

Quantum mechanics states that, in the decay from an excited state with an energy level E to a ground state with E_0 , the higher excitation energy E causes the shorter relaxation time , ~= ' $\not E$ $\not E_j$. In Eq.(16), the left-hand side includes the relaxation time in $_1 = = (4) = = (4G)$, whereas the right-hand side includes the excitation spectrum in T (q;!). (W e used M axwell's relation = G $\not I_0$]. See Appendix D.) In this sense, Eq.(16) is a many-body theoretical expression of ~= ' $\not E$ E_j j.



FIG. 3: The change of the conductivity spectrum (!) from (a) in a classical uid to (b) in a super uid. (!) is given by Eq.(B6) in Appendix B.

III. SHEAR VISCOSITY OF A SUPERFLUID

A. Phenom enological argum ent

In the super uid phase, even when the pressure di erence vanishes (P = 0) in Eq.(4), one observes a stable ow, hence = 0. The essence of super uidity is that the norm aluid and super uid part ows without any transfer of momentum from one to the other. Characteristic to the frictionless ow in Eq.(6) is that in addition to the norm al uid part $_{n}$ (!), the conductivity spectrum (!) has a sharp peak at ! = 0

$$j(!) = [n(!) + A(!)]d^{2} - \frac{P(!)}{L};$$
(17)

where A (!) is a simplified expression of the sharp peak at ! = 0 with an area A 22]. Figure 3 schematically illustrates such a change of (!) when the system passes T. The separation of the sharp peak from _n(!) guarantees the absence of the momentum transfer (except for _n(!) near ! = 0 due to the acoustic phonon). When (!) has a form of _n(!) + A (!), it a ects the conductivity = $l_{e}^{1} \frac{R_{e}}{0}$ (!) d!, and in Eq.(4) is given by

$$(T) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z_{e}}{e^{1}} \frac{Z_{e}}{e^{1}} (!)d! + A$$
(18)

Equation (18) is explained by the following argument on the susceptibility.

(1) In the classical uid, ${}^{L}(q; !) = {}^{T}(q; !)$ is satisfied at small q, and one can replace ${}^{T}(q; !)$ in Eq.(16) by ${}^{L}(q; !)$ for a small q [27]. Hence, in the classical uid the conductivity ${}_{1n}(!)$ of the capillary ow is given by

$$d^{2}_{1n} (!^{0}) = -\frac{2}{0} \frac{2^{Z}}{1} d! \frac{\lim_{q! 0} \frac{1}{q! 0} \frac{1}{q! 0}}{1!^{2} !^{0}} (19)$$

In the super uid phase, however, under the strong in uence of Bose statistics, the condition of $^{L}(q;!) = ^{T}(q;!)$ at q ! 0 is violated (see Sec.3B and 4). Hence, one cannot replace $^{T}(q;!)$ by $^{L}(q;!)$ in Eq.(16), and must express Eq.(16) using Eq.(19) as follows

$${}_{1}(!^{0}) = {}_{1n}(!^{0}) + \frac{2}{d^{2}} {}_{0}^{2} d! \frac{\lim_{q! = 0} \left[{}^{L}(q; !) {}^{T}(q; !) \right]}{!^{2} !^{2}};$$
(20)

in which $\lim_{q! 0} [L(q;!)]^{T}(q;!) = 0$ corresponds to a super uid component. For the later use, we de ne a term proportional to qq in by ^ as a quantity representing the balance between the longitudinal and transverse response as follows

$$(q;!) = {}^{T} (q;!) + q q \frac{{}^{L} (q;!) {}^{T} (q;!)}{q^{2}}$$

$${}^{T} (q;!) + {}^{(q;!)} (q;!); \qquad (21)$$

(2) The stability of a super uid is measured by the value of ^L (q;!) ^T (q;!) at the nite !. In general, the super uid ow is not perfectly stable with respect to oscillating external perturbations. Above a certain frequency, ^L (q;!) ^T (q;!) vanishes, and the system behaves as a classical uid. (In Sec.4, we will show this using a concrete model.)

(3) The shear viscosity near T is mainly determined by the value of $\lim_{q! 0} [L(q;!)]^{T}(q;!)$ in the vicinity of ! = 0. In the right-hand side of Eq.(20), the niteness of L(q;!) T(q;!) at !' 0 leads to a sharp peak at $!^{0} = 0$, because of

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d!}{!^{2} !^{2} !^{2}} = (!^{0}):$$
 (22)

Hence, in the super uid phase, $_1(!^0)$ shows a peculiar peak at $!^0 = 0$ like that in Fig.3.(b). (Since this change obeys the sum rule Eq.(7), the area A of the sharp peak is equal to the area enclosed by a dotted and a solid curve in Fig.3 (b).)

(4) From now, we approximate [L(q; !) T(q; !) by its value at ! = 0 in Eq.(20), and [1(!)] has a form such as

$${}_{1}(!) = {}_{1n}(!) + \frac{2}{d^{2}} \lim_{q! = 0} [{}^{L}(q; 0) {}^{T}(q; 0)] (!):$$
(23)

As the tem perature decreases from T, the area of the sharp peak increases. Using Eq.(23) in Eq.(18), one obtains the coe cient of shear viscosity

$$(T) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{d!_{e}} \lim_{q \neq 0} \left[\int_{1}^{L} (q; 0) \int_{1}^{T} (q; 0) \right]}$$
(24)

Here, we de ne the mechanical super uid density $\hat{s}(T) = \lim_{q! 0} [L(q;0)]^T(q;0)]$ (= $\lim_{q! 0} [\hat{q};0)q^2 = (q q)]$), which does not always agree with the conventional therm odynam ical super uid density s(T). (By \therm odynam ical", we imply the quantity that remains nite in the V ! 1 limit.)

Since the total density in Eq.(24) slightly increases with decreasing temperature from 42K to T [28], the kinematic shear viscosity (T) = (T) = (T) is more appropriate than (T) to describe the change of the system around T. We obtain a formula of (T) = (T) = (T) as follows

$$(T) = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{8}{\sigma^2!}} (T) (25)$$

where n is the kinematic shear viscosity of a classical uid, and satis es $_{1n} = = (4_n) = 1 = (4_n)$ in Eq.(24). In general, n (T) of the classical liquid has the property of increasing m onotonically with decreasing temperature [29]. In Fig.1, (T) above 3:7K seems to show this property, but its gradual fall below 3:7K cannot be explained by the classical liquid picture, thereby suggesting $\hat{}_s(T) \in 0$ in Eq.(25) at T T 3:7K.

