

SLOPE ANALYSIS FOR ELASTIC PROTON-PROTON AND PROTON-ANTI-PROTON SCATTERING

V A . O korokov^y

Moscow Engineering Physics Institute (State University),
Kashirskoe Shosse 31, Moscow, 115409 Russian Federation
y E-m ail: O korokov@bnl.gov; VA O korokov@mephi.ru

Abstract

The di raction slope param eter is investigated for elastic proton-proton and proton-antiproton scattering based on the all available experim ental data at interm ediate square of m om entum transfer in the m ain. Energy dependence of the elastic di raction slope is approxim ated by various analytic functions in a m odel-independent fashion. The expanded standard logarithm ic approxim ations allow to describe experim ental slopes in all available energy range at qualitative level reasonably. Vari- ous tting functions di er from each other both in low energy and very high energy dom ains. P redictions for di raction slope param eter are obtained for elastic proton-proton scattering at N ICA , R H IC and LHC energies, for proton-antiproton elastic reaction in FA IR energy dom ain for various approxim ation functions at interm ediate square of m om entum transfer. D i erence of nuclear slopes for proton-antiproton and proton-proton scattering is investigated in wide m om entum transfer range also.

1 Introduction

In the absence of a pure QCD description of the elastic pp=pp and these large-distance scattering states (soft di raction), an empirical analysis based on (alm ost) m odel-independent ts to the physical quantities involved plays an im portant role in the extraction of novel in- form ation, that can contribute w ith the developm ent of useful calculational schem es in the underlying eld theory [1]. Therefore, em pirical ts of energy dependences of global scattering param eters have been used as a im portant source of the m odel-independent in form ation. This approach for σ_{tot} and ρ was recently used in [2]. The third im portant quantity for nucleon elastic scattering is the slope param eter. The nuclear slope B for elastic scattering de ned according to the follow ing equation w ith taking into account the t-dependence:

$$B(s;t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln \frac{\partial \sigma(s;t)}{\partial t} ; \quad (1)$$

is determ ined experim entally. This quantity is of interest in its own right, especially for large- distance hadronic physics. On the other hand the study of B param eter is im portant, in particular, for reconstruction procedure of full set of helicity am plitudes for elastic nucleon scattering [2]. The present status of slope for elastic pp and pp scattering is discussed for various \sqrt{s} ranges over the full energy dom ain.

2 Slope energy dependence

W e have attempted to describe the energy behaviour of the elastic nuclear slopes for pp and pp reactions. The follow ing analytic functions are used for tting of experim ental slope energy

dependences here:

$$B(s;t) = B_0(t) + 2a_1(t) \ln(s=s_0); \quad (2a)$$

$$B(s;t) = B_0(t) + 2a_1(t) \ln(s=s_0) + a_2(t) [\ln(s=s_0)]^{a_3(t)}; \quad (2b)$$

$$B(s;t) = B_0(t) + 2a_1(t) \ln(s=s_0) + a_2(t) (s=s_0)^{a_3(t)}; \quad (2c)$$

$$B(s;t) = B_0(t) + 2a_1(t) \ln(s=s_0) + a_2(t) [\ln(s=s_0)]^2; \quad (2d)$$

where $s_0 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. These functions were used at study of slope energy dependence in low \sqrt{s} domain [3].

Most of experimental investigations as well as theoretical models are focused on the diffraction region $\sqrt{s} \approx 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. The energy dependence of experimental nuclear slope at low momentum transfer was analyzed in detail recently [3]. Specifically, we have focused on the intermediate \sqrt{s} domain. Experimental data are from [4 { 9]. In the intermediate \sqrt{s} domain experimental data set is 141 / 85 for pp=pp reaction respectively. It seems the mean value of \sqrt{s} is more important for separation of experimental results on different \sqrt{s} domains than the \sqrt{s} boundaries of corresponding measurements. It should be emphasized that the experimental data for intermediate \sqrt{s} range were separated on two samples which corresponded the various parameterization types for differential cross-section, namely, linear, $\ln(d\sigma/dt) / (Bt)$, and quadratic, $\ln(d\sigma/dt) / (Bt - Ct^2)$, function. As known the measurements of nuclear slope, especially at intermediate \sqrt{s} do not form a smooth set in energy, unlike the situation for global scattering parameters σ_{tot} , where there is a good agreement between various group data [10]. Thus the data samples for approximations are somewhat smaller because of exception of points which differ from the other experimental points at close energies significantly. The maximum fraction of excluded points is equal 15.3% at intermediate \sqrt{s} values.

