The Chemical Evolution of G lobular C lusters - I. R eactive E lements and N on-M etals

A.Marcolini¹, B.K.Gibson¹, A.I.Karakas², P.Sanchez-Blazquez^{1;3}

¹ Jerem iah Horrocks Institute for A strophysics & Supercom puting, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, UK ²Research School of A stronom y & A strophysics, M t Strom b O bservatory, W eston Creek ACT 2611, Australia ² Instituto de A strof sica de Canaria; c/V a Lactea s/n, E 38205, La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain.

A coepted ..., R eceived ...; in original ...

ABSTRACT

We propose a new chem ical evolution model aim ed at explaining the chem ical properties of globular clusters (GC) stars. Our model depends upon the existence of (i) a peculiar pre-enrichment phase in the GC's parent galaxy associated with very low m etallicity Type II supernovae (SN e II), and (ii) localized inhom ogeneous enrichm ent from a single Type Ia supernova (SN e Ia) and interm ediate-m ass (4-7 M) asymptotic giant branch (AGB) eld stars.GC form ation is then assumed to take place within this chem ically-peculiar region. Thus, in our model the rst low -m ass GC stars to form are those with peculiar abundances (i.e., O-depleted and Na-enhanced) while \norm al" stars (i.e., O -rich and Na-depleted) are form ed in a second stage when self-pollution from SNe II occurs and the peculiar pollution from the previous phase is dispersed. In this study, we focus on three di erent GCs: NGCs 6752, NGC 6205 (M 13) and NGC 2808.W e dem onstrate that, within this fram ework, a model can be constructed which is consistent with (i) the elemental abundance anti-correlations, (ii) isotopic abundance patterns, and (iii) the extrem e [0/Fe] values observed in NGC 2808 and M 13, without violating the global constraints of approxim ately unim odal Fe/H] and C + N + O.

K ey words: nuclear reactions, nucleosynthesis, abundances – stars: abundances – stars: AGB and post-AGB – stars: chem ically peculiar – globular clusters: individual: NGC 6752, NGC 6205, NGC 2808.

1 IN TRODUCTION

As laboratories for both stellar and galactic physics, globular clusters (G C s) rank am ong the valuable tools available to astronom ers. A ncient, co-eval, equidistant, and apparently m ono-m etallic, G C s give the appearance of being elegantly sim ple aggregates of stars. Behind this \m ask of sim plicity" though, lurks perplexing observations which have de ed explanation for several decades.

First, each well-studied cluster to date shows starto-star abundance variations of the light elements C, N, O, Na, Mg, and Al (K raff 1994; G ratton et al. 2004, and references therein), not shared by eld stars with sim ilar m etallicities (G ratton et al. 2000). These variations follow a common pattern with C-N, O-Na, and Mg-Al all anti-correlated (e.g. K raff et al. 1993; G rundahlet al. 2002; C ohen et al. 2002; Y ong et al. 2003; Sneden et al. 2004; Y ong et al. 2005; C ohen & M elendez 2005; C arretta et al. 2006; G ratton et al. 2007; M arino et al. 2008). Im portantly, the sum of C+N+O is observed to be approximately constant (e.g. Ivans et al. 1999; C arretta et al. 2005). M oreover, Sm ith et al. (2005) noted that stars in one of the Galactic globular clusters, NGC 6121, showed evidence for a Na-F anti-correlation, whereas Pasquiniet al. (2005) and Bonifacio et al. (2007) found a Na-Li anti-correlation in NGC 6752 and 47 Tucanae, respectively. The abundances of Si, Ca, the iron-peak (e.g., Fe, Ni, and Cu) and neutroncapture elements (e.g., Ba, La, Eu) do not show the same star-to-star scatter, nor do they vary with the light elem ents (G ratton et al. 2004; Jam es et al. 2004; Yong et al. 2006b, 2008). The most important exception to this is the most massive cluster ! Centauri, that shows both, a spread in age and Fe/H], and a rise in s-process element abundances with increasing [Fe/H]. Evidence for ! Cen suggests it m ay have an extragalactic origin (e.g. M a jew skiet al. 2000; Smith et al. 2000; Gnedin et al. 2002; Bekki& Freem an 2003; Rom ano et al. 2007; M arcolini et al. 2007).

The above abundance trends have been found for stars in all evolutionary phases, from the main-sequence turn-o through to the tip of the rst giant branch, which has lent support to the \self-pollution" hypothesis (C ottrell & D a C osta 1981; D antona et al. 1983). This is in contrast to deep m ixing, where the abundance anom alies are produced by internal m ixing during the ascent of the giant branch, after the rst dredge-up (Sweigart & M engel 1979; P insonneault 1997; C harbonnel 1994). Further evidence for self-pollution com es from a lack of variation in the O -N a and M g-A lanti-correlations with lum inosity as the stars ascend the rst giant branch.

According to the self-pollution scenario a previous generation of stars "contam inated" the atm ospheres of stars observed today in GCs, or provided much of the material from which those stars formed (e.g. Jehin et al. 1998; Parm entier et al. 1999; T su jim oto et al. 2007). The roughly constant [Fe/H] abundances in a given GC led to the assumption that the polluters were low -m etallicity, interm ediate-m ass asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, 4 and 7 M with masses between (D'Antona & Caloi 2004; Bekkietal. 2007). The hot bottom burning experienced by these stars provides the hydrogen burning environment (at least qualitatively) that can alter the abundances of the light elements. Detailed AGB models have mostly failed to explain the observed abundance trends (Denissenkov & Herwig 2003; Fenner et al. 2004; Cohen & Melendez 2005; Karakas et al. 2006a), but model uncertainties, including convection and mass loss, render predictions uncertain (Ventura & D'Antona 2005a,b). Recently, slow -winds from rotating massive stars (Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006; Sm ith 2006; Decressin et al. 2007) have also been proposed as another possible source of the abundance anom alies.

The canonicalAGB enrichment scenario consists of two stages in which the rst stars to form are those pre-polluted by core collapse supernovae (SN e II), and have [0 /Fe] 0.5 and Na/Fel 0:1. A fter the rst SN e II have driven aw ay the gas from the proto-cluster, gas polluted by AGB winds starts to accumulate at the centre of the cluster and a second generation of stars with peculiar abundances can form . These second-generation stars will have depleted F and O, along with enhanced in N and Na with respect to the st generation. Variations to this scenario include (1) the AGB ejecta-enriched gas is also accreted on to the surface of som e (e.g., segregated) stars (Parm entier et al. 1999), and (2) the AGB pollution comes, not only from the rst generation of stars, but also from all AGB stars of the satellite galaxy where the GC is forming (Bekkiet al. 2007). The second assumption was made to allow for a larger reservoir of material for the form ation of the second generation of stars (Bekki & Norris 2006), as if all AGB pollution com es from the rst generation of stars, is very di cult to explain the high fraction (as high as 50%) of peculiar-to-norm al stars in GCs (e.g., D'Antona & Calbi2004; D'Antona et al. 2005; Carretta et al. 2006; Piotto et al. 2007). The sam e results can be achieved by assuming that the GCs were much more massive in the past (by a factor of 10-100) and lost preferentially most (90-99%) of their rst generation of stars through tidal interactions with the Milky W ay (MW; e.g. D 'E rcole et al. 2008).

Another way to solve the problem's associated with the AGB-"pre-pollution" scenario is to assume that the polluters were not AGB stars but Type Ia supernovae. M arcolini et al. (2006) suggested that the O-depleted stars observed in dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSphs) as well as in the peculiar globular cluster ! C en (M arcolini et al. 2007) can be explained if those stars were born in a sm all iron-rich volume inhom ogeneously polluted by a single SN Ia. The ejecta of SN e Ia is very rich in Fe and extrem ely poor in O (com pared to SN e II) thus stars form ing in such con ned regions would show very low values of D/Fe] (usually up to 0.5). D warf galaxies, how ever, show a large spread (1.5 dex) of [Fe/H], hence it is not clear if this model is applicable to the problem of the abundance anom alies in m ono-m etallic GCs.

In this paper we present a GC chemical evolution m odel where all of the observed chem ical peculiarities arise from the inhomogeneous pollution of a single SN Ia and intermediate-massAGB stars. This pollution is mixed with gas that was pre-enriched by very low-metallicity SN e II. Since each of these so-called \polluters" precede the form ation of the GC itself, we call this type of enrichment \external pollution" (according to Bekki et al. 2007) from the Milky W ay (or host galaxy). W hen the rst stars with peculiar abundances are form ing, SN e II start to explode, selfpolluting the ISM and dispersing the inhom ogeneous region. As star form ation proceeds, the abundances of the form ing stars will move from \peculiar" to \norm al", where norm al in this context m eans stars with chem ical properties typical of SN e II enrichm ent. Eventually, the SN e II explosions will cease any further star form ation (SF) and the GC will evolve passively. In previous studies, SN Ia had been discarded as polluters because the [Fe/H] is essentially constant within a given GC, and SN Ia are e cient producers of iron. Nevertheless, we will show that our model is able to reproduce the observed anti-correlations, while keeping the iron content of the new ly form ing stars approxim ately constant.

In the follow ing we test our scenario on a GC w ith a typical [D/Fe]-depletion pattern (on the order of 0.5), sim ilar to to the well-studied cluster NGC 6752 (Fe/H \models 1.56). In addition we test our scenario on a more extreme case such as that of NGC 2808 (Fe/H \models 1.15) and M 13 (Fe/H \models 1.50) (Harris 1996), with [D/Fe]-depletions as large as 1 dex.

2 IN IT IA L CONDITIONS

It is generally assumed that GCs were assembled during the form ation of the M ilky W ay halo (or their parent galaxy) even though their chem ical properties di er from those halo eld stars of the sam em etallicity (e.g., Gratton et al. 2000; Freem an & B land-H aw thom 2002). It is likely that part, or all, of this di erence can be traced to the very di erent conditions experienced by the respective system s e.g., deeper potential wells and the associated burst of SF.

Following this fram ework, we assume that a typical G C form sinitially within gaspre-enriched by eld polluters such as SN e II, but also from SN e Ia and AGB stars associated with (parent) hab star form ation. However, due to the early stage of the form ation of the hab (with respect to the rest of the parent/M ilky W ay), SN e Ia and AGB stars are not im - portant in shaping the mean chem ical properties of the gas unless they are polluting it inhom ogeneously (i.e., polluting a sm all localized region). Thus, we assume that a typical G C will form initially in a peculiar region of the hab that was pre-polluted by SN e II, and in which the inhom ogeneous pol-

lution of a single SN Ia and interm ediate-m ass AGB stars is added. In our model, all the chem ical peculiarities observed in GC stars (i.e., O-depleted and Na-rich stars) which are not shared by their eld counterparts arise due to this peculiar localized inhom ogeneous pollution.

A schematic representation of how these initial conditions can be achieved is shown in Fig 1. According to our model, AGB material is deposited in the vicinity of a SN e Ia progenitor binary system . A s the secondary explodes as a SN Ia and the supernovae rem nant (SNR) expands, it will collect this surrounding AGB material in its shell. The num erical evolution of a radiative SNR has been analyzed in detail by C io et al. (1988) and Thornton et al. (1998). These studies found that for norm alam bient gas density of $n_0 = 1$ 10 cm³, the evolution of a SNR lasts for a few Myrs and that it reaches radius of 50-90 pc (with the larger radii achieved for the sm aller am bient gas densities). A fter radiating away most of its energy, the rem nant will rst stall, lose its identity and then collapse back (e.g. Slavin & Cox 1992, ; approximately after $R_{max}=c_s$ 5-9 M yr, assuming an ambient sound speed c_s of 10 km s⁻¹). The result of this evolution will be a localized region of the halo, polluted inhom openeously with SN Ia and AGB ejecta. These will be the initial conditions of our model which we de ne as the \pre-peculiar enrichm ent" scenario; we assume that the proto-GC starts forming stars in this peculiar region.

