Di ractive cross sections at HERA and di ractive PDFs

Laurent Schoe el (on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS collaborations) $^{\rm a}$

^aCEA Saclay, Irfu/SPP, 91191 G if-sur-Y vette Cedex, France

A large collection of results for the di ractive dissociation of virtual photons, [?]p! X p, have been obtained with the H1 and ZEUS detectors at HERA.Di erent experimental techniques have been used, by requiring a large rapidity gap between X and the outgoing proton, by analysing the mass distribution, M_X , of the hadronic nal state, as well as by directly tagging the proton.A reasonable compatibility between those techniques and between H1 and ZEUS results have been observed. Some common fundamental features in the measurements are also present in all data sets. They are detailed in this document.Di ractive PDFs can give a good account of those features. Ideas and results are discussed in the follow ing.

1. Experim entaldi raction at HERA

One of the most important experimental result from the DESY ep collider HERA is the observation of a signi cant fraction of events in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) with a large rapidity gap (LRG) between the scattered proton, which rem ains intact, and the rest of the nal system . This fraction corresponds to about 10% of the D IS data at $Q^2 = 10$ G eV². In D IS, such events are not expected in such abundance, since large gaps are exponentially suppressed due to color string form ation between the proton remnant and the scattered partons. Events are of the type ep ! eX p, where the nal state proton carries more than 95 % of the proton beam energy. A photon of virtuality Q^2 , coupled to the electron (or positron), undergoes a strong interaction with the proton (or one of its low -m assexcited states Y) to form a hadronic nalstate system X of mass M_X separated by a LRG from the leading proton (see Fig. 1). These events are called di ractive. In such a reaction, ep ! eX p, no net quantum number is exchanged and the longitudinal momentum fraction x_{P} is lost by the proton. Thus, the mongitudi-1 nalmomentum $x_P P$ is transferred to the system X. In addition to the standard D IS kinem atic variables and $x_{\rm P}$, a di ractive event is also often characterised by the variable = $x_{B_{i}} = x_{P}$, which takes a simple interpretation in the parton model discussed in the following.

Experimentally, a diractive DIS event, ep ! eX p, is presented in Fig.2 (bottom). The dissociating particle is the virtual photon em itted by the electron. The nal state consists of the scattered electron and hadrons which populate the photon fragmentation region. The proton is scattered in the direction of the initial beam proton with little change in momentum and angle. In particular, we detect no hadronic activity in the direction of the proton



Figure 1. Illustration of the process ep ! eXY. The hadronic nalstate is composed of two distinct system sX and Y, which are separated by the largest interval in rapidity between nalstate hadrons.

ight, as the proton remains intact in the di ractive process. On the contrary, for a standard D IS event (Fig. 2 top), the proton is destroyed in the reaction and the ow of hadronic clusters is clearly visible in the proton fragm entation region (forward part of the detector).

The experimental selection of diractive events in D IS proceeds in two steps. Events are rst selected based on the presence of the scattered electron in the detector. Then, for the diractive selection itself, three director methods have been used at HERA:

1. A reconstructed proton track is required in the leading (or forward) proton spectrom eter (LPS for ZEUS or FPS for H1) with a fraction of the initial proton momentum $x_L > 0.97$. Indeed, the cleanest selection of di ractive events with photon dissociation is based on the presence of a leading proton in the nal state. By leading proton we mean a proton which carries a large fraction of the initial beam proton momentum. This is the cleanest way to select di ractive



Figure 2. U sual (top) and di ractive (bottom) events in the H1 experiment at HERA. For a di ractive event, no hadronic activity is visible in the the proton fragmentation region, as the proton remains intact in the di ractive process. On the contrary, for a standard D IS event, the proton is destroyed in the reaction and the ow of hadronic clusters is clearly visible in the proton fragmentation region (+ z direction, i.e. forward part of the detector).

events, but the disadvantage is a reduced kinem atic coverage.

