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#### Abstract

As shown in [hep-th/0406065], there exists a noncommutative deformation of the sine-Gordon model which remains (classically) integrable but features a second scalar field. We employ the dressing method (adapted to the Moyal-deformed situation) for constructing the deformed kinkantikink and breather configurations. Explicit results and plots are presented for the leading noncommutativity correction to the breather. Its temporal periodicity is unchanged.


## 1 Introduction and summary

The sine-Gordon model is a paradigm for relativistic integrable models in $1+1$ dimensions (e.g., see [1].) Its multi-soliton spectrum is well known and consists not only of multi-kink scattering configurations but also of bound states, the simplest of which is the so-called breather. It may be obtained formally by analytically continuing the kink-antikink configuration in its relative velocity variable, $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \mathrm{iv}$, and oscillates periodically in time.

A systematic procedure for deriving the integrability features of the sine-Gordon model relates it to the self-duality equations of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills theory in $2+2$ dimensions [2]. In a lightcone gauge, these equations follow from the Nair-Schiff action [3]. A first and straightforward dimensional reduction produces Ward's modified chiral sigma-model action for $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-valued fields in $2+1$ dimensions [4]. A second dimensional reduction then generates an abelian sigma model equivalent to the sine-Gordon theory. To arrive there, one must prescribe a particular dependence on one spatial coordinate (rather than trivial independence) and also algebraically restrict the field from $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ to a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ subgroup. The remaining phase $\varphi(t, x)$ turns out to be ruled by the sine-Gordon equation, with the coupling or mass $\alpha$ appearing as a parameter of the dimensional reduction.

For several years now the Moyal deformation of integrable field theories has been of some interest. In particular, the Ward model [5, 6, 7] and the sine-Gordon model [8, 9] have been generalized to the noncommutative realm. The key insight for the latter case was that the extension of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ to $\mathrm{U}(2)$, necessary for implementing the Moyal deformation in the Yang-Mills theory, should be retained under the dimensional and algebraic reduction, so that the noncommutative sigma-model field takes its values in $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ rather than $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The deformed sine-Gordon model so obtained [8] features two scalar fields (phases) $\phi_{+}$and $\phi_{-}$, whose noncommutative abelian WZW actions are coupled in a simple way. In the commutative limit, the average $\varphi=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right)$ of these phases produces the standard sine-Gordon field while their difference $\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}$decouples as a free field.

Since the powerful techniques for constructing multi-soliton solutions in integrable models have been shown to survive the noncommutative deformation, it is straightforward (but may be tedious) to work out such configurations for the Moyal-deformed sine-Gordon model as well. The basic strategy was already outlined in [5] but has been applied only to the simple kink so far [8]. However, owing to the relativistic invariance, a one-kink configuration depends only on its single co-moving coordinate $\eta(t, x)$, and so it cannot get deformed. Only multi-lumps with relative motion should be affected by noncommutativity. The first instances are the two-kink, kink-antikink and breather solutions.

In this letter we apply the Moyal deformation to the two latter cases. It is important to verify the effect of noncommutativity, since the tree-level computations of [8] had suggested that perhaps the entire Moyal deformation of the sine-Gordon model might be ficticious. Here, we demonstrate this not to be the case, by working out the first-order (in the noncommutativity parameter) correction to the 'classical' kink-antikink and breather configurations. It turns out that this leading correction affects only the would-be free field $\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}$; the generalized sine-Gordon field $\varphi$ gets modified at second order onwards, as does the energy density. Only the substantial calculational effort prevented us from evaluating higher orders, but we present the starting-point equations for doing so. As an exact result, the temporal periodicity of the breather is unchanged by the deformation.