In the free Bose system, using j (q;) in Eq.(C2), ^ (q;!) in Eq.(21) has a form of

$$^{(1)}(q;!) = \frac{q q}{4} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{p}^{X} \frac{f(p)}{!+p} \frac{f(p)}{p} \frac{f(p+q)}{p};$$
(26)

where $f((p)) = \exp([(q) + [))^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is the Bose distribution, is a self energy of a boson (we ignore ! and p dependence of by assuming it small), and is a chemical potential. At T < T, f((p)) is a macroscopic number for p = 0, and nearly zero for $p \in 0$. Hence, in the sum over p, only two terms corresponding to p = 0 and p = q remain. This means that $^{(1)}(q;0)$ has a form of $^{\circ}_{s}(T)q = q^{2}$. Practically, a nonzero $^{\circ}_{s}(T)$ and a small !e vanishes (T) at T < T in Eq.(25). When bosons form no condensate, how ever, the sum over p in Eq.(26) is carried out by replacing it with an integral, and q^{2} dependence of $^{(1)}(q;0)$ disappears, hence $^{\circ}_{s}(T) = 0$ and (T) remains nite. This means that, without the interaction between particles, the macroscopic Bose-E instein condensation (BEC) is the necessary condition for the decrease of (T). To explain the gradual fall of (T) just above T, we must obtain L(q;!) T(q;!) under the particle interaction.

B. The e ect of B ose statistics and repulsive interaction

One can physically explain the fall of the shear viscosity in Eq.(25) in terms of Bose statistics. When a liquid ows through a capillary, it moves in the same direction but with a speed that varies in a perpendicular direction. For the classical liquid, M axwell obtained a simple form ula = G_{st} (M axwell's relation. See Appendix D) [26], where G is the modulus of rigidity, and $_{st}$ is a characteristic time of structural relaxations that occur under the sheer stress in the ow. (The reader must not confuse this $_{st}$ with $_{th}$ of therm all uctuations.) This relation is useful for the interpretation of liquid helium 4 as well. In the vicinity of T in liquid helium 4, no structural transition is observed in coordinate space. Hence, G may be a constant at the set approximation, and therefore the fall of the shear viscosity is attributed mainly to the decrease of $_{st}$. In view of $\sim = _{st}$ ($f = E_0$; the decrease of $_{st}$ suggests the increase of the excitation energy E, and it is natural to attribute it to Bose statistics. The relationship between the excitation energy and Bose statistics dates back to Feynman's argument on the scarcity of the low-energy excitation in liquid helium 4 [30], in which he explained how Bose statistics a ects the many-body wave function in con guration space. To the shear viscosity, we will apply his explanation.

Consider a ow in Fig2, in which white circles represent an initial distribution of uid particles. The long thin arrows represent the displacement from white circles on a solid straight line to black circles on a one-point-dotted-line curve. (The in uence of adjacent layers in a viscous ow propagates along a direction perpendicular to the particle motion. Hence, the excitation associated with the shear viscosity is a transverse one.) Let us assume that a liquid in Fig2 is in the BEC phase, and the many-body wave function has permutation symmetry everywhere in a capillary. At rst sight, these displacements by long arrows seem to be a large-scale conguration change, but they are reproduced by a set of slight displacements by short thick arrows from the neighboring white circles in the initial distribution. In general, the transverse modulation, such as the displacement by shear viscosity, does not change the particle density in the large scale, and therefore, to any given particle after displacement, it is always possible to not such a neighboring particle in the initial distribution. In Bose statistics, ow ing to permutation symmetry, one cannot distinguish between two types of particles after displacement, one moved from the neighboring position by the short arrow, and the other moved from distant initial positions by the long arrow. Even if the displacement made by the long arrows is a large displacement in classical statistics, it is only a slight displacement by the short arrows in Bose statistics. (In contrast, the longitudinalmodulation results in the large-scale inhomogeneity in the particle density, and therefore it is not always possible to not such neighboring initial positions.)

Let us in agine this situation in 3N-dimensional conguration space. The excited state made of slight displacements, which is characteristic of B ose statistics, lies in a small distance from the ground state in conguration space. On the other hand, the wave function of the excited state is orthogonal to that of the ground state in the integral over congurations. Since the amplitude of the ground-state wave function is uniform in principle, the amplitude of the excited-state wave function must spatially oscillate around zero. A coordingly, the wave function of the excited state in the kinetic energy of the system is determined by the 3N-dimensional gradient of the maplitude raises the excitation energy of this wave function. The relaxation from such an excited state is a rapid process with a small st. This mechanism explains why B ose statistics leads to the small coegient of shear viscosity = G st.

W hen the system is at high temperature, the coherent wave function has a microscopic size. If a long arrow in Fig.2 takes a particle out of the coherent wave function, one cannot regard the particle after displacement as an equivalent of the initial one. The mechanism below T does not work for the large displacement extending over two dimenst wave functions. Hence, the relaxation time $_{st}$ changes to an ordinary long $_{st}$, which is characteristic of the classical liquid [31].

When the system is just above T, the size of the coherent wave function is not yet macroscopic, but it develops to an intermediate size. In the repulsive system with high density, the long-distance displacements of particles takes much energy, and therefore particles in the low-energy excitation are likely to stay within the same wave function. The excitation energy is not so high as that of the long-distance displacements, but ow ing to Bose statistics, it is not so low as that of the classical liquid. Fast relaxations within these wave functions is the reason for the decrease of in the macroscopic capillary ow. (In contrast, the ideal

14

Bose system is too simple for such a situation to be realized, in which the macroscopic condensate at T < T is a necessary condition for the decrease of as in Eq.(26).)

IV. A MODEL OF THE ONSET OF SUPERFLUID FLOW

Let us develop a m icroscopic m odel of the onset of super uid ow. In deriving the shear viscosity , Eq.(4) has the following advantage over Eq.(2). In the weak-coupling system (a gas, and a simple liquid like liquid helium 4), the particle interaction U normally enhances the relaxation of individual particles to local equilibrium positions under a given external force, thereby leading to small st and = G st [32]. If we try to form ulate this tendency using Eq.(2), that is, to derive a decrease of from an increase of U in Eq.(2), there must be delicate cancellation of higher-order terms in the perturbation expansion of < $J_{xy}(0)J_{xy}(t) >$. On the contrary, if we regard Eq.(4), in which appears in the denominator of , as a linear-response relation, we apply the Kubo form ula not to but to the reciprocal 1= . In the perturbation expansion of 1= with respect to U, an increase of U generally leads to an increase of 1= and thereby a decrease of . Hence, one need not expect the cancellation. In this respect, when we use Eq.(4) as a starting point, the in uence of U on is naturally built into the form align from the beginning.

