DOC: A code to calculate scalar one-loop four-point integrals with com plex m asses

DAO ThiNhung and LE DucNinh

M ax-planck-Institut fur Physik (W emer-H eisenberg-Institut), D-80805 M unchen, G erm any

Abstract

We present a new Fortran code to calculate the scalar one-loop four-point integral with complex internal masses, based on the method of 't Hooft and Veltman. The code is applicable when the external momenta full la certain physical condition. In particular it holds if one of the external momenta or a sum of them is timelike or lightlike and therefore covers all physical processes at colliders. All the special cases related to massless external particles are treated separately. Some technical issues related to num erical evaluation and Landau singularities are discussed.

1 Introduction

In order to calculate the radiative corrections to reactions with unstable internal particles (like the top quark or W/Z gauge bosons) which can be on-shell, one has to resum the propagator of these particles. This can lead to prescriptions where the squared mass of unstable particles are regarded as complex parameters with a nite imaginary part proportional to the width. It means that we have to calculate Feynman integrals with complex masses.

From the mathematical view point, the introduction of a width helps to protect the cross section from several singularities, called Landau singularities [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Let us explain this in a simple way. In order to de ne a Feynman integral in the case of realmasses, one needs the i -prescription to deform the integration contour from various poles. The nalresult is obtained by taking the lim it ! 0.W e observe that the position of the poles, in general, depend on the values of internal masses and external momenta (see Appendix A form ore details). It is therefore possible that these poles can pinch the integration contour in the lim it ! 0, leading to a Landau singularity. By making the masses com plex, the poles are moved away from the real axis and hence all the various Landau singularities no longer exist.

The method to calculate scalar one-loop integrals with real/complex masses was explained by 't Hooft and Veltman [7]. Following this, van O Idenborgh has written a Fortran package FF to calculate one-loop integrals [8, 9]. Based on this package, a more convenient library called LoopTools which can calculate scalar and tensor one-loop integrals up to

ve-point has been developed by H ahn and colleagues [0, 11]. LoopTools/FF can handle one-loop integrals with complex masses up to three-point. The only missing piece in the scalar integral sector is the one-loop four-point function with complex masses. A Fortran 77 code to calculate this function is presented in this paper. The method is based on the one given in [7] where a general result is written down, see Eq. (6.26) of [7]. This result is applicable as long as the externalm on enta full lla certain physical condition. In particular it holds if one of the externalm on enta or a sum of them is timelike. If there is at least one lightlike externalm on entum then sim ilar results can be derived by using the same method. If the condition is not met then the calculation becomes mathematically quite tricky. In principle, the result in this case should be an analytical continuation of Eq. (6.26) of [7] with some extra logarithm s. However, it is not easy to ind these terms in practice. Fortunately, this special case is never encountered in collider scatterings where there always exists at least two tim elike/lightlike externalm om enta.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we give all the relevant form ulae which have been in plemented in our code, named DOC. All the important facts related to the code are given in Section 3. The appendices include a discussion on threepoint and four-point Landau singularities and the calculation of a basic integral.

In our metric timelike p implies positive $p^2\,\textbf{.}$

2 The method

The notation used in this paper follows closely the one of Ref. [7]. The de nition of logarithm, Spence function and -function are the same. The signature of our space-time metric is (+; ; ;) which is di erent from the one used by 't Hooft and Veltman, however.



Figure 1: The box diagram .

W ith the momenta assigned as in Fig. 1, the scalar one-loop four-point function in 4-dimension space time is de ned by

$$D_{0} = \frac{Z}{i^{2}} \frac{d^{4}q}{i^{2}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{j=1} \left[(q+k_{j})^{2} - m_{j}^{2} + i \right]}_{(1)}$$

where the m om enta \boldsymbol{k}_i are related to the real external m om enta as

$$k_1 = 0; k_2 = p_1; k_3 = p_1 + p_2; k_4 = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$$
 (2)

and the internal masses can have a non-positive imaginary part

$$m_{i}^{2} = m_{i}^{2}$$
 im_{i i}; $m_{i i}$ 0; $i = 1;2;3;4$ (3)

with $\ _{\rm i}$ are the width of the internal particles. The Feynm an parameter representation reads

$$D_{0} = \frac{2}{0} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} dx_{4} - \frac{2}{(\frac{1}{2} + 1)} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} dx_{4} - \frac{2}{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} dx_{4} - \frac{2}{2} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{3} dx_{4} - \frac{2}{2} dx_{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{1} dx_{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} dx_{1} d$$

 Q_{ij} is called the Landau matrix. By changing the integration variables as $t = \int_{i=1}^{P} \frac{4}{x_{ij}} x_{ij}$ $x = \int_{i=1}^{3} x_{ij} y = x_1 + x_2$, $z = x_1$ and integrating out the D irac delta function we obtain

$$D_{0} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z_{1} & Z_{x} & Z_{y} \\ dx & dy & dz \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}}{\frac{1}{(ax^{2} + by^{2} + gz^{2} + cxy + hxz + jyz + dx + ey + kz + f i)^{2}}}$$
(5)

with

$$a = p_3^2; \ b = p_2^2; \ g = p_1^2; \ c = 2p_2 p_3; \ h = 2p_1 p_3; \ j = 2p_1 p_2; d = m_3^2 \ m_4^2 \ p_3^2; \ e = m_2^2 \ m_3^2 \ p_2^2 \ 2p_2 p_3; \ k = m_1^2 \ m_2^2 + p_1^2 + 2p_1 p_4;$$
(6)
 f = m_4^2: (6)

