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#### Abstract

W e sub ject the baby Skym e m odel to a M oyal deform ation, for unitary or $G$ rassm annian target spaces and w thout a potential term. In the abelian case, the radialBPS con gurations of the ordinary noncom $m$ utative sigm a $m$ odel also solve the baby Skym e equation of $m$ otion. $T$ his gives a class of exact analytic noncom $m$ utative baby Skym ions, which have a singular com $m$ utative lim it but are stable against scaling due to the noncom $m$ utativity. W e com pute their energies, investigate their stability and determ ine the asym ptotic tw o-Skym ion interaction.


## 1 Introduction

It is know $n$ that the tw o-dim ensional C $P^{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}$ odel [1] possesses $m$ etastable states $w h i c h ~ w h e n ~$ perturbed $m$ ay shrink or spread out due to the conform al (scale) invariance of the $m$ odel $[2,3,4]$. $T$ his im plies that the $m$ etastable states can be of any size, and so a four-derivative term, the socalled Skyrm e term, needs to be added for breaking the scale invariance of the $m$ odel [5]. H ow ever, the resulting energy functional has no minim a, and a further, so-called potential (orm ass) term is needed to stabilize the size of the corresponding solutions. T he ensueing $m$ odel is know $n$ as the baby Skymm e m odel, and it adm its stable eld con gurations of solitonic nature called baby Skym ions, which can be determ ined num erically [6]. A s the extra term s contribute to them asses of the solitons, the Skym ion $m$ ass is strictly larger than the B ogom olnyibound given by the topological charge (Skym ion num ber), and the two-Skym ion con guration becom es stable show ing the existence of bound states [6].

In the C P ${ }^{1}$ baby Skym em odel, the target $m$ anifold $S^{2}$ is param etrized by a three-dim ensional isovector scalar subject to the constraint $j \mu=1$. Its Lagrangian density is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} @ \quad @ \quad \frac{2}{4}(@ \quad @)(@ \quad @ \quad \text { ) } @ \text { ( ) ; } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the eld is a map from the three-dim ensional M inkow ski space $R^{1 ; 2}$ w th the $m$ etric $(\quad)=\operatorname{diag}(+1 ; 1 ; 1)$ to the two-sphere $S^{2}$ of unit radius. The rst term in (1.1) is the fam iliar $C^{1}{ }^{1}$ sigm a $m$ odel, the second term is the two-dim ensional analogue of the Skym e term and carries a coupling of the dim ension of length, and the last term is the potential, for which di erent proposals have been made. For V $1 \quad(\mathrm{n})^{2}$ (the so called new baby Skym em odel) approxim ate baby skym ionsw ere obtained (analytically) by exploring its topologicalproperties [7]. $F$ inteness of the energy requires the eld to approach a zero of the potential (the vacuum ' $n$ ) at spatial in nity, allow ing one to com pactify the static base space $R^{2}$ to $S^{2}$ and to consider as a m ap $S^{2}$ ! $S^{2}$. This gives rise to the hom otopy invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}[]=\frac{1}{4}^{R} d x d y \quad\left(@ \quad @_{y}\right) 2 z ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

also know $n$ as the topological charge or the Skym ion num ber, which is conserved.
The baby Skym e model is a usefiul laboratory for studying soliton physics. It is the $2+1$ dim ensional analog of a m odel which describes the low-energy chiral dynam ics of $Q$ uantum Chrom odynam ics [8], the usual Skym e m odel [9]. This m odel has direct applications in condensed $m$ atter physics [10], where baby Skym ions give an e ective description in quantum $H$ all system $s$. In such system s , the dynam ics are govemed by the spin sti ness term, the C oulomb interaction and the Zeem an interaction. In particular, its kinetic energy corresponds to the spin sti ness term, and the potential (orm ass) term corresponds to the Zeem an interaction, the correspondence being exact for the static sector. The Skym e term is analogous to the C oulombterm. A ll term s are needed to prevent the collapse of topological con gurations which yield to Skyrm ion solutions.

In this situation, a noncom $m$ utative deform ation (for review $s$ see [11]) $m$ ay serve as a substitute for the potential term (or Zeem an interaction), because it introduces a new length scale into the theory, which also stabilizes solitons against collapse or spreading. W e expect this to give rise to a new class of baby Skym ions. Indeed, it is known that M oyal-deform ed eld theories have a $\mathrm{m} u$ ch richer soliton spectrum than their com $m$ utative countenparts (see, e.g., [12, 13] and references therein).

