

Scalar mesons in $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay

S.V. Donskov,¹ A.K. Likhoded,¹ A.V. Luchinsky,^{1,y} and V.D. Samoylenko¹

¹Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

The decay $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ is studied in the framework of isobar model. It is shown, that good agreement with the experiment is achieved if a_0 - and π -meson contributions are taken into account. The contribution of a_0 -meson is dominant, but π -meson is necessary to reproduce the form of experimental Dalitz plot. Instead of usual Breit-Wigner form of π -meson propagator we use parametrization of π -amplitude, that satisfies analyticity, crossing, unitarity and chirality constraints. This amplitude has a pole in complex plane, that corresponds to π -meson and describe experimental data on π -scattering in K_{e4} decay.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Aq, 13.75.-n, 13.75.Lb

I. INTRODUCTION

Precision measurement of the decay

$$\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0 \quad (1)$$

starts with the work [1], where parameters of the matrix element of this decay in linear approximation were first determined with high accuracy. In this work squared matrix element M^2 is expanded in Dalitz variables

$$X = \frac{p_3}{Q} T_1 - T_2; \quad Y = 2 + \frac{m}{m_0} \frac{T}{Q} - 1; \quad (2)$$

where T_1, T_2, T are kinetic energies of η - and π -mesons in η' -meson rest frame ($T_1 > T_2$) and $Q = T_1 + T_2 + T = m_0 - m_1 - 2m_2$. Now parametrization with quadratic terms proposed in [2] is widely used:

$$M^2 / (1 + aY + bY^2 + dX^2); \quad (3)$$

where a, b, c, d are real numbers.

This approach was used in subsequent works studying the decay (1) in neutral [3] and charged [4] modes. In both cases one has good agreement in coefficients of expansion (3) and non-vanishing quadratic terms.

The form of the expansion (3) is motivated by chiral perturbation theory (ChPT). In the framework of this model the process $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ was studied theoretically in numerous works [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. It turns out, however, that leading order ChPT calculations gives small value of partial width of this decay and overestimate the slope parameters. The reason for this discrepancy is that the mass of η' -meson large, so leading ChPT approximation cannot be used. Final state interaction, on the other hand, leads to significant contribution of scalar meson resonances a_0, ρ, f_0 , etc. In ChPT these contributions are taken into account introducing additional contact terms. The resulting width, however, is smaller than the experimental value. In works [10] it was shown that this problem can be solved in the framework of isobar model with contributions of a_0, ρ and f_0 mesons taken into account. This conclusion was also confirmed in other works [2, 11, 12].

In our paper we use this approach to analyze new experimental data on $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ reaction [13]. It is well known, that π -meson contribution can not be described with usual Breit-Wigner parametrization. Analyticity, unitarity and chiral properties of $\eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ scattering, on the other hand, put strong constraints on the possible form of the amplitude of this process. In the works [14, 15] the parametrization of the amplitude, that satisfies all mentioned above properties, was presented. Free parameters of this parametrization are fixed from the values of S-wave $\pi\pi$ scattering length and

^E Electronic address: Likhoded@ihep.ru

^y Electronic address: Alexey.Luchinsky@ihep.ru




FIG. 1: Typical diagrams for the process $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$!

experimental data taken from K_{e4} decay (i.e. $K \rightarrow \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$). This is the main difference of our approach in comparison with the other works, where simple Breit-Wigner form of π -meson propagator was used. Since we use complete amplitude of π -scattering in our kinematic region, contributions from other resonances (for example, f_0 -mesons) are also included automatically. In addition, for the propagator of a_0 -meson we use slightly modified expression, that takes into account the closeness of this meson to $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ thresholds.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give parameterizations for amplitudes of π -meson interaction in $\pi\pi$ and $\pi\eta$ channels. In section III the results of the fits of these parameterizations on experimental Dalitz plot of $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$ decay are presented. Discussion of our result is given in the conclusion.

II. MATRIX ELEMENT

In the framework of isobar model the matrix element of $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$ decay can be described by taking into account the contributions of nearest scalar resonances: a_0 meson in $\pi\pi$ -channel and σ -meson in $\pi\eta$ -channel (see Fig. 1 for typical diagrams). It should be noted, that there are also scalar f_0 -meson that can give contribution to $\pi\pi$ -scattering amplitude (diagram 1b). In the present article we use total amplitude for $\pi\pi$ -scattering in our kinematic region, extracted from experimental data. It is clear, that there are not only π -meson, but also f_0 -meson contributions in this amplitude.

