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#### Abstract

U sually reason of irreversibility in open quantum $m$ echanicalsystem is interaction $w$ ith a them al bath, consisting form in nite num ber of degrees of freedom. Irreversibility in the system appears due to the averaging over all possible realizations of the environm ent states. But, in case of open quantum $m$ echanical system $w$ th few degrees of freedom situation is $m u c h ~ m o r e ~ c o m p l i c a t e d . ~$ Should one still expect irreversibility, if extemal perturbation is just an adiabatic force w thout any random features? Problem is not clear yet. This is $m$ ain question we address in this review paper. W e prove that key point in the form ation of irreversibility in chaotic quantum $m$ echanical system $s$ w ith few degrees of freedom, is the com plicated structure of energy spectrum. W e shall consider quantum $m$ echanical-system $w$ ith param etrically dependent energy spectrum. In particular, we study energy spectrum of the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation. Structure of the spectrum is quite non-trivial, consists from the dom ains of non-degenerated and degenerated stats, separated from each other by branch points. D ue to the $m$ odulation of the param eter, system $w$ ill perform transitions from one dom ain to other one. For determ ination of eigenstates for each dom ain and transition probabilities betw een them, we utilize $m$ ethods of abstract algebra. W e shall show that peculiarity of param etrical dependence of energy term $s$, leads to the form ation ofm ixed state and to the irreversibility, even for sm all num ber of levels involved into the process. T his last statem ent is im portant. M eaning is that, we are going to investigate quantum chaos in essentially quantum dom ain.

In the second part of the paper, we will introduce concept of random quantum phase approxi$m$ ation. Then along $w$ ith the $m$ ethods of random $m$ atrix theory, we $w$ ill use this assum ption, for derivation of $m$ uster equation in the form al and $m$ athem atically strict way.

C ontent of the paper is based on our previous studies. H ow ever, in this review paper is included also som e original $m$ aterial. This part $m$ ainly concems to the discussion about possible experim ental realization of theoretical concepts in the eld of organ ic chem istry. keyw ords : Statistical Physics, Quantum Chaos, Nonlinear Resonance, O pen Quantum M echanical System s.


PACS num bers: 73 23.\{b, $78.67 .\{n, 72.15 \mathrm{Lh}, 42.65 \mathrm{Re}$

## Introduction

The traditional notion of an area, where the law s of statistical physics are e ective, consists of the assum ption that the number of interacting particles is su ciently large. H ow ever, a lot of exam ples of nonlinear system s w ith a sm all num ber of degrees of freedom, where chaotic motions occur, had becom e known by the end of last century [1, 2, 3]. A new stage in the developm ent of notions about chaos and its origin appeared in the last tw o decades of the last century. It tumed out that classical H am iltonian near the separatrix of topologically di erent tra jectories may experience a special kind of instability. Because of this instability various dynam ic characteristics of the system random ly change with tim e. Such a property of the system that perform $s$ random $m$ otion is called dynam ic stochasticity. D ynam ic stochasticity is an intemal property of the system and is not associated w ith the action of som e a priory random forces.

D ynam ic stochasticity appears due to the extrem e sensitivity of nonlinear system w ith respect to the slightly change of initial conditions or system s param eters. On the other hand, even being chaotic, dynam ic is still reversible. Irreversibility occurs only after averaging of the dynam ic over sm all dispersion of initial data. Note that averaging is not form al $m$ athem atical procedure. It is essential from the physical point of view . Since dynam ical description loses its sense due to local instability of phase trajectories. H ow ever not the existence of in itialerror is im portant but, what kind of consequences it has. In case of linear system, this in uence is negligible. So one can alw ays assume that initial data for linear system is de ned w th the absolute accuracy. But in case of nonlinear system $s$, even $s m$ all unavoidable error should be taken into account. This leads to the necessity of using concepts of statistical physics. A s a result, analytical description becom es much m ore com plicated. All above mentioned was concemed to the classical case. W hat is really happening in quantum case? Should one still expect non-reversibility in quantum case? The question is that as opposite to the classical case, quantum equation ofm otion is linear. O fcourse, things are $m$ ore or less clear in case of open quantum system $s$ interacting $w$ th the therm ostat. If so, then, irreversibility appears ow ing to the averaging of system s dynam ics over allpossible realizations of environm ent states. D ue to this, one can use standard form alism, and from the Liouville-von $N$ eum ann equation, deduce irreversible in tim e muster equation, for the reduced density $m$ atrix [4]. But how does the irreversibility occur in quantum system $s w$ ith few degrees of freedom $T$ his is $m$ ain question we address in this review paper.

U sually quantum irreversibility is quanti ed by the delity. Introduced by Peres 5], this concept w orks pretty w elland is especially convenient for the study ofproblem s like quantum chaotic billiards [6]. On the other hand, disadvantage is that obtaining of analytical results not alw ays is possible and large com putational resources usually are needed.

In this paper, we o er altemative analyticalm ethod for the study ofproblem of quantum irreversibility. O ur conœpt is based on the features of the energy spectrum of chaotic quantum system $s$. P eculiarity ofenergy spectrum of chaotic quantum system s is well-known long ago [7]. Random $M$ atrix $T$ heory ( R M T) presum es eigenvalues of chaotic system $s$ to be random ly distributed. N um ber of levels also should be quite large. In order to deduce $m$ uster equation for tim e dependent chaotic system, we will utilize $m$ ethods of RM $T$ in the second part of this paper. H ow ever, w hat we want to discuses in the rst part is com pletely di erent. The key point is that, study of quantum chaos as a rule is focused on the sem iclassical dom ain. W em ean not only G utzw iller's sem i-classicalpath integration method [8], but also RM T . Since the RM T , in som ehow im plies sem i-classical lim it. At least im plicitly, due to the large num ber of levels included into process.

In the rst part of our paper, we shall consider chaotic quantum m echanical system $w$ ith few levels inchuded into process. In spite of this, feature of the energy levels leads to the irreversibility.

P aper is organized as follow s:
In the rst part we shall consider quantum mechanical-system w ith param etrically dependent energy spectrum . This param etrical dependence is quite non-trivial, contains do$m$ ains ofnon-degenerated and degenerated stats separated from each otherby branch points. Nam ely energy spectrum of our system is given in term s of M athieu characteristics. D ue to the $m$ odulation in tim e of the param eter, system will perform transitions from one dom ain to other one. For determ ination of eigenstates for each dom ain and transition probabilities betw een them, we utilize $m$ ethods of abstract algebra. W e shall show that peculiarity of param etrical dependence of energy term $s$, leads to the form ation ofm ixed state and to the irreversibility, even for sm all num ber of levels involved into the process. So this part m ay be considered as an attem pt to study quantum dhaos in the essentially quantum dom ain. T his study is based on our previous papers $[9,10,11,12]$. In addition, in the present paper, we w ill discuss in details possible experim ental realizations and applications of the theoretical concepts in the organic chem istry and polyatom ic organic m olecules.

In the second part of the paper, we will introduce concept of random quantum phase approxim ation. Then we will use this assum ption, for derivation of $m$ uster equation in the $m$ ost form al and $m$ athem atically strict way.

## I. QUANTUM PENDULUM

A. Universal H am illon ian

Let us present the atom as a nonlinear oscillator under the action of the variable $m$ onochrom atic eld. Then the H am iltonian of the system atom + eld is of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{0}=1=2\left(\frac{p^{2}}{m}+!{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) ; H_{N L}=x^{3}+x^{4}+::: ;  \tag{2}\\
V(x ; t)=V_{0} x \cos t ; " V_{0}=\frac{e}{m} f_{0} ; " \quad 1: \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $x$ and $p$ are coordinate and the im pulse of the particle (electron), $!0$ is the frequency of oscillations, and are coe cients of nonlinearity, $m$ and $e$ are the $m$ ass and charge of the particle, $f_{0}$ is the amplitude of the variable eld. Having made passage to the variables of action-angle $I$; $w$ th the help of transform ation $x=\left(2 I=m!_{0}\right)^{1=2} \cos ; p=$
$\left(2 \operatorname{Im}!_{0}\right)^{1=2} \sin$; supposing resonance condition $\quad!_{0}$ is realized and averaging with respect to the fast phase, one can obtain:

$$
H=H_{0}(I)+" V(I) C o s^{\prime} ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{I}) & =!_{0} \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NL}} ; \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathrm{I})=\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{~m}!_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}  \tag{4}\\
\quad & =\quad!\mathrm{t}_{;} \quad \mathrm{VV}(\mathrm{I})={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{m}!_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{0}:} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The role of nonlinear frequency plays $\left(\mathrm{dH}{ }_{0}=\mathrm{dI}\right)=!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathrm{I})$ where $!_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathrm{I})=$ (3 $=2$ ) $\left(I=m!_{0}^{2}\right)$. Now suppose that nonlinear resonance condition $!_{0}+!_{\mathrm{NL}}\left(I_{0}\right)=$ is ful lled for action $I=I_{0}$. It is easy to show [1], that for a sm alldeviation of action from the resonance value I I $I_{0}\left(I \quad I_{0}\right)$ after the pow er series expansion, if the condition of m oderate nonlinearity is just $1="$, where

$$
!_{\mathrm{NLI}}\left(I=!_{\mathrm{NL}}(I)\right) \dot{I}=I_{0} ; \quad!_{\mathrm{NL}}=\left(d!_{\mathrm{NL}}(I)=d I\right) \dot{\dot{I}}=I_{0} ;
$$

for H am iltonian we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{!_{\mathrm{NL}}}{2}(I)^{2}+\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{0}\right) \cos ^{\prime}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As usual (6) is called universal H am iltonian. Let us notioe that $H$ am iltonian $H$ is the H am iltonian ofpendulum, where $I=!_{\mathrm{N}}$ i plays the role ofm ass, I plays the role of the pulse and $V\left(I_{0}\right)$ plays the role of potential energy. If in (6) I is substituted by the appropriate operator I! i~@=@', one can obtain the universal Ham ittonian in the quantum form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\sim^{2}!^{0}}{2} \frac{@^{2}}{@^{\prime 2}}+V \cos ^{\prime}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith the help of (7) it is possible to explore quantum properties ofm otion for the nonlinear resonance.

H aving w rilten the stationary Schrodinger equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}{ }_{n}=E_{n} n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the H am iltonian (7), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{@^{2} \mathrm{n}}{@^{\prime 2}}+\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{n}}=0 ;  \tag{9}\\
\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right)=2 l \cos 2^{\prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the dim ensionless quantities are introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}!\frac{8 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\sim^{2}!0} ; 1!\frac{4 \mathrm{~V}}{\sim^{2}!0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the replacem ent ' ! $2^{\prime}$ is done.
The interaction has the following properties of the symmetry: $1: V(r)=$
 [8] were the rst who considered the equation of the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger for the quantum description of the nonlinear resonance in the approxim ation ofm oderate nonlinearity. They studied the case of quasi-classical approxim ation I ~for both variables $I_{0}$ and I. In this review we investigate the equation of the $M$ athieu -Schrodinger in essentially quantum area.


F IG . 1: T he dependence of the energy of interaction $V$ from the phase.
B. Periodical solution of $M$ athieu - Sch rodinger equations

W e content ourselves w th only even and odd solutions w ith respect to ${ }^{\prime}$ of equation of the $M$ athieu - Schrodinger (9). Those solutions have $n$ zeroes in the interval 0 , Eigenfunctions $n$ can be recorded w ith the help of the $M$ athieu functions $[9,10]$ : even $\mathrm{ae}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime} ; 1\right)$ and odd $\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime} ; 1\right)$. A ppropriate eigenvalues are usually designated by $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{l})$ and $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{l})$. For simplicity below som etim es we om it the argum ent 1 and $w$ rite $\mathfrak{c e}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$; $\operatorname{sen}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$; $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$; $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ : $M$ athieu functions are eigenfunctions of the problem of Sturm -Li iouville for the equation (9) for the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
(0) & =()=0 ; \quad \text { for } \operatorname{sen}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \\
\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}(0) & =\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}()=0 ; \text { for } e_{n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right): \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

From the general theory of the Sturm - iouville it follow $s$, that for arbitrary $n=1 ; 2 ;::$ there exists eigenfunction $\operatorname{sen}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and for each $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ : determ ined eigenfunction $\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$. The de nition of the $M$ athieu fiunction $m$ ust be supplem ented $w$ th the choige of the
arbitrary constant so that the conditions were ful led:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho_{\mathrm{n}}(0 ; 1)>0 ; \underline{1}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \bigodot_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\prime} ; 1) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=1 ; \\
& \frac{\mathrm{dse}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}(0 ; 1)>0 ; \underline{1}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }_{2} \operatorname{sen}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\left({ }^{\prime} ; 1\right) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=1: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

 equation (9) and the sam e boundary conditions (11). Therefore these functions di er from each other only by the constants. Hence, $G(r)$ is even or odd function with respect to $=2$ '. Taking this into account, two fiunctions (11) break up into four $M$ athieu functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (0)=(=2)=0 ; G\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=s e_{2 m+2}\left(^{\prime}\right) \text {; phase ; } \\
& (0)=\frac{d}{d^{\prime}}(=2)=0 ; G(r)=\operatorname{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left(^{\prime}\right) \text {; phase } 2 \text {; } \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}(0)=\quad(=2)=0 ; G\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\propto_{2 m+1}\left(\left(^{\prime}\right) ; \text { phase } 2\right. \text {; } \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}(0)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}(=2)=0 ; G\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=œ_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \text {; phase : } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For arbitrary $m=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ : there is one eigenfunction for each of four boundary conditions and $m$ equal to the num ber of zeroes in the interval $0<1<=2$. The functions (13) represent a com plete system of eigenfunctions of the equation (9).

## C. Sym $m$ etries of the equations of $M$ ath ieu-S ch rodinger

$T$ he properties of sym $m$ etry of the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation can be presented in Table 1 [15].