A. The onset of nonclassical behavior

For liquid helium 4, we use the following ham iltonian with the repulsive interaction U

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ p & p & p + U & p & p \\ p & p & p & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & X & y & y \\ p & p & p & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ p & y & p & p \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y & p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ y & y & p \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y & p \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y$$

where $_{p}$ denotes an annihilation operator of a spinless boson. Beginning with the repulsive Bose system with no ODLO, we make a perturbation expansion. Under the particle interaction $\hat{H}_{1}()$, (q;!) is derived from

$$< G J J (x;) J (0; 0) J = \frac{< 0 J J (x;) J (0; 0) exp & d H_{I} () J >}{Z & 0}; (28)$$
$$< 0 j exp & d H_{I} () J >$$

where = $1=(k_B T)$; = it, and $\hat{j}(x;)$ is an interaction representation of Eq.(C2). The current-current response tensor $\hat{j}(x;)\hat{j}(0;0)$ (a large bubble with and in



FIG. 4: W hen $p = p^0$ and $p + q = p^0 + q^0$ in (a), an exchange of particles in (b) between j j (a large bubble) and a bubble excitation by \hat{H}_{I} (a sm all one) yields (c).

Fig.4(a) is in the medium in which particles experience the repulsive interaction: Owingto $\exp(s\hat{H}_{1}()d)$ in Eq.(28), scattering of particles frequently occurs in the medium, an example of which is illustrated by an inner sm all bubble with a dotted line U in Fig.4 (a). As the system approaches T , \hat{j} (x;) \hat{j} (0;0) and $\hat{H_{I}}$ () in Eq.(28) get to obey Bose statistics strictly, that is, the particles in the large and sm all bubbles in Fig.4 (a) form a coherent wave function as a whole [33]: When one of the two particles in the large bubble and in the small bubble have the same momentum $(p = p^0)$, and when the other particles in both bubbles have another same momentum $(p + q = p^0 + q^0)$, owing to Bose statistics, a graph made by exchanging these particles must be included in the expansion of Eq.(28). The cutting and reconnection of these lines between the large and small bubbles in Fig.4 (b) yields Fig.4 (c), in which two bubbles with the sam em om enta are linked by the repulsive interaction. With decreasing temperature, the coherent wave function grows, and such an exchange of particles occurs m any times. Furtherm ore, with deceasing tem perature, particles with the zero m om entum get to play a m ore dom inant role than others. In F ig 4, this m eans that taking only processes including zero-m om entum particles becom es a good approxim ation: In j j of Eq. (26), a bubble with p = 0 corresponds to an excitation from the rest particle, and a q corresponds to a decay into the rest one. Taking only such processes bubble with p =

and continuing these exchanges, one obtains

$$(q;i!) = \frac{q q}{4} \frac{1}{V} \frac{F(q;i!)}{1 \quad UF(q;i!)} + \frac{F(q;i!)}{1 \quad UF(q;i!)} ;$$
(29)

where the rst and second term in the right-hand side corresponds to the p = 0 and p = q term in Eq.(26), respectively, and

$$F (q; i!) = \frac{(\exp([])) 1^{\frac{3}{2}} (\exp([(q) +])) \frac{1}{2})}{i! + (q)};$$
(30)

Let us focus on the low-energy excitations. For a small !, the st term in the bracket of the right-hand side of Eq.(29) is expanded with respect to ! as

$$\frac{F(q; i!)}{1 \quad UF(q; i!)} = \frac{f((0)) \quad f((q))}{(q) \quad U[f((0)) \quad f((q))]}$$
(31)
$$1 + \frac{i!}{(q) \quad U[f((0)) \quad f((q))]} + \frac{(i!)^{2}}{2((q) \quad U[f((0)) \quad f((q))]} :$$

Hence, Eq.(29) is expanded as

$$(q;!) = \frac{q q}{4} \frac{1}{V} \frac{2F (q;0)}{1 \quad UF (q;0)} \quad 1 \quad \frac{!}{(q) (1 \quad UF (q;0))} \quad 2 + \quad : \quad (32)$$

Since the macroscopic ow is of our interest, let us focus on (q; !) at a small q. F (q; 0) in Eq.(30) is a positive and monotonically decreasing function of q^2 , which approaches zero as q^2 ! 1 . An expansion of F (q; 0) around $q^2 = 0$, F (0; 0) $bq^2 + bas a$ form such as 2 3

$$F (q;0) = \frac{1}{4 \sinh^2 \frac{j[(T)]}{2}} \int_{1}^{6} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\tanh \frac{j[(T)]}{2}} \frac{q^2}{2m} + \int_{1}^{7} \frac{q^2}{2m} + \int_$$

For a small q, 1 UF (q; 0) in Eq.(32) is approximated as $(1 Ua) + Ubq^2$, and we obtain

^
$$(q;!) = \frac{q q}{4} \frac{1}{V} \frac{2a}{(1 - Ua) + Ubq^2} = 1 \frac{!^2}{(2m)^2 [(1 - Ua)q^2 + Ubq^4]^2} + (34)$$

At T T (1 Ua > 0), (q;0) is approximated as 2aq q = [4V (1 Ua)]. Hence, the mechanical super uid density $\hat{s}(T) = \lim_{q \ge 0} [(q^2 = q q)^{(q)} (q;0)]$ is zero.

W ith decreasing tem perature, the coherent wave function gradually grows. The chem ical potential , which releases the size of this wave function 34, gradually approaches . As

! 0 in Eq.(30), F (q; i!) gradually increases. Hence, the higher-order term s get to play a dom inant role in Eq.(29). As
 ! 0, Ua (= UF (0; 0)) in Eq.(34) increases and nally reaches 1, that is,

$$U = 4 \sinh^2 \frac{[(T) (U)]}{2} :$$
 (35)

At this point, (q;0) has a form of $a=(2V \cup b)$ $qq = q^2$. Hence, the mechanical super uid density $\hat{s}(T)$ has a nonzero value $a=(2V \cup b)$. Using Ua = 1 and b(Eq.(33)), we obtain

$$S_{s}(T) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{m}{\sinh j [(T)]};$$
 (36)

If we would use the V ! 1 limit, Eq.(36) is zero unless = 0. But when we avoid the V ! 1 limit, it remains nite even when € 0, and we can estimate $_{s}$ ^{\triangle} using experimental data above T (see Sec.5). Here, we call T satisfying Eq.(35) the onset temperature T_{on} of the nonclassical behavior.