- 2. The hadronic system X measured in the central detector is required to be separated by a large rapidity gap from the rest of the hadronic nalstate. This is a very e cient way to select di ractive events in a large kinem atic dom ain, close to the standard D IS one. The prejudice is a large background as discussed in the following.
- 3. The di ractive contribution is identi ed as the excess of events at small M $_{\rm X}$ above the exponential fall-o of the non-di ractive contribution with decreasing ln M $_{\rm X}^2$. The exponential fall-o , expected in QCD, perm its the subtraction of the non-di ractive contribution and therefore the extraction of the di ractive contribution without assuming the precise M $_{\rm X}$ dependence of the latter. This is also a very e cient way to select di ractive events in a large kinem atic dom ain.

Extensive m easurem ents of di ractive D IS cross sections have been made by both the ZEUS and H1 collaborations at HERA, using di erent experim entaltechniques [1,2,3,4,5]. Of course, the com parison of these techniques provides a rich source of information to get a better understanding of the experim ental gains and prejudices of those techniques. In Fig. 3 and 4, the basis of the last ZEUS experim ental analysis is sum marised [5]. Data are compared to M onte-C arb (M C) expectations for typical variables. The MC is based on specic models for signal and backgrounds, and the good agreem ent with data is proof that the main ingredients of the experim ental analysis are under control: resolutions, calibrations, e ciencies... These last sets of data (Fig. 3 and 4) [5] contain ve to seven times more statistics than in preceding publications of di ractive cross sections, and thus opens the way to new developm ents in data/m odels com parisons. A st relative control of the data samples is shown in Fig. 5, where the ratio of the di ractive cross sections is displayed, as obtained with the LPS and the LRG experimental techniques. The mean value of the ratio of 0:86 indicates that the LRG sample contains about 24% of proton-dissociation background, which is not present in the LPS sample. This background corresponds to events like ep ! eXY, where Y is a low-mass excited state of the proton (with M $_{\rm Y}$ < 2:3 GeV). It is obviously not present in the LPS analysis which can select speci cally a proton in the nal state. This is the main background in the LRG analysis. Due to a lack of know ledge of this background, it causes a large normalisation uncertainty of 10 to 15 % for

the cross sections extracted from the LRG analysis. W e can then com pare the results obtained by the H1 and ZEUS experiments for di ractive cross sections (in Fig. 6), using the LRG method. A good com patibility of both data sets is observed, after rescaling the ZEUS points by a global factor of 13%. This factor is compatible with the norm alisation uncertainty described above. We can also compare the results obtained by the H1 and ZEUS experiments (in Fig. 7), using the tagged proton method (LPS for ZEUS and FPS for H1). In this case, there is no proton dissociation background and the di ractive sample is expected to be clean. It gives a good reference to com pare both experiments. A global normalisation dierence of about 10% can be observed in Fig. 7, which can be studied with more data. It remains compatible with the normalisation uncertainty for this tagged proton sample. It is interesting to note that the ZEUS measurem ents are globaly above the H1 data by about 10% for both techniques, tagged proton or LRG. In Fig. 8, we compare the results using the LRG and the M $_{\rm X}$ m ethods, for ZEUS data alone. Both sets are in good agreem ent, which shows that there is no strong bias between these experim entaltechniques. The important message at this level is not only the observation of di erences as illustrated in Fig. 6 and 7, but the opportunity opened with the large satistics provided by the ZEUS measurements. Understanding discrepancies between data sets is part of the experim ental challenge of the next months. It certainly needs analysis of new data sets from the H1 experiment. However, already at the present level, much can be done with existing data for the understanding of di raction at HERA.

2. Di ractive PDFsatHERA

In order to compare di ractive data with perturbative QCD models, or parton-driven models, the rst step is to show that the di ractive cross section shows a hard dependence in the centre-of-m ass enp system . In Fig. 9, we observe a ergy W of the W $^{\rm 0.6}$, compatible with the behaviour of the form dependence expected for a hard process. This observation is obviously the key to allow further studies of the di ractive process in the context of perturbative QCD. Events with the di ractive topology can be studied in term s of P om eron trajectory exchanged between the proton and the virtual photon. In this view, these events result from a colour-singlet exchange between the di ractively dissociated virtual photon and the proton (see Fig. 10).

