

The possibility of $Z(4430)$ resonance structure description in π^0 reaction

I.V. Danilkin¹⁾, P.Yu. Kulikov⁺

⁺ Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia

The possible description of $Z(4430)$ as a pseudoresonance structure in π^0 reaction, is considered. The analysis is performed with single-scattering contribution to π^0 elastic scattering via $D_1 D_1(2420)$ intermediate energy.

PACS: 12.38.Lg, 13.25.Ft

1. INTRODUCTION

The resonance structure with mass $M = 4433 \pm 4$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 45^{+18+30}_{-13-13}$ MeV in the charged quarkonium system π^0 was found by the Belle Collaboration [1]. On the other hand, BABAR Collaboration [2] did not see significant evidence for a $Z(4430)$ signal in any of the processes investigated, neither in the total $J = 0$ or $(2S)$ mass distribution, nor in the corresponding distributions for the regions of K mass for which observation of the $Z(4430)$ signal was reported. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the properties of the new resonance [3]–[6]. In particular, Rosner [3] pointed out to the close by threshold of $D_1(2420)D(2010)$ state and suggested a mechanism of production of π^0 in the decay $B \rightarrow K Z(4430)$, $Z(4430) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$. The proximity of the threshold invokes a possible near-threshold singularity, either due to a pole of the amplitude (virtual or real loosely coupled bound state of $D_1 D$) [3]–[5] or else due to the threshold cusp [6].

In this letter we are trying to understand whether the $Z(4430)$ resonance can be due to pseudoresonance mechanism known for πd system [9]. We analyze the structure of the scattering amplitude for the reaction $\pi^0 \pi^0$ near the $D_1 D$ threshold in the same way as was done for πd system near the $4N$ resonance. It is well known that the peak in the cross section for pion-nucleon (πN) scattering around $T = 180$ MeV is associated with the $4(1232)$. An analogous peak is observed in the cross section for pion-deuteron (πd) scattering near $4N$ threshold shifted slightly in position and broadened with respect to the N peak (see Figure 1). Therefore, one can not exclude that the $Z(4430)$ resonance, which lies near $D_1 D$ threshold could be connected to the $D_1(2420)$ resonance, as it takes place in the $4(1232)$. The $D_1(2420)$ state with

mass $M = 2420^{+1+2}_{-2-2}$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 20^{+6+3}_{-5-3}$ MeV was observed in $D(2010)$ invariant distribution. Therefore the dynamical picture of the pion charmonium scattering in our approach is: the p-wave 0^- energy-shell charmonium decay to $D D$, then in the $D D$ scattering the creation of $D_1(2420)$ resonance. The diagram corresponding to this reaction is shown in Figure 2.

In our paper, firstly, we calculate the scattering amplitude for πd system using a single Breit-Wigner resonance for $4(1232)$ and obtain a good description of $4N$ resonance. Then we apply the same formulas to π^0 scattering in which the vertex of the $\pi^0 \rightarrow D D$ decay is calculated in the many channel formalism developed in [8]. For simplicity reasons we didn't include rescattering terms which slightly shift the peak in the d case.

We pay a special attention to the influence of different properties of deuteron and charmonium family. First of all its different size: the deuteron is a large object with size $R_d \approx 4.3$ fm while charmonium π^0 state has only $R_c \approx 0.5$ fm. The analysis of the results and discussion are given in the last section.

2. THE AMPLITUDE FOR πd SYSTEM

For the sake of simplicity we neglect any spin dependence and write the single-scattering non-relativistic term for the d amplitude:

$$M(\vec{k}^0; \vec{k}) = \frac{Z}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{d^3 p}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{k}^0 \right) M_N(\vec{x}^0; \vec{x}; W_1) \left(\frac{1}{2} \vec{k} \right) \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{p} = \frac{q}{k^2 + m^2} + \frac{q}{k^2 + m_d^2}$ is the total invariant energy of the πd system. In (1) the N amplitude depends on

¹⁾ e-mail: danilkin@itep.ru




Figure 1. The squared scattering amplitude.

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{k} \quad \frac{(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} \quad \mathbf{x}^0 = \mathbf{k}^0 \quad (\mathbf{p})\mathbf{p}$$

$$(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{p} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 + m_N^2}$$

and on the total invariant N energy W_1

$$W_1 = \frac{s}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} \frac{q}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_N^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} \quad (2)$$

The N amplitude will be truncated to include only the dominant resonance p wave in the following way:

$$M_N = \frac{64}{3} W_1 \mathbf{x}^0 \mathbf{x} \frac{R}{2q} \frac{1}{W_1 M_R + \frac{1}{2}i_R} \quad (3)$$

with momentum q of the N system

$$q = \frac{s}{4W_1^2} \frac{(\mathbf{W}_1^2 - (m_N^2 + m^2)^2)(\mathbf{W}_1^2 - (m_N^2 - m^2)^2)}{(\mathbf{W}_1^2 - (m_N^2 + m^2)^2)} \quad (4)$$

The deuteron wave function contains the deuteron pole:

$$(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\mathbf{p} -}{(\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2)(\mathbf{p}^2 + c^2)} \quad (5)$$

with $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m_N^2}$, m_D being the deuteron binding energy and $c = 0.4$ GeV.

One can see in Figure 1 that the forward scattering ($\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^0$) amplitude has a quite good resonance form which agrees with the experiment result (see, for example [7]).

3. THE AMPLITUDE FOR π^0 SYSTEM

The $(\mathbf{p})$ in (1) for π^0 system includes the propagator and overlapped integral of the process $\pi^0 \rightarrow D \bar{D}$

$$(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{J(\mathbf{p})M_1}{E_0 E_D E_D} = \frac{J(\mathbf{p})M_1}{M_0 2M_D \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{M_D}} \quad (6)$$




Figure 2. Representation of the single scattering diagram.