It is important to notice that a, b, g, c, h and j are real while d, e, k and f are complex. The denom inator always has a negative imaginary part as indicated by the " i "

Im
$$(dx + ey + kz + f i) = Im [zm_1^2 + (y z)m_2^2 + (x y)m_3^2 + (1 x)m_4^2 i] < 0: (7)$$

The Landau determ inant as a function of new variables reads

$$det(Q) = k^{2}c^{2} + e^{2}h^{2} + d^{2}j^{2} \quad 2ehkc \quad 2djkc \quad 2dehj \\ + 4cdeg + 4cfhj \quad 4fgc^{2} \quad 4afj^{2} \quad 4ae^{2}g + 4aejk$$
(8)
$$4bgd^{2} + 4bhkd \quad 4bfh^{2} \quad 4bak^{2} + 16bafg:$$

The scalar one-bop four-point integral is a function of 10 variables:

$$D_{0} = D_{0} (a_{1}; a_{2}; a_{3}; a_{4}; a_{5}; a_{6}; b_{1}; b_{2}; b_{3}; b_{4})$$
(9)

where the set of variables are given in Table 1 which shows all the symmetries of this function^y. Using those symmetries, we see that the notation of external momentum is extended to include also $(p_1 + p_2)$ and $(p_2 + p_3)$. This de nition of external momentum will be used hereafter.

2.1 At least one lightlike m om entum

If there exists at least one lightlike momentum which we can always choose to be p_1 then the denom inator in Eq. (5) becomes linear in z. The last integral can be easily performed to get

$$D_{0}^{(1)} = T (a;b;c;h;j;d;e;f;k) T (a;b+ j;c+ h;h;j;d;e+ k;f;k);$$
 (10)

where the calculation of the T function is given in Subsection 2.3. The result contains 72 Spence functions since each T function contains 36 Spence functions.

In the case where D₀ has two non-adjacent lightlike m om enta, say $p_1^2 = p_3^2 = 0$, the result can be written in terms of two T functions as above. However, one should rather

 $^{^{}y}$ Eq. (4) shows that D $_{0}$ has 4! = 24 sym m etries.

a ₁	a ₂	a ₃	a ₄	a ₅	a ₆	b_{l}	b ₂	b ₃	b ₄
p_1^2	p_2^2	p_3^2	p_4^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	m 2 1	m 2/2	m 2/3	m 2/4
p_1^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_3^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_4^2	p_2^2	m 2 1	m 2/2	m 2/4	m 2 3
$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_2^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_4^2	p_1^2	p_3^2	m 2 1	m 2 3	m 2/2	m 2/4
$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_3^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_1^2	p_4^2	p_2^2	m 2 1	m 2 3	m 2/4	m 2/2
p_4^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_2^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p ₁ ²	p_3^2	m 2 1	m 4 2	m 2	m 2 3
p_4^2	p ₃ ²	p_2^2	p_1^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	m $_1^2$	m 2/4	m 2 3	m 2/2
p_1^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_3^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_2^2	p_4^2	m 2/2	m 2 1	m 2 3	m 2/4
p_1^2	p_4^2	p_3^2	p_2^2	(p ₂ + p ₃) ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	m 2/2	m 2 1	m 2/4	m 2 3
p ₂ ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_4^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_1^2	p_3^2	m 2/2	m 2 3	m 2 1	m 2/4
p_2^2	p_3^2	p_4^2	p_1^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	m 2/2	m 2 3	m 4/2	m 2 1
$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_4^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_2^2	p_1^2	p_3^2	m 2/2	m 4 2	m 2 1	m 2 3
$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_3^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_1^2	p_2^2	p_4^2	m 2/2	m	m 2 3	m 2 1
$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p ₁ ²	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p ₃ ²	p ₂ ²	p_4^2	m 2 3	m 2 1	m 2	m 2/4
$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_4^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_2^2	p ₃ ²	p ₁ ²	m 2 3	m 2 1	m 2/4	m 2/2
p ₂ ²	p ₁ ²	P_4^2	p ₃ ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	m 2 3	m 2/2	m 2 1	m 4 2
p_{2}^{2}	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_4^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_3^2	p_1^2	m 2 3	m_2^2	m $_4^2$	m $_1^2$
p_3^2	p ₄ ²	p ₁ ²	p ₂ ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	m 2 3	m 2/4	m 2 1	m 2
p ₃ ²	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_1^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p ₂ ²	p_4^2	m 2 3	m 2/4	m 2	m 2 1
p_4^2	p ₁ ²	p_2^2	p ₃ ²	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	m 4/2	m 2 1	m 2	m 2 3
p_4^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_2^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_3^2	p_1^2	m 4/2	m 2 1	m 3	m 2/2
$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p_1^2	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p ₃ ²	p_4^2	p_2^2	m 2/4	m 2/2	m 2	m 2 3
$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p ₂ ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p ₄ ²	p ₃ ²	p_1^2	m 4	m_2^2	m 2	m_1^2
p ₃ ²	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	p_1^2	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	p ₄ ²	p_2^2	m 2/4	m 2 3	m 2 1	m_2^2
p_3^2	p ₂ ²	p ₁ ²	p ₄ ²	$(p_2 + p_3)^2$	$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	m 2/4	m 2	m 2	m_1^2