In the vicinity of T , Eq.(35) is approximated as $U = {}^{2} [$ (T) (U)² for a small

. This condition has two solutions $(T) = (U)^{p} \overline{Uk_{B}T}$. The repulsive Bose system is generally assumed to undergo BEC as well as a free Bose gas. Hence, with decreasing temperature, (T) of the repulsive Bose system rapidly increases from a negative large value, and reach a positive (U) at a nite temperature, during which course the system necessarily passes a state satisfying $(T) = (U)^{p} \overline{Uk_{B}T}$. Consequently, T_{on} is always above T, and $\hat{s}(T)$ has a nonzero value Eq.(36) just above T. This means that (T) in Eq.(25) always di ers from $_{n}$ just above T as in Fig.1.

At $T < T < T_{on}$, Eq.(36) serves as an interpolation formula of $\hat{f}_{s}(T)$. As T approaches T, $\hat{f}_{s}(T)$ in Eq.(36) approaches $(m = V) [exp([(T)]) 1^{1}]$. This m eans that at T = T, $\hat{f}_{s}(T)$ agrees with the conventional therm odynam ical super und density $_{s}(T)$, and it abruptly reaches a m acroscopic number.

B. Stability of super uid ow

To discuss the stability of super und ow, we need a simple form of (q; !), which must be exact at a small !, and must have a reasonable asymptotic behavior for a large !. At $T = T_{on}$ (U a = 1), we rewrite Eq.(34) as

^
$$(q;!) = \frac{q q}{q^2} \frac{2a^2}{4Vb} 1 \frac{!^2}{B} + ;$$
 (37)

where

$$B = \frac{(q)^4}{4 (k_B T)^2} \frac{1}{\tanh^2 (T) j[(T)]};$$
(38)

The simplest and practical form of $^{(q; !)}$ satisfying these conditions is given by

^ (q;!) '
$$\frac{q q}{q^2} \frac{2a^2}{4V b} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{!^2}{B}}$$
: (39)

Using the denition of $^{-} = (q q = q^2) [^{L} (q; !) ^{T} (q; !)]$, we nd a quantity representing the balance between the longitudinal and transverse responses as follows

^L (q; !) ^T (q; !) =
$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{m}{\sinh j [(T)]} \frac{1}{j_{1} + \frac{!^{2}}{B}}$$
: (40)

The stability of super unid ow is measured by Eq.(40). As the Bose statistical coherence develops in Eq.(38) ((T) ! 0), the super unid ow becomes more stable with respect to higher-frequency external perturbations in Eq.(40) (B ! 1) [35].

The stability of super uid ow is related to the repulsive interaction U. In the repulsive system, when a particle is dropped from a super uid ow by external perturbations, such a drop decreases the kinetic energy of the system . However, since that particle does not m ove sim ilarly to other particles, it raises the interaction energy between particles, thereby raising its total energy. Hence, such a drop from the ow is prevented, and the super uid ow becomes stable. In the famous argument on the stability of the super uid by Landau, the change of one-particle spectrum from $p^2 = (2m)$ to $v_s p$ by the repulsive interaction is crucial [36]. We can see the mechanism corresponding to it in Eq.(40) as follows. Even if U = 0 in Eq.(34), L(q;!) = T(q;!) can be written in the form of Eq.(40), with sinh² (j [(T) 11=2) replacing $\sinh j$ [(T)]j. But in this case, B is $\sin ply$ (\hat{q}). Hence, with increasing !, ^T (q;!) vanishes far more rapidly than the case of B in Eq.(38). This means ^L (a; !) that the super undown in the case of U = 0 is remarkably unstable with respect to external perturbations. The repulsive interaction U gives $1 = \tanh^2(j [(T))] = 2$ to B in Eq.(38). Hence, L(q;!) = T(q;!) does not easily vanish for a large ! in Eq.(40), thereby making the super uid stable. In this sense, Eqs. (38) and (40) are the many-body theoretical expression of the mechanism pointed out by Landau. The repulsive interaction plays a signi cant role both in the emergence and in the stabilization of the frictionless ow.

C. Conductivity of the capillary ow in the vicinity of T

As discussed in Sec.3, what exists behind the suppression of shear viscosity near T is the change of conductivity spectrum (!) near T. In addition to the sharp peak at ! = 0, there must be a gradual change in (!). Using Eq.(40) in Eq.(20) with the aid of

$$\frac{2}{0} \frac{1}{1!^{2} \frac{1}{1!^{2}}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{B}} = \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{B}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{B}}$$
(41)

we obtain

$$(!) = _{1n} (!)$$

$$+ \frac{V^{1}}{c^{2}} \begin{cases} \frac{m}{4 \sinh j [(T)]} \\ \frac{m}{2} \end{cases} (!) \frac{4}{2} \frac{k_{B}T}{(q)^{2}} \frac{m}{\cosh^{2} \frac{j [(T)]}{2}} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{B}} \end{cases} (!)$$

where q_{e} in (q) and B in Eq.(42) is a wave number corresponding to the characteristic length of a rotating bucket or a capillary (from now, we simply denote 1(!) by (!)). In (!) in the right-hand side of Eq.(42) represents the conductivity of a classical liquid ((!) in Fig.3(a)). The sharp peak and the negative continuous part in the bracket of Eq.(42) represent the change of (!) from Fig.3(a) to 3(b). The total conductivity (!) must satisfy the sum rule Eq.(7) regardless of whether it is in the norm allor the super uid phase, and therefore we introduced a norm alization constant $4={}^{2}$ in Eq.(42). (W ith the aid of ${}^{R_{1}}_{0}$ d! = (1 + !²=B) = P B =2, the second term in the bracket of the right-hand side of Eq.(42) yields a term proportional to 1=sinh j [(T)]jin ${}^{R_{1}}_{0}$ (!)d!, and $4={}^{2}$ is determ ined so that the rst and second term cancel each other.)

