Irrational constants in positronium decays

B.A.Kniehl,^a A.V.Kotikov,^{a b} O.L.Veretin^{a c}

^a II Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hamburg 22761 Hamburg, Germany

^b Bogolyubov Laboratory for Theoretical Physics, JINR 141980 Dubna, Moskau region, Russia

^c O n leave of absence from Petrozavodsk State University, 185910 Petrozavodsk, K arelia, Russia

We establish irrational constants, that contribute to the positronium lifetime at 0() and $0(^2)$ order. In particular we show, that a new type of constants appear, which are not related to Euler{Zagier sum s or multiple values.

1. Introduction

M ost of the multi-loop analytical calculations in quantum eld theories have been dine for socalled single-scale problem s. This means that the evaluated integrals are basically expressed as numerical constants up to a trivial scale factor. Examples of such problem s include alm ost all renormalization group calculations, evaluations of the critical exponents, anom alous magnetic moments of the electron and the muon, matching calculations in elective theories (e.g. HQFT, NRQFT) and many others.

U sually analytical results involve the so-called Euler{Zagier (EZ) sum s of the form

$$\frac{X}{n_1 > n_2 > :::> n_k} \frac{(1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1}} ::: \frac{(k)^{n_k}}{n_1^{a_k}}$$
(1)

or more generally multiple polylogarithms

$$\frac{X}{n_1 > n_2 > :::> n_k} \frac{z_1^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1}} ::: \frac{z_k^{n_k}}{n_1^{a_k}}$$
(2)

where z_1 ; ::: z_k are some parameters and a_1 ; ::: ; a_k are positive integers. The sum $a_1 + a_2 + ::: + a_k$ is called the weight in such a case.

The above de nitions include e.g. well-known irrationalities like functions (a); (a;b);:::, (poly) logarithm s Li_a (1=2); ln 2; ::: and \sixth root of unity" constants $Ls_j^{(k)}$ (=3); $Ls_j^{(k)}$ (2 =3); :::. There is no doubt, that by consideration of m ore com plicated problem s and in higher loops some mew constants will appear. A s exam ples we can mention some elliptic integrals (see e.g. [1,2,3]).

In this paper we concentrate on a very inportant single-scale problem : the total width of positronium decay in QED.Positronium (Ps), the lightest known atom, provides an ultra-pure laboratory for high-precision tests of QED. In fact, thanks to the sm allness of the electron m ass m relative to typical hadronic m ass scale, its theoretical description is not plagued by strong interaction uncertainties and its properties, such as decay widths and energy levels can be calculated perturbatively in non-relativistic QED (NRQED) [4] with very high precision.

P s com es in two ground states, ${}^{1}S_{0}$ parapositronium (p-P s) and ${}^{3}S_{1}$ orthopositronium (o-P s), which decay to two and three photons, respectively.

This work was supported in part by BM BF G rant No. 05 HT 6G UA, DFG G rant No. SFB 676, and HGF G rant No.NG-VH-008.

2. O rthopositronium

In this section we are concerned with the lifetime of o-Ps, which has been the subject of a vast num ber of theoretical and experim ental investigations. Its rst precision m easurem ent [5], of 1968, had to wait nine years to be compared with rst complete one-loop calculation [6], which came two decades after the analogous calculation for p-Ps [7] being considerably simpler ow ing to the two-body nal state. In the year 1987, the Ann Arbor group [8] published a measurem ent that exceeded the theoretical prediction avalaible by ten experim ental stantard deviations. This is so-called o-Ps lifetime puzzule triggered an avalanche of both experim ental and theoreticalactivities, which eventually resulted in what now appears to be the resolution of this puzzle. In fact, the 2003 m easurem ents at Ann Arbor [9] and Tokio [10]

(Ann Arbor)	=	7:0404(10)(8)	s ¹ ;	
(Tokyo)	=	7:0396(12)(11)	s ¹ ;	(3)

agree mutually and with the present theoretical prediction,

 $(\text{theory}) = 7.039979(11) \text{ s}^{-1}$: (4)

The latter is evaluated from

(theory) =
$$_{0} 1 + A - + \frac{2}{3} \ln + B - \frac{2}{3} \frac{3^{3}}{2} \ln^{2} + C - \frac{3}{2} \ln ;$$
 (5)

where [11]

$$_{0} = \frac{2}{9} \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 9 \end{array} \right) \frac{m^{6}}{m^{6}} \tag{6}$$

is the LO result. The leading logarithm ically enhanced O (2 ln) and O (3 ln²) term s were found in Refs. [12,13] and Ref. [14], respectively. The coe cients A = 10:286606(10) [6,12,15,16,17], B = 45:06(26) [16], and C = 5:51702455(23) [18] are only available in num erical form so far. Com prehensive reviews of the present experimental and theoretical status of o-P s m ay be found in Ref. [19].

