QCD corrections to charged triple vector boson production with leptonic decay

F.Cam panario^{1,2}, V.Hankele¹, C.Oleari³, S.Prestel¹ and D.Zeppenfeld¹

¹ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Karlsruhe, P.O. Box 6980, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

² Departament de F sica Teorica and IFIC, Universitat de Valencia - CSIC, E-46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

³ Universita di Milano-Biccoca and INFN, Sezione di Milano-Biccoca, 20126 Milano, Italy

A bstract

We can pute the O ($_{\rm s}$) QCD corrections to charged triple vector boson production at a hadron collider, i.e. the processes pp ! ZZW + X and pp ! W W + X. Interm ediate H iggs boson exchange e ects, spin correlations from leptonic vector boson decays, and o -shell contributions are all taken into account. Results are in plem ented in a fully exible M onte C arlo program that allows for an easy custom ization of kinem atical cuts and variation of the factorization and renorm alization scales. We analyze the dependence of the di erential cross sections under scale variations and present distributions where the QCD corrections strongly modify the leading-order results.

1 Introduction

W ith the advent of data from the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC), phenom enological studies and interpretation of the data will require precise theoretical predictions for both signal and background processes. The calculation of higher-order terms in the QCD perturbation series thus becomes an even more important issue than at present.

Triple vector boson production processes are of particular interest because they are sensitive to quartic electroweak couplings and they are a Standard M odel background form any new physics searches, characterized by several leptons in the nal state. Recently, the QCD corrections for pp ! W ⁺W Z + X, ZZZ + X, W ⁺W W ⁺ + X and ZZW ⁺ + X have appeared in the literature [1{3]. W ith K -factors ranging from 1.5 to 2 at the LHC and a strong phase-space dependence, they show a behavior which is similar to that found in diboson production in hadronic collisions, where QCD corrections have been known for a long time [4{6]. Thus, these next-to-leading order (NLO) calculations need to be taken into account for every phenom enological study involving triple vector boson production processes at the LHC. However, since vector bosons are identied via their leptonic decay products, the calculations should include the leptonic decays. Furtherm ore, interm ediate H iggs contributions are not negligible since they can enhance the cross section signi cantly and lead to dram atic changes in the shapes of distributions for certain observables.

In this paper we compute the next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the four processes pp ! ZZW + X, ZZW + X, W W W + X, W W + X have been of the four processes, namely <math>pp ! ZZW + X and pp ! W W W + X have been computed for the rst time here. The other two processes have rst been presented in Ref. [3], albeit without leptonic decays and without Higgs boson exchange contributions.

The results of our calculations have been in plemented in a fully exible M onte C arb program, producing total and di erential cross sections at N LO as well as Les H ouches event les at tree level. In this paper, we will always refer to a pp collider, having LHC in m ind. H ow ever, in the program VBFNLO, which will be publicly available in the near future [7], protons can easily be replaced by anti-protons with a simple change in an input le.

O urpaper is organized as follows: in Sec.2, we discuss the organization of the calculation, the di erent contributions to the leading order (LO) and NLO cross section and we describe the checks which we have perform ed. In Sec. 3, results are presented for charged triple boson production at the LHC. We discuss the renorm alization- and factorization-scale dependence and further show some sample distributions with strongly phase-space dependent K -factors. Finally, in Sec. 4, we give our conclusions.

2 Calculational details

The calculation for the processes presented in this paper has been perform ed in complete analogy to the calculation for $W^+W^-Z^+X^-$, with leptonic decays, described in Ref. [2]. In the following, the dimension contributions to LO and NLO cross sections are discussed in detail. Furthermore, the tests which we have perform ed to check the consistency of our results are described.

2.1 Tree-level contributions

We have evaluated the full set of Feynm an diagram s for the dierent nal states

ZZW ⁺	pp !	1	1	2	2	` 3	3	+	Х	;		(2	.1)
------------------	------	---	---	---	---	------------	---	---	---	---	--	----	----	---

 $Z Z W pp ! '_1 ''_1 '_2 ''_3 '_3 + X ; (2.2)$

 $W W^+ W pp ! '_1 '_2 '_2 '_3 '_3 + X;$ (2.4)

using the helicity am plitude m ethod described in R ef. [8]. All ferm ion m asse ects have been neglected. The indices on the lepton pairs indicate that di erent generations are assumed for the decay products of the three vector bosons, i.e. interference terms due to identical leptons in the nal state have been neglected. At LO, there are 209 diagrams for Z Z W production and 85 diagrams for W W production.



