## SPATIAL CORRELATIONS IN THE HELIUM - ION ZING BACKGROUND

Steven R.Furlanetto

D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, U niversity of C alifornia, Los A ngeles, C A 90095, U SA; em ail: sfurlane@ astro.ucla.edu D raft version February 21, 2024

## ABSTRACT

A fier quasars ionize intergalactic H e II at z 3, a large radiation eld builds up above the H e II ionization edge. Unlike the background responsible for H I ionizations, this eld should be highly variable, thanks to the scarcity of bright quasars and the relatively short attenuation lengths (50 M pc) of these high-energy photons. Recent observations of the H e II and H I Ly forests show that this background does indeed vary strongly, with substantial uctuations on scales as small as

2 Mpc. Here we show that such spatial uctuation scales are naturally expected in any model in which the sources are as mare as bright quasars, so long as the attenuation length is relatively small. The correlation length itself is comparable to the attenuation length (& 10 Mpc) for the most plausible physical scenarios, but we nd order-of-magnitude uctuations on all scales smaller than

6 M pc. M oreover, aliasing along the one-dimensional skewers probed by the He II and H I Ly forests exaggerates these variations, so that order-of-m agnitude uctuations should be observed on all scales sm aller than 20 M pc. C om plex radiative transfer is therefore not required to explain the observed uctuations, at least at the level of current data.

Subject headings: cosm ology: theory { intergalactic m edium { di use radiation

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To the vast majority of baryonic matter in the Universe, the most important radiation eld is the metagalactic ionizing background, and a great deal of effort has gone into understanding its properties (e.g., Rauch et al. 1997; M cD onald et al. 2001; Tytler et al. 2004; Bolton et al. 2005; Fan et al. 2006; Becker et al. 2007). Most work has focused on measuring the mean amplitude of this background, which is indeed the only interesting aspect if the background is spatially uniform . This appears to be an excellent assumption for H Iionizing photons at z. 4, where spatial uctuations are probably only a few percent of the mean (Zuo 1992a; Fardal & Shull 1993; Meiksin & White 2004; Croft 2004; Bolton et al. 2005; Furlanetto 2008), although it breaks down near and during the reionization epoch at z & 6 (Meiksin & White 2003; Bolton & Haehnelt 2007; Mesinger & Dirkstra 2008; Choudhury et al. 2008; Furlanetto & Mesinger 2008).

Spatial uctuations are much more important for photons above the ionization edge of H e II, which can be studied with the He II Ly forest at z 3 (e.g., M iralda-Escude 1993; Jakobsen et al. 1994). A particularly powerful approach is to compare the He II and H I Ly forests along a given line of sight. The hardness ratio = N<sub>HeII</sub>=N<sub>HI</sub> param eterizes the strengths of each individual absorber; it depends on the ratio of the local ionization rates for hydrogen HI and helium HeII (Miralda-Escude 1993), which are in turn integrated m easures of the ionizing background. Several recent studies suggest that the H e II-ionizing background 2:6, with nearly order-ofuctuates strongly at z m agnitude spatial variations on scales spanning a few to a few tens of M pc (Shull et al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2004; Fechner et al. 2006; Fechner & Reim ers 2007a) and som e evidence for hardening to higher redshifts (Heap et al. 2000).

Large uctuations are naturally expected for two rea-

sons. First, only quasars are able to produce photons with energies above the 54.4 eV ionization threshold of He II, and these sources are relatively rare { implying a strongly uctuating background even after reionization (Fardalet al. 1998; Bolton et al. 2006; Furlanetto 2008). Direct evidence for these source-induced variations has been seen in the \transverse proximity effect" of the hardness ratio through com parisons of the H I and He II Ly forests with surveys for nearby quasars (Jakobsen et al. 2003; W orseck & W isotzki 2006; W orseck et al. 2007). This is exaggerated by the strong attenuation from residual intergalactic He II: the mean free path of these photons (at & 30 M pc) is about an order of magnitude smaller than that of H I-ionizing photons (Haardt & Madau 1996; Faucher-Giquere et al. 2008; Furlanetto & Oh 2008), so a typical point in the intergalactic medium (IGM) sees only a few sources. Second, radiative transfer through the clumpy IGM can induce additional uctuations (M aselli & Ferrara 2005; Tittley & Meiksin 2007).

