

Analytical Blowup Solutions to the 3-dimensional Pressureless Navier-Stokes-Poisson Equations with Density-dependent Viscosity

YUEN MANWAI*

*Department of Applied Mathematics,
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University,
Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong*

Revised 29-Oct-2008

Abstract

We study the pressureless Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations of describing the evolution of the gaseous star in astrophysics. The isothermal blowup solutions of Yuen, to the Euler–Poisson equations in R^2 , can be extended to the pressureless Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with density-dependent viscosity in R^3 . Besides some remarks, about the meaning of the blowup solutions and the applicability of such solutions to the the drift-diffusion model in semiconductors, are discussed in the end.

1 Introduction

The evolution of a self-gravitating fluid such as gaseous stars can be formulated by the Navier–Stokes–Poisson equation of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P = -\rho \nabla \Phi + vis(\rho, u), \\ \Delta \Phi(t, x) = \alpha(N)\rho, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha(N)$ is a constant related to the unit ball in R^N : $\alpha(1) = 2$; $\alpha(2) = 2\pi$ and For $N \geq 3$,

$$\alpha(N) = N(N-2)V(N) = N(N-2)\frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(N/2+1)},$$

*E-mail address: neveysuen@hotmail.com

where $V(N)$ is the volume of the unit ball in R^N and Γ is a Gamma function. And as usual, $\rho = \rho(t, x)$ and $u = u(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}^N$ are the density, the velocity respectively. $P = P(\rho)$ is the pressure.

In the above system, the self-gravitational potential field $\Phi = \Phi(t, x)$ is determined by the density ρ through the Poisson equation.

And $vis(\rho, u)$ is the viscosity function:

$$vis(\rho, u) = \nabla(\mu(\rho) \nabla \bullet u).$$

Here we under a common assumption for:

$$\mu(\rho) \doteq \kappa \rho^\theta$$

and κ and $\theta \geq 0$ are the constants. In particular, when $\theta = 0$, it returns the expression for the u dependent only viscosity function:

$$vis(\rho, u) = \kappa \Delta u.$$

The equations (1)₁ and (1)₂ ($vis(\rho, u) \neq 0$) are the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with forcing term. The equation (1)₃ is the Poisson equation through which the gravitational potential is determined by the density distribution of the density itself. Thus, we call the system (1) the Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations.

Here, if the $vis(\rho, u) = 0$, the system is called the Euler–Poisson equations. In this case, the equations can be viewed as a perfect gas model. For $N = 3$, (1) is a classical (nonrelativistic) description of a galaxy, in astrophysics. See [2], [7] for a detail about the system.

$P = P(\rho)$ is the pressure. The γ -law can be applied on the pressure $P(\rho)$, i.e.

$$P(\rho) = K \rho^\gamma \doteq \frac{\rho^\gamma}{\gamma}, \quad (2)$$

which is a commonly the hypothesis. The constant $\gamma = c_P/c_v \geq 1$, where c_P, c_v are the specific heats per unit mass under constant pressure and constant volume respectively, is the ratio of the specific heats, that is, the adiabatic exponent in (2). In particular, the fluid is called isothermal if $\gamma = 1$. With $K = 0$, we call the system is pressureless.

For the 3-dimensional case, we are interested in the hydrostatic equilibrium specified by $u = 0, S = \ln K$. According to [2], the ratio between the core density $\rho(0)$ and the mean density $\bar{\rho}$ for $6/5 < \gamma < 2$ is given by

$$\frac{\bar{\rho}}{\rho(0)} = \left(\frac{-3}{z} \dot{y}(z) \right)_{z=z_0}$$

where y is the solution of the Lane–Emden equation with $n = 1/(\gamma - 1)$,

$$\ddot{y}(z) + \frac{2}{z} \dot{y}(z) + y(z)^n = 0, \quad y(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \quad n = \frac{1}{\gamma - 1},$$

and z_0 is the first zero of $y(z_0) = 0$. We can solve the Lane-Emden equation analytically for

$$y_{anal}(z) \doteq \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{6}z^2, & n = 0; \\ \frac{\sin z}{z}, & n = 1; \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + z^2/3}}, & n = 5, \end{cases}$$

and for the other values, only numerical values can be obtained. It can be shown that for $n < 5$, the radius of polytropic models is finite; for $n \geq 5$, the radius is infinite.