Figure 1: A selection of Feynm an diagram s for tree-level Z Z W production.

The tree-level diagram s can be grouped into three distinct topologies:

a) The stone (see Fig. 1a) contains all diagram s where there is only one vector boson attached to the quark line, decaying further into 6 leptons.

- b) The second topology (see Fig. 1b) comprises all diagram s where exactly two vector bosons are attached to the quark line and then decay into two and four leptons, respectively.
- c) The third one (see Fig. 1c) consists of all diagram s where all the three vector bosons are attached to the quark line.

These topologies give rise to di erent one-loop contributions as will be discussed later.

The parts of the Feynm an diagram s that describe the vector bosons decaying into leptons can be seen as elective polarization vectors, computed only once and used for dierent quark avor ow. For example, for Z Z W⁺ production, the two dierent subprocesses ud! 5 '+ and sc! 5 '+ (with an anti-quark, s, having the same momentum as the up-quark in the rst case, and identical d and cm omenta) share the same elective polarization vectors. These elective polarization vectors are computed numerically at the beginning of the evaluation of the full matrix elements, at a given phase space point, and reused wherever they appear. This reduces the amount of time spent in the calculation of the tree-level matrix elements signi cantly.

In the calculation of leptonic tensors, special care has to be taken in the treatment of nite-width e ects in massive vector boson propagators. In our code, we use the modi ed complex-mass scheme as implemented in MadGraph, that is we globally replace m_V^2 with m_V^2 im $_V$, while keeping a real value for $\sin^2 _W$ [14].

W hen interm ediate H iggs boson exchange e ects are included, particular care is needed in the generation of the phase space, since, for sm all H iggs boson m asses (100{300 G eV}), the H iggs boson width is very narrow. A B reit-W igner m apping is needed for the e cient generation of this resonance. In the case of W W W production there are two distinct W ⁺W pairs which can be produced from H iggs boson decay while the H iggs resonance appears only once in W W Z and Z Z W production. For W W W production we, therefore, have generated the 1 ! 3 boson phase space using D alitz plot variables which allow for sim ultaneous B reit-W igner m appings of two di erent invariant diboson m asses and thus the two di erent H iggs resonances. This procedure is very in portant for good M onte C arlo statistics since the H iggs boson contributions can enhance the LO W ⁺W W ⁺ + X production cross section by up to a factor of 5 and the NLO W ⁺W W ⁺ + X production cross section by alm ost a factor of 4 as shown in Table 1.

2.2 Real-em ission contributions

The total NLO cross section is given by the sum of the real emission contributions, the virtual contributions and a collinear term, a nite remnant after the initial-state collinear singularities are absorbed into the parton distribution functions (pdfs). The real emission and virtual contributions are separately infrared divergent in D = 4 dimensions. In order to dealwith these divergences, dimensional reduction (D = 4 2) has been used and we apply the dipole subtraction scheme in the formalism proposed by Cataniand Seym our [9]. Exact

Higgs boson mass [GeV]	LO	[fb]	NLO [fb]		
60	0:1133	0:0002	02141	0:0003	
120	0 : 2256	0:0002	0:3589	0:0004	
160	0:5964	0:0010	0:8360	0:0016	
180	0 : 4553	0:0007	0 : 6568	0:0009	

Table 1: LO and NLO cross sections for the process pp ! $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} + X$ at the LHC, within the cuts of Eq. (3.3), for scales $_{\rm F} = _{\rm R} = 3 \, {\rm m}_{\rm W}$ and for four di erent Higgs boson m asses. The quoted uncertainties on the cross sections represent M onte C arb statistical errors only.



