Vortices and Polynomials

Peter A. Clarkson Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NF, UK P.A.Clarkson@kent.ac.uk

22 November 2021

Abstract

The relationship between point vortex dynamics and the properties of polynomials with roots at the vortex positions is discussed. Classical polynomials, such as the Hermite polynomials, have roots that describe the equilibria of identical vortices on the line. Stationary and uniformly translating vortex configurations with vortices of the same strength but positive or negative orientation are given by the zeros of the Adler–Moser polynomials, which arise in the description of rational solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. For quadrupole background flow, vortex configurations are given by the zeros of polynomials expressed as wronskians of Hermite polynomials. Further new solutions are found in this case using the special polynomials arising the in the description of rational solutions of the fourth Painlevé equation.

1 Introduction

Vortices are some of the most visually appealing phenomena of fluid mechanics. Examples include the bathtub vortex, vortices resulting from flow past obstacles, wingtip vortices, as well as hurricanes and tornadoes. Vortical flows play an essential role in many applications and hence it is no surprise that vortex dynamics is an important sub-topic in fluid mechanics. A polynomial is a basic mathematical structure, perhaps the simplest and most fundamental. There are many situations where approximations of mathematical functions are made using polynomials, for example in numerical methods. In this paper we are concerned with the relationship between vortex dynamics and the roots of some special polynomials.

The point vortex equations may be thought of as a discretization of the equations for two-dimensional flow, the *Euler equations*, which is useful for analytical and numerical approximations. Considering the flow plane of the two-dimensional fluid to be the complex plane, i.e. the point (x, y) is viewed as the complex number z = x + iy, one associates a set of point vortices with a polynomial that has roots at the locations of the vortices and uses this representation to study the vortex configurations.

In two recent papers, Aref [8, 9] reviews the connection between point vortex dynamics and polynomials with roots at the positions of the vortices. For stationary vortex configurations the following results have been established:

- 1. *N* identical vortices on a line are in equilibrium if and only if they are situated at the roots of the classical *N*th Hermite polynomial, which are related to the *Stieltjes relations* [50, 51], see equation (3.2);
- 2. *N* identical vortices on a circle are in equilibrium if and only if they are situated at the vertices of a regular *N*-polygon [37];
- 3. $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ positive and $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ negative vortices are in equilibrium if and only if they are situated at the roots of the *Adler–Moser polynomials* [10, 12, 32] (see also §2.2 and §3.2.1);
- 4. N positive and N negative vortices form a uniformly translating equilibrium if and only if the vortices of one sign are situated at the roots of an Adler–Moser polynomial and the vortices of opposite sign are then at the roots of a polynomial of the same degree derived from the Adler–Moser polynomial [10, 12, 32] (see also §3.2.2). Further, such equilibria are only possible when N is a triangular number.

Aref [8, 9] remarks that the relationship between the theory of stationary equilibria and rational solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

ı

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0, (1.1)$$

one of the original contexts in which the Adler-Moser polynomials arose, is "quite unexpected and very beautiful".

There has been considerable interest in completely integrable partial differential equations solvable by inverse scattering, the *soliton equations*, since the discovery in 1967 by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal, and Miura [30] of the method for solving the initial value problem for the KdV equation (1.1). During the past thirty years or so there have been several studies of rational solutions for the soliton equations, applications of which include the description of explode-decay waves [40] and vortex solutions of the complex sine-Gordon equation (1.1) and related the motion to an integrable many-body problem, the Calogero-Moser system with constraints. Subsequently Adler and Moser [3] expressed the rational solutions of the KdV equation in terms of the Adler–Moser polynomials (see §2.2 below). Ablowitz and Satsuma [1] derived rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.1) by finding a long-wave limit of the known *N*-soliton solutions of these equations.

The *Painlevé equations* (P_I-P_{VI}) are six nonlinear ordinary differential equations discovered by Painlevé, Gambier and their colleagues around the beginning of the 20th century. Their solutions define new transcendental functions as they are not expressible in terms of previously known functions such as elementary and elliptic functions or in terms of solutions of linear ordinary differential equations and can be thought of as nonlinear analogues of the classical special functions [19, 28, 31, 52]. It is well known that $P_{II}-P_{VI}$ have rational solutions, algebraic solutions, and solutions expressed in terms of the classical special functions (cf. [19, 31]). Ablowitz and Segur [2] demonstrated a close relationship between the soliton and Painlevé equations. For example, the second Painlevé equation (P_{II})

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 2w^3 + zw + \alpha,\tag{1.2}$$

where α is an arbitrary constant, arises as a scaling reduction of the KdV equation (1.1) and the fourth Painlevé equation (P_{IV})

$$w\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}w^4 + 4zw^3 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)w^2 + \beta, \tag{1.3}$$

where α and β are arbitrary constants, arises as scaling reductions of the Boussinesq equation

$$u_{tt} + (u^2)_{xx} \pm u_{xxxx} = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

and the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$iq_t + q_{xx} \pm 2|q|^2 q = 0. (1.5)$$

Vorob'ev and Yablonskii [56, 57] expressed the rational solutions of P_{II} (1.2) in terms of polynomials, now known as the *Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials* (see §2.1 below), which are special cases of the Adler–Moser polynomials. Okamoto [45] derived analogous polynomials, now known as the *Okamoto polynomials*, related to some of the rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.3). Okamoto's results were generalized by Noumi and Yamada [43] who expressed rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.3) in terms of two sets of polynomials, the *generalized Hermite polynomials* and the *generalized Okamoto polynomials* (see §2.3 below).

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we describe the special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the Painlevé and soliton equations. In §3 we discuss several examples of equilibrium vortex configurations for vortices of the same strength but positive or negative orientation. In §3.2 stationary vortex configurations and uniformly translating vortex configurations are shown to be given by the zeros of the Adler–Moser polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational potentials decaying at infinity, which relates to work of Duistermaat and Grünbaum [25]. In §3.3, for quadrupole background flow, vortex configurations are shown to be given by the zeros of polynomials expressed as wronskians of Hermite polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational potentials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational potentials with quadratic growth at infinity which relates to work of Oblomkov [44], Veselov [54], and Loutsenko [38]. Further using results on the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials associated with rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.3) it is shown that there are more solutions in the case of quadrupole background flow than might be anticipated from previous work. Finally in §4 we discuss our results.

2 Special polynomials associated with the Painlevé and soliton equations

2.1 The Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials

Rational solutions of P_{II} (1.2), with $\alpha = n \in \mathbb{Z}$, can be expressed in terms of special polynomials now known as the *Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials*, which were introduced by Vorob'ev [56] and Yablonskii [57] and are defined

in Definition 2.1. Kajiwara and Ohta [34] expressed rational solutions of P_{II} (1.2) in terms of Schur functions by expressing them in the form of determinants, which is how they are defined below (see also [22, 33, 53]); we remark that Flaschka and Newell [27], following the earlier work of Airault [6], expressed the rational solutions of P_{II} (1.2) in terms of determinants.

Definition 2.1. Consider the polynomials $\varphi_n(z)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(z)\lambda^n = \exp\left(z\lambda - \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3\right),\tag{2.1}$$

and then the Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomial $Q_n(z)$ is defined by

$$Q_n(z) = c_n \mathcal{W}(\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_{2n-1}), \qquad c_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (2j+1)^{n-j},$$
 (2.2)

where $\mathcal{W}(\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_{2n-1})$ is the usual Wronskian.

Examples of Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials and plots of the locations of their roots in the complex plane are given by Clarkson and Mansfield [22], who showed that the roots have a very regular, approximately triangular structure. The term "approximate" is used since the patterns are not exact triangles as the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines.

2.2 The Adler–Moser polynomials

Burchnall and Chaundy [3] determined what condition must be satisfied by two polynomials P(z) and Q(z) in such that the indefinite integrals

$$\int \frac{P^2(z)}{Q^2(z)} \,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \int \frac{Q^2(z)}{P^2(z)} \,\mathrm{d}z,$$

be rational, i.e. expressible without logarithms. Under the assumption that P(z) and Q(z) have no common or repeated factors, the problem is reduced to finding polynomial solutions of the bilinear equation

$$Q\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + P\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 0.$$
(2.3)

Airault, McKean, and Moser [7] studied the motion of the poles of rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.1) and related the motion to an integrable many-body problem, the Calogero-Moser system, with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=j+1}^{N} \frac{2}{(z_j - z_k)^2}$$

which are described by the following algebraic system

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(z_j - z_k)^3} = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
(2.4)

where $\sum_{k=1}^{N}'$ means $\sum_{k=1,k\neq j}^{N}$. A remarkable fact, first discovered by Airault, McKean, and Moser [7], is that the system

(2.4) has no solutions unless $N = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. a triangular number, in which case the solutions depend on *n* arbitrary complex parameters. Adler and Moser [3] constructed the polynomials $\Theta_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^N (z - z_k)$, which are defined in Definition 2.2 below, whose roots satisfy (2.4) and so all solutions of (2.4) can be obtained. These are constructed by Adler and Moser [3] using of the Darboux transformations of the operator $\frac{d^2}{dz^2}$, following the work of Crum [23], though many of the results appear in the paper by Burchnall and Chaundy [13]; see also [39].

