# M eson-m eson interactions and R egge propagators

 $E ef van B everen^1$  and  $G eorge R upp^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Centro de F sica Computacional, Departamento de F sica, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal http://cft.s.uc.pt/eef

<sup>2</sup>Centro de F sica das Interacces Fundam entais, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Universidade Tecnica de Lisboa, Edificio Ciência, P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal george@ist.utl.pt

PACS number(s): 11.80 Gw, 11.55 Ds, 13.75 Lb, 12.39 Pn, 12.40 Nn

February 20, 2024

#### A bstract

By a reform ulation of the loop expansion in the Resonance-Spectrum Expansion am plitude for meson-meson scattering, in terms of s-channel exchange of families of propagator modes, we obtain a formalism which allows for a wider range of applications. The connection with the unitarized am plitudes employed in some chiral theories is discussed. We also de ne an alternative for the Regge spectra and indicate how this may be observed in experiment.

### 1 Introduction

In Ref. [1] a nonrelativistic Schrodinger model was proposed for the scattering amplitude of non-exotic multi-channel meson-meson scattering, which allows an exact solution in the form of an analytic expression for the scattering amplitude. Bound states and resonances are obtained through the coupling of the two-meson system to a harmonic oscillator (HO), the oscillator frequency being independent of avor. By ne-tuning the intensity of the coupling, one can transform the oscillator spectrum into the spectrum of mesons for all possible avor combinations. The model's results, in particular concerning the resonance and bound-state pole structure in the scalar-meson sector [2,3], are wellknown. Here, it is our aim to show that this model corresponds to s-channel exchange of families of propagator modes, similarly to the exchange of a family of leading and daughter R egge trajectories.

In the naivest quark-model picture, quarks and antiquarks are assumed to be conned to a small region in space by strong forces. The bulk of the interactions of the quarks with the glue is contained in elective, or constituent, quark masses, whereas the remaining dynamics is described by a conning potential of sorts [4,5]. The quantum numbers of the elective qq system are given by the total qq spin S, the relative qq orbital angular momentum L, and the qq radial excitation N. For the lowest radial states of di erent avor combinations, i.e., having N = 0, the con nem ent-m odel parameters can be adjusted to experiment so as to obtain reasonable results [6]. However, for higher radial excitations, the results are poor [7]. Of course, the reason is the absence of meson loops, in combination with a wrong ne-tuning of the model parameters of the N = 0 states to the lowest states in the experimental spectrum [1].

M ore elaborate quark m odels do include m eson loops [1,8{10], and predict physical resonances instead of ctitious realm eson m asses only. On the other hand, in an e ective, non-m icroscopic picture, O ller and O set [11] dynam ically generated the low -lying scalar m esons  $f_0$  (600) (alias m eson),  $f_0$  (980), and  $a_0$  (980) (the K<sub>0</sub> (800) or m eson was not studied), by m eans of coupledchannel Lippm ann-Schwinger equations with m eson-m eson potentials resulting from the low estorder chiral Lagrangian. Their procedure boils down to using an elective fourm eson vertex, sum m ing up the bubbles from the meson loops, and unitarizing the resulting scattering am plitude. Hence, they nicely showed that it only takes a four-point interaction to generate scattering poles associated with light scalar mesons. Earlier, Tomqvist had made a similar suggestion [9], but went one step further by also assuming pre-existing mesons, which correspond to an input spectrum for bare mesons. Unfortunately, his proposal ignored the issue of Adler zeros, and so did not allow to conclude that in his model actually two scalar-meson nonets can be generated. Later, in Ref. [12] he did include Adler zeros, but in the subsequent analysis, together with Roos [13], the  $K_0$  (800) (alias meson) was still not found, probably due to the use of an unphysical, negative A dler zero in the I = 1=2 case [14]. The latter pole of the isodoublet S -w ave scattering am plitude was then indeed generated by 0 ller and 0 set, together with Pelaez [15], in an alternative coupled-channel unitarization scheme. Furthermore, in Ref. [16] Oller and Oset reported the observation of a second nonet, associated with pre-existing meson states, in an N = D approach to unitarization. This issue was thoroughly studied by Boglione & Pennington in Ref. [17], who came to the conclusion that it is indeed possible to generate two states starting from one bare seed (or pre-existing state) only, and that it even may be plausible to dynam ically generate many states with the same quantum numbers but dierent masses. That is exactly what had been proposed alm ost two decades earlier in Ref. [2].

In Ref. [1] an in nity of seeds was introduced, all related through one parameter, viz. the oscillator frequency !, being the same for all avors. W hen, at low energies, the sum is reduced to an e ective constant, one obtains a four-meson vertex which dynamically generates exactly one pole in the case of the scalar mesons. A loo, at slightly higher energies, the sum can be approximated by its leading term and an elective constant for the remaining sum [18]. Then one obtains, apart from the dynamically generated resonance, a second pole associated with the one leading seed. However, in general, there is no need to approximate the sum, thus allowing to generate several dynamical resonance poles, [2,3,19] and moreover an in nite number of norm al resonances associated with the in nity of seeds. Furthermore, the model of Ref. [1] can be applied to dierent avos, including charm and bottom, with just one set of parameters. The low-lying scalar-meson nonet pops up without even being anticipated [2], using the parameters that were ne-tuned for the vector and pseudoscalar spectra in Ref. [20]. However, there is an important di erence with the technique of introducing by hand one orm ore seeds, namely that the coupling

The problem of couplings and propagator modes is not new. In Ref. [21], D elbourgo, Rashid, Salam, and Strathdee remarked that it is well-known \that Regge trajectories arise from sums of in nite sequences of Feynm an diagram s in conventional eld theory", and, moreover, that this \poses the problem of suitable coupling constants". The latter problem was solved in Ref. [21], where a strategy was developed for the decomposition of a Regge trajectory in its propagator

constants can be controlled.

modes. Here, we shall follow a dierent but com parable approach.

In Refs. [1,20], the couplings of the propagator modes were controlled by C lebsch-G ordans and 9-jsymbols, assuming the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  mechanism [22{25] for quark-pair creation. But this procedure led to inconsistencies in the light-meson sector. However, not only the couplings, but also the choice of the spectrum of propagator modes is of importance. In Refs. [1,20], such a choice was made involving one free parameter, viz. the universal level splitting, which appeared to be largely avor independent, as we shall further elaborate in Sect. 3 below. Based on the choice of the spectrum, the couplings issue was solved by the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  recoupling strategy of Refs. [26{28], leaving only one parameter free, which represents the probability of quark-pair creation. As a consequence, there are only two free parameters, no matter if the whole sum of propagatorm odes is approximated by just one point vertex, or by the sum of a few propagatorm odes and one point vertex.

The Schrodinger equation for the meson-meson scattering model was solved with the wavefunction approach (W FA) in Refs. [1,20]. Later, in Ref. [29], the same dynamical equations were solved by an iterative method, which we refer to by Resonance-Spectrum Expansion (RSE). The latterm ethod allow smore easily a comparison with current theories form eson-meson interactions. However, it should be kept in mind that both approaches, W FA and RSE, lead from the same dynamical equations to the same expression for the meson-meson scattering amplitude.

In Refs. [30,31], besides the usual four-m eson interaction, a ctitious bare scalar m eson was introduced, in a similar fashion as suggested by Tomqvist [9] and Oller & Oset [16], leading to a two-pole description of the K S-wave interaction for energies up to about 1.6 GeV. The behavior of these poles, as a function of one overal coupling parameter, is comparable to the pole m ovem ents of the two lowest lying (or K<sub>0</sub>) poles described in Refs. [2,18]. Namely, the lower pole, which is dynamically generated by the K -K vertex and associated with the K<sub>0</sub> (800), m oves towards larger negative in aginary energies, away from the real axis, according as the coupling is decreased, whereas the higher pole, stem m ing from the seed, approaches the real axis for decreasing coupling, ending up at the m assofthe ctitious m eson for vanishing coupling. This similarity in pole behavior inspires us to revisit the m eson-m eson scattering m odel of Ref. [1], and relate it to the exchange of Regge propagators [21].