Given the fundamental importance of Ps for atom ic and particle physics, it is desirable to complete our know ledge of the QED prediction in Eq. (5). Since the theoretical uncertainty is presently dom inated by the errors in the num erical evaluations of the coe cients A, B, and C, it is an urgent task to nd them in analytical form, in terms of irrational numbers, which can be evaluated with arbitrary precision. In this Letter, this is achieved for A and C. The case of B is beyond the scope of presently available technology, since it involves two-loop ve-point functions to be integrated over a three-body phase space. The quest for an analytic expression for A is a topic of old vintage: about 25 years ago, som e of the simpler contributions to A, due to self-energy and outer and inner vertex corrections, were obtained analytically [21], but further progress then soon came to a grinding halt.



Figure 1. Feynm an diagram s contributing to the total decay width of o-Ps at O (). Self-energy diagram s are not shown. D ashed and solid lines represent photons and electrons, respectively.

The O() contribution in Eq. (5), $_1 = _0A =$, is due to the Feynm an diagram swhere a virtual photon is attached in all possible ways

2-colum n form at cam era-ready paper in BT_EX

to the tree-level diagram s, with three real photons linked to an open electron line with threshold kinem atics. Such diagram s are shown in Fig. 1.

A fler angular integration over three-photon phase space

Ζ

$$[dk_1][dk_2][dk_3] (k_1 + k_2 + k_3 q)$$
(7)

we can rewrite the one-loop contribution to the width as (see [17])

$$1 = \frac{m^{7}}{36^{2}} \frac{Z^{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{dx_{1}}{x_{2}} \frac{dx_{2}}{x_{3}} \frac{dx_{3}}{x_{3}} (2 \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{3})$$

$$F(x_1;x_3) + perm:];$$
 (8)

where x_i , with 0 x_i 1, is the energy of photon i in the o-Ps rest frame normalized by its maximum value, the delta function ensures energy conservation, and perm. stands for the other ve permutations of x_1 ; x_2 ; x_3 .

The function F includes dilogarithm and arctangent functions as given in [17]. Ror illustration, we just m ention, that the above expression, after re-param etrization, consists of integrals of the following type

$$\frac{P(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})}{Q(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} \frac{dy \ln (x_{1} + (1 - x_{1})y^{2})}{(1 - x_{1})x_{3} - x_{1}(1 - x_{3})y^{2}};$$

$$\frac{P(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})}{Q(x_{1};x_{2};x_{3})} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} \frac{dy \ln (x_{1} + (1 - x_{1})y^{2})}{x_{1}x_{3} - (1 - x_{1})(1 - x_{3})y^{2}};$$

with $P;Q;P^{0}Q^{0}$ being som e polynom ials.

The analytical integration of the above expressions is rather tedious and requires a number of tricks, e.g. expansion in series. Only a few integrals could be done strightforwardly, e.g.. with M athem atica or M aple. However, we established all irrational constants in term softwhich the com plete one-bop correction can be expressed. These include am ong others usual EZ sum sup to weight four, including e.g.

ln 2; (n); Li₁
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
; etc.

and som e additional constants of new type. At weight one, we have

ln (R); where
$$R = \frac{p - 1}{p - 2 + 1}$$

and up to weight four our basis includes the following constants

Li₂
$$\frac{1}{3}$$
; Li₄ $\frac{1}{3}$; Li₄ $\frac{1}{3}$;
Li₃ $\frac{1}{P_{\frac{1}{2}}}$; Li₃ (R); S_{1;2} (R);
Li₄ (R); S_{1;3} (R); S_{2;2} (R);

with Sa; being the generalized polylogarithm

$$S_{a,b}(x) = \frac{(1)^{a+b}}{(a-1)b!} \int_{0}^{Z^{1}} \frac{dt}{t} \ln^{a-1} t \ln^{b} (1 tx):$$

Unfortunately, not all integrals can be computed analytically. In more complicated cases, the integrations are not separated after expansion into innite series. We then rely on the PSLQ algorithm [26], which allow some to reconstruct the representation of a numerical result known to very high precision in terms of a linear combinations of a set of constants with rational coe cients, if that set is known beforehand. The experience gained with the explicit solution of the simpler integrals helps us to exhaust the relevent set. In order for PSLQ to work in our applications, the numerical values of the integrals must be known up to typically 150 decim al gures.

