# M onte Carb and large angle gluon radiation

YuL.Dokshitzer<sup>1;3</sup> and G.M archesin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LPTHE, Universities of Paris-VI and VII and CNRS, Paris, France <sup>2</sup>University of Milan {Bicocca and INFN Sezione di Milano {Bicocca, Milan, Italy

<sup>3</sup>CERN Theory Division, Geneva, Switzerland

A bstract: We discuss the problem of incorporating recoil e ects into the probabilistic QCD evolution scheme based on the picture of colour dipoles as done in recent M onte Carlo programs. Such a scheme correctly describes subleading soft contributions to multiplicity distributions. However we nd that a simple receipt for incorporating recoil e ects into the dipole multiplication picture based on the ordering of gluon energies con icts the collinear factorization and does not lead to the correct DGLAP equation.

On leave of absence: St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, 188350, G atchina, Russia

# C ontents

1.	Introduction			2
2.	Multiple soft gluons and Monte Carlo			4
	2.1 Generating functional in the soft limit		4	
	2,2	Evolution equation		5
		221	Energy as an evolution variable	6
		222	Integral evolution equation and M onte C arb	6
	2.3	Mean multiplicity		8
		2.3.1	The main term	9
		232	The correction term	10
		233	O ther observables sensitive to large-angle gluon e ects	11
3.	Attempt to include recoil in dipole multiplication			11
	3.1 Recoil strategy		12	
	32	The non-singlet quark energy distribution		14
		321	Collinear approximation	14
		322	Evolution equation with dipole recoil strategy	15
		323	A nalysis of the equation	16
		32.4	Two gluon em ission	18
4.	Conclusions			21
Α.	. G lobal recoil and correspondence with DGLAP 2.			

## P reface

We share opinion of our late friend Bo Anderson who once bitterly complained about two aspects of scientic publishing that became standard in recent decades: 1) shying away from expressing emotions and 2) not making public an outcome of a study that produced a negative, undesired results. The present paper is moderately emotional; at the same time it is perfectly in line with the second Bo's demand: it reports the nding that the authors did not plan, and would rather not want, to arrive at.

The answer to the question that is posed in this paper suggests that a form ulation of M onte C arb event generation based on the multiplication picture of energy ordered colour dipoles is plugged by a serious problem .

## 1. Introduction

Generation of events using M onte C arlo m ethods is an indispensable tool for planning, running and analysing the results of m odern high energy experiments. A possibility to generate multi-particle production as a M arkov chain of successive independent parton splittings is based on the general property of factorization of collinear singularities which is characteristic for logarithm ic eld theories (quantum eld theories with dimensionless coupling).

Event generation is necessarily an approximate procedure. Strictly speaking, in order to predict the cross section d<sub>n</sub> for production of n partons in a hard interaction with the hardness scale Q<sup>2</sup>, one needs to plug in the QCD matrix element of the (at least) n<sup>th</sup> order of the perturbation theory. Instead, one repeats n times the basic 1 ! 2 splitting process of the rst order in <sub>s</sub>. By so doing one correctly samples the major part of the n-parton phase space, though not all of it. It is this part that gives the dom inant, maximally collinear enhanced contribution to, e.g., the inclusive particle distribution, D<sup>(n)</sup> = O ( $_{s}^{n} \log^{n} Q^{2}$ ). This approximation known as leading bgarithm ic (LLA) can be system atically in proved by including higher order parton splitting processes. Thus, the next-to-leading logarithm ic accuracy corresponding to the series  $_{s}^{n+1} \log^{n} Q^{2}$  is achieved by incorporating 1 ! 3 parton splittings, etc. For inclusive parton distributions this leads to the DG LAP evolution equations [1] whose generalisation to multi-particle distributions can be achieved in the spirit of jet-calculus [2], with additional account of soft gluon coherence.

Beyond the one loop \evolution H am iltonian" it starts to matter how one organises the parton chain, that is, what one chooses as \parton evolution time". In particular, due to the presence of soft gluons one encounters corrections of the type  $(_{s} \log^{2} x)^{k}$  which are form ally subleading (non-collinear) but become explosively large in the x 1 limit (here x is the gluon energy fraction). This eventuality can be cured by an appropriate tuning of the evolution time parameter. Namely, for time-like parton multiplication (jets) one has to choose the angular ordering [3]. This choice corrects the \naive" uctuation time ordering (dictated by an exam ination of Feynm an denom inators in a cascading process) by taking into clever account collective e ects leading to destructive interference in soft gluon radiation (form ore details see, e.g., [4{8}).

An alternative way of dealing with soft gluon interference e ects for time-like parton multiplication (jets) is provided by the \dipole scheme" [4,9] in which an independently radiating parton, 1 ! 2, is replaced by a colourless dipole formed by two partons neighbouring in the colour space, 2 ! 3. Gluon radiation o a dipole is autom atically suppressed at angles exceeding the dipole opening angle thus reproducing the angular ordering. Colour suppressed contributions 0 (1=N $_c^2$ ) that lie beyond the scope of the dipole approach are num erically small and di cult to trigger experimentally [10].

W ithin the standard coherent parton cascade picture [5,8] it is the small-angle multiplication processes populating jets that enjoy full all-order treatment (get \exponentiated"). The dipole formulation o ers a possibility to improve this treatment by taking into consideration logarithmically enhanced e ects due to multiple emission of soft gluons at large angles with respect to jets. Non-collinear soft gluons dom inate inter-jet particles ows in various hard processes. They also complicate the analysis of the so-called nonglobal QCD observables [11{13], i.e. in observables in which recorded radiation is con ned in geometrically de nite phase space regions. It is then interesting to involve these corrections into a M onte Carlo, code based on dipole emission, including soft radiation awy from jets.

In this paper we discuss a dipole based scheme (in the large-N<sub>c</sub> approximation) which is well suited for deriving in proved analytic predictions for observables like mean multiplicities, inclusive soft particle spectra and energy ows, correlations, etc., that incorporate large-angle soft gluon radiation e ects as in [11{13]. At the same time, we observe that once one aims at beyond the no-recoil (soft) approximation, treating colour dipoles as independently evolving entities is likely to con ict the collinear factorization. It does not lead to the correct DGLAP equation.

The paper is organised as follows. We start by constructing in Section 2 an evolution equation for the generating functional that describes soft gluon content of e<sup>+</sup> e annihilation events. No recoil is included. This equation is based on the factorization of the multi-soft gluon distribution, in the planar limit, and uses the centre-offmass energy as an \evolution time". Contrary to what is done norm ally, no angular variable enters the evolution time here. The evolution equation in the integral form we interpret as a M arkov process of successive dipole branchings, which interpretation leads to a M onte C arb chain process for probabilistic event generation. As a rst example, we solve the evolution equation for mean gluon multiplicity to analyse subleading corrections due to large-angle soft gluon radiation. Here we work in the soft approximation meaning: 1) small energies of emitted gluons and 2) neglecting the energy-m om entum loss (recoil) by the primaries.

These two aspects of the \soft gluon approximation" do not necessarily go together. In Section 3 we consider an inclusive energy distribution of the leading quark in the quasi-elastic limit,  $(1 \times)$  1, where emitted gluons ought to be soft but the quark recoil is essential. At the beginning, in the construction of the evolution equation no recoil e ects were included. They are incorporated later by using the recoil strategy that seem s natural for a dipole multiplication scheme, see [14]. We nd, however, that the quark fragm entation function so obtained deviates essentially from the collinear resummation result given by the DG LAP evolution equation. This is in contrast to what is happening in M onte C arb schem es based on angular ordered tim e-like cascades where collinear singularities are correctly resummed [5,15].

D iscussion of the problem encountered is relegated to the C onclusions section.

## 2. Multiple soft gluons and Monte Carlo

The method of generating functionals makes it straightforward to generate exclusive events using M onte C arlo techniques. W e start from the known n-gluon distribution in the soft lim it and construct the evolution equation for the corresponding generating functional.