### 2 The scattering am plitude

W e de ne the am putated am plitude for non-exotic two-m eson scattering by

$$t = V + V V + V V + :::= V [1 V]^{\perp};$$
(1)

where V stands for the RSE propagator and for the two-meson loop function. In Ref. [29], it was shown that the one-channel RSE expression for the 'th partial-wave two-meson scattering amplitude follows from

$$V_{\gamma}(p) = \frac{2}{r_0} \frac{\dot{X}}{r_0} \frac{\dot{y}_{NL} \dot{J}}{E(p) E_{NL}} \text{ and } \gamma(p) = 2i pr_0^2 j_{\gamma}(pr_0) h_{\gamma}^{(1)}(pr_0) ; \qquad (2)$$

where p is the center-of-m ass (CM) linear m on entum, E (p) the total invariant two-m eson m ass, j, and h,<sup>(1)</sup> the spherical Bessel function and H ankel function of the rst kind, respectively, the reduced two-m eson m ass, and  $r_0$  a parameter with dimension m ass <sup>1</sup>, which can be interpreted as the average string-breaking distance. The coupling constants and  $g_{NL}$  are discussed in Sect. 4, where in Eq. (16) the relation between ` and L = L (`) is expressed.

The kernel V, which is graphically represented by Fig. 1, is the result of s-channel exchange of a system with internal structure, characterized by resonance modes and their masses  $E_{\rm NL}$ . In the back of ourm ind we have, of course, the picture of a resonating quark-antiquark system. But this is not of much importance yet. So we consider the exchange of propagators with structure, rather than of single and pointlike massive objects, in the very same spirit as a Regge propagator.

At the vertices, each mode couples independently to the meson pairs. The constants  $g_{\rm N\,L}$ 



Figure 1: Born diagram for meson+meson! meson+meson. The wiggly line represents the s-channel exchange of a system with internal structure.

indicate the intensities of the couplings of the propagator m odes to the m eson pairs. Now, since we consider an in nty of propagator m odes, we would end up with an in nite number of coupling parameters, with which one could describe any two-meson system. However, this freedom is strongly restricted by the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  recoupling scheme [27,28], described in Sect. 4. In fact, within the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  scheme, all but one of the parameters  $g_{NL}$  are fully determined. The only remaining free parameter is , i.e., the overall three-meson-vertex coupling constant.

We thus assume that the RSE model can be formulated in terms of s-channel exchanges of an in nite spectrum of propagatormodes. The expression for the partial-wave loop function (p) in Eq. (2) stems from the nonrelativistic two-meson loops of expansion (1). We have depicted (p) in Fig. 2. In the present formulation we are free to adopt dimensiones for the determination



Figure 2: Loop diagram for m eson + m eson ! m eson + m eson. The wiggly line represents the schannel exchange of a system with internal structure. The m esons within the loop are stripped o their internal dynam ics and just carry the physical m asses and quantum num bers.

of loop functions. This way, with not too much e ort, the formalism can also be extended to non-exotic baryon-meson and baryon-antibaryon scattering and production processes.

Besides the coupling constants, we also assume vertex functions which regularize the loop integrations. In the RSE, one employs a local spherical delta-shell with radius  $r_0$ . In the CM of the two-meson system, its Fourier transform is given by a spherical Bessel function, i.e.,

$$j_{r}(kr_{0})$$
; (3)

where k stands for the CM loop momentum. In the CM system, we furthermore adopt for the two-meson loops the techniques developed by Logunov & Tavkhelidze and B lankenbecler & Sugar [32,33], and also follow the covariant prescription form ulated by C ooper and Jennings [34]. This reduces the four-dimensional integration to a three-dimensional one in the CM frame, which, assuming spherical symmetry, takes the form

$$2 r_0^2 \int_0^{Z_1} k^2 dk \frac{j_{\star}^2 (kr_0)}{p^2 k^2 + i} ; \qquad (4)$$

where k and p represent relativistic three-m om entum m oduli, and  $p^2$  is given by the on-shell relative two-m eson m om entum in the CM frame. This expression straightforwardly leads to the loop function  $\langle p \rangle$  in Eq. (2).

One obtains a two-meson scattering amplitude T satisfying unitarity, from the amputated amplitude t de ned in Eq. (1), by

$$T = X^{y}tX = X^{y}V [1 V]^{\perp}X; where X^{y}X = XX^{y} = =m()$$
; (5)

C onsequently, in the loop-expansion approximation of the scattering amplitude, it is su cient to determ ine the amputated propagator V and the amputated loop function  $\cdot$ . The latter, which is depicted in Fig. 2, consists here of two vertices and two free m eson propagators.

The partial-wave amplitude  $T_{\nu}(p)$  is related to the amputated partial-wave amplitude  $t_{\nu}(p)$  of Eq. (17) by

$$T_{v} = X_{v}^{y} t_{v} X_{v} = X_{v}^{y} V_{v} [1 \quad V_{v}]^{\perp} X_{v}; \text{ where } X_{v}^{y} X_{v} = X_{v} X_{v}^{y} = -m(v);$$
(6)

ensuring unitarity for each partial wave.

O ne ends up with an expression for the amplitude of non-exotic two-m eson scattering, even in the case of m any coupled channels, which contains only three free parameters, viz.,  $r_0$ , and !, besides the constituent quark m asses. The resulting amplitudes, for dierent avors and a variety of orbital quantum numbers, have been confronted with experiment in numerous publications.

# 3 The propagator m odes

Soon after T. Regge noticed, in his fam ous work [35], that bound states and resonances of the scattering am plitude are related to their poles in the com plex orbital-angular-m om entum plane, C hew and Frautschim ade the observation that the squares of the m asses of baryonic and m esonic resonances com e out on alm ost linear Regge trajectories [36]. For m esons this has been explored in m any m odels, obtaining relations of the form [37]

$$E_{NL}^{2} = C^{2} + 2C! 2N + L + \frac{3}{2}$$
; (7)

for m eson m asses  $E_{NL}$ . Here, N and L represent the radial and orbital-angular-m on entum quantum numbers, respectively, of the qq systems. Furtherm ore, C is a constant which depends on the qq constituent avor m asses, and ! is a universal frequency. However, in Ref. [1] it was assumed that, at least for low energies, the trajectories of propagator modes for cc and bb systems are linear, not quadratic, in their m asses. In Ref. [20], the linear m ass dependence was extended to the light quarks u, d, and s, too, while in Ref. [2] the linear propagator modes were show n to explain the scalar resonances in non-exotic S-wave meson-meson scattering as well as the scattering data for energies up to about 2 GeV in the K + K + K  $^{0}$  com plex [19,38].

For low excitations, the linear mass relation is obvious from Eq. (7) if

in which case one nds

$$E_{NL} C + ! 2N + L + \frac{3}{2}$$
; (9)

but less obvious when C and ! are of the same order of magnitude. Now, for ! one nds in Ref. [20] the value 0.19 GeV, whereas for bb and cc the values 9.45 GeV and 3.12 GeV, respectively, are quoted for C, which satis as well condition (8). For the light quarks, C 1 GeV, which still is not in con ict with condition (8) for low energies. Consequently, from the good results of the model in Refs. [1,2,19,20,38], one cannot exclude that, at high energies, the propagator trajectories will be quadratic in mass. However, since also the results for the K + K + K <sup>0</sup> complex support linear relations, we will stick here to relation (9) for the model's Regge trajectories.

In previous work on the RSE, we referred to the modes of the exchange propagator (2) as the con nement bound states. This picture has not been completely abandoned here. On the contrary, it will play an important role in determining the parameters that describe the propagator modes. Mode masses depend in the rst place on the quark avors owing in the propagator. That information is contained in the constant C of Eq. (9). However, we will argue in the following that the mode level splittings are largely avor independent.