A $s$ is known, group theory $m$ akes it possible to nd im portant consequences, follow ing from the sym $m$ etry of the ob ject under study. Below, with the aid of group theory we w ill establish the presence (or absenœ) of degeneracy in the eigen spectrum of the M athieuSchrodinger equation and a form of corresponding wave functions By im m ediate chedk it is easy to convince, that four elem ents of transform ation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}\left({ }^{\prime}!\quad{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{G}\left({ }^{\prime}!\quad{ }^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{b} ; \\
& G\left({ }^{\prime}!+r^{\prime}\right)=C ; G\left(r^{\prime}!\right)=e
\end{aligned}
$$

| $G(\varphi)$ | $G(-\varphi)$ | $G(\pi-\varphi)$ | $G(\pi+\varphi)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c e_{2 m}(\varphi)$ | $c e_{2 m}(\varphi)$ | $c e_{2 m}(\varphi)$ | $c e_{2 m}(\varphi)$ |
| $c e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $c e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $-c e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $-c e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ |
| $s e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $-s e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $s e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ | $-s e_{2 m+1}(\varphi)$ |
| $s e_{2 m+2}(\varphi)$ | $-s e_{2 m+2}(\varphi)$ | $-s e_{2 m+2}(\varphi)$ | $s e_{2_{m+2}}(\varphi)$ |

TABLE I: Relations of a sym $m$ etry for the $M$ ath ieu function.
are form ing a group. For this it is enough to test the realization of the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{a}^{2}=\mathrm{b}^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2}=\mathrm{e} ; \\
\mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{c} ; \quad \mathrm{ac}=\mathrm{b} ; \quad \mathrm{bc}=\mathrm{a}: \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The group contains three elem ents $a ; b ; c$ of the second order and unity elem ent $e$. The group $G$ is isom orphic to the well-known quadruple group of the K lein [16, 17]. This group is know $n$ in the group theory by the applications to the quantum $m$ echanics (designated as $\mathrm{V})$. A ll the elem ents of the group com $m$ ute. $T$ his assertion can be easily checked taking into account group operations (14). So, the sym $m$ etry group of the $M$ athieu function $G$ is the Abelian group and consequently has only one-dim ensional indecom posable representations.

The presence of only one-dim ensional representations of the sym $m$ etry group, describing the considered problem, hints on the absence of degeneration in the energy spectrum . So, we conclude, that the eigenvalues of the equation of the $M$ athieu - Schrodinger (9) are non-degenerated, and the eigenfunctions are the $M$ athieu functions (13). H ow ever we shall rem ind, that both the energy term $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and the M athieu functions depend on the param eter l. At the variation of $l$ in the system can appear sym $m$ etry higher, than assigned


FIG.2: Four vectors that are transform ed by the elem ents of quadruple group V .
in Table 1, that $m$ ight lead to the degeneration of levels.
In order to $m$ ake $m$ ore obvious the isom onphism of the sym m etry group $G(r)$ ofM athieuSchrodinger equation w ith quadruple $K$ lein group $V$, let us consider the plane of rotation of phase'.
$T$ he orientation ofvector $A$, , presented in $F i g 2$, is obtained from $A$, by m eans ofm irror re ection relative to the plane passing through the axis Oy perpendicular to the gure plane $y$ •The orientation of vector $A+$, is obtained by means of rotation by angle about an axis, passing perpendicular to the gure through the origin of the coordinate system $C_{2}$ (axis of rotation of the second order). T he sym $m$ etry elem ents $x ; y$ and together $w$ ith the unit elem ent form quadruple group $V$ : $e ; x ; y ; C_{2}$. N ow it is possible to bring the elem ents
 $C_{2} ; e!$ e that proves the isom orphism of above $m$ entioned groups.

Each of three elem ents $a ; b ; c$ in combination with unit e form s a subgroup

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& G_{+} \\
G & : e ; b ;  \tag{15}\\
& G \quad: e ; c ; \\
& G_{0}
\end{array}: e ; a: \$
$$

Each of subgroups $G_{0} ; G_{+} ; G$ is the invariant subgroup in-group $G$. The presence of the


FIG. 3: Fragm ent of energy spectrum of quantum pendulum, com posed from theoretical-group consideration .
three invariant subgroups of the second order indicates the existence of three factor-group ( $4: 2=2$ ) of the second order.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{0}: \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~b} ; \mathrm{c}) ; \\
& \mathrm{F}_{+}: \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{b}) ; \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{c}) ; \\
& \mathrm{F} \quad: \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{e} ; \mathrm{c}) ; \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}): \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we introduced notations, com $m$ on for the group theory: E stands for a unit elem ent of factor-group; A stands for an elem ent of factor-group. G roup $G$ is hom om orphous to its factor-groups $F_{0}$ and $F$. A s we see from (16) the elem ents of factor-group are form ed as a result of uni cation of certain two elem ents of group $G$. This kind of uni cation of the elem ents ofgroup $G$ indicates pairm erging ofenergy levels $\propto_{2 m} ; \propto_{2 m+1} ; \mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}$. $T$ herefore, three kinds of double degeneration, corresponding to the three factor-groups $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ and $F$, appear in the energy spectrum. This happens ow ing to the presence of param eter 1. Therefore, for the di erent values of param eter 1 energy spectnum $m$ ay be di erent at least qualitatively. Let us nd out how to present combination of such a variety in energy spectrum

Factor-group $F_{0}$ is responsible for pair unity of levels of the sam e sym m etry relative to the center of potentialwell G ( ' ) (Fig.1). Q uantum vibration motion appears because


F IG . 4: The group of the eigenvalues of $a_{n}(1)$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{N}}(1)$ as functions of 1 are plotted by num erical m ethods [15].
of this uni cation. In Fig.3. these levels are arranged to the extrem e left and right from the ordinate axis.

Factor-groups $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ and are responsible for pair unity ofequations cen and $\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}=1$; 2 ;:: : ) and for form ation of clockw ise and counter clockw ise quantum rotation $m$ otion. In $F$ ig. 3 corresponding degenerated levels are found in both sides close to the ordinate axis. N um erical calculations [14] (see Fig.4), of M athieu characteristics a (1) and b (1) prove that energy spectrum of quantum pendulum has a very com plicated form and $m$ anifests all the $m$ ain features of the spectrum presented in F ig.3.

Sim ilarity of the plots presented in F ig. 3 and F ig. 4 is obvious. N am ely, both of them hold sym $m$ etry w ith respect to $Y$-axis. From both sides of $Y$-axis sam e eigenstates are coupled (degenerated). O nly di erence is that Fig. 3 belongs to the theoretical group analysis, while Fig. 4 is plotted using num ericalm ethods. B oth of these $m$ ethods have their advantage and disadvantage. O nly way to evaluate exact positions of branch points is to use num erical $m$ ethods. From the other hand, eigenfunctions for each dom ain should be de ned using theoretical algebraic $m$ ethods. Form ore details see [10].
D. The Physical P roblem $s$ are $R$ educed to $Q$ uantum $P$ endulum

W e have already m et $w$ th one physicalproblem that can get reduced to the solution of a quantum pendulum (9) - this is problem of quantum nonlinear resonance. N ow we w ill get to know w ith other quantum $m$ echanical problem $s$, that also get reduced to the solution of quantum pendulum.

As is known [18, 19], one of the form $s$ of intemal $m$ otion in polyatom ic molecules is torsion oscillation which for su ciently large amplitudes transform s to rotationalm otion. In order to describe the corresponding motion in $H$ am iltonian we assum e that ' is the angle of torsion of one part of the $m$ olecule $w$ ith respect to the other part and replace the $m$ ass $m$ by the reduced $m$ om ent of inertia $I=I_{1} I_{2}=\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$, where $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are the inertia $m$ om ents of rotation of the parts of the $m$ olecule $w$ ith respect to its sym $m$ etry axis. Thus we obtain [12]

$$
U\left(^{\prime}\right)=\frac{V_{0}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \operatorname{cosn}^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $V_{0}$ de nes the height of potential barrier that separates torsion oscillations from the rotation of one part of the m olecule w ith respect to the other part, and $n$ de nes the quantity of equilibrium orientations of one part of the $m$ olecule $w$ ith respect to the other part. For the m olecule of ethane $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, dim ethylacetylene $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{C}$ Hand for other organic molecules we have $n=3$ equilibrium con gurations (see Fig.5.).

The con guration shown in Fig. 5 corresponds to an energy $m$ aximum and is a non-equilibrium con guration (cis-con guration). Other non-equilibrium con gurations are obtained by rotating by the angles $\frac{2}{3}$ and $2 \frac{2}{3}$ : Equilibrium con gurations (trans con gurations) are obtained by rotating of the angles $\frac{\pi}{3} ; ~ \frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3} ; ~ \overline{3}+2 \frac{2}{3}$.

B elow we give the num erical values [18, 19] of other param eters of som e organic m olecules having the property of intemal rotation. Thus for the molecule of ethane $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ we have $I_{1}=I_{2} \quad 5: 3 \quad 10^{7} \mathrm{~kg} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}, \mathrm{~V}_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \quad 2: 1 \quad 1 \theta^{3} \mathrm{~J}$, and for them olecule ofdim ethylacetylene $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ we have $\mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{I}_{2} \quad 10: 6 \quad 10^{7} \mathrm{~kg} \quad \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~V}_{0}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \quad 0: 34 \quad 18^{0} \mathrm{~J}$.

The Schrodinger equation corresponding to H am ittonian (7) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{I}}{\sim^{2}}\left[\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{0}\left(1 \quad \operatorname{cosn}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $"_{k}$ is the eigenenergy of the $k$ th state. N ote that $\|_{k} \eta_{k}\left(V_{0}\right)$ is the function of barrier height $V_{0}$. T he condition ofm otion near the separatrix (near a potentialm axim um )


F IG . 5: A schem atic draw ing of the m olecular structure of ethane $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. The circular arrow show s the torsion phase ' ; $r_{0}$ is the equilibrium distance betw een tw o parts ofm olecule.


FIG. 6: P otential energy curve of torsion motions in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. Phase' $=0$ corresponds to equilibrium trance-con guration.
is w rilten in the form $"_{k} \quad V_{0}$. If we introduce the new variable $=\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$, then equation (18) can be rew rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d^{2}()}{d^{2}}+\mathbb{E} \quad 2 d \cos 2\right] \quad()=0 ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.7: a) The relative motion of atom Li to hard fragm ent C $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{b}$ ) Coordinates r and ${ }^{\prime}$ describe relative m otion Lito fragm ent C N .
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\frac{8 \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{n}^{2} \sim^{2}}(\quad \mathrm{~V}=2) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

plays the role of energy in dim ensionless units, and the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}=\frac{2 I}{n^{2} \sim^{2}} V_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the half-height of the barrier in dim ensionless units and plays the sam e role as the length of the thread does in the classical pendulum problem.

A s our next exam ple, we consider the vibration dynam ics of a triatom ic oppy m olecule: the LiNC=LiCN isom erizing system which has been extensively studied 20, 21]. This m olecule presents tw o stable isom ers corresponding to the linear con gurations, Li N C and Li CN, which are separated by a relatively m odest energy barrier. T he m otion in the beginning is very oppy, and then the Liatom can easily rotate around the C N fragm ent

O ne can describe relative $m$ otion of Li to hard fragm ent $C \quad N$ by $m$ eans of two coordinates: ' - angle of orientation of Liw ith respect to hard fragm ents axis and r distance between atom Li andmass center of fragm ent C N . Angle' $=0$ corresponds to Li CN and $^{\prime}=$ corresponds to its isom er CNLi. It is easy to m ake sure, that such isom erization process $m$ ay be described by using the potential (17), when $n=2 . W$ e obtain Schrodinger equation for such case in the same form, as we had for previous one (19).
E. D egen erate states of $M$ ath ieu-Sch rodinger equation.

In the theory ofM athieu functions, the graphs of the eigenvalues $a_{n}(1)$ and $b_{n}(1)$ as functions of $l$ are plotted by num erical m ethods [15]. As seen from these graphs, curves $a_{n}$ (1) and $b_{n}$ (l) merge for $s m$ all 1 , while curves $a_{n}(1)$ and $b_{n+1}$ (l) merge for large l. It is obvious that the $m$ erged segm ents of the $M$ athieu characteristics correspond to the degenerate states whose existence has been mentioned above. In this section, we will de ne the wave functions of degenerate states. Below, the presence of branch points $w$ ill play an essential role in explaining the transition from the pure state to the mixed one during the quantum investigation of the dynam ics near the classical separatrix. In what follow s, we w ill use the plane w ith coordinates $1, E$. In the classical consideration, the $m$ otion of a $m$ athem atical pendulum in a neighborkood of the separatrix occurs when the initialkinetic energy of the pendulum is close to the $m$ axim alpotential one. It is obvious that, on the plane ( $1 ; E$ ), to this condition there corresponds the straight line $E=21$. Therefore we can say that, on the plane $(1 ; E)$, to non-degenerate states there corresponds a certain dom ain lying on both sides of the line $E=21$. It is in this very dom ain of the change of 1 that the system is characterized by sym m etry group G .
a) D egeneration of states at sm all 1 (area from the left of the separatrix line)

In the lim it 1 ! 0 the equation of the $M$ athieu - Schrodinger (9) takes the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} n}{d^{\prime 2}}+E_{n} n=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orthonorm alsystem of solutions of the equation (22) consists of even and odd solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\cos n^{\prime} ; \quad u=\sin n^{\prime}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

They both correspond to the sam e energy value $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}^{2}$. N ote that functions (23) correspond also to the well-known asym ptotic (1! 0) form s of the $M$ athieu functions [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{ce}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)!\cos ^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{se}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)!\sin \mathrm{n}^{\prime}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $m$ eans that at the dim inution of 1 the com ing together of the energy term $s$ w ith the identical $n$ takes place and for $l=0$ they are $m$ erged together. It is necessary to nd out that this con uence happens at the point $l=0$ or at $1=l^{(n)} 0$. In this section, below we
willbe concemed with nding a lower point of the $m$ erging of term $s l^{(n)}$. At rst let us nd out what the eigenfiunctions of the degenerated states corresponding to the level $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}^{2}$ look like. Equation (22) is the Schrodinger equation for free rotation in the phase plane '. The continuous Abelian group of two-dim ensional rotations $O^{+}$(2) [16] corresponds to this m otion.

Since the A belian group $m$ ay have only one-dim ensional irreducible representations, the tw o-dim ensional representation constructed in the base of real-valued functions (23) w ill be reducible. H ence functions (23) cannot be eigenfunctions of a degenerate state. To surm ount this problem we shall recollect that the eigenfunctions for the degenerate condition can be also com plex.