## 2 The model

The integrable noncommutative sine-Gordon model introduced in [8] involves two $\mathrm{U}(1)$-valued fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{+}(t, x)=\mathrm{e}_{\star}^{\frac{i}{2} \phi_{+}(t, x)} \in \mathrm{U}(1)_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{-}(t, x)=\mathrm{e}_{\star}^{-\frac{i}{2} \phi_{-}(t, x)} \in \mathrm{U}(1)_{-} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and may be defined via its action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[g_{+}, g_{-}\right]=S_{\mathrm{wzw}}\left[g_{+}\right]+S_{\mathrm{wzw}}\left[g_{-}\right]+\alpha^{2} \int \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} x\left(g_{+}^{\dagger} g_{-}+g_{-}^{\dagger} g_{+}-2\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\text {wzw }}$ is the abelian WZW action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{wzw}}[g]=-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} x\left(\partial_{t} g^{\dagger} \partial_{t} g-\partial_{x} g^{\dagger} \partial_{x} g\right)-\int \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \hat{g}^{\dagger} \partial_{[t} \hat{g} \star \hat{g}^{\dagger} \partial_{x]} \hat{g} \star \hat{g}^{\dagger} \partial_{\lambda} \hat{g} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a homotopy path $\hat{g}(\lambda)$ connecting $\hat{g}(0)=1$ and $\hat{g}(1)=g$ and a Moyal star product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1} \star f_{2}\right)(t, x)=f_{1}(t, x) \exp \left\{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\overleftarrow{\partial}_{t} \theta \vec{\partial}_{x}-\overleftarrow{\partial}_{x} \theta \vec{\partial}_{t}\right\} f_{2}(t, x) \quad \text { so that } \quad[t, x]_{\star}=\mathrm{i} \theta\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In light-cone variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:=\frac{1}{2}(t+x) \quad, \quad v:=\frac{1}{2}(t-x) \quad, \quad \partial_{u}=\partial_{t}+\partial_{x} \quad, \quad \partial_{v}=\partial_{t}-\partial_{x} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding equations of motion read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{v}\left(g_{+}^{\dagger} \star \partial_{u} g_{+}+g_{-}^{\dagger} \star \partial_{u} g_{-}\right)=0 \\
& \partial_{v}\left(g_{+}^{\dagger} \star \partial_{u} g_{+}-g_{-}^{\dagger} \star \partial_{u} g_{-}\right)=2 \alpha^{2}\left(g_{+}^{\dagger} \star g_{-}-g_{-}^{\dagger} \star g_{+}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

which in the commutative limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$ simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{u} \partial_{v}\left(\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{u} \partial_{v}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right)=-8 \alpha^{2} \sin \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the identification of the standard sine-Gordon field $\varphi$ with mass $2 \alpha$ is made via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right)=\varphi+O(\theta) \quad \text { or } \quad g_{-}^{\dagger} \star g_{+}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}+O(\theta) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later use, we embed the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-valued fields into $\mathrm{U}(2)$,

$$
G:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{+}+g_{-} & g_{+}-g_{-}  \tag{2.9}\\
g_{+}-g_{-} & g_{+}+g_{-}
\end{array}\right) \quad \xrightarrow{\theta \rightarrow 0} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4}\left(\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \frac{\varphi}{2} & \mathrm{i} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \\
\mathrm{i} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} & \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3 Dressing construction

The breather solution may be obtained from a kink-antikink configuration with relative velocity 2 v by an analytic continuation $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow$ iv. The co-moving coordinates for the kink and antikink read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}=+p u-\frac{1}{p} v=+\gamma(x-\mathrm{v} t) \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{2}=-\frac{1}{p} u+p v=-\gamma(x+\mathrm{v} t) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, where $p \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{1-p^{2}}{1+p^{2}}>0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p^{2}=\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{1+\mathrm{v}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{v}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A convenient way to construct the kink-antikink solution employs the dressing method. For the case at hand, it yields [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
G= & \mathbb{1}-2\left(\mathbb{1}+\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{2}\right) \star T_{1} \star\left[T_{1}^{\dagger} \star\left(\mathbb{1}-\sigma P_{2}\right) \star T_{1}\right]_{\star}^{-1} \star T_{1}^{\dagger} \\
& -2\left(\mathbb{1}-\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{1}\right) \star T_{2} \star\left[T_{2}^{\dagger} \star\left(\mathbb{1}-\sigma P_{1}\right) \star T_{2}\right]_{\star}^{-1} \star T_{2}^{\dagger}  \tag{3.3}\\
=\mathbb{1} & -2\left(\mathbb{1}+\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{2}\right) \star P_{1} \star\left[\mathbb{1}-\sigma P_{2} \star P_{1}\right]_{\star}^{-1}-2\left(\mathbb{1}-\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{1}\right) \star P_{2} \star\left[\mathbb{1}-\sigma P_{1} \star P_{2}\right]_{\star}^{-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma=\frac{-4 p^{2}}{\left(1-p^{2}\right)^{2}}=1-\mathrm{v}^{-2}$ and we introduced hermitian projectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=T_{1} \star\left(T_{1}^{\dagger} \star T_{1}\right)_{\star}^{-1} \star T_{1}^{\dagger} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}=T_{2} \star\left(T_{2}^{\dagger} \star T_{2}\right)_{\star}^{-1} \star T_{2}^{\dagger} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