Table 1: Sym m etries of D $_{\rm 0}$

combine them into one single function as (in this way, the result contains a lesser number of Spence functions)

$$D_{0}^{(13)} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} Z_{1} & Z_{x} \\ dx & dy \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}}{\frac{y}{(Gy^{2} + Hxy + Dx + Iy + F \quad i)(Ay^{2} + Cxy + Dx + Ey + F \quad i)}} (11)$$

where

$$A = b + j; C = c + h; D = d; E = e + k;$$

 $F = f; G = b; H = c; I = e:$ (12)

We notice that each denominator is a linear function in ${\bf x}$ hence one can perform the x-integral by using:

The result is written in terms of 32 Spence functions [3, 6]

$$D_{0}^{(13)} = \frac{Z_{1}}{0} \frac{dy}{SV TU} \ln \frac{S + T}{U + V} \ln \frac{Sy + T}{Uy + V}$$

= $\frac{1}{(H A CG)(y_{2} y_{1})} \frac{X^{2} X^{4}}{y_{j=1}^{j=1}} (1)^{j+j} \frac{dy}{0} \ln (A_{j}y^{2} + B_{j}y + C_{j}); (14)$

where

$$S = H y + D; T = G y^{2} + Iy + F i;$$

$$U = C y + D; V = A y^{2} + E y + F i;$$
(15)

and $y_{1,2}$ are the two roots of the equation

$$(HA CG)y^{2} + (AD + HE DG CI)y + DE DI + (H C)(F i) = 0$$
 (16)
or^z

$$y_{1;2} = \frac{(AD + HE DG CI)}{2(HA CG)}$$
(17)

where the indices 1, 2 correspond to and + signs respectively and the discriminant,

 $det(Q) = (AD + HE DG CI)^{2} 4(HA CG)(DE DI + HF CF); (18)$

is nothing but the determ inant of the Landau matrix Q de ned in Eq. (8). The various coe cients of the argument of the logarithm s are given in Table 2. The integral in Eq. (14) will be considered in Appendix B.

Table	e2:	The coe	cients o	fthe D $_0^{(1)}$	¹³⁾ –fiu	nction
	j	Αj	В _ј	Сj		
	1	A	E + C	D + F	i	
	2	G	I+ H	D + F	i	
	3	G + H	D + I	F	i	
	4	A + C	E + D	F	i	

2.2 General case

As proven in [7] (see Eq. (6.18)), D $_0$ can be written as

$$D_{0} = T (a + b + c;g;j + h;c + 2b + h + j;j + 2 g;d + e;k;f;e + k)$$

+ (1) T (a;b + g + j;c + h;c + h;(j + 2 g)(1);d;e + k;f;e + k)
+ T (a;b;c;c + h; (j + 2 g);d;e;f;e + k) (19)

^z In the case of real masses, the "i"-part of each root should be written separately as shown in Eq. (A 2).

where is a root of the equation

$$g^{2} + j + b = 0$$
: (20)

The method is restricted to real , i.e.

$$j^{2} \quad 4bg = 4[(p_{1} \quad p)^{2} \quad p_{1}^{2}p_{2}^{2}] \\ = [(p_{1} + p_{2})^{2}; p_{1}^{2}; p_{2}^{2}] \quad 0;$$
(21)

$$(x;y;z) = x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} 2xy 2xz 2yz:$$
 (22)

This is satisfied if $p_1(or p_2 or p_1 + p_2)$ is timelike. If the above condition is not full led then one has to keep rotating the external momenta by using the symmetric properties given in Table 1 until it is met. The right hand side (rhs) of Eq. (19) contains 36 3 = 108 Spence functions.

2.3 The T function

W e now consider the following T function

$$T (A;B;C;G;H;D;E;F;J) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \int_{x}^{Z_{x}} dy = \int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(Gx+Hy+J)(Ax^{2}+By^{2}+Cxy+Dx+Ey+F_{1})};$$
 (23)

where A, B, C, G and H are real and D, E, F and J can be complex with the restriction that the imaginary part of the second denom inator has a denite sign, say negative as indicated by the i. In order to make the imaginary part of the rst denom inator also negative, we multiply both the numerator and denom inator of the integrand with $s_J = sign(J)$ and get

$$T = s_{J} \int_{0}^{Z} dx \int_{0}^{Z} dy \frac{1}{(Gx + Hy + J i^{0})(Ax^{2} + By^{2} + Cxy + Dx + Ey + F i)} (24)$$

where G = s_{J}G, H = s_{J}H, J = s_{J}J and ⁰ is in nitesimally positive.