Figure 2: Sample of real-emission diagrams for ZZW production.

expressions for the dipoles as well as for the nite collinear remnants have already been presented in Ref. $[\beta]$. The real-em ission matrix elements can be divided into two dimenticlasses:

- a) D iagram s where the emitted particle is a nal-state gluon (see Fig. 2a, where the crosses represent possible gluon-vertex insertions)
- b) D iagram s where the em itted particle is a nal-state quark, and a gluon is present in the initial state (see Fig. 2b). These diagram s can be obtained by a simple crossing of the diagram s of the previous class. A s will be shown in Sec. 3, these diagram s show a stronger scale dependence and are responsible for large K -factors in m any distributions.

The pre-calculation of the leptonic tensors, as elective polarization vectors, already applied for the LO matrix elements, leads here to an even larger increase in the evaluation speed.

2.3 Virtual contributions



Figure 3: The three one-loop topologies appearing in the calculation of the virtual contributions.

One-loop corrections to the tree-level diagram s of Fig. 1 can be organized according to the three topologies encountered at tree-level:

- a) One-loop corrections to the diagram s with a single weak boson attached to the quark line, as in Fig. 1a, give rise to simple vertex corrections, as illustrated in the corresponding Fig. 3a. This type of corrections exactly factorizes on the corresponding B om am plitude.
- b) V intual connections to the diagram s with topology as in Fig. 1b give rise to the virtual diagram s illustrated in Fig. 3b. The sum of the four virtual contributions along a quark line will be called \boxline contribution" in the following.
- c) One-loop corrections to the diagram s with topology as in Fig. 1c give rise to the most complicated topology, Fig. 3c, where self-energy, vertex, box and pentagon corrections appear. The sum of all the virtual contributions along a quark line will be called \pentline contribution" in the following.

Since there are only three colored partons in the real-em ission diagram s, all infrared singularities appearing in the virtual contribution factorize on the Born amplitude. In conventional dimensional regularization the virtual amplitude is then given by 1

$$M_{V} = M_{V}^{*} + \frac{s}{4}C_{F} - \frac{4^{2}}{s} \qquad (1+) \quad \frac{2}{2} - \frac{3}{4} = 8 + \frac{4^{2}}{3} M_{B}; \qquad (2.5)$$

where M $_{\rm B}$ is the Born amplitude, s the square of the partonic center-of-m assenergy and $M_{\rm V}$ is the nite contribution from the sum of all the one-loop diagram s.

The boxline and pentline contributions have essentially the same analytic expressions found in the calculation of QCD NLO corrections in vector boson fusion processes, qq ! V qq and qq ! V V qq, discussed in R efs. [10] and [11] respectively, apart from crossing a nal-state quark to the initial state, and perform ing then an analytic continuation.

To dealwith the nite boxline contribution, we have used the results obtained by a slightly modi ed version of the boxline routine discussed in Ref. [10]. This routine in plements the Passarino-Veltm an tensor reduction [12] and leads to quite stable results.

The pentline reduction needs a more stable reduction procedure. We have implemented the method proposed by Denner and D ittm aier [13]. In addition, we have implemented a new calculation of the pentline contributions which reuses intermediate results for dierent vector boson polarizations. We have checked these results with the pentline routines computed in Ref. [11], after crossing and analytic continuation. The new pentline subroutines turn out to be 4.5 times faster and numerically more stable than the old code. For non-exceptional phase-space points, we found agreement for the two dierent codes at the 10⁻⁸ level. However, even with the increase in speed, this part of the code is still quite slow. Therefore, we have applied a trick, already used in Ref. [2], to reduce the contribution of the pentagon diagram s: we have split the elective polarization vector $_{\rm V}$ of a vector boson of momentum $q_{\rm V}$ into a term proportional to the momentum itself and a remainder $\sim_{\rm V}$

$$v_{\rm V} = x_{\rm V} q_{\rm V} + v_{\rm V}$$
 (2.6)

