RUB-TPII-07/09

Pion form factor in the QCD sum -rule approach with nonlocal condensates

A lexander P. Bakulev and A. V. Pimikov

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JIN R D ubna 141980, Russia E-m ail: bakulev@ theor.jinr.ru

and

N.G.Stefanis

Institut fur Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universitat Bochum D-44780 Bochum, Germany E-mail: stefanis@tp2.ruhr-uni-bochum de and Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR D ubna 141980, Russia E-mail: stefanis@theor.jinr.ru

We present results of a calculation of the electrom agnetic pion form factor within the fram ework of QCD Sum Rules with nonlocal condensates, using a perturbative spectral density which includes O(s) contributions.

1. Introduction

The pion | its distribution amplitude and form factor | stands tall as a role model for the modern description of hadrons in terms of quarks and gluons within QCD. At high momenta Q^2 , the pion form factor can be written as a convolution F (Q^2) = '^{out} T (Q^2)'ⁱⁿ on account of the factorization theorem, where the symbol means an integration over the longitudinalmomenta of the quark and antiquark in the pion state factorized at some scale ². All binding e ects due to the nonperturbative color

P resented by the stauthor at the International M eeting \Excited QCD ", February 8{14, 2009, Zakopane (Poland)

dynam ics are absorbed into the pion distribution am plitudes ' ⁱⁿ for the incom ing and ' ^{out} for the outgoing pion. However, at low and intermediate momenta Q² this factorization procedure becomes inapplicable because the long-range interactions cannot be separated into factorizing pion distribution am plitudes ', as above, so that the convolution approach is not very reliable.

In a recent paper [1], we have proposed a di erent theoretical fram ework for the calculation of the pion form factor, which is based on QCD sum rules with nonlocal condensates (NLC) [2, 3, 4], employing an Axial-Axial-Vector (AAV) correlator. Su ce it to say here that our scheme di ers from previous ones in several respects that will be considered in the next section. A prolegom enon of the results we derived (see Sec. 3): the proposed m ethod yields predictions for the spacelike pion form factor that com pare well with the data in that m om entum region which is currently accessible to m easurem ents, covering also the range of m om enta to be probed by the 12 G eV upgraded CEBAF accelerator at JLab. Our conclusions are sum m arized in Sec. 4.

2. Three-point QCD sum rule for the pion form factor

Let us make here a second, more detailed pass, on some of the topics brie y mentioned in the Introduction, recalling the AAV correlator

$$ZZ \qquad h \qquad i \\ d^{4}x d^{4}y e^{i(qx p_{2}y)}h0 JT J_{5}^{+}(y) J(x) J_{5}(0) JDi; \qquad (1)$$

where q denotes the photon m om entum $(q^2 = Q^2)$ and p_2 is the outgoing pion m om entum. The quantities $J(x) = e_u \overline{u}(x) - u(x) + e_d \overline{d}(x) - d(x)$ and $J_5(x) = \overline{d}(x) - 5 - u(x)$, $J_5^+(x) = \overline{u}(x) - 5 - d(x)$ are the electrom agnetic current and the axial-vector currents, respectively, where $e_u = 2=3$ and $e_d = 1=3$ stand for the electric charges of the u and the d quarks. R efferring for further details to [5, 6] for the case of local condensates and to [4] for nonlocal ones, we proceed by writing down the sum-rule we will employ:

$$f^{2}F(Q^{2}) = ds_{1}ds_{2} \ _{3}(s_{1};s_{2};Q^{2}) e^{(s_{1}+s_{2})=M^{2}}$$

$$0 \ 0$$

$$+ \ _{G}(Q^{2};M^{2}) + \ _{hqqi}(Q^{2};M^{2}): (2)$$

Note that the quark-condensate contribution

$$_{\text{hqqi}}(Q^{2};M^{2}) = _{4Q}(Q^{2};M^{2}) + _{2V}(Q^{2};M^{2}) + _{qAq}(Q^{2};M^{2})$$
(3)

contains the four-quark condensate (4Q), the bilocal vector-quark condensate (2V), and the antiquark-gluon-quark condensate (qAq), while the term $_{\rm G}$ (Q²; M²) represents the gluon-condensate contribution to the sum rule. The crucial quantity in the sum rule is the three-point spectral density

$${}_{3}^{(1)}(s_{1};s_{2};Q^{2}) = {}_{3}^{(0)}(s_{1};s_{2};Q^{2}) + \frac{s(Q^{2})}{4} {}_{3}^{(1)}(s_{1};s_{2};Q^{2}) : (4)$$