Regions polluted inhom ogeneously by SN e Ia arise naturally in the hydrodynam ical simulations of dSphs chem ical enrichm ent perform ed by M arcolini et al. (2006, 2008). Cescutti (2008) also showed that a model which takes into account inhom ogeneous pollution is able to explain the observed spread in s- and r-process elements at low metallicities in the Galactic halo (see also Ishim aru & W anajo 1999; A reast et al. 2000). Since both the peak SNe Ia rate (M atteucci & R ecchi 2001; M annucci et al. 2006) and the lifetime of a low-metallicity 5 M star (e.g., Schaller et al. 1992; Karakas & Lattanzio 2007) are comparable (80 100 M yr), this apparently peculiar enrichment scenario seem s reasonable. M annucci et al. (2006) proposed that half of the SNe Ia associated with a starburst should explode on a timescale of the order 100 Myr. Marcolini et al. (2006,2008) have shown that even if a tim escale greater than 1{2 Gyr is needed to appreciate the cumulative e ect of SN e Ia in the mean [/Fe] ratio of spheroidal galaxies, the e ect of a single SN Ia inhom ogeneously polluting the ISM could be important in the rst few hundred Myrs (see also M arcolini et al. 2007, for and application of this scenario to the particular case of ! C en).

3 TWO-REGION MODEL

O urm odel is based on what could be term ed a \two-region" chem ical evolution fram ework with a sim pli ed prescription for the chem ical enrichm ent. As a rst attempt to reproduce the main chem ical properties of GC stars, we do not follow the ejecta of di erent mass progenitors, but we use MF- averaged yields for both interm ediate-mass AGB stars and SN e ejecta (see 4 for more detail). A sketch of the model is shown in Fig. 2, where the two regions { \inner" and \external" { are shown. The dark blue \inner region" in Fig. 2 corresponds initially to the blue-green circle in the



F igure 1. Schem atic fram ework leading to the initial conditions required for our model: 1) low -m etallicity 4{7 M AGB stars (green dots) start polluting a particular region of the halo which has been pre-enriched by SN e II; 2) a SN Ia explodes near this region and during its expansion collects the AGB m aterial in its shell; 3) the SN rem nant radiates away its energy and the gas starts re-collapsing, m ixing the SN Ia ejecta with m aterial from the AGB stars; 4) the nalresult is a peculiar region in the G alactic halo, where on top of the m ean pre-enrichm ent from early SN e II, the inhom ogeneous contribution by a single SN Ia and a num ber of interm ediate-m ass AGB stars is added. These are our assum ed initial conditions for proto-GC form ation. Note that the light-blue background volume (m ain ly polluted by early-SN e II) represents the m ean chem ical properties of the gas out of which \norm al" eld halo stars are form ed.

last panel of Fig. 1 polluted inhom ogeneously by a SN Ia and interm ediate-m ass AGB m aterial; we will assume that the SF related to the GC form ation only takes place inside this region. In the following, we will use the term \inhom ogeneous pollution" to denote that this region has di erent chem ical properties from the surrounding m edium (note that the chem ical properties inside the inner region itself are hom ogeneous).

The external region is simply described by the initial value of Fe/H JISM given in Table 1, which should re ect the mean chem ical properties of the proto-halo gas at the epoch of GC formation (enriched mainly by SN e II). The inner region is initially described by its radius (R in i.e., the degree to which the SN Ia was initially con ned) and the mean chem ical properties within, which is set by the num ber of 4 7 M AGB stars, N_{AGB}. Since most of the iron in the inner region is provided by a single SN Ia the value of R in also de nes the value of Fe/H]in in the inner region. It is obvious that the localized iron-rich ejecta from the SN Ia will substantially increase the value of Fe/H] inside the inner region compared to the value in the external region, whereas interm ediate-m ass AGB stars increase the N, Na, the neutron-rich M g isotopes and, possibly, A L A glance at Table 1 shows that the inner region is a factor of 10 100 more Fe/H | rich than the external ISM and also has a sim ilar higher overall m etallicity. For this reason the rst generation of stars will born with peculiar chem ical properties: they will be depleted in O and Mg (because of the single

T able 1. Initial conditions of the m odel. Note that only three of the parameters are free as the value of [Fe/H]_in is dened by R $_{in}$ (see text for m ore details).

M odel	[Fe/H] _{ISM}	Fe/H] _{in}	R _{in} (pc)	N _{AGB}
NGC 6752	-2.55	-1.60	36	250
M 13	-3.50	-1.50	31	170
NGC 2808	-2.85	-1.10	24	180

SN Ia) and they will be enhanced in N, Na, and Al (due to AGB pollution). Note that in canonical AGB self-pollution models (e.g. Fenner et al. 2004) these stars are the last to form. W hile a detailed discussion about each element will be presented in the following sections, here we would like to point out that, in general, the trend for SN Ia is to decrease the K_i/Fe value when X_i is not (or negligibly) produced in interm ediate-m assAGB stars (e.g., O and the elemental M g abundance to a lesser extent). In the case when the elements are overproduced in AGB stars (e.g., N, Na and, possibly, Al), the e ect of the SN Ia is to mitigate their enhancement.

W e assume that the star form ation takes place only inside the inner region. As the SF proceeds, massive stars > 8 M) start exploding (as early as 3 4 M yr M after the onset of star form ation Schaller et al. 1992) as SN e II, ejecting freshly synthesized m etals. W e assum e that after each SN II explosion the inner region expands, driven by the explosions them selves, mixing the inner-region gas with the surrounding ISM , while the SF is still proceedings inside this region. During the evolution, we simply assume that the density remains constant and thus the mass inside a xed radius is $M = \frac{4}{3} \circ_0 R^3$. For each of the proposed GC models we assume a density of $_0 = 4 \ 10^{24} \text{ g cm}^3 \text{ which}$, for example, corresponds to a totalm ass of M $_{\rm ISM}$ ' 3 10^7 inside a 500 pc radius sphere. Even if we were to as-М sum e a lower SF e ciency, there would still be more than su cient gas to form a typical GC.

The simple relations governing the evolution after each SN II explosion can be summarized as:

$$R(t+t) = R(t) \quad f_{exp} \tag{1}$$

$$X_{i}(t + t) = X_{i}(t) + X_{i}(R) + hX_{i}(SN II)i$$
 (2)

where f_{exp} is a constant governing the logarithm ic expansion of the inner region after the explosion of every single SN II, t is the time interval between two successive SN e II explosions, X $_{i}$ (t) is the mass fraction of an element X at a time twithin the radius R (t), X_{i} (R) is the mass fraction of an element X initially present in the corona R (t+1) R (t), and nally, hX i (SN II) i is the average m ass fraction of element X_i ejected by a single SN II (see Sec. 4). For example, the evolution of the Fe/H] abundance in the inner region at a time (t+ t) (where t is the time between two successive SNe II) is computed by adding the Fe initially present in this region at R (t) to the iron ejected by the new ly exploding SN e II and to the iron initially present in the external region R (t+ t) R (t). This number is then divided by the total amount of hydrogen inside the new radius R (t+ t). All the other variables are calculated accordingly. W e assume that this expansion is described by a logarithm law with a free parameter (usually of the order of f_{exp} 1.001)



F igure 2. Schem atic of the model. Initially, a localised volume (inner blue region) arises with the pollution from a single SN Ia and multiple interm ediate-m ass AGB stars. A fter a new generation of stars is born the associated SN e II begin to pollute and expand the inner volume, as well as m ix it with the surrounding low er-Fe/H] interstellar m edium.

which xes the increase of R (t) after each SN II explosion (i.e., $R(t + t) = R(t) = f_{exp}$).

In Fig.2 the dashed lines represent a sketch of the evolution of the inner region and the fact that the initial contribution of the single SN Ia (as well as AGB stars) is diluted during its expansion and the subsequent m ixing with the outer, lower metallicity ISM. As soon as the rst SN e II start to explode there are two contrasting mechanisms shaping the chem ical properties of the new ly forming stars. First, the freshly ejected SN e II metals directly pollute the gas where new stars are forming. Second, the inner volum e is expanding and the gas inside this region is mixed with lower metallicity material. In Sec. 5.1 we will show that, during the chem ical evolution process, it is possible to keep the [Fe/H] abundance constant in the inner region (where the new stars are forming) owing to these two contrasting mechanism s.

W hile stars with peculiar properties form during the rst stage, during the second stage the chem ical properties of the form ing stars -enhanced) as SN e II start exploding. M arcolini et al. (2006) showed, for the speci c case of dwarf galaxies, that even if a single SN Ia can tem porarily pollute a sm all volum e and dram atically change its chem ical com - position, its im print disappears alm ost com pletely once the gas inside this volum e m ixes with the ISM . In the next section we will show that the last stars form ing in our m odel (stars with [0 /Fe] 0.5) do not show any signature of the form er AGB or SN Ia pollution, having chem ical properties typical of pure SN e II ejecta.

W e will also show, in Sec. 5.1, that in our model the inner region is more m etal rich than the outer region and, accordingly, the cooling is much more e cient there. Therefore, this region is more likely to host an extrem ely enhanced SF { typical of GCs { than the outer ISM (where norm al halo stars are form ing).

Numerous authors have discussed, from an energetics perspective, the possibility that stellar clusters can

Table 2.M ean SN e II stellar yields averaged over the progenitor mass range 10 (60 M for a Salpeter IM F and for di erent authors: W & W = W oosley & W eaver (1995); C & L = C hie & L im ongi (2004); K O B = K obayashiet al. (2006). The yields of Fe and He are given in solar masses while for di erent elements we show the X_i/Fe] ratios.

SN e II m odel	Fe	Не	[C/Fe]	№/Fe]	[0/Fe]	№a/Fe]	M g/Fe]	[A 1/Fe]
W & W (Z=0.0002) W & W (Z=0.002) C & L (Z=0.0001) C & L (Z=0.001) K O B (Z=0.001)	6.1e-2 6.9e-2 1.0e-1 1.0e-1 7.5e-2	6.7 6.6 5.7 5.7 5.7	0.13 0.07 0.41 0.40 0:16	1:73 0:86 1:91 0:96 0:80	0.51 0.46 0.63 0.63 0.64	0:39 0:16 0.05 0.26 0.05	0.15 0.16 0.61 0.61 0.63	0:24 0:04 0:14 0.11 0.15
KOB (Z=0.001+HN)	9.3e-2	5.7	0:26	0:89	0.52	0:08	0.52	0.28
M odel (SN e II)	9.0e-2	6.0	0:10	0:90	0.50	0:20	0.50	0:10

experience SNe II self-enrichment, and there is a wide range of evidence as to whether, and to what extent, this is possible in GCs (e.g. Dopita & Smith 1986; Morgan & Lake 1989; Sm ith 1996; Parm entier & G ilm ore 2001; Gnedin et al. 2002; Thoulet al. 2002; Parm entier 2004; Recchi & Danziger 2005; Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006). For example, it has been suggested that stellar winds and SN e explosions from the rst generation of stars can form a metal-enriched supershell, where further star form ation is triggered (Brown et al. 1991, 1995; Thoulet al. 2002; Parmentier 2004; Recchi & Danziger 2005). Brown et al. (1991) concluded that a small entirely self-enriched system of stars typically achieves a metallicity in the range 10 2 10 1 Z , and that the second generation of stars would be expected to be extremely hom ogeneous in com position. Melioli & de Gouveia Dal Pino (2004) studied in detail the heating e ciency (i.e., the fraction of SN e energy which is not radiated away) of SN e exploding in a starburst environm ent with properties sim ilar to that of our m odel. These authors found that the heating e ciency can be very close to zero (i.e., all the SN e energy is e ciently radiated away) for, up to, a few tens of M yr, before rapidly increasing to unity and leading to a possible galactic wind. W hile we cannot follow the dynam ical evolution (and the energetics) of our model, it is possible to speculate that this second stage of star form ation could be as rapid as 20 40 M yr (with SNe II directly polluting the newly forming stars), or it could proceed in a long series of weak bursts if the star form ation is self-regulated - i.e., the gas is tem porarily expelled out of the star form ing regions by the SN e and re-collapses (due to the outer pressure) once the SN e II energy is radiated away. If the star form ation is self-regulated, the SF history can be as long as 100 M yr. A longer SF history would require the contribution of AGB and SNe Ia self-pollution, which does not seem to be required in our model, to explain the chemical properties of GCs. Finally, in our case, the form ation of a galactic wind would be associated with the cessation of the SF itself and it would happen after $1 5 10^3$ SN e II explosions, depending upon the details of the model. A lthough these earlier studies seems to support the form ation of second generation of stars after the occurence of an explosive event, and the form ation of a bound system , hydrodynam ical sim ulations of the SN e II self-enrichment phase in quantitative detail are needed to further quantify the star-form ation tim escales and the viability of our model from a dynam ical point of view. These will be presented in a forthcom ing paper in this series.

