Quantum chaos and its kinetic stage of evolution

L. Chotorlishvili^{1;2}, A. Ugulava²

1 Institute for Physik, Universitat Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germ any and

2 Physics Department of the Tbilisi State University,

Chavchavadze av.3, 0128, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

Abstract

U sually reason of irreversibility in open quantum -m echanical system is interaction with a therm al bath, consisting form in nite number of degrees of freedom. Irreversibility in the system appears due to the averaging over all possible realizations of the environm ent states. But, in case of open quantum -mechanical system with few degrees of freedom situation is much more complicated. Should one still expect irreversibility, if external perturbation is just an adiabatic force without any random features? Problem is not clear yet. This is main question we address in this review paper. We prove that key point in the form ation of irreversibility in chaotic quantum -mechanical system s with few degrees of freedom, is the complicated structure of energy spectrum. We shall consider quantum mechanical-system with parametrically dependent energy spectrum. In particular, we study energy spectrum of the M athieu-Schrodinger equation. Structure of the spectrum is quite non-trivial, consists from the dom ains of non-degenerated and degenerated stats, separated from each other by branch points. Due to the modulation of the parameter, system will perform transitions from one dom ain to other one. For determ ination of eigenstates for each dom ain and transition probabilities between them, we utilize methods of abstract algebra. We shall show that peculiarity of parametrical dependence of energy terms, leads to the form ation of mixed state and to the irreversibility, even for sm all num ber of levels involved into the process. This last statem ent is in portant. Meaning is that, we are going to investigate quantum chaos in essentially quantum dom ain.

In the second part of the paper, we will introduce concept of random quantum phase approximation. Then along with the methods of random matrix theory, we will use this assumption, for derivation of muster equation in the form al and mathematically strict way.

Content of the paper is based on our previous studies. However, in this review paper is included also some original material. This part mainly concerns to the discussion about possible experimental realization of theoretical concepts in the eld of organic chemistry.

keywords : Statistical Physics, Quantum Chaos, Nonlinear Resonance, Open Quantum – Mechanical Systems.

PACS num bers: 73.23.{b,78.67.{n,72.15.Lh,42.65.Re

Introduction

The traditional notion of an area, where the laws of statistical physics are e ective, consists of the assumption that the number of interacting particles is su ciently large. However, a lot of examples of nonlinear systems with a small number of degrees of freedom, where chaotic motions occur, had become known by the end of last century [1, 2, 3]. A new stage in the development of notions about chaos and its origin appeared in the last two decades of the last century. It turned out that classical H am iltonian near the separatrix of topologically di erent trajectories m ay experience a special kind of instability. Because of this instability various dynam ic characteristics of the system random ly change with time. Such a property of the system that performs random motion is called dynam ic stochasticity. D ynam ic stochasticity is an internal property of the system and is not associated with the action of some a priory random forces.

D ynam ic stochasticity appears due to the extrem e sensitivity of nonlinear system with respect to the slightly change of initial conditions or system s parameters. On the other hand, even being chaotic, dynamic is still reversible. Irreversibility occurs only after averaging of the dynam ic over small dispersion of initial data. Note that averaging is not form al m athem atical procedure. It is essential from the physical point of view. Since dynamical description loses its sense due to local instability of phase trajectories. However not the existence of initial error is in portant but, what kind of consequences it has. In case of linear system, this in uence is negligible. So one can always assume that initial data for linear system is de ned with the absolute accuracy. But in case of nonlinear system s, even small unavoidable error should be taken into account. This leads to the necessity of using concepts of statistical physics. As a result, analytical description becomes much more complicated. All above mentioned was concerned to the classical case. W hat is really happening in quantum case? Should one still expect non-reversibility in quantum case? The question is that as opposite to the classical case, quantum equation of motion is linear. O fcourse, things are more or less clear in case of open quantum system s interacting with the therm ostat. If so, then, irreversibility appears ow ing to the averaging of system s dynam ics over all possible realizations of environm ent states. Due to this, one can use standard form alism, and from the Liouville-von Neum ann equation, deduce irreversible in time muster equation, for the reduced density matrix [4]. But how does the irreversibility occur in quantum system s with few degrees of freedom This is main question we address in this review paper.

U sually quantum irreversibility is quantiled by the delity. Introduced by Peres [5], this concept works pretty well and is especially convenient for the study of problem s like quantum chaotic billiards [6]. On the other hand, disadvantage is that obtaining of analytical results not always is possible and large computational resources usually are needed.

In this paper, we o er alternative analyticalm ethod for the study of problem of quantum irreversibility. Our concept is based on the features of the energy spectrum of chaotic quantum systems. Peculiarity of energy spectrum of chaotic quantum systems is well-known long ago [7]. Random Matrix Theory (RMT) presumes eigenvalues of chaotic systems to be random ly distributed. Number of levels also should be quite large. In order to deduce muster equation for time dependent chaotic system, we will utilize methods of RMT in the second part of this paper. However, what we want to discusses in the rst part is completely di erent. The key point is that, study of quantum chaos as a rule is focused on the sem i-classical dom ain. We mean not only Gutzwiller's sem i-classical path integration method [8], but also RMT. Since the RMT, in somehow in plies sem i-classical limit. At least in plicitly, due to the large number of levels included into process.

In the rst part of our paper, we shall consider chaotic quantum -m echanical system with few levels included into process. In spite of this, feature of the energy levels leads to the irreversibility.

Paper is organized as follows:

In the rst part we shall consider quantum mechanical-system with parametrically dependent energy spectrum. This parametrical dependence is quite non-trivial, contains domains of non-degenerated and degenerated stats separated from each other by branch points. Namely energy spectrum of our system is given in terms of Mathieu characteristics. Due to the modulation in time of the parameter, system will perform transitions from one domain to other one. For determination of eigenstates for each domain and transition probabilities between them, we utilize methods of abstract algebra. We shall show that peculiarity of parametrical dependence of energy terms, leads to the formation of mixed state and to the irreversibility, even for small number of levels involved into the process. So this part may be considered as an attempt to study quantum chaos in the essentially quantum domain. This study is based on our previous papers [9, 10, 11, 12]. In addition, in the present paper, we will discuss in details possible experimental realizations and applications of the theoretical concepts in the organic chemistry and polyatom is organic molecules.

In the second part of the paper, we will introduce concept of random quantum phase approximation. Then we will use this assumption, for derivation of muster equation in the most form aland mathematically strict way.

I. QUANTUM PENDULUM

A. Universal H am iltonian

Let us present the atom as a nonlinear oscillator under the action of the variable monochromatic eld. Then the Hamiltonian of the system atom + eld is of the form :

$$H (x;p;t) = H_{0}(x;p) + H_{NL}(x) + "V (x;t);$$
(1)

where

$$H_{0} = 1 = 2 \left(\frac{p^{2}}{m} + \frac{1}{0} m x^{2} \right); \quad H_{NL} = x^{3} + x^{4} + \dots$$
(2)

$$V(x;t) = V_0 x \cos t; "V_0 = \frac{e}{m} f_0; "$$
 1: (3)

Here x and p are coordinate and the in pulse of the particle (electron), $!_0$ is the frequency of oscillations, and are coe cients of nonlinearity, m and e are the mass and charge of the particle, f_0 is the amplitude of the variable eld. Having made passage to the variables of action-angle I; with the help of transformation $x = (2I=m !_0)^{1=2} \cos p =$

 $(2 \text{Im } !_0)^{1=2} \sin ;$ supposing resonance condition $!_0$ is realized and averaging with respect to the fast phase , one can obtain:

$$H = H_0(I) + "V(I) \cos';$$

where

$$H_{0}(I) = !_{0}I + H_{NL}; \quad H_{NL}(I) = \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{I}{m!_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}$$
(4)

$$' = !t; "V(I) = \frac{P}{I=m!_0} V_0:$$
 (5)

The role of nonlinear frequency plays $(dH_0=dI) = !_0 + !_{NL}(I)$ where $!_{NL}(I) = (3 = 2)(I = m !_0^2)$. Now suppose that nonlinear resonance condition $!_0 + !_{NL}(I_0) =$ is fulled for action $I = I_0$. It is easy to show [1], that for a small deviation of action from the resonance value $I = I_0$ ($I = I_0$) after the power series expansion, if the condition of moderate nonlinearity is just 1=", where

$$!_{N L} (I=!_{N L} (I)) j_{= I_0}; !_{N L} = (d!_{N L} (I)=dI) j_{= I_0};$$

for Hamiltonian we obtain:

$$H = \frac{!_{NL}}{2} (I)^{2} + "V (I_{0}) \cos' :$$
 (6)

As usual (6) is called universal H am iltonian. Let us notice that H am iltonian H is the H am iltonian of pendulum, where $I=!_{NL}$ plays the role of m ass, I plays the role of the pulse and V (I_0) plays the role of potential energy. If in (6) I is substituted by the appropriate operator I ! $i\sim Q=Q'$, one can obtain the universal H am iltonian in the quantum form

$$H = \frac{\sim^2 ! \,^0}{2} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta'^2} + V \cos' :$$
 (7)

W ith the help of (7) it is possible to explore quantum properties of motion for the nonlinear resonance.

Having written the stationary Schrodinger equations

$$\hat{H}_{n} = E_{n n}$$
(8)

for the Hamiltonian (7), we get

$$\frac{\theta^{2}}{\theta'^{2}} + (E_{n} \quad V(l;'))_{n} = 0;$$

$$V(l;') = 21\cos 2'$$
(9)

where the dimensionless quantities are introduced

$$E_n ! \frac{8E_n}{\sim^2 ! 0}; 1! \frac{4V}{\sim^2 ! 0}$$
 (10)

and the replacement ' ! 2' is done.

The interaction has the following properties of the symmetry: $1 \text{ V}(') = V('); 2 \text{ V}(') = V(+'); 3 \text{ V}(\frac{1}{2} + ') = V(\frac{1}{2} - '): G M Zaslavsky and G P Berm an [8] were the rst who considered the equation of the M athieu-Schrödinger for the quantum description of the nonlinear resonance in the approximation of moderate nonlinearity. They studied the case of quasi-classical approximation I - for both variables I₀ and I. In this review we investigate the equation of the M athieu - Schrödinger in essentially quantum area.$



FIG.1: The dependence of the energy of interaction V from the phase.

B. Periodical solution of M athieu - Schrodinger equations

W e content ourselves with only even and odd solutions with respect to ' of equation of the M athieu – Schrödinger (9). Those solutions have n zeroes in the interval 0 ' Eigenfunctions _n can be recorded with the help of the M athieu functions [9,10]: even ce_n (';1) and odd se_n (';1). A ppropriate eigenvalues are usually designated by a_n (1) and b(1). For simplicity below sometimes we om it the argument 1 and write ce_n ('); se_n ('); a_n ; b_n : M athieu functions are eigenfunctions of the problem of Sturm -Liouville for the equation (9) for the boundary conditions

$$(0) = () = 0; \text{ for } se_n (')$$

$$\frac{d}{d'}(0) = \frac{d}{d'}() = 0; \text{ for } se_n ('): \qquad (11)$$

From the general theory of the Sturm-Liouville it follows, that for arbitrary n = 1;2;:::there exists eigenfunction se_n(') and for each n = 0;1;2;::: determ ined eigenfunction e_n ('). The denition of the M athieu function must be supplemented with the choice of the arbitrary constant so that the conditions were ful led:

If (') G(') m eans either $c_{n}(')$ or $se_{n}(')$, then G(') and G(') satisfy the same equation (9) and the same boundary conditions (11). Therefore these functions di er from each other only by the constants. Hence, G(') is even or odd function with respect to =2 '. Taking this into account, two functions (11) break up into four M athieu functions:

$$(0) = (=2) = 0; G (') = se_{2m+2} ('); \text{ phase } ;$$

$$(0) = \frac{d}{d'} (=2) = 0; G (') = se_{2m+1} ('); \text{ phase } 2 ;$$

$$\frac{d}{d'} (0) = (=2) = 0; G (') = ce_{2m+1} ('); \text{ phase } 2 ;$$

$$\frac{d}{d'} (0) = \frac{d}{d'} (=2) = 0; G (') = ce_{2m} ('); \text{ phase } : (13)$$

For arbitrary m = 0;1;2;::: there is one eigenfunction for each of four boundary conditions and m equal to the number of zeroes in the interval $0 < \prime < =2$. The functions (13) represent a complete system of eigenfunctions of the equation (9).

C. Sym m etries of the equations of M athieu-Schrodinger

The properties of symmetry of the Mathieu-Schrödinger equation can be presented in Table 1 [15].

As is known, group theory makes it possible to nd important consequences, following from the symmetry of the object under study. Below, with the aid of group theory we will establish the presence (or absence) of degeneracy in the eigen spectrum of the Mathieu-Schrodinger equation and a form of corresponding wave functions By immediate check it is easy to convince, that four elements of transformation

> G (' ! ') = a; G (' ! ') = b; G (' ! + ') = c; G (' ! ') = e

G(arphi)	$G(-\varphi)$	$G(\pi - \varphi)$	$G(\pi + \varphi)$
$ce_{2m}(\varphi)$	$ce_{2m}(\varphi)$	$ce_{_{2m}}(\varphi)$	$ce_{2m}(\varphi)$
$ce_{2m+1}(\varphi)$	$ce_{2m+1}(arphi)$	$-ce_{2m+1}(\varphi)$	$-ce_{2m+1}(\varphi)$
$se_{2m+1}(\varphi)$	$-se_{2m+1}(\varphi)$	$se_{2m+1}(\varphi)$	$-se_{2m+1}(\varphi)$
$se_{2m+2}(\varphi)$	$-se_{2m+2}(\varphi)$	$-se_{2m+2}(\varphi)$	$se_{2m+2}(\varphi)$

TABLE I: Relations of a symmetry for the Mathieu function.

are form ing a group. For this it is enough to test the realization of the follow ing relations:

$$a^{2} = b^{2} = c^{2} = e;$$

ab = c; ac = b; bc = a: (14)

The group contains three elements a;b;c of the second order and unity element e. The group G is isomorphic to the well-known quadruple group of the K lein [16, 17]. This group is known in the group theory by the applications to the quantum mechanics (designated as V). A llthe elements of the group commute. This assertion can be easily checked taking into account group operations (14). So, the symmetry group of the M athieu function G is the Abelian group and consequently has only one-dimensional indecom posable representations.