The generating functional for a quark-antiquark pair qq plus an ensemble of n secondary partons,  $p_a p_b q_1; q_2; :::q_h;$  is

$$G (Q; u) = \int_{n=0}^{X^{1}} d_{ab} (q_{1}; q_{2}; \dots; q_{n}) u_{a} u_{b} \int_{i=1}^{Y^{n}} u(q_{1}) M_{ab} (q_{1}; \dots; q_{n})^{2} : (2.1)$$

Here  $p_a$ ,  $p_b$  are the nalon-mass-shellm on enta of the quark and antiquark produced by the virtual photon and  $q_i$  the momenta of the secondaries. The matrix element  $M_{ab}^{(n)}$  describes production of n + 2 real nal state partons and contains any number of virtual ones. d<sup>(n)</sup> is the full phase space factor of the ensemble of n + 2 massless particles:

$$d_{ab}^{(n)}(q_{1};q_{2};\ldots;q_{n}) = (dp_{a})(dp_{b}) \sum_{i=1}^{Y^{n}} (dq_{i}) (2)^{4} (q_{a} + p_{b} + \sum_{i=1}^{Y^{n}} q_{i} Q); \quad (22)$$

where

$$(dq) = \frac{d^3q}{2!(2)^3}; ! = q_0 = jqj:$$

The \source functions" u are attached to each parton in (2.1). They help to extract an arbitrary nal state observable once the functional is known. The fully inclusive m easurement, that is when one allows for production of any number of particles with arbitrary momenta, corresponds to setting all u = 1. This gives G (Q;u = 1) = 1 corresponding to normalization to the total cross section. In this section we work in the no-recoil approximation.

## 2.1 G enerating functional in the soft lim it

In the large-N  $_{\rm c}$  (\planar") approximation the squared matrix element can be approximated at tree level as

$$M_{ab}^{(n)}(q_{1};:::;q_{n})^{2}, \frac{(4^{2}_{s})^{n}}{n!} \sum_{perm}^{X} W_{ab}(q_{j_{1}};:::;q_{j_{n}}) M_{ab}^{(0)} \sum_{s}^{2} = \frac{N_{cs}}{s};$$
(2.3a)

where  $W_{ab}$  is the multiple antenna" function [4] describing the production probability of n soft real gluons  $q_i$ :

$$W_{ab}(q_{1};q_{2};:::;q_{h}) = \frac{(p_{a}p_{b})}{(p_{a}q_{1})(q_{1}q_{2}) \dots p_{b})(q_{1})}; \qquad (2.3b)$$

This result holds in the soft gluon approximation  $q_i = p_a; p_b$ . In invariant term s,

$$(q_{i}p_{a}); (q_{i}p_{b}) (p_{a}p_{b});$$
 (2.4)

or, equivalently,  $(q_i; p_a + p_b)$  2  $(p_a p_b) = Q^2$  giving

$$!_{i} = E_{a}' E_{b}' Q = 2;$$
 (2.5)

with  $E_a; E_b$  and  $!_i$  the energies in the centre-of-m ass of the \dipole" (ab). In this approximation the phase space can be simplied as

$$d_{ab}^{(n)}(q_{1}; :::; q_{n}) ' (dp_{a}) (dp_{b}) (2)^{4} (p_{a} + p_{b} Q) \begin{pmatrix} Y^{n} \\ (dq_{i}) \end{pmatrix} d_{ab}^{(0)} (dq_{i}) : (2.6)$$

The expression (2.3) was derived in plying strong ordering of gluon energies:

$$!_{i_{n}} !_{i_{n-1}} ::: !_{i_{2}} !_{i_{1}} Q = 2:$$

The answer, however, is symmetric with respect to momenta of participating gluons. Therefore, we may ignore the ordering between gluons and set a common upper bound  $E = \frac{1}{2}Q$  for gluon energies.

Extracting the quarks whose m om enta in the soft gluon approximation are not a ected by radiation, we may write

$$G(u;Q)' = d_{ab}^{(0)} u(p_a) u(p_b) \mathbf{M}_{ab}^{(0)} \mathbf{f} = G(p_b; \frac{1}{2}Q): \qquad (2.7)$$

The functionalG  $(p_a; p_b; E)$  describes production of any number of gluons with energies limited from above by some value E, o the dipole formed by the quarks with momenta  $p_a$ ,  $p_b$ . By setting  $E = \frac{1}{2}Q$  we obtain the multi-gluon generating functional describing  $e^+e$  annihilation process with  $s = Q^2$ .

The generating functional contains proper virtual factors for each n-gluon contribution. Om itting for the moment the virtual corrections, we have

$$G_{\text{(real)}}(p_a;p_b;E) \qquad \begin{array}{c} X & Z \\ W_{ab}(q_1;\ldots;q_n) & P_{ab} \\ & & \\ n & & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} Y^n \\ !_{\underline{i}}d!_{\underline{i}} \\ \frac{d}{4} \\ & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} u_{\underline{i}} \\ y_1 \\ & \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} H(E_{\underline{i}})! \\ \vdots \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} (2.8) \\ \end{array}$$

D ue to the sym m etric structure of the antenna function (2.3) one m ay choose  $W_{ab}(q_1 :::q_n)$  to represent any energy ordered ensemble of gluons and drop the sym m etry factor 1=n!.

#### 2.2 Evolution equation

To obtain an evolution equation for the generating functional we need to exploit the structure of the multi-gluon antenna distribution (2.3) and to deduce a recurrence relation. To construct a recurrence relation one should select an \evolution variable"

to order em itted gluons. In the logic of the collinear approximation this is done by ordering the angles of successive gluon em issions, which ordering takes full care of the destructive interference contributions in the soft region [3{5,8}] and preserves the probabilistic parton multiplication picture. In particular, this procedure was applied to the expression (2.8) to construct the HERW IG event generator [5,15].

However, as was already stated, the distribution (2.3) is valid in the soft limit for arbitrary angles, and one is tempted to use this property in order to lift of the collinear approximation. The derivation of the evolution equation avoiding the small angle approximation was done in  $[11\{13\}]$  where the upper bound E on gluon energies in the event centre-offmass was treated as the \evolution time" parameter. Let us recall the corresponding construction employing the energy as an evolution variable.

#### 2.2.1 Energy as an evolution variable

We take the ordering in the energy of emitted gluons in the center-of-m ass of the event (2.5) and deduce an evolution equation for the soft generating functional. The evolution equation follows from the exact recurrence relation | the factorization property of the multi-gluon antenna function (2.3b) | which reads

where `is any gluon. To construct the evolution equation one takes `to be the most energetic among the gluons.

Introducing the scaled antenna function

$$\widehat{W}_{ab}(q)$$
  $!^2$   $W_{ab}(q) = \frac{ab}{aq bq}$ ;  $_{ik} = 1 \cos_{ik}$ ;

which depends only on the angles between partons, we obtain

Here the subtraction term takes care of virtual corrections, so that taking all (q) = 1 one derive the desired norm alization

$$G(p_a; p_b; E)_{j_{a-1}} = 1:$$
 (2.11)

No recoil was included up to now; it will be considered later.