Gambin [4] and Bezarad [1] obtained the existence results about the explicitly stationary solution ($u = 0$) for $\gamma = 6/5$ in Euler-Poisson equations:

$$\rho = \left(\frac{3KA^2}{2\pi} \right)^{5/4} (1 + A^2r^2)^{-5/2}, \quad (3)$$

where A is constant.

The Poisson equation $(1)_3$ can be solved as

$$\Phi(t, x) = \int_{R^N} G(x - y)\rho(t, y)dy,$$

where G is the Green's function for the Poisson equation in the N -dimensional spaces defined by

$$G(x) \doteq \begin{cases} |x|, & N = 1; \\ \log |x|, & N = 2; \\ \frac{-1}{|x|^{N-2}}, & N \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we always seek solutions in spherical symmetry. Thus, the Poisson equation $(1)_3$ is transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} r^{N-1}\Phi_{rr}(t, x) + (N-1)r^{N-2}\Phi_r &= \alpha(N)\rho r^{N-1}, \\ \Phi_r &= \frac{\alpha(N)}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r \rho(t, s)s^{N-1}ds. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1 (Blowup) *We say a solution blows up if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *The solution becomes infinitely large at some point x and some finite time T_0 ;*
- (2) *The derivative of the solution becomes infinitely large at some point x and some finite time T_0 .*

In this paper, we concern about blowup solutions for the 3-dimensional pressureless Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with the density-dependent viscosity, which may describe the phenomenon called the core collapsing in evolution of gas star. And our aim is to construct a family of such blowup solutions to it.

Historically in astrophysics, Goldreich and Weber [5] constructed the analytical blowup solution (collapsing) of the 3-dimensional Euler-Poisson equation for $\gamma = 4/3$ for the non-rotating gas spheres. After that, Makino [7] obtained the rigorously mathematical proof of the existence of

such kind of blowup solutions. And in [3], we find the extension of the above blowup solutions to the case . In [8], the solutions with a from is rewritten as

For $N \geq 3$ and $\gamma = (2N - 2)/N$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(t, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{a(t)^N} y\left(\frac{r}{a(t)}\right)^{N/(N-2)}, & \text{for } r < a(t)Z_\mu; \\ 0, & \text{for } a(t)Z_\mu \leq r. \end{cases}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r, \\ \ddot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)^{N-1}}, \quad a(0) = a_0 > 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = a_1, \\ \ddot{y}(z) + \frac{N-1}{z}\dot{y}(z) + \frac{\alpha(N)}{(2N-2)K}y(z)^{N/(N-2)} = \mu, \quad y(0) = \alpha > 0, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

where $\mu = [N(N-2)\lambda]/(2N-2)K$ and the finite Z_μ is the first zero of $y(z)$;

For $N = 2$ and $\gamma = 1$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{a(t)^2} e^{y(r/a(t))}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}r; \\ \ddot{a}(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{a(t)}, \quad a(0) = a_0 > 0, \quad \dot{a}(0) = a_1; \\ \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{1}{x}\dot{y}(x) + \frac{\alpha(N)}{K}e^{y(x)} = \mu, \quad y(0) = \alpha, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

where $K > 0$, $\mu = 2\lambda/K$ with a sufficiently small λ and α are constants.

For the construction of special analytical solutions to Navier-Stokes equations, readers may refer Yuen's recent results in [10].

In this article, We extend the isothermal R^2 blowup solutions to the Euler-Poisson equations to the pressureless Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations with density-dependent viscosity in R^3 with $\theta = 1$ in spherical symmetry:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{2}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) = -\frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \rho(t, s)s^2 ds + [\kappa\rho]_r u_r + (\kappa\rho)(u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r - \frac{2}{r^2}u), \end{array} \right. \quad (6)$$

in the form of the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *For the 3-dimensional pressureless Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations with $\theta = 1$, in spherical symmetry, (6), there exists a family of solutions,*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{(T - Ct)^3} e^{y(r/(T - Ct))}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{-C}{T - Ct}r; \\ \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{2}{x}\dot{y}(x) + \frac{4\pi}{\kappa C}e^{y(x)} = 0, \quad y(0) = \alpha, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (7)$$

where $T > 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $C > 0$ and α are constants.

