String resonances at the Large H adron C ollider

A runava Roy and Marco Cavaglia^y

Departm ent of Physics and Astronom y, University of M ississippi, University, MS 38677-1848, USA

(D ated: February 21, 2024)

The Large H adron C ollider prom ises to discover new physics beyond the Standard M odel. A n exciting possibility is the form ation of string resonances at the TeV scale. In this article, we show how string resonances may be detected at the LHC in the pp ! + jet channel. Our study is based on event shape variables, m issing energy and momentum, maximum transverse momentum of photons and dijet invariant m ass. These observables provide interesting signatures which enable us to discrim inate string events from the Standard M odel background.

PACS num bers: 04.60 Bc, 11.25 W x, 04.70 D y

I. IN TRODUCTION

O ur know ledge of high energy physics is limited to energies approximately less than one TeV. A possible candidate for new physics above the TeV scale is supersymmetry (SUSY) [1, 2]. SUSY provides a solution for the Higgs mass problem, a candidate for cold dark matter, and unication of low energy gauge couplings by introducing superpartners to Standard M odel (SM) elds (see Ref. [1] and references therein). A Itematives to SUSY are extra-dimensional m odels, such as large extra dimensions [3], warped branew orlds [4] and universal extra dimensions [5]. In these m odels, gravity becomes strong at the TeV scale. The most astounding consequences of TeV-scale gravity would be the production of m iniblack holes (BHs) [6] and real/virtual gravitons [7] in particle colliders and cosm ic ray showers.

Both SUSY and extra dimensions are essential ingredients of string theory [8, 9]. The string scale is dened as [9]

$$l_s = hc^{\frac{p}{0}}$$

where 0 is the slope parameter with units of inverse energy squared. The strength of string interactions is controlled by the string coupling g_s . The P lanck scale M $_{PL}$ is related to the string scale M $_s$ by

$$M_s = g_s M_{PL}$$
:

Since string e ects are expected to appear just before quantum gravity e ects set in [10], the string coupling is generally assumed to be of order one. In this scenario, the string scale is close to the Planck scale. However, in the presence of large extra dimensions gravity becomes strong at the TeV scale. In this case the Planck scale and the string scale are both 1 TeV; string resonances would be observed at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) before the onset of non-perturbative quantum gravity e ects. Detection of string events at the LHC [11, 12, 13] through corrections to SM amplitudes would be the most direct evidence of this scenario.

The aim of this article is to present a detailed analysis of string resonances at the LHC in the pp ! + jet channel [11]. (For a discussion of di erent channels see, e.g., Ref. [12].) The main result of our investigation is that string resonances may be distinguishable from the SM background.

II. STRING AMPLITUDE

The relevant process for pp ! + jet events is gluon-gluon scattering: gg ! g . The string am plitude for this process is [11]

$$\frac{f}{M} (gg! g)^{2}_{J} = g_{s}^{4}Q^{2}C(N) \qquad \frac{s(s;t;u)}{u} + \frac{s(s;u;t)}{t}^{2} + (s!t) + (s!u); \quad (1)$$

E lectronic address: arunav@ olem iss.edu

^yE lectronic address: cavaglia@ olem iss.edu

where s, t and u are the M andelstam variables and

$$(s;t;u) = (1 \ u) \frac{(1 \ s)}{(1 + t)} \frac{(1 \ t)}{(1 + s)}$$
: (2)

Here N = 3 is the number of D branes needed to generate the eight gluons of the SM, C (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N(N^2 - 1)}$ is a constant parameter, and $Q^2 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{2}{3} \cos^2 \frac{1}{N}$ (N) = $\frac{$

The string amplitude possesses poles at $n = s = M_s^2$, where n is an integer. For odd values of n the amplitude is

$$\frac{1}{M} (gg ! g)^{2}_{J} = g^{4}_{s} Q^{2} C (N) \frac{4}{(n !)^{2}} \frac{s^{4} + u^{4} + t^{4}}{M_{s}^{4} [s \ nM_{s}^{2}]} \frac{(t=M_{s}^{2} + n)}{(t=M_{s}^{2} + 1)}^{2} :$$
(3)