 $_{1n}$ (!) in Eq.(42) is given by the realpart of Eq.(B5) in Appendix B. Hence, the conductivity spectrum $_{n}$ (!) of the norm aluid ow has a form such as

$$\frac{1}{1! d^2} \operatorname{Im} \frac{B}{Q} 1 \frac{1}{J_0} \frac{1}{\operatorname{id}[1+i]} \frac{C}{\frac{1}{2}n} A$$

$$\frac{4}{2nd^2} \frac{k_B T}{(Q)^2} \frac{V^1}{\cosh^2 \frac{j[(T)]}{2}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$(43)$$

 $(J_0 \text{ is the zero-th order Bessel function, and } n = =m)$. The temperature dependence comes from second term in the right-hand side of Eq.(43). As (T) ! 0, the second

term slightly increases ow ing to $1 = \cosh^2 j$ [(T)]=2j but ow ing to B ! 1 $\binom{R_1}{r_0}$ n (!)d! deceases. On the other hand, s(!) of the super uid ow satis es

$$\int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d! = \frac{1}{nd^{2}} \frac{V^{1}}{\sinh j [(T)]^{2}}; \qquad (44)$$

The the sharp peak at ! = 0 increases owing to $1=\sinh j$ [(T)]j. Equation (43) and (44) describe the change of the conductivity spectrum (!) in the vicinity of T in Fig.3.

V. COMPARISON TO EXPERIMENTS

Let us estimate $^{s}_{s}$ (T) = using Eq.(25) and Fig.1, and compare it to Eq.(36).

(1) C om paring F ig.1 and Eq.(25), we regard $_n$ in the right-hand side of Eq.(25) as (T_{on}) with $T_{on} = 3.7K$, and obtain $_s(T) = by$

$$\frac{8}{d^{2}!_{e}} \frac{\hat{s}(T)}{T} = (T)^{1} \qquad (T_{n})^{1} :$$
 (45)

(a) In the experiments using a capillary, the level h (t) of a liquid in the reservoir varies as h (t) = h (0)e^t. In Ref.[4], this type of experiment was performed in liquid helium 3, and of liquid helium 3 at 1:105K was 5 10⁴ s¹, which value must be close to that at 3:7K.
Furthermore, liquid helium 3 and 4 have close values of under the same experimental condition near 3:7K (see Appendix A.) Hence, we approximately use 5 10⁴ s¹ for liquid helium 4 at 3:7K. Using this in Eq.(5), we estimate the frequency width !e of P (!) in P (0)e^t to be the halfwidth of the sharp peak 1=^p (1 + 2) at != 0, obtaining !e'^p (3).
A rough estimate of !e is !e' 5 10⁴ rad=s. (b) For the capillary radius d, we use a typical value d' 10² cm in Ref.[4]. (c) In Fig.1, (T)¹ (En)¹ reaches 2 10⁴ s=cm² just above T

$$\frac{10^{\circ} \text{ (T)}}{10^{\circ}} = 7 10^{\circ}$$
:

Figure 5 shows the tem perature dependence of $^{\circ}_{s}$ (T) = given by (T) of Fig.1 §7].

In the rotation experiment by Hess and Fairbank [15], the moment of inertia I_z just above T is slightly smaller than the normal phase value I_z^{cl} (see Sec.6A). Using these currently available data, Ref.[10] roughly estimates $\uparrow_s(T) = as \uparrow_s(T + 0.03K) = 8 10^5$, and $\uparrow_s(T + 0.28K) = 3 10^5$. Although the precision of these estimates is limited, the agreement of $\uparrow_s(T) = in Fig.5$ with these values is good beyond our expectation.



FIG.5: $^{s}_{s}(T) = obtained by Eq.(45) using (T) in Fig.1.$

(2) In Sec.4, we obtained the interpolation formula for $^{}_{s}(T) = , Eq.(36)$. We assume changes with temperature according to the formula

(T) (U) =
$$\frac{g_{3=2}(1)}{2^{P}} k_{B}T \frac{T}{T} 1;$$
 (46)

 $(g_a(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{P} x^n = n^a)$. Here we assume that the particle interaction U and the particle of liquid helium 4 are renormalized to T = 2.17K, which is an approximation density that dates back to London. Equation. (36) with Eq. (46) predicts a tem perature dependence of $^{\circ}_{s}$ (T) = . A lthough it bears a qualitative resem blance to $^{\circ}_{s}$ (T) = in Fig.5, it diers from Fig.5 in that $_{s}(T) = in Eq.(36)$ remains very smallat 3:7K > T > 2:3K (= T + 0:2K), but it abruptly increases at 2.3K, and reaches a m acroscopic number at T, thus resembling the shape of the letter L. Experimentally, as temperature decreases from 3.7K to T , $^{\circ}_{s}$ (T)= gradually increases as in Fig.5. This means that Eq.(36) and the approximation behind it is too simple to be compared quantitatively to the real system. With decreasing temperature, in addition to the particle with p = 0, other particles having sm all but nite m om enta get to contribute to the $1=q^2$ divergence of (q; 0) as well. (In addition to Eq.(30), a new F (q;0) including $p \in 0$ also satis es 1 UF (0;0) = 0 in Eq.(29).) Hence, the total \hat{s} (T) is a sum of each ^s(T) over di erent momenta 38]. The participation of p € 0 particles into $f_{s}(T)$ is a physically natural phenom enon. For the repulsive Bose system, particles are likely to spread uniform ly in coordinate space due to the repulsive force. This feature makes

the particles with $p \notin 0$ behave similarly with other particles, especially with the particle having zero momentum. If they move at di erent velocities along the ow direction, the particle density becomes locally high, thus raising the interaction energy. This is the reason why many particles with $p \notin 0$ participate in the super unid ow even if it is just above T .

(3) Equations (35) and (46) with $T_{on} = 3.7K$ gives us a rough estimate of U as U 3.4 10^{16} erg. This value is approximately close to $U_s = 2 ma^2 = 2 ma^2 = 3.4 ma^{17}$ erg derived from the scattering length a = 0.7 mm, which is based on the sound velocity $v_s = 220 mas$ and the Bogoliubov formula $v_s = (2mm)^p \overline{4ma}$. But this U is somewhat larger than U_s .

VI. DISCUSSION

A. Super uidity in the non-dissipative and the dissipative ows

On the onset mechanism of super uidity, there are physical di erences between the nondissipative and the dissipative ows.