Furtherm ore, it is not easy to access the quantum uctuations in the Skym e and baby Skym e m odels, since the eld theories are perturbatively non-renorm alizable and thus, existing treatm ents are sem iclassical (quantizing only the collective degrees of freedom of the soliton) . Fullquantization of the theory requires a cuto which can be attained by its lattioe version. H ere, a noncom $m$ utative deform ation $m$ ay again be of help, since it introduces a regulating param eter into the quantum theory. Q uite generally, the noncom m utative vension of a theory may im prove its renorm alizabilty properties at short distances and $m$ ay even render it nite. The two above applications of noncom $m$ utativity are our $m$ ain $m$ otivation for $M$ oyal-deform ing the baby Skyrm em odel.

In this Letter, we present a noncom m utative baby Skyrm e model, ${ }^{1}$ w thout potential term, for group-or G rassm annian-valued targets, and explicitly obtain a class of exact analytic solitonic solutions, which have no analogues in the com $m$ utative theory. This surprising feat succeeds because certain BPS con gurations of the M oyal-deform ed ordinary sigm a m odelextrem ize the Skym e part of the energy as well. W e com pute their static energy, discuss their stability and evaluate the tw oSkym ion interaction potential at large distances.

## 2 The baby Skyrm e m odel

$T$ he $C P^{1}$ sigm a $m$ odel is the paradigm of a $G$ rassm annian sigm a model, since the target $m$ anifold can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C P^{1}, S^{2}, \frac{S U(2)}{U(1)}, \frac{U(2)}{U(1) U(1)}, G r(2 ; 1) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the de nition

$$
\begin{equation*}
G r(n ; k):=\frac{U(n)}{U(k) U(n \quad k)}, \frac{U\left(C^{n}\right)}{U(i m P) U(k e r P)} \quad \text { for a projector } P \text { of rank } k: \tag{2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general group-valued or G rassm annian-valued baby Skyrm emodel then features elds

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: R^{1 ; 2}!\quad U(n) \text { or } G r(n ; k) \quad \text { via } \quad(x) \quad\left(t ; x^{i}\right) \quad(t ; x ; y) \quad \eta \quad g(t ; x ; y) ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enter as variables in the action (w thout potential term )

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=d^{Z} d^{1+2} x^{n} \frac{1}{2} \quad @ g^{y} @ g+\frac{2}{4}\left[g^{y} @ g ; g^{y} @ g\right]\left[g^{y} @ g ; g^{y} @ g\right]: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

C lassical solutions are obtained by solving the equation ofm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ } j=0 \text { for } j=g^{y} @ g+{ }^{2} g^{y} @ g ;\left[g^{y} @ g ; g^{y} @ g\right]: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us concentrate on static solutions, $\varrho_{t} 9 \quad 0$, which are found by extrem izing the energy

For $G$ rassm annian $m$ odels, this sim pli es since $G r(n ; k)$ is em bedded in $U(n)$ via the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2}=\mathbb{1}_{n} \quad, \quad g^{y}=g \quad, \quad g=\mathbb{1}_{n} \quad 2 P \quad w \text { ith } \quad P^{y}=P=P^{2} ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and so their energy becom es
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.E_{G r}={ }^{Z} d^{2} x^{n} 2 P_{i} P_{i} \quad 4^{2} \mathbb{P}_{i} ; P_{j}\right] \mathbb{P}_{i} ; P_{j}\right] \quad{ }^{\circ} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the standard notation $@_{i} P=P_{i}, @_{i} @_{j} P=P_{i j}$ etc. was introduced. W e are looking for extrem a of the energy (2.6) which are located inside som e G rassm annian. Putting $E=0$ and em ploying (2.7), in particular $g^{Y} @ g=2[@ P ; P]$ and $@_{i}\left(g^{Y} @_{i} g\right)=2 \mathbb{P}$ ii; $\left.P\right]$, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\mathrm{P}_{\text {ii }} ; \mathrm{P}\right]+4^{2} \mathrm{~F} \mathbb{P}\right]=0 \quad \mathrm{w} \text { ith } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.F \mathbb{P}]=2 P_{i j} \mathbb{P}_{i} ; \mathbb{P}_{j} \quad \mathbb{C}_{i}\left(\mathbb{P}_{j} P_{j}\right) \mathbb{P}_{i} ; P\right]+P_{j} \mathbb{P}_{i i} ; P^{2} \mathbb{P}_{j} \quad P_{j} P_{j} \mathbb{P}_{i i} ; P\right] \text { h.c. : } \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solutions to (2.9) extrem ize the energy (2.8) of the $G r(n ; k) m$ odel as well as (stronger) the enengy (2.6) of the $U(n) m$ odel. From now on we pass to com plex coordinates