The contraction of the pentline contribution with the component aligned along q_v reduces the pentline itself to the di erence of boxline contributions. Therefore it is possible to shift part of the pentline contribution to the less time-consum ing boxline contributions and calculate the remaining sm aller pentline contribution (the one obtained with the contraction with \sim_V) with less statistics, without changing the overall M onte C arb statistical error of the total NLO result [11]. In practice we have chosen

$$\sim_{V} (\underline{q}_{1} + \underline{q}_{2_{2}}) = 0) \qquad x_{V} = \frac{v (\underline{q}_{1} + \underline{q}_{2_{2}})}{\underline{q}_{V} (\underline{q}_{1} + \underline{q}_{2_{2}})}$$
(2.7)

for ZZW production and

$$\gamma_{V} \quad (q_{1} + q_{W_{2}} + q_{W_{3}}) = 0 \quad) \quad x_{V} = \frac{V \quad (q_{1} + q_{W_{2}} + q_{W_{3}})}{q_{V} \quad (q_{1} + q_{W_{2}} + q_{W_{3}})} \quad (2.8)$$

for the W W W case.

 $^{^{1}}$ U sing dimensional reduction instead, one needs to replace 8M $_{\rm B}$ by 7M $_{\rm B}$, but this dimension error is exactly compensated by an analogous replacement in < I() >, the integral of the real-emission counter-term.

2.4 Checks

For all the triple boson production processes, we have perform ed num erous checks on the nal results. A llm atrix elements in the LO and in the real-emission calculation have been checked individually against MadGraph and agree at the 10^{-15} level. In addition, we have compared the LO cross sections against MadEvent [14] and HELAC [15] and nd agreement within the statistical accuracy of the M onte C arlo runs (0.5% for HELAC and 1% for MadEvent). Furthermore, we have implemented W ard identity tests for the virtual contributions and checked the cancellation of divergences in the real emission against their counter-terms, as given by C atani and Seym our [9].

As a nal and very important test, we have made a comparison with the already published results for the production of on-shell gauge bosons without leptonic decays of R ef. [3]. Since the authors of this paper have not included H iggs boson exchange and leptonic spin correlations in their calculation, we have neglected these contribution too, i.e. we have neglected the Feynm an graphs with H iggs boson exchange and non-resonant contributions and we have used the narrow-width approximation for vector boson decay. In Tables 2 and 3,

Scale		program	^{LO} [fb]		NLO [fb]	
1=2	(3 m $_{\rm Z}$)	VBFNLO	20:42	0:03	43:02	0 : 08
		Ref. [3]	202	0:1	43 : 0	02
$2 m_z + m_w$		VBFNLO	20:30 0:03		39 : 87	0:08
		Ref.[3]	202	0:1	40 : 4	02
(3 m _z)		VBFNLO	20:24	0:03	39 : 86	0 : 07
		Ref.[3]	20 : 0	0:1	39 : 7	02
2	(3 m $_{\rm Z}$)	VBFNLO	20:03	0:03	37:39	0:07
		Ref.[3]	19 : 7	0:1	37 : 8	02

Table 2: C om parison between our results and the ones of R ef. [3] for Z Z W $^+$ + :	Хj	production.
All parameters and settings are taken from Ref. $[\beta]$.		

we show the comparison between the two sets of results, for di erent factorization- and renorm alization-scale choices, here taken to be equal. Our NLO results agree at the 1% level, which is satisfactory, given the same level of agreem ent for the LO cross sections and the size of the M onte C arb errors.

3 Results

The calculations described in the previous section have been in plemented in a fully exible parton-level M onte C arb program, VBFNLO, which originally was developed for the prediction of NLO QCD corrections to vector boson fusion processes. The various triple

Scale		program	^{LO} [fb]		NLO	[fb]
1=2	(3 m $_{\rm Z}$)	VBFNLO	82 : 7	0:1	152 : 5	0:3
		Ref. [3]	82 : 7	0:5	153 : 2	0 : 6
Зт _w		VBFNLO	82 : 8	0:1	145:2	0:3
		Ref. [3]	82 : 5	0:5	146 : 2	0 : 6
(3	m $_{\rm Z}$)	VBFNLO	82 : 8	0:1	143:8	0:3
		Ref.[3]	81 : 4	0:5	144:5	0 : 6
2	(3 m $_{\rm Z}$)	VBFNLO	82 : 4	0:1	136 : 8	03
		Ref.[3]	81:8	0:5	139 : 1	0 : 6

Table 3: C om parison between our results and the ones of R ef. [3] for $W^+W^-W^+ + X$ production. All parameters and settings are taken from R ef. [3].

vector boson production options will be made publicly available soon [7]. The program allows for the calculation of cross sections and distributions in either pp, pp or pp collisions of arbitrary center of mass energy. In the following, we present results on ZZW and WWW production at the LHC, i.e. for pp collisions at $\frac{P}{s} = 14$ TeV.