The amplitude of the process $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0$ can be written in the form

$$A = A_{\pi\pi}(s_1) + A_{\pi\eta}(s_2) + A_{\pi\eta}(s_3);$$

where $A_{\pi\pi}$ and $A_{\pi\eta}$ are rescattering amplitudes in $\pi\pi$ - and $\pi\eta$ -channels respectively and

$$s_1 = (p_2 + p_3)^2; \quad s_2 = (p_1 + p_3)^2; \quad s_3 = (p_1 + p_2)^2; \\ s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = M_{\eta'}^2 + M_{\pi}^2 + 2m_{\pi}^2;$$

Roy equations and chiral perturbation theory allow one to describe accurately the amplitude of $\pi\pi$ scattering in low energy region, that is allowed in considered here decay. This approach makes it possible to control the analytical continuation of the amplitude to the complex plane, where the pole interpreted as π -meson is observed [16].

Due to unitarity the amplitude of $\pi\pi$ -scattering should obey rather strong conditions. First of all, for $s < (2m_{\pi})^2$ this amplitude should be real. For $s > (2m_{\pi})^2$ up to $K\bar{K}$ -production threshold the imaginary part of this amplitude should be

$$\text{Im} \frac{1}{A(s)} = \frac{r}{1 - \frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{s}};$$

Chiral perturbation theory, in addition, that at $s = s_A = m_{\pi}^2 = 0$ the amplitude equals to zero (Adler "self-consistency" conditions [17]).

The amplitude that satisfies listed above conditions can be expressed through the series over the variable

$$w(s) = \frac{p_{\pi}}{s} \frac{P_{\pi}}{1 - \frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{s}}; \\ w(s) = \frac{p_{\pi}}{s + \frac{4m_{\pi}^2}{s}};$$

that transforms s couples s -plane with cuts $s > 0$ and $s > (2M_K)^2$ into a disc $|w| < 1$ in complex w -plane (it is clear that $w(4M_K^2) = 1$, $w(0) = 0$). The introduction of a new variable improves the convergence of the series in the

considered variable domain. The amplitude of $\pi\pi$ -scattering can be written in the form

$$A_{\pi\pi}(s) = t_0^0(s) = \frac{m_a^2}{s - m_a^2} + \frac{2s_A}{m_a^2} + B_0 + B_1 w(s) + \frac{r}{i} \frac{4m_a^2}{s} \quad (4)$$

where r is unknown constant that can be determined from the fit of experimental data.

The analysis of NA 48/2 results in K_{e4} decay [13] tells us, that in the presented above series one can leave only first two terms with the coefficients

$$B_0 = 7.4; \quad B_1 = 15.1$$

These values correspond to following position of π -meson pole:

$$p_{\pi} = (459 + 259i) \text{ MeV}$$

Let us now proceed to $\pi\pi$ channel. In our kinematic region the main contribution in this channel comes from virtual a_0 -meson. It is well known that its mass is close to $K\bar{K}$ production threshold. As a result the propagator of this meson is different from usual Breit-Wigner form: one should introduce the self-energy corrections caused by $\pi\pi$, $\eta\eta$ and $K\bar{K}$ loops. It should be noted, that these corrections are significant at the pole. Our analysis, however, shows, that in our kinematical region these corrections are small and are above current experiments accuracy. The form of a_0 and a_0^0 vertices, on the other hand, is important.

These vertices can be written in several forms. First of all one can use simple point-like representation with effective constants g and g^0 . The first constant can be determined from experimental value of a_0 -meson width:

$$a_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi = \frac{g^2}{8 m_a^2} p_j \quad a_0 = 50 - 100 \text{ MeV};$$

so

$$1.95 \text{ GeV} < g < 2.75 \text{ GeV};$$

This value agrees with $g = (2.46 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11) \text{ GeV}$, presented in recent experimental work [18]. The constant g^0 can be determined either from $SU(3)$ -symmetry relations [19] or directly from fit of the considered in this article process. It should be noted, that $SU(3)$ relations require information on quark structure of a_0 -meson, that is widely discussed question. For this reason we use experiment for determining this constant.

Another form of interaction vertex is motivated by chiral perturbation theory. According to it the vertex should be equal to zero in the limit $p \rightarrow 0$. In this case the vertex can be written in the form $(p \cdot p)$ for $a_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ interaction and $(p \cdot p)^0$ for $a_0^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ interaction. This form was used in paper [2]. It seems more attractive, since in scattering amplitude chirality conditions are taken into account. The constant g^0 can be determined from $a_0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay width

$$5.7 \text{ GeV}^{-1} < g^0 < 8.1 \text{ GeV}^{-1};$$

while for determination of g^0 constant one can use $SU(3)$ -symmetry or distribution of $a_0^0 \rightarrow \pi\pi$ decay over Dalitz region.