2.3.1 General case: $A \notin 0$ and $B \notin 0$

Following the method explained in [7], we obtain (similar to Eq. (6.26) of [7])

$$s_{J}T = \frac{1}{K(y_{2} - y_{1})} \frac{X^{2} X^{6}}{\sum_{i=1}^{j=1}^{j=1} 0} (1)^{i} \frac{C_{j}}{y_{i}} dy \frac{C_{j}}{(1)^{j+1}C_{j}y - b_{j} - y_{i}} \ln (A_{j}y^{2} + B_{j}y + C_{j})$$

$$\frac{1}{K(y_{2} - y_{1})} \frac{X^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{j=1}} (1)^{i}P_{i}\ln R_{i}$$
(25)

with the following cascade of notation.

$$y_1 = \frac{L}{2K} \frac{p_{\text{det}(Q)}}{2K}; \quad y_2 = \frac{L + p_{\text{det}(Q)}}{2K}; \quad \text{det}(Q) = L^2 \quad 4N \text{ K}$$
(26)

are the roots and the discrim inant of the equation

$$Ky^{2} + Ly + N = 0; K = B(G + H) H(C + 2 B);$$

$$L = (G + H)E H(D + E) J(C + 2 B);$$

$$N = (G + H)(F i) (D + E)J$$
(27)

with is one root of the equation

$$B^{2} + C + A = 0: (28)$$

It is easy to check that the discrim inant is free of f and is indeed the determ inant of the Landau matrix Q if our calculation is set in the context of Eq. (10) or Eq. (19). And

$$R_{i} = \frac{H y_{i} + J}{B y_{i}^{2} + E y_{i} + F i} = \frac{G + H}{(C + 2 B) y_{i} + D + E};$$

$$P_{i} = \frac{X^{3} Z_{1}}{y_{i}^{2} - 1} \frac{C_{j}}{(-1)^{j+1} c_{j} y - b_{j} - y_{i}} = \frac{dy \frac{1}{y - y_{i}}}{4};$$
(29)

where 4 is the beta triangle [0;1;] as shown in Fig. 2. The second term in Eq. (25) is nothing but the residue contribution. All the other coe cients are listed in



Figure 2: The beta triangle [0;1;] in the complex plane.

Table 3.

	Tab	le 3: 1	The coe c	ients of the	T function
j	Cj	bj	Αj	Вj	Сj
1	1		0	Н	G+J i ⁰
2	1+	0	0	G + H	J i ⁰
3		0	0	G	J i ⁰
4	1		В	C + E	A + D + F i
5	1	0	A + B + 0	C E + D	Fi
6		0	А	D	Fi

We have an important remark here. Eq. (25) is very dimension Eq. (6.26) of [7] in the respect of numerical evaluation. 't Hooft and Veltman suggested a way to proceed with the latter by splitting the residue into two parts: one part has the same form as the

rst term in Eq. 25) but with the variable y in the argument of the logarithms replaced by the pole of the corresponding previous factor, the other part is related to the -function. Eq. (6.26) of [7] has two disadvantages. First, this equation is used in connection with the function S₃ de ned in Appendix B of [7]. However, S₃ is only well de ned if y is not a root of the argument of the second logarithm. In fact, there are several cases where the second logarithm of S₃ is divergent but the nal result of T is nite. This point is made clear from the calculation of the function S₁ in Appendix B. Second, this equation leads to the evaluation of several -functions which might su er from nasty cancellations. In particular, the -functions in eqs. (6.26, B.2) of [7] may cause serious problem s.

The representation (8) leads naturally to two ways of num erical evaluation. This will be discussed in Section 3.

2.3.2 Special cases: A = 0 or B = 0

These special cases can occur when we apply Eq. (10) for the case of more than one lightlike momenta.

If B = 0 then both denom inators in Eq. (24) are linear in y then the y-integral can be easily perform ed. The result reads

$$T (A;0;C;G;H;D;E;F;J) = \frac{1}{(HA CG)(y_2 y_1)} \sum_{i=1}^{X^2 X^4} (1)^{i+j} Z_{1} Q_{i} Q$$

where $y_{1,2}$ are the two roots of

$$(HA CG)y^{2} + (HD CJ EG)y + H (F i) E (J i^{0}) = 0$$
(31)

or

$$y_{1} = \frac{(H D C J E G)}{2(H A C G)}; y_{2} = \frac{(H D C J E G) + \frac{p}{det(Q)}}{2(H A C G)};$$

$$det(Q) = (H D C J E G)^{2} 4(H A C G)(H F E J):$$
(32)

The discrim inant is the determ inant of the Landau matrix Q if our calculation is set in the context of Eq. (10) or Eq. (19). The other coe cients are given in Table 4.

If A = 0, we change the integration order by using Eq. (13) and then change the integration variables in two successive steps: $x = x^0 + y$ and $y = 1 - y^0$. At the end, one should obtain the following relation

$$T (0;B;C;G;H;D;E;F;J) = T (B + C;0; C; G H;G;D E 2B 2C;D + C;D + E + B + C + F;G + H + J):$$
(33)

Thus, we get back to the above case of B = 0.