The default electroweak parameters used in all plots are

$$m_W = 80.419 \text{ GeV}$$

 $G_F = 1.16639 \quad 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$
 $m_H = 120 \text{ GeV}:$ (3.1)

Two other variables, 1 = 132507 and $\sin^2(w) = 0.22225$, are calculated in the program using LO electroweak relations. We have used the CTEQ 6M parton distribution with

 $_{\rm s}$ (m $_{\rm Z}$) = 0:118 at NLO and CTEQ 6L1 for the LO calculation [16]. All fermions are treated as massless and we do not consider contributions involving bottom and top quarks. The CKM matrix is approximated by a unit matrix throughout. The W – and Z-boson widths have been calculated in the program via tree-level formulas with one loop QCD corrections for the hadronic widths. For H iggs boson decays, approximate formulas are used which incorporate running bottom -quark mass elects and o -shell elects in H iggs decays to weak bosons. For m $_{\rm H}$ = 120 GeV, the resulting widths are

$$_{\rm W}$$
 = 2:0994 G eV; $_{\rm Z}$ = 2:5096 G eV; $_{\rm H}$ = 0:004411 G eV: (3.2)

In our calculations, the full leptonic nal state is available and hence we determ ine cross sections for realistic acceptance cuts on the leptons. For $W \otimes W$ production, only a cut on the transverse m on entum and the rapidity of the nal-state charged leptons has been applied. For $Z Z \otimes W$ production we require, in addition, that the invariant m ass of any combination of two charged leptons, m ..., to be larger than 15 GeV, in order to avoid virtual-photon singularities in ! '' at low m ... Speci cally, we require

 $p_{T_{v}} > 10 \text{ GeV}$; $j_{v}, j < 2.5$; $m_{v} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ (only for ZZW): (3.3)



Figure 4: Scale dependence of the LO and NLO cross section for 5 charged lepton nal states within the cuts of Eq. (3.3). Left panel: variation of the renorm alization and/or the factorization scale for $ZZW^+ + X$ production. Right panel: same as in the left panel but for $ZZW^+ + X$ production.

All results given below have been calculated for three di erent lepton families in the nal state, i.e. interference terms due to identical particles have been neglected. Phenom enologically more interesting are the cases of nal states with electrons and/orm uons. Considering decays of the three vector bosons into two generations of leptons each, the results for three distinct generations need to be multiplied by a combinatorial factor of four. This takes into account the presence of two identical vector bosons (Z Z and W W , respectively) and the corresponding symm etry factor of 1=2 which would appear when considering on-shell weak boson production. These factors are included in all gures.

In Figs. 4 and 5, the factorization- ($_{\rm F}$) and renom alization-scale ($_{\rm R}$) dependence of the LO and the total NLO cross section is shown for all the di erent processes under investigation. At LO, there is no renorm alization-scale dependence, since triple vector boson production is a purely electroweak process. Therefore, the scale variation is only due to the variation of the factorization scale in the parton distribution functions. The sm all variation at LO can thus be explained by the fact that the pdfs are determined in a Feynman-x range of sm all factorization scale dependence. At NLO, the dependence on the scales is more complicated. Since the factorization-scale dependence is quite sm all, the dependence under variation of $=_{\rm R} =_{\rm F}$ is almost completely dominated by the dependence on the renorm alization scale and shows the expected $_{\rm s}$ () dependence, i.e. the bigger the reference scale, the sm aller the scale dependence.