Figure 1 shows the experimental data and corresponding fits for slope parameter energy dependence at intermediate \sqrt{s} for pp and pp elastic scattering. The Fig.1a and Fig.1c correspond the linear approximation of differential cross-section for pp and pp respectively. Experimental data obtained at quadratic fit of $d\sigma/dt$ and fitting functions (2a) { (2d) are presented at Fig.1b for pp and at Fig.1d for pp collisions. The fitting parameter values are indicated in Table 1 for various interaction types and for different $d\sigma/dt$ parameterizations. Usually the fit qualities are poorer for intermediate \sqrt{s} values than that for low \sqrt{s} range. The fitting functions (2a) and (2d) agree with experimental points qualitatively both for linear (Fig.1a) and quadratic (Fig.1b) parameterizations of $d\sigma/dt$ for $\sqrt{s} \approx 5 \text{ GeV}$ only. The "expanded" parameterizations (2b), (2c) approximate experimental data at all energies reasonably. But the (2c) function shows a very slow growth of slope parameter with energy increasing at $\sqrt{s} \approx 10^2 \text{ GeV}$ (Fig.1a). It should be stressed that the fitting function (2d) predicts decreasing of the nuclear slope in high energy domain. Such behavior is opposite the other fitting function (2a) { (2c). The pp experimental points from linear parameterization of differential cross-section are fitted by (2a) at $\sqrt{s} \approx 5 \text{ GeV}$. The pp data disagreement with Regge-like fitting function very significantly (Fig.1c). One can see that the experimental data admit the approximation by (2d) for all energy range but not only for $\sqrt{s} \approx 5 \text{ GeV}$. Indeed the fit quality for the first case much better than for second one. The parameter values are shown in Table 1 for approximation by (2d) of all available experimental data. The functions (2c) and (2d) show a very close behaviour at all energies for pp data from linear $d\sigma/dt$ parameterization. These fitting functions have a better fit quality than (2b). The pp data from quadratic parameterization of $d\sigma/dt$ are fitted by (2a) and (2d) functions for $\sqrt{s} \approx 5 \text{ GeV}$ only and for all available energies (Fig.1d). In the last case the fit qualities are much better and fitting parameters are indicated in the Table 1 for this energy range namely. As above the functions (2c) and (2d) show a very close fit quality which is somewhat better than this parameter for (2b) fitting function. One can see the fit qualities for (2b) { (2d) are somewhat

Table 1: Fitting parameters for slope energy dependence at intermediate \sqrt{s}

Function	Parameter					$\chi^2/\text{n.d.f.}$
	B_0, GeV^{-2}	a_1, GeV^{-2}	a_2, GeV^{-2}	a_3		
proton-proton scattering, experimental data for $d = dt = A \exp(-Bt)$						
(2a)	8.15 0.12	0.169 0.009	{	{		111=29
(2b)	10.4 0.4	0.04 0.01	21.5 1.5	2.11 0.12		204=55
(2c)	8.8 0.2	0.13 0.01	64 5	1.39 0.06		213=55
(2d)	4.06 0.06	0.9 0.1	0.12 0.02	{		60=28
proton-proton scattering, experimental data for $d = dt = A \exp(-Bt - Ct^2)$						
(2a)	7.1 0.2	0.33 0.02	{	{		193=34
(2b)	7.9 0.5	0.26 0.04	10 3	3.0 0.8		294=61
(2c)	7.5 0.2	0.29 0.02	48 30	2.0 0.4		293=61
(2d)	4.0 0.9	1.0 0.2	0.14 0.04	{		180=33
proton-antiproton scattering, experimental data for $d = dt = A \exp(-Bt)$						
(2a)	11.19 0.05	0.138 0.004	{	{		1209=27
(2b)	(6 2) 10^0	0.46 0.02	(6 2) 10^0	(8 3) 10^4		950=55
(2c)	1176 72	6.19 0.25	1191 72	(1.12 0.04) 10^2		719=55
(2d)	14.95 0.14	0.46 0.02	0.068 0.003	{		714=56
proton-antiproton scattering, experimental data for $d = dt = A \exp(-Bt - Ct^2)$						
(2a)	10.2 0.2	0.189 0.011	{	{		154=21
(2b)	(2 3) 10^0	0.46 0.05	(2 3) 10^0	(2 2) 10^3		121=19
(2c)	(7 2) 10^0	4.2 0.8	(7 2) 10^0	(1.4 0.2) 10^2		108=19
(2d)	14.3 0.6	0.35 0.08	0.056 0.008	{		108=20

better for data from quadratic parameterization of differential cross-sections than for data from linear approximation of $d = dt$. Thus the parameterizations (2b) { (2d) agree with data points at qualitative level both for linear (Fig.1c) and quadratic (Fig.1d) parameterization of proton-antiproton $d = dt$ but these fits are still statistically unacceptable.

