# F-Term Hybrid Inflation Followed by Modular Inflation

C. Pallis

Departamento de Fisica Aplicada, Universidad de Huelva, 21071 Huelva, SPAIN Department of Physics, University of Patras, Panepistimioupolis, GR-26500 Patras, GREECE

**Abstract.** We consider the well motivated model of the (standard) *supersymmetric* (SUSY) *Fterm hybrid inflation* (FHI) which can be realized close to the *grand unification* (GUT) scale. The predicted scalar spectral index  $n_s$  cannot be smaller than 0.98 and can exceed unity including corrections from minimal *supergravity* (SUGRA), if the number of e-foldings corresponding to the pivot scale  $k_* = 0.002/Mpc$  is around 50. These results are marginally consistent with the fitting of the *five-year Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe* (WMAP5) data by the standard power-law cosmological model with cold dark matter and a cosmological constant, ACDM. However,  $n_s$  can be reduced by restricting the number of e-foldings that  $k_*$  suffered during FHI. The additional efoldings required for solving the horizon and flatness problems can be generated by a subsequent stage of fast-roll [slow-roll] *modular inflation* (MI) realized by a string modulus which does [does not] acquire effective mass ( $m_s|_{eff}$ ) before the onset of MI.

**Keywords:** Cosmology **PACS:** 98.80.Cq

# INTRODUCTION

We focus on the model of the (standard) SUSY FHI [1] which can be realized [2] adopting the superpotential  $W = \kappa S (\bar{\Phi} \Phi - M^2)$  where  $\Phi$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a pair of left handed superfields belonging to non-trivial conjugate representations with dimensionality N of a gauge group *G*, and reducing its rank by their *vacuum expectation values* (VEVs), *S* is a *G* singlet left handed superfield and the parameters  $\kappa$  and *M* can be made positive.

*W* leads to the spontaneous breaking of *G* since from the emerging scalar potential  $V_{\rm F} = \kappa^2 M^4 \left( (\Phi^2 - 1)^2 + 2S^2 \Phi^2 \right)$  where  $\Phi = |\Phi|/M$  and S = |S|/M (we use the same symbol for the superfields and their scalar components) we can deduce that the vanishing of the F-terms gives the VEVs of the fields in the SUSY vacuum,  $\langle S \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \Phi \rangle = 1$  (the vanishing of the D-terms implies that  $|\bar{\Phi}| = |\Phi|$ ). *W* gives also rise to FHI. This is due to the fact that, for S > 1, the direction with  $\Phi = 0$  is a valley of local minima with constant  $V_{\rm F}$ . The general form of the potential which can drive FHI reads

$$V_{\rm HI} \simeq \kappa^2 M^4 + \frac{\kappa^4 M^4 N}{32\pi^2} \left( 2\ln\frac{\kappa^2 \sigma^2}{2Q^2} + 3 \right) + \kappa^2 M^4 \frac{\sigma^4}{8m_{\rm P}^4}, \text{ with } \sigma = \sqrt{2}S.$$
(1)

Here, the 1rst term is the dominant contribution to  $V_{\rm HI}$ , the 2nd term (with Q being an arbitrary renormalization scale) is the contribution to  $V_{\rm HI}$  due to logarithmic radiative corrections originating from the SUSY breaking on the inflationary valley and the 3rd term (with  $m_{\rm P} \simeq 2.44 \times 10^{18}$  GeV) is the SUGRA correction [3] to  $V_{\rm HI}$ , assuming minimal Kähler potential.

Under the assumption that the cosmological scales leave the horizon during FHI and are not reprocessed, we can extract:

- The number of *e*-foldings  $N_{\text{HI}*} = \frac{1}{m_{\text{p}}^2} \int_{\sigma_{\text{f}}}^{\sigma_{\text{s}}} d\sigma \frac{V_{\text{HI}}}{V'_{\text{HI}}}$  that  $k_*$  suffered during FHI, where prime means derivation with respect to  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma_*$  is the value of  $\sigma$  when the scale  $k_*$  crossed outside the horizon of FHI and  $\sigma_{\text{f}}$  is the value of  $\sigma$  at the end of FHI, which coincides practically with the end of the phase transition  $\sigma_{\text{c}} = M/\sqrt{2}$ .
- The power spectrum of the curvature perturbations at  $k_*$ ,  $P_{\mathscr{R}*} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}\pi m_{\rm P}^3} \left. \frac{V_{\rm HI}^{3/2}}{|V_{\rm HI}'|} \right|_{\sigma=\sigma}$ .
- The spectral index  $n_{\rm s} = 1 6\varepsilon_* + 2\eta_*$  and its running  $\alpha_{\rm s} = \frac{2(4\eta_*^2 (n_{\rm s} 1)^2)}{3} 2\xi_*$ , where  $\varepsilon \simeq \frac{m_{\rm P}^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'_{\rm HI}}{V_{\rm HI}}\right)^2$ ,  $\eta \simeq m_{\rm P}^2 \frac{V''_{\rm HI}}{V_{\rm HI}}$  and  $\xi \simeq m_{\rm P}^4 \frac{V'_{\rm HI}V''_{\rm HI}}{V_{\rm HI}^2}$  and the subscript \* means that the quantities are evaluated for  $\sigma = \sigma_*$