Naively, one might expect random variations in the local quasar population to imprint a characteristic scale comparable to the attenuation length, attributing smaller scale variations to radiative transfere ects. Here we will quantitatively exam ine the spatial scales of correlations induced in the He II ionizing background by stochastic variations in quasar source counts. We will show that the  $1=r^2$  intensity pro les around the discrete sources create strong small-scale uctuations and suggest that the variations observed in the data may not require com plex radiative transfer.

In our numerical calculations, we assume a cosm ology with  $_{\rm m}$  = 0.26, = 0.74,  $_{\rm b}$  = 0.044, H<sub>0</sub> = 100h km s  $^1$  Mpc  $^1$  (with h = 0.74), n = 0.95, and  $_8$  = 0.3, consistent with the most recent measurements (D unkley et al. 2008; K om atsu et al. 2008). U nless otherwise speci ed, we use com oving units for all distances.

# 2. THE CORRELATION FUNCTION OF THE ION IZING INTENSITY

W e de ne the correlation function  $_{\rm J}$  (r) of the amplitude J of the ionizing background (for concreteness, at the ionization edge of H e II) via

$$hJ(r_1)J(r_2)i = hJi^2[1 + J(r)];$$
 (1)

where  $r_{1;2}$  label two points in the IG M,  $r = jr_1$   $r_2 j$  h:: denotes a spatial average, and we have assumed isotropy. For Poisson distributed sources and a xed photon attenuation length  $r_0$ , the probability distribution function of J (r), as well as the joint distribution function at two spatial points, can be derived either via M arko 's m ethod (Zuo 1992a,b) or the m ethod of characteristic functions (M eiksin & W hite 2003); see Furlanetto (2008) for an application to the H e II-ionizing background. Zuo (1992b) show s how to compute the correlation function from these distributions:

$$J_{\rm J}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{3N_0} \frac{L^2}{hL \, i^2} \frac{r_0}{r} \, I_{\rm J}(\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_0); \qquad (2)$$

where  $N_0 = (4 = 3)n_0 r_0^3$  is the mean number of quasars inside an attenuation volume,  $n_0$  is the total number density of quasars, and

$$I_{J}(u) = \int_{0}^{2} dx \frac{x}{\sinh x} \exp \left( u \frac{1 + e^{-x}}{1 - e^{-x}} \right)$$
(3)

In equation (2), L denotes the quasar lum inosity, and its m om ents are computed by integrating over the source lum inosity function (L). Here we have made several simplifying assumptions: (i) quasars counts are Poissondistributed around the mean value N<sub>0</sub> (see Furlanetto 2008 for a discussion of determ inistic clustering); (ii) sources are visible to in nite distance, attenuated by a factor =  $r=r_0$  (see Zuo 1992b for a discussion of uctuations in attenuation); and (iii) the elective volum e is Euclidean (certainly reasonable for  $r_0$ . 300 M pc, as used here). This corresponds to the post-reionization lim it in Furlanetto (2008).

The function  $I_J$  (u) is shown in Zuo (1992b). It limits to  ${}^2=4$  as u ! 0, so  $_J$  / r  ${}^1$  for r  $r_0$ . For r  $r_0$ , it is exponentially suppressed. Thus the shape of  $_J$  is determined entirely by our underlying assum ptions (and in particular the e  ${}^{(r=r_0)}=r^2$  ux pro le of random ly distributed sources); the lum inosities and num ber densities of the sources only a ect the amplitude of the correlation function (although real world com plexities, and especially radiative transfer, m ay m odify this sim ple expectation).

However, to determ ine the amplitude we need  $L^2$  and hLi, and thus (L). This is relatively well-determ ined at the redshifts of interest (2 . z . 3), but unfortunately our results are extremely sensitive to it. The quasar lum inosity function is typically parameterized as a double power law (e.g., Pei 1995; Boyle et al. 2000; Hopkins et al. 2007),

$$(L) = \frac{2^{2} L_{2}}{(L = L_{2}) + (L = L_{2})}; \qquad (4)$$

where  $_{?}$  norm alizes the density, L<sub>?</sub> is the characteristic break lum inosity, 1.5, and 3; all of these constants are redshift-dependent. At L L<sub>?</sub>, / L;



Fig. 1. Correlation function of the ionizing intensity,  $_{\rm J}$  (r). The thick curves use our ducial quasar lum inosity function at z = 2:5 and take  $r_0$  = 35; 55; 110; 350; and 500 M pc, from top to bottom. The thin solid curves show the plausible range of uncertainty from (L).

thus  $L^2$  diverges if 3 and converges only slow ly if . 3.