For even values of n the behavior of the amplitude is obtained from Eq. (3) with the substitution s ! t and $n ! m = t = M_s^2$ in the square bracket term. Following Ref. [11], the singularities of the amplitude are smeared with a xed width = 0:1 for all n > 1 and as

$$\frac{1}{M} (gg! g)_{j}^{2} = \frac{g^{4}Q^{2}C(N)}{M_{s}^{4}} = \frac{M_{s}^{8}}{(s M_{s}^{2})^{2} + (J^{=0}M_{s}^{2})^{2}} + \frac{t^{4} + u^{4}}{(s M_{s}^{2})^{2} + (J^{=1}M_{s}^{2})^{2}}$$
(4)

for n=1. Equation (4) includes a correction for spin dependent widths: $^{J=0} = 0.75 \text{ }_{s}\text{M} \text{ }_{s}$ and $^{J=1} = 0.45 \text{ }_{s}\text{M} \text{ }_{s}$, where $_{s} = g_{s}^{2}=4$ is the strong coupling constant. The presence of the poles indicates the form ation of string resonances. The total cross section for the pp ! + jet event is obtained by integrating the parton cross section over the CTEQ 6D parton distribution functions of the protons f (x;Q) [14]

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{pp! string! + jet}}}^{Z_{1} Z_{1} Z_{1}$$

where Q is the four-m om entum transfer squared and

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}M}{\frac{16}{s^2}} \frac{(gg! g)^2}{16s^2};$$
(6)

The choice of CTEQ 6D parton distribution functions allows direct com parison of our results to those of Ref. [11]. The



FIG.1: Left Panel: D i erential cross section of string events for M $_{s}$ = 1 TeV (red dots) and M $_{s}$ = 2 TeV (green crosses) with P_{Tm in} = 50 G eV. String resonances are clearly seen when s = nM $_{s}^{2}$. Right Panel: String cross section for M $_{s}$ = 1 TeV (red dots) and M $_{s}$ = 2 TeV (green crosses) with P_{Tm in} = 50 G eV. The cross section for M $_{s}$ = 1 TeV is 44 times larger than the cross section for M $_{s}$ = 2 TeV.

left panel of F ig. 1 shows the dimensial cross section of the pp $! + jet process with total center-of-m ass energy E _ C M$

$$\frac{d}{ds} = \int_{x_2 = t}^{Z = Z} dx_2 dt \frac{2^p \overline{s}}{x_2 E_{CM}^2} f_1(x_1; Q) f_2(x_2; Q) \frac{d}{dt}:$$
(7)

The di erential cross section shows resonances at $s = nM_s^2$. The right panel of Fig. 1 shows the total cross section as a function of the minimum transverse momenta of the two outgoing particles of the 2 2 scattering, $P_{Tm in}$. The string cross section for $M_s = 1$ TeV (solid red line) and the cross section for $M_s = 2$ TeV (dashed green line) are 5 10⁴ and 10³ times less than the SM cross section, respectively. Our sample run of 10⁷ events produced 9300 (220) string events for $M_s = 1$ (2) TeV, with an integrated LHC lum inosity of 100 fb¹.

III. ANALYSIS

String resonances at the LHC are simulated with a Fortran M onte C arb code interfaced with PYTHIA [15]. Eventshape variables are a powerful discriminator of string events from the SM background. Their electiveness is further increased by an analysis of events with high- P_T photons. String events are characterized by high values of visible energy and missing transverse momentum as the photon and the jet originate directly from the 2 2 interaction. Isolated photons provide a further means to extract string signals. Being directly produced from the string resonance, isolated photons from string interactions are harder than SM photons.