A di ractive structure function F_2^{D} ⁽³⁾ can then be de ned as a sum of two factorized contributions, corresponding to a Pom eron and secondary Reggeon trajectories:



Figure 3. Comparison of the distributions of data (dots) to those obtained with the Monte-Carlo (histogram s) for typical variables in the LRG analysis.



Figure 4. Comparison of the distributions of data (dots) to those obtained with the M onte-Carlo (his-togram s) for typical variables in the LPS analysis.



Figure 5. Ratio of the di ractive cross sections, as obtained with the LPS and the LRG experimental techniques. The lines indicate the average value of the ratio, which is about 0.86. It implies that the LRG sample contains about 24% of proton dissociation events, corresponding to processes like ep ! eX Y, where $M_Y < 2.3 \text{ GeV}$. This fraction is approximately the same for H1 data (of course in the same M $_Y$ range).



Figure 7. The di ractive cross section obtained with the FPS (or LPS) m ethod by the H1 and ZEUS experiments, where the proton is tagged. The ZEUS m easurements are above H1 by a global factor of about 10%.



Figure 6. The di ractive cross sections obtained with the LRG m ethod by the H1 and ZEUS experiments. The ZEUS values have been rescaled (down) by a global factor of 13 %. This value is compatible with the norm alisation uncertainty of this sample.



Figure 8. The di ractive cross sections obtained with the LRG method (fulldots) compared with the results obtained with the M_X method (open sym-bols: FPC I and FPC II). All values are converted to M_Y = M_p.



Figure 9. Cross sections of the diractive process $p ! p^0 X$, di erential in the mass of the diractively produced hadronic system X (M_X), are presented as a function of the centre-offmass energy of the p system W . M easurements at di erent values of the virtuality Q² of the exchanged photon are displayed. We observe a behaviour of the form W^{0:6} for the diractive cross section, compatible with the dependence expected for a hard process.



Figure 10. Schem atic diagram of a di ractive process. Events with a di ractive topology can be studied in terms of the Pomeron trajectory exchanged between the proton and the virtual photon.

 $F_2^{D} {}^{(3)} (Q^2; ; x_P) = f_{P=p} (x_P) F_2^{D} {}^{(P)} (Q^2;) + f_{R=p} (x_P) F_2^{D} {}^{(R)} (Q^2;), where f_{P=p} (x_P) is the Pomeron ux. It depends only on <math>x_P$, once integrated over t, and $F_2^{D} {}^{(P)}$ can be interpreted as the Pomeron structure function, depending on and Q^2 . The other function, $F_2^{D} {}^{(R)}$, is an elective Reggeon structure function taking into account various secondary Regge contributions which can not be separated. The Pomeron and Reggeon uxes are assumed to follow a Regge behaviour with linear trajectories $P_{i,R} (t) = P_{i,R} (0) + {}^{0}_{P:R} t$, such that

$$f_{P=p;R=p}(x_{P}) = \frac{\sum_{t_{m} \text{ in }} e^{B_{P;R}t}}{t_{cut} x_{P}^{2} x_{P}^{p;R}(t) 1} dt;$$
(1)

where $f_{m in}$ j is the m inim um kinem atically allowed value of j and $t_{cut} = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ is the limit of the measurement. We take $\stackrel{\circ}{}_{p} = 0.06 \text{ GeV}^2$, $\stackrel{\circ}{}_{R} = 0.30 \text{ GeV}^2$, $B_P = 5.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $B_R = 1.6 \text{ GeV}^2$. The Pomeron intercept $_{P}(0)$ is left as a free parameter in the QCD t and $_{R}(0)$ is xed to 0.50.