A $s$ is know $n$ [17], sym $m$ etry relative to the change oftim e sign in the Schrodinger equation, accounts for the fact that the com plex-conjugate wave fiunctions correspond to one and the sam e energy eigenvalue. Therefore two com plex-con jugate representations $n(r)$ and ${ }_{n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ should be regarded as a representation of doubled dim ension. U sually, for the basis of the irreducible representation of the group $\mathrm{O}^{+}$(2) com plex functions are assum ed [16],

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\prime}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=e^{\mathrm{in}^{\prime}}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore, in the degenerate dom ain, in view of conditions of norm alization, follow ing com plex con jugate functions should be considered as eigenfunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right)=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}}{2} e^{i n^{\prime}} ;{ }_{n}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right)=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}}{2} e^{\mathrm{in}^{\prime}}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us rem ark that group $O^{+}$(2) is isom orphic to subgroup $G$ (24). The elem ent of the sym m etry $c=G\left(\prime \quad C^{\prime}\right)$ of the subgroup $G$ provides recurrence of the phase variation after each period and consequently the sym $m$ etry $G$ characterizes the condition ofm otion sim ilar to the classical rotary $m$ otion. H ow ever, to use only the argum ent of sym $m$ etry is not su cient for nding the coordinates of the branching point $l^{(n)}$. Below to nd these points we use the secular perturbation theory. So, at $1=0$ we have doubly degenerate states w th the wave functions (26). Let us nd out, whether the perturbation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(l ;{ }^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{lcos} 2^{\prime} ; 1 \quad 1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

can rem ove the existing degeneration. It is know $n$ that, rst order term s of the perturbation theory for the energy eigenvalues and the exact functions of zero approxim ation for double
degenerate levels look like［17］

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{0}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{~V}_{11}+\mathrm{V}_{22}\right) \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\left(\mathrm{~V}_{11}+\mathrm{V}_{22}\right)^{2}+4} \quad \text { 䞨争 }\right] ; \\
& \mathrm{n}^{\prime}={ }^{(0)}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad{ }_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \quad{ }_{2}^{0} \text {; } \\
& C_{1}^{(0)}=\frac{V_{12}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{12} j} 1 \quad P \frac{V_{11} V_{22}}{\left(V_{11}+V_{22}\right)^{2}+4} \text { 街手 }^{1=2} \\
& C_{2}^{(0)}=\frac{V_{21}}{2 J_{12} j} 1 \quad \frac{V_{11} V_{22}}{\left(V_{11}+V_{22}\right)^{2}+4} \text { 祘 }^{2}{ }^{2} \quad 1=2 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where the index in brackets corresponds to the order of the perturbation theory，$m$ atrix elem ents of the perturbation（27） $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ik}}(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2)$ are calculated by using of functions（26） of the degenerate state of the non－perturbed H am iltonian．Taking into account expressions （26）we shall calculate the $m$ atrix elem ents：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{11}=\mathrm{l}_{0} \mathrm{n}^{(r)} \mathrm{n}^{(r)} \mathrm{c}^{\prime}{\cos 2^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=0 ; \mathrm{V}_{22}=0 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

A fter substitution of those $m$ atrix elem ents in the expressions（28）for the eigenvalues and exact eigenfunctions we shall obtain：

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(1)}=\frac{1}{4} ; \quad{ }_{n=1}^{+}=\cos ^{\prime} ; \quad{ }_{n=1}=\quad i \sin { }^{\prime}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus，the exact wave fiunctions（29）of the non－degenerate state only for $n=1$ coincide $w$ ith the $M$ athieu function in the lim itl！ 0 （24）．

The perturbation $V\left({ }^{\prime} ;^{\prime}\right)$ rem oves degeneration only for the state $n=1$ ．Therefore $\mathbb{t}$ is only for the state $\mathrm{n}=1$ that the spectrum branching occurs at the point $l=0$ ，which agrees with num erical calculations given in the form of diagram s（see Fig．4．）．It can be assum ed that in the case of dim inishing l，the merging of energy term $s$ for states $n \in 1$ takes place at the point at which the states are still de ned by the $M$ athieu functions and not by their lim ting values（24）．W ave functions for degenerate states $1 \in 0 ; \mathrm{n} \in 1$ can be com posed from the $M$ athieu functions by using the sam e argum ents as have been used above in com posing the wave functions for l！0）．A s a result，we obtain

$$
{ }_{+}^{2 m+1}={ }_{n}\left(l^{\prime} ;^{\prime}\right)=\frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2}\left(\text { cen }_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { iser }{ }^{\prime}\right) ; n=2 m+1 ;
$$



FIG. 8: T he energy levels as function of param eter 1 on the plane ( $E ; 1$ ) on the left area from the separatrix line. The points of the branching of curves represent the boundaries betw een degenerate and non-degenerate states.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~m}+2={ }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{2}}{2}\left(\mathrm{ce}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime} \quad \text { isẹ}{ }^{\prime}\right) ; \mathrm{n} 1 ; 1 \neq 0 ; \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~m}+2: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assum e that at $I_{n}=l^{(n)}$ the rem oval of degeneration for the $n$th energy term happens.
b) D egenerate state at $m$ ajor 1 : The area on the right of the separatrix line:
$W$ ith the increasing of 1 the particle can be trapped in a deep potential well $(V=$ lcos2' ; $0<\prime<\mathrm{Fig} .1$. ), and perform oscillatory $m$ otion. Properties of $w$ ave functions of quantum oscillator near to the bottom of the well are well known. This is the altemation of even and odd w ave functions relative to the center of the potentialwell $=2$ and presence of zeros in wave functions. W th the help of the third colum $n$ of $T$ able 1 it is possible to w rite sym m etry conditions close to $=2$ :

$$
\propto e_{m}\left(\frac{-}{2}+\prime\right)=(1)^{m} \propto e_{m}\left(\frac{-}{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{se}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\frac{-}{2}+\prime\right)=(1)^{m+1} \operatorname{se}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\frac{-}{2} \quad r\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\mathrm{Ce}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$; $\mathrm{Se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ are even functions and $\mathrm{Se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$; $\mathrm{Ce}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ are odd functions. Functions $\mathrm{ce}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left(^{\prime}\right)$; $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left(^{\prime}\right)$; $\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left(^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left(^{\prime}\right)$ have m real zeros betw een ${ }^{\prime}=0$ and $\prime=\quad=2$ (not considering zeros on edges). T he existing altemation of states ( $F$ ig. 8.) in area along the line of the separatrix is conditioned by the properties of states at the sm alll. W ith the help of the expressions (31) it is possible to determ ine easily, that in the spectrum of the states along the line $E=2 l$ two (instead of one) even states altemate w ith odd states and so on. To get the altemation, caused now by properties at major 1 , tw o even conditions m ust degenerate in one even and two odd - in one odd. So we com e to the conclusion, that two levels $w$ ith $w a v e$ functions $\propto_{2 m}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $s e_{2 m+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ com ing nearer am algam ate in one level, and the follow ing tw o levels $\mathrm{ee}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ also in one level. T he levels obtained in this w ay w illbe doubly degenerated. It can be assum ed that w ith the grow th of 1 the states de ned by the sym $m$ etry group $G$ transform to the states $w$ ith the sym $m$ etry of an invariant subgroup $G_{+}(15)$. This transform ation takes place at the $m$ erging point of non-degenerate term $S I_{n}=I_{+}^{(n)}$. Recall that subgroup $G_{+}$contains two elem ents: the unit elem ent $e$ and the re ection elem ent $w$ ith respect to the sym m etry center of the well $b=G(\prime$ ! ' ). C om plex wave functions of the area of degenerate states, $w$ ith the sym $m$ etry of the invariant subgroup $G_{+}$, can be com posed of pairs of functions of $m$ erged states in the sam e m anner as we have done above for the area of $s m$ all 1 for states $w$ ith the sym $m$ etry of $G$.
$N$ ot iterating these reasons, we shall write com plex w ave functions corresponding to the degenerated states in the form

$$
2 \mathrm{n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\propto_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left(^{\prime}\right) \quad \mathrm{se} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\left(\left(^{\prime}\right)\right. \text { even state }
$$

,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{n}+1\left(^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \quad \mathrm{s} e_{\mathrm{n}+2}\left(\left(^{\prime}\right) \quad\right. \text { odd state } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the base ofcom plex wave functions $2 n$ and $2 n+1$ the indecom posable representation of the subgroup $G_{+}(15)$, is realized. E venness of the wave functions and $w$ ith respect to the transform ation $b=G\left({ }^{\prime}!\quad\right.$ ) of the subgroups $G_{+}$characterizes an im portant property of wave functions evenness of the quantum oscillatory process. T he results, obtained in this section, are plotted in F ig. 9 .
$F$ igures 8 and 9 supplem ent each other: in the eld of intersection $w$ th the separatrix the curves of the $F$ igs. 8 and 9 are sm oothly joined. So, we shall add up outcom es obtained in


FIG.9: Energy levels as a function of the param eter 1 on the plane ( $E ; 1$ ) to the area right from the separatrix line. The points of branching of curves represent degeneration points of term $s$ in this area.
this section. The $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation has an appointed sym $m$ etry. The transfor$m$ ations of the sym $m$ etry of the $M$ athieu functions form group $G$, which is isom orphic to the quatemary group of $K$ lein. To this sym $m$ etry on a plane ( $E$; l) corresponds the appointed area along the line of the separatrix $\mathrm{E}=21$, containing non-degenerated energy term s . T his area is restricted double sided by the areas of degenerate states, which are characterized by the sym $m$ etry properties of the invariant sulogroups $G$ and $G+$, respectively. The boundaries of these areas are de ned by the branching points of energy term sexisting both on the right and on the left of the separatrix.
$T$ he area ofdegenerate states is the quantum $m$ echanicalanalogs of tw $o$ form sofm otion of the classicalm athem aticalpendulum -rotary and oscillatory. C om paring results of quantum review s w th classical, we rem ark that these two conditions ofm otion at quantum review ing are divided by the area of a nite $m$ easure, whereas at the classical review ing $m$ easure the separatrix is equal to zero.

## F. Quantum analog of the stochastic layer.

In the case of $H$ am iltonian system $s$, perform ing a nite $m$ otion, a stochastic layer form ed in a neighbornood of the separatrix under the action of an arbitrary periodic perturbation is a minim alphase space cell that contains the features of stochasticity [1]. In this section we shall try to nd out what can be considered as the quantum analog of the stochastic layer.

Let us assum e that the pum ping am plitude is m odulated by the slow variable electro$m$ agnetic eld. The in uence of $m$ odulation is possible to take into account by $m$ eans of such replacem ent in the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation (9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1!I_{0}+\operatorname{lcos} t_{i} \quad l<l_{0}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here 1 stands for the am plitude of modulation in dimensionless unit (see (10)), is the frequency ofm odulation. W e suppose, that the slow variation oflcan em brace som equantity of the branching points on the left and on the right of separatrix line ( $F$ igs. 8,9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \text { 거﹎ }{ }_{+}^{n} \text { 丹 } ; n=1 ; 2 ;:: \mathbb{N}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result of replacem ent (33) in the H am iltonian (7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}=\hat{\mathrm{H}_{0}}+\hat{\mathrm{H}}^{0}(t) ;  \tag{35}\\
&{\hat{\mathrm{H}^{0}}(\mathrm{t})}={\operatorname{lcos} 2^{\prime} \cos t ;}^{t} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is the universal H am iltonian (7) and $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ is the perturbation appearing as a consequence of pum ping $m$ odulation.

It is easy to see, that the $m$ atrix elem ents of perturbation $(36) \hat{H}^{0}(t)$ for non-degenerate states equal zero. R eally, having applied expansion form ulas of the $M$ athieu functions in the Fourier series [14] it is possible to show

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } 2 \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

sim ultaneously for the even and odd $n$. The expressions of the selection rules (37) will be full lled for values 1 from the area $l^{n} \quad l_{+}^{n} 1$ Transitions between levels cannot be conditioned by tim e-dependent perturbation (36). It is expedient to inchude perturbation in the unpertunbed part of the $H$ am ittonian. T he $H$ am ittonian, obtained in such way, is slow ly
depending on the param eter 1 . So, instead of (35) and (36) for the non-degenerated area G we get the H am iltonian in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{\prime 2}}+l(t) \cos 2^{\prime} ;  \tag{38}\\
l(t)=l_{0}+l \cos t: \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ here arises the situation in which the system slow ly "creeps" along the $M$ athieu characteristics and, in doing so, encloses the branching points on the left $l^{n}$ or on the right $I_{4}^{n}$. a) Irreversible "creeping" of energy term populations due to the in uence of a $m$ easuring arrangem ent.

A coording to the general rules of quantum m echanics, probabilities that the system will pass to the eigenstate of another area are de ned by the coe cients of expansion of the wave function of one area into the eigenfunctions of another area. Let us assum e that, initially, the system was in one of the eigenstates from the non-degenerate area $G$, for exam $p l e$, in the state $\propto_{2 n}$. A fter a quarter of the modulation period $T=4$ (where $T=2 p=n$ ), having passed through the point $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$, the system nds itself in the degenerated area G . In this case the system will pass to degenerate states 2 n w ith probabilities,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\propto_{2 n}!{ }_{2 n}\right)=1^{1^{Z}}{ }_{0}^{2} \propto_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right){ }_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} \jmath^{\rho}= \\
= & \frac{1}{2} j_{0}^{Z} \propto_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\left(\propto_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \quad i s e_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\right) d^{\prime} \jmath^{\rho}=1=2: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

For deriving (40) we used the condition of nom alization (12) and orthogonality [9]

$$
\bigodot_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} \propto_{k}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{se}_{1+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=0 ; 1 ; \mathrm{k}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::: \text { : }
$$

The passage (40) is based on the assum ption of having a deep physical sense. As is generally know $n$, in quantum $m$ echanics sym $m$ etry w ith respect to both directions of tim $e$ is expressed in the invariance of the Schrodinger equation $w$ th respect to the variation of the sign of tim e $t$ and sim ultaneous replacem ent by way of . H ow ever, it is necessary to rem ember that this sym metry concems only the equations, but not the concept of a $m$ easurem ent playing a fundam entalrole in the quantum $m$ echanics [17,22]. "M easurem ent" is understood as the process of interaction of the quantum system with the classical ob ject usually called "instrum ent." U nder the $m$ easuring arrangem ent, consisting of the analyzer and detector, one m ust not im agine the laboratory's instrum ent. In our case, the role of the
instrum ent plays in our case the modulating eld, which is capable to "drag" the system through the branching points. W hen passing through the branching point from one area to another, the state rem ains unchanged. H ow ever, being an eigenstate in one area, it w ill not be an eigenstate in another. At the passage through branching points there occurs a spectral expansion of the intitial wave function belonging to the region of one sym metry over the eigenfunctions belonging to the region of another sym $m$ etry. The presence only of the analyzer reserves a pure state and the process rem ains reversible. So, passage through the branching point plays role of analyzer. Further we shall assum e the presence of the detector, de ning which of the states ${ }_{n}^{+}$or $n$ is involved in passage. The transition of the system to various states de ned by probabilities (40) is xed by m eans of the action of the detector. The presence of the detector is expressed form ally in averaging w ith respect to phase and neglecting the interference term usually appearing in the expression for a distribution function. As a result of averaging the partial loss of inform ation about the condition of the system takes place and a m ixed state is generated. In our problem role of detector will play e ect self-chaotization, which appeared in degenerate region (see next subsection).