One can get a predictions for nuclear slope parameter values for some facilities based on the results shown above. The B values at intermediate \sqrt{s} for different energies of FAIR, NICA, RHIC, and LHC are shown in the Table 2 based on the fitting parameters obtained for linear parameterization of $d = dt$. According to the fit range function (2a) can predicts the B value for pp scattering in $P\bar{P}$ 5 GeV domain only. As expected the functions (2c) and (2d) predicted the very close slope parameter values for FAIR. All fitting functions, especially (2b) and (2c), predict the close values for nuclear slope in NICA energy domain. Functions (2a) { (2c) predict larger values for B in high-energy pp collisions than (2d) approximation. Perhaps, the future more precise RHIC results will agree better with predictions based on experimental data fits under study. The function (2d) with obtained parameters predicts negative B values at LHC energies. It should be emphasized that various phenomenological models predict a very sharp decreasing of nuclear slope in the range $\sqrt{s} = 0.3 - 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ at LHC energy $P\bar{P} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ [11]. Just the negative B value predicted for LHC at $P\bar{P} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ by (2d) is most close to the some model expectations [12, 13]. Taking into account recent predictions based on the fitting functions (2a) { (2d) for low \sqrt{s} [3] one can suggest that the model with hadronic amplitude corresponding to the exchange of three pomerons [13] describes the nuclear slope some closer to the experimentally inspired values at LHC energy both at low and intermediate \sqrt{s} than other models.

Phenomenological models predicts the zero difference of slopes (B) for proton-antiproton

Table 2: Predictions for B based on the functions (2a) { (2d) for intermediate \sqrt{s} domain

Fitting function	Facility energies, \sqrt{s}									
	FAIR, GeV			NICA, GeV		RHIC, TeV		LHC, TeV		
	5	6.5	14.7	20	25	0.2	0.5	14	28	42
(2a)	12.08	12.22	12.67	10.18	10.33	11.73	12.35	14.60	15.07	15.35
(2b)	12.46	12.23	12.03	10.39	10.49	11.10	11.29	11.88	12.00	12.07
(2c)	12.68	12.44	11.96	10.34	10.47	11.56	12.03	13.76	14.12	14.34
(2d)	12.69	12.46	11.97	10.54	10.67	9.66	7.89	-5.32	-9.41	-12.01

The ultimate energy upgrade of LHC project [14].

and proton-proton elastic scattering at asymptotic energies. Here the difference B is calculated for each function (2a) { (2d) with parameters corresponded pp and pp ts: $B_i(s) = B_i^{pp}(s) - B_i^{pp\bar{p}}(s)$; $i = 2a, \dots, 2d$ ¹. It should be stressed that the equal energy domain are used in pp and pp ts for B calculations, i.e. the parameter values obtained by (2d) fitting function of pp data from linear fit of $d \ln \sigma / d \ln \sqrt{s} = 5$ GeV are used for corresponding B definition. The difference $B_i(s)$ at low \sqrt{s} values was calculated based on the recent results from [3]. The energy dependences of B are shown at Fig.2a and Fig.2b for low and intermediate \sqrt{s} respectively. One can see that the difference of slopes decreasing with increasing of energy for low \sqrt{s} domain (Fig.2a). At present the proton-proton experimental data at highest available energy 200 GeV don't contradict with fast (square of logarithm of energy) increasing of slope at high energies in general case [3]. Such behavior could be agree with the asymptotic growth of total cross section. But on the other hand the quadratic function (2d) leads to very significant difference B for pp and pp scattering in high energy domain for both low (Fig.2a) and intermediate (Fig.2b) values of \sqrt{s} . The only Regge-like function (2a) predicts the decreasing of B with energy growth at intermediate \sqrt{s} (Fig.2b). The parameterizations (2b) { (2d) predict the decreasing of difference of slopes at low and intermediate energies and fast increasing of B at higher energies for intermediate \sqrt{s} domain (Fig.2b). As expected the most slow changing of B is predicted by Regge-like parameterization (2a) at asymptotic energies. All fitting functions with experimentally inspired parameters don't predict the constant zero values of B at high energies. But it should be emphasized that only separate ts were made for experimental data for pp and pp elastic reactions here. These results indicate on the importance of investigations at ultra-high energies both pp and pp elastic scattering for many fundamental questions and predictions connected to the general asymptotic properties of hadronic physics.