We $x P_{Tm in} = 50 \text{ GeV}$ for both string and SM events which results into a signal-to-background ratio of 73. This choice is justiled as follows. For low values of $P_{Tm in}$ the string cross section is highly suppressed wirt: the SM cross section, for example $\frac{\text{string}}{\text{sm}}$ 10⁵ for $P_{Tm in} = 10 \text{ GeV}$. Therefore, discrimination of string events from the SM background is di cult for events with low $P_{Tm in}$. At higher values of $P_{Tm in}$ both the SM background and the signal are substantially reduced. For example, at 300 GeV they are reduced by a factor of 98% and 42% wirt: values the at $P_{Tm in} = 50 \text{ GeV}$, respectively. Thus the optimal signal-to-background ratio is obtained for $P_{Tm in}$. 100 GeV.



FIG. 2: Left Panel: V isible energy distribution for string+SM and SM -only events. The result for string resonances is shown by the solid red histogram ($M_s = 1 \text{ TeV}$) and by the dashed green histogram ($M_s = 2 \text{ TeV}$). String events can be identi ed from the high- E_T tail for $M_s = 1 \text{ TeV}$. Right Panel: D istribution of visible P_T for + hadrons. The high- P_T tail is a strong indicator of the presence of string resonances.

Figure 2 shows the visible energy (left panel) and the transverse m om entum of hadrons+ photons (right panel) for 10 m illion string+ SM and SM -only events. The visible energy and the transverse m om entum are produced by the hard photons and the jets of the string decay. Their distributions are characterized by a long tailat high energy/m om entum. The observation of events with visible energy (transverse m om entum) greater than 6 (3) TeV would provide strong evidence of the form ation of a string resonance.

Figure 3 shows histogram s for di erent event shape variables. String+SM interactions generally produce a distribution of high P_T jets at slightly higher values than the SM background, i.e. string events tend to be more spherical than SM events. The jets originate from the decay of string resonances into photons and hadrons. The SM generates less heavier jets than string resonances. This is evident from the middle and right panels of Fig. 3.

In the analysis of digets, the jets are selected according to the following criteria. The detector is assumed to have an absolute value of pseudorapidity = $\ln \tan \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)g = 2.6$. This ensures that the jets are originated in the hard 2 2



FIG.3: H istogram s of event shape variables for 10 m illion string+SM and SM -only events. String events are shown in solid red ($M_s = 1 \text{ TeV}$) and dashed green ($M_s = 2 \text{ TeV}$). SM events are shown in dotted blue. String events have on the average higher sphericity than SM events due to the slight increase in the number of jets (left panel). Sim ilar conclusions are reached from the heavy and low jet m ass distributions (m iddle and right panel, respectively).

scattering rather than in multiple interactions or from the beam remnants. The contribution of jets which do not originate in the hard scattering are minimized by xing the the minimum transverse energy of all particles comprising the jet ($_{i}E_{T_{i}}$) to 40 G eV [16]. The particles of the jet must be within a cone of $R = \frac{2}{2} = 0.5$ from the jet initiator, where and are the azim uthal and polar angles of the particle writ the beam axis, respectively.



FIG. 4: Left Panel: Diget invariant mass distribution. String decays may result in a large invariant mass. Right Panel: Distribution of the highest P_T for 1.5 10^6 events. 's with high P_T created in the string decay are the source of the long tail.

The left panel of Fig. 4 shows the invariant m ass plot of the two jets with highest P_T in each event. Due to the nature of the interaction, the bulk of the events (both string+SM and SM) are comprised of dijets. These were selected using the above cuts. The jet invariant m ass is

$$M_{12} = \frac{q_{12}}{m_{1}^{2} + m_{2}^{2} + 2(E_{1}E_{2} - p_{1}p_{2});}$$

where $m_{1(2)}$; $E_{1(2)}$ and $p_{1(2)}$ are the mass, energy and m on enta of jet 1 (2), respectively. A sexpected, the SM invariant m ass distribution is negligible beyond 4 TeV. This is due to the production of direct soft photons and jets from the SM interaction. The string+ SM distribution is characterized by a long tail up to energies of several TeV (three times m ore than the SM). This tail is originated from the decay of string resonances into hard jets and photons. Therefore, the m easure of a large invariant m ass could provide strong evidence of a string-m ediated interaction.