The presence of only one-dimensional representations of the symmetry group, describing the considered problem, hints on the absence of degeneration in the energy spectrum. So, we conclude, that the eigenvalues of the equation of the M athieu – Schrodinger (9) are non-degenerated, and the eigenfunctions are the M athieu functions (13). However we shall rem ind, that both the energy term s a_n ; b_n , and the M athieu functions depend on the param eter 1. At the variation of 1 in the system can appear symmetry higher, than assigned



F IG . 2: Four vectors that are transformed by the elements of quadruple group V .

in Table 1, that m ight lead to the degeneration of levels.

In order to make more obvious the isomorphism of the symmetry group G(') of Mathieu–Schrodinger equation with quadruple K lein group V, let us consider the plane of rotation of phase '.

The orientation of vector A \cdot , presented in Fig 2, is obtained from A, by m eans of m irror relative to the plane passing through the axis 0y perpendicular to the gure plane $_{y}$. The orientation of vector A $_{+}$, is obtained by m eans of rotation by angle about an axis, passing perpendicular to the gure through the origin of the coordinate system C₂ (axis of rotation of the second order). The sym m etry elements $_{x}$; $_{y}$ and together with the unit element form quadruple group V : e; $_{x}$; $_{y}$; C₂. Now it is possible to bring the elements of two group to one-to-one correspondence: G (') ! $_{x}$; G (') ! $_{y}$; G (+ ') ! C₂; e ! e that proves the isom orphism of above mentioned groups.

Each of three elements a; b; c in combination with unit e form s a subgroup

$$G_{+}$$
 :e; b;
 $G \qquad G \qquad :e; c;$ (15)
 G_{0} :e; a:

Each of subgroups G $_0$; G $_+$; G $_-$ is the invariant subgroup in-group G . The presence of the



FIG. 3: Fragment of energy spectrum of quantum pendulum, composed from theoretical-group consideration.

three invariant subgroups of the second order indicates the existence of three factor-group (4.2=2) of the second order.

$$F_0 : E (e;a); A (b;c);$$

 $F_+ : E (e;b); A (a;c);$
 $F : E (e;c); A (a;b):$ (16)

Here we introduced notations, common for the group theory: E stands for a unit element of factor-group; A stands for an element of factor-group. G roup G is how on orphous to its factor-groups F_0 and F. As we see from (16) the elements of factor-group are formed as a result of unication of certain two elements of group G. This kind of unication of the elements of group G indicates pairmerging of energy levels ce_{2m} ; ce_{2m+1} ; se_{2m+1} and se_{2m+1} . Therefore, three kinds of double degeneration, corresponding to the three factor-groups F_0 and F, appear in the energy spectrum. This happens owing to the presence of parameter 1. Therefore, for the di erent values of parameter 1 energy spectrum may be di erent at least qualitatively. Let us not out how to present combination of such a variety in energy spectrum

Factor-group F_0 is responsible for pair unity of levels of the same symmetry relative to the center of potential well G (') (Fig.1). Quantum vibration motion appears because



FIG.4: The group of the eigenvalues of a_n (1) and b_N (1) as functions of 1 are plotted by num erical methods [15].

of this unication. In Fig.3. these levels are arranged to the extrem e left and right from the ordinate axis.

Factor-groups F_0 and are responsible for pair unity of equations ce_n and se_n (n = 1;2;:::) and for formation of clockwise and counter clockwise quantum rotation motion. In Fig.3 corresponding degenerated levels are found in both sides close to the ordinate axis. Num erical calculations [14] (see Fig.4), of M athieu characteristics a (1) and b(1) prove that energy spectrum of quantum pendulum has a very complicated form and manifests all the main features of the spectrum presented in Fig.3.

Similarity of the plots presented in Fig.3 and Fig.4 is obvious. Namely, both of them hold symmetry with respect to Y-axis. From both sides of Y-axis same eigenstates are coupled (degenerated). Only di erence is that Fig.3 belongs to the theoretical group analysis, while Fig.4 is plotted using numerical methods. Both of these methods have their advantage and disadvantage. Only way to evaluate exact positions of branch points is to use numerical methods. From the other hand, eigenfunctions for each dom ain should be de ned using theoretical algebraic methods. For more details see [10].

D. The Physical Problem s are R educed to Quantum Pendulum

We have already met with one physical problem that can get reduced to the solution of a quantum pendulum (9) - this is problem of quantum nonlinear resonance. Now we will get to know with other quantum -mechanical problems, that also get reduced to the solution of quantum pendulum.

As is known [18, 19], one of the forms of internal motion in polyatom ic molecules is torsion oscillation which for su ciently large amplitudes transforms to rotational motion. In order to describe the corresponding motion in H amiltonian we assume that ' is the angle of torsion of one part of the molecule with respect to the other part and replace the mass m by the reduced moment of inertia $I = I_1 I_2 = (I_1 + I_2)$, where I_1 and I_2 are the inertia moments of rotation of the parts of the molecule with respect to its symmetry axis. Thus we obtain [12]

$$U(') = \frac{V_0}{2} (1 \quad \cos n')$$
 (17)

where V_0 de nes the height of potential barrier that separates torsion oscillations from the rotation of one part of the m olecule with respect to the other part, and n de nes the quantity of equilibrium orientations of one part of the m olecule with respect to the other part. For the m olecule of ethane H ₃C C H₃, dim ethylacetylene H ₃C C C C LH and for other organic m olecules we have n = 3 equilibrium con gurations (see Fig.5.).

The conguration shown in Fig.5 corresponds to an energy maximum and is a non-equilibrium conguration (cis-conguration). Other non-equilibrium congurations are obtained by rotating by the angles $\frac{2}{3}$ and $2\frac{2}{3}$: Equilibrium congurations (trans-congurations) are obtained by rotating of the angles $\frac{3}{3}$; $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$; $\frac{2}{3} + 2\frac{2}{3}$.

Below we give the num erical values [18, 19] of other parameters of some organic molecules having the property of internal rotation. Thus for the molecule of ethane C_2H_6 we have $I_1 = I_2$ 5:3 10^7 kg \hat{m} , V_0 (C_2H_6) 2:1 10^0 J, and for the molecule of dimethylacetylene C_4H_6 we have $I_1 = I_2$ 10:6 10^7 kg \hat{m} , V_0 (C_4H_6) 0:34 10^0 J.

The Schrodinger equation corresponding to Ham iltonian (7) has the form

$$\frac{d^2}{d'^2} + \frac{2I}{2} ["_k \quad \frac{1}{2} V_0 (1 \quad \cos n')] = 0; \qquad (18)$$

where $"_k$ is the eigenenergy of the k th state. Note that $"_k (V_o)$ is the function of barrier height V_o . The condition of motion near the separatrix (near a potential maximum)



FIG. 5: A schem atic drawing of the molecular structure of ethane H $_3$ C C H $_3$. The circular arrow shows the torsion phase '; r_0 is the equilibrium distance between two parts of molecule.



FIG. 6: Potential energy curve of torsion motions in H_3C C H_3 . Phase ' = 0 corresponds to equilibrium trance-con guration.

is written in the form $"_k = V_0$. If we introduce the new variable $= \frac{n'}{2}$, then equation (18) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} () + E = 2 \frac{1}{2} \cos 2] () = 0;$$
(19)



FIG. 7: a) The relative motion of atom Lito hard fragment C N. b) Coordinates r and ' describe relative motion Lito fragment C N.

where

$$E = \frac{8I}{n^2 \sim^2} (V = 2)$$
 (20)

plays the role of energy in dimensionless units, and the parameter

$$l_0 = \frac{2I}{n^2 \sim^2} V_0$$
 (21)

is the half-height of the barrier in dimensionless units and plays the same role as the length of the thread does in the classical pendulum problem.

A sour next example, we consider the vibration dynamics of a triatom ic oppy molecule: the LiN C = LiC N isomerizing system which has been extensively studied [20, 21]. This molecule presents two stable isomers corresponding to the linear con gurations, Li N C and Li C N, which are separated by a relatively modest energy barrier. The motion in the beginning is very oppy, and then the Liatom can easily rotate around the C N fragment

O ne can describe relative m otion of Li to hard fragm ent C N by m eans of two coordinates: ' - angle of orientation of Li with respect to hard fragm ents axis and r distance between atom Li and m asscenter of fragm ent C N. Angle ' = 0 corresponds to Li C N and ' = corresponds to its isom er C N Li. It is easy to m ake sure, that such isom erization process m ay be described by using the potential (17), when n = 2. W e obtain Schrödinger equation for such case in the same form, as we had for previous one (19). E. Degenerate states of M athieu-Schrodinger equation.

In the theory of M athieu functions, the graphs of the eigenvalues a_n (1) and b_h (1) as functions of 1 are plotted by num erical m ethods [15]. As seen from these graphs, curves a_n (1) and b_h (1) m erge for sm all 1, while curves a_n (1) and b_{h+1} (1) m erge for large 1. It is obvious that the m erged segments of the M athieu characteristics correspond to the degenerate states whose existence has been m entioned above. In this section, we will de ne the wave functions of degenerate states. Below, the presence of branch points will play an essential role in explaining the transition from the pure state to the m ixed one during the quantum investigation of the dynam ics near the classical separatrix. In what follows, we will use the plane with coordinates 1, E. In the classical consideration, the m otion of a m athem atical pendulum in a neighborhood of the separatrix occurs when the initial kinetic energy of the pendulum is close to the m axim all potential one. It is obvious that, on the plane (1; E), to this condition there corresponds the straight line E = 21. Therefore we can say that, on the plane (1; E), to non-degenerate states there corresponds a certain dom ain lying on both sides of the line E = 21. It is in this very dom ain of the change of 1 that the system is characterized by symmetry group G.

a) Degeneration of states at sm all 1 (area from the left of the separatrix line)

In the lim it 1! 0 the equation of the M athieu - Schrodinger (9) takes the form :

$$\frac{d^2}{d'^2} + E_n = 0$$
 (22)

The orthonorm alsystem of solutions of the equation (22) consists of even and odd solutions

$$q = \cos n'; \quad u = \sin n': \quad (23)$$

They both correspond to the same energy value $E_n = n^2$. Note that functions (23) correspond also to the well-known asymptotic (1! 0) form s of the M athieu functions [9]:

$$ce_n(')! cosn'); se_n(')! sinn':$$
 (24)

This means that at the diminution of 1 the coming together of the energy terms with the identical n takes place and for l = 0 they are merged together. It is necessary to nd out that this con uence happens at the point l = 0 or at $l = 1^{(n)} \notin 0$. In this section, below we

will be concerned with noting a lower point of the merging of term $sl^{(n)}$. At rst let us nd out what the eigenfunctions of the degenerated states corresponding to the level $E_n = n^2$ look like. Equation (22) is the Schrödinger equation for free rotation in the phase plane '. The continuous Abelian group of two-dimensional rotations O⁺ (2) [16] corresponds to this motion.

Since the Abelian group m ay have only one-dimensional irreducible representations, the two-dimensional representation constructed in the base of real-valued functions (23) will be reducible. Hence functions (23) cannot be eigenfunctions of a degenerate state. To sum ount this problem we shall recollect that the eigenfunctions for the degenerate condition can be also complex.