The leading-order spectral density has been calculated long ago [5, 6], whereas the analogous next-to-leading order (NLO) version $\binom{(1)}{3}$ (s₁; s₂; Q²) has been derived recently in [7]. The contribution from higher resonances is usually taken into account in the form

$$_{HR} (s_1; s_2) = [1 (s_1 < s_0) (s_2 < s_0)]_{3} (s_1; s_2; Q^2)$$
(5)

and contains the continuum threshold parameter s_0 . In the investigation [1], reported upon here, we use in the perturbative spectral density a version of the running coupling that avoids Landau singularities by construction (see for reviews in [8, 9, 10]). At the one-loop level, one has [8]

$$_{s}(Q^{2}) = \frac{4}{b_{0}} \frac{1}{\ln (Q^{2} = \frac{2}{QCD})} \frac{2}{Q^{2} - \frac{2}{QCD}}$$
 (6)

with $b_0 = 9$ and $_{OCD} = 300 \text{ MeV}$.

The key elements of our analysis are these: (i) All interquark distances in the quark-gluon-antiquark condensate are nonlocal and the nonlocality is parameterized via the quark-virtuality parameter $\frac{2}{q}$ [2] with the value 0.4 GeV^2 . (ii) A modiled G aussian model for the nonlocal condensate is used, recently proposed in [11], and the prediction for F is compared with the results derived in [12], obtained by using them inim alG aussian model β , 13, 14], and from other theoretical models [15, 16] as well. The virtue of the modiled NLC model is that it helps minimizing the transversality violation of the two-point correlator of vector currents. (iii) A spectral density is used that includes terms of O ($_{\rm s}$), i.e., NLO perturbative contributions. Moreover, the coupling entering the spectral density is analytic, as stated above, so that the calculation of the pion form factor is not in uenced by the Landau pole. It was shown in [17, 12] that the Landau pole can obscure the predictions for the pion form factor even at momentum values much larger than $_{QCD}$.

3.P redictions

U sing the presented scheme, we obtain predictions for the pion form factor [1], which are shown in Fig. 1. Our results are presented in the form

A.P.Bakulev et al.



Fig.1. Scaled pion form factor Q^2F (Q^2) for the minimal (dashed blue lines) and the improved (solid blue lines) NLC model using $\frac{2}{q} = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ in comparison with experimental data of the Cornell [18] (triangles) and the JLab Collaboration [19] (diamonds). The shaded band within dashed lines shows the minimal model, whereas the variance of the improved model is indicated by solid lines. The lattice result of [20] is also shown as a dark-grey strip. The two broken vertical lines mark the region, where the in uence of the particular G aussian NLC model used is not severe.

of shaded bands, the aim being to include the inherent theoretical uncertainties of the method. The band contained within the solid lines gives the predictions we obtained with the improved G aussian NLC model, whereas the corresponding ndings from the minimalNLC model are shown in the band with the dashed boundaries. The central curves in each band (illustrated by a thick solid and a thick dashed line) are interpolation form ulas, whose explicit form can be found in [1]. For the understanding of these predictions it is instructive to remark that our method provides results that remain valid | though with reduced accuracy | even at higher values of the momentum up to Q^2 10 GeV².

Let us now discuss som e technical details. The value of g^2 at a given value of Q^2 is determined by demanding minimal sensitivity of F (M²;s₀) on the Borelparam eter M² in the ducial interval of the SR. These intervals M² 2 [M²=2;M²=2] are determined from the corresponding two-point NLC QCD SR and turn out to be M² = 1 GeV², M²₊ = 1:7 GeV² for the minimal NLC model and M² = 1 GeV², M²₊ = 1:9 GeV² for the improved NLC model, while the associated values of the pion decay constant read f = 0:137 GeV² and f = 0:142 GeV², respectively. Notice that the value of the Borelparam eter M² in the three-point SR roughly corresponds to the Borelparam eter in the two-point SR, having, however, twice its magnitude. A stable window for the Borel param eter is obtained for thresholds in the range between 0.65 and 0.85 GeV² [1]. As a rule, the higher the value of s₀, the larger the form factor because the perturbative input increases. The

sensitivity of the obtained form -factor predictions on the particular NLC model employed is rather weak in the region of momenta delimited in Fig. 1 by two vertical broken lines. We close this discussion by remarking that the overall agreement between the obtained predictions and the available experimental data from [18, 19] is rather good. Moreover, they comply with the recent lattice calculation of [20], which is shown in the same gure in terms of a dark-grey strip, bounded from high- Q^2 values at Q^2 ' 4 GeV².

