

Resummation approach in Fractional APT :
How many loops do we need to calculate?

Alexander P. Bakulev

Bogoliubov Lab. of Theoretical Physics, JINR
Dubna 141980, Russia
E-mail: bakulev@theor.jinr.ru

We give short introduction to the Analytic Perturbation Theory (APT) [1] in QCD, describe its problems and suggest as a tool for their resolution the Fractional APT (FAPT) [2, 3]. We also describe shortly how to treat heavy-quark thresholds in FAPT and then show how to resum perturbative series in both the one-loop APT and FAPT. As applications of this approach we consider the Higgs boson decay $H^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, the Adler function $D(Q^2)$ and the ratio $R(s)$ in the $N_f = 4$ region. Our conclusion is that there is no need to calculate higher-order coefficients d_{n-5} if we are interested in the accuracy of the order of 1%.

1 Basics of APT in QCD

In the standard QCD Perturbation Theory (PT) we know that the Renormalization Group (RG) equation $da_s[L] = -\beta(a_s) dL = -a_s^2 \beta_0 dL$ for the effective coupling $a_s(Q^2) = a_s[L] = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 L}$ with $L = \ln(Q^2/\mu^2)$, $\beta_0(N_f=4) = (11 - 2N_f/3)/(4\pi)$ ¹. Then the one-loop solution generates Landau pole singularity, $a_s[L] = 1/L$.

In the Analytic Perturbation Theory (APT) we have different effective couplings in Minkowskian (Radyushkin [4], and Krasnikov and Pivovarov [5]) and Euclidean (Shirkov and Solovtsov [1]) regions. In Euclidean domain, $q^2 = Q^2$, $L = \ln Q^2 = 2$, APT generates the following set of images for the effective coupling and its n -th powers, $fA_n[L]g_{n,2N}$, whereas in Minkowskian domain, $q^2 = s$, $L_s = \ln s = 2$, it generates another

¹This work is partially done in collaboration with S.M. Mikhailov (JINR) and N. Stefanis (ITP-HIRUB).

²We use notations $f(Q^2)$ and $f[L]$ in order to specify what arguments we mean $|s|$ squared momentum Q^2 or its logarithm $L = \ln(Q^2/\mu^2)$, that is $f[L] = f(Q^2/\mu^2)$ and 2 is usually referred to $N_f = 3$ region.

set, $fA_n[L_s]g_{n2N}$. APT is based on the RG and causality that guaranties standard perturbative UV asymptotics and spectral properties. Power series $\sum_m d_m a_s^m[L]$ transform into non-power series $\sum_m d_m A_m[L]$ in APT.

By the analytization in APT for an observable $f(Q^2)$ we mean the "Kallen-Lehman" representation

$$f(Q^2)_{an} = \int_0^{Z_1} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau + Q^2 - i0} d\tau \quad \text{with} \quad f(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \text{Im} f(\tau) : \quad (1)$$

Then in the one-loop approximation (note pole removed $(e^L - 1)^{-1}$ in (2a))

$$A_1[L] = \int_0^{Z_1} \frac{(\tau)}{\tau + Q^2} d\tau = \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{e^L - 1}; \quad (2a)$$

$$A_1[L_s] = \int_s^{Z_1} \frac{(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{s} \arccos \sqrt{\frac{L_s}{2 + L_s^2}}; \quad (2b)$$

whereas analytic images of the higher powers ($n \geq 2; n \geq N$) are:

$$\frac{A_n[L]}{A_n[L_s]} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dL} \frac{A_1[L]}{A_1[L_s]} : \quad (3)$$

In the standard QCD PT we have also:

(i) the factorization procedure in QCD that gives rise to the appearance of logarithmic factors of the type: $a_s[L];^2$

(ii) the RG evolution that generates evolution factors of the type: $B(Q^2) = Z(Q^2) = Z(\tau^2) B(\tau^2)$, which reduce in the one-loop approximation to $Z(Q^2) = a_s[L]$ with $\tau = \tau_0 = (2b_0)$ being a fractional number.