A s is known [17], sym m etry relative to the change of tim e sign in the Schrodinger equation, accounts for the fact that the complex-conjugate wave functions correspond to one and the sam e energy eigenvalue. Therefore two complex-conjugate representations $_{n}$ (') and $_{n}$ (') should be regarded as a representation of doubled dimension. U sually, for the basis of the irreducible representation of the group 0⁺ (2) complex functions are assumed [16],

$$_{n}$$
 (') = e ^{in'}: (25)

Therefore, in the degenerate dom ain, in view of conditions of norm alization, following com – plex conjugate functions should be considered as eigenfunctions

$$_{n}(') = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2}e^{in'}; \quad _{n}(') = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2}e^{in'}:$$
 (26)

Let us remark that group $O^+(2)$ is isomorphic to subgroup $G^-(24)$. The element of the sym metry c = G(' + ') of the subgroup G^- provides recurrence of the phase variation after each period and consequently the sym metry G^- characterizes the condition of motion similar to the classical rotary motion. However, to use only the argument of sym metry is not su cient for noting the coordinates of the branching point $1^{(n)}$. Below to not these points we use the secular perturbation theory. So, at l = 0 we have doubly degenerate states with the wave functions (26). Let us not out, whether the perturbation

$$V(l;') = lcos2'; l l$$
 (27)

can rem ove the existing degeneration. It is known that, rst order term s of the perturbation theory for the energy eigenvalues and the exact functions of zero approximation for double

degenerate levels look like [17]

$$E_{0}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} [(V_{11} + V_{22})^{p} + (V_{11} + V_{22})^{2} + 4 + M_{2}^{2}];$$

$$n = {}^{(0)} = C_{1} {}^{0} + C_{2} {}^{0}_{2};$$

$$C_{1}^{(0)} = \frac{V_{12}}{2J_{12}j} 1 + \frac{V_{11}}{V_{22}} V_{11} + \frac{V_{22}}{V_{22}^{2} + 4} + M_{2}^{2}$$

$$C_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{V_{21}}{2J_{12}j} 1 + \frac{V_{11}}{V_{22}} V_{11} + V_{22}^{2} + 4 + M_{2}^{2}$$
(28)

where the index in brackets corresponds to the order of the perturbation theory, matrix elements of the perturbation (27) V_{ik} (i; k = 1; 2) are calculated by using of functions (26) of the degenerate state of the non-perturbed H am iltonian. Taking into account expressions (26) we shall calculate the matrix elements:

Z

$$V_{11} = 1$$
 n(') n(') $\cos 2' d' = 0; V_{22} = 0;$
Z
 $V_{12} = 1$ $\frac{2}{0}(')$ $\cos 2' d' = \frac{8}{0}$ if $n \in 1$
 $\cos 2' d' = \frac{1}{4}$ if $n = 1$

A fler substitution of those matrix elements in the expressions (28) for the eigenvalues and exact eigenfunctions we shall obtain:

$$E^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}; \quad {}^{+}_{n=1} = \cos'; \quad {}^{n=1} = i \sin':$$
 (29)

Thus, the exact wave functions (29) of the non-degenerate state only for n = 1 coincide with the M athieu function in the lim it 1! 0 (24).

The perturbation V (l;') rem oves degeneration only for the state n=1. Therefore it is only for the state n = 1 that the spectrum branching occurs at the point l = 0, which agrees with numerical calculations given in the form of diagrams (see Fig. 4.). It can be assumed that in the case of diminishing l, the merging of energy terms for states $n \in 1$ takes place at the point at which the states are still de ned by the M athieu functions and not by their limiting values (24). Wave functions for degenerate states $l \in 0$; $n \in 1$ can be composed from the M athieu functions by using the same arguments as have been used above in composing the wave functions for l! = 0. As a result, we obtain

$$\sum_{n+1}^{2m+1} = \sum_{n} (1; ') = \frac{p-2}{2} (ce_n ') ise_n '); n = 2m + 1;$$



FIG.8: The energy levels as function of parameter 1 on the plane (E;1) on the left area from the separatrix line. The points of the branching of curves represent the boundaries between degenerate and non-degenerate states.

$$2^{2m+2} = {n \choose 1} (1;') = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2} (ce_n') ise_n'; n \in 1; 1 \in 0; n = 2m + 2:$$
 (30)

Let us assume that at $l_n = l^{(n)}$ the removal of degeneration for the n the nergy term happens.

b) D egenerate state at m ajor 1: The area on the right of the separatrix line:

W ith the increasing of 1 the particle can be trapped in a deep potential well (V = $1\cos 2'$; 0 < ' < Fig. 1.), and perform oscillatory motion. Properties of wave functions of quantum oscillator near to the bottom of the well are well known. This is the alternation of even and odd wave functions relative to the center of the potential well =2 and presence of zeros in wave functions. W ith the help of the third column of Table 1 it is possible to write symmetry conditions close to =2:

$$e_{m} (\frac{1}{2} + 1) = (1)^{m} e_{m} (\frac{1}{2} + 1)^{m}$$

$$se_{m} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1\right) = (1)^{m+1} se_{m} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 (31)

i.e. e_{2m} ('); e_{2m+1} (') are even functions and e_{2m} ('); e_{2m+1} (') are odd functions. Functions ce_{2m} ('); se_{2m+1} ('); ce_{2m+1} (') and se_{2m+1} (') have m real zeros between ' = 0 and ' = =2 (not considering zeros on edges). The existing alternation of states (Fig. 8.) in area along the line of the separatrix is conditioned by the properties of states at the sm all l. W ith the help of the expressions (31) it is possible to determ ine easily, that in the spectrum of the states along the line E = 21 two (instead of one) even states alternate with odd states and so on. To get the alternation, caused now by properties at major 1, two even conditions must degenerate in one even and two odd - in one odd. So we come to the conclusion, that two levels with wave functions e_{2m} (') and s_{2m+1} (') coming nearer amalgamate in one level, and the following two levels ce_{2m} (') and se_{2m+1} (') also in one level. The levels obtained in this way will be doubly degenerated. It can be assumed that with the growth of 1 the states de ned by the sym m etry group G transform to the states with the sym m etry of an invariant subgroup G_+ (15). This transform ation takes place at the merging point of non-degenerate term s $l_n = l_{+}^{(n)}$. Recall that subgroup G $_{+}$ contains two elements: the unit element e and the rejection element with respect to the symmetry center of the well b = G (' !1). C om plex wave functions of the area of degenerate states, with the sym m etry of the invariant subgroup G₊, can be composed of pairs of functions of merged states in the same manner as we have done above for the area of sm all 1 for states with the symmetry of G .

N ot iterating these reasons, we shall write complex wave functions corresponding to the degenerated states in the form

$$_{2n}$$
 (') = αe_{2n} (') s e_{n+1} (') even state

$$_{2n+1}$$
 (') = ce_{2n+1} (') se_{n+2} (') odd state (32)

In the base of complex wave functions $_{2n}$ and $_{2n+1}$ the indecomposable representation of the subgroup G₊ (15), is realized. Evenness of the wave functions and with respect to the transform ation b = G (' ! ') of the subgroups G₊ characterizes an important property of wave functions evenness of the quantum oscillatory process. The results, obtained in this section, are plotted in Fig. 9.

Figures 8 and 9 supplement each other: in the eld of intersection with the separatrix the curves of the Figs. 8 and 9 are sm oothly joined. So, we shall add up outcomes obtained in



FIG.9: Energy levels as a function of the parameter 1 on the plane (E;1) to the area right from the separatrix line. The points of branching of curves represent degeneration points of terms in this area.

this section. The M athieu-Schrodinger equation has an appointed symmetry. The transform ations of the symmetry of the M athieu functions form group G, which is isomorphic to the quaternary group of K lein. To this symmetry on a plane (E; 1) corresponds the appointed area along the line of the separatrix E = 21, containing non-degenerated energy terms. This area is restricted double sided by the areas of degenerate states, which are characterized by the symmetry properties of the invariant subgroups G and G₊, respectively. The boundaries of these areas are de ned by the branching points of energy terms existing both on the right and on the left of the separatrix.

The area of degenerate states is the quantum -m echanical analogs of two form sofm otion of the classical m athem atical pendulum -rotary and oscillatory. C om paring results of quantum review s with classical, we rem ark that these two conditions of m otion at quantum review ing are divided by the area of a nite m easure, whereas at the classical review ing m easure the separatrix is equal to zero.

F. Quantum analog of the stochastic layer.

In the case of H am iltonian systems, performing a nite motion, a stochastic layer formed in a neighborhood of the separatrix under the action of an arbitrary periodic perturbation is a minimal phase space cell that contains the features of stochasticity [1]. In this section we shall try to nd out what can be considered as the quantum analog of the stochastic layer.

Let us assume that the pumping amplitude is modulated by the slow variable electromagnetic eld. The in uence of modulation is possible to take into account by means of such replacement in the Mathieu-Schrodinger equation (9),

$$1! l_0 + l cos t; l < l_0:$$
 (33)

Here 1 stands for the amplitude of modulation in dimensionless unit (see (10)), is the frequency of modulation. We suppose, that the slow variation of l can embrace some quantity of the branching points on the left and on the right of separatrix line (Figs. 8,9)

$$1 j_{+}^{n}$$
 $l_{j} n = 1;2; ...N$: (34)

As a result of replacement (33) in the Ham iltonian (7), we get

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}^0(t);$$
 (35)

$$\hat{H}^{0}(t) = 1\cos 2' \cos t; \qquad (36)$$

where H_0 is the universal H am iltonian (7) and $\hat{H}^0(t)$ is the perturbation appearing as a consequence of pumping modulation.

It is easy to see, that the matrix elements of perturbation (36) $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ for non-degenerate states equal zero. Really, having applied expansion form ulas of the M athieu functions in the Fourier series [14] it is possible to show

$$< \alpha_n \hat{H}^{0}(t) jse_n > \sum_{0}^{Z_2} \alpha_n(t') \cos 2t' se_{2n}(t') dt' = 0$$
 (37)

simultaneously for the even and odd n. The expressions of the selection rules (37) will be fulled for values 1 from the area 1^n 1 $\frac{n}{+}$ 1 Transitions between levels cannot be conditioned by time-dependent perturbation (36). It is expedient to include perturbation in the unperturbed part of the H am iltonian. The H am iltonian, obtained in such way, is slow by depending on the parameter 1. So, instead of (35) and (36) for the non-degenerated area G we get the Ham iltonian in the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{\theta}^2}{\hat{\theta}'^2} + l(t)\cos 2'; \qquad (38)$$

$$l(t) = l_0 + l\cos t; \tag{39}$$

There arises the situation in which the system slow ly "creeps" along the M athieu characteristics and, in doing so, encloses the branching points on the left l^n or on the right l_{+}^n . a) Irreversible "creeping" of energy term populations due to the in uence of a measuring arrangement.

A coording to the general rules of quantum mechanics, probabilities that the system will pass to the eigenstate of another area are dened by the coencients of expansion of the wave function of one area into the eigenfunctions of another area. Let us assume that, initially, the system was in one of the eigenstates from the non-degenerate area G, for example, in the state ce_{2n} . A fler a quarter of the modulation period T=4 (where T = 2p=n), having passed through the point I^n , the system nds itself in the degenerated area G. In this case the system will pass to degenerate states c_{2n} with probabilities,

$$P(\alpha e_{2n} ! e_{2n}) = j \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \alpha e_{2n} (') e_{2n} (') d' f = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \alpha e_{2n} (') (\alpha e_{2n} (')) d' f = 1=2:$$
(40)

For deriving (40) we used the condition of normalization (12) and orthogonality [9]

$$\sum_{0}^{2} e_{k}(') \operatorname{se}_{l+1}(') d' = 0; l; k = 0; l; 2; ...;$$
(41)

The passage (40) is based on the assumption of having a deep physical sense. As is generally known, in quantum mechanics symmetry with respect to both directions of time is expressed in the invariance of the Schrödinger equation with respect to the variation of the sign of time t and simultaneous replacement by way of . However, it is necessary to remember that this symmetry concerns only the equations, but not the concept of a measurement playing a fundamental role in the quantum mechanics [17, 22]. "Measurement" is understood as the process of interaction of the quantum system with the classical object usually called "instrument." Under the measuring arrangement, consisting of the analyzer and detector, one must not im agine the laboratory's instrument. In our case, the role of the

instrument plays in our case the modulating eld, which is capable to "drag" the system through the branching points. W hen passing through the branching point from one area to another, the state remains unchanged. However, being an eigenstate in one area, it will not be an eigenstate in another. At the passage through branching points there occurs a spectral expansion of the initial wave function belonging to the region of one symmetry over the eigenfunctions belonging to the region of another symmetry. The presence only of the analyzer reserves a pure state and the process remains reversible. So, passage through the branching point plays role of analyzer. Further we shall assume the presence of the detector, de ning which of the states $\frac{1}{n}$ or $\frac{1}{n}$ is involved in passage. The transition of the system to various states de ned by probabilities (40) is xed by means of the action of the detector. The presence of the detector is expressed form ally in averaging with respect to phase and neglecting the interference term usually appearing in the expression for a distribution function. As a result of averaging the partial loss of information about the condition of the system takes place and a mixed state is generated. In our problem role of detector will play e ect self-chaotization, which appeared in degenerate region (see next subsection).