A s is follow s from (40), after the quarter period degenerated rotary states $\underset{2 n}{+}$ and $2 n$ w ill be occupied w th the identical probability. A fter the half period $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T}$ the system again appears in the area $G$ going through the branching point $\mathrm{I}^{n}$ in the reverse direction. At the sam e tim e there appear probabilities, of the transition into the states $\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{se}_{n}$, and both of them are distinct from zero

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left({ }_{2 n}!œ_{2 n}\right)={\frac{1}{2} j^{1}}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{Z}\left(œ_{2 n}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right) \quad i s e_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right)\right) œ_{2 n}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} f=\frac{1}{2} ; \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used again norm alization (12) and orthogonality relations (41). It is easy to w rite transition probability from $œ_{2 n}$ into one of the degenerated states $2 n$ and back in the $œ_{2 n}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\varrho_{2 n} \$ œ_{2 n}\right) \quad P\left(\varrho_{2 n}!{ }_{2 n}!œ_{2 n}\right)= \\
& =P\left(\propto_{2 n}!\quad \stackrel{+}{2 n}\right) P\left(\begin{array}{c}
+ \\
2 n
\end{array}!\propto_{2 n}\right)+P\left(\propto_{2 n}!\quad{ }_{2 n}\right) P\left({ }_{2 n}!\propto_{2 n}\right): \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the rst sum $m$ and corresponds to the passage through the degenerated state ${ }_{2 n}^{+}$and the second one to the passage through $2 n$. It is easy to see with the help of previous
com putations (40), (42), and (43) that contributions of these passages are identical and individually equal to $1=4$. T herefore nally we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \quad\left(œ_{2 n} \$ \quad œ_{2 n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly it $m$ ay be shown that transition probability from the state $\propto_{2 n}$ in one of the degenerated states 2 n and back in the area G , in the state $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ by m eans of going through the point $l^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \$ \mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)=P\left(\mathrm{e}_{2 \mathrm{n}}!\quad \stackrel{+}{2 \mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\begin{array}{c}
+ \\
2 \mathrm{n}
\end{array} \text { ! } \mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)+ \\
& +P\left(e_{2 n}!\quad{ }_{2 n}\right) P\left({ }_{2 n}!\operatorname{se}_{2 n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}=1=2: \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus, the system being at the initialm om ent in the eigenstate $œ_{2 n}$, at the end ofhalf-period ofm odulation appears in them ixed state $2 n$ in which the states $\propto_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ are interm ixed w ith identical weight, and corresponding levels are populated with identical probabilities. A fter the expiration of quarter of cycle the system will pass from the area $G$ (the state $2 n$ ) in the area $G_{+}$, going through the point $l_{n}^{+}$. In passages from the area $G_{+}$four states take part ${ }_{2 n}=p^{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(e_{2 n} \quad i s e_{n+1}\right)$ and ${ }_{2 n 1}=p_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(e_{2 n} 1 \quad\right.$ isen $)$. So, with taking into consideration the above $m$ entioned for the probabilities of transitions we get

For deriving the last expressions in addition to the norm alization conditions we have used the orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{2} \quad Z_{2} \\
& 0 \quad \varrho_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \varrho_{\mathrm{m}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=\quad \mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{m}+1}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}=0 ; \mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}: \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

On the basis of (47) and (48) we conclude, that after the tim $e \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~T}$, system w ill be in the area $G_{+}$in one of four oscillatory states $2 n 1$ and $2 n \quad 1$ w th the identical probability equal to $1=4$.

A fter one cycle $T$ the system getsback in the area $G$, from which it started transition from the level $\bigodot_{2 n}$. Upon retuming, four levels $\bigodot_{2 n} ; \mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}} ; \bigodot_{2 \mathrm{n}} 1$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{w}$ ill be involved. C alculating probabilities of passages from the oscillatory state of the area $G_{+}$, to these four levels we shall obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left({ }_{2 n}!œ_{2 n}\right)=P\left(2 n!\quad \operatorname{se}_{2 n+1}=1=2 ;\right. \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{se}_{2 n}\right)=P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1 \tag{51}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{Se}_{2 n} \quad 1=1=2:\right.
$$

The probability of passages from the nondegenerated area to the area $G_{+}$in one of the oscillatory states $2 n ; 2 n \quad$ and back in the area G w ill be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{+}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & \left.S e_{2 n}\right)=P(2 n!\quad 2 n
\end{array}\right) P\left(\begin{array}{l}
+ \\
2 n
\end{array}!S e_{2 n+1}\right)+ \\
& +P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n
\end{array} \quad{ }_{2 n}\right) P\left(2 n!\quad S e_{2 n+1}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}: \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly it is possible to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(2 n \$ \propto_{2 n}\right)=P\left(2 n!\quad \operatorname{se}_{2 n}\right)=P\left(2 n!\propto_{2 n} 1\right)=1=4: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, after the lapse of tim e $T$ four levels of the nondegenerate area $G w i l l$ be occupied w ith the identical probabilities $1=4$ ( F ig. 10.) T he m otion of the system upw ards on energy term swill cease upon reaching the level for which the points of the branching in $F$ ig. 10 are on the distance at which the condition (34) no longer is valid. T he $m$ otion of the system dow nw ards w illbe stopped upon reaching the zero level. If the system at the initialm om ent is in the state $2 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=2$, then after $\mathrm{N}=2$ cycles ofm odulation all N levels w ill be occupied. It is easy to calculate a levelpopulation for the extrem ely upper and extrem ely low er levels. R eally, the levelpopulation for extrem e levels is possible to de ne w ith the help of a M arkov chain containing only one possible trajectory in the spectrum of $M$ athieu characteristics:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{N}=2} ; \mathrm{t}_{0} ; \mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{N}=2+1} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} ;:: \mathrm{CQ}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2}\right)= \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2} \quad \mathrm{CQ}_{\mathrm{N}} 1 ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \frac{\mathrm{T}}{2}\right)::: \\
\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{N}=2+1} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{Se}=2+1 ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{N}}+\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(\left(\mathrm{se}_{\mathrm{N}=2+1} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}+\frac{\mathrm{T}}{2} \quad \mathrm{CQ}_{\mathrm{N}=2+1} ; \mathrm{t}_{0}\right) ;\right. \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{0}$ is an initial tim e. H ere, when discussing the transition probabilities from one state to another, we also use a tim e argum ent. It is possible to write a sim ilar chain of level population for the extrem ely low er level. As the probabilities of passages, included in the right side of (54) by way of factors, are equal to $1=2$, then probabilities of an extrem e level population will be $(1=2)^{\mathrm{N}=2}$. As to the M arkovian chain for non-extrem e levels, it has a cum bersom e form and we do not give it here.
b) S elf chaotization produced by a big phase "incursion" of the probability am plitude:


FIG. 10: The fragm ent of the energy term $s$, participating in passages calculated in the text. a) The initial state. The particle is in the state of $œ_{2 n}$.b) The nal state. Levels which are a ected by a change of the eld am plitude get populated.

D i erent from the area of non-degenerate states $G$, in the areas of degenerate states $G$ and $G_{+}$, the non-diagonalm atrix elem ents of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (36) are not zero.
where the wave functions have been de ned previously by (30). Here, for the brevity of the notation, we om it the upper indioes indicating the quantum state. An explicit dependence of $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ on tim e given by the multiplier cos $t$ is assum ed to be slow er as com pared w ith the period of passages from one degenerate state to another produced by the nondiagonal matrix elem ents $H_{+}^{0}$. Therefore below, perturbations $\hat{H}^{0}(t) w$ ill be treated as tim e-independent perturbations able to produce the above-m entioned passages. Therefore in the area ofdegenerate states the system can be found in the tim e-dependent superposition state [17, 23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}(\mathrm{t}){ }_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})_{2 \mathrm{n}}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Probability am plitudes $C_{n}(t)$ are found by $m$ eans of the follow ing fundam ental quantum -
m echanicalequation expressing the causality principle:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d C_{n}^{+}}{d t}=\left(E_{o n}+H_{++}^{0}\right) C_{n}^{+}+H_{+}^{0} C_{n} \\
& i \frac{d C_{n}}{d t}=H_{+}^{0} C_{n}^{+}+\left(E_{o n}+H^{0}\right) C_{n}: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy show that in the case of our problem it should be assum ed that $H_{++}^{0}=H^{0}$ and $H_{+}^{0}=H^{0}{ }_{+}$. Let us investigate changes that occurred in the state of the system during tim e $T$ while the system was in the area G , (i.e., during the tim e ofm ovem ent to the left from $l^{n}$ and, reversal, to the right to $l^{n}$ ). It will be assum ed that $T$ is part of the period of m odulation T. For arbitrary initial values the system of equations (57) has a solution

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{n}^{+}(t)=\frac{C_{+}(0)+C \quad(0)}{2} \exp \left[\sim_{\sim}^{i}\left(E \quad H^{0}\right) t\right]+\frac{C_{+}(0) C(0)}{2} \exp \left[{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}_{i}^{i}\left(E \quad H^{0}\right) t\right] ; \\
C_{n}(t)=\frac{C_{+}(0)+C \quad(0)}{2} \exp \left[-_{\sim}^{i}\left(E \quad H^{0}\right) t\right] \frac{C_{+}(0) \quad C \quad(0)}{2} \exp \left[{\underset{\sim}{i}}_{i}^{i}\left(E \quad H^{0}\right) t\right] ; \tag{58}
\end{gather*}
$$

where E! $\mathrm{E}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{++}^{0} ; \mathrm{E}$ ! $\mathrm{E}_{0}+\mathrm{H}^{0}$; $\mathrm{H}_{+}^{0}$ ! $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ :
A fter com plem enting $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (58) w th the factor cos $t$, we can take into consideration also a slow time dependent change of perturbation ( $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ ! $\mathrm{H}^{0} \mathrm{COS} \mathrm{t}$ ):

Let m otion begin from the state $2 n$ of the degenerate area. Then as the initialconditions we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}(0)=1 ; C_{n}^{+}(0)=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

as initial conditions. Substituting (59) into (58), for the am plitudes C (t) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
C \quad(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i}=\sim) \mathrm{E} \mathrm{t}} \cos !\mathrm{t}_{;} \\
C_{+}(\mathrm{t})=\quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}^{(\mathrm{i} \sim \sim) E \mathrm{t}} \sin !\mathrm{t}_{;}!=\frac{\mathrm{H}^{0}}{\sim}: \tag{60}
\end{gather*}
$$

N ow, using (60), for the distribution $j$ ( $(\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{f}$ (56) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& j(t) \jmath= \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{H^{0}}{\sim} t\right) j \\
& \text { (t) } \jmath+\sin ^{2}\left({\underset{\sim}{H}}^{0} t\right) j+(t) \jmath^{\jmath} \\
& \frac{1}{2} \sin \left(2 \frac{H^{0}}{\sim} t\right)[+ \text { (t) }  \tag{61}\\
& \text { (t) }\text { (t) }+(t)]:
\end{align*}
$$

In the expression for $j(t){ }^{j}$ the rst two term scorrespond to the transition probabilities
! + and ! + , respectively, while the third term corresponds to the interference of these states. D istribution (61) corresponds to a pure state.
$N$ ote that (like any other param eter of the problem ) the value $H^{0}$ contains a certain sm all error $H^{0} \quad \mathrm{H}^{0}$, which during the time of one passage $2 \sim=\mathrm{H}^{0}$ leads to an insigni cant correction of the phase $2\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}=\mathrm{H}^{9}\right)$. How ever, during the tim e T a phase incursion takes place and a sm allerror $H^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ay lead to uncertainty ofphase ( $\mathrm{H}^{0}=\sim$ ) T , which m ay tum out to be of order 2 . In that case the phase becom es random. T herefore by the $m$ om ent $T$ the distribution takes the form that can be obtained from (61) by $m$ eans of averaging w th respect to the random phase $=\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}=\sim\right) \mathrm{T}$.

H ence, after averaging expression (61), equating the interference term to zero and taking into account that

$$
\overline{\sin ^{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}=\sim\right) t\right]}=\overline{\cos ^{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}=\sim\right) t\right]}=1=2
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \jmath=j(t) f=\frac{1}{2}(j+\jmath+j \quad \jmath) ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the stroke above denotes the averaging $w$ th respect to tim $e$. The obtained form ula (62) is the distribution of a m ixed state, which contains probabilities of degenerate states $j f$ w th the sam e weights $1=2$. The assum ption that a large phase is a random value that, after averaging, $m$ akes the interference term equal to zero is frequently used in analogous situations [23].