The mesonic resonances extracted from experiment are organized by avor content,  $J^{PC} I^{G}$  quantum numbers, mass and width. Based on the bb and cc spectra, it was concluded in Ref. [1] that, in principle, there must exist an in nity of such states, though most of the excited states are di cult to observe because of the many open two-mesons channels to which they couple. albeit at higher masses obscured from observation because of the many two-meson systems which couple to qq. A ccordingly, we expect an in nite number of scattering poles in meson-meson scattering, here represented by

$$E = P_0; P_1; P_2; ::: :$$
 (10)

Unitarity then requires that in the one-channel restriction, assuming the poles (10) to be simple, the elastic scattering matrix S be given by [9]

$$S(E) = \frac{(E P_0)(E P_1)(E P_2):::}{(E P_0)(E P_1)(E P_2):::} : (11)$$

If we suppose that the resonances (10) stem from the spectrum of modes of propagator (2), given by the real quantities

$$E = E_0; E_1; E_2; :::;$$
(12)

then we may represent the di erences  $(P_n = 0, 1, 2, :::, by the complex mass shifts E_n. Thus, we obtain for the unitary S-matrix the expression$ 

$$S(E) = \frac{(E \quad E_0 \quad E_0) (E \quad E_1 \quad E_1) (E \quad E_2 \quad E_2) :::}{(E \quad E_0 \quad E_0) (E \quad E_1 \quad E_1) (E \quad E_2 \quad E_2) :::} : (13)$$

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  N ote that we do not consider here a possible overall phase factor representing a background.

So we assume here that resonances occur in scattering because the two-meson system couples to certain modes of the propagator (2), usually of the qq type, viz. in non-exotic meson-meson scattering. Let the strength of the coupling be given by . For vanishing , we presume that the widths and real shifts of the resonances also vanish. Consequently, the scattering poles end up at the positions of the mode spectrum (12), and so

$$E_n ! 0 \text{ for } n = 0; 1; 2; ::: : (14)$$

As a result, the scattering matrix tends to unity, as expected in case there is no interaction. The scattering amplitude (1) exactly satis as these requirements for the propagator and loop function (2). As a consequence, it seems that one may only deduce an approximate mode spectrum from experiment. Its precise masses  $E_{\rm NL}$  can then be found by comparison to scattering and production data, once the full scattering amplitude has been composed. However, in Sect. 7 we shall see that in production processes the Regge spectrum may become visible.

In order to set out with the task to nd a reasonable ansatz for the mode spectrum of our propagator, let us assume that the spectrum of mesonic quark-antiquark systems can be described by avor-independent HO con nement. Then, for each pair of avors, an in nite set of mesons exists with all possible spin, angular, and radial excitations. But unfortunately, for most avor pairs only a few angular and even fewer radial recurrencies are known [39]. If we do not distinguish up and down, but just refer to non-strange (n) quarks, then we have at our disposal four di erent avors: n, s, c, and b. These can be combined into ten di erent avor pairs, each of which may come in two di erent spin states: 0 or 1. This gives rise to, in principle, twenty di erent meson spectra. With som e 150 known mesons, thism eans 7.5 angular plus radial excitations on average, per avor pair. This is much less than e.g. the known excitations of the positronium spectrum. No wonder that it requires som e in agination to guess econom ic strategies for the description of mesons.



Figure 3: Nonstrange, charmonium, and bottomonium  $J^{PC} = 1$  states compared to the corresponding states from a harmonic-oscillator spectrum. The level spacing for the oscillator equals 0.38 GeV.

As one may verify from the latest Review of Particle Physics [39], known vector states are more numerous than any other type of mesonic resonances, since they are easier to produce.

Consequently, in order to structure the mode masses, we begin with the vector mesons, carrying quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 1$ . In Fig. (3) we compare the observed nn, cc, and bb vector states with the HO possible states. Most of the data are taken from Ref. [39]. The (1250{ 1290) signal was originally reported in Refs. [40{44], and has very recently been con rm ed in a coupled-channel data analysis [45]. The (1D) has been observed in Ref. [46].

The charm online vector states, shown in Fig. (3), bearm any similarities with the two-particle HO: a ground state in a cc S-wave, and higher cc radial excitations that are almost degenerate with the cc D-wave states. Also, except for the ground state, the level spacings are roughly equal. In Refs. [1,20,47], the mechanism was discussed which turns the HO spectrum into the charm online spectrum, also including the ground-state levels.

For the and vector states, also shown in Fig. (3), we see a very similar pattern: the qq S - D splittings are slightly larger, while the (770) ground state of the spectrum and the

(1S) ground state of the spectrum also come out far below the corresponding oscillator ground states. From Fig. (3) one may moreover conclude that, as far as the level splittings are concerned, there is not much reason to separate the light-quark sector from the heavy quarks. The mechanism which turns the oscillator states into the and resonances is discussed in Ref. [20].

W hat we learn from the above comparison is that the level splittings are largely avor independent and more or less constant, whereby the ground-state level of the mode spectrum is determined by the elective avor masses.

	I = 1	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	I = 0			
	nn	ns	nn	SS		
0+	a <sub>0</sub> (1450)	K <sub>0</sub> (1430) K <sub>0</sub> (1980)	f <sub>0</sub> (1370) f <sub>0</sub> (1710) f <sub>0</sub> (2200)	f <sub>0</sub> (1500) f <sub>0</sub> (2020)		
1+	a <sub>1</sub> (1260) b <sub>1</sub> (1235) a <sub>1</sub> (1640)	K <sub>1</sub> (1270) K <sub>1</sub> (1400) K <sub>1</sub> (1650)	f <sub>1</sub> (1285) h <sub>1</sub> (1170) f <sub>1</sub> (1510) h <sub>1</sub> (1595)	f <sub>1</sub> (1420) h <sub>1</sub> (1380)		
2+	a <sub>2</sub> (1320) a <sub>2</sub> (1700)	K <sub>2</sub> (1340) K <sub>2</sub> (1980)	$\begin{array}{c} f_2 \ (1270) \\ f_2 \ (1525) \\ f_2 \ (1910) \\ f_2 \ (2300) \\ f_2 \ (2340) \end{array}$	f <sub>2</sub> (1430) f <sub>2</sub> (1640) f <sub>2</sub> (1810) f <sub>2</sub> (2010) f <sub>2</sub> (2150)		

Table 1: The experim entally observed light positive-parity m esons.

In Table 1, we show the experimental spectrum of light positive-parity mesons. Only for the  $f_2$  states, almost enough resonance data are available, to allow for comparison with HO con nement. We therefore assume that the states in the rst two  $f_2$  columns contain mostly non-strange qq pairs, and in the next two predominantly ss pairs. Furthermore, the quark pair may come in a relative P -wave (1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> column) or F -wave (2<sup>nd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> column). From the values for the central mass positions as given in Ref. [39], we collect in Table 2 m ass di erences

states				m ass di erence	
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (1910))	m	(攴 (1525))	0.39	0.01 G eV
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (2300))	m	(攴(1910))	0.38	0 <b>.</b> 03 G eV
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (1950))	m	(攴(1565))	0.40	0.02 G eV
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (2340))	m	(攴(1950))	0.39	0.04 G eV
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (2010))	m	(攴(1640))	0.38	0 <b>.</b> 05 G eV
m	(f <sub>2</sub> (2150))	m	(攴(1810))	0.34	0 <b>.</b> 02 G eV

for a selected set [48] of  $f_2$  states. For the spectra of Fig. 3 we deduced a level spacing of 0.38

Table 2: The experim entally [39] observed m ass di erences for isoscalar light positive-parity m esons with J = 2.

GeV, which agrees well with the splittings in Table 2. As a rst approximation, it thus seem s reasonable to adopt for the masses of the propagator modes the expression

M f;f;N;L = m<sub>f</sub> + m<sub>f</sub> + ! 2N + L + 
$$\frac{3}{2}$$
 : (15)

Here, f and f represent the avors of the quark and the antiquark, m  $_{\rm f}$  and m  $_{\rm f}$  their respective m asses, and ! the oscillator frequency.