And the solutions blowup in the finite time T/C .

2 Separable Blowup Solutions

In this section, before presenting the proof of Theorem 2, we prepare some lemmas. First, we obtain a general class of solutions for the continuity equation of mass in radial symmetry (6)₁.

Lemma 3 For the 3-dimensional conservation of mass in spherical symmetry

$$\rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{2}{r}\rho u = 0, \quad (8)$$

there exist solutions,

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{(T - Ct)^3} e^{f(r/(T-Ct))}, \quad u(t, r) = \frac{-C}{T - Ct} r, \quad (9)$$

where T and C are positive constants and $f \in C^1$ is a non-negative function .

Proof. We just plug (9) into (8). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{2}{r}\rho u \\ &= \frac{(-3)(-C)e^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^4} + \frac{e^{f(r/(T-Ct))} \dot{f}(r/(T - Ct)) r(-1)(-C)}{(T - Ct)^3 (T - Ct)^2} \\ &+ \frac{(-C)}{T - Ct} r \frac{e^{f(r/(T-Ct))} \dot{f}(r/(T - Ct))}{(T - Ct)^3} \frac{1}{T - Ct} + \frac{e^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^3} \frac{(-C)}{T - Ct} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{e^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^3} \frac{(-C)}{T - Ct} r \\ &= \frac{3Ce^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^4} + \frac{Ce^{f(r/(T-Ct))} \dot{f}(r/(T - Ct))}{(T - Ct)^5} r \\ &- \frac{Ce^{f(r/(T-Ct))} \dot{f}(r/(T - Ct))}{(T - Ct)^5} r - \frac{Ce^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^4} - \frac{2Ce^{f(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T - Ct)^4} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

Besides, we need the two lemmas for stating the property of the function $y(z)$. The similar lemmas are already given in (Lemmas 9 and 10) Yuen's article [9]. For the completeness of the article, the proof of the below lemmas are presented.

Lemma 4 There exists a sufficiently small $x_0 > 0$, such that the equation

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{2}{x}\dot{y}(x) + \sigma e^{y(x)} = 0, \\ y(0) = \alpha, \quad \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $\sigma > 0$ and α are constants, has a solution $y = y(x) \in C^2[0, x_0]$.

Proof. The lemma can be proved by the fixed point theorem. Multiply (10) by x , to give

$$\frac{d}{dx} (x^2 \dot{y}(x)) = -\sigma e^{y(x)} x^2.$$

Notice $\dot{y}(0) = 0$, we have

$$\dot{y}(x) = \frac{-1}{x^2} \int_0^x \sigma e^{y(s)} s^2 ds.$$

By using $y(0) = \alpha$, (10) is reduced to

$$\dot{y}(x) = \frac{-1}{x^2} \int_0^x \sigma e^{y(s)} s^2 ds, \quad y(0) = \alpha.$$

Set

$$f(x, y(x)) = \frac{-1}{x^2} \int_0^x \sigma e^{y(s)} s^2 ds.$$

then for any $x_0 > 0$, we get $f \in C^1[0, x_0]$. and for any $y_1, y_2 \in C^2[0, x_0]$, we have,

$$|f(x, y_1(x)) - f(x, y_2(x))| = \frac{\sigma \left| \int_0^x s^2 (e^{y_2(s)} - e^{y_1(s)}) ds \right|}{x^2}.$$