As is follows from (40), after the quarter period degenerated rotary states $\frac{1}{2n}$ and $\frac{1}{2n}$ will be occupied with the identical probability. A fter the half period $\frac{1}{2}T$ the system again appears in the area G going through the branching point I^n in the reverse direction. At the same time there appear probabilities, of the transition into the states ∞_n ; se_n, and both of them are distinct from zero

$$P(_{2n} ! \alpha_{2n}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} (\alpha_{2n} (') is_{2n} (')) \alpha_{2n} (') d' f = \frac{1}{2}; \quad (42)$$

$$P(_{2n} ! se_{2n}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} (ee_{2n} (') ise_{2n} ('))se_{2n} (')d' f = \frac{1}{2}:$$
(43)

Here we have used again norm alization (12) and orthogonality relations (41). It is easy to write transition probability from α_{2n} into one of the degenerated states $_{2n}$ and back in the α_{2n}

$$P(\alpha_{2n} \ \ \alpha_{2n}) P(\alpha_{2n} \ \ _{2n} \ \ \alpha_{2n}) =$$

$$= P(\alpha_{2n} \ \ _{2n})P(\ \ _{2n} \ \ \alpha_{2n}) + P(\alpha_{2n} \ \ _{2n})P(\ \ _{2n} \ \ \alpha_{2n}): (44)$$

Here the rst summand corresponds to the passage through the degenerated state $\frac{+}{2n}$ and the second one to the passage through $_{2n}$. It is easy to see with the help of previous

computations (40), (42), and (43) that contributions of these passages are identical and individually equal to 1=4. Therefore nally we have

P
$$(ce_{2n} \ (ce_{2n} \) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (45)

Similarly it may be shown that transition probability from the state α_{2n} in one of the degenerated states $_{2n}$ and back in the area G, in the state se_{2n} by m eans of going through the point 1^n is

$$P(ce_{2n} \$ se_{2n}) = P(ce_{2n} ! {}^{+}_{2n})P({}^{+}_{2n} ! se_{2n}) + + P(ce_{2n} ! {}^{-}_{2n})P({}^{-}_{2n} ! se_{2n}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = 1=2:$$
(46)

Thus, the system being at the initialm on ent in the eigenstate ce_{2n} , at the end of half-period of modulation appears in them ixed state $_{2n}$ in which the states ce_{2n} and se_{2n} are interm ixed with identical weight, and corresponding levels are populated with identical probabilities. A fter the expiration of quarter of cycle the system will pass from the area G (the state $_{2n}$) in the area G_+ , going through the point l_n^+ . In passages from the area G_+ four states take part $_{2n} = \frac{p_1}{2} (ce_{2n} - ise_{n+1})$ and $_{2n-1} = \frac{p_1}{2} (ce_{2n-1} - ise_n)$. So, with taking into consideration the above mentioned for the probabilities of transitions we get

$$P(_{2n} ! _{2n}) = \frac{1}{4} j_{0}^{2} (ee_{2n} (') + se_{2n} (')) (ee_{2n} (') ise_{n+1} (')) d' f = \frac{1}{4};$$
(47)

$$P(_{2n}!) = \frac{1}{4} j_{0}^{2} (ee_{2n}(') + se_{2n}(')) (ee_{2n-1}(')) ise_{2n}('))d' j = \frac{1}{4}; \quad (48)$$

For deriving the last expressions in addition to the norm alization conditions we have used the orthogonality conditions

On the basis of (47) and (48) we conclude, that after the time $\frac{3}{4}T$, system will be in the area G₊ in one of four oscillatory states $_{2n-1}$ and $_{2n-1}$ with the identical probability equal to 1=4.

A fler one cycle T the system gets back in the area G, from which it started transition from the level ∞_{2n} . Upon returning, four levels ∞_{2n} ; se_{2n} ; ∞_{2n-1} and se_{2n+1} will be involved. C alculating probabilities of passages from the oscillatory state of the area G₊, to these four levels we shall obtain

$$P(_{2n} ! ce_{2n}) = P(_{2n} ! se_{2n+1} = 1=2;$$
 (50)

$$P(_{2n-1} ! se_{2n}) = P(_{2n-1} ! se_{2n-1} = 1=2:$$
 (51)

The probability of passages from the nondegenerated area to the area G_+ in one of the oscillatory states $_{2n}$; $_{2n-1}$ and back in the area G will be:

$$P_{+} (_{2n} \$ se_{2n}) = P (_{2n} !_{2n})P (_{2n} ! se_{2n+1}) + P (_{2n} !_{2n})P (_{2n} ! se_{2n+1}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}:$$
(52)

Sim ilarly it is possible to show

$$P(_{2n} \ \ c_{2n}) = P(_{2n} \ \ s_{2n}) = P(_{2n} \ \ s_{2n}) = P(_{2n} \ \ c_{2n} \ \ s_{2n-1}) = 1=4:$$
 (53)

Thus, after the lapse of time T four levels of the nondegenerate area G will be occupied with the identical probabilities 1=4 (Fig. 10.) The motion of the system upwards on energy term s will cease upon reaching the level for which the points of the branching in Fig. 10 are on the distance at which the condition (34) no longer is valid. The motion of the system dow nwards will be stopped upon reaching the zero level. If the system at the initial moment is in the state 2n = N = 2, then after N = 2 cycles of modulation all N levels will be occupied. It is easy to calculate a level population for the extrem ely upper and extrem ely low er levels. Really, the level population for extrem e levels is possible to de new ith the help of a M arkov chain containing only one possible trajectory in the spectrum of M athieu characteristics:

$$P (\mathfrak{M}_{N=2}; t_{0}; \mathfrak{M}_{N=2+1}; t_{0} + \frac{T}{2}; \dots \mathfrak{M}_{N}; t_{0} + N \frac{T}{2}) = P (\mathfrak{s}_{N}; t_{0} + N \frac{T}{2}) \mathfrak{M}_{N-1}; t_{0} + (N - 1) \frac{T}{2}) \dots$$

$$P (\mathfrak{M}_{N=2+1}; t_{0} + T - \mathfrak{S}_{N=2+1}; t_{0} + \frac{T}{2}) P ((\mathfrak{s}_{N=2+1}; t_{0} + \frac{T}{2} - \mathfrak{M}_{N=2+1}; t_{0}); \quad (54)$$

where t_0 is an initial time. Here, when discussing the transition probabilities from one state to another, we also use a time argument. It is possible to write a similar chain of level population for the extremely lower level. As the probabilities of passages, included in the right side of (54) by way of factors, are equal to 1=2, then probabilities of an extreme level population will be $(1=2)^{N=2}$. As to the Markovian chain for non-extreme levels, it has a cumbersome form and we do not give it here.

b) Self chaotization produced by a big phase "incursion" of the probability am plitude:



FIG. 10: The fragment of the energy terms, participating in passages calculated in the text. a) The initial state. The particle is in the state of ce_{2n} . b) The nal state. Levels which are a ected by a change of the eld amplitude get populated.

D i erent from the area of non-degenerate states G, in the areas of degenerate states G and G₊, the non-diagonalm atrix elements of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (36) are not zero.

$$H_{+}^{0} = H_{+}^{0} = \langle \begin{array}{c} & + \\ & 2n+1 \end{array} \\ H_{+}^{0} = (1 - 1)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$$

where the wave functions have been de ned previously by (30). Here, for the brevity of the notation, we om it the upper indices indicating the quantum state. An explicit dependence of $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ on time given by the multiplier cost is assumed to be slower as compared with the period of passages from one degenerate state to another produced by the nondiagonal matrix elements H^{0}_{+} . Therefore below, perturbations $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ will be treated as time-independent perturbations able to produce the above-mentioned passages. Therefore in the area of degenerate states the system can be found in the time-dependent superposition state [17, 23]:

$$_{2n}$$
 (t) = C_n^+ (t) $_{2n}^+$ + C_n^- (t) $_{2n}^-$: (56)

Probability amplitudes C_n (t) are found by means of the following fundamental quantum -

mechanical equation expressing the causality principle:

$$i \frac{dC_{n}^{+}}{dt} = (E_{on} + H_{++}^{0})C_{n}^{+} + H_{+}^{0} C_{n};$$

$$i \frac{dC_{n}}{dt} = H_{+}^{0} C_{n}^{+} + (E_{on} + H_{-}^{0})C_{n};$$
(57)

It is easy show that in the case of our problem it should be assumed that $H_{++}^{0} = H^{0}$ and $H_{+}^{0} = H_{+}^{0}$. Let us investigate changes that occurred in the state of the system during time T while the system was in the area G , (i.e., during the time of movement to the left from 1^{n} and, reversal, to the right to 1^{n}). It will be assumed that T is part of the period of modulation T. For arbitrary initial values the system of equations (57) has a solution

$$C_{n}^{+}(t) = \frac{C_{+}(0) + C_{-}(0)}{2} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(E_{-} H^{0})t\right] + \frac{C_{+}(0) - C_{-}(0)}{2} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(E_{-} H^{0})t\right];$$

$$C_{n}(t) = \frac{C_{+}(0) + C_{-}(0)}{2} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(E_{-} H^{0})t\right] - \frac{C_{+}(0) - C_{-}(0)}{2} \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}(E_{-} H^{0})t\right];$$
(58)

where $E ! E_0 + H_{++}^0$; $E ! E_0 + H_0^0$; $H_+^0 ! H_0^0$:

A fler com plem enting $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (58) with the factor $\cos t$, we can take into consideration also a slow time dependent change of perturbation (H⁰! H⁰cos t):

Let ${\tt m}$ of the state $_{2n}$ of the degenerate area. Then as the initial conditions we take

$$C_{n}(0) = 1; C_{n}^{+}(0) = 0$$
 (59)

as initial conditions. Substituting (59) into (58), for the amplitudes C (t) we obtain

C (t) =
$$e^{(i=\sim)Et} \cos !t;$$

C₊ (t) = $ie^{(i=\sim)Et} \sin !t; ! = \frac{H^{0}}{\sim}:$ (60)

Now, using (60), for the distribution j (t) f (56) we obtain

$$j (t) j^{2} = \cos^{2} \left(\frac{H^{0}}{2}t\right) j (t) j^{2} + \sin^{2} \left(\frac{H^{0}}{2}t\right) j + (t) j^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(2\frac{H^{0}}{2}t\right) [_{+} (t) (t) (t) (t) _{+} (t)]: \qquad (61)$$

In the expression for j (t) \hat{j} the rst two terms correspond to the transition probabilities

! + and ! +, respectively, while the third term corresponds to the interference of these states. D istribution (61) corresponds to a pure state.

Note that (like any other parameter of the problem) the value H $^{\circ}$ contains a certain small error H $^{\circ}$ H $^{\circ}$, which during the time of one passage 2 ~=H $^{\circ}$ leads to an insigni cant correction of the phase 2 (H $^{\circ}$ =H $^{\circ}$). However, during the time T a phase incursion takes place and a smallerror H $^{\circ}$ m ay lead to uncertainty of phase (H $^{\circ}$ =~) T, which m ay turn out to be of order 2. In that case the phase becomes random. Therefore by the moment T the distribution takes the form that can be obtained from (61) by means of averaging with respect to the random phase = (H $^{\circ}$ =~) T.

Hence, after averaging expression (61), equating the interference term to zero and taking into account that

$$\overline{\sin^2\left[(H^{0}-)t\right]} = \overline{\cos^2\left[(H^{0}-)t\right]} = 1=2$$

we get

$$j j^{2} = j (t) j^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (j_{+} j^{2} + j_{-} j^{2});$$
 (62)

where the stroke above denotes the averaging with respect to time. The obtained form ula (62) is the distribution of a mixed state, which contains probabilities of degenerate states $j = \hat{j}$ with the same weights 1=2. The assumption that a large phase is a random value that, after averaging, makes the interference term equal to zero is frequently used in analogous situations [23].

Thus we conclude that if the system remains in the areas G of degenerate states for a long time, T $2 \sim = H^{0}$; T $2 \sim = H^{0}$ during which the system manages to perform a great number of passages, then in the case of a passage to the nondegenerate area G the choice of continuation of the path becom es am biguous. In other words, having reached the branch point, the system may with the same probability continue the path along two possible branches of the M athieu characteristics. The error H⁰ is evidently connected with the error of the m odulation amplitude value. It obviously follows that, when passing the branch point, the mixed state (62) will transform with a 1=2 probability to the states ce and se, as shown in formulas (42) and (43). Analogously, we can prove the validity of all subsequent form ulas for the passage probabilities (44), (45).

c) K inetic stage of evolution

We have already met with one physical problem that can get reduced to the solution of a quantum pendulum (9) - this is a problem of quantum nonlinear resonance. Now we will get to know with other quantum -m echanical problems, that also get reduced to the solution of quantum pendulum.

Note that the parameter !, which is connected with the modulation depth l, has (like any other parameter) a certain small error !, which during the time of one passage t 2 =!, leads to an insigni cant correction in the phase 2 (!=!). But during the time t T, there occur a great number of oscillations (phase incursion takes place) and, in the case T , a small error ! brings to the uncertainty of the phase T ! which may have order 2 . Then we say that the phase is self-chaotized.

Let us introduce the density matrix averaged over a small dispersion !:

$${}^{+}_{n} (t) = {}^{0}_{n} {}^{W}_{n}^{+} (t) {}^{i}_{n} {}^{i}_{n} (t) {}^{A}_{n} ;$$

$${}^{i}_{n} {}^{i}_{n} (t) {}^{W}_{n} (t) {}^{A}_{n} ;$$

$$(63)$$

where W_n (t) = f_n (t) f_i ; F_n (t) = f_n^+ (t) C_n (t) j. The overline denotes the averaging over a small dispersion !

$$\overline{A(!;t)} = \frac{1}{2!} A(x;t) dx$$
(64)

To solve (64) we can write that

$$W_{n}^{+}(t) = \overline{\sin^{2} ! t}; \quad W_{n}(t) = \overline{\cos^{2} ! t}; \quad F_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \overline{j \sin 2! t}; \quad (65)$$

A fter a simple integration of the averaging (64), for the matrix element (65) we obtain

$$W_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad f(2 \ !t) \cos 2! t);$$

$$F_{n}(t) = F_{n}(t) = \frac{1}{2} f(2 \ !t) \sin 2! t;$$

$$f(2 \ !t) = \frac{\sin 2 \ !t}{2 \ !t};$$
(66)

At sm all values of time t (7 = 2 = 1), insu cient for self-chaotization (f (2 ! t) 1), we obtain

$$W_n^+$$
 (t -) = sin² ! t; W_n^- (t -) = cos² ! t; F_n^- (t -) = $\frac{1}{2}$ sin 2! t:

C om paring these values with the initial values (65) of the density matrix elements, we see that the averaging procedure (64), as expected, does not a ect them. Thus, for small times we have

O ne can easily verify that matrix (67) satisfies the condition (t -) = (t -), which is a necessary and su cient condition for the density matrix of the pure state.

For times even smaller than t ⁻, when passages between degenerate states practically fail to occur, by taking the limit!t 1 in (67), we obtain the following relation for the density matrix:

$$_{n}^{+}$$
 (t = 0) = $_{n}^{+}$ (t) = $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (68)

This relation corresponds to the initial condition (59) when the system is in the eigenstate $_{2n}$. Let us now investigate the behavior of the system at times t - when the system gets self-chaotized.