In the following, let us study some details of form ula (15) The vector-m eson states have unit total angular m om entum, J = 1, and unit qq total spin, S = 1. Hence, since the parity of vector-m eson states equals P = -1, their orbital angular m om entum can be L = 0 (S-wave) or L = 2 (D-wave). From form ula (15) we then understand that, for HO con nem ent, the vector-m eson states with (N  $_{\rm L} = 2$ ) are degenerate with the vector-m eson states with (N + 1, L = 0), as shown in Fig. (3). For other avor and spin excitations sim ilar results emerge. One obtains a very regular, equally spaced spectrum of propagator modes, with an oscillator frequency !, which com es out at about 0.19 G eV for the data.

Non-strange (nn) and strange (ss) con gurations double the number of isoscalar states into SU (3)- avor singlets and octets. But one should be be aware that all states are mixed through the meson loops. Hence, like in Nature we will not nd pure angular, radial, or avor excitations for resonances of the scattering am plitude (1). Lattice calculations reveal that it may even be very hard to disentangle the various con gurations showing up in  $f_0$  system s [49]. Moreover, meson loops in uence the precise resonance shapes. Some come out broad, others narrower, A lso, the central resonance positions may shift substantially (100{300 MeV [1,2,20]) with respect to the propagator mode spectrum.

Now, as discussed in the beginning of this section, we may not exclude the possibility that the trajectories for the propagator modes are quadratic in mass. Hence, we may thus very well assume that relation (15) is only an approximation, valid for low energies, and refer to propagators (1) as Regge G reen's functions [21]. We have the study of a more precise relation with string theory [50] for future research.

#### 4 Vertices

In this section we study how the propagator modes couple to the meson pair. Thereto, we characterize each meson by its quantum numbers. This is in part guess work, since we only have at our disposal the total spin J, the parity P, and, for avorless mesons, the C parity. But let us suppose here that we also have know ledge of the orbital angular momentum L, the internal spin S, and the radial excitation n of the quark pair that constitutes the meson. Hence, a two-meson system then consists of the sets of quantum numbers  $(J_1;L_1;S_1;n_1)$  and  $(J_2;L_2;S_2;n_2)$ , characterizing each meson, and also the quantum numbers describing the relative motion of the two mesons, viz. J, ', s, and n. The propagator modes are similarly characterized by a set of meson quantum numbers (J;L;S;N). Hence, the complete coupling is given by the matrix element of the transition operator O

$$h(J_1;L_1;S_1;n_1); (J_2;L_2;S_2;n_2); J; ';s;n ) jJ;L;S;N i : (16)$$

Given this form of the coupling constants, it is advantageous to determ ine the scattering amplitude from the partial-wave expansion. In the two-meson CM system, assuming spherical symmetry, we de ne

$$T (p) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} (2^{i} + 1) P_{i} (p) T_{i} (p) :$$
(17)

An important ingredient for the vertices employed in the W FA and the RSE is the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  mechanism for quark-pair creation of M icu [22] and Carlitz & K islinger [23], which has been worked out in m ore detail by Le Yaouanc, O liver, Pene & Raynal [24], Chaichian & K ogerler [25], and later by R ibeiro [26]. A complete expression of the latter matrix elements for all possible quantum numbers and di erent e ective quark masses is given in Refs. [27,28]. The resulting couplings have been employed for perturbative calculus of particle widths and mass shifts in Refs. [51{54}]. In the latter works, avor mass and the universal oscillator frequency were combined to one parameter, di erent for each avor.

In Ref. [29] it was shown that the spectral representation of the G reen's function, viz.

$$\frac{X^{2}}{E} \frac{\mathbf{f}_{NL}(\mathbf{r}_{0})\mathbf{j}}{E} = \frac{2}{\mathbf{r}_{0}^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{L}(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{r}_{0})\mathbf{G}_{L}(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{r}_{0})}{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F}_{L}(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{r}_{0});\mathbf{G}_{L}(\mathbf{E};\mathbf{r}_{0}))};$$
(18)

connects the W FA expression for the full propagator (righthand side [1]) with the RSE iterative result (lefthand side [29]). The full propagator is obtained by constructing an exact solution of a nonrelativistic stationary equation for meson-meson scattering containing a con ning part H<sub>c</sub>. The expansion in eigensolutions of H<sub>c</sub> is obtained by an iterative method. The set of functions  $fF_{NL}$ ; N = 0;1;2;:::g represents, for orbital angular momentum L, a full set of radial eigensolutions, with eigenvalue  $E_{NL}$ , of the con ning part H<sub>c</sub> of the full H am iltonian. Furtherm ore,  $F_{L}$  and  $G_{L}$  represent two linearly independent solutions of H<sub>c</sub> for any value of the energy E.

The mode distribution in the CM frame of the two-meson system is contained in  $\mathcal{F}_{NL}(r_0)^2$  of Eq. (18). In the RSE, the mode distribution is contained in the matrix elements of Eq. (16). Henceforth, we put aside the W FA description of the propagator modes and its coupling to meson pairs, and concentrate on the iterative RSE description. Hence, when we abbreviate the result of matrix element (16) for two-meson channel i by  $g_{i;}$  (N;L), then we propose here to write for the partial-wave Regge propagator connecting the two-meson channels i and j the expression

$$[V_{\cdot}(s)]_{ij} = \frac{2}{r_0^2} \frac{\chi^2}{L_{-0N-0}} \frac{\chi^2}{E} \frac{g_{ij} \cdot (N; L) g_{jj} \cdot (N; L)}{E E_{NL}}; \qquad (19)$$

where L = L (') and in which form the RSE form alism takes a shape similar to the result of Ref. [21]. The sum in L is usually very much restricted, since most couplings  $g_{i;}$  (N;L) vanish, as follows from the details [27,28] of Eq. (16).

The vertices connecting the multi-mode propagator to the two-meson systems, are calculated in the CM system. On a basis of HOs, for each mode one assumes a spatial distribution of two quarks and two antiquarks, one colorless pair for the propagator mode, and an equally colorless  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  pair. These spatial distribution functions are decomposed on the basis of two-meson distributions [55]. The coe cients of such a decomposition are called recoupling constants [27,28]. In Table 3 we have collected some of the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  recoupling constants, merely as a demonstration.

vertex	recoupling coe cients
$_{n}J^{PC}$ ! $_{r}J^{PC}$ + $_{r}J^{PC}$ in	(r,s) fg (n)g <sup>2</sup> 4 <sup>n</sup>
$_{n}0^{+}!_{0}0^{+}+_{0}0^{++}$ (0	$\frac{1}{144}$ (2n 3) <sup>2</sup>
$_{n}0^{+}!_{0}1^{+}+_{0}1^{+}$ (0	$\frac{1}{144} (2n 3)^2$
$n0^{+}! 01 + 01^{++} (0)$	$\frac{1}{72}$ (2n 3) <sup>2</sup>
$n0^{+}!00^{+}+01$ (1)	(1) $\frac{1}{24}(2n + 3)$
$_{n}0^{+}!_{0}1 + _{0}1$ (1)	$\frac{1}{12}(2n + 3)$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}0^{+}+_{0}0^{+}$ (0	$(1,0)$ $\frac{1}{24}(n+1)$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}0^{+}+_{1}0^{+}$ (0	$\frac{1}{288}(2n+3)(n-1)^2$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{1}0^{+}+_{1}0^{+}$ (0	$\frac{1}{624^2}$ n (2n + 1) (2n + 3) (n 3) <sup>2</sup>
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}0^{+}+_{0}1^{++}$ (1)	$\frac{1}{12}$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}1 + _{0}1$ (0	$\frac{1}{72}(n+1)$
$n0^{++}! 01 + 01$ (2)	$\frac{1}{18}(2n+5)$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}1 + _{1}1 $ (0	$\frac{1}{4 \ 6^3} (2n + 3) (n \ 1)^2$
$n0^{++}! 01 + 01_{-2} (0)$	$\frac{1}{5.6^3}(2n+3)(2n-5)^2$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}1 + _{0}1^{+}$ (1)	$\frac{1}{12}$
$n 0^{++} ! 0^{++} + 0^{++} (0)$	$\frac{1}{432}(2n+3)(n-3)^2$
$_{n}0^{++}!_{0}1^{++}+_{0}1^{++}$ (0	$\frac{1}{144}(2n+3)(n-2)^2$
$n0^{++}! 01^{+} + 01^{+} (0)$	$\frac{1}{144}(2n+3)(n-1)^2$

Table 3: Vertex recoupling constants g(n) for the radial excitations n (n = 0, 1, 2, :::) of pseudoscalar and scalar modes of the propagator (1), for the case of equal elective quark masses [27,28]. We have characterized the two-meson system sby the quantum numbers  ${}_{r}J^{PC}$  (r for radial excitation), the relative two-meson angular momentum ', and the total two-meson spin s. In one case, we have also indicated the q-q internal angular momentum of the meson. For the other cases, the lowest possible quantum numbers are assumed.