If FHI is to produce the total amount of e-foldings,  $N_{\text{tot}}$ , needed for the resolution of the horizon and flatness problems of standard cosmology, i.e.,  $N_{\text{tot}} = N_{\text{HI}*} \simeq 50$ , we get  $n_{\text{s}} \sim 0.98 - 1$  which is just marginally consisted with the fitting of the WMAP5 data [4] by the standard power-law cosmological model  $\Lambda$ CDM, according to which

$$n_{\rm s} = 0.963^{+0.016}_{-0.015} \Rightarrow 0.931 \lesssim n_{\rm s} \lesssim 0.991$$
 (2)

at 95% confidence level with negligible  $a_s$ . However, for  $\kappa \simeq (0.01 - 0.1)$  and  $N_{\text{HI}*} \sim (15 - 20)$  we can obtain  $n_s \simeq 0.96$ .  $N_{\text{tot}} - N_{\text{HI}*}$  can be produced during another stage of (complementary) inflation, realized at a lower scale. In this talk, which is based on Ref. [6], we show that MI can successfully play this role.

# THE BASICS OF MODULAR INFLATION

After the gravity mediated soft SUSY breaking, the potential which can support MI has the form [5]  $V_{\text{MI}} = V_{\text{MI0}} - m_s^2 s^2/2 + \cdots$ , with  $V_{\text{MI0}} = v_s (m_{3/2} m_{\text{P}})^2$  and  $m_s \sim m_{3/2}$  where  $m_{3/2} \sim 1$  TeV is the gravitino mass, the coefficient  $v_s$  is of order unity and the ellipsis denotes terms which are expected to stabilize  $V_{\text{MI}}$  at  $s \sim m_{\text{P}}$  with *s* being the canonically normalized string modulus. In this model, inflation can be of the slow or fast-roll type [7] depending on whether  $|\eta_s| = m_{\text{P}}^2 |d^2 V_{\text{MI}}/ds^2| / V_{\text{MI}} = m_s^2/3H_s^2$  is lower or higher than unity, respectively. In both cases the solution of the equation of motion of *s* during MI is

$$s = s_{\rm Mi} e^{F_s \Delta N_{\rm MI}}$$
 with  $F_s \equiv \sqrt{9/4 + (m_s/H_s)^2} - 3/2,$  (3)

with  $H_s \simeq \sqrt{V_{\text{MI0}}}/\sqrt{3}m_{\text{P}}$ ,  $s_{\text{Mi}}$  the value of *s* at the onset of MI and  $\Delta N_{\text{MI}}$  the number of the e-foldings obtained from  $s = s_{\text{Mi}}$  until a given *s*. Through the use of Eq. (3) and considering that the final value of *s*,  $s_{\text{f}}$ , is close to its VEV,  $s_{\text{f}} \sim m_{\text{P}}$ , we can estimate the total number of e-foldings during MI, which is  $N_{\text{MI}} \simeq \frac{1}{F_s} \ln \left(\frac{m_{\text{P}}}{s_{\text{Mi}}}\right)$ . We observe that MI can not play successfully the role of complementary inflation for  $s_{\text{Mi}}/m_{\text{P}} \gtrsim 0.1$ .