On relatively large time intervals t -, in which the self-chaotization of phases takes place, for the matrix elements we should use general expressions (66). The substitution of these expressions for the matrix elements (66) into the density matrix (63) gives

Hence, for times t - during which the phases get completely chaotized, after passing to the limit !t = 1 in (69), we obtain

where 0 (") is an in nitesimal value of order $=\frac{1}{2!t}$.

 \cap

The state described by the density matrix (70) is a mixture of two quantum states $\frac{1}{2n}$ and $\frac{1}{2n}$ with equal weights. The comparison of the corresponding matrix elements of matrices (70) and (69) shows that they dier in the terms that play the role of quickly changing uctuations. When the limit is t -, uctuations decrease as $\frac{1}{2!t}$ (see Fig. 11 and 12).

Thus the system, which at the time moment t = 0 was in the pure state with the wave function $_{2n}$ (68), gets self-chaotized with a lapse of time t - and passes to the mixed state (70).

In other words, at the initial moment the system had a certain de nite "order" expressed in the form of the density matrix $\frac{1}{n}$ (0) (68). With a lapse of time the system got selfchaotized and the uctuation terms appeared in the density matrix (69). For large times



FIG. 11: Time-dependence of the diagonal matrix element W_n^+ (t) of the density matrix (63), constructed by means of formulas (63), (66) for the parameter values ! = 1 = 1; C_n^+ (0) = 1; C_n^- (0) = 0: As clearly seen from the Figure, the higher the dispersion value of the parameter !, the sooner the stationary value W_n^+ (t > $\frac{1}{!}$) = $\frac{1}{2}$ is achieved.

t - a new "order" boking like a macroscopic order is form ed, which is de ned by matrix (70).

A fler a half-period the system passes to the area of nondegenerate states G (68). In passing through the branch point, there arise nonzero probabilities for passages both to the state α_{2n} and to the state se_{2n}. Both states $\frac{1}{2n}$ and $\frac{1}{2n}$ will contribute to the probability that the system will pass to either of the states α_{2n} and se_{2n} . For the total probability of passage to the states α_{2n} and se_{2n} we obtain respectively

$$P\left(\begin{smallmatrix} +\\ 2n \end{pmatrix} (t) \right) ! \quad \mathfrak{S}_{2n} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} f'(t) \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} f'(t) \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2};$$

$$P\left(\begin{smallmatrix} +\\ 2n \end{pmatrix} (t) \int_{-\infty}^{2r} \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} f'(t) \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} f'(t) \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} f'(t) \mathfrak{S}_{2n} (t') dt'^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{2r} \frac{1}{2$$



FIG.12: The vanishing of nondiagonal matrix elements of the density matrix (63) with a lapse of time t> while the system remained in the degenerate area G . The graph is constructed for the parameter values ! = 1 = 1; $C_n^+(0) = 1$; $C_n^-(0) = 0$; with the aid of form ulas (63) and (66).

Thus, in the nondegenerate area the mixed state is formed, which is dened by the density matrix

where i and k number two levels that correspond to the states ce_{2n} and se_{2n} .

As follows from (72), at this evolution stage of the system, the populations of two nondegenerate levels get equalized. It should be noted that though the direct passage (37) between the nondegenerate levels is not prohibited, perturbation (36) essentially in uences "indirect" passages. Under "indirect" passages we understand a sequence of events consisting a passage $G \ : \ G \$ through the branch point, a set of passages between degenerate states in the area $G \$, and the reverse passage through the branch point $G \$. The "indirect" passages occurring during the m odulation half period T=2 result in the equalization (saturation) of two nondegenerate levels.

As to the nondegenerate area, the role of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ in it reduces to the displacem ent of the system from the left branch point to the right one.

It is easy to verify that after states (72) pass to the states of the degenerate area G_+ , we obtain the mixed state which involves four states $_{2n}$ (') and $_{2n+1}$ ('):

Let us now calculate the probability of four passages from the mixed state $\frac{ik}{2n}$ (70) to

the states $_{2n}$ (') and $_{2n-1}$ ('):

$$P\left(\begin{smallmatrix} ik \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} | \begin{smallmatrix} \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} (ce_{2n} (') + se_{2n} ('))_{2n} (') d'^{2} = \frac{1}{4};$$

$$P\left(\begin{smallmatrix} ik \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} | \begin{smallmatrix} \\ 2n \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z^{2}} (ce_{2n} (') + se_{2n} ('))_{2n-1} (') d'^{2} = \frac{1}{4};$$
(73)

As a result of these passages, in the area G_+ we obtain the mixed state described by the four-dimensional density matrix

where the indices of the density matrix (26) show that the respective matrix elements are taken with respect to the wave functions $_{2n}$ (') and $_{2n+1}$ (') of degenerate states of the area G₊.

It is easy to foresee a further evolution course of the system. At each passage through the branch point, the probability that an energy level will get populated is equally divided between branched states. We can see the following regularity of the evolution of populations for the next time periods.

A fier odd halfperiods, the population of any n the nondegenerate level is de ned as an arithmetic mean of its population and the population of the nearest upper level, while after even halfperiods as an arithmetic mean of its population and the nearest lower level. This population evolution rule can be represented both in the form of Table 2 and in the form of recurrent relations

$$P[n;2k] = P[n+1;2k] = \frac{1}{2} (P[n;2k 1] + P[n+1;2k 1]);$$

$$P [n + 1;2k + 1] = P [n + 2;2k + 1] = \frac{1}{2} (P [n + 1;2k] + P [n + 2;2k]);$$
(75)

where P [n;k] is the population value of the n th level after time k_2^T , where k is an integer number. The creeping of populations among nondegenerate levels is illustrated in Fig.10.



FIG. 13: Results of num erical calculations perform ed by m eans of recurrent relations (75).

This Table is a bigical extrapolation of the analytical results obtained in this subsection. It shows how the population concentrated initially on one level n_0 gradually spreads to other levels. It is assumed that the extrem e upper level $n_0 + 4$ and the extrem e lower level $n_0 - 5$ are forbidden by condition (34) and do not participate in the process. The results of numerical calculations by means of form ulas (75) are given in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14. Fig. 13 shows the distribution of populations of levels after a long time t - T when the population creeping occurs among levels, the number of which is not restricted by (34). Let us assume that at the initial time moment t = 0, only one n_0 - the level is populated with probability P (n_0) = 1. A coording to the recurrent relations (75), with a lapse of each period T "indirect" passages will result in the redistribution of populations of the extrem e levels will decrease according to the lapse of time t = k - T, populations of the extrem e levels will decrease according to the law which follows from (54)

P (
$$n_0$$
 k) $\frac{1}{2^k}$

If the number N of levels de ned by condition (34) is nite, then, after a lapse of a long time, passages will result in a stationary state in which all N levels are populated with the same probability equal to 1=N (see Fig. 14). Let us summarize the results we have obtained above using the notions of statistical physics. A fter a lapse of time T, that can be called the time of initial chaotization, the investigated closed system (quantum pendulum + variable eld) can be considered as a statistical system.

Form ation of statistical distribution of populations of levels P (n) with a lapse of a large evolution time t 1000T of the system. The result shown in this gure corresponds to the

1			1	0				
n ₀ +4	0	0	0	0	0	↑ 0	0	↑ 0
n ₀ + 3	0	0	0	0	↑ 1/16	↓ 1/16	▲ 3/32	
n ₀ + 2	0	0	0	↑ 1/8	↓ 1/16	↑ 1/8	↓ 3/32	↑ ^{1/8}
n ₀ +1	0	0	↑ 1/4	↓ 1/8	↑ 3/16	↓ 1/8		↓ _{1/8}
no	1	↑ ^{1/2}	★ 1/4	↑ 1/4	♦ 3/16	▲ 3/16	↓ 5/32	
n ₀ - 1	0		↑ 1/4	↓ _{1/4}	↑ 3/16	➡ 3/16	\$ 5/32	↓ _{5/32}
n ₀ - 2	0	0	↓ 1/4	↑ ^{1/8}	↓ 3/16	▲ ^{1/8}	↓ _{5/32}	▲ ^{1/8}
n ₀ - 3	0	0	0	¥ 1/8	↑ ^{1/16}	★ 1/8	▲ 3/32	↓ 1/8
n ₀ - 4	0	0	0	0	↓ 1/16	↑ ^{1/16}	↓ 3/32	▲ ^{3/32}
n ₀ - 5	0	0	0	0	0	• 0	0	↓ 0
N	t = 0	t = T/2	t = T	t = 3T/2	t = 2T	t = 5T/2	t = 3T	t = 7T/2

TABLE II: Evolution of populations of non-degenerate levels

case for which the level population creeping is not restricted by condition (34).

At that, the closed system consists of two subsystems: the classical variable eld (36) that plays the role of "a therm ostat" with an in nitely high temperature and the quantum pendulum (7). A weak (indirect) interaction of the subsystems produces passages between non-degenerate levels. A fler a lapse of time t T this interaction ends in a statistical equilibrium between the subsystems. A saresult, the quantum pendulum subsystem acquires the therm ostat temperature, which in turn leads to the equalization of level populations. The equalization of populations usually called the saturation of passages can be interpreted as the acquisition of an in nite temperature by the quantum pendulum subsystem.

W ith a lapse of a large time intervalt 1000T the form ation of stationary distribution of populations among levels takes place. By computer calculations it was found that in the stationary state all N levels satisfying condition (34) were populated with equal probability 1=N.



FIG. 14: Results of num erical calculations perform ed by m eans of recurrent relations (75).

G. A nalogy between the classical and the quantum consideration.

The quantum -m echanical investigation of the universal Ham iltonian (m athem atical pendulum), which is reduced to the investigation of the M athieu-Schrodinger equation, showed that on the plane (E;21) there exist three areas G_+ ; G_- and G_- (see Fig. 8 and 9) di ering from each other in their quantum properties. Motion in the area of degenerate states G is a quantum analog of rotating motion of the pendulum, while motion in the area of degenerate states G₊ is an analog of oscillatory motion of the pendulum. The area G lying between G and G₊ can be regarded as a quantum analog of the classical separatrix. The main quantum peculiarity of the universal H am iltonian is the appearance of branching and m erging points along energy term lines. Branching and merging points de ne the boundaries between the degenerate areas G and the non-degenerate area G. If the system de ned by the universal Ham iltonian is perturbed by a slow ly changing periodic eld, then on the plane (E;21) the in uence of this eld produces the motion of the system along the Mathieu characteristics. If, moreover, the system is in degenerate areas for a su ciently long time, then the phase incursion of wave function phases occurs while the system passes through branching points, which leads to the transition from the pure state to the mixed one. As a result of a multiple passage through branching points, the populations creep by energy term s (Fig. 10). The thus obtained mixed state can be regarded as a quantum analog of the classical stochastic layer. The number of levels a ected by the irreversible creeping process is de ned by the am plitude of the slow ly changing eld.



FIG. 15: A nalogy between the classical and quantum considerations. Unperturbed motion. a) C lassical case. Phase plane. Separatrix; b) Q uantum case. Speci c dependence of the energy spectrum on the parameter (M athieu characteristics). D egenerate G and non-degenerate G areas of the spectrum.

The classical mathematical pendulum may have two oscillation modes (rotational and oscillatory), which on the phase plane are separated by the separatrix (see Fig. 15 a).

On the plane (E;21) the quantum pendulum has two areas of degenerate states G and G_+ . Quantum states from the area G possesses translational symmetry in the pendulum phase space. These states are analogous to the classical rotationalmode. Quantum states from the degenerate area G_+ possess symmetry with respect to the equilibrium state of the pendulum (see axis in Fig. 1) and therefore are analogous to the classical oscillatory state. On the plane (E;21), the area of non-degenerate states G, which lies between the areas G_+ and G, contains the line E = 21 corresponding to the classical separatrix (see Fig. 15 b). If the classical pendulum is subjected to harmonically changing force that perturbs a trajectory near to the separatrix, then the perturbed trajectory acquires such a degree of complexity that it can be assumed to be a random one. Therefore we say that a stochastic motion layer (so-called stochastic layer) is form ed in the neighborhood of the separatrix



FIG. 16: A nalogy between the classical and quantum considerations. Perturbed motion: a) C lassical case. Stochastic trajectories in the neighborhood of the separatrix form the stochastic layer (cross-hatched area); b) Q uantum case. The mixed state was formed as a result of population of non-degenerate levels situated on both sides of the classical separatrix.

(see Fig.16 a). In the case of quantum consideration, the periodic perturbation (36) brings about passages between degenerate states. As a result of repeated passages, before passing to the area G the system gets self-chaotized, passes from the pure state to the mixed one and further evolves inteversibly. W hile it repeatedly passes through the branch points, the redistribution of populations by the energy spectrum takes place. Only the levels whose branch points satisfy condition (34), participate in the redistribution of populations (see Fig.16 b).

H. Investigation of quantum chaos of internal rotation motion in polyatom ic molecules.

Let us consider two limiting cases of a low and a high energy barrier. In the limit of a low barrier V_o ! 0, the M athieu-Schrodinger equation (18) in plies the equation for free

rotation

$$\frac{d^2}{d'^2} + \frac{2I}{2} "_r = 0$$

From which for the energy spectrum we obtain $"_r = (\sim^2=2I)r^2$, where r = 0;1;2;:::are integer numbers. U sing the above-given numerical estimates for the molecule of ethane C_2H_6 , we obtain $"_r = 0.21 = 10^{11}r^2J$, which corresponds to the cyclic frequency of rotation

2.0 $10r^2$ rad=s. C om paring the expression for energy with the value of the ethane molecule barrier we see that only levels with a su ciently large quantum number (r > 10) are located high above the barrier and it is only for such levels that the considered limit is valid.