Figure 3. The squared scattering amplitude.

where $J(\mathbf{p})$ is an overlapped matrix element between wave functions (nS) of the n -th charmonium state and $(1S)$ of $D(\bar{D})$ mesons states, which were derived in the framework of many-channel formalism with decay channel coupling [8]:

$$J(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{Z}{Y_{123}} \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} (nS; \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}) (1S; \mathbf{q}) (1S; \mathbf{q}) \quad (7)$$

here $c = \frac{m_D^2}{m_N^2}$ (m_N is the energy of heavy and light quarks in D meson); Y_{123} is defined by the Dirac traces of the amplitude given in appendix. In eq. (7) (nS) ; $(1S)$ are a series of oscillator wave functions which are fitted to realistic wave functions. We obtain them from the solution of the Relativistic String Hamiltonian, described in [10], [11].

Figure 3 shows the squared scattering amplitude averaging over vector polarization $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{M}^2$. As can be seen, the structure has a too large width and a peak located near energy $\mathbf{p} \approx 4.7$ GeV and cannot be associated with $Z(4430)$.

4. DISCUSSION

An important distinction between π^0 and π^0 is the difference in the deuteron and charmonium sizes, p wave




Figure 4. The squared π^0 scattering amplitude in case of large charmonium size.

decay π^0 to $D\bar{D}$ is also significant. It is interesting that we can obtain a desirable resonance structure if π^0 has admixture of the near-threshold state with size $R \approx 5$ fm due to the coupling to the $D\bar{D}$ channel. In this case the width turns out to be smaller ≈ 60 MeV and the peak is shifted to the position $\sqrt{s} \approx 4.5$ GeV. This result is shown in Figure 4.

In our paper we have used dynamical picture of pion interaction with heavy quarkonia corresponding to the diagram in Figure 2. Our analysis shows that there is no resonance near $\sqrt{s} \approx 4.430$ energy in the π^0 system, unless an admixture of large size near-the-threshold state is taken into account.

We are grateful to Yu.A. Simonov for useful discussions. This work is supported by the Grant NSh-4961.2008.2. One of the authors (I.V.D.) is also supported by the grant of the Dynasty Foundation and the Russian Science Support Foundation.

1. K. Abe et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 142001 (2008) [arXiv:0708.1790 [hep-ex]].
2. et al. [BABAR Collaboration], arXiv:0811.0564 [hep-ex].
3. J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 76, 114002 (2007) [arXiv:0708.3496 [hep-ph]].
4. L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, arXiv:0708.3997 [hep-ph].
5. C. Meng and K. T. Chao, arXiv:0708.4222 [hep-ph].
6. D. V. Bugg, arXiv:0709.1254 [hep-ph].
7. C. H. Oh, R. A. Amdt, I. I. Strakovsky and R. L. Workman, Phys. Rev. C 56, 635 (1997) [arXiv:nucl-th/9702006]; A. W. Thomas and R. H. Landau, Phys. Rept. 58 (1980) 121.
8. Yu. A. Simonov and A. I. Veselov, arXiv:0804.4635 [hep-ph] (to be published in Phys. Rev. D); Yu. A. Simonov,

Phys. Atom. Nucl. 71, 1048 (2008) [arXiv:0711.3626 [hep-ph]].

9. Yu. A. Simonov and M. van der Velde, J. Phys. G 5 (1979) 493.
10. A. Y. Dubin, A. B. Kaidalov and Yu. A. Simonov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 56, 1745 (1993) [Yad. Fiz. 56, 213 (1993)].
11. A. M. Badalian and I. V. Danilkin, arXiv:0801.1614 [hep-ph] (to be published in Yad. Fiz.).

APPENDIX

The vertex factor $y_{123} = \frac{P \cdot Z}{Z_1 Z_2 Z_3}$ is calculated in the same way as in [8], namely from the Dirac trace of the projection operators for the decay process, in our case this is $(nS) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$. Identify the creation operators as $c_i, c_j, c_k, d_i, d_j, d_k$ one has for the decay process

$$Z = \text{tr}(\rho_i^+ \rho_j^+ \rho_k^+) \quad (8)$$

with the projection operators $\rho_i = \frac{m_k \gamma_4 \gamma_5 \gamma_i}{2! k_i}$, $k = c, d$. Here γ_k is the average energy of quark in given meson ($\gamma = 1.5$ GeV, $\gamma = 0.55$ GeV), m_k is the pole mass of c and d quarks ($m_c = 1.4$, $m_d = 0$). One can identify the momenta of $q; \bar{q}; Q; \bar{Q}$ as in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} p_q &= q_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} p; & p_{\bar{q}} &= q_2 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + 1} p \\ p_Q &= p - p_q; & p_{\bar{Q}} &= p - p_{\bar{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Finally one obtains from (8), taking into account that $q_1 = q_2 = q$

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{8im_Q}{16!^{1/2}} f_2! \left(\frac{p_k \gamma}{\gamma + 1} q_k \right)_{ij} + 2! \left(\frac{p_j \gamma}{\gamma + 1} q_j \right)_{ik} \\ &+ q_1 \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \frac{p^2 \gamma^2}{(\gamma + 1)^3} + \frac{2(p \cdot q) \gamma}{(\gamma + 1)^2} \frac{q^2}{\gamma + 1} \right) \\ &+ q_1 (\gamma^2 + 2! q^2 + \frac{2! p \cdot q}{\gamma + 1} \frac{p^2 \gamma^2}{(\gamma + 1)^2})_{jk} g \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$