	4.	T THE COLE		r uie	тш	ц.
	j	Αj	В _ј	C	2 j	
-	1	A + C	E + D	F	i	
	2	0	G + H	J	i ⁰	
	3	0	G	J	i ⁰	
	4	А	D	F	i	

Table 4: The coe cients of the T function

We conclude this section by observing that the above results can be also applied for the case of realm asses. In this case, the two poles $y_{1,2}$ in the rhs of eqs. (14, 25, 30) can be real and the i -prescription is needed. The position of the poles depend on the value of internalm asses and externalm on enta. Thus, it m ight happen that the two poles become equal and pinch the integration contour, [0;1]. If this occurs then the D₀ function can have a four-point leading Landau singularity (LLS). The issue of Landau singularities will be discussed in the appendices.

3 The code DOC

The above m ethod has been in plem ented into a Fortran 77 code, DOC, which can calculate the scalar one-loop four-point integral, D_0 , with com plex/real internal m asses. The restriction is that at least one external m on entum m ust be lightlike or m ust be real (see Eq. (21)). The code is best exploited when included into the library LoopTools where a reduction procedure to calculate tensor one-loop ve-point integrals with com plex m asses is available. Thus, the new version of LoopTools (LoopTools-2.4) can handle all the one-loop integrals with com plex m asses up to ve-point. The original code DOC can be downloaded at:

http://wwwthmppmumpg.de/members/ldninh/index.html

LoopTools-2.4 including DOC^{x} can be downloaded at:

http://www.feynarts.de/looptools/

In the language of LoopTools, the D $_0$ function with complex m asses, also nam ed DOC, is invoked in the same way as the usual DO with realm asses. However, all the arguments of DOC must be of double complex type.

The inplementation of Eq. (25) is straightforward. However, there is an important point we want to stress. The residue term on the rhs may cause serious problem of numerical cancellation when the imaginary part of the R_is become very small. Thus we want to eliminate this term as far as possible. Our algorithm is based on the simple fact that this contribution vanishes if the pole is outside of the beta triangle. If is real, the triangle becomes a line in the complex plane. The residue contribution vanishes. If is complex, there are two choices. First, we take = 1 which is the largest root of Eq. (28).

^xT hom as H ahn has adapted this code to LoopTools. The structure is unchanged. The way of coding is slightly m odi ed. The num erical results of the two codes should be identical.

There is a very e cient method to check whether a point (pole) is inside a triangle by using the barycentric technique. This idea is very simple. From a triangle, ABC, one can de ne a barycentric reference fram e $A\dot{B}$, $A\dot{C}$) where A is the origin. The coordinates of a point P, (x, y), are easily calculated by writing:

$$AP = xAB + yAC :$$
(34)

Multiply both sides by AB and AC to get a system of two equations to solve for (x, y). The conditions for P to be inside the triangle ABC are: x and y must be positive and x + y = 1. However, one sees that the problem of numerical cancellation is still present if x or y is very small, i.e. the point P is very close to the border of the triangle. If this happens, we repeat the above steps with the second choice = 2. That is what we have done in the code.

In the worst case, if x or y is very small for both choices of then the code will produce a warning of num erical cancellation but still continue the computation. The result in this case is not reliable and must be cross-checked. The code includes a means to do this. Indeed, we have written two di erent codes, called versions 1 and 2, to evaluate the rhs of Eq. (25) based on two di erent strategies. The rst one is described as above. In the second one, the residue contribution is always calculated as long as is complex. One has to specify which version when calling the main function:

where meth=0 (version 1) or 1 (version 2). The two versions are di erent only when is com plex. For LoopTools-2.4, one can choose di erent versions by de ning a version key before calling the DOC function as

call setversionkey(i*K eyD 0C) d0c=D 0C (p1,p2,p3,p4,p1p2,p2p3,m1,m2,m3,m4)

where i can be 0 (compute version 1), 1 (compute version 2), 2 (compute both versions, return 1) or 3 (compute both versions, return 2). The default value is i = 0.

The structure of the original code, written in double precision Fortran 77, is as follows.

- DOCn.F: the main function to return the value of the integral D₀ as a function of m assess and momenta (see Eq. (1)). It rotates the momenta to the right position and prepares input parameters for Eq. (5).
- d0c_abc.F:a subroutine called in D0Cn.F to calculate the rhs of Eq. (5).
- fT13.F is a subroutine to calculate the function $D_0^{(13)}$ as de ned by Eq. (1).
- fTn.F (including fTn_lin.F to handle special cases) is a subroutine to calculate the T function (de ned by Eq. (23)) by using the barycentric technique described above. It belongs to version 1.
- fTn_resd.F (including fTn_lin.F to handle special cases) is another subroutine to calculate the T function for cross-checking purpose. It belongs to version 2.
- fS3n.F and fR.F are subroutines to calculate the functions S_1 and R_1 de $\mbox{ ned in Appendix B}$.

- fS2.F is a subroutine to calculate the functions T and $D_0^{(13)}$ if the integrand is just a logarithm, i.e. the prefactor is just a constant.
- Fundamental functions including Spence function, logarithm, -function are taken from FF.