The next step is then to m odel the Pom eron structure function $\mathbf{F}_{2}^{\mathbf{D}}$ (P) [1,7,8,9]. Among the most popular models, the one based on a pointlike structure of the Pomeron has been studied extensively using a non-perturbative input supplem ented by a perturbative QCD evolution equations [7,8,9]. In this formulation, it is assumed that the exchanged object, the Pomeron, is a colour-singlet quasi-particle whose structure is probed in the D IS process. As for standard D IS, di ractive parton distributions related to the Pomeron can be derived from QCD ts to di ractive cross sections. The procedure is standard: we assign parton distribution functions to the Pomeron param etrised in term s of non-perturbative input distributions at some low scale Q_0^2 . The quark avour singlet distribution (zS (z; Q^2) = u + u + d + d + s + s) and the gluon distribution (zG (z;Q²)) are param etrised at this initial scale Q_{0}^{2} , where $z = x_{i=P}$ is the fractionalm om entum of the Pom eron carried by the struck parton. Functions zS and zG are evolved to higher Q² using the next-to-leading order DGLAP evolution equations. For the structure of the sub-leading Reggeon trajectory, the pion structure function [6] is assumed with a free global normalization to be determined by the data. Diractive PDFs (DPDFs) extracted from H1 and ZEUS data are shown in Fig. 11 and 12 [1,7,8,9]. W e observe that som e di erences in the data are re ected in the DPDFs, but som e basic features are common for all data sets and the resulting DPDFs. Firstly, the gluon density is larger than the sea quark density, which means that the major fraction of the momentum (about 70%) is carried by the gluon for a



Figure 11. Singlet and gluon distributions of the Pomeron (DPDFs) as a function of z , the fractional momentum of the Pomeron carried by the struck parton (see text), obtained by a QCD t to the H1 di ractive cross sections.

typical value of $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$. Secondly, we observe that the gluon density is quite large at large , with a large uncertainty, which means that we expect positive scaling violations still at large values of . This is shown in Fig. 13. We note that even at large values of 0:5, the scaling violations are still positive, as discussed above. The strength of the DPDFs approach is to give a natural interpretation of this basic observation and to describe properly the Q^2 evolution of the cross sections. Other approaches are also well designed to describe all features of the data [12], but this is another story. The near future of the study of DPFDs is to combine all existing data and check their com patibility with respect to the QCD t technique. If this is veried, a new global analysis can be followed to get the most com plete understanding of DPDFs [7].

3. D i ractive PDFs and the LHC

Note that di ractive distributions are processindependent functions. They appear not only in inclusive di raction but also in other processes where di ractive hard-scattering factorisation holds. The cross section of such a process can be evaluated as the convolution of the relevant parton-level cross section with the DPDFs. For instance, the cross section for charm production in di ractive DIS can be cal-



Figure 12. Singlet and gluon DPDFs as a function of z , where the results of thing H1 or ZEUS data are compared. The ZEUS data considered here [3] are derived using the M $_X$ method. A global t of all published data is also presented. Note that the last ZEUS data set [5] is not used for this plot.

culated at leading order in s from the q! cc cross section and the di ractive gluon distribution. An analogous statem ent holds for jet production in di ractive D IS. Both processes have been analysed at next-to-leading order in s and are found to be consistent with the factorisation theorem [10]. A natural question to ask is whether one can use the DPDFs extracted at HERA to describe hard di ractive processes such as the production of jets, heavy quarks or weak gauge bosons in pp collisions at the Tevatron. Fig. 14 shows results on di ractive dijet production from the CDF collaboration compared to the expectations based on the DPDFs from HERA [11]. The discrepancy is spectacular: the fraction of di ractive dijet events at CDF is a factor 3 to 10 smaller than would be expected on the basis of the HERA data. The same type of discrepancy is consistently observed in allhard di ractive processes in pp events. In general, while at HERA hard di raction contributes a fraction of order 10% to the total cross section, it contributes only about 1% at the Tevatron. This observation of QCD-factorisation breaking in hadron-hadron scattering can be interpreted as a survival gap probability or a soft color interaction which needs to be considered in such reactions. In fact, from a fundam ental point of view, di ractive hard-scattering factorization does not apply to



Figure 13. Scaling violations for H1 di ractive cross sections for one value of x_P ($x_P = 0.01$) and a large range of values, from low (< 0.01) to large values (> 0.5).