Definition 2.2. Consider the polynomials $\theta_n(z)$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n(z)\lambda^n = \exp\left(z\lambda - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_j \lambda^{2j-1}}{2j-1}\right),\tag{2.5}$$

with κ_j , j = 2, 3, ..., arbitrary parameters, and then the Adler-Moser polynomial $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ is defined by

$$\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n) = c_n \mathcal{W}(\theta_1, \theta_3, \dots, \theta_{2n-1}), \qquad c_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (2j+1)^{n-j},$$
(2.6)

where $\kappa_n = (\kappa_2, \kappa_3, \dots, \kappa_n)$. The modified Adler–Moser polynomial $\widetilde{\Theta}_n(z; \mu, \kappa_n)$ is defined by

$$\Theta_n(z;\mu,\boldsymbol{\kappa}_n) = c_n \mathrm{e}^{-\mu z} \mathcal{W}(\theta_1,\theta_3,\dots,\theta_{2n-1},\mathrm{e}^{\mu z}), \qquad (2.7)$$

with μ an arbitrary constant.

We remark that it can be shown that Adler-Moser and modified Adler-Moser polynomials are related by

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_n(z;\mu,\kappa_n) = \Theta_n\left(z - 1/\mu;\widetilde{\kappa}_n\right), \qquad \widetilde{\kappa}_n = \left(\kappa_2 + 1/\mu^3, \kappa_3 + 1/\mu^5, \dots, \kappa_n + 1/\mu^{2n-1}\right).$$

Further, we note that the Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials $Q_n(z)$ are special cases of the Adler–Moser polynomials $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ with $\kappa_2 = 4$ and $\kappa_n = 0$ for $n \ge 3$.

As shown by Burchnall and Chaundy [13], and later by Adler and Moser [3], the Adler–Moser polynomials satisfy the bilinear equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Theta_{n+1}}{\mathrm{d}z^2}\Theta_n - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_{n+1}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_n}{\mathrm{d}z} + \Theta_{n+1}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Theta_n}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_{n+1}}{\mathrm{d}z}\Theta_{n-1} - \Theta_{n+1}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Theta_{n-1}}{\mathrm{d}z} = (2n+1)\Theta_n^2.$$
(2.9)

In fact equation (2.9) is often used to generate the Adler–Moser polynomials, given $\Theta_0(z) = 1$ and $\Theta_1(z) = z$, with the parameters $\kappa_j, j = 2, 3, ...$, arising as constants of integration.

Bartman [12] showed that roots of the consecutive polynomials $\Theta_{n-1}(z)$ and $\Theta_n(z)$ are the equilibrium coordinates of $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ positive and $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ negative Coulomb charges, with values ± 1 respectively, on the plane interacting through a two-dimensional (logarithmic Coulomb) potential. Namely, the function

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n(n-1)/2} \ln|z_j - z_k| + \sum_{j=1}^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n(n+1)/2} \ln|\zeta_j - \zeta_k| + \sum_{j=1}^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{k=1}^{n(n-1)/2} \ln|z_j - \zeta_k|,$$

has a critical point when z_j , $j = 1, 2, ..., \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, and ζ_k , $k = 1, 2, ..., \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$, are roots of $\Theta_{n-1}(z)$ and $\Theta_n(z)$ respectively.

2.3 The generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials

In a comprehensive study of properties of solutions of P_{IV} (1.3), Okamoto [45] introduced two sets of polynomials associated with rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.3), analogous to the Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials discussed in §2.1. Noumi and Yamada [43] generalized Okamoto's results and introduced the *generalized Hermite polynomials*, which are defined in Definition 2.3, and the *generalized Okamoto polynomials*, which are defined in Definition 2.4; see also [17, 18]. Kajiwara and Ohta [35] expressed the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials in terms of Schur functions in the form of determinants, which is how they are defined below.

Definition 2.3. The generalized Hermite polynomial $H_{m,n}(z)$ is defined by

$$H_{m,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_m(z), H_{m+1}(z), \dots, H_{m+n-1}(z)) \equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{m+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{n-1}\right), \qquad m, n \ge 1,$$
(2.10)

with $H_{m,0}(z) = H_{0,n}(z) = 1$ and $H_k(z)$ the kth Hermite polynomial, and has degree $\deg(H_{m,n}(z)) = mn$.

Examples of generalized Hermite polynomials and plots of the locations of their roots in the complex plane are given by Clarkson [17]; see also [18, 20, 21]. The roots take the form of $m \times n$ "rectangles", which are only approximate rectangles since the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines.

From Lemma 5.7 in [43] it follows that the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_{m,n}(z)$ satisfy the bilinear equations

$$\left[\mathbf{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathbf{D}_{z} - 2n \right] H_{m,n} \bullet H_{m+1,n} = 0, \qquad (2.11a)$$

$$\left[\mathbf{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathbf{D}_{z} + 2m \right] H_{m,n+1} \bullet H_{m,n} = 0, \qquad (2.11b)$$

$$\left[\mathbf{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathbf{D}_{z} + 2(m-n) \right] H_{m,n+1} \bullet H_{m+1,n} = 0, \qquad (2.11c)$$

with D_z the Hirota operator defined by

$$D_{z}^{j}(F \bullet G)(z) = \left. \frac{d^{j}}{d\zeta^{j}} \left\{ F(z+\zeta)G(z-\zeta) \right\} \right|_{\zeta=0}.$$
(2.12)

The generalized Hermite polynomial $H_{m,n}(z)$ can be expressed as the multiple integral

$$H_{m,n}(z) = \frac{\pi^{m/2} \prod_{k=1}^{m} k!}{2^{m(m+2n-1)/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{k=1}^{n} (z - x_k)^m \exp\left(-x_k^2\right) \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \dots \mathrm{d}x_n,$$

which arises in random matrix theory [15, 29, 36]. The generalized Hermite polynomials also arise in the theory of orthogonal polynomials [16].

Definition 2.4. The generalized Okamoto polynomial $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{m,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_1(z), H_4(z), \dots, H_{3m-2}(z), H_2(z), H_5(z), \dots, H_{3n-1}(z)), \qquad (2.13a)$$
$$\equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{3j+1}(z)\right\}_{i=0}^{m-1}, \left\{H_{3k+2}(z)\right\}_{k=0}^{n-1}\right), \qquad m, n \ge 1,$$

$$\Omega_{m,0}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_1(z), H_4(z), \dots, H_{3m-2}(z)) \equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{3j+1}(z)\right\}_{j=1}^{m-1}\right), \qquad m \ge 1,$$
(2.13b)

$$\Omega_{0,n}(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_2(z), H_5(z), \dots, H_{3n-1}(z)) \equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{3k+2}(z)\right\}_{j=1}^{n-1}\right), \qquad n \ge 1,$$
(2.13c)

with $\Omega_{0,0}(z) = 1$ and $H_k(z)$ the kth Hermite polynomial, and has degree $\deg(\Omega_{m,n}(z)) = m^2 + n^2 - mn + n$.

We note that the generalized Okamoto polynomial $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$ defined here have been reindexed in comparison to the generalized Okamoto polynomial $Q_{m,n}(z)$ defined in [17, 18] by setting $Q_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m+n-1,n-1}(z)$ and $Q_{-m,-n}(z) = \Omega_{n-1,m+n}(z)$, for $m, n \ge 1$. The polynomials introduced by Okamoto [45] are given by $Q_m(z) = \Omega_{m-1,0}(z)$ and $R_m(z) = \Omega_{m,1}(z)$. Further the generalized Okamoto polynomial introduced by Noumi and Yamada [43] is given by $\tilde{Q}_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m-1,n-1}(z)$.