4 Y IE LD S

As noted earlier we used IMF-averaged yields for both SNe II and interm ediate AGB polluters. For SNe II, we explore the e ect of using yields computed by dierent authors (W oosley & W eaver 1995; Chie & Limongi 2004; Kobayashietal. 2006, see Table 2) and use the lim its 10{60 M . Previous studies have found that the W oosley & W eaver (1995) m odels tend to overproduce the amount of Fe { with a subsequent underestimation of the [/Fe] abundances { for this reason we half Fe values as done in several studies (e.g., Timmes et al. 1995; Goswami& Prantzos 2000; Fenner et al. 2004). W hile the set of yields are in reasonable agreem ent with one another for most of the elements, occasional di erences as large as 0.4 dex are present (e.g., G ibson et al. 1997). W e com puted a set of yields that we use throughout the paper unless stated otherwise. These yields are the average value of the above m entioned theoretical set of yields when the agreement between them is good (when they dier less than 0.4 dex). 0 therw ise, we chose the yields that best reproduce the low metallicity (2:0 6 Fe/H]6 1:0) halo stars (e.g. G ratton et al. 2000). These com puted values are labelled as M odel" yields in Table 2.

For SN e Ia we use the yields of Iw am oto et al. (1999), speci cally their case W D D 1 (note how ever that for the elem ents considered in this paper a di erent choice of their SN e Ia model would not a ect the results). For a consistent chem ical evolution model, the metallicity of the prepolluting SN Ia and AGB stars should be be roughly the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$), while the metallicity of the same as the external region (Fe/H $l_{\rm ISM}$). Unfortunately, the set of yields of Iw am oto et al. (1999) are only available for solar metallicity and we are not aware of low metallicity SN e Ia yields available in the literature.

In Table 3 we summarize the mean yields for interm ediate-mass AGB stars, calculated by averaging the Z = 0.0001 values from Karakas & Lattanzio (2007) using a Salpeter (1955) IMF in the mass range 4{7 M (we will refer to this model as the \reference model"). As a comparison we also show the yield values obtained averaging similar datasets at the same metallicity published by Karakas & Lattanzio (2003) and Izzard et al. (2007), as well as the yields calculated by Fenner et al. (2004) for the speci c case of AGB self-pollution in NGC 6752 at Fe/H \models 1:40. In general, each set is in good agreement

Table 3. M ean SN e II, SN e Ia and interm ediate-m ass AGB yields in solar m asses. SN e II yields refer to the m odel in Table 2. SN e Ia yields refer to the W DD1 m odel of Iw am oto et al. (1999). The m ean AGB yields are averaged over the progenitor m ass range 4{7 M using a Salpeter IM F for di erent authors: KA 07= K arakas & Lattanzio (2007); FE 04= Fenner et al. (2004); KA 03= K arakas & Lattanzio (2003); IZ 07= Izzard et al. (2007); all the yields are for Z = 0:0001 except for the case of Fenner et al. (2004) which is at $Fe/H \models 1:40$. The yields of Fe and H e are given in solar m asses.

Polluter	Fe	Не	С	Ν	0	Na	Мg	Al
M odel (SN e II)	9.00e-2	6.00	1.59e-1	7.66e-3	1.82	1.60e-3	1.49e-1	3.23e-3
M odel (SN e Ia)	6.72e-1	5.66e-3ª	5.42e-3	2.85e-4	8.82e-2	8.77e-5	7.69e-3	4.38e-4
AGB (KA07) AGB (FE04) AGB (KA03) AGB (IZ07)	2.27e-5	1.45	6.21e-3 8.54e-3	6.89e-2 5.76e-2	1.44e-3 1.86e-3	1.60e-3 5.95e-4 7.79e-5 2.92e-5	2.17e-3 1.52e-3 1.70e-3 2.15e-3	7.01e-5 6.13e-5 1.04e-4 2.02e-4
M odel (AGB)	2.27e-5	1.45	1.55e-3	6.89e-2	1.44e-3	4.00e-4	2.17e-3	3.50e-3

 a the value of H e for SN e Ia is from K obayashi et al. (2006).

although there are signi cant di erences present for som e elem ents (e.g., N a yields span 2 orders of m agnitude). A detailed study of how the production of the Ne, Na, M g, and A l isotopes in AGB stars are e ected by reaction rate uncertainties was carried out by Izzard et al. (2007). They concluded that the m ost uncertain yields are those of ²⁶A l and ²³N a, with variations of two orders of m agnitude. The yields of ²⁴M g and ²⁷A l have typical uncertainties of one order of m agnitude. These uncertainties are com parable to those resulting from stellar m odelling uncertainties, where di erences of one order of m agnitude or m ore can be found by varying the m ass-loss rate or convective m odel Ventura & D'Antona (2005a,b) and K arakas et al. (2006b).

For this reason we also decided to use a set of ad hoc interm ediate-m ass AGB yields that represent the di erent element production that we would require in order to reproduce the observational constraints in the fram ework of our model. This set of yields is presented in Table 3 as \M odel". These values are within the limits of the abovementioned set of yields, and always within a factor of four of the values of the reference model (with the exception of Al see Section 5.3). In the following, the models using K arakas & Lattanzio (2007) (reference model) will be shown as solid lines, while the models using our proposed set of yields will be shown as dashed lines.

We would like to stress that the AGB yields we propose in Table 3 should not be taken as absolute values but as relative ratios between the di erent elem ents. This is because it is possible to re-scale the yields by changing the num ber of N_{AGB} stars needed to t the observations. We use nitrogen as a reference element and re-scale the other elements accordingly. We choose nitrogen because it is better constrained than the other elements (e.g., Na, Al) since its production does not depend as strongly on reaction rate uncertainties. Note that the recent revision of the 14 N (p;) 15 O reaction rate (B em m erer et al. 2006), 60% slow er at tem peratures below 100 10°K, would result in even more N production. N itrogen yields are, however, e ected by other m odelling uncertainties such as convection, m ass loss and the modelling of the third dredge-up (Marigo et al. 2003; K arakas et al. 2008). For exam ple, an increase in the massloss rate would result in sm aller N yields because of a shortened HBB phase (and also less Na, Mg and Al).



F igure 4. The predicted trend of [Fe/H] versus [O /Fe] for three m odels with di erent f_{exp} values com pared with the observational dataset of Y ong et al. (2005) for the case of NGC 6752. The initial values of f_{exp} are 1.0003 (dashed line), 1.0009 (solid line) and 1.0027 (dotted line) and are increased to 1.0012, 1.0035, and 1.015 after 750 SN e II have been exploded. Them odels are stopped after 1600 SN e II have been exploded polluting the ISM . It is evident that changing the value of f_{exp} within a factor of three e ects the [Fe/H] ratio of the form ing stars by only 0.1-0.2 dex.

5 RESULTS: THE CASE OF NGC 6752, M 13 AND NGC 2808

To test our fram ework in detail, we will focus on three well studied GCs: NGC 6752, M 13, and NGC 2808. All these GCs have an interm ediate m etallicity ranging from



Figure 3. The predicted trend of [Fe/H] versus [O/Fe] compared with the observational dataset of Y ong et al. (2005) (left panel) and C arretta et al. (2007) (right panel) for the case of NGC 6752. It is possible to maintain an invariant [Fe/H] abundance owing to a tuning between the two opposing mechanisms: SNe II eject freshly synthesized Fe (and O), which is mixed with gas from the inner (inhom ogeneous) volume along with material from the external ISM, which has a lower [Fe/H] value.



F igure 5. The predicted trend of of [Fe/H] versus [O/Fe], for the case of NGC 2808 (left panel), com pared with the observational dataset of C arretta et al. (2006). The case of M 13 (right panel) is plotted against the observational dataset of Sneden et al. (2004, asterisks) and C ohen & M elendez (2005, diam onds).

1:60 6 [Fe/H]6 1:10 (e.g., Harris 1996). W hile NGC 6752 can be de ned as a \norm al" GC, NGC 2808 and M 13 show extrem e values of the [D/Fe] ratio (down to -1.0) and are excellent cases to test our new proposed fram ework. In this section we show how di erent choices of the initial conditions in the pre-enrichm ent during the MW halo form ation can led to di erent chem ical peculiarities in the form ing GC.

5.1 [Fe/H] and M etal content

Before going any further, it is important to follow the iron content of the forming stars in our model. As previ-

ously mentioned, the possibility of SN e II and SN Ia selfenrichment in GC have not been considered in the literature before, because they produce large amounts of Fe, whereas the [Fe/H] content in most of the GCs appears to be constant.

The initial conditions of NGC 6752 are summarized in Table 1: the R_{in} of the inhom ogeneous region polluted by the SN Ia is 36 pc and this volume is also polluted by 250 intermediate 4{7 M AGB stars. The mean iron content of the external region is $Fe/H \models 2:55$, re exting the early stage of the halo formation, while the e ext of the SN Ia increases the value of Fe/H] up to 1:60 inside the inner region. In

8 A.Marcolinietal.



Figure 6. Evolution of the metallicity $\log (Z=Z)$ versus [D/Fe] in the inner region for the three reference models: NGC 6752 (left panel), M 13 (central panel), and NGC 2808 (right panel). The dotted line corresponds to the case where we ignored the contribution of the SN Ia+AGB pollution only to the total metallicity $\log (Z=Z)$ (note that low initial [D/Fe] value can be achieved only due to the localized contribution of the SN Ia), while dashed lines correspond to the model with no pollution from AGB stars. Note that the initial value of $\log (Z=Z)$ (thus at low values of [D/Fe]) for the dotted line corresponds to the value of the metallicity for the external region. M ost of the metals in the inner region are initially produced by AGB stars while at the end of the evolution only SN e II contribute to the metal content.

the same table, we also sum m arize the initial parameters for the cases of M $\,$ 13 and NGC $\,$ 2808.

For each GC, the initial conditions are constrained by the observed stellar values of Fe/H] and the peculiar values of [0/Fe]m in and [Na/Fe]m ax (or alternatively the value of $[N/Fe]_{max}$), as each of these values depends mainly on one polluter. Since most of the iron in the inner region is produced by SN Ia, the initial Fe/H]in content of the form ing stars is set by the value of R in, while oxygen is produced mainly by SN e II and N a by interm ediate-mass AGB stars (see Tables 2 and 3). We assume that a SN Ia always deposits the same amount of Fe (0.74 M), hence decreasing (increasing) the value of R_{in} has the e ect of decreasing (increasing) the hydrogen content inside the inner region and increasing (decreasesing) the [Fe/H] content (this is evident in Table 1, where the value of Fe/H_{lin} increases for low er values of R_{in}). Once the Fe/H] content in the inner region is set, the overall Fe/H JISM value constrains the initial [0 /Fe]m in of the m odel: this is because, according to a pure SN e II pollution model, the O content is proportional to the Fe content (and the Fe produced by SN e II can be ignored in the inner region). At this point, the observational value of Na/Felmax is su cient to constrain the number of interm ediate-m ass AGB stars needed to reproduce the Na-O anti-correlations.