A nite maximum quasar luminosity  $L_{max}$  somewhat alleviates this problem. As a reasonable guess, we use the Eddington luminosity for a  $10^{11}$  M black hole (corresponding to a bolom etric luminosity 3:3  $10^{15}$  L ), converted to the B-band using the correction of H opkins et al. (2007). This is comparable to the brightest observed quasars, or 200L? for the redshifts of interest. D oubling  $L_{max}$  increases the amplitude of J by 5% <sup>1</sup>.

Unfortunately, the bright-end slope still introduces substantial uncertainty. To gauge its impact, consider the recent Hopkins et al. (2007) lum inosity function estim ated from a wide range of observational samples. The best-t bolom etric lum inosity function has 3:3 at z = 2.5. On the other hand, if the tting parameters are forced to vary smoothly with redshift, 3:1. If a (non-linear) correction is then applied to reconstruct the B-band values, the lum inosity function attens farther to

2:9. Finally, a naive correction from B-band lum inosity to the helium ionization edge using the observed range of quasar UV spectral indices (Zheng et al. 1998; Telfer et al. 2002; Scott et al. 2004) yields 2:8 (Furlanetto 2008), although this procedure m ay overestim ate the attening if the B-band correction is correlated with the far-UV scatter.

For concreteness, we use the Hopkins et al. (2007) B – band function that varies smoothly with redshift as our ducialm odel (2:9) but show some results for other plausible values of . Note that we only require the total num ber counts N<sub>0</sub> and the shape of the lum inosity function, so we do not need to correct (L) to the far-UV explicitly. W hen varying , we hold n<sub>0</sub> constant.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  N ote that N  $_0$  and the m om ents of L are also sensitive to the m inim um quasar lum inosity, but this dependence nearly cancels from  $_{\rm J}$  .

Figure 1 shows the resulting correlation functions at z = 2:5. From top to bottom, the thick curves take  $r_0 = 35;55;110;350;$  and 500 Mpc. The rst two are plausible values for H e II-ionizing photons (see Furlanetto & O h 2008; Furlanetto 2008); the others span the range expected for H I-ionizing photons (M adau et al. 1999; Faucher-G iguere et al. 2008).

W ith (L) held xed between these curves, the amplitude at r  $r_0$  is /  $r_0=N_0$  /  $r_0^2$ . The shapes, when normalized to  $r_0$ , are identical:  $_J$  r<sup>1</sup> at small separations followed by an exponential suppression at r  $r_0$ . Measuring this cuto would therefore be an e ective measure of the attenuation length.

However,  $r_0$  is not the typical scale at which points are highly correlated ( $_J$  1). That is set by the number density of sources and decreases with  $r_0$ . We nd the correlation lengths to be (32;26;12;1:6;0:8) M pc for  $r_0 = (35;55;110;350;500)$  M pc, respectively. Only when  $r_0$  30 M pc is the correlation length larger than the attenuation length.

Instead, the correlation length is closer to (though not exactly equal to) the typical radius at which a quasar dom inates the local photoionization rate, the so-called \proximity zone." For He II-ionizing photons, this is 16 M pc at z = 2:5 if  $L_B$  =  $10^{12} \text{ L}$ (near R<sub>prox</sub> 5  $10^{15}$  s<sup>1</sup> (a reasonable value for  $L_{?}$ ) and  $H_{eII}$ the ionizing background at z 2:5;Sokasian et al. 2002; Bolton et al. 2006); R<sub>prox</sub> is at least an order of m agnitude smaller for H I-ionizing photons. Strong correlations are con ned to the proxim ity zones around quasars; they are extremely important for the He II photons simply because that background uctuates so strongly (Bolton et al. 2006; Furlanetto 2008).

The thin solid curves in Figure 1 assume  $r_0 = 35 \text{ Mpc}$ but take di erent values for in (L): the upper curve has 2.8 (corresponding to a naive inclusion of the scatter in quasar UV spectral; Furlanetto 2008), while the lower curve follows the shape of the z = 2.5 (only) bolom etric t in Hopkins et al. (2007), with 3:3. The total uncertainty in the amplitude of  $_J$  therefore spans at least a factor of three, com parable to the di erences from the plausible range of  $r_0$ .