#### 2.2.2 Integral evolution equation and M onte C arlo

By exponentiating the total one-gluon emission probability we obtain the Sudakov form factor which describes the probability that the dipole does not radiate gluons with energies up to a given value E :

$$S(p_a;p_b;E) = exp$$
  $\frac{d!}{!} \frac{d!}{4} = (q_t^{ab}) \hat{W}_{ab}(q) \# (q_t^{ab} = Q_0) : (2.12)$ 

Here  $q_t^{ab}$  is the invariant transverse m om entum of the gluon with respect to the pair of quarks:

$$(q_{\rm t}^{\rm ab})^2 = \frac{2(p_{\rm a}q)(p_{\rm b}q)}{(p_{\rm a}p_{\rm b})} = \frac{2}{W_{\rm ab}(q)};$$
 (2.13)

and an arbitrary parameter  $Q_0$  has been introduced as a collinear cuto . By constructing the logarithm ic derivative of the Sudakov form factor over the maximal gluon energy,

$$E(Q_{E} \ln S(p_{a};p_{b};E)) = \frac{Z}{4} \frac{d}{4} s(Q_{E}^{ab}) \Re (q) \# (Q_{E}^{ab} Q_{0}) ; \qquad (2.14)$$

and plugging this expression into (2.10) we can trade the virtual subtraction term for the Sudakov factor. By so doing we arrive at the equivalent equation for the soft generating functional in the integral form :

$$G(p_{a};p_{b};E) = S(p_{a};p_{b};E) + \frac{Z}{!} \frac{d!}{!} \frac{d!}{!} \frac{d}{!} u(q) \frac{S(p_{a};p_{b};E)}{S(p_{a};p_{b};!)}$$

$$(2.15)$$

$$(2.15)$$

Iteration of this equation can be interpreted as parton branching which can be realized as a M onte C arb (M arkov) process. To see this, observe that the iteration kernel

$$dP(p_{a};p_{b};q;E) = \frac{d!}{!} \frac{d}{4} \frac{S(p_{a};p_{b};E)}{S(p_{a};p_{b};!)} \qquad _{s}(q_{t}^{ab}) \Re_{ab}(q) \#(q_{t}^{ab} Q_{0})$$
(2.16)

can be written as the product of two probability distributions (here the boundary  $q_t^{ab}$  >  $Q_0$  is implicit):

$$dP (p_{a};p_{b};q;E) = dP_{ab}^{(1)}(!;E) \quad dP_{ab}^{(2)}(q);$$

$$P_{ab}^{(1)}(!;E) = \frac{S (p_{a};p_{b};E)}{S (p_{a};p_{b};!)}; \quad dP_{ab}^{(2)}(q) = s \frac{d}{4} \frac{d}{aq} \frac{d}{ab} \qquad s \frac{d}{4} \frac{d}{aq} \frac{d}$$

In the M onte C arb process one tries to generate em ission of a gluon with m om entum q o a given dipole. The rst distribution, dP  $^{(1)}$ , provides the gluon energy !, if the transverse m om entum bound is satis ed, and the second one, dP  $^{(2)}$ , its direction  $_{\rm q}$ . If the boundary is not satis ed, the dipole does not em it. O ne has

Z  
dP 
$$(p_a; p_b; q; E) = 1$$
 S  $(p_a; p_b; E);$  (2.18)

which shows that the Sudakov factor gives the probability of not emitting a soft gluon within the resolution  $q_t^{ab} > Q_0$ .

#### 2.3 M ean multiplicity

By construction the generating functional (2.15) embeds only soft gluon radiation. Incorporating double logarithm ic (simultaneously soft-and collinear-enhanced) contributions, it also contains single logarithm ic e ects due to emission of soft gluons at large angles.

Here we demonstrate an application of the dipole-based evolution to the calculation of subleading large-angle soft gluon corrections. In the collinear approach, such corrections are treated as due to  $\multi-jet$ " con gurations (contributing to the  $\coe$  cient function") rather than jet evolution ( $\anom abus dim ension$ "), see [8].

Consider m ean gluon multiplicity. In order to obtain an equation for the multiplicity of secondary partons, one applies to (2.10) the variational derivative over the probing function u(k) and integrates over k, while setting u 1 for all remaining probing functions (one-particle inclusive measurement):

$$E @_{E} N (_{ab}; E) = \frac{Z}{4} \frac{d}{4} s (k_{t}^{ab}) \widehat{W}_{ab}(q) 1 + N (_{qa}; E) + N (_{qb}; E) N (_{ab}; E) :$$

$$\stackrel{!=E}{(2.19)}$$

Introducing N = 1 + N we have [16]

$$N^{0}(_{ab}) = E @_{E} N (_{ab}) = \frac{Z}{4} \frac{d}{4} (k_{t}^{ab}) \widehat{W}_{ab}(q) N (_{qa}) + N (_{qb}) N (_{ab}) : (2.20)$$

In order to illustrate the di erence with the standard approach based on the angular ordering we will analyse (2.20) in the double logarithm ic approximation which neglects hard parton splittings and recoil e ects. For the time being we will ignore the running of the coupling; the corresponding corrections will be addressed later.

Representing the integrand on the rhs. of (2.20) as

Ζ

$$\frac{1}{12} N (_1) + N (_2) N (_) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10} N (_1) N (_) + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2} N (_2) N (_) - \frac{1}{12} N (_);$$

and using the 1 \$ 2 sym m etry, we obtain

$$N^{0}() = \frac{d}{4} + \frac{2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$$

W e can perform the integration over the azim uth of q around the direction of  $p_a$ ,

$$\frac{d}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} d_{1} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d_{1}}{2}$$

U sing the relation

$$\frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{d_{1}}{2} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{j_{1}};$$

{ 8 {

we get

$$\frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{j1j} = \frac{2}{1} \# (1); \quad (2.22)$$

As a result,

$$N^{0}() = \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d_{1}}{j_{1}j_{1}} - N(j_{1}) N(j) + \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} \frac{d_{1}}{j_{1}} N(j); \qquad (2.23)$$

with the E dependence in plicit. The rst contribution we split into integrals over sm all and large angles, 0  $_1$  and  $<_1$  2. Introducing the integration variable =  $_1$ = 1 in the rst region and =  $=_1$  in the second,  $\frac{1}{2}$  1, the equation (2.23) takes the form [16]

$$N^{0}() = \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{1} = \frac{1}{N} () N() + \frac{Z_{1}}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{1} = N^{-1} N() : (2.24)$$

This result we represent as a sum of two terms,

$$E Q_E N () = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{d} = N () + (); \qquad (2.25a)$$

where

$$() = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d_{s}}{1} N(s) N(s) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d_{s}}{1} N^{s} N^{s} N(s) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d_{s}}{1} N^{s} N^{s} N(s) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d_{s}}{1} N^{s} N^{s} N^{s} N(s) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d_{s}}{1} N^{s} N^{s$$

The rst integral term on the rhs. of (2.25a) generates the standard DL anom alous dimension for the mean multiplicity; the second one constitutes a subleading correction.

### 2.3.1 The main term

W e have to solve the equation

$$E @_{E} N^{DL} (;E) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{d} N^{DL} (;E)$$
(2.26)

with the initial condition

N ( ; E) 
$$\frac{1}{2} p_{\frac{1}{2} = Q_0} = 1$$
:

The solution is a function of a single variable  $Q = E^{p} \frac{1}{2}$  (the maximal transverse momentum of partons radiated by the dipole).

The argument of the running coupling in (2.26) can be approximated as

$$_{s}(k_{t}^{ab}) = _{s} E \frac{2_{12}}{2_{12}} \prime _{s} E^{p} \frac{1}{2_{1}} :$$

{ 9 {

The correction to this approximation turns out to be negligible since the logarithm ic factor  $\ln(_2=)$  vanishes in the collinear limit  $_1$ ! 0:

$$/ \frac{d_1}{1} = 0 \frac{2}{s} = 0 \frac{2}{s}$$
:

Thus we obtain the double di erential equation

$$\frac{d^2}{d \ln Q} = {}^2 N^{DL} (Q) = 2_{s} (Q) N^{DL} (Q); \qquad Q = E^{\frac{p}{2}}; \qquad (2.27)$$

with the initial conditions N (Q<sub>0</sub>) = 1 and N<sup>0</sup>(Q<sub>0</sub>) = 0. Its solution for the xed coupling case reads

$$N^{DL}(Q) = \cosh \ln \frac{Q}{Q_0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{Q_0} ;$$
 (2.28)

where the anom alous dimension is given the correct expression

$$= \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}{2} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2} \frac{d}$$

## 2.3.2 The correction term

Let us analyse the correction term (2.25b) keeping the contributions of the order of  $s^{3=2}_{s}$  while neglecting contributions O ( $\frac{2}{s}$ ). The answer depends on the value of the opening angle .