As an example of the non-dissipative ow, we considered the ow in a rotating bucket in Sec.2, in which the quantity directly indicating the onset of super uidity is the moment of inertia

$$I_z = I_z^{cl} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\widehat{s}(T)}{s} ; \qquad (47)$$

where I_z^{cl} is its classical value.

(1) I_z of a super uid consists only of a linear term of $f_z^{\rm l}$, and \ssim_s (T) appears as a correction to its coe cient.

(2) The change of L T directly a ects I_{z} without being enhanced, and therefore just above T, the e ect of a small but nite $_{s}(T)$ on I_{z} is small [10].

For the dissipative ow, we considered the capillary ow, in which the quantity directly indicating the onset of super uidity is the shear viscosity. Comparing Eq.(25) to Eq.(47), we note the following features in (T).

(1) (T) of a super uid has a form of an in nite power series of n, and the in uence of Bose statistics appears in all coe cients of higher-order terms except for the nst-order one. This feature does not depend on a particular model of a liquid, but on the general argument. (On the other hand, the microscopic derivation of the value of n depends on the model of a liquid, which is a subject of the liquid theory and beyond the scope of this paper.)

(2) Because of the energence of the sharp peak due to Eq.(22) in the dispersion integral, a small change of \hat{s} (T) is strongly enhanced to an observable change of (T) in Eq.(25).

(3) The existence of $1=d^2$ in front of $\hat{s}(T) = in$ the denominator of Eq.(25) indicates that a narrow er capillary shows a clearer evidence of a friction less ow. Similarly, the existence of $1=!_e$ indicates that the choice of experimental procedure such as the method of applying the pressure to both ends of the capillary a ects the temperature dependence of (T) of the capillary ow. This means that the dissipative phenomena dependence on more variables than the non-dissipative ones.

B. Comparison to therm alconductivity

There is another type of signi cant change of conductivity in liquid helium 4, an anom abus therm al conductivity at T < T. Under a given temperature gradient r T, a heat ow q satis es q = r T, where is the coe cient of therm al conductivity. In the critical region above T (T = T 1 < 10³), the rapid rise of is observed, and nally at T = T,

jumps to an at least 10^7 times higher value [39]. (This rise is a subject of the uctuation theory of liquid helium 4 [6].) Comparing to (T) which shows a symptom of its fall in T < T < 3.7K, (T) does not show a symptom of its rise in the same temperature region.

The coe cient of them al conductivity has a form ally similar structure of correlation function to that of the shear viscosity, but they are qualitatively dimensioned event phenomena. While shear viscosity is associated with the transport of momentum (a vector), therm all conductivity is associated with that of energy (a scalar). For the vector with the transport of momentum (a vector) with the transport of momentum (a vector) with the transport of momentum (a vector) with the transport of momentum (a vector), therm all conductivity is associated with the transport of momentum (a vector) with the transport of m

eld), the direction of vectors has a huge number of possibilities in its spatial distribution. Hence, among various ow-velocity elds, we can regard the ow in a rotating bucket as a non-dissipative counterpart to the capillary ow. On the other hand, for the scalar eld (tem perature eld), the variety of possible spatial distributions is far limited. A heat ow is always a dissipative phenom enon, and there is no non-dissipative counterpart. Hence, to the onset of the anom alous therm al conductivity, the mechanism that amplies the small $_{s}^{(T)}$ to an observable change cannot be applied. This form all dimense between shear viscosity and therm al conductivity is consistent with the experimental dimense between (T) and (T) at T < T < 3:7K.

24

C. Comparison to Ferm i liquids

The fall of the shear viscosity in liquid helium 3 at T_c is a parallel phenom enon to that in liquid helium 4. The form alism in Sec.2 and 3 is applicable to liquid helium 3 as well. For the behavior above T_c , however, there is a striking dimense between liquid helium 3 and 4. The phenom enon occurring in fermions in the vicinity of T_c is not a gradual grow th of the coherent wave function, but a form ation of the Cooper pairs by two fermions. (This dimense evidently appears in the temperature dependence of the specing cheat: C (T) of liquid helium 3 shows only a sharp peak at T_c , and does not show a symptom of its rise above T_c .) Once the Cooper pairs are formed, they are composite bosons with high density at low temperature, and immediately jumps to the supermudid state. Hence, the shear viscosity of liquid helium 3 shows an abrupt drop at T_c without a gradual fall above T_c .

In electron superconductivity, there is an energy gap due to the form ation of C ooper pairs. Hence, in its conductivity spectrum (!) at $T < T_c$, there is a frequency gap $!_g$ near ! = 0 [40]. In super uid helium 4, due to the acoustic phonon, there is no energy gap, which is consistent with that __n (!) in Eq.(43) is a weakly !-dependent function.

$$APPENDIX A : h(t) = h(0) exp(t)$$

The mass of a liquid passing through a capillary per unit time is $Q = 2 \int_{0}^{R_{d}} rv_{z}(r) dr$, which is determined by Eq.(3) as

$$Q = \frac{d^4}{8} \frac{P}{L}; \qquad (A1)$$

The mass of a liquid in the reservoir with a radius R, $R^{2}h(t)$, ow sout through a capillary at the rate of Q. The pressure at the upper and lower end of the capillary set up vertically is 1 + gh and 1 atm, respectively, thus leading to P(t) = gh(t) in Eq.(A1). The level h(t) of the liquid in the reservoir decreases according to

$$R^{2}\frac{dh}{dt} = \frac{d^{4}}{8}\frac{{}^{2}g}{L}h; \qquad (A2)$$

thereby leading to $h(t) = h(0) \exp(t)$ with $= gd = (8 LR^2)$. For liquid helium 3, = in is 3:6 10° at T = 1:1K, and 3:8 10° at T = 3:0K. For liquid helium 4, = is 42 10° at T = 3:0K.

APPENDIX B:CONDUCTIVITY SPECTRUM (!)