Thus we conclude that if the system rem ains in the areas $G$ of degenerate states for a long time, $\quad 2 \sim=H^{0}$; $\quad 2 \sim=H^{0}$ during which the system $m$ anages to perform a great number of passages, then in the case of a passage to the nondegenerate area $G$ the choice of continuation of the path becom es am biguous. In other w ords, having reached the branch point, the system $m$ ay w ith the sam e probability continue the path along two possible branches of the $M$ athieu characteristics. The error $H^{0}$ is evidently connected w ith the error of the $m$ odulation am plitude value. It obviously follows that, when passing the branch point, the $m$ ixed state (62) will transform with a $1=2$ probability to the states ce and se, as shown in form ulas (42) and (43). A nalogously, we can prove the validity of all subsequent form ulas for the passage probabilities (44),(45).
c) $K$ inetic stage of evolution
$W$ e have already $m$ et $w$ th one physical problem that can get reduced to the solution of a quantum pendulum (9) - this is a problem of quantum nonlinear resonance. N ow we w ill
get to know w ith other quantum $m$ echanical problem s, that also get reduced to the solution ofquantum pendulum.
$N$ ote that the param eter!, which is connected w th the m odulation depth 1 , has (like any other param eter) a certain sm all error ! , which during the tim e of one passage $t$ $2=!$, leads to an insigni cant correction in the phase 2 ( $!=!$ ). But during the time $t \quad T$, there occur a great num ber of oscillations (phase incursion takes place) and, in the case $T$, a sm all error ! brings to the uncertainty of the phase $T$ ! which $m$ ay have order 2 . Then we say that the phase is self-chaotized.

Let us introduce the density $m$ atrix $\underset{0}{\text { averaged over a } s_{1} \text { all dispersion } \quad!: ~}$

$$
(t)=@ \begin{gather*}
W_{n}^{+}(t) \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})  \tag{63}\\
\mathrm{A} ; \\
\mathrm{iF}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})
\end{gather*}
$$

where $W_{n}(t)=\overline{\left.\mathbb{C}_{n}(t)\right\}^{3}} ; F_{n}(t)=\overline{\mathcal{C}_{n}^{+}(t) C_{n}}(t) j$. The overline denotes the averaging over a sm all dispersion !

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{A(!; t)}=\frac{1}{2!}_{!}^{!Z} \mathrm{~A}(x ; t) d x \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (64) we can w rite that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{+}(t)=\overline{\sin ^{2}!t} ; \quad W_{n} \quad(t)=\overline{\cos ^{2}!} ; \quad F_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \overline{\sin 2!} \bar{t} ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter a sim ple integration of the averaging (64), for the $m$ atrix elem ent (65) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad f(2!t) \cos 2!t) ; \\
& F_{n}(t)= F_{n}(t)=\frac{1}{2} f(2!t) \sin 2!t_{i} \\
& f(2!t)=\frac{\sin 2!t}{2!t}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Atsm allvalues oftim et $-T=2=!)$, insu cient forself-chaotization ( $f(2!t) 1$ ), we obtain

$$
W_{n}^{+}\left(t^{-}\right)=\sin ^{2}!t ; W_{n}\left(t^{-}\right)=\cos ^{2}!t_{;} F_{n}\left(t^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sin 2!t:
$$

C om paring these values w the initial values (65) of the density $m$ atrix elem ents, we see that the averaging procedure (64), as expected, does not a ect them. Thus, for sm all tim es we have

$$
+\quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\left.{ }_{n}^{+} \quad-\right)=@ \\
\frac{\sin ^{2}!t}{} \quad \frac{i}{2} \sin 2!t_{A}^{2} \sin 2!t \quad \cos ^{2}!t \tag{67}
\end{array}\right.
$$

O ne can easily verify that m atrix (67) satis es the condition ( $t^{-}$) = ( $t^{-}$), which is a necessary and su cient condition for the density $m$ atrix of the pure state.

For tim es even smaller than $t \quad-$, when passages between degenerate states practically fail to occur, by taking the lim it !t 1 in (67), we obtain the follow ing relation for the density $m$ atrix:

$$
+{ }_{n}^{+}(t=0)={ }_{n}^{+}(t \quad)=0 \begin{align*}
& 0  \tag{68}\\
& 01_{\mathrm{A}}^{1} \\
& 0
\end{align*}
$$

This relation corresponds to the initial condition (59) when the system is in the eigenstate 2 n . Let us now investigate the behavior of the system at tim est - when the system gets self-chaotized.

O $n$ relatively large tim e intervals $t \quad-$, in which the self-chaotization of phases takes place, for the $m$ atrix elem ents we should use general expressions (66). The substitution of these expressions for the $m$ atrix elem ents (66) into the density $m$ atrix (63) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2} @^{1} \\
 \tag{69}\\
\\
\text { if }(2 \quad \mathrm{f}(2!t) \sin 2!t \quad 1+\mathrm{f}(2 \quad!t) \cos 2!t
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, for tim est - during which the phases get com pletely chaotized, after passing to the lim it !t 1 in (69), we obtain
where $O$ (") is an in nitesim al value of order $=\frac{1}{2!t}$.
$T$ he state described by the density $m$ atrix (70) is a m ixture oftw o quantum states $\stackrel{+}{2 n}$ and ${ }_{2 n} w$ ith equal weights. The com parison of the corresponding $m$ atrix elem ents of $m$ atrices (70) and (69) shows that they di er in the term s that play the role of quickly changing uctuations. W hen the lim it ist $\quad-$, uctuations decrease as $\frac{1}{2!t}$ (see Fig. 11 and 12).
$T$ hus the system, which at the tim emoment $t=0$ was in the pure state $w$ th the wave function $2 n$ (68), gets self-chaotized with a lapse of timet - and passes to the $m$ ixed state (70) .

In other words, at the initialm om ent the system had a certain de nite "order" expressed in the form of the density matrix ${ }_{n}^{+}$(0) (68). W ith a lapse of tim e the system got selfchaotized and the uctuation term $s$ appeared in the density matrix (69). For large tim es


FIG. 11: Tim e-dependence of the diagonal $m$ atrix elem ent $W_{n}^{+}(t)$ of the density $m$ atrix (63), constructed by means of form ulas (63), (66) for the param eter values ! = $1=1$; $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}(0)=$ 1; $C_{n}(0)=0$ : A s clearly seen from the $F$ igure, the higher the dispersion value of the param eter $!$, the sooner the stationary value $\left.W_{n}^{+}(t) \quad \frac{1}{!}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ is achieved.
$t$ - a new "order" looking like a m acroscopic order is form ed, which is de ned by $m$ atrix (70).

A fter a half-period the system passes to the area of nondegenerate states $G$ (68). In passing through the branch point, there arise nonzero probabilities for passages both to the state $œ_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ and to the state $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$. Both states ${ }_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{+}$and ${ }_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ ill contribute to the probability that the system $w i l l$ pass to either of the states $œ_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$. For the total probability of passage to the states $\propto_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ we obtain respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{2} \underline{1}^{\text {ZR }}{ }_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) œ_{2 n}\left(\prime^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime 2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{}^{Z^{2}}{ }_{2 n}^{(r}\right) \operatorname{se}_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} \quad 2=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 12: The vanishing of nondiagonalm atrix elem ents of the density m atrix (63) with a lapse of tim e t> while the system rem ained in the degenerate area G. The graph is constructed for the param eter values $!=1==1 ; C_{n}^{+}(0)=1 ; C_{n}(0)=0:, w$ th the aid of form ulas (63) and (66).

Thus, in the nondegenerate area the $m$ ixed state is form ed, which is de ned by the density m atrix

$$
{ }_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{ik}}\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2} \quad\right)=\frac{1}{2} @ \begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{72}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

where $i$ and $k$ num ber two levels that correspond to the states $\mathfrak{e}_{2 n}$ and $s e_{2 n}$.
A s follow s from (72), at this evolution stage of the system, the populations of tw o nondegenerate levels get equalized. It should be noted that though the direct passage (37) betw een the nondegenerate levels is not prohibited, perturbation (36) essentially in uences "indirect" passages. U nder "indirect" passages we understand a sequence ofevents consisting a passage G ! G through the branch point, a set of passages betw een degenerate states in the area G , and the reverse passage through the branch point G ! G . The "indirect" passages ocurring during the $m$ odulation half period $T=2$ result in the equalization (saturation) of two nondegenerate levels.

A s to the nondegenerate area, the role ofperturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ in it reduces to the displace$m$ ent of the system from the left branch point to the right one.

It is easy to verify that after states (72) pass to the states of the degenerate area $G_{+}$, we obtain the $m$ ixed state which involves four states $2 n\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $2 n+1\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ :

Let us now calculate the probability of four passages from the mixed state ${ }_{2 n}$ (70) to
the states $2 \mathrm{n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $2 \mathrm{n} 1^{(\prime)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{ik} \\
2 \mathrm{n}
\end{array}!{ }_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{}^{\mathrm{ZZ}}\left(\mathrm{ce}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)\right)_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left(^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d}^{\prime}{ }^{2}=\frac{1}{4} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

A s a result of these passages, in the area $G_{+}$we obtain the $m$ ixed state described by the four-dim ensional density $m$ atrix
where the indiges of the density $m$ atrix (26) show that the respective $m$ atrix elem ents are taken $w$ th respect to the $w$ ave functions $2 n\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left.2 n+1 r^{\prime}\right)$ of degenerate states of the area $G_{+}$•

It is easy to foresee a further evolution course of the system. At each passage through the branch point, the probability that an energy level w ill get populated is equally divided betw een branched states. W e can see the follow ing regularity of the evolution ofpopulations for the next tim e periods.

A fter odd half periods, the population of any $n$th nondegenerate level is de ned as an arithm etic $m$ ean of its population and the population of the nearest upper level, while after even half periods as an arithm etic $m$ ean of its population and the nearest lower level. This population evolution rule can be represented both in the form of Table 2 and in the form of recurrent relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
P[n ; 2 k]=P[n+1 ; 2 k]=\frac{1}{2}(P[n ; 2 k \\
1]+P[n+1 ; 2 k  \tag{75}\\
1]) ; \\
P[n+1 ; 2 k+1]=P[n+2 ; 2 k+1]=\frac{1}{2}(P[n+1 ; 2 k]+P[n+2 ; 2 k]) ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P[n ; k]$ is the population value of the $n$th level after tim e $k \frac{T}{2}$, where $k$ is an integer num ber. T he creeping of populations am ong nondegenerate levels is illustrated in F ig.10.


F IG . 13: R esults of num erical calculations perform ed by m eans of recurrent relations (75).

This Table is a logical extrapolation of the analytical results obtained in this subsection. It show s how the population concentrated initially on one leveln $n_{0}$ gradually spreads to other levels. It is assum ed that the extrem e upper leveln $n_{0}+4$ and the extrem e low er leveln $n_{0} 5$ are forbidden by condition (34) and do not participate in the process. T he results of num erical calculations by $m$ eans of form ulas (75) are given in $F$ ig. 13 and $F$ ig. 14. $F$ ig. 13 show s the distribution of populations of levels after a long timet T when the population creeping occurs am ong levels, the num ber ofw hidh is not restricted by (34). Let us assum e that at the initial tim em om ent $t=0$, only one $n_{0}$ th level is populated $w$ ith probability $P\left(n_{b}\right)=1$. A ccording to the recurrent relations (75), w ith a lapse of each period T "indirect" passages w ill result in the redistribution of populations am ong the neighboring levels so that, after a lapse of tim et $=k \quad \mathrm{~T}$, populations of the extrem e levels w ill decrease according to the law which follow s from (54)

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{0} & k
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{2^{k}}
$$

If the num ber $N$ of levels de ned by condition (34) is nite, then, after a lapse of a long tim e, passages will result in a stationary state in which all N levels are populated with the sam e probability equal to $1=\mathrm{N}$ (see Fig. 14). Let us sum $m$ arize the results we have obtained above using the notions of statistical physics. A fer a lapse of tim T , that can be called the tim e of initialchaotization, the investigated closed system (quantum pendulum + variable eld) can be considered as a statistical system .

Form ation of statistical distribution of populations of levels $P$ ( $n$ ) w ith a lapse of a large evolution timet 1000 T of the system. The result show $n$ in this gure corresponds to the

| $n_{0}+4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\uparrow$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n_{0}+3$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 16$ | $1 / 16$ | $4 / 32$ | $3 / 32$ |  |
| $n_{0}+2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\uparrow$ | $1 / 8$ | $1 / 16$ | $\uparrow$ | $1 / 8$ | $3 / 32$ |

TABLE II: Evolution of populations of non-degenerate levels
case for which the level population creeping is not restricted by condition (34).
At that, the closed system consists of two subsystem s: the classical variable eld (36) that plays the role of "a them ostat" w ith an in nitely high tem perature and the quantum pendulum (7). A weak (indirect) interaction of the subsystem s produces passages betw een non-degenerate levels. A fter a lapse of timet $T$ this interaction ends in a statistical equilibrium between the subsystem s . A sa result, the quantum pendulum subsystem acquires the therm ostat tem perature, which in tum leads to the equalization of level populations. The equalization of populations usually called the saturation of passages can be interpreted as the acquisition of an in nite tem perature by the quantum pendulum subsystem .

W ith a lapse of a large time intervalt 1000T the form ation of stationary distribution of populations am ong levels takes place. By com puter calculations it was found that in the stationary state allN levels satisfying condition (34) were populated w ith equalprobability $1=\mathrm{N}$.


F IG . 14: R esults of num erical calculations perform ed by m eans of recurrent relations (75).

G . A nalogy betw een the classical and the quantum consideration.

T he quantum $m$ echanical investigation of the universal H am ittonian ( m athem aticalpendulum ), which is reduced to the investigation of the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation, show ed that on the plane $(E ; 21)$ there exist three areas $G+; G$ and $G$ (see $F i g .8$ and 9) di ering from each other in their quantum properties. M otion in the area ofdegenerate states $G$ is a quantum analog of rotating $m$ otion of the pendulum, while $m$ otion in the area of degenerate states $G_{+}$is an analog of oscillatory $m$ otion of the pendulum. The area $G$ lying betw een $G$ and $G+$ can be regarded as a quantum analog of the classical separatrix. T he $m$ ain quantum peculiarity of the universal $H$ am iltonian is the appearance ofbranching and m erging points along energy term lines. B ranching and merging points de ne the boundaries betw een the degenerate areas G and the non-degenerate area G. If the system de ned by the universal H am iltonian is perturbed by a slow ly changing periodic eld, then on the plane ( $\mathrm{E} ; 21$ ) the in uence of this eld produces the $m$ otion of the system along the $M$ athieu characteristics. If, $m$ oreover, the system is in degenerate areas for a su ciently long tim $e$, then the phase incursion of wave function phases occurs while the system passes through branching points, which leads to the transition from the pure state to the $m$ ixed one. A s a result of a m ultiple passage through branching points, the populations creep by energy term $s(F i g$. 10). The thus obtained mixed state can be regarded as a quantum analog of the classical stochastic layer. T he num ber of levels a ected by the irreversible creeping process is de ned by the am plitude of the slow ly changing eld.