Sm all opening angles ( 1). It is the region of sm all opening angles that gives a dom inant contribution to the integral determ ining the DL anom alous dimension in (2.26). In this entire region the correction (2.25b) does not contribute at the  $_{s}^{3=2}$ level. Indeed, substituting the solution (2.28) into the expression (2.25b) and setting

! 0 in the lower lim it of the second integral we get

$$\frac{(;E)}{N(;E)} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d}{1} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d}{1} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{d}{1} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{1}$$

Large opening angle ( ' 2). At the same time, contributes to the multiplicity of the fully open" dipole, = 2. The expression (2.25b) for (2;E) contains one integral:

$$(2;E) = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{1} N (2;E) N (2;E)$$

Expanding the di erence of the multiplicity factors,

N ( 2;E) N (2;E) = 
$$\mathbb{E}$$
 ( (2;E)  $\frac{1}{2}$  ln + :::;

we derive

(2;E)' E@\_EN(2;E) 
$$s \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d}{1} \frac{1}{2} \ln = \frac{2}{12} s E_{E} (2;E):$$

Integrating this correction over energy we nally obtain an order  $_{\rm s}$  correction to the dipole multiplicity due to emission of two soft energy ordered gluons:

N (2;E) = N<sup>DL</sup> (2;E) 1 
$$\frac{2}{12}$$
 s + ::: : (2.30)

In the standard approach, this correction belongs to the  $\infty e$  cient function and originates from a \four-jet" con guration with two large-angle energy ordered soft gluons as additional \jets" [8].

#### 2.3.3 O ther observables sensitive to large-angle gluon e ects

There is a variety of observables that are sensitive to soft gluon radiation at large angles. Am ong them one can mention production of heavy quark pairs in jets [16], nonglobal jet observables [11] including various particle distributions and correlations related with particle ows in the inter-jet regions [12,13,17{21}. The dipole-based evolution equation (2.15) is well suited for taking into account single logarithm ic correction e ects due to multiple soft gluons in these observables and elsewhere.

At the same time, it remains insu cient for building a realistic M onte C arb event generator which is impossible without incorporating full parton decay probabilities, including hard parton splittings, and of the recoile ects to ensure energy {m om entum conservation.

## 3. Attempt to include recoil in dipole multiplication

Generally speaking, a gluon em itted by a dipole cannot be ascribed as o spring to either of the two partons that form the dipole. However, in the collinear lim it when the gluon momentum q is quasi-parallel to one of the two hard partons, say,  $p_a$ , it is the parton a that can be said to \independently split" into two, sharing its momentum,  $P_a$  !  $p_a + q$ . A small change in the \spectator" momentum  $P_b$  !  $p_b$  which is necessary to compensate for the virtuality of the (a;q) pair, vanishes in the collinear lim it. A general recoil strategy has to be form ulated in such a way that in the collinear lim it the answer reduces to the standard DGLAP parton splitting function  $P_a$  !  $p_a + q$  that includes hard momentum con gurations,  $p_a$  q. This is a source of a signi cant single-logarithm ic correction, this time not from soft gluon radiation at large angles but from hard collinear-enhanced em ission.

Thus, in order to properly formulate a recoil strategy, one must split the soft dipole radiation function W  $_{ab}$  (q) into two pieces, W  $_{ab}^{(a)}$  (q) and W  $_{ab}^{(b)}$  (q), each of which

incorporates the collinear singularity when  $q j j p_a or q j j p_b$ , respectively:

$$W_{ab}(q) = \frac{(p_a p_b)}{(p_a q)(q p_b)} = W_{ab}^{(a)}(q) + W_{ab}^{(b)}(q); \qquad (3.1a)$$

or, graphically,



One can do this di erently, for example by introducing [14]

$$W_{ab}^{(a)}(q) = \frac{(p_a p_b)}{(p_a q)(p_a + p_b;q)}; \quad W_{ab}^{(b)}(q) = \frac{(p_a p_b)}{(p_b q)(p_a + p_b;q)}: \quad (3.2)$$

A nother possibility to split the dipole is given by the \conditional probabilities" [5,6]

$$W_{ab}^{(a)}(q) = W_{ab}(q) \frac{1}{2} 1 + \frac{(qp_a)(p_bQ)(qp_bQ)(qp_bQ)}{(p_ap_b)(qQ)} = \frac{1}{2!^2} \frac{1}{qa} 1 + \frac{ab}{qb} \frac{qa}{qb}$$
(3.3)

The distribution (3.3) produces the exact angular ordering upon averaging over the azim uthal angle of the gluon momentum q around the singular direction  $p_a$ :

Note that in all cases the distributions  $W_{ab}^{(c)}(q)$  (c = a;b) fall fast and essentially become irrelevant when the emission angle exceeds the opening angle of the parent dipole,  $_{qc} > _{ab}$ , that is away from the angular ordered kinematics.

## 3.1 R ecoil strategy

Consider the elementary process

$$P_{a} + P_{b} =$$
)  $p_{a} + p_{b} + q$ :

One ascribes de nite recoil pattern separately to the two terms in (3.1). Thus, for the set term  $W_{ab}^{(a)}$  which is collinear singular in the direction  $q jjp_a$ , we choose, following the Catani{Seym our prescription [14]

$$p_{b}^{(a)} = (1 \ y)P_{b}$$
:

It de nes the two light-like vectors  $P_a$ ,  $P_b$  that represent the momenta of the parent partons prior to the gluon em ission:

$$p_a^{(a)} = zP_a + (1 z)yP_b k_{\epsilon};$$
 (3.5a)

$$q = (1 z)P_a + zyP_b + k_t;$$
 (3.5b)

where  $k_{\rm t}$  is ortogonal to  $P_{\rm a}$  and  $P_{\rm b}.$  The on-m ass-shell condition  $p_{\rm a}^2$  = 0 gives

$$(P_{a} + yP_{b} q)^{2} = 0 =) \qquad y = \frac{(qP_{a})}{(P_{a} q;P_{b})}$$
: (3.6)

The light-cone fraction z of the parent m om entum  $P_a$  carried by the nal quark  $p_a$  is given by the expression

$$L \qquad z = \frac{(qP_a)}{(P_a P_b)}:$$

In the collinear lim it  $y \mid 0$ . In the soft lim it both  $y \mid 0$  and  $z \mid 1$ .

We now recast the phase space element in terms of the \parent momenta":

The Jacobian of this transform ation reads

$$J_{ab}^{(a)}(q) = 1 \frac{(qP_{a})}{(P_{a} q; P_{b})} 1 \frac{(qP_{b})}{(P_{a}P_{b})} = \frac{1}{z}$$
(3.8)

The gluon radiation probability we represent as a sum of two contributions:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ d_{ab}(q) & W_{b}(q) & ) & d_{ab}^{(0)} & (dq)W_{ab}^{(a)}(q)J_{ab}^{(a)}(q) + W_{ab}^{(b)}(q)J_{ab}^{(b)}(q) : (3.9) \end{array}$$

F inally, the evolution equation in the di erential form becomes

$$E \ (e_{E} \ G \ (P_{a}; P_{b}; E)) = \frac{d}{4} \frac{d}{4} s \ (q_{E}^{ab}) \ \# \ (q_{E}^{ab} \ Q_{0})$$

$$X \qquad \qquad h \qquad \qquad i \qquad (3.10a)$$

$$J_{ab}^{(c)} \ (q) \ \widehat{W}_{ab}^{(c)} \ (q) \ u \ (q) \ G \ (p_{a}^{(c)}; q; E) \ G \ (q; p_{b}^{(c)}; E) \qquad G \ (P_{a}; P_{b}; E) = :$$

$$c=ab$$

In the graphic form it can be represented as



This evolution equation is the modi cation of (2.10) that includes recoil as described above. The gluon radiation function  $\widehat{W}_{ab}(q) = !^2 W_{ab}(q)$  is split according to (3.9)

into the two pieces which are collinear singular when q is parallel to  $p_a$  or to  $p_b$ , correspondingly, see [14]. The energy of the hardest real gluon  $q_b$  ! = E plays the rôle of the evolution parameter.