For n = 0, the recoupling constants squared in one column add up to 1, with the proviso that m eson pairs with two di erent m esons count twice, as actually we should have repeated the corresponding lines in the table for the interchanged pair. This result rejects the fact that we consider a properly norm alized distribution for each mode, and an orthonorm aliset of two-m eson distributions.

For n > 0, the recoupling constants squared in one column do not add up to 1. The reason is that for n > 0 more possible two-meson systems couple to the propagator modes. If those were included in the tables, we would obtain unity for all n. A full table would have in nite length. Moreover, even when limited to J < 10 and only for a few radial excitations, one would easily end up with a table of hundreds of pages. However, with a fast computer code in Fortran, based on the expressions given in Refs. [27,28], and which moreover takes care of the various possible isospin combinations and also allows for unequal elective avorm asses, the absence of such tables is no limitation.

# 5 Comparison to other models

In their comment [56] on the work of Tomqvist & Roos [13], Isgur & Speth pointed out that, since exotic channels do not couple to the propagator of Eq. (2), the corresponding scattering amplitude vanishes, which is not in agreement with experiment. Furthermore, they argued that in the Julich model [57] t-channel processes lie at the origin of a broad dynam ically generated pole in the I = 0 S-wave pion-pion scattering amplitude, and not s-channel propagator modes. Finally, they remarked that also the  $a_0$  (980) and  $f_0$  (980) are largely due to t-channel forces.

Now, it seems indisputable that with zero couplings their is no scattering. Nevertheless, the rst observation of Isgur & Speth is incomplete.

Namely, when just arbitrary couplings and seeds are involved, then there is no clear prescription how to handle exotic channels. However, when propagator modes and couplings are related, like in the choice of Ref. [1] and the corresponding recoupling constants of Ref. [28], then quark-interchange processes, and so scattering in exotic channels, make part of the interactions that can be handled in the model.

In Ref. [28], the quark-interchange diagram s were suppressed in order to single out the e ect of the propagator modes in s-channel exchange via  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  pair creation. Consequently, although in principle there is no limitation in the model inhibiting the study of exotic channels, it is just neglected in the RSE approach to non-exotic meson-meson scattering. A study also including the quark-interchange diagram s has been carried out by B icudo and R ibeiro in Ref. [58].

M oreover, in Ref. [59] Fariborz, Jora & Schechter observed that neglecting the t-channel exchange of a meson in I = 0 S-wave scattering does not remove the pole from the scattering amplitude of their model, and only shifts it in a modest way. A loo note that the dynam ical (400)" pole generated by the Julich group [57] is considerably lighter and broader than generally accepted.

In Ref. [17], also Boglione & Pennington remarked: \s-channel dynamics is not all that controls the scattering", when referring to Ref. [13]. However, one may not conclude from spicing up with t-channel exchange, a model which only accounts for one (or a few) propagator modes, moreover in the ladder approximation, that meson-exchange contributions are really needed. In their replies to the comments on their work, Tomqvist and Roos stressed the concept of duality. Hence, it is not even clear whether t-channel exchange should be considered at all, when all propagator modes in s-channel exchange are accounted for. Duality [60,61] probably just works the other way around as well. So either take all t-exchange contributions into account, ideally in an untruncated fashion and not just in the ladder approximation, or all s-exchange contributions, but not both.

The behavior of the  $f_0$  (980) and  $a_0$  (980) poles, for variations in the RSE couplings, was studied in Refs. [62, 63]. No further t-channel exchange was needed in order to describe these

scalar resonances by the lowest dynam ically generated poles of the model in K K, for respectively I = 0 and I = 1. The modelling is just a bit more complicated than for the and the , because lower lying, but not very strongly coupled, channels are involved as well, namely and , respectively. In fact, the correct way to describe the  $f_0$  resonances is, of course, by employing a coupled-channel approach to the I = 0 S-wave [2,64]. Then one obtains in the R SE both the  $f_0$  (600) () and  $f_0$  (980) resonances, in agreement with data, besides other scattering observables like phase shifts, line-shapes, and inelasticities [64].

Recently, the true pole positions of broad states were highlighted [17,65,66]. However, pole positions will always depend on the properties of a speci c model. For narrow resonances there will be agreement, to some extent, among di erent models. But for broad structures it is rather unlikely that di erent appoaches will head to exactly the same pole positions. Boglione & Pennington wrote: \ tting data along the realaxis cannot accurately determine the true pole position of a broad state without an analytic continuation, or a very speci c model" [17]. Hence, unless we fully agree on the perfect model to describe the available data, true pole positions for broad structures do not exist. At best, we may agree on whether a speci c pole exists.

In Ref. [18], for the description of elastic P-wave K scattering and the K (892) resonance, the propagator of Eq. (18) was approximated by the statem plus a constant representing the remainder of the sum :

$$\overset{X^{\dagger}}{\underset{N=0}{\overset{T}{\overset{}}}} \frac{\overset{T}{\overset{}}_{F_{N}}}{\underset{E}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}} \frac{\overset{T}{\overset{}}_{F_{N}}}{\underset{E}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}} \frac{\overset{T}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}}{\underset{E}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}} \frac{\overset{T}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}}{\underset{E}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}} \frac{\overset{T}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}}{\underset{E}{\overset{}}_{E_{N}}} (20)$$

Such a procedure is equivalent to the approaches of Tomqvist [12] and 0 set & 0 ller [16], as discussed in the introduction. In the latter approaches, however, one looses track of the relations am ong all coupling constants, thus needing to introduce arbitrary parameters, like and in Eq. (20), while also contact with di erent avors and other angularm om enta is lost. Nevertheless, in the approximation of Eq. (20), one can study su ciently well the properties of the dynamically generated and the lowest qq resonances.

In the case of elastic P -wave K scattering, no dynam ically generated resonance is found. So, when the overall coupling is decreased, the K (892) pole returns to E<sub>0</sub> [18]. But for non-exotic elastic S -wave K scattering, the dynam ically generated K<sub>0</sub> (800) resonance appears, besides the K<sub>0</sub> (1430). W e m ay thus conclude that the contact term indeed absorps those term s of the Regge propagator which are not accounted for. In the loop sum (1) its contribution is negligible, provided a complete Regge propagator is exchanged. Hence, this result seems to suggest that the contact term is not necessary at all in a microscopic form ulation.

The e ect of hadron bops on the spectra of mesons and baryons has been studied by various groups, and for a variety of di erent con nem ent mechanisms [8,67{69]. For mesons, the procedure usually amounts to the inclusion of meson bops in a qq description, or, equivalently, the inclusion of quark bops in a model for meson-meson scattering. This results in resonance widths, central masses that do not coincide with the pure con nem ent spectrum, mass shifts of bound states, resonance line-shapes that are very di erent from the usual Breit-W igner ones, threshold e ects and cusps. In particular, it should be mentioned that mass shifts are large and negative for the ground states of the various avor con gurations [20]. Unquenching the lattice is still in its infancy, at least for the light scalars, as we conclude from R ef. [70]. However, its e ects should not be underestim ated. Hence, ground-state levels of quenched approxim ations for qq con gurations in relative S-waves must be expected to com e out far above the experim ental masses.