In another limiting case of a high barrier V_0 the rotator is most of the time inside one of the potential wells where it perform s torsional motions. In that case can be treated as a sm all angle. A fter expanding the potential energy in the Schrodinger equation (9) into $1 \quad 2^2$, we obtain a quantum equation for the oscillator, whose energy smallanglescos2 spectrum has the form " $_{r} = (r + 1=2) \sim !$, where $! = n^{p} \overline{V_{o}=21}$ 6:0 10rad=s. For the energy spectrum of small torsional oscillations we obtain $"_r$ (r + 1=2) 0:63 20 **0.** If we com pare the obtained expression for the spectrun with the corresponding num erical value of the barrier, then we can see that only the rst two levels " $_{\circ}$ 0.32 10°J;" 0:95 1^ê⁰J 1:58 $1\hat{\theta}^0 J$ are located in the wellbut not at a su ciently large depth that would and $"_2$ allow us to assume that passages between them correspond to small oscillations. Thus we can conclude that for internal rotation of the m olecule of ethane C_2H_6 the approximation of sm all oscillations is not carried out su ciently well, while the approximation of free rotation is carried out for large quantum numbers.

A real quantitative picture of the internal rotation spectrum can be obtained by means of the M athieu-characteristics when the points of intersection of the line $l = l_0$ with the M athieu-characteristics is projected on to the energy axis (see Fig.17).

These conclusions are in good agreement with experimental data. In particular, in the experiment we observed the infrared absorption by molecules of C_2H_6 at a frequency 8:7 1¹0^H z [24]. For an energy difference between the levels participating in the absorption process we have the estimate " $_{exper}$ 0:54 1⁰J. Comparing the experimental result with the energy difference between two neighboring levels in the case of approximation of small oscillations, we obtain



FIG.17: The graphic m ethod of nding energy term s of internal rotation. For the ethane m olecule $l_0 = \frac{2I}{9\kappa^2}V_0$.

where $_{\circ} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{V_{\circ}}{2I}$ is the frequency of sm all oscillations.

Inserting the parameters values for molecules of C_2H_6 , we obtain the estimate " $_{\circ} = 0.63 \quad 10^{20} J$.

A fiber comparing the obtained estimates with the energy dimension between the states described by the wave functions $ce_3(';l_0);se_3(';l_0)$ and applying formula (20), we obtain " $(ce_3(';l_0))$! $se_3(';l_0)$ 0:54 $1\hat{c}^0J$.

C on parative analysis of the obtained estimates gives us the grounds to conclude that the energy levels corresponding to the states ce_3 ('; l_o); se_3 ('; l_o) participate in the infrared absorption revealed in the experiment.

A swe see from Fig.17 energy levels involved in the process are distributed in a random manner. In some sense, this resembles RMT. However as number of levels is small, and energy spectrum is well de ned.

Let us assume that the considered quantum system is subjected to a radio frequency (RF) monochromatic pumping whose frequency satisfies the condition $V_{o} = \sim$. This causes a slow modulation of quick electron motions in a molecule. The formation of an energy barrier V_{o} is a result of the averaging over quick electron motions and thus it is obvious that due to the pumping elect the barrier value is time-dependent,

$$V_{o} ! V_{o} + V \cos t$$
: (76)

The depth of modulation V depends on a pumping power. By replacing (76) we obtain the time-dependent Ham iltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{o}(') + \hat{H}^{0}(';t);$$

$$\hat{H}_{o}(') = \frac{\hat{Q}^{2}}{\hat{Q}'^{2}} + \hat{l}_{o}\cos 2';$$

$$\hat{H}^{0}(';t) = 1\cos 2'\cos t;$$

$$1 = \frac{2I}{n^{2} \sim^{2}} V;$$
(78)

Simple calculations show that the matrix elements of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(';t)$ with respect to the wave functions of the nondegenerate area G are equal to zero

$$he_{n} \#^{0}(';t) = n i \qquad l \qquad e_{n}(') \cos 2' = 0; \qquad (79)$$

where n is any integer number. Therefore perturbation (78) cannot bring about passages between nondegenerate levels.

The interaction $\hat{H}^{0}(';t)$, not producing passages between levels, should be inserted in the unperturbed part of the H am iltonian. The H am iltonian obtained in this manner can be considered as slow ly depending on time.

Thus, in the nondegenerate area the H am iltonian can be written in the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta'^2} + l(t)\cos 2';$$
 (80)

Because of the modulation of the parameter l(t) the system passes from one area to another, getting over the branch points.

As di erent from the nondegenerate state area G, in the areas of degenerate states G and G₊, the nondiagonalm atrix elements of perturbation $\hat{H}^{0}(t)$ (78) are not equal to zero.

For example, if we take the matrix elements with respect to the wave functions $_{2n+1}$, then for the left degenerate area G it can be shown that

$$H_{+}^{0} = H_{+}^{0} = h_{2n+1}^{+} \dot{H}^{0}(';t) j_{2n+1} i \qquad 1 \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2^{2} \\ 1 \\ 2^{2}n+1 \\ 2n+1 \\ 2n+1 \\ 2n+1 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \end{array}$$
(81)

Note that the value H_{+}^{0} has order equal to the pumping modulation (78) depth 1:

A nalogously to (81), we can write an expression for even 2n states as well.

An explicit dependence of $\hat{H}^0(';t)$ on time given by the factor $\cos t$ is assumed to be slow as compared with the period of passages between degenerate states that are produced by the nondiagonal matrix elements H^0_+ . Therefore below the perturbation \hat{H}^0_+ (';t) will be assumed to be the time-independent perturbation that can bring about passages between degenerate states.

In a degenerate area the system may be in the time-dependent superpositional state

$$_{2n}$$
 (t) = C_n^+ (t) $_{2n}^+$ + C_n^- (t) $_{2n}^-$: (82)

The probability amplitudes C_n (t) are dened by means of the fundamental quantum – mechanical equation, expressing the casuality principle. We write such equations for a pair of doubly degenerate states:

$$ir \frac{dC_{n}^{+}}{dt} = (E_{on} + H_{++}^{0})C_{n}^{+} + H_{+}^{0} C_{n};$$

$$ir \frac{dC_{n}}{dt} = H_{+}^{0} C_{n}^{+} + (E_{on} + H_{-}^{0})C_{n};$$
(83)

where the matrix elements are taken with respect to degenerate wave functions (see (81)) and E_{on} is the energy of the n th degenerate level near a branch point.

Let us assume that at the initial moment of time the system was in the degenerate state $_{2n}$. Then as initial conditions we should take

$$C_n(0) = 1; \quad C_n^+(0) = 0:$$
 (84)

Having substituted (82) into (81), for the amplitudes we obtain

$$C_{n}^{+}(t) = \operatorname{iexp}\left(-\frac{i}{E}t\right) \sin t;$$

$$C_{n}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{E}t\right) \cos t;$$
(85)

 $E = E_{on} + H^0$;

where $! = \frac{2}{2} = \frac{H_{+}^{0}}{2}$ is the frequency of passages between degenerate states, is the passage time.

Note that the parameter ! has (like any other parameter) a certain small error !, which during the time of one passage t 2 = !, leads to an insigni cant correction in the phase 2 (!=!). However, if during the time t T, when the system is the degenerate area (T < T;T = 2 =) there occurs a great number of passages (T), then for T! 2, a small error ! leads to the phase uncertainty. Then we say that the phase is self-chaotized. The self-chaotization form ed in this manner can be regarded as the embryo of a quantum chaos which, as we will see in the sequel, further spreads to other states.

A fler a half-period, the system passes to the area of nondegenerate states G. In passing through the branch point, there arise nonzero probabilities for passages both to the state ϖ_{2n} (') and to the state se_{2n} ('). Thus, in the nondegenerate area them ixed state is form ed, which is defined by the density matrix

where i and k number two levels that correspond to the states e_{2n} (') and se_{2n} (').

As follows from (86), at this evolution stage of the system, the populations of two nondegenerate levels get equalized. It should be noted that though the direct passage (79) between the nondegenerate levels is prohibited, perturbation (78) essentially in uences "indirect" passages. Under "indirect" passages we understand a sequence of events consisting a passage G ! G through the branch point, a set of passages between degenerate states in the area G , and the reverse passage through the branch point G ! G. The "indirect" passages occurring during the m odulation half period T=2 result in the equalization (saturation) of two nondegenerate levels.

Thus "indirect" passages are directly connected with a quantum chaos. Hence, by xing "indirect" passages we thereby x the presence of a quantum chaos.

Let us assume that the investigated molecule is a component of a substance in a gaseous or liquid state. Then the molecular therm almotion, which tries to establish an equilibrium distribution of populations according to Boltzm an's law, will be a "competing" process for



FIG. 18: A therm odynam ic scheme of the process. Subsystem 1 is a usual therm ostat with tem – perature T_0 , subsystem 2 is a therm ostat having an in nite temperature and consisting of the interaction $\text{H}^{0}(';t)$ (78); the subsystem 3 is the quantum system corresponding to internal rotations of m olecules and being able to receive energy from subsystem 2 and to transfer it to subsystem 1.

the quantum chaos described above. U sing therm odynam ic term inology, we can say that the considered quantum system is located between two therm ostats. One of them with medium temperature T_o tries to retain therm all equilibrium in the system, while the other, having an in nite temperature, tries to equalize the populations.

A n equation describing the change of populations according to the scheme shown in Fig.18 has the form (a)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2W n_{i} \frac{n_{i} n_{i}^{(o)}}{T_{1}}; \qquad (87)$$

where 2W is a probability of "indirect" passages and T_1 is the time of therm all chaotization. B been bergen, Parcell and Pound used equation (87) to describe the process of saturation of nuclear magnetic resonance in solid bodies [25]. For a stationary distribution of populations from (87) we obtain

$$n_{i} = n_{i}^{(0)} \frac{1}{1+s};$$
(88)

where $s = 2W T_1$ is called the saturation parameter. For s = 1 "indirect" passages have a stronger e ect on the system than therm alprocesses.

D i erence from the magnetic resonance consists in the expression of the transition probability W . W hereas, in the case of magnetic resonance, transition probability 2W H_1^2 = is proportional to the pumping intensity H₁ and inversely proportional to the line width , in the case of non-direct transitions, transition probability 2W 1=T does not depend on the oscillation amplitude V and is inversely proportional to the oscillation period T (i.e. to the time of motion between branch points). But, it is worth to keep in m ind, that the amplitude of oscillation m ust be large enough for the system to achieve the branch points.

Thus, along with the conditions that the perturbation is adiabatic $V_0 = \sim$, phase and self-chaotization during the process of multiple transitions between incursion T the degenerated states takes place T ! 2 ; ! !; T < T. the condition s 1 is a necessary condition for the formation of a quantum chaos. In the opposite limiting case $n_{t}^{(0)}$, the quantum chaos will be completely suppressed by therm almotion. S 1; n_i Let us proceed to discussing the quantum chaos possible experimental observation. The most suitable material for this purpose in our opinion is ethane C_2H_6 . But, by use of easy estimations one can prove, that for the ethane in the gaseous state, conditions of the quantum chaos observation hardly can be achieved. Really the requirement that modulation $V_0 = 10^4 \sec^1$, leads to the necessity to take the modulation frequency must be slow from the transition radio frequency range 0:3 10 sec¹:

In the case of gases the therm all chaotization time is estimated by the form ula $T_1 \stackrel{d}{=} p \stackrel{p}{=} p \stackrel{q}{=} p \stackrel{q}{=} (2kT_0=m)^{1=2}$ is a mean motion velocity of molecules. After substituting the numerical values for C_2H_6 , we obtain T_1 0:3 $10^{11} \stackrel{p}{=} 1=T_0$; T_0 200°K > 184°K, (where 184°K is the boiling point of the ethane at norm all pressure). Then for the time of therm all chaotization and for the saturation param – eter we get respectively: T_1 0:3 10^{2} sec; S ($T_1=T$) 1. Hence, therm all chaotization and quantum chaos are equally manifested in the system.

In the case of a liquid under T_1 we should understand the mean time of the settled life of a molecule, which is about 10⁸ sec. Relatively large times of relaxation in liquids ensure the

ful lm ent of the saturation condition (s $T_1=T$ 10^4). In such a way, for ethane C₂H₆ in the liquid state, quantum chaos caused by the non-direct transitions has stronger in uence upon the system then common thermal chaotization. At the same time, the state with the equally populated levels will be formed in the system.

Suppose, the system (ethane in the liquid state) is subjected to the action of a infrared radiation eld and infrared absorption at the frequency 289sec^{-1} is observed [24]. This absorption corresponds to the transitions between the states se₃ \$ ∞_3 . Furtherm ore, let us suppose that along with the infrared, the radio frequency pumping which can cause the non-direct transitions is applied. This in its turn leads to the discontinuance of the infrared absorption. This phenom enon can be considered as an observation of quantum chaos.

Now we consider the example of the reaction of isom erization LiCH CHLi, that was discussed in subsection E. A swasm entioned above them ost simplied model, corresponding to this process, can be presented with the aid of M athieu-Schrödinger equation (77). It is known, that energy barrier of transition of the process of vibration of the atom Li in the process of isom erization V ' 7 10^{20} J. The moment of inertia is $I_{Li} = 1.1 \quad 10^{46}$ m cg² That is why, in the case $\frac{V_0}{\sim !_0} = \frac{P}{2V_0 I_{Li}}$ 19 number of vibration energy levels are packed in the potential well. Now it is not hard to determ ine energy spectrum with the aid of M athieu characteristics.