3. Parapositronium

Let us now turn to the case of parapositron ium . Its total width was recently measured to be $\cite{28}\cite{28}$

$$_{\rm p}$$
 (exp) = 7990:9 s¹: (9)

At present, the following radiative corrections within NRQED are available:

$$p = \frac{5}{2} \frac{m_{e}}{1} + \frac{2}{4}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{2} 2^{2} \ln + A_{p} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \ln^{2}$$

$$+ (\frac{533}{90} \frac{2}{2} + 10 \ln 2) \ln = :$$

The rst-order corrections were obtained in [7], while the logarithm ically enhanced terms were computed in [12,13]. Here the constant $A_p =$ 5:12443 (33) is known only numerically [20] and our next goal is to establish the irrational constants that contribute to this quantity.



in term s of special sum s osf elliptic nature,

$$X^{\frac{1}{n}} (1)^{n} \frac{2n}{\frac{4n}{2n}} ; \frac{1}{n}; \frac{1}{n^{2}};$$
(10)

which we can call a ; a $_1$ and a_2 , respectively, and other sum s

which we call b_0 and b_1 . In (10), stands for

 $= S_1 (n \quad 1) \quad 3S_1 (2n \quad 1) + 2S_1 (4n \quad 1);$ with $S_a (n) = {P \atop i=1}^n 1=j^a$ being a harm onic sum .



Figure 3. Example of vertex diagram J, contributing to the decay width of p-Ps. All lines have massm. The dot on a line means the square of the propagator.

Starting from (10) and (11), one can construct sum sofhigherweights, e.g. a_3 ; a_2 ; b_3 , etc. W ith such constructed sum s, we evaluate m one com plicated diagram s, including vertexes and boxes. W e illustrate it evaluating diagram J shown in Fig. 3. The results

$$J = \frac{9}{16} \quad (3) \quad \frac{1}{8}a_3 \quad \frac{1}{8}a_2 \quad \frac{1}{32}b_3 \quad (12)$$

and a similar result follows for the box diagram s of Fig. 2. Formula (12) shows the deep relation of the vertex diagram with the sunset diagram (in fact such relation follows from the di erential equations).

C oncluding this section we want to mention that there are relations between the above sum s and also their relation to the elliptic integrals has been found in [1].

Figure 2. Diagram s contributing to the decay width of p-P s at O (²) and their reduction to simpler master integrals. Dashed and solid lines represent massless and masive lines, respectively.

This quantity recieves contributions from twoloop diagrams of e⁺ e annihilation into two photons in threshold kinematics. However, the generic planar and non-planar diagrams (see Fig. 2, upper row) can be reduced via integration by parts to sim pler integrals (Fig. 2, m iddle row). These, in turn, as we shall see, contain constants that are related to the sunset diagram (Fig. 2, bottom row) at very special kinematics, nam ely when the external momentum q is restricted by $q^2 = m^2$. The sunset diagrams with such kinematics have been considered in great detail in [1]. In particular the result for the sunset is expressed 2-column form at cam era-ready paper in BT_EX

4. C onclusions

Thus, we established the analytical structure of the results for the nex unknown corrections both for ortho- and parapositronium lifetimes. We found that new constants, that are not related to the Euler{Zagier sum s appear in both cases,

W e are grateful to G.S.A dkins for providing us with the computer code employed for the numerical analysis in [17] and M.Yu.Kalmykov for the fruitful discussions.