All these means we need to construct analytic images of new functions: $a_s; a_s L^m; \dots$

In the one-loop approximation using recursive relation (2) we can obtain explicit expressions for $A[L]$ and $A[L_s]$:

$$A[L] = \frac{1}{L} \frac{F(e^{-L}; 1)}{(\cdot)}; \quad A[L_s] = \frac{\sin((\cdot - 1) \arccos \sqrt{\frac{L_s}{2 + L_s^2}})}{(\cdot - 1)(\tau^2 + L_s^2)^{(\cdot - 1)/2}} : \quad (4)$$

Here $F(z; \cdot)$ is reduced Lerch transcendental function, which is an analytic function in $\cdot$. Interesting to note that $A[L]$ appears to be an entire function in $\cdot$, whereas $A[L_s]$

²First indication that a special "analytization" procedure is needed to handle these logarithmic terms appeared in [6], where it has been suggested that one should demand the analyticity of the partonic amplitude as a whole.

is determined completely in terms of elementary functions. They have very interesting properties, which we discussed extensively in our previous papers [2, 7]. Here we only display graphics of $A_2(L)$ and $A_3(L)$ in Fig. 1: one can see here a kind of distorting mirror on both panels.




Figure 1: Graphics of $A_2(L)$ (left panel) and $A_3(L)$ (right panel) for fractional $2/3$.

Construction of FAPT with fixed number of quark flavors, N_f , is a two-step procedure: we start with the perturbative result $a_s(Q^2)$, generate the spectral density $\rho(s)$ using Eq. (1), and then obtain analytic couplings $A_2(L)$ and $A_3(L)$ via Eqs. (2). Here N_f is fixed and factorized out. We can proceed in the same manner for N_f -dependent quantities: $a_s(Q^2; N_f) = a_s(Q^2; N_f)$ ($; N_f$) = $[L; N_f]$ ($=_f$) $A_2(L; N_f)$ and $A_3(L; N_f)$ | here N_f is fixed, but not factorized out.

Global version of FAPT, which takes into account heavy-quark thresholds, is constructed along the same lines but starting from global perturbative coupling $a_s^{glob}(Q^2)$, being a continuous function of Q^2 due to choosing different values of QCD scales μ_f , corresponding to different values of N_f . We illustrate here the case of only one heavy-quark threshold at $s = m_4^2$, corresponding to the transition $N_f = 3 \rightarrow N_f = 4$. Then we obtain the discontinuous spectral density

$$\rho_n^{glob}(s) = \rho_n^{glob}[L] = (L < L_4) \rho_n[L; 3] + (L_4 \leq L) \rho_n[L_4 + 4; 4]; \quad (5)$$

with $L = \ln \frac{s}{\mu_f^2}$, $L_f = \ln \frac{m_f^2}{\mu_f^2} = \frac{2}{f}$ and $\mu_f = \ln \frac{s}{\mu_f^2} = \frac{2}{f}$ for $f = 4$, which is expressed in terms of fixed-flavor spectral densities with 3 and 4 flavors, $\rho_n[L; 3]$ and $\rho_n[L_4 + 4; 4]$. However it generates the continuous Minkowskian coupling

$$A_n^{glob}[L_s] = (L_s < L_4) A_n[L_s; 3] + A_n[L_4; 3] + A_n[L_4 + 4; 4] + (L_4 \leq L_s) A_n[L_s + 4; 4]; \quad (6)$$

and the analytic Euclidean coupling (for more detail see in [7])

$$A^{glob} [L] = A [L + \frac{4}{3}] + \frac{\int_0^L \frac{[L; 3] [L + \frac{4}{3}; 4]}{1 + e^{L-L}} dL}{1} : \quad (7)$$

2 Resummation in the one-loop APT and FAPT

We consider now the perturbative expansion of a typical physical quantity, like the Adler function and the ratio R , in the one-loop APT

$$\frac{D [L]}{R [L]} = d_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \frac{A_n [L]}{A_n [L]} : \quad (8)$$