## 6 Quark-interchange contributions

A sm entioned in the previous section, them odel is not limited to the study of non-exotic channels. However,  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  pair creation/annihilation, through which process m eson pairs couple to the Regge propagator, does not work for exotic channels. Nevertheless, the alternative, which is quark interchange, does give contributions to all possible hadronic nal-state interactions, hence also for exotic two-m eson channels.

Q uark-interchange contributions to m = 0 interactions can be determined [58] with the very same techniques that were developed in Refs. [26{28].

### 7 Experimental results for the Regge spectrum

As may be concluded from Eq. (1), and from the expressions for  $V_{\nu}$  and  $\nu_{\nu}$  given in Eq. (2), the dressed partial-wave RSE propagator for strong interactions takes the form (restricted to the one-channel case and leaving out some parts not essential for our discussion in this section)

$$\sqrt{E} = \frac{8}{2} 1 \quad \text{ij (pr_0) h}_{1}^{(1)} (\text{pr_0}) \frac{\chi^2}{1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac$$

This propagator has the very intriguing property that it vanishes for E ! E<sub>NL</sub>. Hence, one may wonder what happens in a physical process when the propagator does not allow any signal to pass. We shall show in the following that this phenom enon can be, and has indeed been, observed in experiment, but not in scattering processes.

The RSE amplitude for strong scattering is given in Eqs. (1,5). One easily veri es that it does not vanish in the lim it E !  $E_{\rm NL}$ . However, for strong production processes, we deduced in Ref. [71], following a similar procedure as Roca, Palomar, O set, and Chiang in Ref. [72], a relation between the production amplitude P and the scattering amplitude T, reading

$$P_{1} = j_{1}(pr_{0}) + iT_{1}h_{1}^{(1)}(pr_{0}) ;$$
 (22)

which, using Eqs. (21) and (1), can also be written as

$$P_{i} = j_{i} (pr_{0}) \quad (E) : \qquad (23)$$

For the latter expression we nd, by the use of Eq. (21), that the production am plitude of Eq. (23) tends to zero when E  $! E_{\rm NL}$ . This e ect must be visible in experimental strong production cross sections.

A ctually, the prim ary question here is not so much if a vanishing qq propagator is observable, but rather whether the production am plitude always vanishes when  $E \ E_{\rm NL}$ . In order to answer this, we must return to the results of R ef. [71], where we found, for the complete production am plitude in the case of multi-channel processes, that Eq. (23) represents the leading term, and that the remainder is expressed in terms of the inelastic components of the scattering am plitude. The latter terms do not vanish in the lim it  $E \ E_{\rm NL}$ , as we have discussed above. Hence, the production am plitude only vanishes approximately in this lim it, in case inelasticity is suppressed.

However, there are more questions to be responded with respect to the observability of vanishing propagators. Namely, do processes exist where only one partial wave contributes and in which processes other than s-channel exchange do not play an important role? Fortunately, the answer to the latter, pertinent, question can be responded a matively, because electronpositron annihilation into multi-hadron nal states takes basically place via one photon, hence with  $J^{PC} = 1$  quantum numbers. Consequently, when the photon materializes into a pair of current quarks, which couple via the qq propagator to the nalmulti-hadron state, we may assume that the intermediate propagator carries the quantum numbers of the photon. Moreover, alternative processes are suppressed.

W e m ay thus conclude that, if we want to discover whether the propagator really vanishes at  $E \ ! \ E_{\rm N \ L}$ , then the ideal touchstone is e<sup>+</sup> e annihilation into multi-hadron states. But not only do we have at our disposal a wealth of experimental results on such processes, there also exist predictions for the values of  $E_{\rm N \ L}$ , with L = 0 or L = 2, given by the parameter set of R ef. [20]. As an example, for  $\infty$  one nds in the latter paper  $E_{0;0} = 3:409 \text{ GeV}$  and ! = 0:19 GeV. U sing Eq. (15), we then get for the higher  $\infty$  connem ent states the spectrum  $E_{1;0} = E_{0;2} = 3:789 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $E_{2;0} = E_{1;2} = 4:169 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $E_{2;2} = 4:549 \text{ GeV}$ , ....

The latter two levels of the cc con nem ent spectrum can indeed be clearly observed in experiment. For example, the non-resonant signal in  $e^+e^-$ ! (2S) (see Fig. 5 of Ref. [73]) is divided into two substructures [74{76], since the full cc propagator (21), dressed with meson bops, vanishes at  $E_3 = 4.55$  GeV [20]. In the same set of data, one may observe a low erlying zero at  $E_2 = 4.17$  GeV [20], more distinctly visible in the data on  $e^+e^-$ !  $^+$  J= (see Fig. 3 of Ref. [77]). The true cc resonances can be found on the slopes of the above-mentioned non-resonant structures [78], unfortunately with little statistical signi cance, if any [79].

In the light-quark sector, where low statistics does not result in su cient accuracy, one needs some in agination for the identi cation of vanishing amplitudes in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation processes into multi-hadron states. Thus, from data given in Ref. [80], one may infer that certain fourpion amplitudes vanish at, or near, the predicted [20] 1.097 GeV nn ground state, and near the predicted 1.477 GeV nn excited state. Some further evidence, also for the predicted higher excited states in nn and ss, is found in data from Refs. [81{85].

So we indeed observem in in a in production processes, which con m vanishing qq propagators. Moreover, the qq con nem ent spectrum predicted 25 years ago in Ref. [20] seem s to agree well with experim ental observations for vector mesons. A coordingly, we expect vector meson qq resonances associated with each of the Regge states: one ground state, dom inantly in a qq S -wave, and two resonances for each of the higher excited Regge states, viz. one dom inantly in an S -wave, and the other mostly in a D -wave (see Fig. 3).

### 8 Conclusions

We have found that the meson spectrum can be described by s-channel exchange of Regge propagators in non-exotic meson-meson interactions, and furthermore that the bound-state and resonance spectrum of mesons is richer than, and dierent from, the underlying Regge spectrum [2,19]. For the latter we generally observe very regular and equidistant level spacings, instead of quadratic trajectories. We also have established a link between s-channel exchange of Regge propagators and unitarized chiral models constructed for the study of resonances in S-wave meson-meson scattering. Moreover, a method is given to relate the coupling constants and seed masses for the latter models. Moreover, we have indicated how the Regge spectrum of qq propagator modes may be observed in production processes.

F inally, the use of R egge propagators for m eson physics is seen [1,20] not to be restricted to light avors, but can, without any further e ort, be extended to heavy quarkonia with the same

set of parameters, i.e., the elective quark masses, the universal frequency !, the overall vertex intensity  $\cdot$ , and the average string-breaking distance  $r_0$ .

### A cknow ledgem ents

W e wish to thank P.C.M agalhaes for very fruitful discussions, essential to develop the ideas outlined in this paper. This work was supported in part by the Fundacao para a Ciência e a Tecnologia of the M inisterio da Ciência, Tecnologia e Ensino Superior of Portugal, under contract POCI/FP/81913/2007.