The right panel of Fig. 4 shows the distribution of the highest P_T of isolated photons for string+ SM and SM -only events. Following Ref. [16], the cuts on the photon are P_T 80 GeV, < 2.6 and an isolation cut $_nP_T$ < 7 GeV in a cone of R = 0.4. The photons from string resonances are expected to have a higher P_T than the SM photons because they are the direct products of the string decay. The main sources of background for direct photons are jet uctuations and photons originating from the initial and nal state radiation [16]. In the form er case, a jet consists

of a few particles including high P_T m esons (generally $_0$ [16]). The pions decay into a pair of photons with a 99% branching ratio. Due to the high boost, the photons have a relatively sm all angular separation and therefore \fake" a single photon in the electrom agnetic calorim eter. The rate of this process is 1 out of 10^3 to 10^4 events [16]. O ther sources of fake photons are H ! [17] or processes from other exotic phenom ena, e.g. SUSY [18] or large extra dim ensions [3]. Isolation cuts on the photon can electrively reduce the num ber of fake photons.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated string resonances at the LHC and shown how to dimension from the SM background. Our analysis has proven that string resonances could be detected when $M_s = 1$ TeV. String events show higher sphericity and higher visible energy than the SM background. These quantities allow discrimination of string events from SM background when combined with the measure of the P_T of isolated photons and the dijet invariant mass. Since the nalproducts of the string resonances are directly produced from the string decay, the dijet invariant mass is characterized by a tail at high energies which is absent in the SM.

O ther exotic phenom ena could also be observed at the LHC near the TeV scale, such as the form ation of BHs. A pow erfulway of discriminating between BH and string events would be searching for a Z_0 mass peak in the invariant m ass of high- P_T leptons. Z_0 production is highly suppressed in case of string events [11]. On the contrary BH decay is characterized by the production of a variety of particles with high transverse momentum. A rough counting of the number of degrees of freedom of these particles show s that the estimated rate of hadron-to-lepton production is 5:1 and the rate of Z_0 and production is comparable (2% to 3%) with the Z_0 bosons decaying into opposite-sign leptons with a 3.4% branching ratio. Thus the invariant mass distribution of BH events peaks at 92 GeV, con ming the production of a Z_0 boson [19]. The presence of a peak at 92 GeV in the invariant mass of leptons would e ectively rule out form ation of string resonances.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors would like to thank L.A. Anchordoqui for hism any valuable suggestions and comments.

- [1] S.P.M artin, arX iv hep-ph/9709356.
- [2] A. Bartl et al., In the Proceedings of 1996 DPF / DPB Summer Study on New Directions for High-Energy Physics (Snowmass 96), Snowmass, Colorado, 25 Jun 12 Jul1996, pp SUP112;
 H.Baer, C. h. Chen, F. Paige and X. Tata, \Signals form inim al supergravity at the CERN large hadron collider: Multi-jet plus missing energy channel," Phys. Rev. D 52, 2746 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9503271].
- [3] N. ArkaniH am ed, S. D in opoulos and G. R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9803315];
- I.A ntoniadis, N.A rkaniH am ed, S.D im opoulos and G.R.D vali, Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998) [arX iv hep-ph/9804398]; N.A rkaniH am ed, S.D im opoulos and G.R.D vali, Phys. Rev. D 59, 086004 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9807344].
- [4] L.Randalland R.Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3370 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9905221].
- [5] T. Appelquist, H. C. Cheng and B. A. Dobrescu, Phys. Rev. D 64, 035002 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0012100].
- [6] P.C.Argyres, S.D in opoulos and J.M arch-Russell, Phys.Lett.B 441, 96 (1998) [arX iv hep-th/9808138];
 - T.Banks and W.Fischler, arX iv hep-th/9906038;
 - S.D in opoulos and G. Landsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 161602 (2001) [arX iv hep-ph/0106295];
 - S.B.G iddings and S.D.Thom as, Phys. Rev.D 65, 056010 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0106219];
 - E.J.Ahn, M.Cavaglia and A.V.O linto, Phys. Lett. B 551, 1 (2003) [arX iv hep-th/0201042];
 - V.P.Frolov and D.Sto kovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 151302 (2002) [arX iv hep-th/0208102];
 - M.Cavaglia, S.Das and R.Maartens, Class. Quant.Grav. 20, L205 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0305223];
 - A.Chamblin, F.Cooper and G.C.Nayak, Phys. Rev. D 70, 075018 (2004) [arX iv hep-ph/0405054];
 - B.Koch, M.Bleicher and H.Stoecker, J.Phys.G 34, S535 (2007) [arXiv hep-ph/0702187];
 - D.M.G ingrich, JHEP 0711, 064 (2007) [arX iv:0706.0623 [hep-ph]];
 - J.L.Feng and A.D.Shapere, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 021303 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0109106];
 - L.A.Anchordoqui, J.L.Feng, H.Goldberg and A.D.Shapere, Phys. Rev. D 65, 124027 (2002) [arX iv hep-ph/0112247];
 - E.J.Ahn, M.Ave, M.Cavaglia and A.V.Olinto, Phys. Rev. D 68,043004 (2003) [arX iv hep-ph/0306008];
 - J.I.Illana, M.M. asip and D.M. eloni, Phys. Rev. D 72, 024003 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0504234];