Examples of generalized Okamoto polynomials and plots of the locations of their roots in the complex plane are given by Clarkson [17, 18]. The roots of the polynomial $Q_{m,n}(z) = \Omega_{m+n-1,n-1}(z)$ with $m, n \ge 1$ take the form of an $m \times n$ "rectangle" with an "equilateral triangle", which have either $\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ roots, on each of its sides. The roots of the polynomial $Q_{-m,-n}(z) = \Omega_{n-1,m+n}(z)$ with $m, n \ge 1$ take the form of an $m \times n$ "rectangle", which now have either $\frac{1}{2}m(m+1)$ or $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ roots, on each of its sides. Again these are only approximate rectangles and equilateral triangles since the roots lie on arcs rather than straight lines.

From Lemma 5.7 in [43] (see also [38]), it can be shown that the generalized Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$ satisfy the bilinear equations

$$\left[\mathrm{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathrm{D}_{z} + 2(m - 2n + 2)\right]\Omega_{m+1,n} \bullet \Omega_{m,n} = 0, \qquad (2.14a)$$

$$\left[\mathbf{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathbf{D}_{z} - 2(2m - n - 1) \right] \Omega_{m,n+1} \bullet \Omega_{m,n} = 0, \qquad (2.14b)$$

$$\left[\mathrm{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathrm{D}_{z} - 2(m+n+2)\right]\Omega_{m,n} \bullet \Omega_{m+1,n+1} = 0, \qquad (2.14c)$$

with D_z the Hirota operator (2.12).

The symmetric fourth Painlevé (sP_{IV}) hierarchy introduced by Noumi and Yamada [42], which has the affine Weyl group symmetry $A_{2n}^{(1)}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_j}{\mathrm{d}z} + \varphi_j \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \varphi_{j+2r-1} - \sum_{r=1}^n \varphi_{j+2r} \right) + 2\mu_j = 0, \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n,$$
(2.15a)

subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2n} \varphi_j = -2z, \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{2n} \mu_j = 1,$$
(2.15b)

where μ_j , for j = 0, 1, ..., 2n, are complex constants and the indices are elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(2n+1)\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\varphi_{k+2n+1} = \varphi_k$. We remark that setting n = 1 in (2.15) yields the sP_{IV} system

$$\frac{d\varphi_0}{dz} + \varphi_0(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) + 2\mu_0 = 0,$$
(2.16a)

$$\frac{d\varphi_1}{dz} + \varphi_1(\varphi_2 - \varphi_0) + 2\mu_1 = 0,$$
(2.16b)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi_2}{\mathrm{d}z} + \varphi_2(\varphi_0 - \varphi_1) + 2\mu_2 = 0, \qquad (2.16c)$$

with constraints

$$\varphi_0 + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = -2z, \qquad \mu_0 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1.$$
 (2.16d)

Eliminating φ_1 and φ_2 in (2.16) and setting $\varphi_0 = w$ yields P_{IV} (1.3) with $\alpha = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ and $\beta = -2\mu_0^2$. The sP_{IV} system (2.16) was known to Bureau [14], it was subsequently discovered by Adler [4] in the context of periodic chains of Bäcklund transformations, see also Veselov and Shabat [55]. The sP_{IV} system (2.16) has important applications in random matrix theory, which are discussed by Forrester and Witte [29].

Filipuk and Clarkson [26] studied rational solutions of the sP_{IV} hierarchy (2.15) and expressed them in terms of the *symmetric Hermite polynomials* and *symmetric Okamoto polynomials*, which respectively are defined in Definitions 2.5 and 2.6 below and are extensions of the generalized Hermite and Okamoto polynomials.

Definition 2.5. The symmetric Hermite polynomial $H_{k_{2n}}(z)$ is defined by

$$H_{\boldsymbol{k}_{2n}}(z) = \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{k_1+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_2-1}, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+k_3+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_4-1}, \dots, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{2n-1}+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_{2n}-1}\right),$$
(2.17)

where $k_{2n} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2n})$ and $H_k(z)$ is the kth Hermite polynomial, and has degree

$$\deg(H_{k_{2n}}(z)) = k_{2n}k_{2n-1} + (k_{2n} + k_{2n-2})k_{2n-3} + \ldots + (k_{2n} + k_{2n-2} + \ldots + k_2)k_1.$$

Definition 2.6. The symmetric Okamoto polynomial $\Omega_{k_n}(z)$ is defined by

$$\Omega_{\boldsymbol{k}_n}(z) = \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{(n+1)j+1}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_1-1}, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+2}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_2-1}, \dots, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+n}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_n-1}\right),$$
(2.18)

where $k_n = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_n)$ and $H_k(z)$ is the kth Hermite polynomial, and has degree

$$\deg(\Omega_{k_n}(z)) = \frac{1}{2}n\sum_{j=1}^n k_j(k_j+1) - \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} k_i k_j + \sum_{j=2}^n (1-j)k_j$$

We note that $H_{k_2}(z) = H_{k_1,k_2}(z)$, the generalized Hermite polynomial, and $\Omega_{k_2}(z) = \Omega_{k_1,k_2}(z)$, the generalized Okamoto polynomial. Filipuk and Clarkson [26] show that pairs of symmetric Hermite polynomials and pairs of symmetric Okamoto polynomials satisfy bilinear equations similar to the forms (2.11) and (2.14), respectively.

3 Point Vortex Dynamics

The equations of motion for N point vortices with circulations Γ_j at positions z_j , j = 1, 2, ..., N, with no external flow field, are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z_j^*}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
(3.1)

3.1 Identical vortices on a line

If a vortex configuration rotates as a rigid body with angular velocity Ω then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z_j^*}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\mathrm{i}\Omega z_j^*, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and so from (3.1)

$$\lambda z_j^* = \sum_{k=1}^{N'} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $\lambda = 2\pi\Omega$. Suppose that z_j are real, so $z_j = z_j^* = x_j$, and all the Γ_j are equal, so set $\Gamma_j = 1$ for j = 1, 2, ..., N, without loss of generality (by rescaling x_j , if necessary), and so we obtain

$$x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{N'} \frac{1}{x_j - x_k}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
(3.2)

which are known as *Stieltjes relations* [50, 51]. Further, as shown by Stieltjes [50, 51], the solutions x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N of equations (3.2) are the roots of the *N*th Hermite polynomial $H_N(x)$. This highlights the connection between point patterns corresponding to a relative equilibrium and functions from classical mathematical physics.

3.2 Vortices of the same strength and mixed signs

Now we consider the situation when the vortices at z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m have strength $\Gamma > 0$, i.e. there are *m* positive vortices, and the vortices at $z_{m+1}, z_{m+2}, \ldots, z_{m+n}$ have strength $-\Gamma$, i.e. there are *n* negative vortices, where m+n = N, so that

$$\Gamma_{k} = \begin{cases} \Gamma, & \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ -\Gamma, & \text{for } k = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, m + n. \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

We shall consider two important cases of equation (3.1) with these circulations.

- 1. Stationary vortex patterns that arise when $dz_i^*/dt = 0$, which we discuss in §3.2.1.
- 2. Translating vortex patterns that arise when $dz_j^*/dt = v^*$, with v^* a (complex) constant, which we discuss in §3.2.2.

To study these vortex patterns we define the polynomials

$$P(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} (z - z_j), \qquad Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} (z - \zeta_k), \tag{3.4}$$

with $\zeta_k = z_{k+m}$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n, which respectively have roots at $z_1, z_2, ..., z_m$, i.e. the locations of the positive vortices, and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ..., \zeta_n$, i.e. the locations of the negative vortices.

3.2.1 Stationary vortex patterns

In this case, setting $dz_i^*/dt = 0$ in (3.1) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+n} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k} = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, m+n,$$

with Γ_k given by (3.3). If P(z) and Q(z) are given by (3.4) then it can be shown that they satisfy the bilinear equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2}Q - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + P\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 0$$
(3.5)

(for details see [8, 10, 32]). As noted in §2.2, polynomial solutions of equation (3.5) were studied by Burchnall and Chaundy [13], and later by Adler and Moser [3] — recall equation (2.8), see also Theorem 3.1. In the vortex dynamics literature equation (3.5) is sometimes known as Tkachenko's equation (cf. [8, 49]). Substituting $P(z) = z^{j} + a_{j-1}z^{j-1} + \ldots + a_{0}$ and $Q(z) = z^{k} + b_{k-1}z^{k-1} + \ldots + b_{0}$ into equation (3.5) yields the leading order term

$$j(j-1) - 2jk + k(k-1)]z^{j+k-2} = [(j-k)^2 - (j+k)]z^{j+k-2}$$

thus j - k = n and $j + k = n^2$ and so $j = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the degrees of the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) are two successive triangular numbers.