For a more detailed analysis, the di erent contributions to the total NLO cross section are



Figure 5: Scale dependence of the LO and NLO cross section for 3 charged lepton nal states within the cuts of Eq. (3.3). Left panel: variation of the renorm alization and/or the factorization scale for W ^+W W $^+$ + X production. Right panel: same as in the left panel but for W ^+W + X production.

shown in Fig. 6, for the example of ZZW $^+$ + X production. A qualitatively similar behavior is found for all triple vector boson processes investigated here. In the left panel of Fig. 6, the nite part of the virtual contributions (the M_V term in Eq. (2.5)), combined with the Born squared terms (including the LO contribution), show a remarkably small dependence under simultaneous variation of the renormalization and the factorization scale. This can be understood by a comparison with the factorization-scale induced LO variation given in Fig. 4. Under variation of $_F$, the virtual contribution shows the same behavior as the LO cross section, which means that the cross section decreases for small scales. Under variation of $_R$, on the other hand, the nite part of the virtual contribution increases for small scales, due to the increase in $_s$. These two opposing behaviors cancel to some extent and lead to the observed curve in Fig. 6.

For the subtracted real-em ission contributions and the nite collinear remnants, the analysis of the scale dependence is somewhat more involved, since the nite collinear remnants depend non-trivially both on the factorization and on the renormalization scale. Moreover, these contributions include gluon-induced subprocesses like ug $! ZZW^+ d$ in addition to the quark-induced ones such as ud $! ZZW^+ g$. In the right panel of Fig. 6, the real-em ission contributions and the nite collinear remnants are therefore separately shown for each of these classes of subprocesses.

In the nite collinear remnants, the quark- and gluon-induced contributions show opposite behavior under variation of the scale. Due to these cancellations, the resulting scale



Figure 6: Scale dependence of the di erent contributions of the NLO cross section for pp ! $ZZW^{+} + X$ production at the LHC within the cuts of Eq. (3.3).

dependence and the size of their overall contribution is very small. The real-em ission contributions arising from the quark-induced subprocesses show a similar scale dependence and are almost constant for the scales shown here. A comparatively large scale variation is observed in the real-em ission terms of the gluon-induced contributions. These are also responsible for the large scale dependence of the overall real-em ission term in the left panel of Fig. 6. This is not surprising since gluon-initiated subprocesses open up for the rst time at NLO, and therefore, a LO -type scale dependence is expected. G luon-induced subprocesses are also responsible for a large fraction of the K -factor. For instance, the K -factor for Z Z W ⁺ production at $_{\rm F} = _{\rm R} = 3m_{\rm W}$ is 2.1, whereas the K -factor without gluon-initiated subprocesses only amounts to 1.5.

In our analysis, we have also checked other scale choices, such as the invariant 3-vector boson m ass or the m inim al E_T of the three vector bosons. We could not indian improved scale dependence, how ever, either in the cross section or in the distributions. This again can be understood since the dom inating scale dependence comes from the gluon-induced subprocesses, which have to be considered as LO processes.

For all processes studied, we have found a strong phase-space dependence of the size of NLO corrections. Thus, di erential K -factors, de ned as the ratio of NLO over LO di erential distributions,

$$K(x) = \frac{d^{NLO} = dx}{d^{LO} = dx};$$
(3.4)

can show a considerable variation. In the left panel of Fig. 7, for instance, the invariant Z Z m ass distribution in Z Z W $^+$ + X production is shown for a Higgs boson m ass of m_H =



Figure 7: D i erential cross section for the ZZ invariant mass in ZZW⁺ + X production at the LHC. The Higgs boson mass used in the plot is $m_{\rm H} = 170$ GeV while $_{\rm F} = _{\rm R} = 3m_{\rm W}$. The ratio of the two distributions, de ning the di erential K -factor as given in Eq. (3.4), is shown in the right-hand panel.

170 GeV. Here the Higgs boson contribution gives rise to the narrow peak at about $m_{ZZ} = 170$ GeV. At tree level, the only Feynm an graph with a Higgs boson exchange is the one depicted in Fig. 1a, where the Higgs boson decays into two Z bosons. This graph dom inates near $M_{ZZ} = m_H$. In the right panel, we have plotted the di erential K -factor. Since the QCD corrections to the Higgs boson-contribution itself increase the cross section only by about 30% [17], there is a pronounced dip in the di erential K -factor at about the Higgs boson mass.