The Stokes equation under the oscillatory pressure gradient $P e^{i!t} = L$ is written in the cylindrical polar coordinate as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial}{r \partial r} \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{P} e^{\mathbf{i}! t}}{\mathbf{L}}$$
(B1)

The velocity has the following form

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{\mathbf{P} e^{\mathbf{i}!t}}{\mathbf{i}! \mathbf{L}} + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r};t); \tag{B2}$$

under the boundary condition v(d;t) = 0. In Eq.(B1), v(r;t) satis es

0

$$\frac{\underline{\theta} \quad v(\mathbf{r};t)}{\underline{\theta}t} = \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}r^2} + \frac{\underline{\theta}}{\underline{r}\underline{\theta}r} \quad v(\mathbf{r};t); \quad (B3)$$

and therefore v(r;t) has a solution written in terms of the Bessel function $J_0(i r)$ with $= (1 + i)^p \overline{!=(2)}$. Hence,

$$v(r;t) = \frac{P e^{i!t}}{i!L} 1 \frac{J_0(i r)}{J_0(i d)}$$
 : (B4)

1

Using Eq.(B4) at r = 0, the conductivity spectrum (!), which is de ned by Eq.(6) as $v(0;t) = (!)d^2 P e^{i!t} = L$, is given by

$$(!) = \frac{1}{i! d^2} \overset{B}{\underset{0}{=}} 1 \quad \frac{1}{J_0} \quad \frac{1}{i! d^2} \overset{C}{\underset{0}{=}} 1 \quad \frac{1}{\frac{1}{J_0} \quad \frac{1}{i! d^2}} \overset{C}{\underset{0}{=}} \overset{C}{\underset{0}{=}} : \qquad (B5)$$

The real part of Eq.(B5)

$$\operatorname{Re} (!) = \frac{1}{! d^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \frac{! d^{2}}{4} \frac{n}{\cos \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \frac{! d^{2}}{4} \frac{n}{\cos \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \frac{! d^{2}}{4} \frac{n}{\cos \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \frac{! d^{2}}{4} \frac{n}{\sin \frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{(n!)^{2}} \frac{! d^{2}}{4} \frac{n}{\sin \frac{n}{2}} (B6)$$

gives a curve of (!) in Fig.3(a). (Re (0) in Eq.(B5) agrees with =(4).) Furthermore, it determines the conserved quantity $f(d) / d^2$ in Eq.(7). (in Eq.(B5) disappears in R (!)d!.)

APPENDIX C:FLOW IN A ROTATING BUCKET

The ham iltonian of a liquid in a coordinate system rotating with the container is H = L, where L is the total angular momentum. The perturbation $H_{ex} = L$ is cast in the form $P_i(r) = p$, in which $r_d(r)$ serves as an external eld. The rotation is equivalent to the application of the external eld. We denot the mass-ow density j(r) in the rotation, and express the perturbation H_{ex} as

$$L = v_{d}(\mathbf{r}) \quad j(\mathbf{r})^{3} d\mathbf{k} : \qquad (C1)$$

Because of divv_d (r) = 0, v_d (r) in Eq.(C1) acts as a transverse-vector probe to the excitation of bosons. This fact allows us a form all analogy that the response of the system to v_d (r) is analogous to the response of the charged Bose system to the vector potential A (r) in the C oulom b gauge. Hence, in momentum space, j (r) in Eq.(C1) has the following m icroscopic form similar to that in the charged Bose system

$$j(q;) = \sum_{p,n}^{X} p + \frac{q}{2} \sum_{p p+q}^{y} e^{i!n};$$
 (C2)

(~ = 1 and = it).

APPENDIX D:MAXW ELL'S RELATION

Consider the shear deform ation of a solid and of a liquid. In a solid, shear stress F_{xy} is proportional to a shear angle as $F_{xy} = G$, where G is the modulus of rigidity. The value of G is determined by dynamical processes in which vacancies in a solid move to neighboring positions over the energy barriers. As increases, F_{xy} increases as follows,

$$\frac{dF_{xy}}{dt} = G \frac{d}{dt} :$$
 (D1)

In a liquid, the situation is different as follows. Figure 6 represents a small portion of the ow in Fig 2, in which two particles 1 and 2, each of which starts at (x;y) and (x;y + y) simultaneously, moves along the x-direction. In a liquid, the relative position of particles is not rigid, but with the fow motion it changes so as to reduce the shear stress F_{xy} between adjacent layers. Presum ably, the larger shear stress induces the faster structural relaxation,



FIG. 6: In a liquid owing along the x-direction, owing to the velocity gradient along the ydirection, a small rectangular part of a liquid is deformed to a parallelogram.

that is, the rate of such relaxations is proportional to the magnitude of F_{xy} . Hence, instead of Eq.(D 1), one obtains

$$\frac{dF_{xy}}{dt} = G \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{F_{xy}}{st}; \qquad (D 2)$$

where $_{st}$ is a relaxation time. In the stationary ow after relaxation, F_{xy} remains constant, and one obtains

$$G \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{F_{xy}}{st} :$$
 (D 3)

A ssume that there is velocity gradient v_x (y) along y direction in Fig.6. A fter that passed, they (1' and 2') are at a distance of v_x talong the x-direction. The shear angle increases from zero to , which satisfies y_x t = y as depicted in Fig.6. Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial y} = \frac{d}{dt}: \tag{D 4}$$

Substituting Eq.(D 4) into Eq.(D 3), and comparing it with Eq.(1), one obtains $= G_{st}$ (M axwell's relation).

- [1] P K apitza, N ature 141, 74 (1938), J F A llen and A D M isener, N ature 141, 75 (1938)
- [2] R Bowers and K M endelsohn, ProcRoySocLondA 204, 366 (1950). They pointed out that the fall of above T did not come from thermal uctuations.
- [3] H.T jerkstra, Physica.19, 217 (1953)