Thus, we will use following two variants of $\pi\pi$ -scattering amplitude:

$$A_{\pi\pi}(s) = \frac{g g^0}{s - m_a^2 + i(s) m_a} \quad (5)$$

or

$$A_{\pi\pi}(s) = g^0 \frac{(p \cdot p)(p \cdot p)^0}{s - m_a^2 + i(s) m_a} \quad (6)$$

III. FIT RESULTS

Our parametrization has following unknown constants: coupling constant of π -meson with π^0 pair interaction (see formula (4)) and constants of a_0 -meson interaction with $\pi\pi$ and $\eta\eta$ states (g , g^0 or $g^{\pi\pi}$, $g^{\eta\eta}$ depending on




FIG. 2: (a) experimental Dalitz distribution for the decay (1) from [3]; (b) MC results with only ρ meson taken into account; (c) MC results with only a_0 meson taken into account; (d) a_0 π -interference

the form of a_0 vertices). These constants will be determined using the value of $\Gamma(a_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$ decay width and that of the Dalitz plot of this decay, obtained in GAMMA-4 experiment [3].

Let us first consider the point-like interaction of a_0 meson (5). Best agreement with experimental data (with confidence level $CL=0.52$) is observed when coupling constants are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\rho\pi\pi} &= 4.0 \pm 0.3; \\ g_{\rho\pi\pi} &= (0.93 \pm 0.3) \text{ GeV}^2; \end{aligned}$$

Above it was mentioned that coupling constant $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ is connected with a_0 meson decay width ($2 \text{ GeV} < g_{\rho\pi\pi} < 3 \text{ GeV}$). As a result we get following restrictions on $g_{\rho\pi\pi}$ coupling constant:

$$0.36 \text{ GeV} < g_{\rho\pi\pi} < 0.51 \text{ GeV}:$$

If we assume that a_0 meson is build from light quarks only ($a_0 = uu + dd$), these constants should be connected from π^0 mixing. For example, in quark mixing scheme [19] with mixing angle $\theta = 40^\circ$ the ratio of these constants




FIG. 3: Real and imaginary parts of the amplitudes of ρ -meson (thin line) and a_0 -meson (dashed region)

should be

$$\frac{g_{\rho^0}}{g} = \tan \theta = 0.8$$

It can be easily seen, that this relation does not hold for presented above values of coupling constants g and g_{ρ^0} . This fact is not surprising, on the other hand, since current information on internal structure of a_0 -meson is rather poor. It is, for example possible, that there is noticeable ss component in this meson, as it was mentioned in [18].

The situation is different when one use chiral form of a_0 vertices (expression (6)). In this case best agreement with experiment ($CL = 0.92$) is observed at

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= 4.0 \pm 0.3 \\ g_{\rho^0} &= (35 \pm 4) \text{ GeV}^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

From experimental width of a_0 -meson one can determine the value of ρ -constant ($5.7 \text{ GeV}^{-1} < g_{\rho^0} < 8.1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$). It is easy to obtain the following restrictions on coupling constant g_{ρ^0} :

$$4.6 \text{ GeV}^{-1} < g_{\rho^0} < 6.6 \text{ GeV}^{-1} :$$

The relation caused by SU(3)-symmetry holds for these constants significantly better, then in the case of point-like a_0 interaction. We would like to note, that these values are close enough (up to a sign) to results of the work [2] where $g_{\rho^0} = 6.8 \pm 7.2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $g_{\rho^0} = 7.4 \pm 8 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. It is clear, that difference in sign is insignificant, since one freely change the total sign of the amplitude.

IV. DISCUSSION

In §2 we present experimental Dalitz plot of $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay (g.2a), and the results of Monte-Carlo modeling with only a_0 -resonance contribution taken into account (g.2b), only ρ -meson contribution taken into account (g.2c), and only interference between a_0 and ρ (g.2d). From these figures it is clearly seen, that the a_0 -meson gives them main contribution. The contribution of ρ -meson is significantly smaller, while the role of a_0 interference is comparable with that of a_0 -meson.

It is interesting to note, that, in spite of dominant role of a_0 resonance, Y -distribution generated by a_0 -resonance only have opposite slope in comparison with experimental data. After inclusion of ρ -meson the agreement with the experiment is restored. So we can conclude, that, though the contribution of ρ -meson to partial width of the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ is small, it plays a crucial role in description of experimental data.

This point can be clearly seen from studying contributions of different resonances to real and imaginary parts of the amplitude. In §3 we show real and imaginary parts of the amplitudes of a_0 and ρ exchange versus Dalitz variable Y . In our parametrization ρ -meson amplitude is X independent, what can be seen from thin lines on these distributions. Amplitude of a_0 -exchange, on the other hand, depends on X , so one can see a number of lines (each individual line corresponds to different X values). Amplitudes shown in §3 agrees well with §11, presented in paper [2]. Difference is caused by difference in parametrizations of resonance amplitudes. Although we use slightly different parametrizations for ρ - and ρ -rescattering amplitudes. From this figure it is clear, that the contribution




FIG. 4: Squared matrix element as a function of Dalitz variable Y . Plots (from left to right) correspond to a_0 -meson only, σ -meson only, and total matrix element

of a_0 -meson exchange dominates in the real part of the amplitude, while in the imaginary part main contribution comes from σ -meson exchange.