Burchnall and Chaundy [13] and Adler and Moser [3] showed that polynomial solutions of equation (3.5) are expressed in terms of the *Adler–Moser polynomials*, which were defined in §2.2, as given in the following result; see also [10, 12].

Theorem 3.1. Polynomial solutions of equation (3.5) are given by $P(z) = \Theta_{n+1}(z; \kappa_{n+1})$ and $Q(z) = \Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$, where $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ is the Adler–Moser polynomial.

Adler and Moser [3], using ideas on Darboux transformations due to Crum [23], also proved the following result, which led to the Wronskian representation of the Adler–Moser polynomials given in Definition 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. The Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + u(z)\psi = 0,$$
(3.6)

with potential $u(z) = -2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln \Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$, where $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ is the Adler–Moser polynomial, has the eigenstate

$$\psi(z) = \Theta_{n+1}(z; \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{n+1}) / \Theta_n(z; \boldsymbol{\kappa}_n).$$
(3.7)

We remark that substituting

$$u(z) = -2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2}\ln Q(z), \qquad \psi(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

into the Schrödinger equation (3.6) yields the bilinear equation (3.5).

3.2.2 Translating vortex patterns

In this case, setting $dz_j^*/dt = v^*$, with v^* a constant, in (3.1) yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k} = v^*, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, m+n,$$

with Γ_k given by (3.3). If P(z) and Q(z) are given by (3.4) then it can be shown that they satisfy the bilinear equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} Q - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + P\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + 2\mu\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}Q - P\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) = 0,\tag{3.8}$$

where $\mu = 2\pi i v^* / \Gamma$ (for details see [8, 10, 32]). Substituting $P(z) = z^j + a_{j-1}z^{j-1} + \ldots + a_0$ and $Q(z) = z^k + b_{k-1}z^{k-1} + \ldots + b_0$ into equation (3.8) yields the leading order term $2\mu(j-k)z^{j+k-1}$ and so j = k, i.e. the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) have the same degree. Theorem 3.3 shows that the degrees of the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) are triangular numbers, though it appears not to be understood why this restriction holds, independent of knowing the solution, in contrast to the situation for stationary vortex patterns in §3.2.1.

Adler and Moser [3] proved the following result concerning polynomial solutions of equation (3.8); see also [10, 12, 39].

Theorem 3.3. Polynomial solutions of equation (3.8) are given by $P(z) = \tilde{\Theta}_n(z; \mu, \kappa_n)$ and $Q(z) = \Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$, where $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ is the Adler–Moser polynomial and $\tilde{\Theta}_n(z; \mu, \kappa_n)$ is the modified Adler–Moser polynomial. Further Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{d^2\psi}{dz^2} + u(z)\psi = -\mu^2\psi,$$
(3.9)

with potential $u(z) = -2\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln \Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$, where $\Theta_n(z; \kappa_n)$ is the Adler–Moser polynomial, has the eigenstate

$$\psi(z) = \Theta_n(z; \mu, \kappa_n) / \Theta_n(z; \kappa_n), \qquad (3.10)$$

where $\widetilde{\Theta}_n(z; \mu, \kappa_n)$ is the modified Adler–Moser polynomial.

We remark that substituting

$$u(z) = -2 \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} \ln Q(z), \qquad \psi(z) = \frac{P(z) \,\mathrm{e}^{\mu z}}{Q(z)},$$

into the Schrödinger equation (3.9) yields equation (3.8).

3.3 Quadrupole Background Flow

The equations of motion for N point vortices with circulations Γ_j at positions z_j , j = 1, 2, ..., N in a background flow w(z) are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}z_j^*}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k} + \frac{w^*(z_j)}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
(3.11)

Now suppose that $dz_j^*/dt = 0$, i.e. stationary flow, $w(z) = v^* z^*$, with v^* a (complex) constant, i.e. quadrupole background flow, and Γ_k is given by (3.3). In this case, setting $v = \mu \Gamma$ gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \frac{\Gamma_k}{z_j - z_k} = -\Gamma \mu z_j, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, m+n,$$
(3.12)

and so using (3.3) yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{z_j - z_k} = -\mu z_j + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z_j - \zeta_\ell}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, m, \qquad (3.13a)$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\zeta_j - \zeta_k} = \mu \zeta_j + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\zeta_j - z_k}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
(3.13b)

If P(z) and Q(z) are given by (3.4) then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z} = P \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{z - z_j}, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} = Q \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z - \zeta_k},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 2P \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m'} \frac{1}{z - z_j} \frac{1}{z_j - z_k} = 2P \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{z - z_j} \left(-\mu z_j + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z_j - \zeta_k} \right),$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 2Q \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n'} \frac{1}{z - \zeta_k} \frac{1}{\zeta_k - \zeta_\ell} = 2Q \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z - \zeta_k} \left(\mu \zeta_k + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\zeta_k - z_\ell} \right),$$

using (3.13) and so

$$\begin{aligned} Q\frac{d^{2}P}{dz^{2}} + P\frac{d^{2}Q}{dz^{2}} &= 2PQ\sum_{j=1}^{m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z_{j}-\zeta_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{z-z_{j}}-\frac{1}{z-\zeta_{k}}\right) - 2\mu PQ\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{z_{j}}{z-z_{j}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\zeta_{k}}{z-\zeta_{k}}\right) \\ &= 2PQ\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{1}{z-z_{j}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z-\zeta_{k}} - 2\mu PQ\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{z-(z-z_{j})}{z-z_{j}}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{z-(z-\zeta_{k})}{z-\zeta_{k}}\right\} \\ &= 2\frac{dP}{dz}\frac{dQ}{dz} - 2\mu PQ\left\{z\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{1}{z-z_{j}}-z\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z-\zeta_{k}}+(m-n)\right\} \\ &= 2\frac{dP}{dz}\frac{dQ}{dz} - 2\mu z\left(\frac{dP}{dz}Q-P\frac{dQ}{dz}\right) + 2\mu(m-n)PQ, \end{aligned}$$

and so the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) satisfy the bilinear equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2}Q - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + P\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + 2\mu z \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}Q - P\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) - 2\mu(m-n)PQ = 0.$$
(3.14)

Substituting $P(z) = z^m + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \ldots + a_0$ and $Q(z) = z^n + b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \ldots + b_0$ into equation (3.14) shows that the leading order term is identically satisfied and so the degrees of the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) are not restricted.

Kadtke and Campbell [32] obtained some polynomial solutions of equation (3.14) in the case when m = n = 2and m = n = 4, though they also claimed that there were no solutions when m = n = 6. However, we have found 6 pairs of solutions of equation (3.14) in the case when m = n = 6 and 12 pairs of solutions when m = n = 8 (for this case 2 pairs of solutions are given in [32]). The roots of these polynomials are invariant under reflections in the real and imaginary z-axes when m = n. In Tables 3.1 and 3.2 some polynomial solutions of equation (3.14) for $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}$ in the cases when m = n and m = n + 1 are given, respectively. All of these polynomials are Wronskians of the scaled Hermite polynomial, in fact they have the form (3.23), with $H_{k_j}(z)$ replaced by $H_{k_j}(z/\sqrt{2})$ and scaled so that the polynomials are monic.

We note that equation (3.14) for $\mu = -1$ (which we can assume without loss of generality, by rescaling z if necessary), i.e.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2}Q - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + P\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - 2z\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}Q - P\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) + 2(m-n)PQ = 0,$$
(3.15)

can be written in the form

$$\left[\mathrm{D}_{z}^{2} - 2z\mathrm{D}_{z} + 2(m-n)\right]P \bullet Q = 0, \qquad (3.16)$$

with D_z the Hirota operator (2.12). Hence from (2.11) and (2.14), we can obtain three sets of solutions of equation (3.15), or equivalently (3.16), in terms of the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_{j,k}(z)$ and the generalized Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{j,k}(z)$, respectively defined in Definitions 2.3 and 2.4, which are given in Table 3.3. As shown below, it turns out that only two of these sets have the form (3.23). Further, Filipuk and Clarkson [26] show that there are solutions of equation (3.15), or equivalently (3.16), in terms of the symmetric Hermite polynomials $H_{k_n}(z)$ and the symmetric Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{k_n}(z)$, which are defined in Definitions 2.5 and 2.6, respectively.