For this process the presence of chaotically distributed levels in infrared region was established with the aid of num erical experiments.

Now let us deal with the problem of nonlinear quantum resonance from which we have begun review. To begin with let us estim at resonance value of I_0 , close to which variation of values of I m ay take place. By de nition resonance value of action I₀ is determined from equation ! $I_0 + I_n (I_0) = 0$. By taking typical values of parameters, know from optics: $I_0 = 10^{45} \text{sec}^{-1}$; ! $I_0 \cdot 10^{12} \text{sec}^{-1}$; ' 4 ft $I_0 = 0.5$ for the value of a section $I_0 = \frac{1 - I_0}{3} \frac{2m I_0^2}{3}$ ' $0.5 = 10^{29} \text{J}$ sec. This value of action $I = \sim 10.5 = 5 \text{ ltm}$ es as much as P lank constant. So, resonance takes place on very high levels (in quasi-classical region) of atom s potential well. A s we have done in previous cases, it is possible to not variation spectrum of action I with the aid of M athieu characteristics. For this, we must know the value of dimension less parameter $I_0 = 2V (I_0) = 10^{-2}$. It is easy to not the value $10^{-2} \cdot 2 = 10^{40} \text{J}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-2}$ and for strong light elds $f_0 \cdot 10^{8} \text{V}=\text{m}$. As a result we obtain $I_0 \cdot 10^{40}$. This means in its turn, that there are a large number of levels in potential well. Because of the large value of I_0 , it is



FIG.19: Energy spectrum of the process of isom erization LiCH CHLi, obtained as spectrum of eigenvalues of M athieu-Schrodinger equation, when $l_0 = \frac{I_{Li}}{2^{-2}}V_0$ ' 350: Speed of energy levels close to $E = 2l_0$ 700 can easily be observed in gure.

not possible to determ ine spectrum by method, used previously, with the aid of M athieu characteristics. However, by extrapolating it is possible to expect, that at approaching the top of well, alongwith the common attraction of levels, their chaotization will take place. In our opinion, chaotically distributed levels of great density are the basis for random matrix assumption.

II. THE PECULIAR IT IES OF ENERGY SPECTRUM OF QUANTUM CHAOTIC SYSTEM S.RANDOM MATRIX THEORY.

In the rst part of this work we have considered the possibility of form ation of mixed state in quantum chaotic system. The peculiarities of spectral characteristics of the universal H am iltonian (7) of concrete physical system corresponding to the M athieu-Schrodinger equation (9) was a cause of this.

In the present part we shall try to get the same results proceeding from more general

consideration. In particular, we shall use methods of random matrixes theory [7, 8], [26].

Study of quantum reversibility and motion stability is of great interest [27]. This interest is due to not only the fundam ental problem of irreversibility in quantum dynamics, but also to practical application. In particular, it reveals itself in relation to the eld of quantum computing [28].

A quantity of central importance which has been on the focus of many studies [29, 30, 31, 32] is the so-called delity f (t), which measures the accuracy to which a quantum state can be recovered by inverting, at time t, the dynam ics with a perturbed Ham iltonian:

$$f(t) = j \langle \dot{p}^{i\hat{H}t}e^{-i\hat{H}_{0}t}j \rangle \hat{f}; \qquad (89)$$

where is the initial state which evolves in time t with the Ham iltonian \hat{H}_0 while $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + V$ is perturbed Ham iltonian. The analysis of this quantity has shown that under some restrictions, the series taken from f (t) is exponential with a rate given by the classical Lyapunov exponent [26]. But here a question appears. The point is that the origin of the dynam ic stochasticity, which is a reason of irreversibility in classical case, is directly related to the nonlinearity of equations of motion, For classical chaotic system this nonlinearity leads to the repulsion of phase trajectories at a su ciently quick rate [1, 2].

In case of quantum consideration, the dynamics of a system is described by a wave function that obeys a linear equation and the notion of a trajectory is not used at all. Hence, at rst sight it seems problematic to nd out the quantum properties of systems, whose classical consideration reveals their dynamic stochasticity.

In this part of the paper, by using of m ethod of random m atrix theory (RMT), we try to show that quantum chaotic dynamics is characterized by the transition from a pure quantum -m echanical state into the m ixed one.

W ith this purpose we shall consider a case when the system 's H am iltonian m ay be presented in the form :

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + V(t);$$
 (90)

where \hat{H}_0 is chaotic H am iltonian with irregular spectrum, \hat{V} (t+ T_0) = \hat{V} (t) periodic in time perturbation. We shall try to show that in this case irreversibility in the system appears as a result of loss of information about the phase factor of the wave function. At the same time, unlike of the second part of the paper where we have proved this fact proceeding from the spectral peculiarities of the concrete system, now we shall prove this in more general

m anner. For this reason we shall make use of the fact that according to RM T the eigenvalues of chaotic H am iltonian can be considered as a set of random number [33, 34].

A fter taking (90) into account, the solution of the time-dependent Schrodinger equation

$$\underline{\hat{H}}_{(t)} = \hat{H}(t) j \quad (t) >$$
(91)

can be written form ally with the help of a time-dependent exponential [7]

$$U (t) = \exp[i_{0}^{2} dt^{0} \hat{H} (t^{0})];$$
(92)

where the positive time ordering requires:

$$[A (t)B (t^{0})]_{t} = \begin{cases} c \\ < A (t)B (t^{0}) \\ : B (t^{0})A (t) & \text{if } t > t^{0} \\ : B (t^{0})A (t) & \text{if } t < t^{0} \end{cases}$$
(93)

In our case H $(t + T_0 k) = H (t)$; k = 1;2;::: the evolution operator referring to one period T_0 , the so-called F louget operator U $(T_0) = F$ [7], is worthy of consideration, since it yields the stroboscopic view of the dynam ics

$$j (kT_0) > = (\hat{F})^n j (0) > :$$
 (94)

The F buget operator being unitary has unimodular eigenvalues. Suppose we can deigenvectors $\mathbf{j}_n > \mathbf{o}$ the F buget operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{n} > = e^{\boldsymbol{i}'_{n}} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{n} > ;$$

$$< \boldsymbol{\prime}_{n} \, \boldsymbol{j}_{m} > = _{nm} :$$

$$(95)$$

Then, with the eigenvalue problem solved, the stroboscopic dynamics may be written explicitly

$$j(kT_0) > = \sum_{n=1}^{X} e^{ik'_n} < '_n j(0) j_n > :$$
 (96)

As it was mentioned above, our aim is to prove that one of the signs of the emergence of quantum chaos is a formation of the mixed state. Being initially in a pure quantum – mechanical state, described by the wave function j >, the system during the evolution makes an irreversible transition to the mixed state.

The information about whether the system is in the mixed state or in the pure one, may be obtained from the form of the density matrix [35]. Using (96) as a density matrix of the system we get the following expression:

$$nm (t = kT_0) = A_{nm} e^{ik(m'n)};$$
(97)

$$A_{nm} = \langle '_{m} j (0) \rangle \langle (0) j'_{n} \rangle :$$
 (98)

Exponential phase factors of the non-diagonal matrix elements $_{nm}$ (t) express the principle of quantum coherence [36], and correspond to the complete quantum -mechanical description of the system in pure quantum -mechanical state. While they are not equal to zero, the system is in the pure state. So, to prove the formation of the mixed state one has to show zeroing of non-diagonal elements of density matrix.

A coording to the main hypothesis of the random matrix theory [7], the phase f (n;m) = $n_m n_n$ in the exponential factors of the non-diagonal matrix elements in (97) is a random quantity. So, it is clear that the values of matrix elements of density matrix of the chaotic quantum -mechanical system n_m (k) are random values too. Taking a statistical average of expression (97), we have

<
$$_{nm}$$
 (k) > = < $A_{nm} e^{ik('m (n))}$: (99)

Then in case of random phase f (n;m) has a norm ald ispersion, we get [37]:

nm (k)
$$e^{\frac{2k^2}{2}}$$
: (100)

This phenom enon is connected with the "phase incursion". Uncertainty of phase in (97) is accumulated little by little with time. Finally, when $k > \frac{p}{2}$; the uncertainty of phase is of order 2 , and the phase is completely chaotized. As a result the system passes into mixed state.

A coording to the theory presented above, calculations were made for concrete physical system s. In particular, in work [37] chaotic system of connected oscillators was studied, and in work [38] K epler's asymmetry problem. The numerical results obtained in these works prove the formula (100) to be correct.

A fler form ation of the m ixed state the quantum -m echanical consideration loses its m eaning and there is a need to use a kinetic description.

For derivation of master equation let us split the density matrix operator $^{\circ}$ on a slow $^{\circ}_{R}$ and a fast varying $^{\circ}_{NR}$ operators:

$$^{*} = ^{*}_{R} + ^{*}_{NR}$$
: (101)

Relevant part $^{n}_{R}$ in the basis j_ni contains only diagonal elements, whereas nonrelevant part $^{n}_{NR}$ contains only nondiagonal elements. These elements, as it was shown in previous

section, contain fast oscillating exponents and when taking average over the ensemble, the zeroing of them takes place. E limination of the diagonal part from the density matrix is linear operation, which satis es the property of projection operator $\hat{D}^2 = \hat{D}$ [37]

$$_{\rm R}^{} = \dot{\rm D}^{} ; {}_{\rm N R}^{} = (1 \quad \dot{\rm D}^{})^{} ;$$
 (102)

Let us note that this relection is nonreversible. Due to the zeroing of nondiagonal part of the density matrix a part of information is lost.

In as nuch as relevant statistical operator $^{R}_{R}$ (t) is dimensioned in the total operator $^{(t)}$, generally speaking it does not suit Liouville-Fon Neumann equation [35]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\hat{L}^{*} = 0; \qquad (103)$$

where \hat{L} is Liouville operator. A fler acting on the equation (103) with \hat{D} operator, we get

$$\frac{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{R}}{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{t}} + i\hat{\mathbf{D}}\hat{\mathbf{L}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{R} + \hat{\mathbf{N}}_{R}\right) = 0; \qquad (104)$$

$$\frac{\hat{0}_{NR}}{\hat{0}t} + i(1 \quad \hat{D})\hat{L}(\hat{R} + \hat{N}_{NR}) = 0:$$
(105)

For the purpose to obtain closed equation for $\hat{}_{\rm R}$ we exclude from the equation (104) $\hat{}_{\rm N\,R}$. As a result we get

$$\frac{(\hat{e}_{R}^{(t)})}{(\hat{e}_{t}^{(t)})} + \hat{D}\hat{L}^{(t)}_{R}(t) + K(t t_{i})^{(t)}_{R}(t_{i})dt_{i} = \hat{D}\hat{L}\exp[i(t t_{i})(1 t_{i})\hat{L}]^{(t)}_{NR}(t_{o}); (106)$$

$$K (t \ t) = \hat{D} \hat{L} \exp[i(t \ t) (1 \ \hat{D}) \hat{L}] (1 \ \hat{D}) \hat{L}:$$
(107)

This equation is valid for $t = \frac{p}{2} = c_{c}$. Evidently \hat{r}_{R} (t) is expressed by way of values of \hat{r}_{R} (t₁) taken for the time interval $t_{o} < t_{1}$ t, and additionally through the value of \hat{r}_{R} (t_o). If in the initial moment of time $t = t_{o}$ the system is in a pure quantum -m echanical state, then \hat{r}_{NR} (0) $\in 0$.

In this case solving the equation (107) is problem atical. However let us recollect that for $t_o > \frac{p}{2}$ system is already in a mixed state. Therefore equation (107) takes more simple form ($\gamma_{\rm NR}$ (0) = 0)

$$\frac{\partial \hat{R}_{t}(t)}{\partial t} + i\hat{D}\hat{L}\hat{R}_{R}(t) + K(t \pm)\hat{R}(t_{1})dt_{1} = 0:$$
(108)

For solving equation (108) we shall use a method of superoperators [39]. But before performing this, we should note, that we have obtained a closed equation for relevant part of statistical operator. We were able to come to this only because when $t > t_0 = \frac{p}{2}$ the system is in the mixed state and all nondiagonal matrix elements of the density matrix are equal to zero.

Further when studying the evolution of the system we shall consider as the origin of time the moment of the form ation of the mixed state in the system. This corresponds to a form al transition to limit t_0 ! 1. Further for simplication of (108) we shall use A beltheorem

$$\lim_{T \downarrow 1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{Z_0} f(t) dt = f(0) \qquad \lim_{T \downarrow + 0} \int_{1}^{Z_0} e^{(t^0)} \frac{d}{dt^0} f^0(t^0) dt^0;$$
(109)

Taking (109) into account, equation (108) will have the following form :

$$\frac{\partial_{R}^{n}(t)}{\partial t} + i\hat{D}\hat{L}^{n}_{R}(t) = \lim_{\substack{\|t\| + 1 \\ \|t\| + 1 \\ \|t\| + 1 \\ \|t\|}} e^{(t^{0} t)}K(t - t^{0})^{n}_{R}(t^{0})dt^{0};$$
(110)

A coording to the method of superoperators [40], the correspondence of one operator to another may be considered as representation. The operator in this case will be represented by a matrix element with two indices, while the linear product of operators is a matrix with four indices, i.e. a superoperator [40]. A concrete example is the projection of D° operator on diagonal elements. We should notice, that in our case, the projection operator is some de nite physical procedure of averaging matrix elements of the density matrix over G aussian chaotic ensemble.