By introducing the Sudakov form factors this equation can be recast in an integral form as it has been done in the soft case in (2.15).

$$G(P_{a};P_{b};E) = S(P_{a};P_{b};E) + \frac{Z_{E}}{!} \frac{d!}{!} \frac{Z}{!} \frac{d}{!} u(q) \frac{S(P_{a};P_{b};E)}{S(P_{a};P_{b};E)} \#(q_{t}^{ab} Q_{0})$$

$$S(q_{t}^{ab}) \int_{ab}^{X} J_{ab}^{(c)}(q) \Re_{ab}^{(c)}(q) G(q;P_{b}^{(c)};P_{b};P$$

This equation generalizes (2.15) by taking into account the energy  $\{m \text{ om entum recoil} and again satis es the normalization G (P<sub>A</sub>; P<sub>b</sub>; E) = 1 for all u (q) = 1 (cf. (2.11)).$ 

An iterative solution of this integral equation de nes a M onte C arb process for generating multi-parton ensembles with account of collinear non-enhanced single-logarithm ic corrections due to large-angle soft gluon emission.

Now we are going to check if the dipole recoil scheme described above is consistent with known analytical results concerning the collinear resummation. The simplest observable of this type is an inclusive energy distribution of the nal-state quark.

## 3.2 The non-singlet quark energy distribution

The non-singlet quark fragmentation function is obtained by taking the derivative of the generating functional  $G_{ab}$  with respect to the quark source  $u(p_a)$  and setting all the remaining source functions u = 1. This way we obtain the distribution in the momentum of the quark a accompanied by any number of gluons. If the quark energy is taken large,  $(1 \ x) \ 1$ , all radiated gluons are soft and the analysis simplifies significantly. The problem becomes essentially Abelian<sup>1</sup> and is described by multiple independent radiation of soft gluons by the quark.

## 3.2.1 Collinear approxim ation

We shall restrict ourselves to con gurations in which all radiated gluons have small em ission angles with respect to the quark direction. This | quasi-collinear | approximation is su cient for the analysis of the anom abus dimension which accum ulates collinear (\mass") singularities of the fragmentation function in all orders and describes the scaling violation.

In this kinem atics the measured variable x is given by

$$x = 1$$
  $y_i; !_i = y_iE; p_a' x P_a:$  (3.12)

 $<sup>^1</sup>N$  on-A belian e ects due to nalstate cascading of gluons reduce to making the e ective coupling in the radiation probability of a primary gluon k run with  $k_2^2$ .

W hat m atters is the total energy 1 x carried, on average, by an ensemble of radiated soft gluons.

Looking for collinear singular contributions | the term s of the type  $_{s} \ln (1 \times )$   $\ln Q |$  we may omit the two last term s on the r.h.s. of the equation (3.10b) that contain the factor W  $_{ab}^{(b)}$  (q) which is non-singular when the gluon momentum q becomes collinear to  $p_{a}$ .

One is then left with contributions involving only the singular antenna piece W  $_{\rm ab}^{\ (a)}$  (q):



(3.13)

Since our observable is inclusive, we may use unitarity arguments to simplify the equation. Indeed, by the nature of the adopted recoil strategy, production of gluons by the \upper" dipole (bc) in (3.13) does not a ect the momentum of the quark a. Therefore, since such emissions do not a ect the measurement, they are subject to real{virtual cancellation and can be neglected.

One is left to consider only gluons em itted in the lower blob involving the parton a:

 $E\frac{d}{dE} = \frac{c}{a} - \frac{c}{a}$ (3.14)

This equation generates multiple gluon emissions o the quark.

By construction, successive emissions are ordered in gluon energies. And here comes the crucial observation: as we have discussed above, the emission angle of the gluon c is essentially limited from above by the aperture of the parent dipole,  $_{ab}$ , see (3.4). Therefore, soft gluons generated by the evolution equation (3.14) turn out to be ordered, simultaneously, in energies and angles with respect to the radiating quark. Instead we know that the DGLA equation is obtaine from ordering only in collinear variables, disregarding the relative energies of emitted partons. The corresponding equation in the present dipole-form ulation boks as follows.

## 3.2.2 Evolution equation with dipole recoil strategy

Since the radiated gluons are soft, we use the distribution  $W_{ab}^{(a)}(q)$  de ned in (3.3) which describes the soft part of the gluon radiation probability (splitting function). We may also approximately set  $J_{ab}^{(a)}(q) = 1$ .

In going from the generating functional  $G(P_a; P_b; E)$  in (3.10) to the single particle distribution, we express the latter as a function of the angle between the partons <sub>ab</sub>, the energy scale E '  $E_a$  and the energy fraction of the triggered quark: D (<sub>ab</sub>; E; x). Perform ing integration over the azim uthal angle  $_{aq}$  (see (3.4)) one deduces from (3.10)

D (;E;x) = (1 x) + 
$$\frac{2}{y} \frac{1}{20} \frac{d}{20} \frac{0}{s} \# yE^{p} - Q_{0}$$
  
D (;E;x) = (1 x) +  $\frac{1}{y} \frac{d}{y} \frac{1}{20} \frac{1}{s} \# yE^{p} - Q_{0}$   
D (;yE;x); (3.15a)

where  $=_{ab}$  is the angular aperture of the original dipole (ab) while  $^{0} =_{ac}$  is the aperture of the secondary (ac) {dipole, and for the sake of sim plicity we have restricted ourselves to the soft part of the gluon radiation probability, dy=y. Equivalently one m ay write<sup>2</sup>

$$D(;E;x) = (1 x)S(E^{p}) + \frac{Z_{1}}{y} \frac{dy}{20} s^{p} + yE^{p} - Q_{0} \frac{S(E^{p})}{S(yE^{p})}D^{0};yE;\frac{x}{1y};$$
(3.15b)

with the Sudakov form factor given by the expression  $\frac{7}{7}$ 

$$\ln S(E^{P}) = \frac{2}{v} \frac{1}{v} \frac{dy}{v} \frac{d}{2v} \frac{d}{2v} \frac{d}{v} \frac{d}{2v} \frac{d}{v} \frac{d}{2v} \frac{d}{v} \frac{$$

The distribution D describing real gluon emission in the integrands of (3.15) is evaluated at <sup>0</sup> since a gluon produced by the dipole (ac) has an angle with respect to a electively bounded from above by <sub>ac</sub>, see (3.4).

It is worth noticing that going from the equation for the generating functional (3.10) to that for the inclusive quark spectrum, we have replaced the full angle aperture  $=_{ab}$  by the opening angle <sup>0</sup> of the (ac) dipole in the virtual (subtraction) term as well. In so doing we followed the preceding observation according to which virtual radiation of gluons constituting the \wider" dipole (cc) had been already cancelled by corresponding real em issions, without a exting the energy of the triggered quark.

Equations (3.15) correctly satisfy the sum rule for the rst m om ent: integrating over x one obtains 1 for the num ber of quarks a (to see this one uses (2.18)). However, they are in con ict with the Poisson nature of the multiple soft gluon radiation and contradict the collinear resum m ation result leading to the DGLAP equation.

## 3.2.3 A nalysis of the equation

Introducing the transverse momentum scale variable Q = E<sup>p</sup> – and the corresponding integration variable q =  $!^{p} - 0$  we get

$$D(x;Q) = (1 x) + \frac{dy}{Q_0 = Q} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{dy}{Q_0} \frac{dq}{q} = D(x;q) ; \quad (3.17)$$

 $<sup>^2 \,</sup> The \, y$  integration in (3.15b) is actually limited from above by y = 1  $\,$  x since the distribution D ( ;Q ;z) / (1 z)

or, in term s of the standard M ellin m om ent representation,

$$D_{N}(Q) = 1 + \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{Z} \frac{dy}{y} \sum_{q=0}^{Z} \frac{dq}{q} + \frac{h}{s} (1 - y)^{N} + \frac{1}{2} D_{N}(q) :$$
(3.18)

In the region of large x, such that  $1 \times 1$  (but  $1 \times Q=Q$ ), the essential M ellin m om ents are large, N  $(1 \times x)^1$ , and cut from below the y-integral:

$$D_{N}(Q) ' 1 = \frac{Z_{1}}{1=N} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{Z_{yQ}}{Q_{0}} \frac{dq}{q} = D_{N}(q):$$
 (3.19)

By iterating this equation one obtains an oscillating double logarithm ic series in  $\ln Q$  and  $\ln N$  ,

$$D_{N}^{(n)}(Q) = \frac{(\ln N \ln Q)^{n}}{(n!)^{2}} + \cdots$$

Here one combinatorial factor 1=n! comes from the kinematical ordering of gluon energies, and the second 1=n! from the ordering of the angles with respect to the quark of the successively radiated gluons. This series sum s up into a Bessel function instead of an exponent in  $\ln Q$  with the well known DGLAP \anom alous dimension"

$$D_{N}^{(n)}(Q) = \frac{(s\ln N \ln Q)^{n}}{n!}; D_{N}(Q) / Q^{s\ln N};$$

where we have neglected for the sake of sim plicity the running of the coupling.