We suggest that there exist the generating function $P(t)$ for coefficients $\tilde{d}_n = d_n = d_1$:

$$\tilde{d}_n = \int_0^1 P(t) t^{n-1} dt \quad \text{with} \quad \int_0^1 P(t) dt = 1 : \quad (9)$$

To shorten our formulae, we use the following notation $\int_0^1 P(t) dt = \int_0^1 P(t) dt$. Then coefficients $d_n = d_1 \int_0^1 P(t) dt$ and as has been shown in [8] we have the exact result for the sum in (8)

$$\frac{D [L]}{R [L]} = d_0 + d_1 \frac{\int_0^1 A_1 [L-t] P(t) dt}{\int_0^1 A_1 [L-t] P(t) dt} : \quad (10)$$

The integral in variable t here has a rigorous meaning, ensured by the finiteness of the couplings $A_1 [t] \rightarrow 1$ and $A_1 [t] \rightarrow 1$ and fast fall-off of the generating function $P(t)$.

In our previous publications [7, 9] we have constructed generalizations of these results, first, to the case of the global APT, when heavy-quark thresholds are taken into account. Then one starts with the series of the type (8), where $A_n [L]$ or $A_n [L]$ are substituted by their global analogs, $A_n^{glob} [L]$ or $A_n^{glob} [L]$ (note that due to different normalizations of global couplings, $A_n^{glob} [L] \neq A_n [L]$, the coefficients d_n should be also changed). The most simple generalization of the summation result appears in Minkowskian domain:

$$R^{glob} [L] = d_0 + d_1 \int_0^1 (L < L_4) \frac{A_1 [L-t] + A_1 [L-t] \frac{t}{3}}{A_1 [L-t] + A_1 [L-t] \frac{t}{4}} P(t) dt + d_1 \int_0^1 (L > L_4) \frac{A_1 [L-t] + A_1 [L-t] \frac{t}{4}}{A_1 [L-t] + A_1 [L-t] \frac{t}{4}} P(t) dt ; \quad (11)$$

where ${}_4A_4[t] = A_4[L_4 + t] \approx {}_4A_4$; ${}_4A_3[t] = A_4[L_3 + t] \approx {}_4A_3$.

The second generalization has been obtained for the case of the global FAPT. Then the starting point is the series of the type $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n A_{n+}^{glob}[L]$ and the result of summation is a complete analog of Eq. (11) with substitutions

$$P(t) \rightarrow P(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{1-x} \frac{x^{n+1} dx}{1-x}; \quad (12)$$

$d_0 \rightarrow d_0 A_4[L], A_1[L-t] \rightarrow A_{1+}[L-t]$, and ${}_4A_1[t] \rightarrow {}_4A_{1+}[t]$. Needless to say that all needed formulas have been also obtained in parallel for the Euclidean case.

3 Applications to Higgs boson decay and Adler function

First, we analyze the Higgs boson decay to a $b\bar{b}$ pair. Here we have for the decay width

$$\Gamma(H \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = \frac{G_F}{4} M_H^2 \mathcal{R}_s(M_H^2) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{R}_s(M_H^2) = m_b^2(M_H^2) R_s(M_H^2) \quad (13)$$

and $R_s(s)$ is the R -ratio for the scalar correlator, see for details in [2, 10]. In the one-loop FAPT this generates the following non-power expansion³:

$$\mathcal{R}_s[L] = 3\hat{m}_{(1)}^2 A_0^{glob}[L] + d_1^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\alpha}_n^s}{n} A_{n+0}^{glob}[L]; \quad (14)$$

where $\hat{m}_{(1)}^2$ is the renormalization-group invariant of the one-loop $m_b^2(Q^2)$ evolution $m_b^2(Q^2) = \hat{m}_{(1)}^2 s^0(Q^2)$ with $s_0 = 2$, $s_0(5) = 1.04$ and s_0 is the quark-mass anomalous dimension (for a discussion see in [11]).

