## Polaritons and Pairing Phenom ena in Bose (Hubbard Mixtures

M.J.Bhaseen,  $^{1}$  M.Hohenadler,  $^{1,2}$  A.O.Silver,  $^{1}$  and B.D.Sim ons  $^{1}$ 

<sup>1</sup>University of Cambridge, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Present Address: OSRAM Opto Sem iconductors GmbH, 93055 Regensburg, GER.

(Dated: February 3, 2022)

M otivated by recent experiments on cold atom ic gases in ultra high nesse optical cavities, we consider the two-band Bose(H ubbard m odel coupled to quantum light. Photoexcitation promotes carriers between the bands and we study the interplay between M ott insulating behavior and superuidity. The model displays a U (1) U (1) sym metry which supports the coexistence of M ott insulating and super uid phases, and yields a rich phase diagram with multicritical points. This sym metry is shared by several other problem s of current experimental interest, including two-component B ose gases in optical lattices, and the bosonic BEC-BCS crossover for atom -m olecule mixtures induced by a Feshbach resonance. We corroborate our notings by numerical simulations.

PACS num bers: 03.75 M n, 03.75 H h, 67.85.-d, 05.30 Jp

Introduction. The spectacular advances in cold atom ic gases have led to landmark experiments in strongly correlated system s. W ith the observation of the super uid {M ott insulator transition in  $^{87}$ Rb [1], and the BEC {BCS crossover in  $^{40}$ K [2], attention is now being directed towards multicom ponent gases. W hether they be distinct atom s or internal states, such system s bring \isospin" degrees of freedom. They o er the fascinating prospect to realize novel phases, and to study quantum m agnetism, M ott physics and super uidity [3].

M ore recently, signi cant experim ental progress has been made in combining cavity quantum electrodynam ics (cavity QED) with ultracold gases. Strong atom - eld coupling has been achieved using ultra high nesse optical cavities [4], and with optical bres on atom chips [5]. These experiments open an exciting new chapter in coherent m atter{light interaction, and have already led to pioneering studies of condensate dynamics [6]. The light eld serves not only as a probe of the many {body system, but m ay also support interesting cavity m ediated phenom ena and phases. This dual role has been exploited in studies of polariton condensates in sem iconductor microcavities [7]. It is reinforced by ground breaking cavity QED experiments using superconducting qubits in microwave resonators [8]. This has led to solid state measurem ents of the collective states of the D icke m odel [9], and rem arkable observations of the Lam b shift [10].

In this work we exam ine the impact of cavity radiation on the Bose{Hubbard model. We focus on a two-band model in which photons induce transitions between two internal states or B boch bands. This is a natural generalization of the much studied two-level system s coupled to radiation, and may serve as a useful paradigm in other contexts. The new ingredients are that the bosonic carriers may form a M ott insulator, or indeed condense. The prim ary question is whether a novelM ott insulating state can survive, which supports a condensate of photoexcitations or mobile defects. In analogy with zero point m otion in Helium [11], this may be viewed as a form of supersolid in which uctuations of the photon eld induce defects. W hilst this question has its origin in polariton condensates in ferm ionic band insulators [12], the present problem is rather di erent. Since the integrity of the M ott state is tied to the interactions, a priori it is unclear that it survives the e ects of itinerancy and photoexcitation. N onetheless, the outcom e is a m ative, and the m odel displays both this novel phase and a rich phase diagram. R elated phases were recently observed in simulations of other two-com ponent m odels [13, 14].

The Model. Let us consider a two-band Bose{ Hubbard model coupled to the light eld of an optical cavity within the rotating wave approximation