In order to demonstrate crucial role of σ -meson for description of Dalitz distribution it is useful to trace contributions of different mesons with presented above parameters into squared matrix element. In figure 4a we show Y -distribution with only a_0 -meson exchange taken into account (each line in this graph corresponds to specific X value), in fig. 4b Y -distribution with only σ -meson taken into account (this amplitude does not depend on X , so only one thin line is present), while in fig. 4c all terms of the amplitude are used. It can be clearly seen from this figure, that experimental slope of the Y -distribution can be achieved only if σ -meson is included. We think that this proves firmly the necessity of σ -meson inclusion for analysis of the considered process $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$.

V. CONCLUSION

In our work we analyze experimental data of the reaction $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ in the framework of isobar model taking into account contributions of scalar mesons. We show, that it is sufficient to include a_0 - and σ -mesons to describe experimental data accurately.

In the a_0 -meson case one can use simple Breit-Wigner parametrization with energy-dependent width. We consider two different forms of $a_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ and $a_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ vertices and compare corresponding coupling constants with relations caused by SU(3)-symmetry. Our analysis shows that in the case of chiral-type interaction coupling constants agree with SU(3)-symmetry much better than point-like couplings.

The situation is more complicated for σ -meson, since the width of this meson is comparable with its mass, and simple Breit-Wigner parametrization cannot be used. For this reason a more accurate description of σ -meson exchange amplitude is required. This amplitude should satisfy a number of conditions: analyticity, unitarity, and crossing symmetry. In our article we use σ -amplitude, obtained from fit of the experimental data of K_{e4} -decay [13]. It contains a pole in complex plane at $\sqrt{s} = (459 + 259i) \text{ MeV}$, that is associated with σ -meson.

We show, that, in agreement with previous work [2], σ -rescattering via virtual a_0 -meson gives main contribution to partial width of the $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay. For description of the Dalitz distribution, on the other hand, it is necessary to take into account σ -meson contribution and its interference with a_0 one. If these effects are neglected, the slope of the Dalitz distribution in the variable Y is opposite to experimental value. Inclusion of the σ -meson corrects the situation. It should be noted, that σ -meson is very exotic particle, that has width comparable with its mass. For this reason direct experimental observation of this particle is very problematic. We think that presented in this article analysis of $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ decay gives additional argument in favor of σ -meson existence.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank A. M. Zaitsev for pointing our attention to work [12]. This work was financially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grants # 09-02-00132-a and 07-02-00417-a). One of the authors (A. V. L.) was also supported by President grant (# MK-110.2008.2), grant of Russian Science Support Foundation and noncommercial foundation "Dynasty".

[1] D. A. Kleitman et al., Phys. Lett. B 177, 115 (1987); D. A. Kleitman et al., Phys. of At. Nucl. 45, 117 (1987)

- [2] A.H.Fariborz, J.Schedter, Phys. Rev.D 60, 034002 (1999)
- [3] A.M.Blick et al, Phys.ofAt.Nucl. 88, 123 (2008)
- [4] V.Dorofeev et al. Phys. Lett. B 651, 22 (2007)
- [5] D.P.Majumdar, Phys.Rev.Lett. 21, 502 (1968).
- [6] J.A.Cronin, Phys. Rev. 161, 1483 (1967).
- [7] J.Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 167, 1432 (1968).
- [8] P.DiVecchia, F.Nicodemi, R.Pettorino and G.Veneziano, Nucl.Phys.B 181, 318 (1981).
- [9] N.A.Tomqvist, Z.Phys.C 68, 647 (1995).
- [10] C.A.Singh and J.Pasupathy, Phys.Rev.Lett. 35, 1193 (1975) [Erratum -ibid. 35, 1748 (1975)].
- [11] J.Schedter, Y.Ueda, Phys. Rev.D 23, 2874 (1971).
- [12] N.G.Deshpande and T.N.Tuong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1579 (1978).
- [13] J.R.Batley et al. (NA 48 Collab.), Eur.Phys. J C 54, 411 (2008)
- [14] F.J.Yndurain, R.Garcia-Martin and J.R.Pelaez, Phys. Rev.D 76, 074034 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0701025].
- [15] I.Caprini, Phys. Rev.D 77, 114019 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3504 [hep-ph]].
- [16] I.Caprini, G.Colangelo and H.Leutwyler, Phys.Rev.Lett. 96, 132001 (2006)
- [17] S.L.Adler, Phys.Rev.B 137, 1022 (1965); 139, 1638 (1965).
- [18] F.Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], arXiv:0904.2539 [hep-ex].
- [19] T.Feldman, P.Kroll, B.Stech, Phys. Lett. B 449, 339 (1999)