Note that we have assumed here that quasars produce the entire ionizing background. W hile most likely true for He II-ionizing photons (though see the discussion of recombination radiation in x4.2), galaxies provide much (if not most) of the H I-ionizing background (e.g., Faucher-G iguere et al. 2008). Galaxies are so common that their uctuations will be tiny (Furlanetto 2008), and the additional background can be considered uniform. In that case,  $_{\rm J}$  is suppressed by a factor (J<sub>Q SO</sub> =J<sub>tot</sub>)<sup>2</sup>, and the correlation length will decrease as well.

# 3. THE POW ER SPECTRUM OF THE IONIZING INTENSITY

A cleaner tool for separating the scale-dependence of the correlations is the (three-dimensional) Fourier transform of the correlation function, the power spectrum



Fig. 2. Three-dimensional power spectrum of the ionizing intensity, normalized to dimensionless form  $_{\rm J}(k) =$  $[(k^3=2\ ^2){\rm P}_{\rm J}(k)]^{1=2}$ . All thick curves assume z = 2:5 and take  $r_0 = 35;55;110;350;$  and 500 M pc, from top to bottom (line styles are identical to Fig. 1); the thin solid curves show the plausible range of uncertainty from (L).

P<sub>J</sub> (k):<sup>2</sup>

$$P_{J}(k) = 4 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dr_{J}(r) \frac{r \sin(kr)}{k}$$
: (5)

We show the dimensionless form of this quantity,  $_{\rm J}(k) = [(k^3=2\ ^2)P_{\rm J}(k)]^{1=2}$ , in Figure 2; this is roughly equal to the fractional mm s scatter when the ionizing background is smoothed on a scale '= 2 =k. The line styles are identical to those in Figure 1, with r<sub>0</sub> increasing from top to bottom.

As one should expect,  $_J$  has a break at  $k_0 = 2 = r_0$ . At  $k > k_0$ ,  $_J / k^{1=2}$ , which follows from the 1=r dependence of  $_J$ . For  $k < k_0$ ,  $_J / k^{3=2}$ , thanks to the exponential suppression in  $_J$ .

Unfortunately, measuring this power spectrum, and hence identifying this feature, requires three-dimensional data. For studying the ionizing background, we are instead limited to one-dimensional skewers along the rare Ly forest lines of sight to UV-bright quasars. Under these conditions, we can only measure the onedimensional power spectrum,

$$P_{J;1D}(k_{k}) = \frac{\sum_{k_{max}} \frac{dk}{2} k P_{J}(k); \qquad (6)$$

where the integration over smaller physical scales accounts for the possibility of aliasing.

This immediately presents a problem : at  $k > k_0$ ,  $P_J / k^2$ , so the integral is logarithm ically divergent. C learly the observed power at every scale will be dominated by the smallest scales for which the power is non-zero. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A ctually, a sim pler way to compute the power spectrum is with the halo m odel (C ooray & Sheth 2002); our physical m odel of uncorrelated sources with constant attenuation is equivalent to ignoring the two-halo term and inserting a halo" pro le / e  $(r=r_0)=r^2$  (Scherrer & Bertschinger 1991).



Fig. 3. Fractional ms scatter in the radiation eld along one-dimensional skewers, as a function of smoothing scale (or wavenumber k). All thick curves assume z = 2.5 and take  $r_0 = 35$ ; 55; 110; 350; and 500 M pc, from top to bottom (line styles are identical to Fig. 1); the thin solid curves show the plausible range of uncertainty from (L).

reality, this lim it will be set by Jeans sm oothing and therm all broadening in the IGM, which together sm ear out power on sm aller scales. For concreteness, we set  $k_{m ax} = 10 \text{ M pc}^{-1}$  in our calculations, com parable to the Jeans scale for fully-ionized m ean density gas at z = 2.5.

Figure 3 shows the one-dimensional power spectrum of the ionizing background, again presented in nondimensional form such that the amplitude is the rms scatter expected when data are smoothed on a given physical scale. The curves are identical to those in Figure 2. The exponential suppression at  $k = 10 \text{ M pc}^{-1}$  re ects the assumed Jeans scale. Because of aliasing, the onedimensional variance is always dominated by the smallest scales, and there is only a slight break at  $r_0$  in  $P_{J;1D}$  (k); it will unfortunately be very di cult to extract this param eter from one-dimensional Ly forest data.