We take for the generating function $P(t)$ the Lipatov-like model of [9, 12] with $fc = 2.4$; $c = 0.52g$

$$\hat{\alpha}_n^s = c^{n-1} \frac{(n+1) + (n)}{1+}; \quad P_s(t) = \frac{(t=c) +}{c(1+)} e^{-t=c}; \quad (15)$$

It gives a very good prediction for $\hat{\alpha}_n^s$ with $n = 2; 3; 4$, calculated in the QCD PT [10]: 7.50, 61.1, and 625 in comparison with 7.42, 62.3, and 620. Then we apply FAPT resummation technique to estimate how good is FAPT in approximating the whole sum

³Appearance of denominators n in association with the coefficients $\hat{\alpha}_n$ is due to d_n normalization.

$\mathbb{R}_s[L]$ in the range $L \in [11; 13.8]$ which corresponds to the range $M_H \in [60; 170] \text{ GeV}^2$ with $\frac{N_f=3}{QCD} = 172 \text{ MeV}$ and $A_1^{glob}(\frac{m_Z^2}{Z}) = 0.120$. In this range we have ($L_6 = \ln(\frac{m_Z^2}{\mu^2})$)

$$\mathbb{R}_s[L] = 3m_{(1)}^2 A_0^{glob}[L] + \frac{d_1^s}{h} h A_{1+0} L + \frac{t}{5} \frac{i}{5} + \frac{t}{6} A_{1+0} \frac{t}{i_{P_0}} \quad (16)$$

with $P_0^s(t)$ defined via Eqs. (15) and (12). Now we analyze the accuracy of the truncated FAPT expressions

$$\mathbb{R}_s[L; N] = 3m_{(1)}^2 A_0^{glob}[L] + d_1^s \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{d_n^s}{n} A_{n+0}^{glob}[L] \quad (17)$$

and compare them with the total sum $\mathbb{R}_s[L]$ in Eq. (16) using relative errors $\Delta_N^s[L] = 1 - \mathbb{R}_s[L; N] / \mathbb{R}_s[L]$. In the left panel of Fig. 2 we show these errors for $N = 2, 3$, and $N = 4$ in the analyzed range of $L \in [11; 13.8]$. We see that already $\mathbb{R}_s[L; 2]$ gives accuracy of the order of 2.5%, whereas $\mathbb{R}_s[L; 3]$ of the order of 1%. That means that there is no need to calculate further corrections: at the level of accuracy of 1% it is quite enough to take into account only coefficients up to d_3 . This conclusion is stable with respect to the variation of parameters of the model $P_0^s(t)$.




Figure 2: Left panel: The relative errors $\Delta_N^s[L]$, $N = 2; 3$ and 4 , of the truncated FAPT, Eq. (17), in comparison with the exact summation result, Eq. (16). Right panel: Analogous relative errors $\Delta_N^v(Q^2)$, $N = 1; \dots; 4$, for the case of vector Adler function (solid line is for $N = 2$, dashed | for $N = 3$, and long-dashed | for $N = 4$).

Next, we analyze the Adler function of vector correlator. In the one-loop APT this generates the following non-power expansion:

$$D_v[L] = 1 + d_1^v \sum_{n=1}^X \frac{d_n^v}{n} A_n^{glob}[L]; \quad (18)$$

Here we use another Lipatov-like model for perturbative coefficients in the $N_f = 4$ region

$$\bar{d}_n^v = c^{n-1} \frac{n+1}{2} \frac{n}{1} (n); \quad P_v(t) = \frac{e^{-t=c} - (t=c)e^{-t=c}}{c(t^2-1)} \quad (19)$$