3.3.1 Relationship to the Schrödinger equation

In §3.2 it was shown that stationary and translating vortex equilibrium solutions can be expressed in terms of the roots of the Adler–Moser and modified Adler–Moser polynomials and these were related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger

	P(z)	Q(z)
m = n = 2	$z^2 + 1$	$z^2 - 1$
m = n = 3	$z^3 + 3z$	z^3
	z^3	$z^3 - 3z$
m = n = 4	$z^4 + 6z^2 + 3$	$z^4 + 2z^2 - 1$
	$z^4 + 2z^2 - 1$	$z^4 - 2z^2 - 1$
	$z^4 - 2z^2 - 1$	$z^4 - 6z^2 + 3$
m = n = 5	$z^5 + 10z^3 + 15z$	$z^{5} + 5z^{3}$
	$z_{-}^{5} + 5z^{3}$	$z^{5} - 5z$
	$z^{5}_{5} - 5z_{2}$	$z^{5}_{-} - 5z^{3}_{-}$
	$z^5 - 5z^3$	$z^5 - 10z^3 + 15z$
	$z^5 + 2z^3 + 3z$	$z^5 - 2z^3 + 3z$
m = n = 6	$z^{6} + 15z^{4} + 45z^{2} + 15$	$z^{6} + 9z^{4} + 9z^{2} - 3$
	$egin{array}{rcl} z^6+9z^4+9z^2-3\ z^6+3z^4-9z^2-3 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{rcl} z^6+3z^4-9z^2-3\ z^6-3z^4-9z^2+3 \end{array}$
	$ z^{6} + 3z^{4} - 9z^{2} - 3 z^{6} - 3z^{4} - 9z^{2} + 3 $	$z^{6} - 3z^{4} - 9z^{2} + 3$ $z^{6} - 9z^{4} + 9z^{2} + 3$
	$z^{6} - 3z^{2} - 9z^{2} + 3$ $z^{6} - 9z^{4} + 9z^{2} + 3$	$z^{6} - 9z^{7} + 9z^{7} + 3z^{6} - 15z^{4} + 45z^{2} - 15$
	$z^{-} - 9z^{+} + 9z^{+} + 3$ $z^{6} + 3z^{4} + 9z^{2} - 9$	$z^{6} - 3z^{4} + 9z^{2} + 9$
m = n = 7	$\frac{z}{z^7 + 21z^5 + 105z^3 + 105z}$	$\frac{z}{z^7 + 14z^5 + 35z^3}$
	$z^7 + 14z^5 + 35z^3$	$z^7 + 7z^5 - 7z^3 - 21z$
	$z^7 + 7z^5 - 7z^3 - 21z$	$z^7 - 21z^3$
	$z^7 - 21z^3$	$z^7 - 7z^5 - 7z^3 + 21z$
	$z^7 - 7z^5 - 7z^3 + 21z$	$z^7 - 14z^5 + 35z^3$
	$z^7 - 14z^5 + 35z^3$	$z^7 - 21z^5 + 105z^3 - 105z$
	$z^7 + 9z^5 + 15z^3 + 15z$	$z^7 + 3z^5 - 3z^3 + 3z$
	$z^7 + 6z^5 + 15z^3$	$z^7 - z^5 + 5z^3 + 15z$
	$z^7 + z^5 + 5z^3 - 15z$	$z^7 - 6z^5 + 15z^3$
m = n = 8	$z^8 + 28z^6 + 210z^4 + 420z^2 + 105$	$z^8 + 20z^6 + 90z^4 + 60z^2 - 15$
	$z^{8} + 20z^{6} + 90z^{4} + 60z^{2} - 15$	$z^{8} + 12z^{6} + 10z^{4} - 60z^{2} - 15$
	$z^{8} + 12z^{6} + 10z^{4} - 60z^{2} - 15$	$z^{8} + 4z^{6} - 30z^{4} - 36z^{2} + 9$
	$ \begin{array}{c} z^8 + 4z^6 - 30z^4 - 36z^2 + 9 \\ z^8 - 4z^6 - 30z^4 + 36z^2 + 9 \end{array} $	$z^{8} - 4z^{6} - 30z^{4} + 36z^{2} + 9$
	$ z^{8} - 4z^{6} - 30z^{7} + 36z^{2} + 9 z^{8} - 12z^{6} + 10z^{4} + 60z^{2} - 15 $	$ \begin{aligned} z^8 - 12z^6 + 10z^4 + 60z^2 - 15 \\ z^8 - 20z^6 + 90z^4 - 60z^2 - 15 \end{aligned} $
	$z^{\circ} - 12z^{\circ} + 10z^{\circ} + 60z^{\circ} - 15$ $z^{8} - 20z^{6} + 90z^{4} - 60z^{2} - 15$	$z^{\circ} - 20z^{\circ} + 90z^{\circ} - 60z^{\circ} - 15$ $z^{8} - 28z^{6} + 210z^{4} - 420z^{2} + 105$
	$z^{8} - 20z^{8} + 90z^{7} - 60z^{7} - 15$ $z^{8} + 10z^{6} + 30z^{4} + 30z^{2} - 15$	$z^{5} - 28z^{5} + 210z^{5} - 420z^{2} + 105$ $z^{8} + 2z^{6} + 30z^{2} + 15$
	$z^{8} + 4z^{6} + 6z^{4} - 12z^{2} - 3$	$z^{8} + 2z^{7} + 30z^{7} + 13$ $z^{8} - 4z^{6} + 6z^{4} + 12z^{2} - 3$
	$z^{8} + 4z^{6} + 6z^{6} - 12z^{6} - 3$ $z^{8} - 2z^{6} - 30z^{2} + 15$	$z^{8} - 4z^{6} + 6z^{6} + 12z^{7} - 3$ $z^{8} - 10z^{6} + 30z^{4} - 30z^{2} - 15$
	$z^{8} + 8z^{6} + 30z^{4} + 45$	$z^{8} + 10z^{4} - 15$
	$z^8 + 10z^4 - 15$	$z^8 - 8z^6 + 30z^4 + 45$
L		

Table 3.1: Some polynomial solutions of equation (3.14) for $\mu = -\frac{1}{2}$ and m = n

	- ()	
	P(z)	Q(z)
m = 3, n = 2	$z^3 + 3z$	$z^2 + 1$
m = 4, n = 3	$z^4 + 3$	$z^3 - 3z$
	$z^4 + 6z^2 + 3$	$z^3 + 3z$
m = 5, n = 4	$z^5 + 10z^3 + 15z$	$z^4 + 6z^2 + 3$
	$z^5 - 2z^3 + 3z$	$z^4 - 6z^2 + 3$
	$z^5 + 2z^3 + 3z$	$z^4 - 2z^2 - 1$
m = 6, n = 5	$z^6 + 3z^4 + 9z^2 - 9$	$z^5 - 2z^3 + 3z$
	$z^6 + 5z^4 + 5z^2 + 5$	$z^{5} - 5z$
	$z^6 + 15z^4 + 45z^2 + 15$	$z^5 + 10z^3 + 15z$
	$z^6 - 5z^4 + 5z^2 - 5$	$z^5 - 10z^3 + 15z$
	z^6	$z^{5} - 5z^{3}$
m = 7, n = 6	$z^7 + 3z^5 - 3z^3 + 3z$	$z^6 - 3z^4 - 9z^2 + 3$
	$z^7 + 9z^5 + 15z^3 + 15z$	$z^6 + 3z^4 - 9z^2 - 3$
	$z^7 - 3z^5 - 3z^3 - 3z$	$z^6 - 9z^4 + 9z^2 + 3$
	$z^7 - 9z^5 + 15z^3 - 15z$	$z^6 - 15z^4 + 45z^2 - 15$
	$z^7 + 21z^5 + 105z^3 + 105z$	$z^6 + 15z^4 + 45z^2 + 15$
	$z^7 + z^5 + 5z^3 - 15z$	$z^6 - 5z^4 + 5z^2 - 5$
m = 8, n = 7	$z^8 + 28z^6 + 210z^4 + 420z^2 + 105$	$z^7 + 21z^5 + 105z^3 + 105z$
	$z^8 + 14z^6 + 42z^4 + 42z^2 + 21$	$z^7 + 7z^5 - 7z^3 - 21z$
	$z^8 + 4z^6 + 6z^4 - 12z^2 - 3$	$z^7 - 3z^5 - 3z^3 - 3z$
	$z^8 + 10z^6 + 30z^4 + 30z^2 - 15$	$z^7 + 3z^5 - 3z^3 + 3z$
	$z^8 - 14z^6 + 42z^4 - 42z^2 + 21$	$z^7 - 21z^5 + 105z^3 - 105z$
	$z^8 + 8z^6 + 30z^4 + 45$	$z^7 + z^5 + 5z^3 - 15z$
	$z^8 - 2z^6 - 30z^2 + 15$	$z^7 - 9z^5 + 15z^3 - 15z$
	$z^8 - 14z^4 - 7$	$z^7 - 7z^5 - 7z^3 + 21z$
	$z^8 + 7z^6$	$z^7 - 21z^3$
	$z^8 - 7z^6$	$z^7 - 14z^5 + 35z^3$