As e^y is a C^1 function of y , we can show that the function e^y , is Lipschitz-continuous. And we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x, y_1(x)) - f(x, y_2(x))| \\ &= \frac{O(1) \int_0^x s^2 |(y_2(s) - y_1(s))| ds}{x^2} \\ &\leq O(1)x_0 \sup_{0 \leq s \leq x_0} |y_1(s) - y_2(s)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau \in [0, x] \subseteq [0, x_0]$. Let

$$Ty(x) = \alpha + \int_0^x f(s, y(s)) ds.$$

We have $Ty \in C[0, x_0]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & |Ty_1(x) - Ty_2(x)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^x f(s, y_1(s)) ds - \int_0^x f(s, y_2(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq O(1)x_0 \sup_{0 \leq s \leq x_0} |y_1(s) - y_2(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $x_0 > 0$ to be a sufficiently small number, such that $O(1)x_0 < 1$, this shows that the mapping $T : C[0, X_0] \rightarrow C[0, x_0]$, is a contraction with the sup-norm. By the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique $y(x) \in C[0, x_0]$, such that $Ty(x) = y(x)$. The proof is completed. ■

Lemma 5 *The ODE,*

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{2}{x} \dot{y}(x) + \sigma e^{y(x)} = 0, \\ y(0) = \alpha, \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma > 0$ and α are constants, has a solution in $[0, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} y(x) = -\infty$.

Proof. By integrating (11), we have,

$$\dot{y}(x) = -\frac{\sigma}{x^2} \int_0^x e^{y(s)} s^2 ds \leq 0. \quad (12)$$

Thus, for $0 < x < x_0$, $y(x)$ has a uniform lower upper bound

$$y(x) \leq y(0) = \alpha.$$

As we obtained the local existence in Lemma 4, there are two possibilities:

(1) $y(x)$ only exists in some finite interval $[0, x_0]$:

(1a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} y(x) = -\infty$;

(1b) $y(x)$ has a uniformly lower bound, i.e. $y(x) \geq \alpha_0$ for some constant α_0 .

(2) $y(x)$ exists in $[0, +\infty)$:

(2a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} y(x) = -\infty$;

(2b) $y(x)$ has a uniformly lower bound, i.e. $y(x) \geq \alpha_0$ for some constant α_0 .

We claim that possibility (1) doesn't exist. We need to reject (1b) first: If the statement (1b) is true, (12) becomes

$$-\frac{\sigma x e^{\alpha_0}}{3} = -\frac{\sigma}{x^2} \int_0^x e^{\alpha_0} s^2 ds \leq \dot{y}(x). \quad (13)$$

Thus, $\dot{y}(x)$ is bounded in $[0, x_0]$. Therefore, we can use the fixed point theorem again to obtain a large domain of existence, such that $[0, x_0 + \delta]$ for some positive number δ . There is a contradiction. Therefore, (1b) is rejected.

Next, we don't accept (1a) because of the following reason: It is impossible that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} y(x) = -\infty$, as from (13), $\dot{y}(x)$ has a lower bound in $[0, x_0]$:

$$-\frac{\sigma x_0 e^{\alpha}}{3} \leq \dot{y}(x). \quad (14)$$

Thus, (14) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} y(x_0) &= y(0) + \int_0^{x_0} \dot{y}(x) dx \\ &\geq \alpha - \int_0^{x_0} \frac{\sigma x e^{\alpha}}{3} dx \\ &= \alpha - \frac{\sigma x_0^2 e^{\alpha}}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y(x)$ is bounded below in $[0, x_0]$, it contradicts the statement (1a), such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} y(x) = -\infty$. So, we can exclude the possibility (1).

We claim that the possibility (2b) doesn't exist. It is because

$$\dot{y}(x) = -\frac{\sigma}{x^2} \int_0^x e^{y(s)} s^2 ds \leq -\frac{\sigma}{x^2} \int_0^x e^{\alpha_0} s^2 ds = -\frac{\sigma e^{\alpha_0} x}{3}.$$

Then, we have,

$$y(x) \leq \alpha - \frac{\sigma e^{\alpha_0}}{6} x^2. \quad (15)$$

By letting $x \rightarrow \infty$, (15) turns out to be,

$$y(x) = -\infty.$$

Since a contradiction is established, we exclude the possibility (2b). Thus, the equation (11) exists in $[0, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} y(x) = -\infty$. This completes the proof. ■