### References

- E. van Beveren, C. Dullem ond, and G. Rupp, Spectra and strong decays of cc and bb states, Phys. Rev. D 21, 772 (1980) Erratum -ibid. D 22, 787 (1980)].
- [2] E. van Beveren, T. A. Rijken, K. Metzger, C. Dullem ond, G. Rupp and J. E. Ribeiro, A low lying scalar meson nonet in a unitarized meson model, Z. Phys. C 30, 615 (1986) [arXiv:0710.4067 [hep-ph]].
- [3] E.van Beveren and G.Rupp, Observed D<sub>s</sub> (2317) and tentative D (2100-2300) as the charm ed cousins of the light scalar nonet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 012003 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305035].
- [4] K.Kikkawa and M.a.Sato, Lattice gauge theory, string model and hadron spectrum, Phys. Rev.Lett. 38, 1309 (1977).
- [5] A. Hasenfratz, Hadron-spectrum calculations at strong coupling in lattice QCD, Hungarian A cademy of Sciences preprint KFK I-1982-99 (unpublished).
- [6] S.K in and D.K.Sinclair, The light hadron spectrum of quenched QCD on a 32<sup>3</sup> 64 lattice, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4408 (1993).
- [7] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, N. Mathur and D. G. Richards, Charmonium excited state spectrum in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 77, 034501 (2008) [arXiv:0707.4162 [hep-lat]].
- [8] E.Eichten, K.Gottfried, T.Kinoshita, K.D.Lane and T.M.Yan, Interplay of con nem ent and decay in the spectrum of charmonium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 500 (1976).
- [9] N.A. Tomqvist, Scalar mesons in the unitarized quark model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 624 (1982).
- [10] P. Bicudo and J. E. Ribeiro, Generalized resonating-group-method equations in a  ${}^{3}P_{0}$  qq condensed vacuum. The ' and resonances, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1635 (1990).
- [11] J.A.O ller and E.O set, Chiral symmetry amplitudes in the S-wave isoscalar and isovector channels and the ,  $f_0$  (980) and  $a_0$  (980) scalar mesons, Nucl. Phys. A 620, 438 (1997) [Erratum-ibid. A 652, 407 (1997)] [arX iv hep-ph/9702314].
- [12] N. A. Tomqvist, Understanding the scalar meson nonet, Z. Phys. C 68, 647 (1995) [arX iv:hep-ph/9504372].

- [13] N.A. Tomqvist and M. Roos, Con mation of the sigma meson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1575 (1996) [arX iv hep-ph/9511210].
- [14] G. Rupp, F. K kefeld and E. van Beveren, Scalar mesons and Adler zeros, invited talk by G. Rupp at Quark Con nement and the Hadron Spectrum IV, September 21-25, 2004, Tanka Village, Villasimius (Cagliari) Sardinia (Italy) AIP Conf. Proc. 756, 360 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0412078].
- [15] J.A.Oller, E.Oset and J.R.Pelaez, Meson-meson and meson-baryon interactions in a chiral non-perturbative approach, Phys. Rev. D 59, 074001 (1999) Erratum-ibid. D 60, 099906 (1999)] [arX iv hep-ph/9804209].
- [16] J.A.Oller and E.Oset, N=D description of two-meson amplitudes and chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 60, 074023 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9809337].
- [17] M. Boglione and M. R. Pennington, Dynamical generation of scalar mesons, Phys. Rev. D 65, 114010 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0203149].
- [18] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Modi ed Breit-W igner form ula for mesonic resonances describing OZI decays of con ned qq states and the light scalar mesons, Eur. Phys. J. C 22, 493 (2001) [arX iv hep-ex/0106077].
- [19] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, The spectrum of scalar-meson nonets in the Resonance-Spectrum Expansion, in Proceedings of the Workshop on scalar mesons and related topics, honoring the 70th birthday of Michael Scadron (SCADRON 70), Lisbon, Portugal, 11-16 Feb 2008, AIP Conf. Proc. 1030, 219 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2573 [hep-ph]].
- [20] E. van Beveren, G. Rupp, T. A. Rijken, and C. Dullem ond, Radial spectra and hadronic decay widths of light and heavy mesons, Phys. Rev. D 27, 1527 (1983).
- [21] R. Delbourgo, M. A. Rashid, A. Salam and J. A. Strathdee, Green's functions for Regge trajectories, Phys. Lett. B 25, 475 (1967).
- [22] L.M icu, Decay rates of m eson resonances in a quark m odel, Nucl. Phys. B 10, 521 (1969).
- [23] R.D.Carlitz and M.Kislinger, Regge amplitude arising from SU (6) W vertices, Phys. Rev.D 2, 336 (1970).
- [24] A. Le Yaouanc, L. O liver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark-pair-creation model of strong-interaction vertices, Phys. Rev. D 8, 2223 (1973).
- [25] M. Chaichian and R. Kogerler, Coupling constants and the nonrelativistic quark model with charmonium potential, Ann. Phys. 124, 61 (1980).
- [26] J.E.Ribeiro, Matrix elements of the exchange operator for arbitrary angular momentum two-meson states, Phys. Rev. D 25, 2406 (1982).
- [27] E. van Beveren, Recoupling matrix elements and decay, Z. Phys. C 17, 135 (1983) [arX iv:hep-ph/0602248].
- [28] E. van Beveren, Coupling constants and transition potentials for hadronic decay modes of a m eson, Z. Phys. C 21, 291 (1984) [arX iv:hep-ph/0602246].

- [29] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Reconciling the light scalar mesons with Breit-Wigner resonances as well as the quark model, Int. J. Theor. Phys. G roup Theor. Nonlin. 0 pt. 11, 179 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0304105].
- [30] D.R.Boito, P.C.Magalhaes, M.R.Robilotta and G.R.S.Zamauskas, D<sup>+</sup> ! K<sup>++</sup>: the low-energy sector, arX iv:0805.0552.
- [31] D.R.Boito, P.C.Magalhaes, M.R.Robilotta and G.R.S.Zamauskas, D<sup>+</sup> ! K<sup>+ +</sup>: chiral symmetry and scalar resonances, arX iv:0805.4803.
- [32] R.B lankenbecker and R. Sugar, Linear integral equations for relativistic multichannel scattering, Phys. Rev. 142, 1051 (1966).
- [33] A.A.Logunov and A.N.Tavkhelidze, Quasioptical approach in quantum eld theory, Nuovo Cim. 29, 380 (1963).
- [34] E.D.Cooper and B.K.Jennings, Obtaining the one-body limit from the relativistic two-body equation Nucl. Phys. A 500, 553 (1989).
- [35] T.Regge, Introduction to complex orbitalm om enta, Nuovo C im . 14, 951 (1959).
- [36] G.F.Chew and S.C.Frautschi, Regge trajectories and the principle of maximum strength for strong interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 41 (1962).
- [37] V.V.Anreev and M.N. Sergeenko, Meson Regge trajectories in relativistic quantum mechanics, arX iv hep-ph/9912299.
- [38] E. van Beveren, F.K kefeld and G.Rupp, Complex M eson Spectroscopy, invited plenary talk by Eef van Beveren at the XI-th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas (CBPF), R io de Janeiro, Brazil, August 21st - 26th, 2005, AIP Conf. Proc. 814, 143 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0510120].
- [39] W.-M.Yao et al. [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Review of Particle Physics, J.Phys. G 33, 1 (2006).
- [40] J.Ballam et al., A search for  $b_1$  (1235)<sup>0</sup> and <sup>0</sup>(1250)<sup>0</sup> production in the reaction p ! p <sup>+</sup> (neutrals) at 2.8-G ev, 4.7-G ev and 9.3-G ev, Nucl. Phys. B 76, 375 (1974).
- [41] S.Bartalucci et al., Experimental conmation of the 1100-Mev structure and rst observation of the leptonic decay of the <sup>0</sup>(1250), Nuovo Cim.A 49, 207 (1979).
- [42] A.B.Govorkov, On the existence of (1250), JINR-P 2-86-682 (1986).
- [43] D.Aston et al. [LASS collaboration], Evidence for (1270) in the reaction K p! <sup>+</sup>, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 21, 105 (1991).
- [44] D.Aston et al. [LASS collaboration], Evidence for (1300) in the reaction K p ! at 11 GeV=c, SLAC-PUB-5606 (1994).
- [45] Yu. S. Surovtsev and P. Bydzovsky, M esons of the rho-fam ily in the P-wave of pion-pion scattering, Nucl. Phys. A 807, 145 (2008) [arXiv:hep-ph/0701274].