FIG. 15: A nalogy between the classical and quantum considerations. Unperturbed motion. a) C lassical case. Phase plane. Separatrix; b) Q uantum case. Speci c dependence of the energy spectrum on the param eter ( $M$ athieu characteristics). D egenerate $G$ and non-degenerate $G$ areas of the spectrum .

The classical $m$ athem atical pendulum $m$ ay have two oscillation $m$ odes (rotational and oscillatory), which on the phase plane are separated by the separatrix (see Fig. 15 a).

On the plane ( $(\mathbb{E} ; 2 l$ ) the quantum pendulum has two areas of degenerate states $G$ and $G_{+} \cdot Q$ uantum states from the area $G$ possesses translational sym $m$ etry in the pendulum phase space. These states are analogous to the classical rotationalm ode. $Q$ uantum states from the degenerate area $G_{+}$possess sym $m$ etry $w$ ith respect to the equilibrium state of the pendulum (see axis in Fig. 1) and therefore are analogous to the classical oscillatory state. On the plane $(\mathbb{E} ; 21$ ), the area of non-degenerate states $G$, which lies betw een the areas $G+$ and $G$, contains the line $\mathrm{E}=21$ corresponding to the classical separatrix (see F ig. 15 b). If the classical pendulum is sub jected to harm onically changing force that perturbs a trajectory near to the separatrix, then the perturbed trajectory acquires such a degree of complexity that it can be assum ed to be a random one. Therefore we say that a stochastic m otion layer (so-called stochastic layer) is form ed in the neighborhood of the separatrix


F IG . 16: A nalogy betw een the classical and quantum considerations. Pertunbed m otion: a) C lassical case. Stochastic trajectories in the neighborhood of the separatrix form the stochastic layer (crosshatched area); b) $Q$ uantum case. The mixed state was form ed as a result of population of non-degenerate levels situated on both sides of the classical separatrix.
(see Fig. 16 a). In the case of quantum consideration, the periodic perturbation (36) brings about passages betw een degenerate states. A s a result of repeated passages, before passing to the area G the system gets self-chaotized, passes from the pure state to the $m$ ixed one and further evolves irreversibly. W hile it repeatedly passes through the branch points, the redistribution of populations by the energy spectrum takes place. Only the levels whose branch points satisfy condition (34), participate in the redistribution of populations (see Fig. 16 b).
H. Investigation of quantum chaos of internal rotation $m$ otion in polyatom ic m olecules.

Let us consider two lim iting cases of a low and a high energy barrier. In the lim it of a low barrier $V_{0}!~ 0$, the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation (18) implies the equation for free
rotation

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2 I}{\sim^{2}} \|_{r}=0:
$$

From which for the energy spectrum we obtain $"_{r}=\left(\sim^{2}=2 I\right) r^{2}$, where $r=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ : are integer num bers. $U$ sing the above-given num erical estim ates for the $m$ olecule of ethane $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, we obtain $"_{r} \quad 0: 21 \quad 1 \theta^{1} r^{2} \mathrm{~J}$, which corresponds to the cyclic frequency of rotation

2:0 $\mathrm{i}^{0} r^{2}$ rad=s. C omparing the expression for energy $w$ th the value of the ethane m olecule barrier we see that only levels w ith a su ciently large quantum num ber ( $r>10$ ) are located high above the barrier and it is only for such levels that the considered lim it is valid.

In another lim iting case of a high barrier $V_{0}$ the rotator is $m$ ost of the tim $e$ inside one of the potential wells where it perform s torsionalm otions. In that case can be treated as a sm all angle. A fter expanding the potential energy in the Schrodinger equation (9) into sm allangles cos2 $12^{2}$, we obtain a quantum equation for the oscillator, whose energy
 energy spectrum ofsm all torsionaloscillations we obtain $"_{r} \quad(r+1=2) \quad 0: 63 \quad$ 2po . If we com pare the obtained expression for the spectrun $w$ th the corresponding num erical value of the barrier, then we can see that only the rst tw o levels "。 $0: 3210^{0} \mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{"}_{1} \quad 0: 95 \quad 10^{0} \mathrm{~J}$ and " 2 1:58 $\quad 1 \theta^{0} \mathrm{~J}$ are located in the w ell but not at a su ciently large depth that w ould allow us to assum e that passages betw een them correspond to sm all oscillations. T hus we can conclude that for intemal rotation of the m olecule of ethane $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ the approxim ation of sm all oscillations is not carried out su ciently well, while the approxim ation of free rotation is carried out for large quantum num bers.

A real quantitative picture of the intemal rotation spectnum can be obtained by m eans of the $M$ athieu-characteristics when the points of intersection of the line $1=l_{0}$ w the the M athieu-characteristics is pro jected on to the energy axis (see Fig.17).

These conclusions are in good agreem ent w ith experim ental data. In particular, in the experim ent we observed the infrared absonption by molecules of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ at a frequency 8:7 1̈H z [24]. For an energy di erence betw een the levels participating in the absonption process we have the estim ate " exper $0: 54 \quad 1 \theta^{0} \mathrm{~J}$. C om paring the experim ental result w ith the energy di erence betw een tw o neighboring levels in the case of approxim ation of sm all oscillations, we obtain

$$
"_{0}=2 \quad o^{\sim} ;
$$



FIG.17: The graphic m ethod of nding energy term sof intemal rotation. For the ethane m olecule $l_{0}=\frac{2 I}{9 \sim^{2}} V_{0}$.
where $\circ=\frac{3}{2}^{q} \frac{V_{0}}{2 I}$ is the frequency of sm all oscillations.
Inserting the param eters values for $m$ olecules of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, we obtain the estim ate " ${ }_{\circ}=$ $0: 63 \quad 10^{20} \mathrm{~J}$.

A fter com paring the obtained estim ates w th the energy di erence between the states described by the wave functions $œ_{3}\left({ }^{\prime} ; l_{0}\right) ; \mathrm{Se}_{3}\left({ }^{\prime} ; l_{0}\right)$ and applying form ula (20), we obtain


C om parative analysis of the obtained estim ates gives us the grounds to conclude that the energy levels corresponding to the states $\propto_{3}\left({ }^{\prime} ; l_{0}\right) ; \mathrm{se}_{3}\left({ }^{\prime} ; l_{0}\right)$ participate in the infrared absonption revealed in the experim ent.

A s we see from Fig. 17 energy levels involved in the process are distributed in a random $m$ anner. In som e sense, this resem bles RM T. H ow ever as num ber of levels is sm all, and energy spectrum is well de ned.

Let us assum e that the considered quantum system is sub jected to a radio frequency ( RF ) m onochrom atic pum ping whose frequency satis es the condition $V{ }_{\circ}=\sim$. This causes a slow m odulation of quick electron $m$ otions in a molecule. The form ation of an energy barrier $V_{\circ}$ is a result of the averaging over quidk electron $m$ otions and thus it is obvious that due to the pum ping e ect the barrier value is tim e-dependent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}!V_{0}+V \cos t: \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The depth of m odulation $V$ depends on a pum ping power. By replacing (76) we obtain the tim e-dependent H am iltonian

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=\hat{\mathrm{H}_{\circ}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{H}}^{0}(\prime ; \mathrm{t}) ; \\
\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\circ}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime 2}}+l_{0} \cos 2^{\prime} ;  \tag{77}\\
\hat{\mathrm{H}}^{0}(r ; t)=l \cos 2^{\prime} \cos \mathrm{t}: \\
l=\frac{2 I}{\mathrm{n}^{2} \sim^{2}} \mathrm{~V}: \tag{78}
\end{gather*}
$$

Sim ple calculations show that the $m$ atrix elem ents of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(r ; t) w$ th respect to the wave functions of the nondegenerate area G are equal to zero

$$
h e_{n}-\hat{H}^{0}(\prime ; t) j \operatorname{se}_{n} i \quad l \quad \operatorname{ce}_{n}\left(^{\prime}\right) \cos ^{\prime} \operatorname{sen}_{n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime}=0 ;
$$

where $n$ is any integer num ber. T herefore perturbation (78) cannot bring about passages betw een nondegenerate levels.

The interaction $\hat{H}^{0}\left({ }^{\prime} ; t\right)$, not producing passages between levels, should be inserted in the unperturbed part of the $H$ am iltonian. T he H am iltonian obtained in this m anner can be considered as slow ly depending on tim e.

Thus, in the nondegenerate area the H am iltonian can be w rilten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{@^{2}}{@^{\prime 2}}+l(\mathrm{t}) \cos 2^{\prime} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the m odulation of the param eter $l(t)$ the system passes from one area to another, getting over the branch points.

As di erent from the nondegenerate state area $G$, in the areas of degenerate states $G$ and $G_{+}$, the nondiagonalm atrix elem ents ofperturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (78) are not equal to zero.

For exam ple, if we take the $m$ atrix elem ents $w$ ith respect to the $w a v e$ functions $2 n+1$, then for the left degenerate area G it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{H}_{+}^{0}=\mathrm{H}^{0}{ }_{+}=\mathrm{h}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1}^{+} \hat{F}^{0}(\boldsymbol{r} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j}_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{i} \quad 1 \quad{ }_{2 \mathrm{n}+1} \quad 2 \mathrm{n}+1 \cos ^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{\prime} \in 0: \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the value $H_{+}^{0}$ has order equal to the pum ping m odulation (78) depth $l$ :
A nalogously to (81), we can w rite an expression for even $2 n$ states as well.
An explicit dependence of $\hat{H}^{0}(r ; t)$ on tim e given by the factor cos $t$ is assum ed to be slow as com pared w ith the period of passages betw een degenerate states that are produced by the nondiagonalm atrix elem ents $\mathrm{H}_{+}^{0}$. T herefore below the perturbation ${\hat{H^{+}}}_{+}^{0} \quad(\mathbf{\prime}$; t) w ill be assum ed to be the tim e-independent perturbation that can bring about passages betw een degenerate states.

In a degenerate area the system $m$ ay be in the tim e-dependent superpositional state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}(\mathrm{t}) \quad{ }_{2 \mathrm{n}}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \text { ( } \mathrm{t}\right)_{2 \mathrm{n}}: \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability amplitudes $C_{n}(t)$ are de ned by means of the fundam ental quantum $m$ echanical equation, expressing the casuality principle. We write such equations for a pair of doubly degenerate states:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d C_{n}^{+}}{d t}=\left(E_{o n}+H_{++}^{0}\right) C_{n}^{+}+H_{+}^{0} C_{n} \\
& i \frac{d C_{n}}{d t}=H_{+}^{0} C_{n}^{+}+\left(E_{o n}+H^{0}\right) C_{n}: \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix elem ents are taken $w$ ith respect to degenerate $w$ ave functions (see (81)) and $E_{\text {on }}$ is the energy of the $n$th degenerate level near a branch point.

Let us assum e that at the initialm om ent of tim e the system $w$ as in the degenerate state 2n. Then as initial conditions we should take

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=1 ; \quad C_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}(0)=0: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ aving substituted (82) into (81), for the am plitudes we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{n}^{+}(t)=i \exp (\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{i}} E t) \sin !t_{i} \\
& C_{n}(t)=\exp (\underset{\sim}{\sim} E t) \cos !t_{i} \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{on}}+\mathrm{H}^{0} \text {; }
$$

where ! $=\underline{2}=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{+}^{0}}{\sim}$ is the frequency ofpassages betw een degenerate states, is the passage time.

N ote that the param eter ! has (like any other param eter) a certain sm all error ! , which during the tim e of one passage $t \quad 2=$ !, leads to an insigni cant correction in the phase $2(!=!)$. H ow ever, if during the timet $T$, when the system is the degenerate area ( $T<T ; T=2=$ ) there occurs a great num ber of passages ( $T$ ), then for

T ! 2 , a sm allerror ! leads to the phase uncertainty. Then we say that the phase is self-chaotized. The self-chaotization form ed in this $m$ anner can be regarded as the em bryo of a quantum chaos which, as we will see in the sequel, further spreads to other states.

A fter a half-period, the system passes to the area of nondegenerate states $G$. In passing through the branch point, there arise nonzero probabilities for passages both to the state $\propto_{2 n}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and to the state $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$. T hus, in the nondegenerate area the $m$ ixed state is form ed, which is de ned by the density $m$ atrix

$$
{ }_{2 \mathrm{in}}\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{2} \quad \begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{86}\\
\\
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i$ and $k$ num ber two levels that correspond to the states $œ_{2 n}\left({ }^{r}\right)$ and $\mathrm{se}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$.
As follow s from (86), at this evolution stage of the system, the populations of two nondegenerate levels get equalized. It should be noted that though the direct passage (79) between the nondegenerate levels is prohibited, perturbation (78) essentially in uences "indirect" passages. U nder "indirect" passages we understand a sequence of events consisting a passage G ! G through the branch point, a set of passages betw een degenerate states in the area $G$, and the reverse passage through the branch point $G$ ! $G$. The "indirect" passages occurring during the $m$ odulation half period $T=2$ result in the equalization (saturation) of two nondegenerate levels.

Thus "indirect" passages are directly connected with a quantum chaos. Hence, by xing "indirect" passages we thereby x the presence of a quantum chaos.

Let us assum e that the investigated $m$ olecule is a com ponent of a substance in a gaseous or liquid state. Then the $m$ olecular them alm otion, which tries to establish an equilibrium distribution of populations according to Boltzm an's law, will.be a "com peting" process for


FIG. 18: A therm odynam ic schem e of the process. Subsystem 1 is a usual therm ostat w ith tem perature $T_{0}$, subsystem 2 is a therm ostat having an in nite tem perature and consisting of the interaction $\hat{H}^{0}(r ; t)(78)$; the subsystem 3 is the quantum system corresponding to intemal rotations ofm olecules and being able to receive energy from subsystem 2 and to transfer it to subsystem 1.
the quantum chaos described above. U sing them odynam ic term inology, we can say that the considered quantum system is located betw een two them ostats. O ne of them with medium tem perature $T_{0}$ tries to retain them alequilibrium in the system, while the other, having an in nite tem perature, tries to equalize the populations.