Up to this stage, we are already to give the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. From Lemma 3, we easily get that (7) satisfy (6)₁. For the momentum equation (6)₂, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho(u_t + uu_r) + \frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \rho(t, s)s^2 ds - [\mu(\rho)]_r u_r - \mu(\rho)(u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r - \frac{2}{r^2}u) \\
&= \rho \left[\frac{(-C)(-1)(-C)}{(T-Ct)^2} r + \frac{(-C)}{T-Ct} r \cdot \frac{(-C)}{T-Ct} \right] + \frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \frac{e^{y(s/(T-Ct))}}{(T-Ct)^3} s^2 ds \\
&- (\kappa\rho)_r \frac{(-C)}{T-Ct} - \mu(\rho) \left(0 + \frac{2}{r} \frac{(-1)}{T-Ct} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{(-1)}{T-Ct} r \right) \\
&= \frac{\kappa C e^{y(r/(T-Ct))}}{(T-Ct)^3} \cdot \dot{y} \left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{T-Ct} \frac{C}{T-Ct} + \frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \frac{e^{y(s/(T-Ct))}}{(T-Ct)^3} s^2 ds \\
&= \frac{\kappa C \rho}{(T-Ct)^2} \dot{y} \left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right) + \frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \frac{e^{y(s/(T-Ct))}}{(T-Ct)^3} s^2 ds \\
&= \frac{\rho}{(T-Ct)^2} \left[\kappa C \dot{y} \left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right) + \frac{4\pi}{r^2 (T-Ct)} \int_0^r e^{y(s/(T-Ct))} s^2 ds \right]. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

By letting $\omega = s/(T-Ct)$, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\rho}{(T-Ct)^2} \left[\kappa C \dot{y} \left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right) + \frac{4\pi}{\left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right)^2} \int_0^{r/(T-Ct)} e^{y(s)} s^2 ds \right] \\
&= \frac{\rho}{(T-Ct)^2} Q \left(\frac{r}{T-Ct} \right). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

And denote $z = r/(T-ct)$,

$$Q\left(\frac{r}{T-Ct}\right) = Q(z) = \kappa C \dot{y}(z) + \frac{4\pi}{z^2} \int_0^z e^{y(\omega)} \omega^2 d\omega.$$

Differentiate $Q(z)$ with respect to z ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{Q}(z) &= \kappa C \ddot{y}(z) + 4\pi e^{y(z)} + \frac{(-2) \cdot 4\pi}{z^3} \int_0^z e^{y(\omega)} \omega^2 ds \\
&= -\frac{2\kappa C}{z} \dot{y}(z) + \frac{(-2)4\pi}{z^3} \int_0^z e^{y(\omega)} \omega^2 d\omega \\
&= -\frac{2}{z} Q(z),
\end{aligned}$$

where the above result is due to the fact that we choose the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(z) + \frac{2}{z} \dot{y}(z) + \frac{4\pi}{\kappa C} e^{y(z)} = 0 \\ y(0) = \alpha, \dot{y}(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

With $Q(0) = 0$, this implies that $Q(z) = 0$. Thus, the momentum equation (6)₂ is satisfied.

With the Lemma 5 about $y(z)$, we are able to show that the family of the solutions blows up in finite time T/C . This completes the proof. ■

The statement about the blowup rate will be immediately followed:

Corollary 6 *The blowup rate of the solution (7) is*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T/C} \rho(t, 0)(T - Ct)^3 \geq O(1).$$

Given that the sign of the constant C in (5) is changed to be negative, the below corollary is clearly shown.

Corollary 7 *For the 3-dimensional pressureless Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with $\theta = 1$ in spherical symmetry, (6), there exists a family of solutions,*

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{(T - Ct)^3} e^{y(r/(T - Ct))}, u(t, r) = \frac{-C}{T - Ct} r; \\ \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{2}{x} \dot{y}(x) + \frac{4\pi}{\kappa C} e^{y(x)} = 0, y(0) = \alpha, \dot{y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where $T > 0$, $\kappa > 0$, $C < 0$ and α are constants.