However, the amplitude of  $P_{J;1D}$  does depend strongly on  $r_0$  and o ers another route to estimate it, albeit with relatively large uncertainties. Unfortunately, the plausible range of (L) (illustrated by the thin solid curves), introduces about a factor of two uncertainty in the overall amplitude and will make it di cult to measure  $r_0$ robustly.

W e also nd that the uctuation amplitudes are quite large: for  $r_0 = 35$  M pc, the fractional variations are of order unity even across hundreds of M pc, and an order ofm agnitude at scales 20 M pc. In contrast, the variations in the three-dimensional eld only reach this level at 6 M pc. Even when  $r_0 = 500$  M pc, order unity uctuations appear at 10 M pc.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

We have shown that the scarcity of quasars induces strong correlations in the radiation background, even if those sources are them selves random ly distributed. This is especially in portant for  $H \in II$ -ionizing photons, whose attenuation lengths are . 50 Mpc after  $H \in II$  reionization, and so have expected correlation lengths 25 M pc. The variations are especially large when viewed along one-dimensionalskewers (as provided by the Ly forest): in those circum stances aliasing induces order-unity uctuations on scales & 100 M pc, and order-ofm agnitude uctuations on scales . 20 M pc.

## 4.1. Comparison to Observations and Simulations

The best way to observe these correlations is by comparing the He II and H I Ly forests. The relative abundance of these species depends primarily on the relative radiation backgrounds above their ionization thresholds, so the hardness parameter =  $N_{HeII}=N_{HI}$  provides a measure of the local strength of the He II ionization rate (M iralda-E scude 1993; Shullet al. 2004; Zheng et al. 2004; Fechner et al. 2006; Fechner & Reimers 2007a). In the limit of a uniform HI, and if the line comparison can be made cleanly,  $/ 1 = _{HeII}$ . In that case, we can compute J directly from the observations because  $_{1=} = _{J}$  (Zuo 1992b).

A lthough this correlation function has not yet been m easured precisely, the data clearly show large variations on rather sm all scales. For example, Shull et al. (2004) detected substantial uctuations over 2 M pc segments tow and HE 2347{4342. But their quantitative level remains unclear. For example, Fechner & Reimers (2007a) showed that the highest signal-to-noise portions of that spectrum, and the entire line of sight to HS 1700+ 6416 (also high signal-to-noise), are dom inated by sm oother variations, with 33% of the IG M varying on scales . 6 M pc and & 50% varying only on scales & 14 M pc.

Contrary to previous claim s, these qualitativem easurements are consistent with the large variations on moderate and small scales expected from a simple model of discrete quasar sources, even though the attenuation length is much larger. In particular, we emphasize that aliasing amplies the apparent uctuations along Ly forest skewers, so other processes { such as complex radiative transfer { may not be required to reproduce the observations (although they may still be important; M aselli & Ferrara 2005; Tittley & M eiksin 2007).

Quasar-induced uctuations have been directly detected through the \transverse proximity e ect" in along two separate lines of sight (Jakobsen et al. 2003; Worseck & W isotzki 2006; Worseck et al. 2007): the radiation background hardens near several quasars close to the line of sight. How ever, even within the regions of low

, there are still large uctuations, especially at the low er redshifts (where  $r_0$  is larger), and there are other regions with low but no sources within 30 M pc. W hether these di erences are due to aliasing, an isotropic em ission, or radiative transfer rem ains to be seen.

A lthough detailed num erical simulations have not yet addressed this question, support for our conclusion was provided by Bolton et al. (2006), who found strong

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  W e should note that it is not trivial to com pare lines in this way, especially if they are thermally broadened (Fechner & Reimers 2007b), and in some cases the \pixel optical depth method" may be superior. Fortunately, the two methods appear to agree in most cases (Fechner & Reimers 2007a). Direct com parisons to numerical simulations is probably the safest route, but it has not yet been possible (largely because of the di culty of properly simulating H e II reionization; Paschos et al. 2007; M cQ uinn et al. 2008).

quantitatively compare to models like ours. Whether that is possible with the sparse and noisy data currently available remains to be seen, but many more lines of sight have recently become available (Zheng et al. 2008; Syphers et al. 2008), so these tests should sharpen in the near future.