For example NGC 6752 and M 13 have similar initial values of Fe/H], at ' 1:60 and Fe/H]' 1:50, respectively, that re ects comparable values of R_{in}. In contrast the \external" values of Fe/H $_{\rm ISM}$ for each cluster dier by an order of magnitude (see Table 1 re ecting, as shown later, their di erent extrem e values of [D/Fe]. In contrast, NGC 2808 shows an even higher value of Fe/H]' 1:10 because of its sm aller value for R_{in} = 24 pc, even if its Fe/H $_{\rm ISM}$ is slightly lower than for the case of NGC 6752 (see Table 1).

In Fig. 3 we show the Fe/H] versus [D /Fe] abundance evolution of the reference m odel for NGC 6752. D ata from Y ong et al. (2005) and C arretta et al. (2007) is included for com parison. To com pare ourm odelw ith the C arretta's data, we apply an o set of 0.1 dex to our predicted value of Fe/H]. This is to take into account a sim ilar di erence in the m ean Fe/H] values between the two datasets. It is clear from the gure that the Fe/H] content rem ains relatively constant during the whole evolution and it is consistent with the observational data. Likewise, the evolution of [D/Fe] increases monotonically from its initial peculiar value [D/Fe]' 0:4 to 0.5.

The Fe/H] abundance stays constant during the evolution because of the tuning between the two mechanisms changing the Fe content of the forming stars. W hile the new ly exploding SN e II eject fresh ly synthesized Fe, increasing the [Fe/H] content, their action also has the e ect of expanding the inhom ogeneous inner region, m ixing the inner material with the external ISM (with a lower [Fe/H] content). Both these two contrasting e ects are controlled, in our model, by the parameter $f_{\text{exp}}\,$ (i.e., the rate of expansion of R_{in} after each SN II explosion, see Eq. 1) which increases logarithm ically with time. It is evident from Fig. 3 that, for this particular model, the e ect of the expansion is, at the beggining, low ering the [Fe/H] content, whereas at a later stage the SN e II pollution becom es increasingly dom inant. The [O /Fe] abundance of the form ing stars starts increase m onotonically during the evolution, as the Fe content is practically constant and SN e II ejects a large am ount of 0 (a mean SN II produces 1.82 M of oxygen). Once the inhom ogeneous region starts expanding, the O content of the form ing stars reaches the asymptotic value of [0/Fe]=0.5, typical of pure SN e II pollution.

We use two dierent values of f_{exp} at two dierent stages, as this gives a better t to the data. For example, for NGC 6752, the initial value of 1.0003 is increased to 1.001 10³ SN e II have exploded. The e ect on the model after of this variation can be seen in Fig. 3 as an small "hook" at [0/Fe] 0.25. Even if it were possible to better tune the model (by using another expansion law or simply by nding the best param eter com bination to maintain [Fe/H] perfectly constant during the evolution), we are con dent that such a ne tuning is not necessary and would not signi cantly change our conclusions. Indeed, given all the other model uncertainties we think it is unnecessary to attempt to ne tune the expansion caused by the SN II explosions. How ever, to check the robustness of our conclusions to the assum ed expansion value, we show in Fig. 3 the predicted trend of Fe/H] vs. [0/Fe] for three di erent values of f_{exp} (roughly



F igure 7. The predicted evolution of the Na/Fe] versus [0/Fe] abundance for NGC 6752, plotted against the observational datasets of Yong et al. (2005) (left panel) and Carretta et al. (2007) (right panel, arrows refer to upper lim it values; see the original paper for details). In our fram ework the AGB + SN Ia pollution is due to pre-existing halo stars while only SN e II self-pollute the GC. The solid line in the right panel refers to the reference m odel using the yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2007) while the dashed lines refer to a m odel with N a production low ered by a factor of four com pared with the reference m odel (see Table 3).



F igure 8. (Left panel) the predicted evolution of the Na/Fe] versus [D/Fe] abundance for NGC 2808 against the observational data from Carretta et al. (2006). (right panel) the same trend for the case of M 13 plotted against the observational data from Sneden et al. (2004) (squares) and Cohen & Melendez (2005) (diam onds).

spanning a factor of 10 in dynamic range). It can be seen that changing f_{exp} by a factor of three a ects the [Fe/H] ratio of the form ing stars by only 0.1-0.2 dex.

In Fig. 5 we compare the results from our model with NGC 2808 and M 13. These GCs were chosen on the basis of their peculiar O depletion with values of [O /Fe] as low as

1.0. These low values are very di cult to obtain with only prim ordial self-enrichment, which may explain the oxygen depletion down to a minimum value [D/Fe] 0.5 but no lower. Extra mixing may also contribute to the depletion of oxygen but only for stars near the tip of the rst giant branch. D'Antona & Ventura (2007) invoke a combination of the two to explain the case of M 13, while in the model

of Bekkiet al. (2007) such extrem e values are achieved by assuming that no third dredge-up occurs in intermediatemassAGB stars.

W e are able to reproduce the low values of [D/Fe] without any particular assumption (see Fig. 5). Indeed, such low [D/Fe] values arise naturally if the inhom ogeneous region { polluted by the initial SN Ia { is smaller in the case of NGC 2808 and M 13 than NGC 6752 (see Table 1). In the case of M 13, the extrem e low values of [D/Fe] of 1.0 requires a slightly smaller R_{in} as well as less oxygen from the SN e II that pre-polluted the halo. To t the properties of M 13, the metallicity of the original ISM gas at the time of GC form ation needs to be very low, with

a typical [Fe/H] abundance an order of m agnitude lower (Fe/H $_{IISM}$ = 3:50) than for NGC 2808 or NGC 6752. This is despite the nal Fe/H] of M 13 is sim ilar to NGC 6752. The lower initial of Fe/H $_{IISM}$ for M 13 than for NGC 6752 could indicate that this GC form ed at an earlier epoch and that it is older than the other two GC s. Photom etric ages of M M 13 com pared to NGC 6752 suggest that M 13 is older (R akos & Schom bert 2005), but these age determ inations are highly uncertain and should be treated as tentative.

In Fig. 6 we show the evolution of the logarithm of the m etallicity (log (Z = Z) versus the [D / Fe] abundance inside the inner region for the above models (solid lines). As in the case of the Fe/H] abundance, the total m etallicity remains approximately constant during the evolution. The dashed lines represent the same evolution without taking into account the contribution of m etals by interm ediate-m ass AGB stars to the total m etallicity fraction. The dotted lines represent the case in which the contribution of SN Ia and AGB to the totalm etallicity are ignored (that is, only SN e II contribute to the globalm etallicity). M ost of the initialm etals (e.g., C, N) inside the inner region are due to localized AGB pollution, while the SN Ia contribution is minimal. Indeed, even if a SN e Ia ejects large amounts of Fe (0.74 M) they eject only 1.4 M oftotalm etals. M ost of the \m etal" are instead produced by AGB stars (an interm ediate-m ass AGB star produces a mean value 0.08 M of metals, most of which is N; see Table 3). At the end of the evolution, most of the m etals are produced by SN e II, where all lines converge to the sam e value in Fig. 6. This is also dem onstrated by the asymptotic value of [0 /Fe]= 0.5 typical of pure SN e II pollution. Since the m etallicity of the stars is roughly constant during the evolution this is also the m etallicity of the form ing stars.

We do not have a parameter that sets the fraction of SN e II that pollute the forming stars. Instead we calculate that assuming the initial mass of the GC is the same as it is today (Pryor & Meylan 1993), and assuming a constant density and metallicity for the gas in the inner region, the fraction of SN e II ejecta that directly enriches the inner 30 (50) pc (ie., where the GC star should be forming) turns out to be very low, of the order of 0.5-1% (3-6%), depending on the details of them odel. Indeed, most of them etals produced by the SN e II are expelled beyond this limit of 50 pc.

5.2 The O-N a anti-correlation

Interm ediate-m ass AGB stars do not produce Fe and O (K arakas & Lattanzio 2007), hence they have a negligible contribution to the evolution of these elements. AGB stars do, how ever, produce a considerable am ounts of N a.

In Fig.7 we show the evolution of [D/Fe] versus [Na/Fe]abundances predicted by our model, together with the observational data from Yong et al. (2005) and Carretta et al. (2007, ; upper limits are shown as arrows) for the case of NGC 6752. As already mentioned, the rst stars to form in our model are [Na/Fe]-rich (due to the Na-rich AGB pollution) and [D/Fe]-depleted (due to the localized ironrich SN Ia ejecta). The e ect of the SN Ia is to mitigate the increase of the [Na/Fe] abundance that would otherwise reach much higher values. For example, in Fenner et al. (2004) the [Na/Fe] abundance increased to [Na/Fe]' 1.7. O nce the rst SN e II starts to pollute the ISM and the

T ab le 4. Isotopic ratios for the m ean yields of SN e II, SN e Ia, and AGB m odels. Notations are as in Tables 2 and 3.

	²⁵ Mg/ ²⁴ Mg	²⁶ M g/ ²⁴ M g	¹² C/ ¹³ C
SNeII (W & W) SNeII (KOB) SNeII (C & L)	7.2 10 ³ 9.9 10 ³ 2.8 10 ³	6.3 10 ³ 8.9 10 ³ 5.0 10 ³	10 ⁴ 10 ³ 5 10 ⁴
M odel (SN e II)	10 2	10 2	10 ⁴
AGB (KA07)	6.5	20.7	6.0

inhom ogeneous region expands, the chem ical properties of the form ing stars evolve toward "norm al" Na/Fe]-poor and [0/Fe]-rich. In the gure we show two di erent predictions using 1) our reference m odel yields, and 2) the sam e yields but with the Nayields from Karakas & Lattanzio (2007) reduced by a factor of four. W hile the reference m odel shows a clear O-Na anti-correlation, qualitatively in agreement with the observations, it overestimates the initial value of Na/Fe] by 0.4-0.6 dex. In comparison, the model with the reduced N a yields reproduces better the observed anticorrelation. Note that the reduced value (hN ai= 4:0 10 4 ${\tt M}_{-}$) is in better agreem ent with the N a production reported by Fenner et al. (2004) ($hNai = 5:9 \ 10^4 M M$), and by Karakas & Lattanzio (2007) for more metal-rich AGB stars (hN ai = 7.7 10 4 M for Z = 0:004). The reduced N a yields are also well within model uncertainties (see Table 3). It is also possible to obtain a very good m atch to the absolute values of [Na/Fe] by simply reducing (by same factor of 4) the am ount of AGB pollution com pared to the reference m odel (see Table 1), because the number of AGB stars has little e ect on O and Fe (see Table 3). However, as discussed in Sec. 4, we use AGB nitrogen production as a reference (see x 5.5). Thus, in the following we will always assume a mean value of hN ai= 4:0 10 4 M from interm ediate-m ass AGB stars.

The [D/Fe] versus [Na/Fe] abundance evolution for the models tailored for NGC 2808 and M 13 are shown in Fig.8, along with their respective observational datasets. The O-Na anti-correlation is well reproduced for these two GCs, including the extrem ely low values of [D/Fe].