At last, we give some remarks to discuss the constructed blowup solutions.

Remark 8 *Our solutions are the modification versions of blowup solutions (5) of Yuen in the 2-dimensional Euler–Poisson equations. However, we emphasize that the process is not trivial, as the blowup solutions cannot be generated to the other dimensional case ($N \neq 2$). And the blowup solutions (4) of Goldreich, Weber and Makino also cannot be generated to the lower dimensions’ (1-dimensional or 2-dimensional) case.*

Remark 9 *It is well-known that it is an open problem to construct the analytical blowup solutions in the 3-dimensional case to understand the blowup phenomena (black hole) in the Euler–Poisson equations in astrophysics. But for the non-trivial blowup solutions, there only exists the blowup solutions (4). Meanwhile, due to the solution structure of u in (4) and (5),*

$$u = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} r,$$

where $a(t) \neq 0$ is a function of time,

that automatically satisfies that the density-independent viscosity function ($\theta = 0$):

$$vis(u) = k\Delta u = \kappa(u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r - \frac{N-1}{r^2}u) = 0.$$

In this article, we shift the scope of consideration from the Euler–Poisson equations in to the Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with density-dependent viscosity. We can extend the isothermal R^2 blowup solutions to the Euler–Poisson equations to pressureless Navier–Stokes–Poisson equations with density-dependent viscosity in R^3 . Here blowup solutions can answer the above problem in the modified 3-dimensional fluid dynamic system. We notice that the extension of such blowup solutions are not suitable for solutions (4) from R^N to R^{N+1} ($N \geq 3$). In the future, the blowup solutions in the Euler–Poisson equations will still be sought.

Remark 10 Besides, if we consider the 3-dimensional drift-diffusion model in semiconductors in spherical symmetry,

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + u\rho_r + \rho u_r + \frac{2}{r}\rho u = 0, \\ \rho(u_t + uu_r) = +\frac{4\pi\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r \rho(t, s)s^2 ds + [\kappa\rho]_r u_r + (\kappa\rho)(u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r}u_r - \frac{2}{r^2}u), \end{cases}$$

the special solutions with infinite mass may be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho(t, r) = \frac{1}{(T - Ct)^3} e^{y(r/(T - Ct))}, u(t, r) = \frac{-C}{T - Ct} r; \\ \ddot{y}(x) + \frac{2}{x}\dot{y}(x) - \frac{4\pi}{\kappa C} e^{y(x)} = 0, y(0) = \alpha, \dot{y}(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

References

- [1] M. Bezard, *Existence locale de solutions pour les equations d'Euler-Poisson. (French) [Local Existence of Solutions for Euler-Poisson Equations]* Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math. **10** (1993), no. 3, 431–450.
- [2] S. Chandrasekhar, *An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure*, Univ. of Chicago Press, 1939.
- [3] Y.B. Deng, J.L. Xiang and T. Yang, *Blowup Phenomena of Solutions to Euler-Poisson Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **286** (1)(2003), 295-306.
- [4] P. Gamblin, *Solution reguliere a temps petit pour l'equation d'Euler-Poisson. (French) [Small-time Regular Solution for the Euler-Poisson Equation]* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), no. 5-6, 731–745.
- [5] P.Goldreich, S. Weber, *Homologously Collapsing Stellar Cores*, Astrophys. J. **238**, 991 (1980).
- [6] R. Kippenhahn, A.Weigert, *Stellar Sturture and Evolution*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [7] T. Makino, *Blowing up Solutions of the Euler-Poission Equation for the Evolution of the Gaseous Stars*, Transport Theory and Statistical Physics **21** (1992), 615-624.
- [8] M.W. Yuen, *Blowup Solutions for a Class of Fluid Dynamical Equations in R^N* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2)(2007), 1064-1079.
- [9] M.W. Yuen, *Analytical Blowup Solutions to the 2-dimensional Isothermal Euler-Poisson Equations of Gaseous Stars*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **341** (1)(2008), 445-456.
- [10] M.W. Yuen, *Analytical Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Appeared in November Issue (2008), J. Math. Phys..