$$H_{0} = X X U_{1i}(n_{1} 1) + V n_{1}^{a}n_{1}^{b}$$

$$i X X i_{1i}(n_{1} 1) + V n_{1}^{a}n_{1}^{b}$$

$$J Y_{1i}(n_{1} 1) + V n_{1}^{a}n_{1}^{b}$$

$$J Y_{1i}(n_{1} 1) + V n_{1}^{a}n_{1}^{b}$$

$$(1)$$

$$J Y_{1i}(n_{1} 1) + V n_{1}^{a}n_{1}^{b}$$

$$(1)$$

$$H_{0} = X + H_{0}$$

$$(1)$$

$$H_{0} = X + H_{0}$$

$$(1)$$

$$H_{0} = X + H_{0}$$

$$(1)$$

where = a; b are two bands of bosons with  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ ij. These might be states of di erent orbitalor spin angularm om entum . Here, , e ects the band splitting, U and V are interactions, J , are nearest { neighbor hopping param eters, and ! is the frequency of the mode, . We consider just a single mode, which couples uniform ly to the bands. The coupling, g, is the strength of the m atter{ light interaction. In view of the box norm alization of the photon, we denote g g= N, where N is the num ber of lattice sites. It is readily seen that  $N_1 =$  $_{i}(n_{i}^{b}+n_{i}^{a})$  and  $_{i}$  ( $n_{i}^{b}$   $n_{i}^{a}$  + 1)=2, com m ute w ith H<sub>0</sub>. These  $N_2 = Y +$ are the total number of atom ic carriers, and photoexcitations (or polaritons) respectively. These conservation laws relect the globalU (1)  $\,$  U (1) symmetry of H  $_0$ , such that a !  $e^{i\#}a, b$  !  $e^{i'}b, ! e^{i(\#')}, where \#;'$  are arbitrary. This symmetry will have a direct manifestation in the phase diagram, and suggests in plications for other multicom ponent problem s. W e begin by assuming that a are strongly interacting hardcore bosons, and that b are dilute so that we may neglect their interactions.

Zero Hopping Limit. To gain insight into (1) we ex-

am ine the zero hopping lim it. This will anchor the phase diagram to an exactly solvable many body lim it. The photon couples all the sites, and in the therm odynam ic lim it is described by a coherent state, j i  $e^{-\frac{2}{2}}e^{-y}$  jDi, with mean occupation h y i =  $^2$ . We may replace the grand canonical H am iltonian, H H<sub>0</sub>  $_1N_{p}$   $_2N_2$ , by an elective single site problem, h jH j i  $_i$ H<sub>1</sub>:

H ~ n + 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 + g ( $b^{y}a + a^{y}b$ ); (2)

and we drop the o set,  $_2=2$ . We de ne  $\sim_a$ 1 + 2=2, ~b b 1 ₂=2, ŀ ! 2, and the m ean photon occupation per site,  $2^{2}$  -N . The e ective H am iltonian (2) describes a single two-level system coupled to an e ective \radiation eld" of b-particles, or the Jaynes{Cummingsmodel; for N two-level systems this is known as the Dicke or Tavis{Cummingsmodel, and is integrable [15, 16]. These paradigmatic models are well known in both atom ic physics and quantum optics, and describe localized excitons coupled to light [12]. The eigenstates of (2) are superpositions in the upper and lower bands (that we denote as j);ni and jl;n 1i) with total occupancy p. The lowest energy is  $E_n = \frac{1}{2} + n_b$  $k_0^2 = 4 + g^2 2n$ , **!**₂\_0=2 where  $\stackrel{\star}{\phantom{.}}_0$   $\sim_b$   $\sim_a$ . Minimizing on gives a selfconsistency equation for the photon, and the resulting eigenstates yield the zero hopping phase diagram in Fig. 1. In the therm odynam ic lim it described here, only the lowest M ott state, with  $n_a + n_b = 1$ , survives; for  $_2=2$   $g^2=4$  it is favorable to m acroscopib 1 cally populate the upper band. W ithin this stable M ott phase the variation yields either = 0, corresponding to zero photon occupancy, or  $^{2} = (g^{4} g^{4}_{c})=4 t^{2}g^{2}$ , where  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$ ; the form er occurs for g g and the latter  $g_{c}$ for g g<sub>c</sub>. In fact, this onset corresponds to the superradiance transition in the Dicke model [15, 16]. Indeed, since  $n_a + n_b = 1$  2S in the lowest lobe, one may construct the Dicke model directly from (1) by using a spin S = 1=2 Schwinger boson representation, where  $S^+$   $b^ya$ , S  $a^yb$ ,  $S^z$   $(n_b n_a)=2$ :

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{X} S_{i}^{z} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Y} S_{i}^{y} + hc: : (3)$$

This describes N two-level systems (or spins) coupled to photons, and may be treated using collective spins,  $J = \prod_{i=1}^{N} S_i$ . This yields a large elective spin, which may be treated sem iclassically as N ! 1. The onset of the photon is accompanied by a magnetization,  $M = M^2 i = N$ , which also serves as an order parameter for this continuous transition: M = 1 = 2, for  $g_c$ , and  $M = (g_c = g)^2 = 2$ , for  $g_c$ . This growth rejects the population in balance,  $h_b i = h_a i$ , due to photoexcitations. The agreement between the variational and D icke model results is a useful platform for departures.