5.3 The M g-A lanti-correlation

In the left panel of Fig. 9 we compare the predictions of our reference model (solid line) for NGC 6752 with the observed A HM g anti-correlation found by Yong et al. (2005). This dataset shows anti-correlations in stars that are both brighter and fainter than the rst giant branch bump, strongly suggesting that the peculiarities should be present in the gas from which the stars form ed, and not caused by internal stellar evolution. It is apparent that there is a spread of 1:3 dex in Al relative to Fe but only a modest spread of 0:3 dex in Mg relative to Fe (the corresponding [D/Fe]spread discussed in Section 5.2 is 1:0 dex).

In our framework, the rst stars to form have enhanced Al (Al/Fe]' 1:4) and depleted Mg (Mg/Fe]' 0:2), while subsequent generations evolve toward Al/Fe]' 0.0 and Mg/Fe]' 0.5. It is apparent that our reference model (Fig. 9) can only account for the Mg variation and fails to



F igure 10.Upper panels: the evolution of the $[A \ I/Fe]$ versus $[M \ g/Fe]$ abundance for NGC 2808 (left panel) and M 13 (right panel). As in Fig. 9, the solid lines refer to the model using yields from K arakas & Lattanzio (2007), whereas the dashed lines refer to the model with increased Al from AGB stars. The evolution is plotted against the observational datasets of C arretta et al. (2006) for the case of NGC 2808 (left panel) and Sneden et al. (2004, asterisks), Johnson et al. (2005, squares) and C ohen & M elendez (2005, crosses) for the case of M 13. Regarding M 13, we point out that there is an o set of 0.2 dex for the M g abundance between our model and the observational dataset. B ottom panels: the predicted $[(A \ H M g)/Fe]$ versus $[0 \ /Fe]$ abundances; line styles are as above.

reproduce the 1.5 dex spread in Al. The sm all am ount of A lproduced in the models of K arakas & Lattanzio (2007) is not enough to countervail the large am ount of Fe deposited by the single SN Ia: the net results is that the A l/Fe] ratio actually decreases in our model. Note that even in the case of pure AGB pollution (Fenner et al. 2004), the maximum A l/Fe] value is underestimated by a factor of 0:7 dex. If we assume that low-metallicity AGB stars produce a mean value of Al 3:5 10 ³ M (instead of the literature values of 10 ⁴ M) shown in Table 3, the A LM g anti-correlation is remarkably well reproduced.

In the upper panels of Fig. 10, we plot the M g/Fe] versus \mathbb{A} l/Fe] evolution of our reference m odels for M 13 (right panel) and NGC 2808 (left panel) against the corresponding observational datasets (Sneden et al. 2004; Johnson et al. 2005; C ohen & M elendez 2005; C arretta et al. 2006). A gain the reference m odels are not able to reproduce the Alenhancement, while m odels with a factor of 50 m ore Al production from intermediate-m ass AGB stars are able to reproduce the anti-correlation perfectly. The dotted line in the right panel represents the same dashed line but o set by 0.2 dex in M g/Fe]. At low \mathbb{A} l/Fe] values there is a difference of 0.2 dex between the M g/Fe] values of the two GCs. At this point, it is worth asking if our proposed A lyield ($3.5 \quad 10^{-3} \text{ M}$) from interm ediate-m ass AGB stars is consistent with the uncertainties present in the theoretical m odels, as well as with other available observations in other system s. To test this latter point, we ran a test chem ical evolution m odel of the M iky W ay using G E tool (Fenner et al. 2002) with the same enhancement in A lAGB yields that is required for the GC m odel. The result is only a small difference (within 0.2 0.3 dex and only for Fe/H \geq -1.6) com pared with the canonical MW m odel.

The yields of ²⁷Al are a ected by variations in the nuclear reaction rates, as well as the treatment of mass loss and convection. For example both, the ²⁶Mg(p,)²⁷Al and ²⁶Al(p,)²⁷Si reactions play an important role in determining the nal²⁷Alabundance in a given AGB model. Izzard et al. (2007) concluded that the yields of ²⁶Al and ²⁷Al can vary by up to 2 orders of magnitude, depending on the mass, metallicity and choice of Mg-Al reaction rates. The yields of Al decrease with increasing mass loss, because more mass is lost before signi – cant Al can be synthesized from Mg via the HBB. The yields of nitrogen and Al (but also C, Na and Mg) depend also on the third dredge-up (Ventura & D'Antona 2005a,b; K arakas et al. 2006b). W hile there is uncertainty



F igure 9. Upper panel: the predicted evolution of the [A l/Fe] versus [M g/Fe] abundance for NGC 6752. A lso shown is the observational dataset of Y ong et al. (2005). The solid line refers to the m odel with canonical A lyields from K arakas & Lattanzio (2007) while the dashed line refers to our assum ed m ean A lproduction (A \models 3.5 10^3 M) from AGB stars that is required to reproduce the observations. The dotted line refers to the previous m odelbut with a 50% enhancement of the 25 M g and 26 M g yields from AGB stars (see Section 5.4 for m ore details of this m odel). Bottom panel: the predicted evolution of the sum [(A \models Mg)/Fe] versus [0 /Fe] for the three m odels described above; line styles are the sam e.

over the am ount of dredge-up in interm ediate-m ass AGB stars, observations suggest that it should be fairly e cient (W ood et al. 1983; G arc a-H emandez et al. 2006). M oreover, hot-bottom burning is the major production site for A1 (as well as for Na and Mg). In Fig. 9 we show that increasing the AGB yields of Mg by 50% (particularly 25 Mg and 26 Mg, see next subsection) does not have a signi cant e ect on the ability of the model to reproduce the Mg-A1 anti-correlation observed in NGC 6752. It is not clear, how ever, if the model uncertainties would allow for an increase in the Alabundance by a factor of 50 and further study of this problem is required.

U sing data for 18 stars, Carretta et al. (2007) noted that the sum of Mg+Al is approximately constant in NGC 2808 for stars in all evolutionary phases (that is, constant over the whole magnitude range). The conclusion to be drawn from this observation is that there has been a reshu ing of Mg into Al in these stars. The bottom panels of Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 show the sum of Mg+Alofourm odels plotted against the [D /Fe] abundance. If we assum e the above mentioned Alenhancement in AGB yields, the sum A H M g appears to be surprisingly constant (in the reference model this sum is only constant within a factor of two). Increasing the yields of M g by 50% does not change this result, and the sum of M g+Alis constant to within 0.1 dex (dotted line).

5.4 The M g isotope ratios

A further in portant test for our model is determ ining how well it can reproduce the Mq isotopic ratios observed in GC stars (e.g. for NGC 6752 Yong et al. 2003). The Mg production from AGB stars is mainly in the form of the neutron-rich m agnesium isotopes, ²⁵M g and ²⁶M g, w hich are produced in the He-burning shell (e.g. Karakas & Lattanzio 2003). In Table 4 we sum marize the mean $^{25}\mathrm{M}\;\mathrm{g/^{24}M}$ g and ²⁶Mg/²⁴Mg ratios for the intermediate-massAGB yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2007), as well as the same ratios for dierent SN e II m odels com puted by dierent authors. It is apparent that the ratios $^{25}{\rm M}~{\rm g}/^{24}{\rm M}~{\rm g}$ and $^{26}{\rm M}~{\rm g}/^{24}{\rm M}~{\rm g}$ are much higher in the case of AGB pollution compared to SNe II, where SN e II preferentially produce ^{24}M g at low m etallicities. In the following we will adopt a constant value of 0.01 for both 25 M g/ 24 M g and 26 M g/ 24 M g to re ect SN e II (see Table 4; adopting values from di erent authors does not signi cantly change the results).

In Fig. 11 we show the observed M g isotopic ratios for NGC 6752 from Yong et al. (2003), plotted against the D/Fe], Na/Fe], M g/Fe], and Al/Fe]. In this gure we include the predictions from our reference model (solid lines). The agreement is satisfactory, except in the case of Al (solid line) which, as already discussed, can be accommodated by assuming a larger Al production from AGB stars (dashed line). Both the ²⁵M g/²⁴M g and ²⁶M g/²⁴M g ratios decrease as the GC stars evolve from the AGB-SN Ia peculiar pollution (²⁵M g/²⁴M g 0.5 and ²⁶M g/²⁴M g 0.15), to predominantly self pollution by SNe II (²⁵M g/²⁴M g 0.01 and ²⁶M g/²⁴M g 0.01).

AllGC chem ical evolution m odels so far have failed to reproduce the M g isotopic ratios observed in NGC 6752 (e.g. Fenner et al. 2004; Ventura & D'Antona 2005c). One of the strongest conclusions from Fenner et al. (2004) was that it is not possible to reproduce the M g-A l anti-correlation and the M q isotopic ratios with a classical AGB self pollution scenario. This is because AGB stars produce Mg instead of destroying it, and thus Mg and Al are correlated. In our model the Mg/Fe] depletion is mainly caused by the e ect of the SN Ia, hence the production of M g from AGB stars is not signi cant. The Mg production instead helps to explain why strong [0 /Fe] depletions (of up to 0:5) are not accompanied by a similarly low [Mg/Fe] abundances. Even an increase of 50% in the 25 Mg and 26 Mg yields from AGB models does not e ect our conclusions, and instead meliorates the Mg isotopic ratios when plotted against [Mg/Fe] (see Fig. 11).

Note that varying the M g ratios in the SNe II yields from 25 M g/ 24 M g and 26 M g/ 24 M g 0.01 to 0.1 would help to t the observational data. In Fig. 12 we show the M g isotopes ratios versus [N a/Fe] from Yong et al. (2006a) in the case of M 13 together with the predictions from our m odel and, again, the observations are m atched fairly well.



F igure 11.P redicted evolution of the M g isotopic ratios versus [O/Fe], [Na/Fe], Mg/Fe], and [Al/Fe] for our model (dashed lines). The dashed lines refers to our assumed M odel" (see Table 3), while the dotted lines represent the evolution in the case of the ²⁵Mg and ²⁶Mg AGB yields increased by 50%. (see Table 4).



Figure 12. Predicted evolution of M g isotopic ratios versus $\mathbb{N} a/Fe$] for our reference m odel (solid lines) com pared with the observational data from Y ong et al. (2006a). The dashed lines correspond to the m odel with $^{25}M g/^{24}M g= 0.1$ and $^{26}M g/^{24}M g= 0.1$ from SN e II (instead of 0.01; see Table 4).

A ssum ing 25 M g/ 24 M g= 0.1 and 26 M g/ 24 M g= 0.1 for the SN e II yields does an even better job at reproducing the observations.

5.5 The C-N anti-correlation

In Fig. 13 we illustrate the evolution of [C/Fe] versus [N/Fe] of our reference model for NGC 6752, together with observational data by Carretta et al. (2005) for 9 dwarfs (lled diamonds), 9 sub-giants stars (open diamonds), along with 2 giants stars (open triangles) observed by Sm ith & Norris (1993). Comparison with stars near the main sequence is preferred, because variations due to the rst dredge-up and deep mixing can a ect the abundances of evolved stars. How ever, it is very di cult to obtain high-quality spectra of main-sequence stars owing to their low brightness. In the following we will not discuss

the e ect of stellar evolution on the changes to the surface abundances of C and N (instead we refer the interested reader to Sm ith & Tout 1992; Charbonnel 1994, 1995; D enissenkov & Tout 2000; W eiss et al. 2000; G ratton et al. 2004, and references therein).

W hile the more evolved stars (open symbols) show a clear C-N anti-correlation, sim ilar to that observed in other GCs (see also Fig. 14 for M 13), the inclusion of the dwarf stars makes it di cult to distinguish a clear evolutionary pattern. Carretta et al. (2005) pointed out that the spectra for these turn-o stars did not have enough quality to allow for an accurate determination of the carbon abundances; the sam e also applies to the 12 C / 13 C ratios (see x 5.7). Typical errors for the [C /Fe] abundances are of the order of

 $0.2\ {\rm dex}\ {\rm w}$ ith the exception of the dwarf stars that show larger uncertainties.