### **OBSERVATIONAL CONSTRAINTS**

Our double inflationary model needs to satisfy a number of constraints which arise from:

- 1. The normalization of  $P_{\mathscr{R}*}$ : We require [4]  $P_{\mathscr{R}*}^{1/2} \simeq 4.86 \times 10^{-5}$ .
- 2. The resolution of the horizon and flatness problems: We entail  $N_{\text{HI}*} + N_{\text{MI}} \simeq 22.6 + \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{V_{\text{HIO}}^{1/4}}{1 \text{ GeV}} + \frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{T_{\text{Mrh}}}{1 \text{ GeV}}$  where we assumed that there is matter domination in the inter-inflationary era ( $T_{\text{Mrh}}$  is the reheat temperature after MI).
- 3. *The Low Enough Value of*  $\alpha_s$ . Consistently with the power-law ACDM model we demand:  $|\alpha_s| \ll 0.01$ .
- 4. The naturalness of MI. For natural MI we need:  $0.5 \le v_s \le 10 \Rightarrow 2.45 \ge \frac{m_s}{H_c} \ge 0.55$ .
- 5. The Nucleosynthesis Constraint. This constraint dictates  $T_{\rm Mrh} > 1$  MeV. In the absence of other specified interactions, *s* has just gravitational interactions. Therefore,  $\Gamma_s \sim m_s^2/m_{\rm P}^3$  and since  $T_{\rm Mrh} \sim \sqrt{\Gamma_s m_{\rm P}}$ , we need [6]  $m_s \simeq m_{3/2} \ge 100$  TeV.
- 6. *The evolution of the cosmological scales*. We have to ensure that the cosmological scales leave the horizon during FHI and do not re-enter the horizon before the onset of MI. This can be achieved [8] if  $N_{\rm HI*} \gtrsim N_{\rm HI*}^{\rm min} \simeq 3.9 + \frac{1}{6} \ln \frac{V_{\rm HI0}}{V_{\rm MI0}} \sim 10$ .
- 7. The evolution of *s* before the onset of MI. (i) If  $m_s|_{eff} = 0$ , we assume that FHI lasts long enough so that *s* is completely randomized. We further require that all *s*'s belong to the randomization region [9] with equal possibility, i.e.,  $V_{\rm MI0} \leq H_{\rm HI0}^4$  where  $H_{\rm HI0} = \sqrt{V_{\rm HI0}}/\sqrt{3}m_{\rm P}$ . (ii) If  $m_s|_{eff} \neq 0$ , we assume that *s* is decoupled from the visible sector superfields both in Kähler potential and superpotential and has canonical Kähler potential,  $K_s = s^2/2$ . Therefore the value  $s_{\rm min}$  at which the SUGRA potential has a minimum is  $s_{\rm min} = 0$ . We obtain for the value of *s* at the onset of MI:  $s_{\rm MI} \simeq m_{\rm P} (V_{\rm MI0}/V_{\rm HI0})^{1/4} e^{-3N_{\rm HI}/2}$  where  $s_{\rm Hi} \simeq m_{\rm P}$  is the value of *s* at the onset of FHI and  $N_{\rm HI}$  the total number of e-foldings during FHI.
- 8. The homogeneity of the present universe. If  $\delta s|_{\text{MI}} [\delta s|_{\text{HMI}}]$  are the quantum fluctuations of *s* during MI [FHI which enter the horizon of MI], we require  $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{MI}} \simeq H_s/2\pi$  and  $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{HMI}}$ . (i) If  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$ ,  $\delta s|_{\text{HMI}} \simeq H_{\text{HI0}}/2\pi \gg \delta s|_{\text{MI}}$ . (ii) If  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ ,  $\delta s|_{\text{HMI}} \simeq H_s/3^{1/4}2\pi < \delta s|_{\text{MI}}$  and so,  $s_{\text{Mi}} > \delta s|_{\text{MI}} \simeq H_s/2\pi \Rightarrow N_{\text{HI}} \leq N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}}$  where  $N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}} = -\frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{(V_{\text{HI0}}V_{\text{MI0}})^{1/4}}{2\sqrt{3}\pi m_{\text{P}}^2} \sim (15 18)$ . This result signalizes an ugly tuning since it would be more reasonable FHI has a long duration due to the flatness of  $V_{\text{HI}}$ . This could be evaded if we had  $s_{\min} \neq 0$  (as in Ref. [10]).

# **RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

In our numerical investigation, we take N = 2 and  $m_{3/2} = m_s = 100$  TeV which results to  $T_{\text{Mrh}} = 1.5$  MeV. Our results are displayed in Table 1 for  $n_s = 0.963$ ,  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$  or  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$  and selected  $\kappa$ 's which delineate the allowed regions. For  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$  we place  $s_{\text{Mi}}/m_P = 0.01$ . This choice signalizes a very mild tuning (see point 7). For  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ ,  $s_{\text{Mi}}$  is evaluated dynamically (see point 7). However, due to our ignorance of  $N_{\text{HI}}$ , we can derive a maximal [minimal]  $m_s/H_s$  which corresponds to  $N_{\text{HI}} = N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}}$  [ $N_{\text{HI}} = N_{\text{HI}*}$ ].