The code has been carefully checked as follows. Since the i -prescription is kept explicitly in our code, the code works also for the case of realm asses. In this case, our results have been checked by comparing with the D 0 function of LoopTools. Full agreem ent with the version 'b' of LoopTools has been found[{]. We then introduced the widths for internal particles and checked the results in two limits: very small and very large widths. In the form er case, we recovered the results of realm asses. For the latter case, the results were checked against the ones of a routine where the integration is done num erically by using BASES [13]. We observed good agreem ent within the integration error (see Table 5).

Tables 6,7,8 give som e num erical results of the code for two cases: com plex and real m asses. For the latter we observed that our code agrees with version 'b' of LoopTools while disagrees with version 'a'. In fact, our code produces the same results with both versions of LoopTools in almost all physical cases. The results shown in Tables 7,8 are a few cases where disagreem ent has been found^k. Thus, care must be taken when using loop libraries and one should always cross-check the results by using di erent m ethods. For instance, it can be very useful to check the results of realm asses in a tricky situation by using the code for com plex m asses with a very small in aginary part.

Table 5: C om parisons with a num erical integration routine using BASES, com plex m asses with large in aginary parts: $m_1^2 = 28900 \ 25500i$, $m_2^2 = 6400 \ 16000i$, $m_3^2 = 8100 \ 22500i$, $m_4^2 = 40000 \ 80000i$, $p_1^2 = 100$, $p_2^2 = 32400$, $p_3^2 = 400 \ p_4^2 = 250000$, $(p_2 + p_3)^2 = 84100$. Num erical integration errors are about (0.03%;0.07%).

$(p_1 + p_2)^2$	DOC	N um erical integration
48400	(-1.50823957E -10,1.62902558E -11)	(-1.5077496E -10,1.63063608E -11)
52900	(–1,51384299E –10,1,37108163E –11)	(-1.51339092E-10,1.37149562E-11)
57600	(-1.51834347E -10,1.09709949E -11)	(-1.51787895E-10,1.09683749E-11)
62500	(-1.52150627E -10,8.07814957E -12)	(-1.52101762E -10,8.07660917E -12)

[{] LoopTools has two versions, 'a' and 'b', to calculate the D 0 function [11]. Version 'a' is based on FF while version 'b' uses the m ethod of [12].

^kLoopTools does produce som e warning or error m essages in these cases.

Table 6: Som e results of DOC, com plex m asses with sm all in aginary parts: $m_1^2 = 28900$ 255i, $m_2^2 = 6400$ 160i, $m_3^2 = 8100$ 225i, $m_4^2 = 40000$ 800i, $p_4^2 = 250000$, $(p_1 + p_2)^2 = 72900$, $(p_2 + p_3)^2 = 84100$

p_1^2	p_2^2	p_3^2	DOC 10 ¹⁰
100	32400	400	(-37.6230835,-134.501524)
0	32400	400	(-37 . 8749852 , -134 . 892905)
0	32400	0	(-38.6796394,-136.041222)
0	0	0	(-28.9137581,16.3570695)

Table 7: C om parisons between LoopTools and DOC, realmasses: $m_1^2 = m_2^2 = m_3^2 = m_4^2 = 30276$, $p_4^2 = 14400$, $(p_1 + p_2)^2 = 57600$

$(p_1^2; p_2^2; p_3^2; (p_2 + p_3)^2)$	(0,124609,20,129630)	(0,0,20,73473)
LoopTools 'a' 10 ¹⁰	(-8 ,4106454,227,290811)	(19.8584112,-8.23191831)
LoopTools ' b' 10 ¹⁰	(-8.4106454,26.7103536)	(3.32391023,-6.755152E-16)
DOC 10 ¹⁰	(-8.4106454,26.7103536)	(3.32391023,-2.067952E-15)

Table 8: Continuation of Table 7

$(p_1^2; p_2^2; p_3^2; (p_2 + p_3)^2)$	(0,0,734730)	(100,50,20,734730)
LoopTools 'a' 10 ¹⁰	(-0.400586866,-6.57420392)	(-0.401370928,-6.57507623)
LoopTools ' b' 10 ¹⁰	(-0.400586866,1.85027075)	(-0.401370928,1.85083346)
DOC 10 ¹⁰	(-0.400586866,1.85027075)	(-0.401370928,1.85083346)

4 Conclusions

The Fortran code DOC allows to calculate the scalar one-loop four-point function with com plex masses for all physical processes at colliders. The method and structure of the code were explained. The results related to massless external particles and the discussion of Landau singularities com plement the study of 't Hooft and Veltman. The code has been included in LoopTools-2.4. Please refer to this paper if you use the code. Bug reports or comments should be sent to the authors³⁹.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e thank F.Boudjem a for helpful discussions and for careful reading of the m anuscript. A.Denner is advnow ledged for useful discussions and for checking som e results with real m asses. Special thanks go to T.Hahn for valuable suggestions, com m ents and his careful

The in aginary part of D $_0$ is zero in this case since no norm al-threshold-cut is open.

^{yy}Em ail: ldninh@m ppm u m pg.de or tdao@m ppm u m pg.de

reading of the m anuscript. Indeed, he has spent a bt of time and e ort to improve and adapt our code to LoopTools-2.4, thereby m aking the code m ore useful for practical calculations. Figures were produced with JaxoD raw [14].