Figure 14. C om parison between the CDF m easurement of di ractive structure function (black points) with the H1 di ractive PDFs.

hadron-hadron collisions. Attempts to establish corresponding factorization theorem s fail, because of interactions between spectator partons of the colliding hadrons. The contribution of these interactions to the cross section does not decrease with the hard scale. Since they are not associated with the hardscattering subprocess, we no longer have factorization into a parton-level cross section and the parton densities of one of the colliding hadrons. These interactions are generally soft, and we have at present to rely on phenom enologicalm odels to quantify their e ects [11]. The yield of di ractive events in hadronhadron collisions is then lowered precisely because of these soft interactions between spectator partons (often referred to as reinteractions or multiple scatterings). They can produce additional nal-state particles which 11 the would be rapidity gap (hence the often-used term rapidity gap survival). W hen such additional particles are produced, a very fast proton can no longer appear in the nal state because of energy conservation. Di ractive factorization breaking is thus intim ately related to multiple scattering in hadron-hadron collisions. Understanding and describing this phenomenon is a challenge in the high-energy regime that will be reached at the LHC [13]. We can also remark simply that the collision partners, in pp or pp reactions, are both com posite system s of large transverse size, and it is not too surprising that multiple interactions between their constituents can be substantial. In contrast, the virtual photon in p collisions has sm all transverse size, which disfavors multiple interactions and enables di ractive factorization to hold. According to our discussion, we may expect that for decreasing virtuality Q² the photon behaves m ore and m ore like a hadron, and di ractive factorization m ay again be broken.

4. Conclusions

W e have presented and discussed the most recent results on inclusive di raction from the HERA experim ents. A large collection of data sets and di ractive cross sections are published, which present com m on fundam ental features in all cases. The di erent experim ental techniques, for both H1 and ZEUS experiments, provide compatible results, with still som e global norm alisation di erences of about 10% . DPDFs give a good account of the main features of the di ractive data. There is still much to do on the experim ental side with large statistics analyses, in order to obtain a better understanding of data and backgrounds. This is an essential task for the next months with the purpose to understand and reduce the norm alisation uncertainties of di ractive m easurem ents at HERA. This will make the com bination of cross sections between the two experiments much easier, with a common message from HERA on inclusive di raction.

REFERENCES

- A.Aktas et al. [H1 Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 48 (2006) 715 [arX iv hep-ex/0606004].
- A.Aktas et al. [H1 Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 48 (2006) 749 [arX iv hep-ex/0606003].
- ZEUS Collab., S. Chekanov et al., Nucl. Phys. B 713 (2005) 3 [arXiv:hep-ex/0501060].
- ZEUS Collab., S. Chekanov et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 38 (2004) 43 [arX iv hep-ex/0408009].
- 5. M. Ruspa et al. [ZEUS Collaboration], [arXiv:hep-ex/0808.0833].
- 6. J.F.Owens, Phys.Rev. D 30 (1984) 943.
- C.Royon, L.Schoe el, S.Sapeta, R.B.Peschanski and E.Sauvan, Nucl. Phys. B 781 (2007) 1 [arX iv hep-ph/0609291].
- C. Royon, L. Schoe el, R.B. Peschanski and E. Sauvan, Nucl. Phys. B 746 (2006) 15 [arXiv:hep-ph/0602228].
- C.Royon, L.Schoe el, J.Bartels, H.Jung and R.B.Peschanski, Phys.Rev.D 63 (2001) 074004 [arX iv hep-ph/0010015].
- 10.JC. Collins, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3051 Erratum - ibid. D 61 (2000) 019902] [arX iv hep-ph/9709499].
- 11.T.A older et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev.Lett.84 (2000) 5043.
- 12.C.M arquet and L.Schoe el, Phys.Lett.B 639 (2006) 471.
- 13.AFP TDR in ATLAS to be submitted; see: http://project-mp220.web.cem.ch/projectmp220/index.htm l.