with $c = 3.456$ and $\gamma = 1.325$, which gives a very good prediction for $\bar{d}_n^v$ with $n = 2; 3; 4$, calculated in the QCD PT [13]: 1.49, 2.60, and 27.5 in comparison with 1.52, 2.59, and 27.4. To estimate how good is APT in approximating the whole sum $D_s[L]$, we apply APT resummation approach in the range $Q^2 \in [1.5; 20] \text{ GeV}^2$, corresponding to the $N_f = 4$ value. Again we analyze the accuracy of the truncated APT expressions $D_v[L; N] = 1 + d_1^v \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\bar{d}_n^v}{n} A_n^{\text{glob}}[L]$ and compare them with the total sum $D_v[L]$, obtained by resummation APT method, using relative errors $\delta_N^v[L] = 1 - D_v[L; N]/D_v[L]$. In the right panel of Fig. 2 we show these errors for $N = 1; \dots; 4$ in the analyzed range of Q^2 . We see that already $D_v(Q^2; 2)$ gives the accuracy of the order of 0.05%, whereas taking into account higher-order corrections only worsen the accuracy: $D_v(Q^2; 3)$ provides the accuracy of the order of 0.1% and $D_v(Q^2; 4)$ of the order of 0.2%. That means that the NLO approximation gives the best result and after that the series starts to reveal its asymptotic character.

4 Conclusions

In this report we described the resummation approach in the global versions of the one-loop APT and FAPT and argued that it produces finite answers in both Euclidean and Minkowski regions, provided the generating function $P(t)$ of perturbative coefficients d_n is known. In the case of the Higgs boson decay an accuracy of the order of 1% is reached at N³LO approximation, when term $d_3 A_3$ is taken into account, whereas for the Adler function $D(Q^2)$ we have an accuracy of the order of 0.1% already at N²LO (i.e., with taking into account $d_2 A_2$ term).

The main conclusion is: In order to achieve an accuracy of the order of 1% we do not need to calculate more than four loops and d_4 coefficients are needed only to estimate corresponding generating functions $P(t)$.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the organizers of the Conference "Hadron Structure and QCD '08" (Gatchina, Russia, June 30-July 4, 2008) for the invitation and support. This work

was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, grants No. 06-02-16215, 07-02-91557, and 08-01-00686, the BRFB (JINR Cooperation Programme) (contract No. F06D-002), the Heisenberg-Landau Programme under grant 2008, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project DFG 436 RUS 113/881/0).

References

- [1] D. V. Shirkov and I. L. Solovtsov, JINR Rapid Commun. 2 [76] (1996) 5; Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 1209; Theor. Math. Phys. 150 (2007) 132.
- [2] A. P. Bakulev, S. V. Mikhailov, and N. G. Stefanis, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 074014, 119908 (E); Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 056005; 77 (2008) 079901 (E).
- [3] A. P. Bakulev, A. I. Karanikas, and N. G. Stefanis, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 074015.
- [4] A. V. Radyushkin, JINR Rapid Commun. 78 (1996) 96; [JINR Preprint, E2-82-159, 26 Febr. 1982; arXiv: hep-ph/9907228].
- [5] N. V. Krasnikov and A. A. Pivovarov, Phys. Lett. B 116 (1982) 168.
- [6] A. I. Karanikas and N. G. Stefanis, Phys. Lett. B 504 (2001) 225; B 636, 330 (2006).
- [7] A. P. Bakulev "Global Fractional Analytic Perturbation Theory in QCD with Selected Applications", arXiv:0805.0829 [to be published in Physics of Particles and Nuclei].
- [8] S. V. Mikhailov, JHEP 06 (2007) 009.
- [9] A. P. Bakulev and S. V. Mikhailov, in Proc. Int. Seminar on Contemp. Probl. of Part. Phys., dedicated to the memory of I. L. Solovtsov, Dubna, Jan. 17-18, 2008., Eds. A. P. Bakulev et al. (JINR, Dubna, 2008), pp. 119-133 (arXiv:0803.3013 [hep-ph]).
- [10] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, and J. H. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 012003.
- [11] A. L. Kataev and V. T. Kin, in Proc. Int. Seminar on Contemp. Probl. of Part. Phys., dedicated to the memory of I. L. Solovtsov, Dubna, Jan. 17-18, 2003., Eds. A. P. Bakulev et al. (JINR, Dubna, 2008), pp. 167-182 (arXiv:0804.3992 [hep-ph]).
- [12] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP 45 (1977) 216.
- [13] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, and J. H. Kuhn, arXiv:0801.1821 [hep-ph].