In Figs. 8 and 9, we give two m ore examples of the phase-space dependence of the NLO corrections for W⁺W⁻W⁺ + X production at $m_{\rm H} = 120$ GeV. In Fig. 8, the transverse-m om entum distribution and the K-factor of the highest- $p_{\rm T}$ charged lepton are shown while Fig. 9 shows the same for the charged lepton of lowest- $p_{\rm T}$. Variation of the K-factor up to 70% is observed for the highest- $p_{\rm T}$ lepton while for the lowest- $p_{\rm T}$ lepton we have variations up to 30% when considering \inclusive" event sam ples. This $p_{\rm T}$ -dependence of the K-factors can be traced to the kinem atics of the real-em ission contributions. The rise is mostly due to events with high $p_{\rm T}$ jets which are recoiling against the leptons. Im posing a veto on jets with $p_{\rm T} > 50$ GeV leads to a fairly at K-factor which, in addition, is close to unity for the lepton $p_{\rm T}$ distributions (curves labeled \w ith jet veto" in Figs. 8 and 9). Sim ilar e ects had previously been observed for vector boson pair production at the LHC [5].



Figure 8: Dierential cross section for the highest p_T lepton for $R = F = 3m_W$ in $W^+W^-W^+ + X$ production at the LHC. In the right-hand panel, the dierential K-factors, as de ned in Eq. (3.4), are shown for inclusive events without jet cuts and also for a veto on jets with $p_{T, jet} > 50 \text{ GeV}$.

4 Conclusions

The simulation of triple vector boson production at the LHC is important for two reasons. These processes are a Standard M odel background for new-physics searches which are characterized by multi-lepton nal states, and secondly they are sensitive to quartic electroweak couplings. In this paper, we have presented rst results for the full NLO di erential cross sections for W W W and Z Z W production, with all spin correlations from leptonic vector boson decays, intermediate H iggs boson-exchange e ects and o -shell contributions taken into account. Results are collected in a fully exible M onte C arb program, VBFNLO [7].

W hen varying the factorization and the renorm alization scale = $_{\rm F}$ = $_{\rm R}$ up and down by a factor of 2 around the reference scale = $3m_{\rm W}$, we have found a scale dependence of about 5% for the LO cross section and of som ewhat less than 10% for the NLO cross section, for W W W production. For the ZZW case, the LO scale dependence is around 1%, whereas the dependence of the NLO cross section is around 13%. These variations are in the expected range for the NLO scale dependence, while the LO variations have to be considered anom alously sm all, due to the absence of initial-state gluon-induced subprocesses. The large K -factors (of order 2 and even larger in som e phase-space regions) demonstrate the importance of including the NLO QCD corrections on top of the LO predictions.

The di erential K -factors for several distributions for both of these processes are highly dependent on the Higgs boson mass. In general we observe that the larger the contributions



Figure 9: Dierential cross section for the lowest- p_T lepton for $F = R = 3m_W$ in $W^+W^- + X$ production at the LHC. In the right-hand panel, the dierential K-factors, as de ned in Eq. (3.4), are shown for inclusive events without jet cuts and also for a veto on jets with $p_{T; \text{ ist}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$.

from the Higgs boson are, the smaller is the K -factor. In the case of the W ⁺W W ⁺ + X production, with $_{\rm F}$ = $_{\rm R}$ = 3m_W, for example, the K -factor decreases from 1.6 for a Higgs boson m ass of 120 G eV to 1.4 for a Higgs boson m ass of 150 G eV. At the same time the LO cross section increases by m ore than a factor of 2. Therefore, in all simulations, the Higgs boson contribution has to be taken into account in order to obtain a valid prediction for the cross sections and the K -factors. Besides these large K -factors, we have also found a strong phase-space dependence of the size of the NLO corrections which shows that a mere multiplication of distributions by an overall K -factor is not su cient.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank M algorzata W orek for the comparison with HELAC and Thom as B inoth and G iovanni O ssola and collaborators for the comparison with their results. C.O. and D.Z. would like to thank the K ITP at UC Santa B arbara for its hospitality during part of our work. This research was supported in part by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft via the Sonderforschungsbereich/Transregio SFB/TR-9 \C om putational Particle Physics" and the G raduiertenkolleg \H igh Energy Physics and Particle A strophysics" and in part by the N ational Science Foundation under G rant No. PHY 05-51164. F.C. adknow ledges a postdoctoral fellow ship of the G eneralitat Valenciana (Beca Postdoctoral d Excellencia). The Feynm an diagram s in this paper were drawn using Jaxodraw [18].