In general (whether the coupling runs or not), the anom alous dimension  $\ensuremath{_N}$  is dened as

$$_{\rm N}$$
 (  $_{\rm s}$  (Q)) =  $\frac{\rm d}{\rm d\ln Q} \ln D_{\rm N}$  (Q):

For  $_{s} = const this gives$ 

$$D_{N}(Q) = \frac{Q}{Q_{0}}$$
 (c)

Substituting this ansatz into (3.19) and evaluating the logarithm ic derivative, we obtain an equation for the new anom alous dimension:

$$\sum_{N (s)}^{N} (y) = \sum_{1=N}^{N} dy y^{N-1} = s \frac{1 \exp(N \ln N)}{N}; \quad (3.20)$$

Perturbatively, when  ${}_{\rm s}\ln^2{\rm N}$  1, we have the correct one loop expression

$$_{\rm N}$$
 (s) 's  $\ln N$  + O  $_{\rm s}^{2} \ln^{3} N$ ; (3.21)

while in higher loops it starts to deviate signi cantly from the DGLAP answer. In general, introducing the variable w

$$_{\rm N} = \frac{\rm W}{\ln \rm N};$$

the anom alous dimension is given by the solution of the equation

$$_{\rm s}\ln^2 N = \frac{w^2}{e^w - 1}$$
 (3.22)

The rh.s.hits them aximum at certain  $w = w_0$ , so that in the region of largem on ents N, starting from  $_{\rm s} \ln^2 N = \text{const}$ , the anom alous dimension becomes complex valued and the distribution starts to oscillate.

The origin of the failure of the energy ordering strategy can be understood already at the level of two em itted gluons.

## 3.2.4 Twogluon em ission

Consider the emission of two soft gluons  $p_1; p_2$  o the parton q of the qq dipole. The antenna functions that potentially contribute in the collinear limit (recall that we keep all gluon angles with respect to the quark a = q to be small) are displayed here:



The rst two graphs correspond to the splitting of the dipole (a1):

 $W_{a1}^{(a)}(2)! \#(a_{1} a_{2})$  and  $W_{a1}^{(1)}(2)! \#(a_{1} a_{2});$ 

while the third one,

is the relevant part of the large-aperture dipole (1q). Due to the local recoil prescription used, only the contribution (a) a ects the momentum of the quark q. In the two remaining ones, (b) and (c), the gluon 2 borrows its energy {momentum from the gluon 1 and does not produce any quark recoil. Therefore, these contributions cancel against corresponding virtual corrections in the inclusive quark measurem ent. In conclusion, within the adopted recoil strategy, only the graph (a) should be kept, and we obtain the following phase space for the two-gluon emission:

$$_{a2}$$
  $_{a1}$   $_{aq}$  and  $!_{2} < !_{1}$  E: (3.24)

The rst condition comes from the angular ordering in the graph (3.23) (a), and the second condition | from the energy ordering of successive emissions.

We know, however, that in order to obtain the DGLAP equation that properly resum s collinear singular contributions, one needs to assem ble angular (or, transverse m om entum) ordered em issions (the rst ordering), regardless to the order of gluon energies (the second one). At the same time, the dipole logic is leading us to the double-ordered gluon ensemble, according to (3.24). As a result, instead of a simple Poisson distribution of soft radiation (1=n !) we obtain something like a Bessel function distribution  $(1=(n !)^2)$ .

W hat is m issing here is actually the coherence of QCD radiation. A swell known, a soft gluon  $!_2$ , with  $!_2$   $!_1$   $E_a$ , could be emitted at large angles ( $_{a2}$   $_{a1}$ ) directly by the original parton  $q + p_1$  ' q. In the language of Feynm an amplitudes, such radiation occurs as a coherent sum of the graphs (b) and (c).

A nother way to discuss this point is the follow ing. The dipole radiation pattern of soft gluon 2 o the composite antenna (alq) can be represented as follow s:

$$W_{a1}(2) + W_{1q}(2) = W_{a1}(2) + W_{1q}(2) \quad W_{aq}(2) + W_{aq}(2):$$
 (3.25a)

Beyond the large-N<sub>c</sub> approximation, the latter term (corresponding to emission of the gluon 2 by the quark-antiquark dipole) acquires a N<sub>c</sub><sup>2</sup>-suppressed correction, N<sub>c</sub>! N<sub>c</sub>  $1=N_c$ :

$$\frac{N_{c}}{2} \quad W_{a1}(2) + W_{1q}(2) = ) \quad \frac{N_{c}}{2} \quad W_{a1}(2) + W_{1q}(2) \quad W_{aq}(2) + C_{F} \quad W_{q}(2): (3.25b)$$

This is in line with the collinear factorization theorem . Indeed, the factor in square brackets is non-singular when  $p_2$  becomes parallel to the direction of the quark or antiquark momentum so that these collinear enhancements are contained by the quark dipole term W  $_{aq}$  (2) and must be proportional to the \colour charge" (C asim ir operator) C  $_{\rm F}$  of the quark.

The only collinear singularity of the rst contribution on the r.h.s. is that  $ofp_2$  parallel to  $p_1$  which suggest an interpretation of this term as independent emission of the gluon 2 by the previous generation (harder) gluon 1. The full two soft gluon emission probability takes the form

dw (1;2) / 
$$C_F \frac{N_c}{2}$$
  $W_q$  (1)  $W_{a1}$  (2) +  $W_{1q}$  (2)  $W_{aq}$  (2) +  $C_F^2$   $W_q$  (1)  $W_{aq}$  (2): (3.26)

In the large-N<sub>c</sub> lim it, this is identical to the original dipole expression. At the same time, the representation (3.26) suggests a dimensional strategy when it comes to incorporating the energy {momentum conservation.

The rst term in (3.26) describes cascade gluon multiplication: qq! 1 followed by 1 ! 2. In the inclusive quark distribution this is subject to the real{virtual cancellation. Energy ordered con gurations,  $!_2$  !<sub>1</sub> cancel leaving no trace; \hard" gluon splittings with  $!_2$  ' !<sub>1</sub> modify one gluon emission by giving rise to  $_{s}(k_{12}^{2})$ for the radiation intensity of the primary gluon 1 by the quark. The second term describes independent radiation of two gluons of the quark | the second order term of the Poisson distribution of primary gluons | which is necessary to correctly recover the DGLAP quark fragmentation function.

Equation (3.26) provides a probabilistic representation for the two-gluon production in terms of a combination of independent and cascade gluon emissions. With account of hard parton splittings and of the natural energy recoil prescription, it served as the base for constructing the HERW IG parton event generator [5,15]. The corresponding probabilistic representation for production of three and four energy ordered gluons was developed in [6] and described in [8], which resulted in a form ulation of the parton multiplication scheme based on the exact angular ordering of successive parton splittings.

The di erence between the two strategies becom es transparent. In the dipole scheme, successive gluons borrowing the energy from the quark were ordered in emission angles,

$$W_{aq}^{(a)}$$
 (1)  $W_{a1}^{(a)}$  (2) ;  $_{2a} < _{1a} < 1$ ;

while in (3.26) the angle of the softest gluon is not bounded, and the two emissions are totally independent,

$$W_{aq}^{(a)}$$
 (1)  $W_{aq}^{(a)}$  (2);  $2a < 1; 1a < 1$ :

Let us see how this has happened, from the point of view of the gluon {gluon splitting.