A quick examination of Table 3 shows that nitrogen is the element produced in the largest proportions by interm ediate-m ass AGB stars. Thus, it is not surprising that the rst stars form ing in the inner region in itially polluted by AGB stars show very high values of [N/Fe] (1:5) despite the action of the SN Ia. For comparison, in Fenner et al. (2004), stars polluted with AGB ejecta show [N/Fe] values as high as 2.0). Carbon is also produced in interm ediatem ass AGB stars, although not to the sam e level as N , hence the [C /Fe] ratios rem ains low . A glance at Fig. 13 shows that while a large variation of [N /Fe] is achieved in our model in accordance with observations, the ratio of [C/Fe] remains alm ost constant. W hile [C /Fe] 0:0 at high values of [N /Fe] is consistent with the observed [C/Fe] abundances inferred from dwarf stars, assuming a factor of 4 less carbon is produced by AGB stars does a much better job of reproducing the C-N anti-correlation observed in sub-giant stars. (see Fig. 13 and Table 3).

The C-N anti-correlation is also seen for stars on the



F igure 13. Upper Panel: evolution of [C /Fe] versus [N /Fe] for the reference m odel (solid line) for NGC 6752, plotted against observational data of two AGB stars by Sm ith & Norris (1993) (triangle), and 9 sub-giants stars (open diam onds) and 7 dw arf stars (lled diam onds) by C arretta et al. (2005) (squares). The dashed line represents the m odel with AGBC yields decreased by a factor of 4. Low er panel: evolution of the sum of [(C + N + O)/Fe](solid lines) for the reference m odel (thick lines) and well as for the m odel with reduced C (thin lines) plotted against the observational dataset of C arretta et al. (2005); the sym bols are the sam e as in the upper panel. The evolution of each single elem ent is also show n:C (dotted lines), N (dot dashed line), and O (dashed line).

main sequence turn o of M 13 (Briley et al. 2004b) (see Fig. 14), whereas we are not aware of a similar dataset for NGC 2808 (although the C-N evolution of this GC is similar to M 13 form one evolved stars). We refer to Briley et al. (2004b) for a discussion of the uncertainties a ecting the abundances; these can be large (up to 0.5 dex, see their Fig. 5) owing to the faintness of the observed stars. Again the two models show quite similar results, with [C/Fe] remaining approximately constant in the reference model, and the model that assumes C is under produced by a factor of 4 doing the best pb of reproducing the C-N anti-correlation.

To test the possible contribution of low-metallicity fast rotators or mass loss in metal-poor massive stars (Meynet & Maeder 2002b,a) we qualitatively increase the nitrogen mean yield for SN e II, from our reference value of $\mathbb{N}/\text{Fe} \models 0.90$ to values of $\mathbb{N}/\text{Fe} \models 0.30$ and 0.0. This increase only slightly changes the results, by increasing the minimum value of \mathbb{N}/Fe ? 0.4 in Fig. 14 by a factor of 0.20-0.40 dex.

5.6 The sum of C + N + O

One of the most important observational constraints that any GC chemical evolution model needs to full is the constancy of the sum C+N+O (e.g. Ivans et al. 1999; Carretta et al. 2005). Self pollution scenarios using AGB models calculated using the mixing-length theory (MLT) of convection (Fenner et al. 2004; K arakas et al. 2006a) predict that stars form ing from dierent generations of AGB ejecta show large increases in the CNO sum . This is in contrast to AGB models computed using the \full spectrum of turbulence" convective m odel (Ventura & D'Antona 2005b) that m anage to keep the sum of CNO constant within a factor of two. This is because the Ventura & D'Antona (2005b) show little third dredge-up (which increases C and hence N) and more e cient HBB (which converts C and O to N). Hence, M LT m odels create C and N rich AGB ejecta at alm ost constant 0 (see Fig. 4 in Fenner et al. 2004). FST models result in moderately O-depleted AGB ejecta that is only moderately enriched in N Ventura & D'Antona (2005b)

In the bottom panel of Fig. 13 we show the C + N + Osum (solid line) of our model for NGC 6752, together with [C/Fe] (dotted lines), [N/Fe] (dot-dashed line) and [O/Fe] (dashed line) plotted against [N/Fe]. In the same gure we also include the observational data from Carretta et al. (2005) for 5 sub-giants and 7 dw arf stars from the sam eGC. It is quite rem arkable that our m odel predicts a practically constant CNO sum and is a satisfactory t to the observations. It is apparent that while the [C/Fe] abundance remains approximately constant, a large variation of [N/Fe]com es with a similarly large variation in [0/Fe]. The N deposited by AGB stars is counteracted by the O production in SN e II when the inner region expands and m ixes (low ering the [N /Fe] value]). In principle, in our model, there is no reason for this to happen because the mechanism s controlling the Fe abundance and the CNO sum are di erent (i.e., SNe II, SN Ia, and AGB). For example, more AGB pollution (or no AGB pollution at all) would increase (decrease) the initial C N O sum and the condition C + N + O = constantwould not be ful led. However, in the three particular GC considered here, once the free parameters are xed to reproduce the observed anti-correlations, a constant C + N + Onaturally arises.

The thin solid lines and dotted lines in the lower panels of Fig. 13 and Fig. 14 refer to the case in which the C production from AGB stars is lowered by a factor of 4 to better t the C-N anti-correlation. In this case the sum of C + N + O also remains quite constant, in agreement with the observations. The fact that a variation of 0.4 dex of the [C/Fe] abundance only slightly changes the CNO sum is understandable if we consider that O and N are a factor of 8 m ore abundant than C at low values of [N/Fe] and high values of [N/Fe], respectively.





15

F igure 15. Carbon isotopic ratio $^{12}C/^{13}C$ versus Na/Fe] for the NGC 6752 reference model, plotted against the observational dataset of Yong et al. (2003). The dashed and dot-dashed lines represent a model in which the possible contribution of low m etallicity, fast rotators are qualitatively taken into account according to Chiappini et al. (2008).

fast rotators gives very high values of the ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio of up to 10^4 because SN e II m ainly produce ${}^{12}C$ (solid line in Fig. 15). Such high values are not reached in Fig. 15 because of the partial contribution from interm ediate-m ass AGB stars, that also produce low ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio yields (see Table 4). The C hiappini et al. (2008) m odelw ith rapidly rotating m assive stars brings the ratio down to ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ 80 (dashed line) at [Fe/H]= 3.5 and down to ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ 30 (dot-dashed line) at [Fe/H]= 5.0. If low -m etallicity, rapidly rotating m assive stars contribute som e ${}^{13}C$, the predicted carbon isotope ratio w ill be low er but not as low as required to m atch the observational data (see dashed and dot-dashed line in Fig. 15).

Evidently it is not possible to reproduce the observed ¹²C/¹³C ratios without invoking internal stellar evolution (e.g., the rst dredge-up and/or extra-m ixing processes). In the more lum inous sub-giant stars the observed isotopic ratio ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ is very low, typically in the range of 3 to 11 (noting that the equilibrium value of the CNO cycle is 3). The rst dredge-up can reduce the ratio from 90 20 in standard stellar evolution models (e.g., (solar) to Boothroyd & Sackm ann 1999). Shetrone (2003) found that in NGC 6528 and M 4 this ratio declines steeply with increasing lum inosity along the RGB. The discontinuity in the $^{12}C/^{13}C$ ratio occurs at the bum p lum inosity and has also been detected in metal-poor eld halo stars (Gratton et al. 2004).

Carretta et al. (2005) inferred a lower limit of 10 for the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio for the three dwarf stars in NGC 104. Even if these values are more in accordance with our model, further determ inations of the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ ratio in turn-o stars is needed before making a more detailed comparison.

F igure 14.M 13 Upper Panel: evolution of [C /Fe] versus [N /Fe] for the reference m odel (solid line) plotted against the observational data of B riley et al. (2004a). The dashed line corresponds to the m odel where we decrease the C yields from AGB stars by a factor of 4. Lower panel: the evolution of the sum of [(C + N + 0)/Fe] (solid lines) for the reference m odel (thick lines) as well as for the m odel with reduced C (thin lines). The evolution of each single element is also shown: C (dotted lines), N (dot dashed line) and 0 (dashed line). The corresponding two diagrams for the case of NGC 2808 are alm ost identical to the results for M 13 and not shown.

5.7 The carbon isotope ratio

In Fig.15 we show the evolution of the ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio versus [N a/Fe] from our model plotted against observational data from C arretta et al. (2005) for sub-giant stars in NGC 6752. O wing to the poor quality of the spectra, these authors were not able to derive the carbon isotopic ratio for dwarf stars. O ur m odel over-predicts the ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ ratio at any value of [N a/Fe] and by up to a factor of 1.5 dex. Chiappini et al. (2008) and H ughes et al. (2008) note that a m odel without



F igure 16. Evolution of the helium content, Y, versus [O/Fe]. The red solid line represents the model for NGC 6752; the blue dotted line for M 13; the dot dashed orange line for NGC 2808.

5.8 The Helium Content

The unusualHB m orphology observed in NGC 2808, which exhibits an extended blue tail and a gap separating the red and the blue clum ps (Bedin et al. 2000), can be reproduced if the blue stars have a higher helium content (Y 0:32)com pared to those in the red clum p, with prim ordial helium (Y 0:24) (D'Antona & Caloi 2004). NGC 2808 is also known to have a peculiar main sequence (D'Antona et al. 2005), in which the bluer stars are inferred to have an higher helium content from thing theoretical isochrones to the observed data. To reproduce the colour-m agnitude diagram (CMD), D'Antona et al. (2005) suggested that 20% of the stars have a helium content as high as Y 0:40. 30% have a spread of Y between 0.24 and 0.29, while the rem aining 50% of the stellar population have prim ordial Y .Further observations discovered a triple m ain sequence in NGC 2808 (P iotto et al. 2005), that has given support to the extrem e value of Y 0:40 required to t the CMD.

Even if such large Y values are required to reproduce the main features of the CMD, the origin of the helium is not known.Helium values as high as Y = 0:40 are very difcult to reproduce with canonical chem ical evolution m odels without violating other observational constraints (e.g. Karakas et al. 2006a). D'Antona & Caloi (2004) required a 10 more interm ediate-m ass AGB stars than factor of what it is inferred from a Salpeter-like IMF to return the amount of Y needed to form the number of blue HB stars in NGC 2808.K arakas et al. (2006a) highlights the di culty in producing the large postulated helium enrichment using the AGB self-pollution scenario. In particular, their largest predicted value of Y 0:29 is accompanied by a large increase in sum C + N + O.

In Section 5.6 we show that one of the main successes of this model is the ability to reproduce the C + N + O sum for all three GCsNGC 6752, M 13, and NGC 2808. In Fig. 16 we plot the helium content Y of the reference models for each cluster against their [D /Fe] abundances. Note that we

assume a prim ordial value of Y 0.248 (C oc et al. 2004). It is quite evident that in the cases of NGC 6752 and M 13 the increase is quite modest and within Y 0.015, whereas for the speci c case of NGC 2808 we obtained Y 0.29. This larger rise in the Y values is due to the larger density of polluting interm ediate-m ass AGB stars in NGC 2808, which is 2-3 times greater than for the other GCs.

Even if NGC 2808 is the only GC out of the three that shows a appreciable helium variation, a maximum value of Y 0:29 is smaller than the postulated very high value of Y 0:40 required to explain the most enriched stars in the triple main sequence (P iotto et al. 2007). It is very close, however, to the value of Y 0:32 (Bedin et al. 2000) required to explain the unusualHB m orphology for NGC 2808.