VariationalPhase Diagram . Having con med a zero hopping M ott phase, with  $n_a + n_b = 1$ , let us consider



FIG.1: Zero hopping phase diagram in the large-U<sub>a</sub> lim it, with  $_{\rm b}$  =  $_{\rm a}$  = ! = g = 1, corresponding to ! < !<sub>0</sub>. The vertical line, g = g<sub>c</sub>, is the superradiance transition in the Dicke model, and separates a M ott insulator with  $n_{\rm a} + n_{\rm b} = 1$ and h  $^{\rm y}$  i = 0 (dark blue), from a superradiant M ott insulator with h  $^{\rm y}$  i  $\stackrel{<}{\bullet}$  0 (light blue). Outside are the vacuum, and an unstable region corresponding to m acroscopic population of the b states. W hilst the total density is xed within both M ott phases, the individual a and b populations vary in the superradiant phase and m ay be viewed as isospin order. For ! > !<sub>0</sub>, the boundaries m ay cross and term inate the lobe.

it inerancy and carrier super uidity. W ithin this lowest lobe, we may consider hardcore a and b bosons [26]. A convenient approach is to augment the variational analysis of Ref. 3 with a coherent state for the light eld:

$$y h$$

$$jyi = ji \qquad \cos_{i}(\cos_{i}a_{i}^{y} + \sin_{i}b_{j}^{y})$$

$$i \qquad i \qquad (4)$$

$$+ \sin_{i}(\cos_{i} + \sin_{i}b_{j}^{y}a_{j}^{y}) jDi;$$

where j i is the coherent state introduced previously, and ;; ; are to be determ ined. The rst term in brackets describes the M ott state, and the second super uidity. For = 0 this coincides with the variational approach for localized excitons coupled to light [12] and reproduces the previous results for J = 0. M ore generally, (4) takes into account the e ects of real hopping, involving site vacancies and interspecies double occupation. It provides a starting point to identify the boundaries between the M ott and super uid regions. W e consider spatially uniform phases, with energy density, E hV  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  N

$$E = (\gamma_{+} \sim \cos 2) \cos^{2} + (2\gamma_{+} + V) \sin^{2} \sin^{2}$$
$$\frac{z}{4} J_{a} \cos^{2} () + J_{b} \sin^{2} (+) \sin^{2} 2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} + g \cos^{2} \sin 2 ; \qquad (5)$$

where z is the coordination number and ~  $(\gamma_b \sim_a)=2$ . M inimizing on yields =  $g\cos^2 \sin 2 = 2$ , and one may eliminate this from E. Exploiting symmetries one may minimize E over [0; =2]. The order parameters, hai =  $\frac{1}{2}\sin 2 \cos($ ), hbi =  $\frac{1}{2}\sin 2 \sin($  + ),



FIG. 2: Variational phase diagram with  $J_a = J_b = J$  and  $_a = 1$ ,  $_b = 1$ , ! = V = g = 1,  $_1 = 0$ . The phases are (i) a M ott insulator (dark blue), (ii) a superradiant M ott state supporting a condensate of photoexcitations (light blue), (iii) a superradiant super uid (light red), and (iv) an a-type super uid (dark red). The circles denote the transition to super uidity as determ ined by , and the squares denote the onset of photons as determ ined by . For these parameters, the transition from the M ott insulator to the superradiant M ott state occurs for  $\frac{2}{2} = (3 + 5)=2 - 0.382$ .

and h<sup>y</sup> i=N = <sup>2</sup>, yield the phase diagram in Fig. 2, where  $J_a = J_b = J$ . For the chosen parameters, we have four distinct phases; (i) a M ott state with hai =  $hbi = h^{y} i = 0$ , (ii) a superradiant M ott state with hai = hbi = 0 and h  $^{y}$  i  $\in$  0, (iii) a single component super und with hat  $\neq$  0 and hoi = h<sup>Y</sup> i = 0, and (iv) a superradiant super uid hai  $\notin$  0, hbi  $\notin$  0, h  $^{y}$  i  $\notin$  0. Indeed, the Ham iltonian displays a U(1) U(1) symmetry and these may be broken independently. The phase diagram re ects this pattern of symmetry breaking. In particular, the superradiant M ott state corresponds to an unbroken U (1) in the matter sector (corresponding to a pinned density and phase uctuations) but a broken U (1) (orphase coherent condensate) for photoexcitations. The expectation value of the bilinear, hby ai 6 0, corresponds to the onset of coherence in the D icke m odel. This novel phase may be regarded as a form of supersolid.