based on $2 \times 1$ matrix-valued functions $T_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$ related to the kink and antikink components of the configuration. The $T_{i}$ are determined only up to right (star-) multiplication with an arbitrary invertible function and may be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\binom{1}{\mathrm{ie}^{2 \alpha \eta_{1}}} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{2}=\binom{1}{-\mathrm{ie}^{2 \alpha \eta_{2}}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by a suitable choice of the coordinate origin. Note that we have dropped the star index on the exponentials since each one depends on a single coordinate combination only.

By inserting these $T_{i}$ into (3.4), the ensuing projectors into (3.3) one is in principle able to read off $g_{ \pm}$from (2.9) and extract the noncommutative breather configuration $\phi_{ \pm}$.

## 4 Commutative breather

Before delving into the explicit computation, let us first retrieve the familiar commutative breather in the $\theta \rightarrow 0$ limit.

Since a coordinate rescaling modifies the coupling $\alpha$ we take the freedom to put $2 \alpha=1$ in the following. Dropping all stars, one first builds

$$
P_{1}=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{1}}  \tag{4.1}\\
\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{1}} & \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{2}} \\
-\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{2}} & \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{j}^{\dagger}\left(1-\sigma P_{k}\right) T_{j}\right]^{-1}=\frac{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}}{(1-\sigma)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad(j, k)=(1,2) \text { or }(2,1) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathbb{1}+\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{2}\right) T_{1} T_{1}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{rc}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}} & \mathrm{i} \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} \\
-\mathrm{i} \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} & 1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{1}} \\
\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{1}} & \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left(\mathbb{1}-\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{1}\right) T_{2} T_{2}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}} & \mathrm{i} \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} \\
-\mathrm{i} \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} & 1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{2}} \\
-\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{2}} & \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which combine to

$$
\begin{align*}
G & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}^{-2}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{v}^{-2}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2} & 2 \mathrm{iv}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right) \\
2 \mathrm{iv}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right) & \mathrm{v}^{-2}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}-\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t-\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x & 2 \mathrm{iv} \sinh \gamma \mathrm{v} t \cosh \gamma x \\
2 \mathrm{iv} \sinh \gamma \mathrm{v} t \cosh \gamma x & \sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t-\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma
\end{array}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

with the help of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}=-2 \gamma v t \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{1}-\eta_{2}=2 \gamma x \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with (2.9) we learn that, with $\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}=: 4 \beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}=\frac{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t-\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x}{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}=\frac{2 \mathrm{v} \sinh \gamma \mathrm{v} t \cosh \gamma x}{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \frac{\varphi}{4} \equiv \frac{\sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}{1+\cos \frac{\varphi}{2}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{v} \sinh \gamma \mathrm{v} t \cosh \gamma x}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta}+1\right) \sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta}-1\right) \mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

analytically continues via $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow$ iv to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{4}=\frac{2 \mathrm{v} \sin \bar{\gamma} \mathrm{v} t \cosh \bar{\gamma} x}{\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta}+1\right) \sin ^{2} \bar{\gamma} \mathrm{v} t+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta}-1\right) \mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \bar{\gamma} x} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{\gamma}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mathrm{v}^{2}}}$. Since $\bar{\varphi}$ is real we must have $\beta=0$ or $\beta=\pi$. The boundary condition $\bar{\varphi} \rightarrow 0$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ selects the second option, ${ }^{1}$ and we have recovered the celebrated breather configuration [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\bar{\varphi}=4 \arctan \left\{\frac{\sin \bar{\gamma} \mathrm{v} t}{\mathrm{v} \cosh \bar{\gamma} x}\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]
## 5 Noncommutative construction