6 COM PARISON W ITH PREVIOUS MODELS AND GENERAL COM MENTS

To explain the peculiar chem ical properties observed in GCs, we propose a model based on a new idea of pre-peculiar inhom ogeneous pollution produced by the explosion of a single SN e Ia. In principle, due to the low star form ation rate during the form ation of the proto-halo, the possibility that 2 or 3 (orm ore) SN e Ia explode essentially simultaneously (< 20-30 M yr apart in time) in a region sm aller than 30-50 pc is much less probable than having a single event. Having said that, our model can accom odate such a scenario without a dram atic in pact on the predictions based upon the base senario of a single SN e Ia event. For exam ple, if 2 (or 3) SN e Ia explode in the sam e region, ejecting therefore a factor of 2 (or 3) more iron, an expansion (i.e., an increase of R_{in}) of a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ (or $\frac{1}{3}$ 3) would lead to the same [Fe/H] and chem ical properties seen in the base model. In the case of no SN e Ia, but interm ediate-m ass stellar AGB pollution, one is left with the classical \external" GC self enrichment scenario (Bekkiet al. 2007) in which the high values of N, Na (and other elem ents produced in substantial am ounts by AGB stars) are easily explained, but it is very di cult to explain the depletion in oxygen. Since all the well-observed GCs seem to have an oxygen-depleted population of stars at som e level, in our fram ework we must assum e that the inhom ogenous contribution of SN Ia is the seed for the form ation ofGCs.

O f course, a larger num ber of SN e Ia m ay contribute to the enrichm ent of the proto-halo ISM (outside the proto-G C region). We believe, though, that the approximation of an ISM being enriched only by SN II products is sound one, as the SN e Ia contribution to the \m ean" M W 's stars' chem ical properties starts being in portant only after 1.5 G yr and at [Fe/H] > 1 (T inskey 1979; Edvasrdsson et al. 1993; M cW illiam 1997). The $[Fe/H]_{ISM}$ values in our models are, in all cases, low er than this value.

Bekkiet al. (2007) point out that one of the greatest advantages of an external AGB-pollution scenario is that the fraction of peculiar stars observed in GCs is not limited to the amount of a stars forming in the rst generation. In particular, in this model the fraction of O-depleted to O-rich stars depends only in the way that the SN e II pollution proceeds, while the number of AGB stars initially polluting the inner region is quite low (N_{AGB} ' 200; see Table 1). The total amount of stars needed to create a typical value of 200

interm ediate-m ass AGB stars is 10^4 M , which is a sm all fraction of the total M W H alo or GC m ass. Even assuming that this number of stars are trapped in the potential well of the forming GC and are hom ogeneously distributed within 50 (or 100) pc, their density would be 1:9 10^{-2} (2:4 10^{-3}) M pc ³ which is low compared to the densities observed in GCs (e.g., Pryor & Meylan 1993).

In principle, our proposed scenario has no particular problem s explaining the observed high fraction of peculiar to norm al stars observed in som e GCs (e.g., Carretta et al. 2006, for NGC 2808). The self-pollution scenario, on the other hand, requires ad hoc assumptions such as an anom alous IMF peaked at 4{8 M (e.g., Sm ith & Norris 1982; D'Antona & Caloi 2004; Prantzos & Charbonnel 2006); or that the GC was initially much more massive and has lost

90-99 per cent of the stars that were form ed in the rst generation through tidal stripping. Our model does not require these assumptions: the only assumption we require is that star form ation should proceed more or less smoothly while SN e II explode and self enrich the proto-GC; and the chem ical properties of the form ing stars evolve from peculiar to norm al. The fraction of norm al to peculiar stars depends on how the SF proceeded and we will try to address this problem with detailed hydrodynam ical simulations.

previous models (e.g. Fenneretal. 2004; In D'Antona et al. 2005; Bekkiet al. 2007) the CN bimodality is not reproduced due to the lack of C-depleted and N-rich stars, since C is produced in AGB stars. The same is also true for M g, with the result that positive C-N and Mg-Al correlations are found in contradiction to the observational constraints. Bekkiet al. (2007) tried to solve this problem by using yields from AGB models that had no third dredge-up (as these authors noted, this assumption is purely hypothetical). The sm aller production of C is what allows this study to reproduce the C-N anti-correlation. The O-Na and Al-Mg trends were not recovered because the lack of dredge-up resulted in a sm aller production of Na and Mg (mainly ²⁶Mg). Note that in all AGB models, O destruction via HBB is not e cient enough to account for the very low 0 abundances observed in som e G C stars (typically [0/Fe] 0:5 or less). The non-standard models of Ventura & D'Antona (2005c) (see also Ventura & D'Antona 2006) that use a di erent convection m odel, can reproduce most of the abundance anom alies but only when including convective overshooting to force som e third dredge-up along with adopting high mass-loss rates. In our model none of the above assum ptions are required. We use the AGB yields of Karakas & Lattanzio (2007), averaged over a canonical Salpeter IM F.

The success of our model is the combined e ect of inhom ogeneous AGB pollution together with the e ect of a single SN Ia and its Fe-rich ejecta. The net e ect is to lower the ratio [X_i/Fe], where the element X_i is negligibly produced (or destroyed) relative to SN II in intermediatermass AGB stars (e.g., oxygen). In the case where X_i is produced by AGB stars, the ratio is either maintained at an approximately constant value or the enhancement is mitigated ow-ing to the e ect of the SN pollution (e.g., C, Na, Mg and possibly Al). The only real problem that ourmodelsu ers is the production of Alshould be enhanced by a factor of 10{ 50 com pared to the AGB yields from Karakas & Lattanzio

(2007).Ourmodel, however, is the rst that has shown any promise at explaining the M g isotopic ratios in GC stars.

One possibility in a canonical AGB self-pollution model is that the second generation of stars did not form from AGB-enriched material but these stars had their surfaces polluted by AGB winds (e.g., Dantona et al. 1983). In our fram ework the O-depleted stars obtain their abundances from SNe Ia pollution mixed with AGB material. We could in age a sim ilar surface pollution m odel as follows: after the rst \norm al" generation of stars have form ed, self pollution by interm ediate-m assAGB stars and SNe Ia (which has sim ilar tim escales; see Section 2) start inhom ogeneously polluting the surface of stars. It would be di cult, however, to explain all the chem ical properties of G C stars with surface pollution. For example, it would probably not be possible to maintain [Fe/H] constant in the most O-depleted stars. W hile surface pollution of SN Ia ejecta would decrease the [0 /Fe] ratio it would also dram atically increase the surface Fe content of the star.

7 CONCLUSIONS

W e have m odelled the chem ical evolution of GCs under the hypothesis that the abundance anom alies were set during the formation of the Milky Way Halo (or inside their host dwarfgalaxies). Inside a volum e ofgas enriched to som e level by a rst generation of low-m etallicity SN e II, GC form ation takes place owing to the inhom ogeneous (respect to the surrounding ISM) e ect of a single SN Ia plus material from interm ediate-m ass AGB stars. A fter a burst of star form ation, new SN e II start to explode, self-polluting and expanding out the inhom ogeneous region, which mixes with the surrounding (m etalpoorer) ISM . In our fram ew ork, all the peculiar stars observed in GCs (e.g., O-depleted, Na-rich) were born in the above inhom ogeneously enriched volume, while \norm al" stars (i.e., O -rich, Na-depleted) are form ed successively once the SN e II associated with the SF have washed out the inhom ogeneous region and self-polluted the ISM . In conclusion, our main ndings can be sum marized as follow s:

(i) We reproduce the O -N a anti-correlation. In our model the rst stars to form have low [O/Fe] and high [Na/Fe] abundances, with the system then evolving toward \norm al" abundances once SN e II start polluting the ISM .

(ii) We reproduce the Mg-Al anti-correlation, but only by assuming that intermediate-mass AGB produce more Al than predicted by theoretical models (e.g., Karakas & Lattanzio 2007). This assumption needs to be carefully studied in the context of AGB model uncertainties.

(iii) W e satisfactorily produce the M g isotopic ratios observed in NGC 6752.

(iv) The Fe/H] abundance remains approximately constant during the evolution. This is because of mixing caused by the subsequent SN e II explosions between the Fe-enriched inhom ogeneous inner region and the Fe/H]-poorer ISM. The Fe produced by the SN e II them selves does not signi cantly a ect the resulting Fe abundance in the forming GC stars.

(v) We reproduce the C-N anti-correlation within observational uncertainties, and the sum C + N + O remains constant, in agreem ent with the observations. The best t is ob-

tained, how ever, by assuming that the AGB stars produce a factor of four less C. This assumption may not be in accord with current theoretical models.

(vi) The model cannot reproduce the $^{12}{\rm C}$ / $^{13}{\rm C}$ ratios observed in evolved giant stars in the GCs, and internal stellar evolution processes are required.

(vii) GCs are a factor of ten m ore m etal rich than coevalMW halo stars (which are the assumed stellar host pop-ulation).

In a forthcom ing paper we will focus on other elements (e.g., Li, F, Si, Ca, Ti, V, Co, Ni, and Cu) to further test our fram ework. We will also focus upon the extrem em etallicity GC cases that may prove to be a challenge for ourm odel: the very m etalpoor M 15 ($Fe/H \models 2.26$) and the m etal-rich 47 Tuc ($Fe/H \models 0.76$). P relim inary results are encouraging.

O ne last question we could ask is why would all GCs show such a peculiar enrichment as outlined in this paper? Even if we do not have a conclusive answer, the region enriched by the SN Ia and AGB stars has a [Fe/H] abundance and metallicity, Z, that is a factor of ten higher than the surrounding ISM. Thus could be due to the corresponding enhanced cooling, this region may be more likely than the halo eld to produce a star cluster with regions of enhanced star form ation. In our fram ework, the SN Ia (and AGB) pollution is the seed which may have led to the form ation of the globular cluster itself.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank the anonym ous referee w hose com m ents in proved the presentation of the paper. W e also thank Eugenio C arretta and M aurizio Salaris for useful discussions regarding GC chem ical abundances and isochrone, respectively. It is also a pleasure to thank Annibale D'Ercole for reading the paper and providing useful suggestions which im proved the presentation of the paper. BKG acknowledges the support of the UK's Science & Technology Facilities C ouncil (ST/F002432/1) and the C om m onwealth C osm ology Initiative. A IK thanks O nno Pols for useful discussions and acknowledges support from the Australian R esearch C ouncil's D iscovery Projects funding scheme (D P0664105). PSB is supported by a M arie C urie Intra-European Fellow – ship within the 6th European C om m unity Fram ework Program m e.