At $=0$ we connect $w$ th the ordinary sigm a model. G rassm annian-valued extrem a of its energy are provided by the well known BP S pro jectors, de ned through

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(\mathbb{1}_{n} P\right) P_{z}=P_{z} P \quad() \quad 0=P_{z}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n} P\right)=P P_{z}: \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations (together w ith $P^{2}=P$ ) im ply various usefulidentities, such as $\left.\mathbb{P}_{z} ; P_{z}\right]=P_{z z}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.0=\left(\mathbb{1}_{n} P\right) P_{z z}=P_{z z} P=P_{z z}\left(\mathbb{1}_{n} P\right)=P P_{z z}=P_{z} P_{z}=P_{z} P_{z}=\mathbb{P}_{z z} ; P\right]: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now tum back on and compute the failure of the BPS projectors to extrem ize the baby Skym e energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8} F \mathbb{P} \text { sub ject to (2.12)] }=K_{z} P_{z} \quad K_{z} P_{z} \quad P_{z} K_{z}+P_{z} K_{z}=P_{z} P_{z z} P_{z} \quad P_{z} P_{z z} P_{z} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the de nition $K \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$.
T o get a feeling, w e evaluate this expression in the CP ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ odelfor the (rank-one) B P S projectors, which are based on holom orphic functions $f$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.P=\frac{1}{1+f f} \underset{f}{\mathrm{ff}} \begin{array}{l}
1 \mathrm{f}
\end{array} \quad \quad=\right) \quad 2 \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{f}^{0} \mathrm{f}^{0}}{(1+\mathrm{ff})^{2}} \mathbb{1}_{2} \quad=\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his vanishes only for constant $f$. Even in the sim plest case, $f=z$, one nds $\frac{1}{8} F=\frac{2}{(1+z z)^{4}} \quad \begin{array}{cc}0 & z\end{array}$. $W$ e conclude that the sigm a-m odelBPS solitons never obey the baby Skym e equation ofm otion.

## 3 M oyal deform ation and abelian m odel

A $M$ oyal deform ation of Euclidean $R^{2} w$ ith coordinates ( $x ; y$ ) is achieved by replacing the ordinary pointw ise product of sm ooth functions on it $w$ ith the noncom $m$ utative but associative M oyal star product. The latter is characterized by a constant positive real param eter which prom inently appears in the star com $m$ utation relation betw een the coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{x} ? \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y} ? \mathrm{x} \quad[\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}]_{?}=\mathrm{i} \quad=\right) \quad[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}]=2= \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to work w ith the dim ensionless coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=p \frac{z}{\overline{2}} \text { and } a^{y}=\frac{p}{\overline{2}} \quad=1 \quad\left[a ; a^{y}\right]_{?}=1: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a concise treatm ent of the M oyal star product see [11].
A di erent realization of this $H$ eisenberg algebra prom otes the coordinates (and thus all their functions) to noncom $m$ uting operators acting on an auxiliary Fock space $H$ but keeps the ordinary operator product. T he Fock space is a H ibert space w ith orthonorm albasis states

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { in } i=\frac{1}{m!}\left(a^{y}\right)^{m} \text { j0i for } m 2 \mathbb{N}_{0} \text { and } a j 0 i=0 \text {; } \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

therew th characterizing $a$ and $a^{y}$ as standard annihilation and creation operators. The starproduct and operator form ulations are tightly connected through the M oyalw eylm ap: C oordinate derivatives correspond to com $m$ utators $w$ ith coordinate operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} \overline{2} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \$ \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\right) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2} \varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \$ \quad \operatorname{ad}(\mathrm{a}) ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral over the noncom $m$ utative plane reads
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{f}_{?}(\mathrm{x})=2 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{rr}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{op}} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $f_{\text {? }}$ corresponds to the operator $f_{o p}$ via the $M$ oyalW eyl $m$ ap and the trace is over the Fock space H.W e shall work w ith the operator form alism but refrain from introducing special notation indicating operators, so all ob jects are operator-vahed if not said otherw ise. T he tim e coordinate $t$ of the fillbaby Skym em odel rem ains com $m$ utative. $H$ ence, we trade the spatial dependence of our elds w ith operator valuedness (in $H$ ), and thus work with $m$ aps from the time interval into an en larged target space, nam ely $U\left(C^{n} \quad H\right)=U(H \quad::$ : H ) or som e g rassm annian subspace thereof.