When attempting to repeat the above computation in the Moyal-deformed case, one must account for the noncommutativity of the co-moving coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[t, x]_{\star}=\mathrm{i} \theta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right]_{\star}=2 \mathrm{i} \theta \gamma^{2} \mathrm{v}=2 \mathrm{i} \theta \frac{\mathrm{v}}{1-\mathrm{v}^{2}}=: \mathrm{i} \lambda, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the fundamental intertwining relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) \eta_{1}+\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right) \eta_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda a \wedge b} \mathrm{e}^{a_{1} \eta_{1}+a_{2} \eta_{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{b_{1} \eta_{1}+b_{2} \eta_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} \eta_{1}+a_{2} \eta_{2}\right)} \star \mathrm{e}^{b_{1} \eta_{1}+b_{2} \eta_{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1} \eta_{1}+a_{2} \eta_{2}\right)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which (for $f$ regular at zero) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{a_{1} \eta_{1}+a_{2} \eta_{2}} \star f\left(\mathrm{e}^{b_{1} \eta_{1}+b_{2} \eta_{2}}\right)=f\left(\mathrm{e}^{b_{1} \eta_{1}+b_{2} \eta_{2}+\mathrm{i} \lambda a \wedge b}\right) \star \mathrm{e}^{a_{1} \eta_{1}+a_{2} \eta_{2}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we put $2 \alpha=1$ for convenience. The projectors (4.1) are unaffected by the deformation, but the star products become relevant when $T_{1}$ or $P_{1}$ meets $T_{2}$ or $P_{2}$. As a basic ingredient in (3.3), we first compute

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{j}^{\dagger} \star\left(\mathbb{1}-\sigma P_{k}\right) \star T_{j}= & \left(1,-\mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{j}}\right) \star\left[\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\sigma+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}} & -\mathrm{i} \sigma \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{k}} \\
\mathrm{i} \sigma \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{k}} & 1+(1-\sigma) \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}
\end{array}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] \star\binom{1}{\mathrm{ie} \eta_{j}} \\
= & \left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \star\left(1-\sigma+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right) \star\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \star \sigma \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{j}+\eta_{k} \mp \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda} \star\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k} \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& +\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k} \pm 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \star \sigma \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{j}+\eta_{k} \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda} \star\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{5.4}\\
& +\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k} \pm 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \star\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{j}}+(1-\sigma) \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{j}+2 \eta_{k}}\right) \star\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k} \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
= & \left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \star\left[(1-\sigma)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \star\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the last step, we dropped terms of $O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ in order to arrive at a manageable expression. Inserting the above into (3.3) and abbreviating

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=T_{k}^{\dagger} T_{k}=1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad D=(1-\sigma)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find the matrix elements of $G$ up to $O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ (denoted by ' $\simeq$ '),

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{11} \simeq 1- & 2 N_{2}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda}\right] \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
- & 2 N_{1}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}+\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda}\right] \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}},  \tag{5.6}\\
G_{12} \simeq \quad & 2 \mathrm{i} N_{2}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}-\frac{i}{2} \lambda}\right] \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} \\
& -2 \mathrm{i} N_{1}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}+\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda}\right] \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}},  \tag{5.7}\\
G_{21} \simeq \quad- & 2 \mathrm{i} N_{2}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+2 \eta_{2}-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\right] \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
+ & 2 \mathrm{i} N_{1}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\right] \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}},  \tag{5.8}\\
G_{22} \simeq 1 & -2 N_{2}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+2 \eta_{2}-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\right] \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}  \tag{5.9}\\
& -2 N_{1}^{-1} \star\left[\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\right] \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

There is some pattern with respect to the interchange $\eta_{1} \leftrightarrow \eta_{2}$ and regarding sign flips of v and $\lambda$, but no obvious symmetry under $\theta \rightarrow-\theta$. We have chosen the positions of the $N_{k}$ such that their arguments are not shifted. The equalities $G_{11}=G_{22}$ and $G_{12}=G_{21}$ are far from manifest. Note that, for the exact result, $D$ is to be inverted with respect to star multiplication. However, since $D_{\star}^{-1}-D^{-1}=O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$, we may take the ordinary inverse in (5.6)-(5.9). Finally, the commutative limit collapses $G$ to (4.4), since all $N_{k}$ factors cancel and disappear.