Table 3.2: Some polynomial solutions of equation (3.14) for $\mu=-\frac{1}{2}$ and m=n+1

P(z)	Q(z)	m - n
$H_{j,k}(z)$	$H_{j+1,k}(z)$	-k
$H_{j,k+1}(z)$	$H_{j,k}(z)$	j
$H_{j,k+1}(z)$	$H_{j+1,k}(z)$	j-k
$\Omega_{j+1,k}(z)$	$\Omega_{j,k}(z)$	j - 2k + 2
$\Omega_{j,k+1}(z)$	$\Omega_{j,k}(z)$	-2j + k + 1
$\Omega_{j,k}(z)$	$\Omega_{j+1,k+1}(z)$	-j - k - 2

Table 3.3: Solutions of (3.15) and (3.16) in terms of generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials.

equations (3.6) and (3.9). Now in order to describe quadrupole background flow equilibrium solutions we shall relate solutions of equation (3.15) to eigenstates of a Schrödinger equation.

Consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + u(z),\tag{3.17}$$

with a potential u(z) which is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} . The Schrödinger operator \mathcal{L} (3.17) is said to have *trivial monodromy* if all the solutions of the corresponding Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{L}\psi = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\psi}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + u(z)\psi = \lambda\psi, \qquad (3.18)$$

are also meromorphic in \mathbb{C} for all λ . Further, such an operator is said to be *monodromy-free*.

Duistermaat and Grünbaum [25] considered the problem of the classification of Schrödinger operators for rational potentials decaying at infinity, i.e. (3.17) with $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} u(z) = 0$, and obtained the following result.

Theorem 3.4. Every Schrödinger operator \mathcal{L} with trivial monodromy for rational potentials decaying at infinity has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\nu_j(\nu_j + 1)}{(z - z_j)^2},$$
(3.19)

where $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_N$ are integers. Further all such potentials are obtained by finitely many Darboux transformations applied to the zero potential.

Oblomkov [44] generalized Theorem 3.4 to the case of rational potentials with quadratic growth at infinity and obtained the following result.

Theorem 3.5. Every Schrödinger operator \mathcal{L} with trivial monodromy, and with a quadratically increasing rational potential, i.e. $u(z) = z^2 + R(z)$, with $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} R(z) = 0$, has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + z^2 - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2}\ln\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_\ell}(z)\right),\tag{3.20}$$

where k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_ℓ are a sequence of distinct positive integers and $H_k(z)$ is the kth Hermite polynomial. Further all such potentials are obtained obtained by finitely many Darboux transformations applied to the potential $u = z^2$.

Then, using Theorem 3.5 and a corollary of results on Darboux transformations due to Crum [23] we have the following result (see also Veselov [54]).

Theorem 3.6. The Schrödinger equation (3.18) with potential

$$u(z) = z^{2} - 2\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{d}z^{2}}\ln\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_{1}}(z), H_{k_{2}}(z), \dots, H_{k_{\ell}}(z)\right),$$
(3.21)

where k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_ℓ are a sequence of distinct positive integers and $H_k(z)$ is the kth Hermite polynomial, has the eigenstates

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_\ell}(z), H_{k_{\ell+1}}(z)\right)}{\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_\ell}(z)\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right),$$
(3.22a)

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_{\ell-1}}(z)\right)}{\mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_{\ell}}(z)\right)} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}z^2\right),\tag{3.22b}$$

with $k_{\ell+1}$ a positive integer such that $k_{\ell+1} \neq k_j$, for $j = 1, 2, ..., \ell$, for the eigenvalues $\lambda = 1 + 2(k_{\ell+1} - \ell)$ and $\lambda = 2(\ell - k_{\ell-1}) - 1$, respectively.

It should be noted that the potential (3.21) may possess singularities on the real axis, so that the terms "eigenstate" and "eigenvalue" should not be understood in quantum mechanics sense. The associated regularity conditions have been studied by Adler [5] and Duboy, Eleonskii, and Kulagin [24].

We remark that substituting

$$u(z) = z^2 - 2\frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln Q(z), \qquad \psi(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}z^2)$$

into the Schrödinger equation (3.18) yields equation (3.15) with $m - n = \lambda - 1$. Consequently we have the following result (see also Loutsenko [38, Proposition 4]).

Theorem 3.7. The bilinear equation (3.15) has polynomial solutions in the form

$$P(z) = \mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_\ell}(z), H_{k_{\ell+1}}(z)\right),$$
(3.23a)

$$Q(z) = \mathcal{W}(H_{k_1}(z), H_{k_2}(z), \dots, H_{k_\ell}(z)),$$
(3.23b)

where $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_\ell, k_{\ell+1}$ are a sequence of distinct positive integers and $H_k(z)$ is the kth Hermite polynomial. Further the degrees of the polynomials P(z) and Q(z), respectively m and n, are given by

$$m = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+1} k_j - \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell+1), \qquad n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} k_j - \frac{1}{2}\ell(\ell-1)$$

i.e. $m - n = k_{\ell+1} - \ell$.

We note that the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) given by (3.23) do not involve arbitrary parameters, in contrast to the Adler–Moser polynomials which give polynomial solutions of equations (3.5) and (3.8).

Theorem 3.7 would appear to give a complete classification of polynomial solutions of the bilinear equation (3.15). As remarked above, all the polynomials in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 have the form (3.23), with $H_{k_j}(z)$ replaced by $H_{k_j}(z/\sqrt{2})$ and scaled so that the polynomials are monic.

However there are additional polynomial solutions of equation (3.15) in terms of the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_{k_1,k_2}(z)$ and the generalized Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{k_1,k_2}(z)$. In Table 3.3, there are three pairs of generalized Hermite polynomials and three pairs of generalized Okamoto polynomials which satisfy equation (3.15), though only two pairs of each are of the form (3.23) in which the wronskian defining P(z) has one more Hermite polynomial than the wronskian defining Q(z). Specifically the polynomials

$$P(z) = H_{k_1,k_2}(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}(H_{k_1}, H_{k_1+1}, \dots, H_{k_1+k_2-1}), \qquad (3.24a)$$

$$Q(z) = H_{k_1+1,k_2}(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}\left(H_{k_1+1}, H_{k_1+2}, \dots, H_{k_1+k_2}\right),$$
(3.24b)

where the wronskians defining P(z) and Q(z) have the same number of Hermite polynomials, and

$$P(z) = \Omega_{k_1,k_2}(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}(H_1, H_4, \dots, H_{3k_1-2}, H_2, H_5, \dots, H_{3k_2-1}),$$
(3.25a)

$$Q(z) = \Omega_{k_1+1,k_2+1}(z) \equiv \mathcal{W}(H_1, H_4, \dots, H_{3k_1+1}, H_2, H_5, \dots, H_{3k_2+2}),$$
(3.25b)

where the wronskian defining P(z) has two fewer Hermite polynomials than the wronskian defining Q(z), are pairs of solutions of equation (3.15), yet are clearly not of the form (3.23). An analogous result also holds for the symmetric Hermite polynomials $H_{k_{2n}}(z)$ and the symmetric Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{k_n}(z)$. Specifically the polynomials

$$P(z) = H_{k_1,k_2,\dots,k_{2n}}(z)$$

$$\equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{k_1+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_2-1}, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+k_3+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_4-1}, \dots, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{2n-1}+j}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_{2n}-1}\right),$$
(3.26a)

$$Q(z) = H_{k_1+1,k_2,k_3+1,k_4\dots,k_{2n-1}+1,k_{2n}}(z)$$

$$\equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{k_1+j}(z)\right\}_{j=1}^{k_2}, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+k_3+j}(z)\right\}_{j=1}^{k_4}, \dots, \left\{H_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{2n-1}+j}(z)\right\}_{j=1}^{k_{2n}}\right),$$
(3.26b)

where the wronskians defining P(z) and Q(z) have the same number of Hermite polynomials, and

$$P(z) = \Omega_{k_1,k_2,\dots,k_n}(z)$$

$$\equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{(n+1)j+1}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_1-1}, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+2}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_2-1}, \dots, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+n}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_n-1}\right), \quad (3.27a)$$

$$Q(z) = \Omega_{k_1+1,k_2+1,\dots,k_n+1}(z)$$

$$\equiv \mathcal{W}\left(\left\{H_{(n+1)j+1}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_1}, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+2}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_2}, \dots, \left\{H_{(n+1)j+n}(z)\right\}_{j=0}^{k_n}\right),$$
(3.27b)

where the wronskian defining P(z) has *n* fewer Hermite polynomials than the wronskian defining Q(z), are pairs of solutions of equation (3.15), yet also are not of the form (3.23). Hence we have demonstrated that there are additional polynomial solutions of equation (3.15) to those given in Theorem 3.7. The natural question is whether there are any more?