## 4.2. Caveats to the M odel

However, at the moment our model itself is also too crude to make detailed comparisons with the data, and improvements are clearly needed on the theoretical side. In particular, several eects will conspire to decrease the observed uctuations relative to our calculations. First, we have included the entire intensity prole around each source, including the (divergent) r ! 0 limit. O focurse, structure within the quasars host halo will actually modify the small-scale prole (and it is not measured by the Ly forest anyway), decreasing som e of the high-k power.

M ore importantly, we have ignored the limited dynamic range available in measurements of : in reality, we cannot measure either extrem ely large values of (because of saturated absorption) or extrem ely small values (where the transmission is essentially unity). The latter limit is especially important, because it will dam p the observed power within the highly-transparent central proximity zone of each source. Zuo (1992b) considered some of the complexities of the nonlinear transform ation, but this e ect is best studied with mock spectra as in Bolton et al. (2006).

Third, we have only considered photons with a single, well-speci ed attenuation length (and chosen  $r_0$  for photons just above the H e II ionization edge). However, quasars have hard spectra (typically with L / <sup>1:6</sup> in the far-UV; Telfer et al. 2002) and so produce a substantial number of high-energy photons. Because such photons have longer mean free paths, they damp the correlations (Furlanetto 2008). However, the photoionization cross section falls steeply with frequency ( / <sup>3</sup>), so this should not qualitatively change our conclusions.

Another concern is our assumption that the entire H e II-ionizing background comes from quasars. W hile galaxies are unlikely to be signi cant (but see Furlanetto & O h 2008), a di use background must develop from the recombination radiation that follows IG M ionizations. The importance of this background depends on the geometry of the absorbers: provided that most are optically thick regions, much of the recombination radiation will be directed deeper into the (mostly neutral) system and thus not in uence the IG M (M iralda-E scude 2003). How ever, in the opposite case-B lim it, 40% of the photons are re-em itted. This could therefore decrease the am plitude of  $_J$  by a factor of order unity.

O ne m ight also wonder whether the assumption of a uniform  $_{\rm H\,I}$  is acceptable for these purposes: inside the proxim ity zone of each quasar, both  $_{\rm H\,e\,II}$  and  $_{\rm H\,I}$  increase, such that may remain constant. However, we have shown that, for the large attenuation lengths relevant to H I-ionizing photons, the correlations induced by discrete quasars only manifest them selves on . 1 M pc scales, and this will be reduced still farther if galaxies

dom inate the H I-ionizing background (as now seems likely; e.g., Faucher-G iguere et al. 2008). This is over an order of magnitude smaller than the correlation length for H e II-ionizing photons. In other words, because so many more sources contribute to the local H I-ionizing eld, the H I proximity zone is much smaller than that for H e II, so it cannot compensate for the uctuations in the higher-energy background.

On the other hand, we have ignored the determ inistic bias of quasar hosts and included the strong variations seen in far-UV quasar spectra only crudely (Telfer et al. 2002; Scott et al. 2004). Both of these e ects will am plify the sm all-scale correlations, although the degree rem ains uncertain.

The large uctuations we have found may seem surprising in comparison to previous studies, such as M eiksin & W hite (2003). They considered a quasardom inated ionizing background at high redshifts (z & 4), where the uctuations in J are also large. But they found that their e ects on the H I Ly forest transmission statistics (such as the ux probability distribution function and its power spectrum) remain m odest. Several aspects of those statistics probably account for the di erence with our conclusion. First, the Ly optical depth, on its own, is most sensitive to IGM density uctuations, which may mask variations in the radiation background (e.g., W orseck & W isotzki 2006). Second, the complex line structure of the forest (peculiar velocities, line broadening, etc.) may conceal some of the

uctuations. Finally, the e suppression decreases the available dynam ic range in the forest, as described above. D irect comparison of the H e II and H I forests provides a cleaner tool to study these uctuations principally because it rem oves the degeneracy with density (which a ects both lines the same way, at least in principle). M eiksin & W hite (2003) also assumed a signi – cantly steeper lum inosity function (= 3:41) than ours (2:9), which reduces the uctuations by a factor 2.