From the relation $\hat{D}_{nm}(t) = _{nm}(t)_{mn}$ we come to the following representation of $\hat{D}_{nmn^0m^0} = _{nn^0 mm^0 nn}$ so that

$$\sum_{m \ 0n^{\circ}} \hat{D}_{m \ nm} \ 0n^{\circ} \ m^{\circ} \ n^{\circ} = \ nm \ nm :$$
 (111)

Taking (111) into account, (110) is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{nn}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}} + i\hat{\mathrm{D}}(\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{R}})_{\mathrm{nn}} = \int_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}_{0}} \mathrm{d}\mathrm{t}^{0} \mathbb{K} \left(-\hat{\mathrm{t}} \right) \hat{}_{\mathrm{R}} \left(\mathrm{t} + \mathrm{t}^{0}\right)]_{\mathrm{nn}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}^{0}} : \qquad (112)$$

In expression (112) and further for short we shallow it R index for diagonalm atrix elements of the operator $^{\circ}$. Considering the relation

$$\mathbf{\hat{L}}; \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{R}]_{nn} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ L_{nnaa \ aa} = 0 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$
(113)

and representing Liouville operator as $L = L_{o} + L^{0}$ in compliance with (113) we get

$$\frac{d_{nn}(t)}{dt} = \int_{1}^{Z_{t}} dt_{l} e^{(t-t_{l})} K_{nnmm}(t-t_{l})_{mn}(t_{l}); \qquad (114)$$

and

$$K_{nnmm}(t) = [L^{0}e^{it(1 D)L}(1 D)L^{0}]_{nnmm}:$$
(115)

From (115), (114) and from representation of Liouville operator in the form $L = L_0 + L^0$, one can see, that kernel K_{nnmm} (t) is at least of second order by x_0 . Next it is easy to check correctness of the expression

$$L^{0}_{abm m} = (V_{am bm} V_{m b am}) = V_{ab} V_{ab} = 0;$$
 (116)

for Liouville superoperator

2

$$L_{m nm} \circ_{n} \circ = (H_{m m} \circ_{nn} \circ H_{nn} \circ_{m m} \circ):$$
(117)

The relation (116) in its turn leads to the rule of sum s^P_m K_{mmnn} = 0. Taking the symmetries $L_{abcd} = L_{cdab}$; $D_{abcd} = D_{cdab}$ into account, from (76) we get [37]

$$\frac{d_{nn}(t)}{dt} = \int_{1}^{Z_{t}} K_{nnmm}(t, t) K_{mmnn}(t, t) K_{mmnn}(t, t) = K_{mmnn}(t, t)$$
(118)

Next we shall make the following approximations. With accuracy up to the value of $(\mathbf{x}_{o})^{2}$ order in the exponent in expression (115), we shall replace the complete Liouville operator $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{0} + \hat{\mathbf{L}}^{0}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{0}$. With the same precision we may set _nn (t = $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{0}$ = _nn (t). As a result from (118) we get

$$\frac{d_{nn}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{\substack{m \in n \\ m \in n}}^{X} [W_{nm mm}(t) \quad W_{mn nn}(t)]; \qquad (119)$$

where

$$W_{nm} = \int_{1}^{Z_{0}} dt^{0} e^{it^{0}} \hat{L}^{0} e^{it(1 \hat{D})\hat{L}_{0}} (1 \hat{D}) \hat{L}^{0}]_{nnmm} :$$
(120)

Sinœ

 $(\hat{D}\hat{L}_{0})_{abcd} = ab[a ac bd b bd ac] = 0;$ (121)

in expression (120) the operator \hat{D} in the argument of exponential function may be omitted. Taking into account the time dependence of the operator $x(t) = x_0 x_0 f(t); f(t) \int_{a=1}^{P} e^{i t}; = 2 = T_0;$ for nondiagonal matrix elements we get [37]:

$$\frac{d_{nn}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{m \in n}^{X} [W_{nm mm}(t) \quad W_{mn nn}(t)]; \qquad (122)$$

where

$$W_{nm} = \frac{1}{2} y_{nm} f (E_{nm}); \qquad (123)$$

is the transition amplitude between the eigenstates of the H am iltonian $\hat{H_o}$; $E_{nm} = \prime_n$ \prime_m ; V_{nm} is the matrix element of the operator $\hat{V_o} = x_o Q_1^2 Q_2^2$ in the basic of eigenfunctions of the H am iltonian $\hat{H_o}$; $V_{nm} = h_n j \hat{V_o} j_m i$.

E quation (122) describes a nonreversible evolution of the system from nonstationary state to the stationary state de ned by the principle of detail equilibrium. To prove irreversibility of the process let us consider time dependence of nonequilibrium entropy [39]

$$S(t) = K_B \prod_{n} (t) \ln (n_n (t));$$
 (124)

where K_B in the Boltzmann constant. Taking into account $P_n nn$ (t) = 1, from (124) we get

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = K_{B} \qquad W_{nm} [mm(t) mn(t)] \ln (mn(t))$$

$$K_{B} \qquad \frac{Q_{nn}(t)}{Qt} = \frac{1}{2} K_{B} \qquad W_{nm} [mm(t) mm(t)] \ln (mn(t)) \ln (mm(t))]; (125)$$

Due to the property of logarithm ic function

$$(_{nn}(t) _{mm}(t))(\ln(_{nn}(t)) \ln(_{mm}(t))) 0;$$
 (126)

we see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{dS}}{\mathrm{dt}} = 0 \tag{127}$$

This testi es the growth of entropy during the evolution process.

M ore exact estimation of entropy growth may be obtained from the principle of detail equilibrium $W_{nm} = W_{mn}$. Taking into account that in our case $W_{nm} = W_{mn}$, for the entropy growth we get

$$S = S(t) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{-} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = S(t = 0) = K_{B} \ln N;$$
 (128)

where N is the number of levels included into the process.

Thus, we can conclude, that the complex structure of energy spectrum of quantum chaotic system leads to the fact that after averaging over sm all spread in values of parameters there occurs form ation of a mixed sate in the system.

III. CONCLUSION

The traditional notion of an area, where the laws of statistical physics are e ective, consists of the assumption that the number of interacting particles is su ciently large. However, a lot of examples of system s with a sm all number of degrees of freedom, where chaotic motions occur, had become known by the end of the last century. A new stage in the developm ent of notions about chaos and its origin appeared in the last two decades of the last century. It turned out that the classical Ham iltonian system may experience a special kind of instability. Because of this instability various dynamic characteristics of the system random ly change with time. Such a property of the system that perform s random motion is called dynamic stochasticity. It is well known that the appearance of non-reversibility in classical chaotic systems is connected with the local instability of phase trajectories relatively to a small change of initial conditions and parameters of the system. C lassical chaotic systems reveal an exponential sensitivity to these changes. This leads to an exponential growth of the initial error with time, and as the result after the statistical averaging over this error, the dynam ics of the system becomes non-reversible. In spite of this, the question about the origin of non-reversibility in quantum case remains actual. The point is that the classical notion of instability of phase trajectories loses its sense during quantum consideration. Therefore m ore detailed analyzes of the possible m echanism s of irreversibility in chaotic quantum -m echanical systems is needed. M ore over even the fact of possibility of em ergence of irreversibility in chaotic quantum -m echanical system s is not evident. Quantum dynam ics is unitary and therefore reversible. But on the other hand, without assumption of sm all initial dispersion of the system's parameters, classical chaotic dynamics also will be reversible. Note that while studying classical chaos, one usually examines the stability of the system relatively to a small change of initial conditions and system parameters. A small initial error of these parameters always exists and remains unavoidable (one may m easure the parameters of the system at a very high precision, but even in this case there is still a sm all error, the rem oval of which, i.e. the m easurem ent at absolute precision, is in possible). So not the existence of the unavoidable error is fundam ental, but what kind of in uence it brings over the system dynamics. It is well known that in case of regular system s such an in uence is negligible, but if the system is chaotic, the e ect of it increases exponentially. In this review paper we touched upon results obtained in our previous works.

We studied paradigm atic model for quantum chaos, time dependent universal H am iltonian (H am iltonian ofm athem atical pendulum). M ain result obtained in those works is that reason of irreversibility in time dependent quantum dynamics of the chaotic quantum -m echanical systems, is a very speci c spectral characteristic of the system. For universal H am iltonian and corresponding to it M athieu-Schrödinger equation above mentioned means very speci c dependence of the energy eigenvalues on the values of potential barrier (see. Fig.1). In addition, transition form pure quantum -m echanical state to the mixed one, was found to be quantum analog of the classical chaotic layer, characterized classical chaotic motion near the separatrix. Results obtained are important not only for better understanding of physics of quantum chaos, but for practical implementation to organic molecules, quantum computing and so on.

A cknow ledgm ents

The designated project has been fulled by nancial support from the Georgian National Foundation (grants: GNSF/STO 7/4-197, GNSF/STO 7/4-179). The nancial support of Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft SFB 484 under contract num ber 21095192 (EC 94/5-1) is gratefully acknow ledged by L.Chotorlishvili.

- R.Z. Sagdeev, D.A. Usikov, and G.M. Zaslavsky, Nonlinear Physics, Harwood Academic, New York (1988).
- [2] A J.Lichtenberg and M A.Lieberm an, Regular and Stochastic M otion, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).
- [3] K.T. Alligood, T.D. Sauer, and J.A. York, Chaos: An Introduction to Dynam ical Systems, Springer, New York (1996).
- [4] M G.Grifoniand P.Hanggi, PhysRep. 304, 229 (1998).
- [5] A.Peres, Phys.Rev.A 30, 1610 (1984).
- [6] P. Jacquod, C. Petitjean arX i 0806.0987 (2008).
- [7] F. Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos, Springer, Berlin (2001).
- [8] H.J.Stockman, Quantum Chaos, An Introduction, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1993).
- [9] A. Ugulava, L. Chotorlishvili, and K. Nickoladze, Phys. Rev. E 68, 026216 (2003).
- [10] A.Ugulava, L.Chotorlishvili, and K.Nickoladze, PhysRev.E 70, 026219 (2004).

- [11] A.Ugulava, L.C. hotorlishvili, and K.N. ickoladze, PhysRev. E 71, 056211 (2005).
- [12] A. Ugulava, L.C. hotorlishvili, T. G. varjaladze, and S.C. hkhaidze, M. od P. hys. Lett. B, 21, 415 (2007).
- [13] G P.Berm an, G M. Zaslavsky, Phys.Lett. A, 61, 295 (1977).
- [14] Janke-Em de-Losh, Tafeln Hoherer Funktionen, Stutgart, 1960.
- [15] H. Batem an, A. Erdelyi, Higher Transcedental Functions, New-York, Toronto, London MC Graw-HillBook Company INC, 1955.
- [16] M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and its Application to Physical Problems, 1954.
- [17] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, Non-relativistic Theory, Pergamon, Oxford (1977).
- [18] G.Herzberg, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Polyatom ic molecules, New York (1945).
- [19] W H.Flygare, Molecular Stucture and Dinamics (Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Clis, New Jersey, 1978).
- [20] R. Essers, J. Tennyson and P. E. S. W orm er, Chemical Physics Letters, 89, 223 (1982).
- [21] F.J.Arranz, F.Borondo, R.M. Benito, The Europian Physical Jornal D 4, 181 (1998).
- [22] F.A.Kaemper, Concepts in Quantum Mechanics, 1965.
- [23] D.Bohm, Quantum Theory (Prentice-Hall, New-York, 1952).
- [24] T. Shim anouchi, Tables of M olecular V ibrational Frequencies C onsolidated, N ational B ureau of Standards, 1, 1-160 (1972).
- [25] N.Bloem bergen, E.Parcelland R.Pound, Phys. Rev. 73, 679 (1948).
- [26] P.G aspard, Chaos, Scattering and Statistical Mechanics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1998).
- [27] M.Hiller, T.Kottos, D.Cohen, T.Geisel, PhysRev.Lett. 92, 010402 (2004).
- [28] Q A. Turchett et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 7, 4710 (1995).
- [29] F.Haug, M.Bienert, W.Schleich, T.Seligman, M.Raizen, Phys. Rev. A, 71, 043803 (2005).
- [30] T. Prosen, M. Znidaric, J. Phys. A, 35, 1455 (2002).
- [31] G.Beneti, G.Casati, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066205 (2002).
- [32] R. Jalabert, H. Pastawski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2490 (2001).
- [33] A.Relano, JM.Gomez, RA.Molina, J.Retamosa and E.Faleiro, PhysRevLett. 89, 244102 (2002).
- [34] A.Relano, J.Retam osa, E.Faleiro, and JM.Gom ez, PhysRev. E 72, 066219 (2005).

- [35] R.P.Feynman, Statistical Mechanics, W.A.Benjaman Inc.Massachusetts (1972).
- [36] W P.Schleich, Quantum Optics in Phase Space, W iley-VCH, Berlin (2001).
- [37] L.Chotorlishvili, V.Skrinnikov, PhysLettA, 372, 761 (2008).
- [38] A. Ugulava, L. Chotorlishvili, T. Kereselidze and V. Skrinnikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. B21, 79 (2007).
- [39] S.Fujita, Introduction to Non-Equilibrium Quantum Statistical Mechanics (W B Saunders Company, Philadelphia-London, 1966).
- [40] S.Nakajima, Progr.TheorPhys., 20, 948 (1958).