References

- [1] A. Lazopoulos, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 014001 [arXiv:hep-ph/0703273].
- [2] V.Hankele and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys.Lett.B 661 (2008) 103 [arX iv:0712.3544 [hep-ph]].
- [3] T. Binoth, G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 0806 (2008) 082 [arXiv:0804.0350 [hep-ph]].
- [4] See for example J.Ohnemus, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 1403; L.J.Dixon, Z.Kunszt and A.Signer, Nucl. Phys. B 531 (1998) 3 [arXiv:hep-ph/9803250]; L.J.Dixon, Z.Kunszt and A.Signer, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 114037 [arXiv:hep-ph/9907305].
- [5] J.Ohnem us, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 3477; J.Ohnem us, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1931
 [arX iv hep-ph/9403331]; U.Baur, T.Han and J.Ohnem us, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3381
 [arX iv hep-ph/9410266]; U.Baur, T.Han and J.Ohnem us, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1098
 [arX iv hep-ph/9507336].
- [6] J.M. Campbelland R.K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 113006 [arX iv hep-ph/9905386].
- [7] The VBFNLO code is available at http://www-itp.particle.uni-karlsruhe.de/~vbfnloweb/
- [8] K.Hagiwara and D.Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986) 1; K.Hagiwara and D.Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 313 (1989) 560.
- [9] S. Catani and M. H. Seymour, Nucl. Phys. B 485 (1997) 291 [Erratum-ibid. B 510 (1998) 503] [arX iv:hep-ph/9605323].
- [10] C.O leari and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 093004 [arX iv hep-ph/0310156].
- B.Jager, C.O Leari and D.Zeppenfeld, JHEP 0607 (2006) 015 [arX iv hep-ph/0603177];
 G.Bozzi, B.Jager, C.O Leari and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 073004
 [arX iv hep-ph/0701105].
- [12] G.Passarino and M.J.G.Veltm an, Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 151.
- [13] A. Denner and S. Dittm aier, Nucl. Phys. B 658, 175 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0212259];
 A. Denner and S. Dittm aier, Nucl. Phys. B 734, 62 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0509141].
- [14] T. Stelzer and W. F. Long, Comput. Phys. Commun. 81 (1994) 357 [arXiv:hep-ph/9401258]; F. Maltoni and T. Stelzer, JHEP 0302 (2003) 027 [arXiv:hep-ph/0208156].
- [15] A. Cafarella, C.G. Papadopoulos and M.W orek, arX iv:0710.2427 [hep-ph]; C.G. Papadopoulos and M.W orek, Eur. Phys. J. C 50 (2007) 843 [arX iv:hep-ph/0512150].
 A. Kanaki and C.G. Papadopoulos, Comput. Phys. Commun. 132 (2000) 306 [arX iv:hep-ph/0002082].

- [16] J. Pum plin, D. R. Stum p, J. Huston, H. L. Lai, P. Nadolsky and W. K. Tung, JHEP 0207 (2002) 012 [arX iv hep-ph/0201195].
- [17] T.Han and S.W illenbrock, Phys.Lett.B 273 (1991) 167; J.Ohnem us and W.J.Stirling, Phys.Rev.D 47 (1993) 2722; H.Baer, B.Bailey and J.F.Owens, Phys.Rev. D 47 (1993) 2730; M. Spira, Fortsch. Phys. 46 (1998) 203 [arX iv hep-ph/9705337];
 O.Brein, M.Ciccolini, S.Dittm aier, A.D jouadi, R.Harlander and M.Kramer, arX iv hep-ph/0402003.
- [18] D. Binosi and L. Theussl, Comput. Phys. Commun. 161, 76 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309015].