Using MAPLE, we have also found the polynomial solutions of equation (3.15) with m = n and with m = n + 1, given in Tables 3.4 and 3.5, respectively, which have the property that either the polynomials P(z) or Q(z), or both, have multiple roots, other than z = 0, and the polynomials P(z) and Q(z) have common roots, other than z = 0. Further these polynomials do not appear to be wronskians of Hermite polynomials.

P(z)	Q(z)
$(z^2 + \sqrt{5}z + 2)^3$	$(z^2 + \sqrt{5}z + 2)(z^4 + 2\sqrt{5}z^3 + 6z^2 - 3)$
$\left(z^2 + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{15}\right)^3$	$\left(z^{2} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{15}\right)\left[z^{4} - \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{15}\right)z^{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right]$
$(z + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6})^6$	$(z^3 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{6}z^2 + \frac{3}{2}z - \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{6})(z + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6})^3$
$(z^2+1)^3(z^2-1)$	$(z^2+1)(z^2-1)^3$
$z^3(z^2+\frac{3}{2})^3$	$z(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})(z^6 - \frac{3}{2}z^4 + \frac{9}{4}z^2 - \frac{9}{8})$
$z(z^2 + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{31})^3$	$z^{3}(z^{2} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{4}i\sqrt{31})(z^{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{31})$
$(z^2+1)^3(z^2-1)$	$(z^2+1)(z^2-1)^3$
$z^{3}[z^{2}+\frac{3}{4}(1+i\sqrt{7})]^{3}$	$z(z^{2} - \frac{3}{2})\left[z^{2} + \frac{3}{4}(1 + i\sqrt{7})\right]\left[z^{4} - \frac{3}{2}(1 - i\sqrt{7})z^{2} - \frac{9}{4}\right]$
$(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})^6$	$(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})^3(z^6 - \frac{3}{2}z^4 + \frac{27}{4}z^2 - \frac{9}{8})$
$(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})^6(z^2 - \frac{3}{2})^3$	$(z^2 + \frac{3}{2})^3(z^2 - \frac{3}{2})^6$

Table 3.4: Some additional polynomial solutions of equation (3.15) with m = n.

P(z)	Q(z)
$(z+1)^3$	(z+2)(z+1)
$(z^3 + 2\sqrt{3} z^2 + \frac{9}{2}z + \sqrt{3})(z + \sqrt{3})$	$(z+\sqrt{3})^3$
$(z^2 + \frac{1}{2})^3$	$z(z^2 + \frac{1}{2})(z^2 - \frac{3}{2})$
$(z^2 + \sqrt{10} z + 3)^3$	$(z^2 + \sqrt{10}z + 3)(z^2 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{10}z + 6)(z + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10})$
$(z + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{14})^6$	$(z^2 + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{14}z + 8)(z + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{14})^3$
$z(z^2 - \frac{3}{2})(z^4 + \frac{15}{4})$	$(z^2 - \frac{3}{2})^3$
$(z+2)^6(z+3)$	$(z+2)^3(z+3)^3$
$(z-2)^6(z-3)$	$(z-2)^3(z-3)^3$

Table 3.5: Some additional polynomial solutions of equation (3.15) with m = n + 1.

4 Discussion

In paper we have investigated the relationship between equilibria of vortex patterns and the roots of polynomials associated with rational solutions of the Painlevé and soliton equations. The examples which we have considered in this paper illustrate the power of the "method of polynomials" when it can be used. The polynomials with roots at the positions of the vortices, are obtained from the basic vortex equilibrium equations and then their roots give the locations of the vortices.

When all the vortex circulations have the same absolute value, stationary vortex configurations and uniformly translating vortex configurations are known to be given by the zeros of the Adler–Moser polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational potentials decaying at infinity.

For quadrupole background flow and all the vortex circulations have the same absolute value, vortex configurations were shown to be given by the zeros of polynomials expressed as wronskians of Hermite polynomials and related to eigenstates of the Schrödinger equation for rational potentials with quadratic growth at infinity. Further using results on the generalized Hermite polynomials $H_{m,n}(z)$ and generalized Okamoto polynomials $\Omega_{m,n}(z)$, which are associated with rational solutions of P_{IV} (1.3), it was shown that there are additional solutions than might be anticipated from earlier work of Loutsenko [38], Oblomkov [44], and Veselov [54]. As mentioned above, a natural question is whether there are any more?

An interesting open question is whether there is a relationship between vortex dynamics and polynomials associated with rational solutions of other soliton equations, such as the Boussinesq equation (1.4) and the NLS equation (1.5). Since P_{IV} (1.3) arises as a similarity reduction of the Boussinesq and NLS equations, then one can express rational solutions of these equations in terms of the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials. However both the Boussinesq and NLS equations also have rational solutions which involve arbitrary parameters, analogous to the Adler–Moser polynomials which describe rational solutions of the KdV equation (1.1). For the NLS equation (1.5) an extension of the generalized Hermite polynomials, see [20], and for Boussinesq equation (1.4) these polynomials involve an extension of the generalized Okamoto polynomials, see [21]. These are obtained by replacing the Hermite polynomial $H_m(z)$, which is defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_m(z)\,\lambda^m}{m!} = \exp(2\lambda z - \lambda^2),$$

by the polynomial $\Phi_m(z)$ defined by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_m(z) \lambda^m}{m!} = \exp\left(2\lambda z - \lambda^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{\infty} \kappa_j \lambda^j\right),\,$$

where κ_j , for $j \ge 3$, are arbitrary constants in the definitions of the generalized Hermite and generalized Okamoto polynomials. (This is similar to the relationship between the Yablonskii–Vorob'ev polynomials and the Adler–Moser polynomials where the polynomial $\varphi_n(z)$ defined in equation (2.1) is replaced by the polynomial $\theta_n(z)$ defined in equation (2.5).) Therefore the structure of rational solutions of the Boussinesq equation (1.4) and the NLS equation (1.5) is different to those of the KdV equation (1.1) and so other vortex dynamics can possibly be described in terms of the associated generalized polynomials.

Another interesting open question is whether there exist polynomial solutions of the equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} Q - 2\Lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z} \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + \Lambda^2 P \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2} Q - 2\Lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z} \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + \Lambda^2 P \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \mu \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z} Q - \Lambda P \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 P}{\mathrm{d}z^2}Q - 2\Lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z} + \Lambda^2 P \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Q}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \mu z \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}z}Q - \Lambda P \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) + \kappa P Q = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Lambda \neq 1$, μ and κ are constants, which respectively reduce to equations (3.5), (3.8), and (3.14), when $\Lambda = 1$. Loutsenko [39] has obtained some polynomial solutions of equations (4.1) and (4.2) in the case when $\Lambda = 2$, which corresponds to the case of vortices with two strengths of unequal magnitudes.

Patterns of points corresponding to the equilibria of point vortices reveal interesting connections to the classical theory of polynomials and to some of the special polynomials that arise in soliton theory. While some of these connections have been discovered, we believe there is much more that awaits to be found given the large number of results which are known in soliton theory.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Mark Ablowitz, Hassan Aref, Carl Bender, Darren Crowdy, Bernard Deconinck, Galina Filipuk, Thanasis Fokas, Rod Halburd, Andy Hone, Igor Loutsenko, Elizabeth Mansfield, and Bryn Thomas for their helpful comments and illuminating discussions. I also thank the referee for some constructive comments which improved the manuscript.