Since the noncom $m$ utative target space is $m$ uch bigger than the original one, new possibilities for BP S pro jectors arise. In fact, the classical solutions to the deform ed theory com e in two types: Firstly, nonabelian solutions are continuously (in ) connected to their com m utative counterparts (tensored w ith $\mathbb{1}_{H}$ ) and represent sm ooth deform ations of it. Secondly, abelian solutions becom e singular at ! 0 and are genuinely noncom mutative. In the BPS case, the sim plest abelian projectors are of nite rank or cotrank in one copy of H. Since novel features can be expected only in the abelian case, we focus on it from now on and choose $n=1$, i.e. the M oyal-deform ed U (1) baby Skym em odel. C learly, this theory perm its abelian solutions only, since its com $m$ utative
lim it is free. H ow ever, it still contains an in nity of $G$ rassm annian subm odels corresponding to $G r(P)=\frac{U(H)}{U(\mathrm{im} P) U(k e r P)}$ for som e herm itian projector $P$, preferably of nite rank or cotrank $k$.
$T$ he $M$ oyal deform ation introduces the dim ensionfulparam eter into the theory, which invalidates $D$ errick's argum ent: scaling ofspatialcoordinates now relates theories $w$ th di erent strengths of noncom m utativity. Therefore, classical solutions at a xed value of are safe against shrinking or spreading.

## 4 Exact noncom m utative baby Skym ions

$T$ he equations ofsection 2 carry over to the deform ed abelian baby Skym em odel (w ith replacing $\mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{n}}$ by $\mathbb{1}_{H}$ ), since on a form al level its noncom $m$ utativity resem bles the non-abelianness in the standard $U(n) m$ odel. H ence, the failure of a standard noncom $m$ utative $U$ (1) sigm a-m odel BPS solution, $g=\mathbb{1} 2 \mathrm{P}$ obeying (2.12), to also ful l the baby Skyrm e equation of $m$ otion, is again $m$ easured by (2.14). In our M oyal-deform ed theory, this expression $m$ ay vanish, and surprisingly does so if the projector is a function of the num ber operator $N \overline{\bar{p}} a^{y} a$ only! In the star-product picture, this corresponds to functions only of the radial variable $r=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{zz}}$, and so they are called radial projectors. It is obvious that $F \mathbb{P}]$ in (2.14) vanishes for $P=P(r)$, but in the com $m$ utative theory only trivial projectors can be radial. In the Fock-space basis (3.3), radial projectors are sim ply diagonal.

Indeed, it is not hard to check explicitly that the BPS projector

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(k)}:=x_{n=0}^{1} \text { jihnj obeys } P_{z}^{(k)} P_{z z}^{(k)} P_{z}^{(k)}=0=P_{z}^{(k)} P_{z z}^{(k)} P_{z}^{(k)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as $\left.\mathbb{P}_{z z}^{(k)} ; P^{(k)}\right]=0$, in the sense of (3.4). H ence, $\left.F \mathbb{P}^{(k)}\right]=0$, and the noncom $m$ utative baby Skym e equation of $m$ otion is satis ed. In addition, due to the translation invariance of the m odel, the translates

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(k ;)}:=e^{a^{y}} a P^{(k)} e^{a^{y+} a} \text { for } \quad 2 \mathrm{C} \text { and } k 2 \mathbb{N} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

also do the job. It is notew orthy that the role of deg [ ] for the topological charge has been taken by the rank $k$ of the projector, which also de nes a $G$ rassm annian subm anifold. T hus, for each value of $k$ we have found a C-fam ily of exact noncom m utative $U(1)$-valued baby Skym ions, which are of course also solitons in the $G$ rassm annian subm odel. $M$ ost basic is the $k=1$ fam ily