- E.J.Ahn and M.Cavaglia, Phys. Rev. D 73, 042002 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0511159];
- M.Cavaglia and A.Roy, Phys.Rev.D 76,044005 (2007) [arXiv:0707.0274 [hep-ph]];
- M.Cavaglia, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 18, 1843 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0210296];
- R.Emparan, Black hole production at a TeV, arX iv hep-ph/0302226;
- S.H ossenfelder, W hat black holes can teach us, arX iv hep-ph/0412265;
- P.Kanti, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 19, 4899 (2004) [arX iv hep-ph/0402168];
- G.Landsberg, J.Phys.G 32, R337 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0607297].
- [7] G.F.G indice, R.Rattazzi and J.D.W ells, Nucl. Phys. B 544, 3 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9811291];
 E.A.M irabelli, M.Perelstein and M.E.Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2236 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9811337];
 S.Cullen and M.Perelstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 268 (1999) [arX iv hep-ph/9903422].
- [8] J.Polchinski, \String theory.Vol.1 & 2" Cambridge, UK: Univ.Pr. (1998)
- [9] B. Zwiebach, \A rst course in string theory," Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2004)
- [10] K.R.Dienes, Phys. Rept. 287, 447 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9602045].
- [11] L.A.Anchordoqui, H.Goldberg, S.Nawata and T.R.Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 78, 016005 (2008) [arX iv:0804.2013 [hep-ph]].
- [12] P. Burikham, T. Figy and T. Han, Phys. Rev. D 71, 016005 (2005) Erratum -ibid. D 71, 019905 (2005)] [arXiv:hep-ph/0411094].
- [13] L.A.Anchordoqui, H.Goldberg, D.Lust, S.Nawata, S.Stieberger and T.R.Taylor, arX iv 0808.0497 [hep-ph].
- [14] J. Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H. L. Lai, P. M. Nadolsky and W. K. Tung, JHEP 0207, 012 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0201195].
- [15] T.Sjostrand, S.M renna and P.Skands, JHEP 0605, 026 (2006) [arX iv hep-ph/0603175]; http://www.thep.lu.se/~torbjom/Pythia.htm l.
- [16] P.Gupta, B.C.Choudhary, S.Chatterji, S.Bhattacharya and R.K.Shivpuri, arXiv:0705.2740 [hep-ex].
- [17] M. Pieri, S. Bhattacharya, I. Fisk, J. Letts, V. Litvin and J.G. Branson, CERN-CMS-NOTE-2006-112.
- [18] A.Abulencia et al. [DF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 121801 (2007) [arX iv:0704.0760 [hep-ex]].
- [19] A.Roy and M.Cavaglia, Phys.Rev.D 77,064029 (2008) [arX iv:0801.3281 [hep-ph]]; A.Roy and M.Cavaglia, Mod.Phys.Lett.A 23,2987 (2008) [arX iv:0710.5490 [hep-ph]].