	$m_s _{ m eff}=0$			$m_s _{ m eff} eq 0$			
ĸ	0.04	0.09	0.14	0.0028	0.006	0.085	0.14
$M/10^{16} { m GeV} \ \sigma_*/10^{16} { m GeV}$	0.87	0.98	1.07	0.74	0.8	0.97	1.07
	12.1	20.93	25.88	1.56	2.26	20.1	25.88
$N_{ m HI*} - lpha_{ m s}/10^{-3}$	22.6	16.12	11.9	8.4	17.4	16.5	11.9
	2	5	10	2.4	1.5	4.8	10
$\frac{N_{\mathrm{MI}}}{m_s/H_s}$	21.2	28	32.5	34.1	25.7	27.6	32.5
	0.8	0.72	0.67	1.44 – 1.96	2.35	2.25	1.78 – 2.02

**TABLE 1.** Input and output parameters of our scenario which are consistent with the requirements 1-8 for  $n_s = 0.963$  and selected  $\kappa$ 's, when the inflaton of MI does [does not] acquire effective mass  $(m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0 \ [m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0])$ .

We observe that (i) for  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$   $[m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0]$ , the lowest  $\kappa$ 's are derived from the condition 7 [6] and therefore, lower  $\kappa$ 's are allowed for  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ ; (ii) the upper  $\kappa$ 's come from the condition 3; (iii) for  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$   $[m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0]$ , MI is of slow [fast]-roll type since  $m_s/H_s \sim (0.6 - 0.8)$   $[m_s/H_s \sim (1.4 - 2.35)]$ ; (v) for  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$  FHI is constrained to be of short duration since  $N_{\text{HI}} \leq N_{\text{HI}}^{\text{max}} \simeq (16 - 17)$  and as a consequence, the region  $0.006 \leq \kappa \leq 0.085$  is disallowed; (vi) in both cases, the allowed *M*'s increase with  $\kappa$ 's but remain slightly below the GUT scale,  $M_{\text{GUT}} \simeq 2.86 \cdot 10^{16}$  GeV. In total, we obtain  $0.04 \leq \kappa \leq 0.14$  [0.0028  $\leq \kappa \leq 0.006$  and  $0.085 \leq \kappa \leq 0.14$ ] for  $m_s|_{\text{eff}} = 0$  [ $m_s|_{\text{eff}} \neq 0$ ].

In conclusion, we showed that the results on  $n_s$  within FHI can be reconciled with data if FHI is followed by MI realized by a string modulus *s*. Acceptable  $n_s$ 's can be obtained by restricting  $N_{\text{HI}*}$ . The most natural version of this scenario is realized when *s* remains massless before MI.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Spanish MEC project FPA2006-13825 and the project P07FQM02962 funded by the "Junta de Andalucia" and the FP6 Marie Curie Excellence Grant MEXT-CT-2004-014297.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. E.J. Copeland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 49, 6410 (1994) [astro-ph/9401011].
- 2. G.R. Dvali, Q. Shafi, and R.K. Schaefer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 1886 (1994) [hep-ph/9406319].
- 3. A.D. Linde and A. Riotto *Phys. Rev.* D 56, 1841 (1997) [hep-ph/9703209].
- 4. E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], arXiv:0803.0547.
- 5. P. Binétruy and M.K. Gaillard, Phys. Rev. D 34, 3069 (1986).
- G. Lazarides and C. Pallis, *Phys. Lett.* B 651, 216 (2007) [hep-ph/0702260];
   G. Lazarides, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22, 5747 (2007); C. Pallis, arXiv:0710.3074.
- 7. A. Linde, J. High Energy Phys. 11, 052 (2001) [hep-ph/0110195].
- 8. C.P. Burgess et al., J. High Energy Phys. 05, 067 (2005) [hep-th/0501125].
- 9. E.J. Chun, K. Dimopoulos and D. Lyth, Phys. Rev. D 70, 103510 (2004) [hep-ph/0402059].
- 10. M. Kawasaki et al., Phys. Rev. D 68, 023508 (2003) [hep-ph/0304161].