## 4.3. Future Directions

In addition to the improvements outlined above, spatial correlations in the high-energy radiation eld can be used to address two other sets of questions. First, we have only calculated  $_{\rm J}$  after He II reionization has ended. During reionization, the ionizing background uctuates even more strongly (Furlanetto 2008), with fewer discrete sources illuminating any point in the IGM. Thus the correlations will be even stronger { and, indeed, in many ionized regions there will only be a single active quasar, which could allow us to trace out its region of in uence in detail.

Second, to the extent that these correlations re ect the proxim ity zones of individual bright quasars, we may be able to pick out regions with large  $_{\rm H\,eII}$  from the observed spectra and associate them with known quasar (or at least galaxy) locations. In the best case, we could trace the intensity pro le around each nearby source and so test for the \transverse proxim ity e ect;" such tests have already shown hardening in the local radiation eld near quasars and placed bounds on the lifetim es of UV-bright quasars (W orseck & W isotzki 2006; W orseck et al. 2007). In the crudest sense, such regions would suggest target regions for future quasar

searches (as in Jakobsen et al. 2003). These studies require high signal-to-noise m easurem ents of the Ly forest (in both H e II and H I) and also detailed m aps of their environm ents, ideally spanning a couple of attenuation lengths (or 100 M pc, several times farther than W orseck & W isotzki 2006; W orseck et al. 2007). This exercise would elucidate, in detail, not only the attenuation of high-energy photons throughout the IG M but also properties of quasar host galaxies, the lifetim e and light curves of quasar sources (by tracing the intensity pro les

- Becker, G.D., Rauch, M., & Sargent, W.L.W. 2007, ApJ, 662, 72
- Bolton, J.S., & Haehnelt, M.G. 2007, MNRAS, 382, 325
- Bolton, J. S., Haehnelt, M. G., Viel, M., & Carswell, R.F. 2006, MNRAS, 366, 1378
- Bolton, J. S., Haehnelt, M. G., Viel, M., & Springel, V. 2005, MNRAS, 357, 1178
- Boyle, B.J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 1014
- Choudhury, T.R., Haehnelt, M.G., & Regan, J. 2008, MNRAS, in press (arX iv.org/0806.1524 [astro-ph])
- Cooray, A., & Sheth, R. 2002, Phys. Rep., 372, 1
- Croft, R.A.C.2004, ApJ, 610, 642
- D unkley, J., K om atsu, E., N olta, M. R., Spergel, D. N., Larson, D., H inshaw, G., Page, L., Bennett, C. L., Gold, B., Jarosik, N., W eiland, J. L., H alpern, M., H ill, R. S., K ogut, A., Limon, M., M eyer, S. S., Tucker, G. S., W ollack, E., & W right, E. L. 2008, A pJ, subm itted (arX iv.org/0803.0586 [astro-ph])
- Fan, X., Strauss, M. A., Becker, R. H., W hite, R. L., Gunn, J. E., Knapp, G. R., Richards, G. T., Schneider, D. P., Brinkmann, J., & Fukugita, M. 2006, AJ, 132, 117
- Fardal, M . A ., G iroux, M . L ., & Shull, J. M . 1998, A J, 115, 2206
- Fardal, M . A ., & Shull, J. M . 1993, ApJ, 415, 524
- Faucher-G iguere, C .-A ., Lidz, A ., H emquist, L ., & Zaldarriaga, M . 2008, A pJ, 688, 85
- Fechner, C., & Reimers, D. 2007a, A&A, 461, 847
- .2007b, A & A , 463, 69
- Fechner, C., et al. 2006, A & A , 455, 91
- Furlanetto, S. 2008, ApJ, submitted (arX iv.org/0812.3411 [astro-ph])
- Furlanetto, S., & Mesinger, A. 2008, MNRAS, in press (arX iv.org/0809.4493 [astro-ph])
- Furlanetto, S.R., & Oh, S.P. 2008, ApJ, 681, 1
- Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 1996, ApJ, 461, 20
- Heap, S.R., W illiger, G.M., Smette, A., Hubeny, I., Sahu, M.S., Jenkins, E.B., Tripp, T.M., & W inkler, J.N. 2000, ApJ, 534, 69
- Hopkins, P.F., Richards, G.T., & Hemquist, L. 2007, ApJ, 654, 731
- Jakobsen, P., Boksenberg, A., Deharveng, J. M., Green eld, P., Jedrzejewski, R., & Paresce, F.1994, Nature, 370, 35
- Jakobsen, P., Jansen, R.A., Wagner, S., & Reimers, D.2003, A&A, 397, 891
- Kom atsu, E., Dunkley, J., Nolta, M. R., Bennett, C. L., Gold, B., Hinshaw, G., Jarosik, N., Larson, D., Limon, M., Page, L., Spergel, D. N., Halpern, M., Hill, R. S., Kogut, A., Meyer, S. S., Tucker, G. S., W eiland, J. L., W ollack, E., & W right, E. L. 2008, ApJ, submitted (arXiv.org/0803.0547 [astro-ph])