A $n$ equation describing the change ofpopulations according to the schem e show $n$ in $F$ ig. 18 has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d n_{i}}{d t}=2 W n_{i} \frac{n_{i} n_{i}^{(0)}}{\mathrm{T}_{1}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 W is a probability of "indirect" passages and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is the tim e of therm al chaotization. B loem bergen, P arall and P ound used equation (87) to describe the process of saturation of nuclear $m$ agnetic resonance in solid bodies 25]. For a stationary distribution
of populations from (87) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(0)} \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{s}} ; \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=2 W \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ is called the saturation param eter. For $\mathrm{s} \quad 1$ "indirect" passages have a stronger e ect on the system than therm al processes.

D i erence from the $m$ agnetic resonance consists in the expression of the transition probability $W$. W hereas, in the case ofm agnetic resonance, transition probability $2 \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}^{2}=$ is proportional to the pum ping intensity $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and inversely proportional to the line width , in the case of non-direct transitions, transition probability $2 \mathrm{~W} \quad 1=\mathrm{T}$ does not depend on the oscillation amplitude $V$ and is inversely proportional to the oscillation period $T$ (i.e. to the tim e of m otion between branch points). But, it is worth to keep in $m$ ind, that the am plitude of oscillation $m$ ust be large enough for the system to achieve the branch points.

Thus, along with the conditions that the perturbation is adiabatic $\quad \mathrm{V}_{0}=\sim$, phase incursion $T$ and self-chaotization during the process ofm ultiple transitions betw een the degenerated states takes place T ! 2 ; ! ! ; T , . the condition s 1 is a necessary condition for the form ation of a quantum chaos. In the opposite lim iting case s $\quad 1 ; n_{i} \quad n_{i}^{(0)}$, the quantum chaos will be com pletely suppressed by therm alm otion. Let us proceed to discussing the quantum chaos possible experim ental observation. The $m$ ost suitable $m$ aterial for this purpose in our opinion is ethane $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. But, by use of easy estim ations one can prove, that for the ethane in the gaseous state, conditions of the quantum chaos observation hardly can be achieved. Really the requirem ent that m odulation $m$ ust be slow $\quad \mathrm{V}_{0}=\sim \quad 10^{4} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{1}$, leads to the necessity to take the $m$ odulation frequency from the transition radio frequency range $0: 3 \quad$ 1ु $\mathrm{sec}^{1}$ :

In the case of gases the them al chaotization time is estim ated by the formula $\mathrm{T}_{1} \quad \underline{d}$ , where $d$ is the m olecule size, $\quad P^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{F}^{2}=\mathrm{P} \overline{3=2}\left(2 \mathrm{kT}_{0}=\mathrm{m}\right)^{1=2}$ is a m ean m otion velocity of m olecules. A fter substituting the num erical values for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, we obtain $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ $0: 3 \quad 10^{21} \mathrm{P} \overline{1=T_{0}} ; \mathrm{T}_{0} \quad 200 \mathrm{~K}>184^{0} \mathrm{~K}$, (where $184^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is the boiling point of the ethane at norm al pressure). Then for the tim e of them al chaotization and for the saturation param eter we get respectively: $T_{1} \quad 0: 3 \quad 10^{2} \mathrm{sec} ; \mathrm{S} \quad\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}\right) \quad 1$. Hence, therm al chaotization and quantum chaos are equally $m$ anifested in the system .

In the case of a liquid under $T_{1}$ we should understand the $m$ ean tim e of the settled life of a m olecule, which is about $10{ }^{8}$ sec. Relatively large tim es of relaxation in liquids ensure the
ful 1 lm ent of the saturation condition (s $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T} \quad 10^{4}$ ). In such a way, for ethane $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ in the liquid state, quantum chaos caused by the non-direct transitions has stronger in uence upon the system then com $m$ on therm al chaotization. At the sam e tim $e$, the state $w$ th the equally populated levels w ill.be form ed in the system .

Suppose, the system (ethane in the liquid state) is sub jected to the action of a infrared radiation eld and infrared absorption at the frequency $289 \mathrm{sec}^{1}$ is observed [24]. This absonption corresponds to the transitions between the states $\mathrm{se}_{3} \$ \varliminf_{3}$. Furtherm ore, let us suppose that along w ith the infrared, the radio frequency pum ping which can cause the non-direct transitions is applied. This in its tum leads to the discontinuance of the infrared absorption. This phenom enon can be considered as an observation of quantum chaos.

N ow we consider the exam ple of the reaction of isom erization Lic H CHLi, that was discussed in subsection E. A sw asm entioned above them ost sim pli ed m odel, corresponding to this process, can be presented with the aid of $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation (77). It is know $n$, that energy barrier of transition of the process of vibration of the atom Li in the process of isom erization $V^{\prime} 7 \quad 10^{20} \mathrm{~J}$. Them om ent of inertia is $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Li}}=1: 1 \quad 10^{46} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{og}^{2}$ That is why, in the case $\frac{\mathrm{V}_{0}}{\sim!!_{0}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~V}_{0} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Li}}} \quad 19$ num ber of vibration energy levels are padked in the potential well. N ow it is not hard to determ ine energy spectrum w ith the aid of M athieu characteristics.

For this process the presence of chaotically distributed levels in infrared region was established w th the aid of num erical experim ents.

N ow let us dealw the problem of nonlinear quantum resonance from which we have begun review . To begin w ith let us estim ate resonance value of $\mathrm{I}_{0}$, close to which variation of values of I m ay take place. By de nition resonance value of action $I_{0}$ is determ ined from equation ! $!_{0}+!_{n}\left(I_{0}\right)=0$. By taking typical valhes of param eters, know from optics:
 $0: 5 \quad 10^{29} \mathrm{~J} \quad$ sec. This value of action $I=\sim^{\prime} \quad 0: 5 \quad{ }^{5} \mathrm{Hem}$ es as much as P lank constant. So, resonance takes place on very high levels (in quasi-classical region) of atom s potential well. As we have done in previous cases, it is possible to nd variation spectrum of action I $w$ th the aid of $M$ athieu characteristics. For this, we m ust know the value of dim ensionless param eter $I_{0}=2 \mathrm{~V}\left(I_{0}\right)=!\sim^{0}$. It is easy to nd the value ! ${ }^{0 \prime} 2$ 销 $J^{1} \sec ^{2}$ and for strong light elds $f_{0}{ }^{\prime} 10^{8} \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m}$. A s a result we obtain $l_{0}{ }^{\prime} 10^{40}$. This $m$ eans in its tum, that there are a large number of levels in potential well. Because of the large value of $l_{0}$, it is


F IG. 19: E nergy spectrum of the process of isom erization LiC H
CHLi, obtained as spectrum of eigenvalues of $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation, when $I_{0}=\frac{I_{L i}}{2 \sim^{2}} V_{0}$, 350 : Speed of energy levels close to $\mathrm{E}=21_{0} \quad 700$ can easily be observed in gure.
not possible to determ ine spectrum by m ethod, used previously, w ith the aid of M athieu characteristics. H ow ever, by extrapolating it is possible to expect, that at approaching the top of well, alongw ith the com $m$ on attraction of levels, their chaotization $w$ ill take place. In our opinion, chaotically distributed levels of great density are the basis for random m atrix assum ption .
II. THEPECULIARITIESOFENERGYSPECTRUM OFQUANTUMCHAOTIC SYSTEMS.RANDOM MATRIX THEORY.

In the rst part of this work we have considered the possibility of form ation of $m$ ixed state in quantum chaotic system. T he peculiarities of spectral characteristics of the universal $H$ am iltonian (7) of concrete physical system corresponding to the $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation (9) was a cause of this.

In the present part we shall try to get the sam e results proceeding from $m$ ore general
consideration. In particular, we shall use $m$ ethods of random $m$ atrixes theory [7, 8], [26].
Study of quantum reversibility and m otion stability is of great interest [27]. This interest is due to not only the fundam entalproblem of irreversibility in quantum dynam ics, but also to practical application. In particular, it reveals itself in relation to the eld of quantum com puting [28].

A quantity of central im portance which has been on the focus of $m$ any studies $\mathbb{2 9}, 30$, 31, 32] is the so-called delly $f(t)$, which $m$ easures the accuracy to which a quantum state can be recovered by inverting, at tim e t, the dynam ics $w$ ith a perturbed $H$ am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=j<\quad \dot{j} e^{i \hat{H} t} e^{i H^{\hat{N}} t} j>\jmath^{\jmath} ; \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the initial state which evolves in tim e $t$ w ith the H am ittonian $\hat{\mathrm{H}_{0}}$ while $\hat{H}=$ $\hat{H_{0}}+\mathrm{V}$ is pertunbed H am ittonian. T he analysis of this quantity has show n that under som e restrictions, the series taken from $f(t)$ is exponentialw ith a rate given by the classical Lyapunov exponent [26]. But here a question appears. The point is that the origin of the dynam ic stochasticity, which is a reason of irreversibility in classical case, is directly related to the nonlinearity of equations of $m$ otion, For classical chaotic system this nonlinearity leads to the repulsion of phase trajectories at a su ciently quidk rate [1, 2].

In case of quantum consideration, the dynam ics of a system is described by a wave function that obeys a linear equation and the notion of a trajectory is not used at all. Hence, at rst sight it seem sproblem atic to nd out the quantum properties of system $s$, whose classical consideration reveals their dynam ic stochasticity.

In this part of the paper, by using of $m$ ethod of random $m$ atrix theory ( $\mathrm{R} M \mathrm{~T}$ ), we try to show that quantum chaotic dynam ics is characterized by the transition from a pure quantum m echanical state into the $m$ ixed one.

W ith this punpose we shall consider a case when the system 's H am iltonian may be presented in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(t)=\hat{H_{0}}+V(t) ; \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}_{0}$ is chaotic H am ittonian w ith irregular spectnum, $\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{T}_{0}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t})$ periodic in tim e perturbation. W e shall try to show that in this case irreversibility in the system appears as a result of loss of inform ation about the phase factor of the wave function. At the sam e tim e, unlike of the second part of the paper w here we have proved this fact proceeding from the spectral peculiarities of the concrete system, now we shall prove this in m ore general
$m$ anner. For th is reason we shallm ake use of the fact that according to $R M T$ the eigenvalues of chaotic H am iltonian can be considered as a set of random num ber [33, 34].

A fter taking (90) into account, the solution of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@ j(t)>}{@ t}=\hat{H}(t) j(t)> \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be w ritten form ally w ith the help of a tim e-dependent exponential [7]

$$
U(t)=\exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
Z_{0}^{t} \\
\left.i_{0}^{0} \hat{H}\left(t^{0}\right)\right] ; \tag{92}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the positive tim e ordering requires:

$$
\text { A (t)B(t } \left.\left.t^{0}\right)\right]_{t}=\begin{array}{ll}
<A(t) B\left(t^{0}\right) & \text { if } t>t^{0}  \tag{93}\\
: B\left(t^{0}\right) A(t) & \text { if } t<t^{0}
\end{array}
$$

In our case $H\left(t+T_{0} k\right)=H(t) ; k=1 ; 2 ;::$ the evolution operator referring to one period $T_{0}$, the so-called $F$ louqet operator $U\left(T_{0}\right)=F[]$, is w orthy of consideration, since it yields the stroboscopic view of the dynam ics

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(k T_{0}\right)>=(\hat{F})^{\mathrm{n}} j(0)>: \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he F louqet operator being unitary has unim odular eigenvalues. Suppose we can nd eigenvectors $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}>$ of the F louqet operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{F_{j}} \dot{n}_{n}>=e^{i^{\prime} n} \dot{\jmath}_{n}>; \\
& \left\langle{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}}>=\mathrm{nm}:\right. \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, w ith the eigenvalue problem solved, the stroboscopic dynam ics may be written explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
j\left(k T_{0}\right)>=\underbrace{X}_{n} e^{i k_{n}^{\prime}}<\prime_{n j}(0) j_{n}>: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it was mentioned above, our aim is to prove that one of the signs of the em ergence of quantum chaos is a form ation of the $m$ ixed state. Being initially in a pure quantum $m$ echanical state, described by the wave function $j>$, the system during the evolution $m$ akes an irreversible transition to the $m$ ixed state.

The inform ation about whether the system is in the $m$ ixed state or in the pure one, $m$ ay be obtained from the form of the density $m$ atrix [35]. U sing (96) as a density $m$ atrix of the system we get the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{nm}\left(t=k T_{0}\right)=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\right.} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n m}=<'_{m} j(0)><(0) \jmath_{n}>: \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exponential phase factors of the non-diagonalm atrix elem ents $n m$ ( $t$ ) express the principle of quantum coherence [36], and correspond to the com plete quantum $m$ echanical description of the system in pure quantum $m$ echanical state. W hile they are not equal to zero, the system is in the pure state. So, to prove the form ation of the $m$ ixed state one has to show zeroing of non-diagonal elem ents of density $m$ atrix.

A coording to the $m$ ain hypothesis of the random $m$ atrix theory [7], the phase $f(n ; m)=$ ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{m} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ in the exponential factors of the non-diagonalm atrix elem ents in (97) is a random quantity. So, it is clear that the values of $m$ atrix elem ents of density $m$ atrix of the chaotic quantum $m$ echanical system $n m(k)$ are random values too. Taking a statistical average of expression (97), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<n_{n m}(k)>=<A_{n m} e^{i k\left(\prime_{m}\right.}{ }^{\prime n)}>: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then in case of random phase $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}$; m ) has a nom al dispersion, we get [37]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n m}(k) \quad e^{\frac{2_{k^{2}}}{2}}: \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

This phenom enon is connected w ith the "phase incursion". U ncertainty of phase in (97) is accum ulated little by little w ith tim e. Finally, when $k>^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=$; the uncertainty of phase is of order 2 , and the phase is com pletely chaotized. A s a result the system passes into m ixed state.