References

- M. J. ABLOWITZ and J. SATSUMA, Solitons and rational solutions of nonlinear evolution equations, J. Math. Phys., 19:2180– 2186 (1978).
- [2] M. J. ABLOWITZ and H. SEGUR, Exact linearization of a Painlevé transcendent, Phys. Rev. Lett., 38:1103–1106 (1977).
- [3] M. ADLER and J. MOSER, On a class of polynomials associated with the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 61:1–30 (1978).
- [4] V. E. ADLER, Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations, Physica, D73:335-351 (1994).
- [5] V. E. ADLER, A modification of Crum's method, Theo. Math. Phys., 101:1381-1386 (1994).
- [6] H. AIRAULT, Rational solutions of Painlevé equations, Stud. Appl. Math., 61:31-53 (1979).
- [7] H. AIRAULT, H. P. MCKEAN, and J. MOSER, Rational and elliptic solutions of the KdV equation and related many-body problems, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30:95–148 (1977).
- [8] H. AREF, Vortices and polynomials, Fluid Dynam. Res., 39:5-23 (2007).
- [9] H. AREF, Point vortex dynamics: a classical Mathematics playground, J. Math. Phys., 48:065401 (2007).
- [10] H. AREF, P. K. NEWTON, M. A. STREMLER, T. TOKIEDA, and D. L. VAINCHTEIN, Vortex crystals, Adv. Appl. Mech., 39:1–79 (2002).
- [11] I. V. BARASHENKOV and D. E. PELINOVSKY, Exact vortex solutions of the complex sine-Gordon theory on the plane, *Phys. Lett.*, 436:117–124 (1998).
- [12] A.B. BARTMAN, A new interpretation of the Adler-Moser KdV polynomials: interaction of vortices, in *Nonlinear and Turbulent Processes in Physics*, R.Z. Sagdeev, Editor, vol. 3, Harwood Academic Publishers, New York (1983) pp. 1175–1181.
- [13] J. L. BURCHNALL and T. W. CHAUNDY, A set of differential equations which can be solved by polynomials, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., Ser. 2, 30:401–414 (1929).
- [14] F. BUREAU, Sur une système d'équations differentiels non linéaires, Bull. Acad. R. Belg., 66:280–284 (1980).
- [15] E. BRÉZIN AND S. HIKAMI, Characteristic polynomials of random matrices, Commun. Math. Phys., 214:111-135 (2000).
- [16] Y. CHEN and M. V. FEIGIN, Painlevé IV and degenerate Gaussian unitary ensembles, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 39:12381– 12393 (2006).
- [17] P. A. CLARKSON, The fourth Painlevé equation and associated special polynomials, J. Math. Phys., 44:5350-5374 (2003).
- [18] P. A. CLARKSON, Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the Painlevé equations and applications to soliton equations, *Comp. Meth. Func. Theory*, 6:329–401 (2006).
- [19] P. A. CLARKSON, Painlevé equations non-linear special functions, in Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Computation and Application, F. Marcellàn and W. van Assche, Editors, Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1883, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006) pp. 331–411.
- [20] P. A. CLARKSON, Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equation and the fourth Painlevé equation, *Europ. J. Appl. Math.*, 17:293–322 (2006).
- [21] P. A. CLARKSON, Rational solutions of the Boussinesq equation, Analysis and Applications, 6:349–369 (2008).
- [22] P. A. CLARKSON and E. L. MANSFIELD, The second Painlevé equation, its hierarchy and associated special polynomials, *Nonlinearity*, 16:R1–R26 (2003).
- [23] M. M. CRUM, Associated Sturm-Liouville systems, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 6:121-127 (1955).
- [24] S. YU. DUBOV, V. M. ELEONSKII, and N. E. KULAGIN, On the equidistant spectra of anharmonic oscillators, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.*, 102:814–825 (1992).
- [25] J. J. DUISTERMAAT and F. A. GRÜNBAUM, Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Commun. Math. Phys., 103:177– 240 (1986).
- [26] G. V. FILIPUK and P. A. CLARKSON, The symmetric fourth Painlevé hierarchy and associated special polynomials, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 121:157–188 (2008).
- [27] H. FLASCHKA and A. C. NEWELL, Monodromy- and spectrum preserving deformations. I, Commun. Math. Phys., 76:65– 116 (1980).
- [28] A. S. FOKAS, A. R. ITS, A. A. KAPAEV, and V. YU. NOVOKSHENOV, Painlevé Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert approach, Math. Surv. Mono., vol. 128, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

- [29] P. J. FORRESTER and N. S. WITTE, Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 219:357–398 (2001).
- [30] C. S. GARDNER, J. M. GREENE, M. D. KRUSKAL, and R. M. MIURA, Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 19:1095–1097 (1967).
- [31] V. I. GROMAK, I. LAINE, and S. SHIMOMURA, *Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane*, *Studies in Math.*, vol. **28**, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2002.
- [32] J. B. KADTKE and L. J. CAMPBELL, Method for finding stationary states of point vortices, *Phys. Rev.*, A36:4360–4370 (1987).
- [33] K. KAJIWARA and T. MASUDA, A generalization of determinant formulae for the solutions of Painlevé II and XXXIV equations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 32:3763–3778 (1999).
- [34] K. KAJIWARA and Y. OHTA, Determinant structure of the rational solutions for the Painlevé II equation, J. Math. Phys., 37:4393–4704 (1996).
- [35] K. KAJIWARA and Y. OHTA, Determinant structure of the rational solutions for the Painlevé IV equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 31:2431–2446 (1998).
- [36] E. KANZIEPER, Replica field theories, Painlevé transcendents, and exact correlation functions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89:250201 (2002).
- [37] D. LEWIS and T. RATIU, Rotating n-gon/kn-gon vortex configurations, J. Nonlinear Sci., 6:385414 (1996).
- [38] I. LOUTSENKO, Integrable dynamocs of charges related to the bilinear hypergeometric equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 242:251–275 (2003).
- [39] I. LOUTSENKO, Equilibrium of charges and differential equations solved by polynomials, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 37:1309– 1321 (2004).
- [40] A. NAKAMURA and R. HIROTA, A new example of explode-decay solitary waves in one-dimension, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 54:491–499 (1985).
- [41] M. NOUMI and Y. YAMADA, Affine Weyl groups, discrete dynamical systems and Painlevé equations, Commun. Math. Phys., 199:281–295 (1998).
- [42] M. NOUMI and Y. YAMADA, Higher order Painlevé equations of type $A_{\ell}^{(1)}$, Funkcial. Ekvac., 41:483–503 (1998).
- [43] M. NOUMI and Y. YAMADA, Symmetries in the fourth Painlevé equation and Okamoto polynomials, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 153:53–86 (1999).
- [44] A. A. OBLOMKOV, Monodromy-free Schrödinger operators with quadratically increasing potentials, *Theo. Math. Phys.*, 121:1574–1584 (1999).
- [45] K. OKAMOTO, Studies on the Painlevé equations III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV}, *Math. Ann.*, 275:221–255 (1986).
- [46] N. OLVER and I. V. BARASHENKOV, Complex sine-Gordon-2: A new algorithm for multivortex solutions on the plane, *Theo. Math. Phys.*, 144:1223–1226 (2005).
- [47] K. A. O'NEIL, Minimal polynomial systems for point vortex equilibria, *Physica*, D219:69–79 (2006).
- [48] K. A. O'NEIL, Relative equilibrium and collapse configurations of heterogeneous vortex triple rings, *Physica*, D236:123–130 (2006).
- [49] K. A. O'NEIL, Continuous parametric families of stationary and translating periodic point vortex configurations, J. Fluid Mech., 591:393–411 (2007).
- [50] T. J. STIELTJES, Sur quelques theorems d'algebre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 100:439-440 (1885).
- [51] T. J. STIELTJES, Sur les polynomes de Jacobi, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 100:620-622 (1885).
- [52] H. UMEMURA, Painlevé equations and classical functions, Sugaku Expositions, 11:77–100 (1998).
- [53] H. UMEMURA, Painlevé equations in the past 100 Years, A.M.S. Translations, 204:81-110 (2001).
- [54] A. P. VESELOV, On Stieltjes relations, Painlevé-IV hierarchy and complex monodromy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 34:3511– 3519 (2001).
- [55] A. P. VESELOV and A. B. SHABAT, A dressing chain and the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operator, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 27:1–21 (1993).
- [56] A. P. VOROB'EV, On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation, Diff. Eqns., 1:58–59 (1965).
- [57] A. I. YABLONSKII, On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation, Vesti Akad. Navuk. BSSR Ser. Fiz. Tkh. Nauk, 3:30–35 (1959).