3 Summary

The main results of this paper are following. The most of all available experimental data for slope parameter in elastic nucleon collisions are approximated by different analytic functions. The situation is more unclear at intermediate \sqrt{s} values than for low \sqrt{s} domain. Only the qualitative agreement is observed between approximations and experimental points both for pp and pp collisions because of poorer quality of data. But the suggested "expanded" approximations can be used as a reliable ts for wide range of momentum transfer at all energies. Predictions for slope parameter are obtained for elastic proton-proton and proton-antiproton scattering in energy domains of some facilities. It seems the phenomenological model with

¹Obviously, one can suggest various combinations of fitting functions for B calculations.




Figure 1: Energy dependence of the elastic slope parameters for proton-proton (a,b) and proton-antiproton (c,d) scattering in intermediate \sqrt{s} domain for linear (a,c) and quadratic (b,d) approximation of differential cross-section. The curves correspond to the fitting functions as following: (2a) { dot, (2b) { thick solid, (2c) { dot-dashed, (2d) { thin solid.

hadronic amplitude corresponding to the exchange of three pomerons describes the nuclear slope some closer to the experimentally inspired values at LHC energy both at low and intermediate \sqrt{s} than other models. The energy dependence of difference of slopes (B) for proton-antiproton and proton-proton elastic scattering was obtained for fitting functions under study. The B parameter shows the opposite behaviours at high energies for low and intermediate \sqrt{s} domains (decreasing / increasing, respectively) for all fitting functions with the exception of Regge-like one. The last function predicts the slow decreasing of B with energy growth. It should be emphasized that all underlying empirical fitting functions with experimentally inspired parameter values don't predict the zero difference of slopes for proton-antiproton and proton-proton elastic scattering both at low and intermediate \sqrt{s} for high energy domain.

References

- [1] R.F. A vila, S.D. Campos, M.J. Menon, J. Montanha, Eur.Phys.J.C 47, 171 (2006).
- [2] S.B. Nurushev, and V.A. Okorokov "Elastic proton-proton and proton-antiproton scat-




Figure 2: The energy dependence of the difference of elastic slopes for proton-antiproton and proton-proton scattering in low $|t|$ domain (a) and in intermediate $|t|$ range for linear t of cross-section (b). The correspondence of curves to the t functions is the same as above.

tering: analysis of complete set of helicity amplitudes" in XII Advanced Research Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics { D SP IN 2007, edited by A . V . E frem ov and S . V . G olokokov, C onference P roceedings, JINR , D ubna, 2008, pp. 117{121; 0711.2231 [hep-ph].

- [3] V . A . O korokov, 0811.0895 [hep-ph].
- [4] T . Lasinski, et al., NuclPhys.B 37, 1{58 (1972).
- [5] I . Ambast, et al., Phys.Rev.D 9, 1179{1209 (1974).
- [6] P . Jenni, et al., NuclPhys.B 129, 232{252 (1977).
- [7] L . A . Fa jardo, et al., Phys.Rev.D 24, 46{65 (1981).
- [8] H . Iwasaki, et al., NuclPhys.A 433, 580{604 (1985).
- [9] The Durham HEP Reaction Data D atabases (UK)
<http://durpdg.dur.ac.uk/hepdata/react.html>
- [10] M . M . B lock, and R . N . Cahn, RevMod.Phys. 57, 563{598 (1985).
- [11] V . K undrat, J . K aspar and M . Loka j cek "To the theory of high-energy elastic nucleon collisions" in XII International C onference on E lastic and D i ractive Scattering Forward Physics and Q CD , edited by J . Bartels, M . D iehland and H . Jung, C onference P roceedings, Verlag D E SY , 2007, pp 273{278.
- [12] C . Bourrely, J . So er and T . T . W u, Eur.Phys.J.C 28, 97{105 (2003).
- [13] V . A . Petrov, E . P redazzi and A . V . P rokhudin, Eur.Phys.J.C 28, 525{533 (2003).
- [14] A . N . Skrinky "A ccelerator prospects for high energy physics" in XXX III International C onference of H igh Energy Physics { ICHEP 2006, edited by A . Sissakian, G . K ozlov and E . K olganova, C onference P roceedings, I, W orld Scienti c, 2007, pp. 175{186.