REFERENCES

- B.A.Kniehl, A.V.Kotikov, A.Onishchenko and O.Veretin, Nucl. Phys. B 738 (2006) 306 [arX iv hep-ph/0510235].
- 2. D.Broadhurst, arX iv:0801.4813 [hep-th].
- 3. S.Laporta, arX iv:0803.1007 [hep-ph].
- W .E.Caswelland G.P.Lepage, Phys.Lett. B 167, 437 (1986).
- R.H.Beers and V.W.Hughes, Bull.Am. Phys.Soc.13, 633 (1968).
- W. E. Caswell, G. P. Lepage, and J. R. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 488 (1977).
- I.Harris and L.M.Brown, Phys.Rev. 105, 1656 (1957).
- C.I.W estbrook, D.W.Gidley, R.S.Conti, and A. Rich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1328 (1987); 58, 2153 (E) (1987); Phys.Rev.A 40, 5489 (1989).
- R.S.Vallery, P.W. Zitzewitz, and D.W. Gidley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 203402 (2003).
- 10.0. Jinnouchi, S. Asai, and T. Kobayashi, Phys. Lett. B 572, 117 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0308030].
- 11.A.O re and J.L.Powell, Phys.Rev.75, 1696
 (1949).
- 12.W .E.Caswelland G.P.Lepage, Phys.Rev. A 20, 36 (1979).
- 13. I.B.K hriplovich and A.S.Yelkhovsky, Phys. Lett. B 246, 520 (1990).
- 14.S.G.Karshenboin, Sov. Phys. JETP 76, 541 (1993) [Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 103, 1105 (1993)].
- 15. M. A. Stroscio and J. M. Holt, Phys. Rev.

A 10, 749 (1974); M. A. Stroscio, Phys. Rept. 22, 215 (1975); G. S. Adkins, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 146, 78 (1983); G. S. Adkins, A. A. Salahuddin, and K. E. Schalm, Phys. Rev. A 45, 7774 (1992); G. S. Adkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4903 (1996).

- 16.G.S.Adkins, R.N. Fell, and J.R. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5086 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0003028]; Phys. Rev. A 63,032511 (2001).
- 17.G.S.Adkins, Phys. Rev. A 72, 032501 (2005). [arX iv hep-ph/0506213].
- 18.B. A. Kniehl and A. A. Penin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1210 (2000); 85, 3065(E) (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0004267]; R. J. Hilland G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 62, 111301 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0003277]; K. M elnikov and A. Yelkhovsky, ibid. 62, 116003 (2000) [arX iv hep-ph/0008099].
- 19.G.S.Adkins, R.N. Fell, and J.R. Sapirstein, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 295, 136 (2002); D. Sillou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 3919 (2004); S. N. Gninenko, N. V. Krasnikov, V.A. Matveev, and A. Rubbia, Phys. Part. Nucl. 37, 321 (2006).
- 20. A.Czamecki, K.Melnikov and A.Elkhovsky, APConf.Proc.541,145 (2000).
- 21.M. A. Stroscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 571 (1982); G. S. Adkins, Phys. Rev. A 27, 530 (1983); 31, 1250 (1985).
- 22.L. Lewin, Polylogarithms and Associated Functions (Elsevier, New York, 1981).
- 23. B. A. Kniehl and A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Lett.
 B 638, 531 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0508238].
- 24. J. Fleischer, A. V. Kotikov, and
 O. L. Veretin, Phys. Lett. B 417, 163 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9707492]; Nucl. Phys. B 547, 343 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9808242];
 A. I. Davydychev and M. Yu. Kalmykov, ibid. B 699, 3 (2004) [arX iv hep-th/0303162];
 B. A. Kniehland A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Lett. B 642, 68 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0607201];
 A. Kotikov, J. H. Kuhn, and
 O. Veretin, Nucl. Phys. B 788, 47 (2008) [arX iv hep-ph/0703013].
- 25. M. Yu. Kalm ykov and O. Veretin, Phys. Lett. B 483, 315 (2000) [arX iv hep-th/0004010].
- 26.H. R. P. Ferguson and D. H. Bailey,

0.L.Veretin

RNR Technical Report No. RNR-91-032; H.R.P.Ferguson, D.H.Bailey and S.Amo, NASA Technical Report No.NAS-96-005.

- 27. A.D evoto and D.W. Duke, Riv.Nuovo Cim. 7N 6, 1 (1984).
- 28. A.H.AlRamadhan and D.W.Gidley, Phys. Rev.Lett. 72, 1632 (1994).