$\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{F} \to \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{S}$

- A rgast D , Sam land M , G erhard O .E , Thielem ann F .K , 2000, A & A , 356, 873
- Bedin L.R., Piotto G., Zoccali M., Stetson P.B., Saviane I., Cassisi S., Bono G., 2000, A & A, 363, 159
- BekkiK, Campbell S.W., Lattanzio J.C., Norris J.E., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 335
- BekkiK., Freem an K.C., 2003, MNRAS, 346, L11
- BekkiK "Norris J.E., 2006, ApJ, 637, L109
- Bemmerer D., Confortola F., Costantini H., Formicola A., Gyurky G., Bonetti R., Broggini C., Corvisiero P., Elekes Z., Fulop Z., Gervino G., Guglielmetti A., Gustavino C., Imbriani G., Junker M., Laubenstein M., Lemut A., Li-
- m ata B., Lozza V., M arta M., M enegazzo R., Prati P.,

- Roca V., Rolfs C., Alvarez C.R., Som orjai E., Straniero O., Strieder F., Terrasi F., Trautvetter H.P., 2006, Physical Review Letters, 97, 122502
- Bonifacio P., Pasquini L., Molaro P., Carretta E., Francois P., Gratton R.G., James G., Sbordone L., Spite F., Zoccali M., 2007, A&A, 470, 153
- Boothroyd A. I., Sackm ann I.-J., 1999, ApJ, 510, 232
- Briley M . M ., C ohen J. G ., Stetson P. B ., 2004a, A J, 127, 1579
- Briley M. M., Harbeck D., Sm ith G. H., Grebel E. K., 2004b, AJ, 127, 1588
- Brown J.H., Burkert A., Truran J.W., 1991, ApJ, 376, 115
- ,1995,ApJ,440,666
- Carretta E., Bragaglia A., Gratton R.G., Leone F., Recio-Blanco A., Lucatello S., 2006, A & A, 450, 523
- C arretta E , B ragaglia A , G ratton R .G , Lucatello S , M om any Y , 2007, A & A , 464, 927
- Carretta E., Gratton R.G., Lucatello S., Bragaglia A., Bonifacio P., 2005, A&A, 433, 597
- CescuttiG , 2008, A & A , 481, 691
- CharbonnelC, 1994, A & A, 282, 811
- , 1995, ApJ, 453, L41+
- ChiappiniC, Ekstrom S, Meynet G, HirschiR, Maeder A, CharbonnelC, 2008, A & A, 479, L9
- Chie A., Limongi M., 2004, ApJ, 608, 405
- C io D.F., McK ee C.F., Bertschinger E., 1988, ApJ, 334, 252
- Coc A., Vangionif lam E., Descouvem ont P., Adahchour A., Angulo C., 2004, ApJ, 600, 544
- Cohen J.G., Briley M.M., Stetson P.B., 2002, AJ, 123, 2525
- Cohen J.G., Melendez J., 2005, AJ, 129, 303
- Cottrell P.L., D a Costa G.S., 1981, ApJ, 245, L79
- D'Antona F., BellazziniM., CaloiV., PecciF.F., Galleti S., Rood R.T., 2005, ApJ, 631, 868
- D'Antona F., CaloiV., 2004, ApJ, 611, 871
- Dantona F., Gratton R., Chie A., 1983, Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana, 54, 173
- D'Antona F., Ventura P., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1431
- D ecressin T , M eynet G , C harbonnelC , P rantzos N , E kstrom S , 2007, A & A , 464, 1029
- Denissenkov P.A., Herwig F., 2003, ApJ, 590, L99
- Denissenkov P.A., Tout C.A., 2000, MNRAS, 316, 395
- D'Ercole A., Vesperini E., D'Antona F., McMillan S.L.W.,
- RecchiS., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 825
- Dopita M . A ., Sm ith G . H ., 1986, ApJ, 304, 283
- Fenner Y ., Campbell S., Karakas A. I., Lattanzio J. C., Gibson B.K., 2004, MNRAS, 353, 789
- Fenner Y , G ibson B.K., Limongi M , 2002, Ap& SS, 281, 537
- Freem an K., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2002, ARA & A, 40, 487
- Garc a-Hernandez D. A., Garc a-Lario P., Plez B., D'Antona F., Manchado A., Trigo-Rodr guez J.M., 2006, Science, 314, 1751
- Gibson B.K., Loewenstein M., Mushotzky R.F., 1997, MNRAS, 290, 623
- Gnedin O.Y., Zhao H., Pringle J.E., FallS.M., Livio M., Meylan G., 2002, ApJ, 568, L23
- GoswamiA., Prantzos N., 2000, A&A, 359, 191
- G ratton R , Sneden C , Carretta E , 2004, ARA & A , 42, 385

- G ratton R.G., Lucatello S., Bragaglia A., Carretta E., Cassisi S., M om any Y., Pancino E., Valenti E., Caloi V., Claudi R., D'Antona F., Desidera S., Francois P., James G., Moehler S., Ortolani S., Pasquini L., Piotto G., Recio-Blanco A., 2007, A&A, 464, 953
- G ratton R .G , Sneden C , C arretta E , B ragaglia A , 2000, A & A , 354, 169
- Grundahl F., Briley M., Nissen P. E., Feltzing S., 2002, A&A, 385, L14
- Harris W . E., 1996, AJ, 112, 1487
- Hughes G.L., Gibson B.K., Carigi L., Sanchez-Blazquez P., JM.C., Lambert D.L., 2008, MNRAS, 390, 1710
- Ishim anu Y., W anajo S., 1999, ApJ, 511, L33
- Ivans I. I., Sneden C., Kraft R. P., Suntze N. B., Smith
- V.V., Langer G.E., Fulbright J.P., 1999, AJ, 118, 1273
- Iwam oto K., Brachwitz F., Nom oto K., Kishim oto N., Umeda H., Hix W. R., Thielemann F.-K., 1999, ApJS, 125, 439
- Izzard R.G., Lugaro M., Karakas A.I., Iliadis C., van Raai M., 2007, A & A, 466, 641
- Jam es G , Francois P , Bonifacio P , Carretta E , G ratton R.G., Spite F , 2004, A & A , 427, 825
- Jehin E., Magain P., Neuforge C., Noels A., Thoul A.A., 1998, A&A, 330, L33
- Johnson C. I., K raft R. P., Pilachowski C. A., Sneden C., Ivans I. I., Benm an G., 2005, PASP, 117, 1308
- K arakas A., Lattanzio J.C., 2007, Publications of the Astronom ical Society of Australia, 24, 103
- Karakas A. I., Fenner Y., Sills A., Campbell S.W., Lattanzio J.C., 2006a, ApJ, 652, 1240
- K arakas A. I., Lattanzio J. C., 2003, Publications of the A stronom ical Society of Australia, 20, 279
- K arakas A . I., Lee H . Y ., Lugaro M ., G orres J ., W iescher M ., 2008, A pJ, 676, 1254
- Karakas A. I., Lugaro M. A., Wiescher M., Gorres J., Ugalde C., 2006b, ApJ, 643, 471
- Kobayashi C., Umeda H., Nomoto K., Tominaga N., Ohkubo T., 2006, ApJ, 653, 1145
- K raft R.P., 1994, PASP, 106, 553
- K raft R . P ., Sneden C ., Langer G . E ., Shetrone M . D ., 1993, A J, 106, 1490
- M a jew ski S. R., Patterson R. J., D inescu D. I., Johnson W. Y., O stheim er J. C., KunkelW. E., Palma C., 2000, in Liege International A strophysical Colloquia, Noels A., M again P., Caro D., Jehin E., Parm entier G., Thoul A. A., eds., p. 619
- M annucci F., D ella Valle M., Panagia N., 2006, M N R A S, 370, 773
- M arcolini A , D 'E rcole A , Battaglia G , G ibson B.K., 2008, M N R A S, 386, 2173
- M arcolini A , D 'E roole A , B righenti F , R ecchi S , 2006, M N R A S, 371, 643
- M arcolini A , Sollin a A , D 'E roole A , G ibson B . K , Ferraro F . R , 2007, M N R A S, 382, 443
- Marigo P., Bernard-Salas J., Pottasch S. R., Tielens A.G.G.M., Wesselius P.R., 2003, A&A, 409, 619
- M arino A.F., Villanova S., Piotto G., Milone A.P., Momany Y., Bedin L.R., Medling A.M., 2008, A&A, 490, 625
- M atteucciF., R ecchiS., 2001, A pJ, 558, 351
- M elioli C , de G ouveia D al P ino E . M , 2004, A & A , 424, 817

- M eynet G ., M aeder A ., 2002a, A & A , 390, 561
- ,2002b,A&A,381,L25
- Morgan S., Lake G., 1989, ApJ, 339, 171
- Parm entier G., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 585
- Parm entier G ., G ilm ore G ., 2001, A & A , 378, 97
- Parm entier G , Jehin E , M again P , N euforge C , N oels A , ThoulA . A , 1999, A & A , 352, 138
- PasquiniL, Bonifacio P, Molaro P, Francois P, Spite F, Gratton R.G., Carretta E, Wol B, 2005, A&A, 441, 549
- Pinsonneault M., 1997, ARA&A, 35, 557
- Piotto G., Bedin L. R., Anderson J., King I. R., Cassisi S., Milone A. P., Villanova S., Pietrinfemi A., Renzini A., 2007, ApJ, 661, L53
- Piotto G., Villanova S., Bedin L.R., Gratton R., Cassisi S., Momany Y., Recio-Blanco A., Lucatello S., Anderson J., King I.R., Pietrinferni A., Carraro G., 2005, ApJ, 621, 777
- Prantzos N., Charbonnel C., 2006, A & A, 458, 135
- Pryor C., Meylan G., 1993, in Astronom ical Society of the Pacic Conference Series, Vol. 50, Structure and Dynamics of Globular Clusters, Djorgovski S.G., Meylan G., eds., pp. 357{+
- Rakos K., Schom bert J., 2005, PASP, 117, 245
- Recchi S., D anziger I. J., 2005, A & A , 436, 145
- Rom ano D , M atteucci F , Tosi M , Pancino E , Bellazzini M , Ferraro F . R , Limongi M , Sollim a A , 2007, M N R A S, 69
- Schaller G , Schaerer D , M eynet G , M aeder A , 1992, A & A S, 96, 269
- Shetrone M . D ., 2003, ApJ, 585, L45
- Slavin J.D., Cox D.P., 1992, ApJ, 392, 131
- Sm ith G ., 1996, PA SP , 108, 176
- Sm ith G . H ., 2006, PA SP , 118, 1225
- Sm ith G.H., Norris J., 1982, ApJ, 254, 594
- Sm ith G.H., Norris J.E., 1993, AJ, 105, 173
- Sm ith G.H., Tout C.A., 1992, MNRAS, 256, 449
- Sm ith V.V., Cunha K., Ivans I.I., Lattanzio J.C., Cam pbell S., Hinkle K.H., 2005, ApJ, 633, 392
- Sm ith V.V., Suntze N.B., Cunha K., Gallino R., Busso M., Lambert D.L., Straniero O., 2000, AJ, 119, 1239
- Sneden C., Kraft R. P., Guhathakurta P., Peterson R. C., Fulbright J. P., 2004, AJ, 127, 2162
- Sweigart A.V., Mengel J.G., 1979, ApJ, 229, 624
- Thornton K., Gaudlitz M., Janka H.-T., Steinmetz M., 1998, ApJ, 500, 95
- Thoul A., Jorissen A., Goriely S., Jehin E., Magain P., Noels A., Parmentier G., 2002, A & A, 383, 491
- TimmesF.X., W oosley S.E., W eaverT.A., 1995, ApJS, 98, 617
- T su jim oto T ., Shigeyam a T ., Suda T ., 2007, A pJ, 654, L139 Ventura P ., D 'A ntona F ., 2005a, A & A , 431, 279
- ,2005b,A&A,439,1075
- ,2005c,ApJ,635,L149
- ,2006, A & A , 457, 995
- W eiss A., D enissenkov P.A., Charbonnel C., 2000, A&A, 356, 181
- Wood P.R., BessellM.S., Fox M.W., 1983, ApJ, 272, 99
- W oosley S.E., W eaver T.A., 1995, ApJS, 101, 181
- Yong D., AokiW., Lambert D.L., 2006a, ApJ, 638, 1018
- Yong D., AokiW., Lambert D.L., Paulson D.B., 2006b, ApJ, 639, 918

20 A.Marcolinietal.

Yong D., Grundahl F., Lambert D. L., Nissen P. E., Shetrone M. D., 2003, A & A, 402, 985

Yong D ., G rundahlF ., N issen P.E ., Jensen H .R ., Lambert D .L ., 2005, A & A , 438, 875

Yong D., Lambert D. L., Paulson D. B., Carney B. W., 2008, ApJ, 673, 854