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#### Abstract

W e construct the recursion operators for the non-com mutative B urgers equations using their Lax operators. W e investigate the existence of any integrablem ixed version ofleft-and right-handed B urgens equations on higher sym $m$ etry grounds.


## 1 Introduction

N on-com $m$ utative generalizations of the classical nonlinear evolution equations in ( $1+1$ ) dim ensions were classi ed according to the sym $m$ etry based integrability in [1]. In this classi cation, (besides some multi-com ponent equations) one-com ponent non-com $m$ utative versions of a $K$ ortew eg-de $V$ ries (ncK dV ), a P otentialK dV (ncP K dV ), tw o M odi ed K dV (ndM K dV 1, noM K dV 2) and two (left-and right-handed) ncBurgers equations are observed to have higher sym $m$ etry in a certain weighting schem e of sym metries. Recursion operators for $n c K d V, n c P K d V$ and $n d M K d V 1$ were given in [2] and the one for noM $K$ dV 2 in [3]. In [2], classi cation [1] is show $n$ to be com plete under the assum ed weighting schem e of equations.

P ossession of a higher sym $m$ etry is a necessary condition which needs to be supplem ented by either a recursion operator or a $m$ aster sym $m$ etry for an equation to be integrable in the sym $m$ etry sense. A recursion operator generates in nite hierarchy of sym $m$ etries by $m$ apping a sym $m$ etry to another endlessly. $M$ aster sym $m$ etries do the sam e as adjoint action. T herefore $n c K d V$, ncP $K d V$, ndM $K d V 1$ and ndM $K d V 2$ are integrable equations.

As for the ncBurgers equations, their integrability is proven by a m aster sym m etry [2]. M oreover, they are shown to be linearizable by a noncom $m$ utative version of the $C$ ole $H$ opf transform ation. Their sym $m$ etry hierarchies are obtainable from the higher heat equations' and they adm it autoB acklund transform ations [4,5]. Som e exact solutions of the associated linearized (ncHi eat) equation were obtained in [8, 9]. So, ncBurgers equations are one of the best studied equations am ong the known non-com mutative integrable equations. There is one $m$ issing point how ever; currently no recursion operator of ncBurgers equations is known. It is even claim ed that there exists none [2].

Integrability has $m$ any aspects. A sym $m$ etry integrable equation $m$ ay further possess a hierarchy of conservation law s , or a Lax form ulation, autoB acklund transform ations, H irota bilinear form ulation, Painlave property etc. In general, there is not a well established correspondence am ong these structures. But dem onstration of one of these structures is regarded as a strong indication (and a good motivation to search) for other structures of an equation.

Recently, a new ncBurgers equation, which is a particular param etric $m$ ixture of the left-and right-handed nc-Burgers equations, was introduced
w ith a Lax pair in [9]. D espite having a Lax form ulation, absence of this $m$ ixed nc-B urgers equation in the form er sym $m$ etry and the relevant structure studies is rem arkable.

In this paper, starting from a K upershm idt type hierardhy of Lax representations, we construct both the tim e independent and the tim e dependent recursion operators of the ncBurgers equations by the $m$ ethod introduced in [3]. Our construction leads to only recursion operators of the left- or right-handed nc-Burgers equations. The Lax representation given for the $m$ ixed version of ncBurgers equation does not lead to a recursion operator of the equation by the $m$ entioned technique. Therefore, we reinvestigate the possibility of having an integrable $m$ ixed version of ncBurgers equation on higher sym $m$ etry grounds again. This tim e, how ever, we do the sym $m$ etry analysis by relaxing the weighting constraints taken in [1,2]. W e com $m$ ent on su ciency of having a Lax pair to be integrable in the sym $m$ etry sense.

## 2 C onstruction of R ecursion Operators

From here on, by nc-B urgers equation we shall speci cally refer to the righthanded ncBurgers equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{2 x}+2 u u_{x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and present the explicit results pertaining to this version only. This is because all the results given here correspond to that of left-handed nc-Burgers equation by an interchange of left multiplication operator $L()=w$ ith the right $m$ ultiplication $R \quad()=$ at their every occurrence.
$T$ he Lax representation for the nc-Burgers hierarchy w th

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=D_{x}+L_{u}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t_{n}}=\left[A_{n} ; L\right] ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A_{n}=\left(L^{n}\right)_{1}$ and $D_{x}$ denotes the total derivative $w$ ith respect to x .
$W$ hen a hierarchy of Lax pairs is known, a technique for constructing recursion operator for the associated sym $m$ etry hierarchy is given in [3]. This technique is based $m$ ainly on the identi cation of the relation between the

Lax representations of the individual sym $m$ etries in the hierarchy. For the detailed explanation of the $m$ ethod and explicit exam ples we refer to [3].

The relation am ong the Lax representations of the individual equations (sym $m$ etries) in the nc-Burgers hierarchy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{t_{n+1}}=L L_{t_{n}}+\left[T_{n} ; L\right]: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith the ansatz for the rem ainder $T_{n}=a_{n} D_{x}+b_{n}$, solution of this operator equality gives $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and arbitrary $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$. T herefore we have the follow ing recursion form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}=\left(D_{x}+L_{u}\right) u_{n}+R_{u_{x}} a d_{L}^{1} u_{n} \quad \operatorname{ad}_{L} b_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