- [4] K N Zinoveva, Zh Eksp. Teor Fiz. 34, 609 (1958) [Sov Phys-JETP.7, 421 (1958)]
- [5] C F Barenghi, P J Lucas, and R JD onnelly, J Low Tem p Phys. 44, 491 (1981)
- [6] A Z Patashinskii and V L Pokrovskii, J ExptlTheoret Phys.(USSR)46, 994 (1964) [Sov Phys-JETP 19, 677 (1964)], R A Farrell, N M enyhard, H Schmidt, F Schwabl and P Szepfalusy, Ann Phys. 47, 565 (1968), B JHalperin and P C Hoenberg, PhyRev.177, 952 (1969). T J suzuki, Prog.TheorPhys.41, 1387 (1969), IM K halatnikov, Zh Eksp.TeorFiz.57, 489 (1969) [Sov Phys-JETP 30, 268 (1970)], A M Polyakov, Zh Eksp.TeorFiz.57, 2144 (1969) [Sov Phys-JETP 30, 1164 (1970)]. The super uid density is in uenced by the phase uctuation of the order parameter, which only slightly diers from the conventional $_{s}$ (T) within the range of JT=T 1j< 10².
- [7] The increase of $_{\rm th}$ by the critical slowing down is negligible at T $\,$ < T < 3:7K .
- [8] The uctuation-dissipation theorem is not applicable to the non-dissipative response such as the onset of super uidity.
- [9] F London Super uid, John W iely and Sons, New York, 1954 Vol2, 141.
- [10] S.Koh, PhyRev.B.74, 054501 (2006).
- [11] L D Landau and IM .K halatonikov, Zh Eksp Teor Fiz 19, 637, 709 (1949), in C ollected papers of L D Landau, (edited by D ter Haar, Pergam on, London, 1965).
- [12] JM Goodwin, PhD Thesis, University of Washington (1968)
- [13] B W elber, PhyRev.119, 1816(1960), B W elber and D C Hammer, PhysLett.15, 233(1965),
 B W elber and G A llen, PhysLett.33A, 213(1970).
- [14] L Bruschi, G M azzi, M Santiniand G Torzo, J Low Temp Phys 29, 63 (1977)
- [15] G B Hess and W M Fairbank, PhyRev.19, 216(1967)
- [16] In the short time scale, the mechanism of the shear viscosity in a liquid is similar to that in a solid, and is therefore a highly inhom ogeneous process at the molecular level: The modulus of rigidity G is determined by the motion of vacancy as in a solid.
- [17] LPK adano and PCM artin, Ann Phys. 24, 419 (1963).
- [18] As a text, LD Landau and EM Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics, 2nd ed, (Pergam on Press, 1987).
- [19] As a response to P = L, j(r) at another point is possible, but it results in the same form of .
- [20] U sing Eq.(2), of ⁴ m odel is calculated beyond the one-loop level in the context of the relativistic heavy ion collision by S Jeon, PhyRev D 52, 3591 (1995), E W ang and U Heinz, PhysLettB.52, 208 (1999). These results describe the shear viscosity of a strongly interacting

dense gas. For a liquid, however, the anisotropic particle interaction with the hard core must be introduced in calculations.

- [21] R Kubo, J PhysSoc Japan 12, 570 (1957). Equation (7) is an oscillator-strength sum rule in terms of uid conductivity (!) through a capillary.
- [22] Just after the advent of BCS model, an attempt was made to relate the electrical conductivity (more precisely, the micro-wave absorption spectrum) with the penetration depth in the Meissner e ect by RA Ferrell and REG lover, PhyRev109, 1398 (1958), and by M. Tinkham and RA Ferrell, PhyRev2, 331 (1959). (As a text, M. Tinkham Introduction to superconductivity, 2nd ed, (McG raw-Hill, New York, 1996)). Whereas the electrical conduction is a dissipative phenomenon, the Meissner e ect is a non-dissipative one, and is an analogue to the nonclassical uid ow in a rotating bucket25].
- [23] In Ref [22], the de nition of the vector potential @A = @t = E plays the role of Eq.(9).
- [24] v(!) in the right-hand side of Eq.(10) is a ctitious velocity that appears when the system would obey Eq.(9) for the non-interacting system, whereas v(r = 0) in j(!) of the left-hand side is a real velocity in the interacting system.
- [25] As a review, PN ozieres, in Quantum Fluids (ed by D E Brewer), 1 (North Holland, Am sterdam, 1966), G Baym, in M athem atical methods in Solid State and Super uid Theory (ed by R C C lark and G H D errick), 121 (O liver and Boyd, Edingburgh, 1969)
- [26] JC Maxwell, PhilTransRoySoc.157, 49(1867) in The scientic papers of JC Maxwell, (edited by W D Niven, Dover, New York, 2003) Vol.2, 26.
- [27] We usually use the density , a longitudinal susceptibility satisfying = m n = L(q;0), for the transverse response of the system as in the conductivity $_{1n} = = (4)$. This is explained by the replaceability of ^T by ^L in Eq.(19).
- [28] ECKerr, JChem Phys26, 511 (1957), and ECKerr and RD Taylor, Ann Phys. 26, 292 (1964).
- [29] In a classical liquid, is inversely proportional to the rate of processes in which vacancies in a liquid propagate from one point to another over the energy barriers. W ith decreasing tem perature, this rate decreases. The liquid therefore slow ly respond to perturbations, and its increases.
- [30] R P Feynman, in Progress in Low Temp Phys. 1, (ed C J G orter), 17 (N orth-Holland, Am sterdam, 1955).

- [31] The structural characteristic of liquids is irregular arrangements of molecules, the energies of which E and E₀ di er only slightly to each other. In the relaxation from one of these arrangements to others, the small $E = E_0$ j leads to the long st of a classical liquid.
- [32] In the strong-coupling system, however, the strong interaction disturbs the relaxation, thereby leading to a large .
- [33] It is possible that a more complex diagram than a bubble exchanges particles with the tensor j j.W hile it is di cult to estimate an in nite sum of these diagrams, it adds only a small correction.
- [34] R P Feynm an, PhyRev.91, 1291 (1953).
- [35] The stability of a super uid has been studied in the context of the critical velocity v of the persistent current. A bove v_c, the system is unstable, and changes to a state with a topologically di erent structure of order parameter. On the other hand, the stability concerning B is a more primitive one in the growth process of the coherent wave function. (As a review, J.S.Langer and J.D.Reppy, in Progress in Low Temp Phys. 6, (ed C.J.G.orter), ch.1 (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1970).)
- [36] LD Landau, JP hysUSSR 5, 71 (1941).
- [37] The $^{s}_{s}$ (T) at T < T < 3:7K in Fig.5 is a completely dimension concept from the uctuationdriven super uid density, which was proposed in Ref.6] at T = T 1j< 10².
- [38] The density of states for this sum is not proportional to 4 p^2 but a constant, because it is owing to the one-dimensionalmomenta along the ow.
- [39] LJC hallis and JW ilks, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Solid and Liquid H e³ (O hio State University, O hio, 1957). JF K errisk and W E K eller, PhyRev 177, 341 (1969).
- [40] In electron superconductors, in addition to the norm alconductivity $_n$, an extra 0 ($^0_{= n}$ ' 10 5) is observed at $JT = T_c$ 1j< 10 2 , which is considered to be driven by uctuations.