In Fig.2, we com pare our predictions with other theoretical results, derived from the AdS/QCD correspondence (so-called holographicQCD), and some otherm odels (including also the experimental data). As in the previous gure, the shaded bands show our predictions for the minimal (dashed lines) and the in proved NLC model (solid lines). The dashed (red) line at low $-Q^2$ (term inating at about 4 GeV²) represents the prediction derived in [5] from QCD SR, while the thicker broken line below all other curves is the result of a calculation [21] based on LocalD uality QCD SR. The predictions from AdS/QCD are denoted by the upper short-dashed (green) line | softwallm odel [15] | and the penultim ate dash-dot-dotted (green) line, obtained with a H im {Sanz-type holographic model [16]. The LD result of [21] is shown as a dash-dotted (red) line.



Fig.2. Scaled pion form factor $Q^2 F (Q^2)$ within a band including uncertainties for the minimal (dashed lines) and the improved (solid lines) NLC model, using in both cases $\frac{2}{q} = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$. The experimental data are as in Fig.1. The predictions from AdS/QCD are: upper short-dashed (green) line soft-wallmodel [15]; dash-dot-dotted (green) line Him {Sanz-type holographic model [16]. The dash-dotted (red) line corresponds to the LD result of [21].

4.Conclusions

Here we have studied a three-point AAV correlator within the QCD sum -rule approach with nonlocal condensates in order to obtain predictions

for the spacelike pion form factor pertaining to that momentum region accessible to experiment at present and in the near future. The full-edged analysis can be found in [1], where we also included in our discussion the so-called LocalD uality approach [5].

The principal ingredients of our approach are a spectral density that includes O ($_{\rm s}$) corrections, whereas the coupling used has an analytic structure without Landau singularities, and an improved G aussian ansatz for the nonlocal condensate. Our notings are supported by both the existing experimental data and also a recent lattice calculation in the momentum range up to approximately 10 G eV².

A cknow ledgem ents

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Fundam ental Research, grants No. 07-02-91557, 08-01-00686, and 09-02-01149, the BRFBR {JINR Cooperation Program me, contract No. F08D-001, the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (Project DFG 436 RUS 113/881/0-1), and the Heisenberg {Landau Program me under grant 2009. A.V.P. acknow ledges support from the Program me \Developm ent of Scienti c Potential in Higher Schools" (projects 2.2.1.1/1483, 2.1.1/1539, and 2.2.2.3/8111).

REFERENCES

- A.P.Bakulev, A.V.Pimikov, and N.G.Stefanis, Phys. Rev. D 79, 093010 (2009).
- [2] S.V.M ikhailov and A.V.Radyushkin, JETP Lett. 43, 712 (1986).
- [3] S.V.M ikhailov and A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1754 (1992).
- [4] A.P.Bakulev and A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 271, 223 (1991).
- [5] V.A.Nesterenko and A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 115, 410 (1982).
- [6] B.L. Io e and A.V. Sm ilga, Phys. Lett. B 114, 353 (1982).
- [7] V.V.Braguta and A.I.Onishchenko, Phys. Lett. B 591, 267 (2004).
- [8] D.V. Shirkov and I.L. Solovtsov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1209 (1997); Theor. M ath. Phys. 150, 132 (2007).
- [9] A.P.Bakulev, Phys. Part. Nucl. 40, 715 (2009).
- [10] N.G. Stefanis, arX iv:0902.4805 [hep-ph].
- [11] A.P.Bakulev and A.V.Pimikov, PEPAN Lett. 4, 637 (2007).
- [12] A.P.Bakulev, K.Passek-Kum ericki, W.Schroers, and N.G.Stefanis, Phys. Rev.D 70, 033014 (2004); D 70, 079906 (E) (2004).
- [13] A.P.Bakulev and S.V.M ikhailov, Phys. Lett. B 436, 351 (1998).
- [14] A.P.Bakulev, S.V.M ikhailov, and N.G. Stefanis, Phys. Lett. B 508, 279 (2001).

- [15] S.J.Brodsky and G.F.de Teram ond, Phys. Rev. D 77, 056007 (2008).
- [16] H.R.Grigoryan and A.V.Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D 78, 115008 (2008).
- [17] N.G. Stefanis, W. Schroers, and H.-C. Kim, Phys. Lett. B 449, 299 (1999); Eur. Phys. J.C 18, 137 (2000).
- [18] C.J.Bebek et al, Phys. Rev. D 9, 1229 (1974); D 13, 25 (1976); D 17, 1693 (1978).
- [19] G.M.Huber et al, Phys. Rev. C 78, 045203 (2008).
- [20] D.Brommeletal, Eur.Phys.J.C 51, 335 (2007).
- [21] V.Braguta, W.Lucha, and D.Melikhov, Phys. Lett. B 661, 354 (2008).