We can also employ the last equation of (3.3), which expresses $G$ in terms of projectors only. After rescaling the projectors to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{P}_{1}=\frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{P}_{2}=-\frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} P_{2} \quad \text { such that } \quad \widetilde{P}_{1} \star \widetilde{P}_{2}=\sigma P_{1} \star P_{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite

$$
\begin{align*}
G & =\mathbb{1}-2 P_{1} \star\left[\mathbb{1}-\widetilde{P}_{2} \star \widetilde{P}_{1}\right]_{\star}^{-1} \star\left[1+\widetilde{P}_{2}\right]-2 P_{2} \star\left[\mathbb{1}-\widetilde{P}_{1} \star \widetilde{P}_{2}\right]_{\star}^{-1} \star\left[1+\widetilde{P}_{1}\right]  \tag{5.11}\\
& =\mathbb{1}-\frac{2 \mathrm{v}}{1-\mathrm{v}}\left[\widetilde{P}_{1}+\widetilde{P}_{1} \star \widetilde{P}_{2}+\widetilde{P}_{1} \star \widetilde{P}_{2} \star \widetilde{P}_{1}+\ldots\right]+\frac{2 \mathrm{v}}{1+\mathrm{v}}\left[\widetilde{P}_{2}+\widetilde{P}_{2} \star \widetilde{P}_{1}+\widetilde{P}_{2} \star \widetilde{P}_{1} \star \widetilde{P}_{2}+\ldots\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In the last line, we have traded the notorious star inverses for formal geometric series,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbb{1}-\widetilde{P}_{j} \star \widetilde{P}_{k}\right]_{\star}^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\widetilde{P}_{j} \star \widetilde{P}_{k}\right]_{\star}^{n} \quad \text { with } \quad(j, k)=(1,2) \text { or }(2,1) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be truncated in an approximation for large velocities $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow 1$. In this way, $G$ is given as a power series in words $P_{j} \star P_{k} \star P_{j} \star \ldots \star P_{\ell}$. Remembering (3.4) and abbreviating also

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j k}=T_{j}^{\dagger} \star T_{k}=1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{j}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{k}}=1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2} \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the 'projector words' simplify to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P_{j} \star P_{k} \star P_{j} \star \cdots \star P_{\ell}=\binom{1}{ \pm \mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{j}}} N_{j}^{-1} \star N_{j k} \star N_{k}^{-1} \star N_{k j} \star \cdots \star N_{\ell}^{-1}\left(1 \quad \pm \mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{\ell}}\right) \\
=\binom{\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{j}}}{ \pm \mathrm{i}^{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{j}}} \widetilde{N}_{j}^{-1} \star \widetilde{N}_{j k} \star \widetilde{N}_{k}^{-1} \star \widetilde{N}_{k j} \star \cdots \star \widetilde{N}_{\ell}^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\ell}} \quad \pm \mathrm{ie}^{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{\ell}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.14}
\end{array}
$$

where the last line is a symmetric rewriting with
$\tilde{N}_{k}=\mathrm{e}^{-\eta_{k}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{k}} \quad$ and $\quad \tilde{N}_{j k}=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{j}} \star \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \eta_{k}}-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{j}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2} \eta_{k}}=\mathrm{e}^{ \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right)}\right)$.

Pulling all together, one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{11}=1-2 N_{1}^{-1}-2 N_{2}^{-1}+2 \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} N_{1}^{-1} \star N_{12} \star N_{2}^{-1}-2 \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} N_{2}^{-1} \star N_{21} \star N_{1}^{-1}+\ldots  \tag{5.16}\\
& G_{12}= \\
& G_{21}= \\
& \mathrm{i} N_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-2 \mathrm{i} N_{2}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+2 \mathrm{i} \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} N_{1}^{-1} \star N_{12} \star N_{2}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+2 \mathrm{i} \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} N_{2}^{-1} \star N_{21} \star N_{1}^{-1}+2 \mathrm{ie}^{\eta_{2}} N_{2}^{-1}+2 \mathrm{i} \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\ldots \\
& N_{1}^{-1} \star N_{12} \star N_{2}^{-1}+2 \mathrm{i} \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} N_{2}^{-1} \star N_{21} \star N_{1}^{-1}+\ldots \\
& G_{22}=1-2 \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} N_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}-2 \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} N_{2}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}-2 \frac{1+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} N_{1}^{-1} \star N_{12} \star N_{2}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}+2 \frac{1-\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} N_{2}^{-1} \star N_{21} \star N_{1}^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

with $N_{k}$ and $N_{j k}$ to be taken from (5.5) and (5.13), respectively. This is an exact result. No star-inverse needs to be taken, but we are left with infinite series, which may be summed in closed form only for $\theta=0$.