In the absence of competition from other phases, the transition between the non-superradiant insulator ( = = = 0) and the a-type super uid (  $\pounds$  0, = = = 0) occurs when  $\gamma_a + zJ = 0$ . In Fig.2, this is the line  $_2 = 2(1 zJ)$ . This crosses the superradiance boundary at a tetracritical point  $(zJ^c; \frac{2}{2}) = (r=2;2 r)$ , where r (1 + 5)=2 is the G olden ratio. This follows from a Landau expansion of (5); eliminating , all the quadratic \m ass" term s vanish. M ore generally, the phase diagram evolves with the parameters, and the a-type super uid m ay be replaced by the proximate phases [17].

Numerical Simulations. To corroborate our ndings, we perform exact diagonalization on a 1D system of hardcore a and b bosons, with N = 8 sites and periodic boundary conditions. The H ilbert space is truncated to



FIG.3: Exact diagonalization for a 1D system with 8 sites, M = 16 photons, and the parameters of Fig.2. We show (a) the totalatom density and the M ott{super uid transition, (b) the density of photons (reduced by a factor of two) and the onset of supperradiance, (c) the density of a-atom s, and (d) the density of b-atom s. The dashed lines are a guide to the eye, and indicate the M ott{super uid and superradiance transitions, as determ ined by hand from (a) and (b). Their intersection yields the location of the tetracritical point.

a maximum number of photons, M = 2N = 16. Fig. 3 shows the total atom, photon, a-atom and b-atom density. The dashed lines indicate the approxim ate locations of the M ott { super uid (vertical line) and superradiance (horizontal line) transitions, as determ ined from panels (a) and (b). A though an accurate phase diagram for the therm odynam ic lim it is beyond the scope of this work, the features are in excellent agreem ent with Fig. 2. This parallels the success of mean eld theory in other lowdim ensional bosonic system s, and is remarkable given the enhanced role of uctuations. This may be assisted by the long range nature of the cavity photons. The superradiance transition encom pases the super uid and M ott phases, and yields a tetracritical point; see (a) and (b). In addition, the region of a-density over{extends that of b-density resulting in a pure a-type super uid; see (c)

and (d). Our simulations suggest that this phase is stable with increasing system size [17].

Discussion. A feature not addressed by the present mean eld theory, but captured in Fig. 3, is the dispersion of the superradiance transition with J; in the M ott phase, = 0, and J drops out of the variational energy (5). One way to understand this is to recast the matter contribution as  $\mathbf{y}_{M} \mathbf{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \mathbf{i} \end{bmatrix}$  (cos  $\mathbf{i} + \sin \mathbf{i} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{i}}$ )  $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{i}$ , where , a<sup>y</sup> Di. This only accom odates local particle-hole ji pairs. By analogy with the BCS approach to exciton insulators [18], the M ott state m ay be re ned and the J dependence restored by incorporating momentum space pairing [17]. This connection to the BEC {BCS crossover for bosons [19] is reinforced by the Feshbach resonance problem studied in the absence of a lattice [20, 21, 22]. Perform ing a particle { hole transform ation, the m atter{ light coupling reads <sup>y</sup>a<sub>i</sub>b<sub>i</sub>. A side from the global nature of the photon, this converts a and b into a \m olecule" At the outset there are eight phases corresponding to condensation of hai, hbi, h i. Of these, only vem ay survive; condensation of two variables provides an e ective eld (as dictated by the coupling) which induces condensation of the other. The band asym metry, a < b, reduces this to four, or less, depending on the parameters. In contrast to the single species mean eld theory, this case supports an atom ic super uid, since condensation of one carrier no longer induces a eld. M oreover, condensation m ay leave a U (1) sym m etry intact, which allows the coexistence of M ott insulating and phase coherent behavior.