In the quasi-collinear con guration,  $_{a1}$  1, the radiation pattern of the softest gluon 2 consists of a \narrow " and a \wide-angle" dipoles, (a1) and (1q). When, within the dipole recoil strategy, the \wide-angle" antenna W  $_{1q}$  (2) is split into the pieces collinear singular with respect to the directions of the rst generation gluon (1) and of the antiquark,

$$W_{1q}(2) = W_{1q}^{(1)}(2) + W_{1q}^{(q)}(2);$$

the contribution  $W_{1q}^{(1)}(2)$  is boked upon as radiation of the gluon 2 by the gluon 1, whichever the kinem atical conguration of the gluon 1 with respect to its parent quark (dipole factorization). At the same time, within the HERW IG logic (collinear factorization), the gluon (gluon multiplication occurs only in a restricted angular aperture,  $_{21} < _{1a}$ . Indeed, in the kinem atical region  $_{1a} = _{21}$  1 the two last terms in the combination

$$W_{a1}(2) + W_{1q}(2) = W_{aq}(2)$$

on the r.h.s. of (3.25) cancel:

$$\lim_{a \ge 0} \frac{2}{1a} \quad W_{1q}(2) \quad W_{aq}(2) = 0 \quad \frac{1a}{12} \quad ! \quad 0$$

(while the rst antenna term,  $W_{a1}$  (2), is restricted in angle on its own).

Physically, the gluon 2 radiated o the gluon 1 in the \wide" dipole (3.23) (c) at relatively large angles,  $_{21} > _{1a}$ , is produced by the grandparent parton a (quark). Correspondingly, it must borrow energy from the quark rather than locally from the dipole (1q) to which it belongs from the point of view of the colour connection topology.

Reform ulating this way the local dipole recoil prescription modiles the energy ordered evolution equation. The modilection reduces to substituting the full dipole opening angle  $=_{ab}$  for the running angle parameter <sup>0</sup> in the argument of the distribution D on the rhs. of (3.15). As demonstrated in the Appendix, this way one recovers the approximate DGLAP equation for the quark fragmentation function.

## 4. Conclusions

M onte C arb generation of Q CD events is a quarter century old business, based on the structure of resum m ation of collinear enhanced Feynm an diagram contributions. The probabilistic parton cascade picture was rst established for one-particle inclusive quantities (D G LAP evolution equations for D IS structure functions and  $e^+e$ fragm entation functions, [1]), generalised via \jet-calculus" [2] and, with account of the soft gluon coherence [3{5,8}, has laid the base for probabilistic description of the internal structure of parton jets and their ensem bles.

The corresponding coherent branching M onte C arlo schem es (HERW IG, in particular) reproduce correctly both the leading double logarithm ic e ects (LL) in various QCD observables as well as subleading single logarithm ic collinear-enhanced term s. O ne m ay recall as examples NLL corrections to the m ean particle multiplicity [22] and to the inclusive particle spectra from light parton [7,23] and heavy quark initiated jets [24], to global event shapes in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation [25], as well as m any other quantities sensitive to parton cascades.

Collinear-non-enhanced (\large angle") soft gluon radiation provides signi cant NNLL corrections to global event characteristics (e.g., mean particle multiplicity); it is also responsible for inter-jet multiplicity and energy ows and determ ines the structure of various non-global observables [11{13]. E ects of multiple soft gluon radiation at large angles lie beyond the scope of the standard (collinear) approach and must be treated order by order in perturbation theory (while collinear enhanced contributions are resummed in all orders).

An elegant expression [4] for the multiple soft gluon production probability (2.3) is valid for arbitrary angles and o ers a possibility of improving the parton picture. The structure of multi-gluon distribution (2.3) naturally suggests an interpretation in term s of a chain of colour connected dipoles. By choosing an \evolution tim e" variable this chain m ay be generated via a M arkov process of successive dipole splittings. An evolution tim e can in principle be chosen di erently. W e have used energy ordering of

gluons for this purpose. The generating functional that we have constructed with the help of the corresponding evolution equation allows one to calculate speci c e ects due to multiple em ission of soft gluons at large angles in the large-N  $_{\rm c}$  approximation.

In order to construct a realistic M onte C arb generator form ultiparton ensembles it is imperative, however, to form ulate an adequate recoil prescription which would ensure energy {m om entum conservation at every successive step of the parton (dipole) multiplication.

In the present paper we addressed the question, whether the \dipole factorization" extends beyond the no-recoil approximation. In other words, whether the splitting of a colour dipole into two can be treated independently of the prehistory of the system, that is locally in the evolution time (which is a necessary condition for constructing a M arkov process).

Having taken an inclusive energy distribution of the nal quark as the simplest example of a collinear sensitive observable, we have shown that a naive implementation of the dipole recoil strategy results in violating the collinear factorization.

However, the existing M onte C arlo in plan entations of the dipole picture (for recent examples see for instance [26(28]) chose to order successive gluon emissions in (dipole centre of mass) transverse m on enta rather than in (laboratory fram e) gluon energies. Such a construction apparently prevents the problem under consideration from appearing in the leading collinear approximation, that is in all orders in the QCD coupling corresponding to the one-loop level in the anom alous dimension.

Indeed, as we have seen above, the problem with the quark recoil appears when a softer gluon (2) is emitted at a large angle than its predecessor (1). The inclusive quark energy distribution is sensitive to the region where the two gluons have comparable energies. Then, a softer one that carries a slightly sm aller energy but is radiated at a large angle, acquires a larger transverse m om entum, and therefore, by construction, is looked upon as \the rst" by the  $k_2$ -ordered M C scheme. Therefore, \the second" gluon in such a picture, that is the one with sm aller transverse m om entum, is autom atically having a sm aller emission angle; in the leading logarithm ic approximation the coherence is respected.

The problem of an interplay between energy {momentum recoil and soft gluon coherence discussed in this paper gets postponed but should be kept in m ind in view of attempts at constructing the next-to-leading order QCD MC generators.

## A cknow ledgem ents

W e thank the organisers and participants of the CERN TH jet phenom enology sem inar for the opportunity to extensively discuss the issues raised in the paper. W e are especially grateful to W alter G iele, G osta G ustafson, D avid K osower, Leif Lonnblad, Zoltan N agy, G avin Salam, Jim Sam uelsson, M ike Seym our, Torbjorn Sjostrand, P eter Skands, D avidson Soper and B ryan W ebber for illum inating discussions.

## A.G lobal recoil and correspondence with DGLAP

W e have no universal recipe under skeve for extending the dipole gluon multiplication picture beyond the no-recoil approximation. However, for a simple example of the inclusive quark distribution discussed in Section 3 the situation is straightforward to cure.

As we saw from the previous discussion, the origin of the failure lay in the fact that the softest gluon em itted at angles larger than the angle of the previous branchings did not contribute to the quark recoil. So, it su ces, by brute force, to perm it the gluons of all generations to contribute to the quark recoil, irrespectively to the value of their em ission angles.

This amounts to replacing in the integrand of (3.15b) the distribution D evaluated at  $=_{ac}$  with the distribution evaluated at the full opening angle  $=_{ab}$ . One then has the dimensional equation,

$$D(;E;x) = (1 \quad x) S(E) + \frac{Z_{1}}{y} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{d}{z} \frac{s}{2} \# yE^{p} - Q_{2} \frac{S(E)}{S(yE)} D ; yE; \frac{x}{1 \quad y};$$
(A.1)

which actually corresponds to the  $D \in LAP$  evolution. To see this, we iterate equation (A.1) to obtain the series

where

$$en \text{ ord} = (y_n < 1 < \frac{1}{2})$$
 (A.3)

is the product of with theta-functions which ensures the energy ordering of gluons. Now, due to the symmetry of the multiple integral (A 2) with respect to energy and angular variables, we can replace the energy ordering with the angular ordering:

$$en \text{ ord} =) \qquad ang \text{ ord} = (n < 1 <): \qquad (A.4)$$

Now the gluons are no longer ordered in energies (the gluon  $q_i$  does not need to be softer then  $q_{i-1}$ ) but in the emission angle with respect to the quark. In the angular ordered form, a soft gluon radiated at a relatively large angle can be said to be emitted before any other (harder or softer) gluons that move in a collinear bunch around the quark. This is in accord with the colour coherence according to which a large-angle soft gluon \sees" only the total colour charge of a collinear group of partons.