## 6 Expanding in $\theta$

The task is to extract the deformed breather configuration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}_{\star}^{ \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \phi_{ \pm}}=g_{ \pm}=G_{11} \pm G_{21}=G_{11} \pm G_{12}=: G_{e} \pm G_{o} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (5.6)-(5.9) or from (5.16), at least to subleading order in a $\theta$ expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f^{(0)}+\lambda f^{(1)}+\lambda^{2} f^{(2)}+\ldots \simeq f^{(0)}+\lambda f^{(1)} \quad \text { for } \quad f \in\left\{G, g_{ \pm}, \phi_{ \pm}, \ldots\right\} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping v fixed and noticing that $\mathrm{e}_{\star}^{h}=\mathrm{e}^{h}+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ for any function $h$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{ \pm} \simeq \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \ln g_{ \pm} \simeq \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \ln \left(g_{ \pm}^{(0)}+\lambda g_{ \pm}^{(1)}\right) \simeq \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \ln g_{ \pm}^{(0)} \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda g_{ \pm}^{(1)} / g_{ \pm}^{(0)}=\phi_{ \pm}^{(0)} \mp 2 \mathrm{i} \lambda g_{ \pm}^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{\mp \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \phi_{ \pm}^{(0)}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right) \simeq \varphi+\mathrm{i} \lambda g_{+}^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varphi}-\mathrm{i} \lambda g_{-}^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varphi}=\varphi+2 \lambda G_{e}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}+2 \mathrm{i} \lambda G_{o}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2},  \tag{6.4}\\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}\right) \simeq 2 \pi+\mathrm{i} \lambda g_{+}^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varphi}+\mathrm{i} \lambda g_{-}^{(1)} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varphi}=2 \pi+2 \mathrm{i} \lambda G_{e}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}+2 \lambda G_{o}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\phi_{ \pm}^{(0)}=\varphi \pm 2 \pi$. From (5.16) one learns that, in the $\lambda$ expansion, the even orders of $G_{e}$ and the odd orders of $G_{o}$ are real while the odd orders of $G_{e}$ and the even orders of $G_{o}$ are imaginary. Because (6.4) must be real equations for $G \in \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{e}^{(1)} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}+\mathrm{i} G_{o}^{(1)} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi_{+}^{(1)}=-\phi_{-}^{(1)}=2 \mathrm{i} G_{e}^{(1)} / \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}=2 G_{o}^{(1)} / \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so the sine-Gordon field $\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}+\phi_{-}\right)$gets deformed only at $O\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ while the orthogonal combination $\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{+}-\phi_{-}\right)$is turned on at $O(\lambda)$. Interestingly, the relation (6.5) is again the commutative one, thus

$$
G \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G_{e} & G_{o}  \tag{6.6}\\
G_{o} & G_{e}
\end{array}\right) \simeq \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi+\mathrm{i} \lambda \chi}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \frac{\varphi}{2} & \mathrm{i} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \\
\mathrm{i} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} & \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad \chi=\mathrm{i} G_{e}^{(1)} / \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}=G_{o}^{(1)} / \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}
$$