In deriving (5) and the phase diagram, we are prim arily concerned with the matter-light coupling. As such, we incorporate V as in Ref. 3. This gives rise to the nontrivial phases in Fig. 2. However, as noted by Soyler et al [13], analogous phases may be stabilized in the twocomponent Bose{Hubbard model, without matter-light coupling, through a more sophisticated treatment of Vitself. Indeed, onsite repulsive interactions, V nanb, favor a particle of one species and a hole of the other on the sam e site. Treating this pairing in a BCS approach, one may replace  $n_i^a n_j^b$  by  $j_i f + (i b_i^y a_i + h c)$ , where  $i ha_i^y b_i i$ , is to be determined self-consistently. This eld acts as a local \photon", and a similar mean eld phenom enology may ensue. Such pairing also occurs in ferm ionic models [23]. Although our discussion has focused on a single global photon, the sym m etry analysis is m ore general. This is supported by studies of the two-band Bose{ Hubbard model for equal llings and commensurate densities [24]. W e shall provide details of the sim ilarities and di erences of this local problem in Ref. 17. The classical lim it m ay also be realized in optical superlattices, where  $g_i a_i b_i^{v}$  is tunnelling between wells, a and b.

Conclusions. We have considered the impact of photoexcitations on the Bose{Hubbard model. The phase diagram supports a novel phase where photoexcitations condense on the background of a Mott insulator. We have perform ed num erical simulations, and highlighted connections to other problem s of current interest. Directions for research include the impact of uctuations and the nature of collective excitations. It would also be interesting to incorporate a nite photon wavevector. This may stabilize inhom ogeneous phases and probe incommensuratem agnetism. Recent studies of Bose (Ferm i mixtures also display a similar phenomenology, in which super uidity is replaced by fermionic metalicity [25].

A cknow ledgem ents. | We thank G. Conduit, N. Cooper and M.Kohl. We are grateful to J.Keeling for illum inating discussions. MJB, AOS, and BDS acknow ledge EPSRC grant no. EP/E018130/1. MH was supported by the FW F Schrödinger Fellow ship No.J2583.

- [1] M . G reiner, et al., Nature 415, 39 (2002).
- [2] C.A.Regal, M.Greiner, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92,040403 (2004).
- [3] E.Altman, W. Hofstetter, E.Demler, and M.D.Lukin, New J.Phys.5, 113 (2003).
- [4] F.Brennecke, et al., Nature 450, 268 (2007).
- [5] Y. Colom be, et al., Nature 450, 272 (2007).
- [6] F. Brennecke, S. Ritter, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, Science 322, 235 (2008).
- [7] J.Kasprzak, et al., Nature 443, 409 (2006).
- [8] A.W allra, et al, Nature 431, 162 (2004).
- [9] J.M .Fink, et al., arXiv:0812.2651.
- [10] A.Fragner, et al., Science 322, 1357 (2008).
- [11] A.F.Andreev and I.M.Lifshitz, Sov.Phys.JETP 29, 1107 (1969).
- [12] P.B.Littlewood, et al., J. Phys. Condens. M atter 16, S3597 (2004).
- [13] S.G. Soyler, B.Capogrosso-Sansone, N.V. Prokof'ev, and B.V. Svistunov, arXiv:0811.0397.
- [14] V.G.Rousseau and P.J.H.Denteneer, Phys. Rev. Lett 102, 015301 (2009).
- [15] R.H.Dicke, Phys. Rev. 93, 99 (1954).
- [16] K.Hepp and E.H.Lieb, Ann.Phys.76, 360 (1973).
- [17] M.J.Bhaseen, M.Hohenadler, A.O.Silver, and B.D. Simons, in preparation.
- [18] L.V.Keldysh and Y.V.Kopaev, Sov.Phys.Solid State 6, 2219 (1965).
- [19] A. Koetsier, P. Massignan, R. A. Duine, and H. T. C. Stoof, arXiv:0809.4189.
- [20] L.Radzihovsky, J.Park, and P.B.W eichman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 160402 (2004).
- [21] M.W.J.Romans, R.A.Duine, S.Sachdev, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 020405 (2004).
- [22] L. Zhou, et al, Phys. Rev. A 78, 053612 (2008).
- [23] A.Kantian, A.J.Daley, P.Torma, and P.Zoller, New J.Phys. 9, 407 (2007).
- [24] A.Kuklov, N.Prokofev, and B.Svistunov, Phys.Rev. Lett. 92, 050402 (2004).
- [25] S. Sinha and K. Sengupta, arXiv0811.4515.
- [26] W hilst this does not a ect physics within the lobe, the upper boundary is m odi ed by the possible b population.