Appendices

A Four-point leading Landau singularity

The method of this paper can also be applied for the case of real internal masses. In this case the i -prescription is needed to de ne various multivalued functions (logarithms, Spence functions) in the nal results. From the practical view point, this method is not as e cient as other methods based on projective transformation [7, 12] since the results are written in terms of a larger number of Spence functions. However, it has some use-ful virtues as a direct calculation and we will show that this method is indeed a very easy means to understand the analytical properties of the scalar four-point function. To illustrate this purpose, we rst consider the following function

$$T_{1} = \int_{0}^{L_{1}} dy \frac{\ln (Ay^{2} + By + C \quad i)}{Ky^{2} + Ly + N \quad i^{0}};$$
 (A.1)

An important property of this function is that the denom inator has, in general, two poles

$$y_{1} = \frac{L}{\frac{P}{L^{2}} \frac{4KN}{L^{2} 4KN}} + \frac{\mu \dot{L}^{0}}{Re} \frac{\dot{L}^{0}}{L^{2} 4KN};$$

$$y_{2} = \frac{L}{2K} + \frac{\mu \dot{L}^{0}}{2K} + \frac{\dot{L}^{0}}{Re} \frac{\dot{L}^{2}}{L^{2} 4KN};$$
 (A.2)

If these poles are real then it may occur that, in some region of parameter space, they pinch the integration contour [0;1], leading to a singularity. This phenom enon occurs when the two roots become equal^{2z}, i.e. det (Q) = $L^2 = 4K N + 0$. Since $y_2 = y_1 / 2i^0 \notin 0$, we can split T_1 into two terms as usual

$$T_{1} = \frac{1}{K(y_{2} - y_{1})} \int_{0}^{2} dy \frac{1}{y - y_{2}} \frac{1}{y - y_{1}} \ln (Ay^{2} + By + C - i):$$
 (A.3)

Using the results of Appendix B and taking the limit y_2 ! $y_1 = y_0 = L=(2K)$, y_0 is real, we get

$$T_{1}^{\text{sing:}} = \frac{(i_{1}; i_{1}; \frac{i_{1}}{y_{0}(y_{0} \ 1)})}{\frac{p}{\det(Q)}} [\ln(A \ i) + (z_{1}; z_{2}) + N(z_{1}; y_{0}) + N(z_{2}; y_{0})] \quad (A.4)$$

where $_{1} = {}^{0} = \operatorname{Re}^{p} \overline{L^{2} - 4K N}$, $z_{1,2}$ are the roots of the argument of the logarithm and

$$N (z; y_0) = ln (y_0 z) if z \in y_0 ln (i_z) isign (z) if z = y_0 (a;b;c) = 2 i[(Im a) (Im b) (Im c) (Im a) (Im b) (Im c)]: (A.5)$$

 $^{^{}zz}$ T his cannot happen in the case of complex masses since the discriminant, the Landau determinant det(Q), is protected from zero by an imaginary part.

W e rem ark that if

$$y_0 (y_0 = 1) < 0; \text{ or } 0 < y_0 < 1$$
 (A.6)

then the result is divergent. This is the origin of the four-point LLS.W e also observe that if $y_0 = z_1$ or/and z_2 then we have the coincident of four-point and three-point Landau singularities. The latter will be discussed in Appendix B.

The scalar one-loop four-point function, D₀, is a sum of T₁s. The above conditions for leading Landau singularity are therefore necessary but not su cient. In fact, the conditions for scalar one-loop integrals to have a LLS can be obtained by using Landau equations [1, 15, 6]. However, one m ight expect to do nd out the same conditions from a direct calculation. To illustrate the key points while keeping our explanation as simple as possible, we consider the case of D₀ function with two opposite lightlike externalm on enta, see Eq. (14). We ignore the case of coincident singularity and assume $y_0 \notin z_{1;2}$, Eq. (A.4) becomes

$$T_{1}^{\text{sing:}} = \frac{(i_{1}; i_{1}; \frac{i_{1}}{y_{0}(y_{0} \ 1)})}{\frac{p}{\det(Q)}} \ln(Ay_{0}^{2} + By_{0} + C \ i); \qquad (A.7)$$

where we recall that y_0 is real. Apply this result to Eq. (14) we get

$$D_{0}^{(13);\text{sing}:} = \frac{(i_{1}; i_{1}; \frac{1}{y_{0}(y_{0}-1)})}{\text{P} \det(Q)} \quad \ln \frac{S_{0} + T_{0} \quad i}{U_{0} + V_{0} \quad i} \quad \ln \frac{S_{0}y_{0} + T_{0} \quad i}{U_{0}y_{0} + V_{0} \quad i} \quad (A.8)$$

where the index 0 in the rhsm eans that the functions are real (without i) and calculated at $y = y_0$. We now use the following property

$$\frac{S_0 Y_0 + T_0}{U_0 Y_0 + V_0} = \frac{S_0 + T_0}{U_0 + V_0} = \frac{S_0}{U_0} = \frac{T_0}{V_0} = P_0$$
(A.9)

to get

$$D_{0}^{(13);\text{sing}:} = \frac{(i_{1}; i_{1}; \frac{i_{1}}{y_{0}(y_{0}-1)}) \quad (i_{U_{0}+V_{0}}^{P_{0}, 1}; i_{U_{0}+V_{0}}^{P_{0}, 1}; i_{P_{0}, (U_{0}+V_{0})})}{p_{det(Q)}}: \quad (A.10)$$