am ong the successive sym $m$ etries. $H$ ere $\operatorname{ad}_{L}=D_{x}+L_{u} \quad R_{u}$.
C onsidering the vector space structure of sym $m$ etries on whidh the recursion operators act, we rst choose $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}=0$ and arrive at the tim e independent recursion operator of the ncBurgers equation which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that $R_{1}$ is a conventional recursion operator for the nc-Burgers hierarchy, one needs to prove that it satis es the basic de ning condition [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathbb{F} ; \mathrm{R}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=D_{x}^{2}+2 L_{u} D_{x}+2 R_{u_{x}}$ is the Frechet derivative of the right hand side ofncBurgers equation (1). In the present case how ever, it ism ore convenient to verify this basic condition in its equivalent form [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{1}\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad \mathrm{FN}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{N}{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}} ; \quad \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be straightforw ardly veri ed that Eqn. (8) indeed holds and therefore $R_{1}$ is a recursion operator for nc-Burgers equation. It generates an in nite
hierarchy of sym $m$ etries if an initial one is given. The rst few symmetries starting from $0=u_{x}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
1= & u_{2 x}+2 u u_{x} ; \\
2= & u_{3 x}+3 u u_{2 x}+3 u_{x}^{2}+3 u^{2} u_{x} ; \\
3= & u_{4 x}+4 u u_{3 x}+4 u_{x} u u_{x}+4 u_{2 x} u_{x}+6 u^{2} u_{2 x}+4 u^{3} u_{x}+6 u_{x} u_{2 x} \\
& +8 u u_{x}^{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

A s in the com $m$ utative Burgers equation, there is another recursion operator of the nc-Burgers equation which is explicitly tim e dependent. W e can determ ine the tim e dependent recursion operator $R_{2}$, w ith the help of the $b_{n}$, by inspection. H ence choosing $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{tD} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{t} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\mathrm{x}}{2}\right) \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$ in (5) we get the tim e dependent recursion operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{tD} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\mathrm{x}}{2}\right) \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, as in the com $m$ utative case, this tim e-dependent recursion operator $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is a weak recursion operator $m$ eaning that despite satisfying the basic condition (8), this recursion operator fails to generate higher sym $m$ etries correctly. G oing through the algorithm [11,12], the corrected tim e-dependent recursion operator for the nc-Burgers equation is obtained to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2}=\operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{t} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\mathrm{x}}{2}\right) \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{UL}_{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{x}}}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{L}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the projection operator de ned as $h\left(t ; x ; u ; u{ }_{x} ;:::\right)=h(t ; 0 ; 0 ;:::)$ for any function $h$. The rst few sym $m$ etries are

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\frac{1}{2}+t u_{x} ; \\
1 & =t^{2}\left(u_{2 x}+2 u u_{x}\right)+t\left(u+x u_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2} x ; \\
2 & =t^{3}\left(u_{3 x}+3 u u_{2 x}+3 u_{x}^{2}+3 u^{2} u_{x}\right) \\
& +t^{2}\left(3 u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} x u_{2 x}+3 x u u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} u^{2}\right) \\
& +t\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{3}{4} x^{2} u_{x}+\frac{3}{2} u x\right)+\frac{3}{8} x^{2}: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 M ixed nc-Burgers equations

Them ixed ncBurgers equation having an arbitrary constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{v}_{2 \mathrm{x}}+(\quad 2) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{v}+\quad \mathrm{vv}_{\mathrm{x}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w as introduced w ith the Lax pair

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m \text { ixed }}=D_{x}+v ; A_{m \text { ixed }}=D_{x}^{2}+2 v D_{x}+2 v_{x}+v^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in [9]. Even though them ixed ncBurgers equation (13) adm its the particular Lax form ulation (14) which $m$ ay be regarded as indicating integrability. This equation has not shown up in sym m etry classi cations [1, 2]. M oreover, we have already obtained the recursion operators that $L_{m \text { ixed }}$ can give. They are only the recursion operators of the ncBurgers equation (1) adm itting Lax representations $L_{m \text { ixed }}=D_{x}+L_{u}$ or $L_{m \text { ixed }}=D_{x}+R_{u}$.
$T$ herefore, here we reinvestigate a slightly generalized $m$ ixed ncBurgers equations for higher sym $m$ etry. In our speci $c$ attem pt, we relax the weighting scheme used in $[1,2]$ for the selection of the term $s$ to be included in the candidate sym $m$ etry. W e included all the term $s$ with polynom ial and derivative orders up to four. W e have the follow ing proposition.
$P$ roposition: The equation of form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=u_{2 x}+a u u_{x}+b u_{x} u \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a ; b 2 R$ and $a b \in 0, u$ is is non-comm utative,
i) does not adm it any higher sym $m$ etry from the class of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{t} & =(t ; x)+{ }_{i=0}^{X^{4}}{ }^{i}(t ; x) u_{i x}+X_{i ; j=0}^{x^{4}}{ }^{i j}(t ; x) u_{i x} u_{j x}+X_{i ; j ; k=0}^{x^{4}}{ }_{i j k}(t ; x) u_{i x} u_{j x} u_{k x} \\
& +X_{i ; j k k i=0}^{X^{4} \quad{ }^{i j k 1}(t ; x) u_{i x} u_{j x} u_{k x} u_{1 x} ;}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii) adm its only the Lie-point sym $m$ etries

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=u_{t} ; \\
& 2=u_{x} ; \\
& 3=2 t u_{t}+x u_{x}+u ; \\
& 4=1+(a+b) t u_{x} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) in particular, when $b=a, 1,2,3$ rem ains as they are but ${ }_{4}=1$ generalizes to ${ }_{4}=h(t ; x)$ where $h(t ; x)$ is a solution of $h_{t}=h_{x x}$.

So, to the extent of the above proposition, integrable versions of ncB urgers equations are only the left-and right-handed ones which are already given in [1]. W e claim that there does not exist either a Lax hierarchy or a recursion operator for the $m$ ixed nc-Burgers equation (13).

Nevertheless, a non-integrable equation with a Lax form ulation is not unusual. Such (com $m$ utative) equations are show $n$ to exist in [13] and further investigated in [14].
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