For computing $\chi$ it suffices to look at any one of the $G$ matrix elements.
In order to expand $G$ to $O(\lambda)$ we need the first subleading term in multiple star products,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1} \star f_{2} \star f_{3} \star \cdots \star f_{n} \simeq f_{1} f_{2} f_{3} \cdots f_{n}+\frac{i}{2} \lambda \sum_{i<j} f_{1} \cdots\left(\partial_{[1} f_{i}\right) \cdots\left(\partial_{2]} f_{j}\right) \cdots f_{n} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\partial_{[1} f_{i}\right)\left(\partial_{2]} f_{j}\right) \equiv \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \eta_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial \eta_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \eta_{2}} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial \eta_{1}}$. The products appearing in (5.6)-(5.9) take the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{2}^{-1} \star \mathrm{e}^{h} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \simeq \mathrm{e}^{h} D^{-1}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{h} D^{-2}\left(2 D \partial_{1} h \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\partial_{1} D \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\partial_{[1} h \partial_{2]} D\right)  \tag{6.8}\\
N_{1}^{-1} \star \mathrm{e}^{h} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} & \simeq \mathrm{e}^{h} D^{-1}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{h} D^{-2}\left(2 D \partial_{2} h \frac{N_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}}-\partial_{2} D \frac{N_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}}-\partial_{[2} h \partial_{1]} D\right)  \tag{6.9}\\
N_{2}^{-1} \star \mathrm{e}^{h} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}} & \simeq \mathrm{e}^{h+\eta_{1}} D^{-1}  \tag{6.10}\\
& +\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{h+\eta_{1}} D^{-2}\left(2 D \partial_{1} h \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\partial_{1} D \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\partial_{[1} h \partial_{2]} D+\partial_{2} D-D \partial_{2} h\right), \\
N_{1}^{-1} \star \mathrm{e}^{h} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star D^{-1} \star N_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \star \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} & \simeq \mathrm{e}^{h+\eta_{2}} D^{-1}  \tag{6.11}\\
& -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \lambda \mathrm{e}^{h+\eta_{2}} D^{-2}\left(2 D \partial_{2} h \frac{N_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}}-\partial_{2} D \frac{N_{1}^{\prime}}{N_{1}}-\partial_{[2} h \partial_{1]} D+\partial_{1} D-D \partial_{1} h\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $h$ being linear in $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$. Collecting all terms and noticing cancellations we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{iv} D^{2} G_{11}^{(1)} & =-\partial_{1} D \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\left(\partial_{1} D\left(1-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)-\partial_{2} D-D\left(1-2 \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)\right)+(1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\
-D^{2} G_{12}^{(1)} & =\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+2 \eta_{2}}\left(\partial_{1} D\left(2-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)+\partial_{2} D-2 D\right)+\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\left(\partial_{1} D-2 D\right)\left(1-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)+(1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\
D^{2} G_{21}^{(1)} & =\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}\left(\left(2 D-\partial_{1} D\right) \frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}-\partial_{2} D\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}} \partial_{1} D\left(1-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)+(1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\
\operatorname{iv} D^{2} G_{22}^{(1)} & =\mathrm{e}^{2 \eta_{1}+2 \eta_{2}}\left(\partial_{1} D-2 D\right)\left(2-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\left(\partial_{1} D\left(1-\frac{N_{2}^{\prime}}{N_{2}}\right)+\partial_{2} D-D\right)+(1 \leftrightarrow 2), \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

which further collapses to

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{e}^{(1)}=-2 \mathrm{ive} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}} \frac{\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{v}^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)}{\left[\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{v}^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}}=-\frac{\mathrm{iv}}{2} \frac{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t-\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x}{\left[\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x\right]^{2}},  \tag{6.13}\\
& G_{o}^{(1)}=4 \mathrm{v}^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)}{\left[\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{v}^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{1}}+\mathrm{e}^{\eta_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}}=\mathrm{v}^{2} \frac{\sinh \gamma \mathrm{v} t \cosh \gamma x}{\left[\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x\right]^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing to (4.4), we indeed confirm that $G^{(1)}=\mathrm{i} \chi G^{(0)}$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{ \pm} \simeq \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi+\mathrm{i} \lambda \chi} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varphi} \quad \text { with } \quad \chi=\frac{-\mathrm{v} / 2}{\sinh ^{2} \gamma \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \gamma x} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It appears as if the sine-Gordon field gets deformed via $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \mp 2 \lambda \chi$, but this is misleading.
This formula provides the explicit $O(\theta)$ correction to the commutative kink-antikink configuration. To obtain the breather, we still must analytically continue $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow \mathrm{iv}$, which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \rightarrow 2 \theta \frac{\mathrm{iv}}{1+\mathrm{v}^{2}}=: \mathrm{i} \bar{\lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi \rightarrow \mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{v} / 2}{\sin ^{2} \bar{\gamma} \mathrm{v} t+\mathrm{v}^{2} \cosh ^{2} \bar{\gamma} x}=: \mathrm{i} \bar{\chi} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the leading correction to $G$ remains a phase factor. This is the main result of this letter. Clearly, $\chi$ oscillates with twice the classical breather frequency $\omega=\bar{\gamma} \mathrm{v}$. More generally, our construction shows that the deformed breather frequency does not depend on $\theta$ at all. Below we illustrate the shapes of $\bar{\varphi}(t, x)$ and $\bar{\chi}(t, x)$ for a typical value of v .
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Figure 1: Commutative breather $\bar{\varphi}(t, x)$ for $\mathrm{v}=0.21$


Figure 2: Noncommutative correction $\bar{\chi}(t, x)$ for $\mathrm{v}=0.21$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Alternatively, begin with $\phi_{+}=\phi_{-}=\varphi$ and shift $\phi_{ \pm} \rightarrow \phi_{ \pm} \pm 2 \pi$, or else, put $\beta=0$ and shift $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi+2 \pi$.