Thus, necessary and su cient conditions for the function $D_0^{(13)}$ to have a LLS are

$$\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{\circ}{\leftarrow} \det(Q) = 0 \\ 0 < y_0 < 1 \\ (U_0 + V_0)(U_0 y_0 + V_0) < 0 \text{ and } T_0 V_0 < 0 \end{array}$$
(A.11)

where y_0 is the root of the equation SV TU = 0. We have checked that this result agrees with the general conditions obtained by using Landau equations [6].

B Basic integral and three-point Landau singularity

W e calculate the following integral

$$S_{1} = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{\ln (Ay^{2} + By + C \quad i)}{y \quad y_{0} + i^{0}}; \quad (B.12)$$

where A is real, while B, C and y_0 m ay be complex, with the restriction that the imaginary part of the argument of the logarithm has always the same sign for 0 y 1, as indicated by the "i". The "i⁰" is only needed when y_0 becomes real.

The num erator can be written as

$$\ln(Ay^{2} + By + C \quad i) = \ln(A \quad i) + \ln(y \quad z_{1}) + \ln(y \quad z_{2}) + \ln(y \quad z_{2}) + (z_{1}; z_{2}); \quad (B.13)$$

where $z_{1,2}$ are the two roots of the argum ent of the logarithm . We have

$$S_1 = [\ln (A \ i) + (z_1; z_2)] \ln \frac{y_0 \ 1}{y_0} + R_1 (y_0; z_1) + R_1 (y_0; z_2);$$
 (B.14)

where the R $_1$ -function is de ned as

$$R_{1}(y;z) = \int_{0}^{2} dx \frac{\ln(x + i_{z})}{x + i_{y}}$$
(B.15)

with z_{y} are in nitesimal. If y = z and being complex, we use

$$R_{1}(y;z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1-z}{z} [\ln (1-z) + \ln (-z)]:$$
 (B.16)

If y = z and being real, we then use

$$\ln (x \ z + i_z) = \ln (x \ z + i_y) \quad (x \ z + i_z; \frac{1}{x \ z + i_y}) \quad (B.17)$$

to get

$$R_{1}(y;z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{y} i_{y} [\ln(1 y + i_{y}) + \ln(y + i_{y}) + 2 (i_{y}; i_{z}; \frac{i_{y}}{1 y})] + (i_{y}; i_{z}; \frac{i_{y}}{y(y 1)}) [\ln(i_{y}) \ln(y + i_{y})]:$$
(B.18)

We observe that if 0 y = z - 1 and $y_z < 0$ then integration contour is pinched and this singularity corresponds to the anom alous threshold of scalar three-point integral (also called the three-point Landau singularity) whose nature is logarithm ic. Since the value of the -function is purely in aginary, the in aginary part of R₁ is divergent while there is a nite jump in the real part of R₁ at the singular point.

If $z \in y$ then the result reads

$$R_{1}(y;z) = \ln(y z) \ln \frac{1 y}{y} i_{y} + R(y;z)$$
 (B.19)

where the function R (y;z) is given in Appendix B of [7]. When calculating the rhs of Eq. (B.19), if the argument (y z) becomes negatively real then one has to introduce an in nitesimal in aginary part i_{yz} . The result does not depend on the sign of this in aginary part as long as we introduce it everywhere in the rhs of Eq. (B.19). Thus, the simplest way is to write y $z = y + i_z$. This completes our calculation of S_1 .

References

- [1] L.D. Landau, Nucl. Phys. 13 (1959) 181.
- [2] R.Eden et al., Cambridge University Press (1966).
- [3] F.Boudjem a and LD.Ninh, Phys.Rev.D 78 (2008) 093005, 0806.1498.
- [4] S.Goria and G.Passarino, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 183 (2008) 320, 0807.0698.
- [5] S.Actis et al, Phys. Lett. B 669 (2008) 62, 0809.1302.
- [6] L.D. Ninh, PhD thesis, (2008), 0810.4078.
- [7] G. 't Hooft and M J.G. Veltm an, Nucl. Phys. B153 (1979) 365.
- [8] G.J. van Oldenborgh, Comput. Phys. Commun. 66 (1991) 1.
- [9] G J. van Oldenborgh and JA M. Verm aseren, Z. Phys. C 46 (1990) 425.
- [10] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, Comput. Phys. Commun. 118 (1999) 153, hep-ph/9807565.
- [11] T.Hahn and M.Rauch, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 157 (2006) 236, hep-ph/0601248.
- [12] A.Denner, U.Nierste and R.Scharf, Nucl. Phys. B367 (1991) 637.
- [13] S.Kawabata, Comp.Phys.Commun.88 (1995) 309.
- [14] D.Binosi and L.Theussl, Comput. Phys. Commun. 161 (2004) 76, hep-ph/0309015.
- [15] S.Colem an and R E.Norton, Nuovo C im. 38 (1965) 438.