A ccording to the theory presented above, calculations were m ade for concrete physical system s. In particular, in work [37] chaotic system of connected oscillators was studied, and in work [38] K epler's asym $m$ etry problem. The num erical results obtained in these works prove the form ula (100) to be correct.

A fter form ation of the $m$ ixed state the quantum $-m$ echanical consideration loses its $m$ eaning and there is a need to use a kinetic description.

For derivation ofm aster equation let us split the density $m$ atrix operator ^ on a slow $\hat{\mathrm{R}}$ and a fast varying $\hat{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{R}$ operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge=\hat{R}+\hat{N}_{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relevant part $\hat{R}$ in the basis $j_{n} i$ contains only diagonal elem ents, whereas nonrelevant part $\hat{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{R}}$ contains only nondiagonal elem ents. These elem ents, as it was shown in previous
section, contain fast oscillating exponents and when taking average over the ensemble, the zeroing of them takes place. Elim ination of the diagonal part from the density $m$ atrix is linear operation, which satis es the property of projection operator $\hat{D}^{2}=\hat{D^{\prime}}$ [37]

$$
\hat{R}=\hat{D} \wedge ; \quad \hat{N} R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \hat{D} \tag{102}
\end{array}\right)^{\wedge}:
$$

Let us note that this re ection is nonreversible. D ue to the zeroing of nondiagonal part of the density $m$ atrix a part of inform ation is lost.

Inasm uch as relevant statistical operator $\hat{R}^{(t)}$ is di erent from the total operator ${ }^{\wedge}(\mathrm{t})$, generally speaking it does not suit Liouville $F$ on $N$ eum ann equation [35]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{\wedge}}{@ t}+i \hat{L}^{\wedge}=0 ; \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{L}$ is Liouville operator. A fter acting on the equation (103) w ith $\hat{D}$ operator, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\varrho \hat{\mathrm{N}}^{d}}{\varrho t}+i \hat{D} \hat{L}\left(\hat{R}_{R}+\hat{N R}\right)=0 ;  \tag{104}\\
\frac{@ \hat{N}_{R}}{@ t}+i(1 \quad \hat{D}) \hat{L}\left(\hat{R}_{R}+\hat{N R}\right)=0: \tag{105}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the purpose to obtain closed equation for $\hat{R}$ we exclude from the equation (104) $\hat{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$. A s a result we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ \hat{A}_{R}(t)}{@ t}+i \hat{D} \hat{L} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{R}(t)+{ }_{t_{0}}^{Z} K(t \quad t) \hat{A}_{R}\left(t_{1}\right) d t_{1}=\hat{D} \hat{L} \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { it } & d)(1 \quad \hat{D}) \hat{L}] \hat{N}_{R}\left(t_{0}\right) ; ~
\end{array}\right.  \tag{106}\\
& K\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & t
\end{array}\right)=\hat{D} \hat{L} \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
\text { i (t } & \text { t) }(1 \quad \hat{D}) \hat{L}](1 \quad \hat{D}) \hat{L}:
\end{array}\right. \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is valid for $t={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=>{ }_{c}$. Evidently $\hat{R}(\mathrm{t})$ is expressed by way of values of $\hat{R}_{R}\left(t_{1}\right)$ taken for the tim e interval $t_{0}<t_{1} \quad t_{1}$ and additionally through the value of $\hat{N}_{R}\left(t_{0}\right)$. If in the initial $m$ om ent of $\operatorname{tim} e t=t_{0}$ the system is in a pure quantum $m$ echanical state, then $\hat{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{R}(0) \notin$.

In this case solving the equation (107) is problem atical. H ow ever let us recollect that for $t_{0}>{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=$ system is already in a m ixed state. Therefore equation (107) takes more sim ple form ( $\left.\hat{N}_{\mathrm{R}}(0)=0\right)$

For solving equation (108) we shall use a $m$ ethod of superoperators [39]. But before perform ing this, we should note, that we have obtained a closed equation for relevant part of
statistical operator. $W$ e were able to com e to this only because when $t>t_{0}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=$ the system is in the $m$ ixed state and all nondiagonalm atrix elem ents of the density $m$ atrix are equal to zero.

Further when studying the evolution of the system we shall consider as the origin of tim e the $m$ om ent of the form ation of the $m$ ixed state in the system. This corresponds to a form al transition to $\lim$ it $t_{0}!1$. Further for simpli cation of (108) we shall use A bel theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T!1} \frac{1}{T}_{T}^{Z} f(t) d t=f(0) \quad \lim _{n!+0}^{Z} e_{1} e^{n\left(t^{0}\right)} \frac{d}{d t^{0}} f^{0}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}: \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking (109) into account, equation (108) will have the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \hat{R}(t)}{@ t}+i \hat{D} \hat{L} \hat{R}_{R}(t)=\lim _{n!+1}^{Z} e_{1} e^{\left(t^{0} t\right)} K(t \quad e) \hat{R}\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}: \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the $m$ ethod of superoperators [40], the correspondence of one operator to another $m$ ay be considered as representation. The operator in this case $w$ ill be represented by a $m$ atrix elem ent $w$ th two indiges, while the linear product of operators is a $m$ atrix $w$ ith four indices, i.e. a superoperator [40]. A concrete exam ple is the projection of $\hat{D}$ operator on diagonal elem ents. W e should notioe, that in our case, the pro jection operator is som e de nite physicalprocedure of averaging $m$ atrix elem ents of the density $m$ atrix over $G$ aussian chaotic ensem ble.

From the relation $\hat{D_{n}}{ }_{n m}(t)={ }_{n m}(t){ }_{m n}$ we come to the follow ing representation of $\hat{D}$ superoperator $\hat{D_{n m n} 0_{m}} 0=n n^{0} \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{0} \mathrm{nn}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking (111) into account, (110) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d n n(t)}{d t}+i \hat{D}\left(\hat{L} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)_{\mathrm{nn}}=Z_{1}^{Z_{0}} d t^{0} \mathbb{K}(e) \hat{R}_{R}\left(t+t^{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{nn}} e^{m t^{0}}: \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

In expression (112) and further for short we shall om 止 R index for diagonalm atrix elem ents of the operator ${ }^{\wedge}$. C onsidering the relation
and representing $L$ iouville operator as $L=L_{o}+L^{0}$ in com pliance with (113) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{n n}(t)}{d t}=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d t_{1} e^{"\left(t t_{1}\right)} X_{n}^{X} K_{n n m m}(t \quad t)_{m n}\left(t_{1}\right) ; \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.K_{n n m m}(t)=\mathbb{L}^{0} e^{i t(1} \quad D\right) L \quad(1 \quad D) L^{0}\right]_{n m m}: \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (115), (114) and from representation of Liouville operator in the form $L=L_{o}+L^{0}$, one can see, that kemel $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nnm}} \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{t})$ is at least of second order by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}} . \mathrm{Next}$ it is easy to check correctness of the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{abm} m}^{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{am} \text { bm }}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{mbam}}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ab}}=0 ; \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

for Liouville superoperator

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{mnm}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n} 0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mm}} 0 \mathrm{nn}^{0} & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{nn}} 0 \mathrm{~mm}  \tag{117}\\
0
\end{array}\right):
$$

The relation (116) in its tum leads to the rule of sum $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{mmnp}}=0$. Taking the symmetries $L_{\text {abcd }}=L_{\text {cdab }} ; D_{\text {abcd }}=D_{\text {cdab }}$ into account, from (76) we get [37]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d n_{n n}(t)}{d t}=\sum_{1}^{Z_{t}} d t_{1} e^{"\left(t t_{1}\right)}{ }_{m \neq n}^{X} \underset{n n m m}{ }(t \quad t)_{m m}\left(t_{1}\right) \quad K_{m m n n}(t \quad t)_{n n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]: \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext we shallm ake the follow ing approxim ations. W ith accuracy up to the value of $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\circ}\right)^{2}$ order in the exponent in expression (115), we shall replace the com plete Liouville operator $\hat{L}=\hat{L}_{0}+\hat{L}^{0} w$ th $\hat{L}_{0}$. W th the sam e precision we may set ${ }_{n n}(t \quad l)={ }_{n n}(t)$. A s a result from (118) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{n n}(t)}{d t}=X_{m \notin n}\left[W_{n m m m}(t) \quad W_{m n n n}(t)\right] ; \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\quad \mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dt}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{nt}} \hat{\mathbb{L}}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{it}\left(1 \hat{D^{0}}\right) \hat{L}_{0}}\left(1 \quad \hat{D^{\prime}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{L}}^{0} h_{\mathrm{hnm}}:
$$

Since

$$
\left(\hat{D} \hat{L}_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{abod}}=\mathrm{ab}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{a}  \tag{121}\\
\text { ac bd } \\
\mathrm{b} \text { bd ac }]=0 ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

in expression (120) the operator $\hat{D}$ in the argum ent of exponential function $m$ ay be om itted. Taking into account the time dependence of the operator $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=$ $x_{\circ} x \circ f(t) ; f(t) \underset{=1}{P} e^{i}{ }^{t} ;=2=T \circ$; for nondiagonalm atrix elem ents we get [B7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{n n}(t)}{d t}=X_{m \in n}^{X}\left[W_{n m} \quad m m(t) \quad W_{m n n n}(t)\right] ; \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{nm}} \tilde{\jmath}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nm}} \quad\right) ; \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the transition am plitude between the eigenstates of the H am iltonian $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\circ} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nm}}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ ${ }_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ is the m atrix elem ent of the operator $\hat{V}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{x} \circ \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2}$ in the basic of eigenfiunctions of the $H$ am iltonian $\hat{H}_{\circ} ; V_{n m}=h_{n} j \hat{J}_{\circ} j_{m} i$.

Equation (122) describes a nonreversible evolution of the system from nonstationary state to the stationary state de ned by the principle of detailequilibrium. To prove irreversibility of the process let us consider tim e dependence of nonequilibrium entropy [39]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t)=K_{B_{B}}^{X}{ }_{n n}(t) \ln \left({ }_{\mathrm{nn}}(t)\right) ; \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{B}$ in the Boltzm ann constant. Taking into account $P_{n}{ }_{n n}(t)=1$, from (124) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{B} X_{n} \frac{@_{n n}(t)}{@ t}=\frac{1}{2} K_{B} X_{n} \quad X_{m} W_{n m}\left[{ }_{n n}(t) \quad m m(t)\right]\left[n\left({ }_{n n}(t)\right) \quad \ln (m m(t))\right]: \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$ get

D ue to the property of logarithm ic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{nn}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{t}))(\ln (\mathrm{nn}(\mathrm{t})) \quad \ln (\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{t}))) \quad 0 ; \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d S}{d t} \quad 0 \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

This testi es the grow th of entropy during the evolution process.
$M$ ore exact estim ation of entropy grow th $m$ ay be obtained from the principle of detail equilibrium $W_{n m} m m=W_{m n n}$. Taking into account that in our case $W_{n m}=W_{m n}$, for the entropy grow th we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S\left(t \quad{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=\right) \quad S(t=0)=K_{B} \ln N ; \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $N$ is the num ber of levels included into the process.
T hus, we can conclude, that the com plex structure ofenergy spectrum ofquantum chaotic system leads to the fact that after averaging over sm all spread in values of param eters there occurs form ation of a $m$ ixed sate in the system .
III. CONCLUSION

The traditional notion of an area, where the law s of statistical physics are e ective, consists of the assum ption that the number of interacting particles is su ciently large. H ow ever, a lot of exam ples of system $s$ w th a sm all num ber of degrees of freedom, where chaotic m otions occur, had becom e known by the end of the last century. A new stage in the developm ent of notions about chaos and its origin appeared in the last two decades of the last century. It tumed out that the classical H am iltonian system m ay experience a special kind of instability. Because of this instability various dynam ic characteristics of the system random ly change with time. Such a property of the system that perform $s$ random $m$ otion is called dynam ic stochasticity. It is well known that the appearance of non-reversibility in classical chaotic system $s$ is connected w ith the local instability of phase trajectories relatively to a sm all change of initial conditions and param eters of the system. C lassical chaotic system s reveal an exponential sensitivity to these changes. This leads to an exponential grow th of the initial error w th tim e, and as the result after the statistical averaging over this error, the dynam ics of the system becom es non-reversible. In spite of this, the question about the origin ofnon-reversibility in quantum case rem ains actual. The point is that the classical notion of instability of phase tra jectories loses its sense during quantum consideration. Therefore $m$ ore detailed analyzes of the possible $m$ echanism sof irreversibility in chaotic quantum $m$ echanical system $s$ is needed. M ore over even the fact of possibility of em ergence of irreversibility in chaotic quantum m echanical system $s$ is not evident. Q uantum dynam ics is unitary and therefore reversible. But on the other hand, without assum ption of $s m$ all initial dispersion of the system 's param eters, classical chaotic dynam ics also w ill be reversible. N ote that while studying classical chaos, one usually exam ines the stability of the system relatively to a sm all change of initial conditions and system param eters. A sm all initial error of these param eters always exists and rem ains unavoidable (one $m$ ay $m$ easure the param eters of the system at a very high precision, but even in this case there is still a sm all error, the rem oval of which, i.e. the $m$ easurem ent at absolute precision, is im possible). So not the existence of the unavoidable error is fundam ental, but what kind of in uence it brings over the system dynam ics. It is well known that in case of regular system s such an in uence is negligible, but if the system is chaotic, the e ect of it increases exponentially. In this review paper we touched upon results obtained in our previous works.

W e studied paradigm atic model for quantum chaos, tim e dependent universal Ham iltonian (H am iltonian ofm athem aticalpendulum ) . M ain result obtained in those w orks is that reason of irreversibility in tim e dependent quantum dynam ics of the chaotic quantum m echanical system $s$, is a very speci c spectral characteristic of the system. For universal H am iltonian and corresponding to it $M$ athieu-Schrodinger equation above $m$ entioned $m$ eans very speci c dependence of the energy eigenvalues on the values of potential barrier (see. Fig.1). In addition, transition form pure quantum $\rightarrow m$ echanical state to the $m$ ixed one, was found to be quantum analog of the classical chaotic layer, characterized classicalchaotic m otion near the separatrix. R esults obtained are im portant not only for better understanding of physics of quantum chaos, but for practical im plem entation to organic m olecules, quantum com puting and so on.
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