- [46] S.E.Csoma et al. [CLEO Collaboration], First observation of Upsilon (1D) states, Contributed to 31st International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2002), Am sterdam, The Netherlands, 24-31 Jul 2002, arX iv hep-ex/0207060.
- [47] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Scalar mesons within a model for all non-exotic mesons, in Proc. W orkshop Recent D evelopments in Particle and Nuclear Physics, April 30, 2001, Coimbra (Portugal), (Universidade de Coimbra, 2003) ISBN 972-95630-3-9, pages 1{16, arX iv hep-ph/0201006.
- [48] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Scalar and axial-vector mesons, Eur. Phys. J.A 31, 468 (2007) [arX iv hep-ph/0610199].
- [49] Teiji Kunihiro, S. Muroya, Atsushi Nakamura, Chiho Nonaka, Motoo Sekiguchi and HiroakiW ada [SCALAR Collaboration], Lattice study of 'f<sub>0</sub> (600) or sigm a', talk given at 20th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (LATTICE 2002), Boston, Massachusetts, 24-29 Jun 2002, arX iv:hep-lat/0210012.
- [50] G. Veneziano, Construction of a crossing-symmetric, Regge-behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories, Nuovo Cim. A 57, 190 (1968).
- [51] W . Roberts and B. Silvestre-Brac, Generalmethod of calculation of any hadronic decay in the P-wave triplet model, A cta Phys. Austriaca 11, 171 (1992).
- [52] R. Bonnaz, L. A. Blanco, B. Silvestre-Brac, F. Fernandez and A. Valcarce, <sup>3</sup>P<sub>0</sub> study of m eson decays in a chiral quark model, Nucl. Phys. A 683, 425 (2001).
- [53] B. Frim an, S. H. Lee and T. Song, D mesons in matter and the in-medium properties of charmonium, Phys. Lett. B 548, 153 (2002) [arXiv:nucl-th/0207006].
- [54] T.Barnes and E.S.Swanson, Hadron boops: general theorem s and application to charm onium, Phys. Rev. C 77, 055206 (2008) [arXiv:0711.2080 [hep-ph]].
- [55] E.van Beveren and G.Rupp, Flavor symmetry of mesonic decay couplings, Eur. Phys. J.C 11, 717 (1999) [arX iv:hep-ph/9806248].
- [56] N. Isgur and J. Speth, Comment on "Con mation of the sigma meson", Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2332 (1996).
- [57] G. Janssen, B. C. Pearce, K. Holinde and J. Speth, On the structure of the scalar mesons  $f_0$  (975) and  $a_0$  (980), Phys. Rev. D 52, 2690 (1995) [arX iv nucl-th/9411021].
- [58] P. Bicudo and J. E. Ribeiro, K N exotic S-channel I = 1;0 phase shifts: A test of the non-anihilating quark-quark potential, Z. Phys. C 38, 453 (1988).
- [59] M.Harada, F.Sannino and J.Schechter, Commenton "Con mation of the sigma meson", Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1603 (1997) [arX iv hep-ph/9609428].
- [60] P.D asgupta and S.B iswas, Duality, unitarity and exotic peaks, J.Phys.G 2, L167 (1976).
- [61] P.G.O.Freund, Two-component duality and strings, arX iv:0708.1983 [hep-th].

- [62] E.van Beveren and G.Rupp, Behaviour of S-wave poles near threshold and the scalar m eson nonet below 1-GeV, Cont. paper for the 31st Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2002), Am sterdam, The Netherlands, 24{31 Jul 2002, session 9, Hadron spectroscopy and exotics, paper ABS22, and arX iv hep-ph/0207022.
- [63] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Threshold behavior of scattering poles, invited plenary talk by Eef van Beveren given at The High-Energy Physics W orkshop on Scalar M esons: An Interesting Puzzle for QCD, Utica, New York, 16–18 M ay 2003, AIP Conf. Proc. 688, 88 (2003) [arX iv:hep-ph/0306185].
- [64] E.van Beveren, D.V.Bugg, F.K kefeld and G.Rupp, The nature of sigma, kappa,  $a_0$  (980) and  $f_0$  (980), Phys. Lett. B 641, 265 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0606022].
- [65] I.Caprini, G.Colangelo and H.Leutwyler, M ass and width of the lowest resonance in QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 132001 (2006) [arX iv:hep-ph/0512364].
- [66] S. Descotes-Genon and B. Moussallam, The K<sub>0</sub> (800) scalar resonance from Roy-Steiner representations of K scattering, Eur. Phys. J. C 48, 553 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607133].
- [67] N.A. Tomqvist, The meson-mass spectrum and unitarity, Ann. Phys. 123, 1 (1979).
- [68] E.E.Kolom eitsev and M.F.M.Lutz, Charmed meson resonances from chiral coupledchannel dynamics, A IP Conf. Proc. 717, 665 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0311205].
- [69] P.G eiger and E.S.Swanson, D istinguishing am ong strong decay m odels, Phys. Rev. D 50, 6855 (1994) [arX iv:hep-ph/9405238].
- [70] E.B.G regory, A.C. Irving, C.C.M dNeile, S.M iller and Z. Sroczynski, Scalar glueball and meson spectroscopy in unquenched lattice QCD with improved staggered quarks, PoS (LAT 2005), 027 (2006) [arX iv hep-lat/0510066].
- [71] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Relating multichannel scattering and production amplitudes in a microscopic OZI-based model, Ann. Phys. 323, 1215 (2008) [arX iv:0706.4119].
- [72] L.Roca, J.E.Palomar, E.O set and H.C.Chiang, Unitary chiral dynamics in J= ! VPP decays and the role of scalar mesons, Nucl. Phys. A 744, 127 (2004) [arX iv:hep-ph/0405228].
- [73] X. L. W ang et al. [Belle Collaboration], Observation of two resonant structures in e<sup>+</sup>e ! <sup>+</sup> (2S) via initial-state radiation at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 142002 (2007) [arXiv:0707.3699 [hep-ex]].
- [74] G.J.Ding, J.J.Zhu and M.L.Yan, Canonical charmonium interpretation for Y (4360) and Y (4660), Phys. Rev. D 77, 014033 (2008) [arXiv:0708.3712 [hep-ph]].
- [75] Z.Q.Liu, X.S.Q in and C.Z.Yuan, Combined to BaBar and Belle data on e<sup>+</sup>e !
  (2S), Phys. Rev. D 78, 014032 (2008) [arX iv:0805.3560 [hep-ex]].
- [76] F.K.Guo, C.Hanhart and U.G.Meissner, Evidence that the Y (4660) is a f<sub>0</sub> (980) <sup>0</sup> bound state, Phys. Lett. B 665, 26 (2008) [arX iv:0803.1392 [hep-ph]].
- [77] C.Z.Yuan et al. [Belle Collaboration], Measurement of e<sup>+</sup>e ! <sup>+</sup> J= cross section via initial-state radiation at Belle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 182004 (2007) [arX iv:0707.2541 [hep-ex]].

- [78] E. van Beveren and G. Rupp, Is the Y (4260) just a coupled-channel signal?, arX iv:hep-ph/0605317.
- [79] B. Aubert et al. [BABAR Collaboration], Observation of a broad structure in the  $^+$  J= mass spectrum around 4.26-GeV/ $c^2$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 142001 (2005) [arX iv hep-ex/0506081].
- [80] A.B.Clegg and A.Donnachie, Higher vector meson states produced in electron-positron annihilation, Z.Phys.C 62, 455 (1994).
- [81] A.D.Bukin et al., Pion form -factor measurement by e<sup>+</sup>e ! <sup>+</sup> in the energy range 2 e from 0.78-G ev up to 1.34-G ev, Phys. Lett. B 73, 226 (1978).
- [82] B.Dekourt et al, Study of the reaction e<sup>+</sup> e ! K<sup>+</sup>K in the total energy range 1400-M eV to 2060-M eV, Phys. Lett. B 99, 257 (1980).
- [83] F.M ane et al., Study of the reaction  $e^+e^-$ !  $K_L^0K_S^0$  in the total energy range 1.4-GeV to 2.18-GeV and interpretation of the K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>0</sup> form factors, Phys. Lett. B 99, 261 (1981).
- [84] D.Bisello et al. DM 2 Collaboration], Study of the reaction  $e^+e^-$ ! K<sup>+</sup>K in the energy range 1350<  $\overline{P}$  s < 2400-M eV., Z.Phys.C 39, 13 (1988).
- [85] R. R. A khm etshin et al., Study of the process  $e^+e^-! K_L^0 K_S^0$  in the CM energy range 1.05-G ev up to 1.38-G ev with CM D-2, Phys. Lett. B 551, 27 (2003).