of each source), and the geom etry of the quasar em ission (i.e., whether it is isotropic and whether it evolves over the lifetim e of the quasar).

I thank A. Lidz for comments that greatly improved this manuscript. This research was partially supported by the NSF through grant AST-0607470 and by the D avid and Lucile Packard Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- M adau, P., H aardt, F., & Rees, M.J. 1999, ApJ, 514, 648
- M aselli, A ., & Ferrara, A . 2005, M N R A S, 364, 1429
- M cD onald, P., M iralda-E scude, J., Rauch, M ., Sargent, W .L.W ., Barlow, T.A., & Cen, R.2001, ApJ, 562, 52
- M Quinn, M., Lidz, A., Zaldarriaga, M., Hemquist, L., Hopkins, P.F., Dutta, S., & Faucher-G iguere, C..2008, submitted to ApJ (arX iv.org/0807.2799 [astro-ph])
- Meiksin, A., & White, M. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 1205
- .2004, M N R A S, 350, 1107
- Mesinger, A., & Dijkstra, M. 2008, in press at MNRAS, (arX iv.org/0806.3090 [astro-ph]), 806
- M iralda-E scude, J. 1993, M N R A S, 262, 273
- M iralda-E scude, J. 2003, A pJ, 597, 66
- Paschos, P., Norman, M. L., Bordner, J. O., & Harkness, R. 2007, ApJ, submitted (arXiv.org/0711.1904 [astro-ph])
- Pei,Y.C.1995,ApJ,438,623
- Rauch, M., M iralda-E scude, J., Sargent, W. L.W., Barlow, T.A., Weinberg, D.H., Hemquist, L., Katz, N., Cen, R., & Ostriker, J.P.1997, ApJ, 489, 7
- Scherrer, R.J., & Bertschinger, E. 1991, ApJ, 381, 349
- Scott, J.E., Kriss, G.A., Brotherton, M., Green, R.F., Hutchings, J., Shull, J.M., & Zheng, W. 2004, ApJ, 615, 135
- Shull, J.M., Tum linson, J., Giroux, M.L., Kriss, G.A., & Reimers, D.2004, ApJ, 600, 570
- Sokasian, A., Abel, T., & Hemquist, L. 2002, MNRAS, 332, 601
- Syphers, D., Anderson, S.F., Zheng, W., Haggard, D., Meiksin, A., Chiu, K., Hogan, C., Schneider, D.P., & York, D.G. 2008, ApJ, in press (arX iv.org/0809.0765 [astro-ph])
- Telfer, R. C., Zheng, W., Kriss, G. A., & Davidsen, A. F. 2002, ApJ, 565, 773
- Tittley, E.R., & Meiksin, A. 2007, MNRAS, 380, 1369
- Tytler, D ., et al. 2004, ApJ, 617, 1
- W orseck, G., Fechner, C., W isotzki, L., & Dall'Aglio, A. 2007, A&A, 473, 805
- W orseck, G., & W isotzki, L. 2006, A & A, 450, 495
- Zheng, W ., Kriss, G . A ., Telfer, R . C ., G rim es, J. P., & D avidsen, A . F . 1998, A pJ, 492, 855
- Zheng, W ., M eiksin, A ., P ifko, K ., Anderson, S.F., H ogan, C.J., T ittley, E ., K riss, G .A ., Chiu, K ., Schneider, D .P., York, D .G ., & W einberg, D .H .2008, ApJ, 686, 195
- Zheng, W ., et al. 2004, ApJ, 605, 631
- Zuo, L. 1992a, M NRAS, 258, 36
- .1992b, MNRAS, 258, 45