To derive the DGLAP equation we replace

$$\frac{d!_{i}}{!_{i}} = \frac{dy_{i}}{y_{i}} = \frac{dz_{i}}{1 \ z_{i}}; \qquad 1 \qquad y_{i} = z_{1} \qquad n \quad z \qquad (A.5a)$$

where now 1  $z_i$  is the local fraction of energy taken away by the gluon  $q_i$  from the parent parton (quark), while  $y_i$  is the gluon energy fraction with respect to E, the energy of the prim ary parton  $P_a$ :

$$y_i = (1 \quad z_i) z_{i \mid 1} \quad 1 : z$$
 (A.5b)

We nally obtain the evolution equation

$$D(Q;x) = (1 \quad x)S(Q) + \frac{\sum_{Q^2} Q^2}{Q_t^2} \frac{dq_t^2}{q_t^2} \int_{0}^{Z_{-1}} dz \frac{s(q_t)}{2(1 \quad z)} \frac{S(Q)}{S(q_t)} D(q_t; \frac{x}{z})$$

$$= (1 \quad x) + \frac{\sum_{Q^2} Q^2}{Q_t^2} \frac{dq_t^2}{q_t^2} \int_{0}^{Z_{-1}} dz \frac{s(q_t)}{2(1 \quad z)} D(q_t; \frac{x}{z}) D(q_t; x)$$
(A.6)

with  $Q = E^{p}$  and  $q = yE^{p}$ . The upper boundary of the z-integration, 1  $Q_{p}=q_{p}$ , can be replaced by 1 in the logarithm ic collinear approximation, which results in the integral equation that correctly gives (the soft piece of) the DGLAP anom alous dimension.

F inally, let us m ention another obstacle that one faces when trying to construct the full realistic M onte C arlo scheme starting from the energy ordered gluon ensem – bles.

In order to m atch the full DGLAP anom abus dimension, one has to include the \hard part" of the splitting function by adding to the distributions  $\widehat{W}_{ab}^{(c)}$  (q) the pieces vanishing at ! = 0. In the equation (A.6) this calls for the replacement

$$\frac{dy_i}{y_i} = \frac{dz_i}{1 \ z_i} = ) \qquad dz_i \ \frac{1}{1 \ z_i} \ \frac{1}{2} (1 + z_i) : \qquad (A.7)$$

However, while we could cast the leading soft distribution in (A.1) in terms of the local energy fraction,  $dy_i=y_i = dz_i=(1 \quad z_i)$ , the nite term  $(1 + z_i) dz_i$  cannot be expressed locally, via the single variable  $y_i$ , see (A.5).

## References

- V N.Gribov, L N.Lipatov, Sov J Nucl Phys. 15 (1972) 438;
   G.Altarelli and G.Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126 (1977) 298;
   Yu L.Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP 46 (1977) 641.
- [2] K.Konishi, A.Ukawa and G.Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B 157 (1979) 45.

- [3] A.H.Mueller, Phys.Lett.104B (1981) 161;
  B.J.E.m. olayev and V.S.Fadin, JETP Lett. 33 (1981) 285;
  A.Bassetto, M.C.iafaloni, G.M. archesini and A.H.Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 207 (1982) 189; Yu.L.Dokshitzer, V.S.Fadin and V.A.Khoze, Zeit.Phys.C 15 (1982) 325; ibid C 18 (1983) 37.
- [4] A.Bassetto, M.Ciafaloniand G.Marchesini, Phys.Rept.100 (1983) 201.
- [5] G.Marchesiniand B.R.Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 238 (1984) 1.
- [6] Yu L.D okshitzer and S.I.Troian, \A sym ptotic Freedom and LocalParton {Hadron Duality", in: Proceedings of the XIX LNPIW inter School, vol. 1, p. 144, Leningrad, 1984.
- [7] Ya.J.Azim ov, Yu.L.Dokshitzer, V.A.Khoze and S.J.Troian, Zeit.Phys.C 27 (1985)
   65.
- [8] Yu L. Dokshitzer, VA. Khoze, AH. Mueller and SJ. Troian, Basics of Perturbative QCD, Editions Frontieres, Gif-sur-Yvette, 1991.
- [9] G.Gustafson, Phys. Lett. B 175 (1986).
- [10] Yu L. Dokshitzer, V A. Khoze and S.I. Troian, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50 (1989) 505 [Yad. Fiz. 50 (1989) 808].
- [11] M.Dasgupta and G.P.Salam, Phys.Lett.B 512 (2001) 323 [hep-ph/0104277].
- [12] M. Dasgupta and G.P. Salam, JHEP 0203 (2002) 017 [hep-ph/0203009]; A.Ban, G. Marchesiniand G. Smye, JHEP 0208 (2002) 006 [hep-ph/0206076]; R.B. Appleby and M.H. Seym our, JHEP 0212 (2002) 063 [hep-ph/0211426]; C.F. Berger, T. Kucs and G. Sterm an, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 094031 [hep-ph/0110004]; C.F. Berger, T. Kucs and G. Sterm an, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 014012 [hep-ph/0303051].
- [13] Yu L. Dokshitzer and G. Marchesini, JHEP 0303 (2003) 040 [hep-ph/0303101].
- [14] S.Cataniand M. Seym our, Nucl. Phys. B 485 (1997) 291, Erratum bid. B 510 (1998) 503 [hep-ph/9605323].
- [15] G.Marchesiniand B.R.Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 310 (1988) 461.
- [16] G.Marchesiniand A.H.Mueller, Phys. Lett. B 575 (2003) 37 [hep-ph/0308284];
   G.Marchesiniand E.Onofri, JHEP 0407 (2004) 031. [hep-ph/0404242]
- [17] A.Ban, G.Marchesiniand G.Smye, JHEP 0208 (2002) 006. [hep-ph/0206076]
- [18] N.Kidonakis and G.Sterm an, Phys.Lett.B 387 (1996) 867, Nucl.Phys.B 505 (1997) 321; N.Kidonakis, G.O derda and G.Sterm an, Nucl.Phys.B 531 (1998) 365;
   E.Laenen, G.O derda and G.Sterm an, Phys.Lett.B 438 (1998) 173.

- [19] Yu L.Dokshitzer, VA.Khoze, G.Marchesini and BR.Webber, Phys.Lett.B245 (1990) 243.
- [20] Ya.J. A zim ov, Yu.L.Dokshitzer, V A.K hoze and S.J. Troian, Phys. Lett. 165B (1985) 147.
- [21] G.Marchesiniand B.R.Webber, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 3419.
- [22] A.H.Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 213 (1983) 85.
- [23] S.Catani, B.R.Webber and G.Marchesini, Nucl. Phys. B 349 (1991) 635;
   S.Gieseke, P.Stephens and B.R.Webber, JHEP 0312 (2003) 045. [hep-ph/0310083]
- [24] Yu L. Dokshitzer, V A. Khoze and S.J. Troian, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 89;
   [hep-ph/9506425]
   M. Cacciari and S. Catani, Nucl. Phys. B 617 (2001) 253.
- [25] S.Catani, L.Trentadue, G.Turnock and B.R.Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 407 (1993) 3.
- [26] LeifLonnblad, Comput. Phys. Commun. 71 (1992) 15 [hep-ph/0010017].
- [27] Z.Nagy and D.E.Soper, JHEP 0803 (2008) 030.
   [arX iv:0801.1917]

   Z.Nagy and D.E.Soper, JHEP 0807 (2008) 025.
   [arX iv:0805.0216]
- [28] W. T. Giele, D. A. Kosower and P.Z. Skands, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 014026. [arXiv:0707.3652]