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(1 ;)}=e \quad e^{a^{y}} \text { joih0je }{ }^{a}=: j \text { ih } j \quad w \text { ith } a j i=j i ; \tag{4,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which consists of the coherent-state projectors obtained by translating the ground-state pro jector $P^{(1)}=j 0 i h 0 j^{2}$. The corresponding function (under the M oyalw eylm ap) is just a G aussian centered at in the M oyalplane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{?}^{(1 ;)}(z ; z)=2 e^{\dot{z}} j^{2}=; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the singular ! 0 lim it becom es apparent.
Let us take a look at the energy of these con gurations. The G rassm annian energy functional (2.8) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.E_{G r} \mathbb{P}\right]=16 T_{Y} P_{z} P_{z}+4^{2} \mathbb{P}_{z} ; P_{z}\right]^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]which for BPS projectors, due to $\left.\mathbb{P}_{z} ; P_{z}\right]=P_{z z}$, simpli es to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E_{B P S} \mathbb{P}\right]=16 \quad T_{r} P_{z} P_{z}+4^{2} P_{z z}^{2}=8 T_{H} \quad\left[a^{y} ; P\right][a ; P]+2^{2}\left[a^{y} ;[a ; P]\right]^{2}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is straightforw ard to evaluate this on the rank-k diagonal pro jector of (4.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.E \mathbb{P}^{(k)}\right]=8 \operatorname{Tr}_{H} k j \operatorname{kihk} j+2^{2} k^{2} \text { kihkj+} k+1 i h k+1 j=8 k+\frac{4^{2}}{} k^{2}: \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to translation invariance, the sam e result holds for $P^{(k ; ~) . ~ T h e ~ e n e r g y ~ d e p e n d s ~ o n l y ~ o n ~ t h e ~}$ dim ensionless param eter ${ }^{2}=$. It exceeds the B ogom olnyi bound of 8 k by the contribution of the Skym e term, whose $k^{2}$ dependence signals an instability of the higher-charge baby Skym ions against decay into those of charge one. Intenpreting $P^{(k)}$ as describing $k$ charge-one baby Skyrm ions sitting on top ofeach other, they can low er their energy by passing to a con guration ofnear-in nite $m$ utual separation, which is again a (near-exact) baby Skym e solution. M ore generalm ulti-center BP S solitons do not solve the baby Skym e equation ofm otion (2.9), since they are not rotationally sym $m$ etric, and thus $F \mathbb{P}$ ] does not vanish.

## 5 Stability and interactions

A re our noncom $m$ utative baby Skym ions stable? If this question is asked for the filll $\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{m}$ odel, the answer is negative by a standard argum ent: C onsider a path in $U(H)$ which connects a G rass$m$ annian solution to the vacuum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(s)=e^{i(s) P}=\mathbb{1} \quad\left(1+e^{\text {is }}\right) P \quad w \text { ith } P^{y}=P=P^{2} \text { and } s 2[0 ; \quad]: \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It interpolates betw een $g(0)=\mathbb{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{P} 2 \mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{P})$ and $g()=\mathbb{1}$. The energy

$$
\begin{align*}
E(s) & =4 \quad \operatorname{Tr} \quad @_{z} g^{Y} @_{z} g+2\left(@_{z} g^{Y} @_{z} g \quad @_{z} g^{Y} @_{z} g\right)^{2} \\
& \left.=4 \quad\left(1+e^{i s}\right)\left(1+e^{\text {is }}\right) T r_{H}\left(P_{z} P_{z}\right)+{ }^{2}\left(1+e^{i s}\right)^{2}\left(1+e^{\text {is }}\right)^{2} T_{r_{H}} \mathbb{P}_{z} ; P_{z}\right]^{2}  \tag{52}\\
& =4 \quad \frac{k}{2} \quad 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{s}{2}+\frac{2 k^{2}}{4^{2}} \quad 16 k^{2} \cos ^{4} \frac{s}{2}=8 \quad k \cos ^{2} \frac{s}{2}+\frac{4^{2}}{2} k^{2} \cos ^{4} \frac{s}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

along the path is decreasing m onotonically to zero, which renders any solton of the $U(1) \mathrm{m}$ odel unstable. This is not surprising, since the topological charge is well de ned and conserved only inside the G rassm annian sulom anifolds.

So we should ask about the stability of our noncom m utative solitons inside a $G$ rassm annian baby Skym emodel. The energy form ula (4.7) show sthat for rank $k$ the solution $P^{(k)}$ w ill decay into $k$ well-separated copies of ${ }^{(1)}$, so only the charge-one baby Skyrm ion $m$ ay be (and probably is) stable. H ow ever unlikely, it is still not exchuded that it can lower its energy by changing its shape aw ay from being round and becom ing non-BPS. O ne could settle this issue by com puting the second variation ${ }^{2} E$ restricted to $G r\left(P^{(1)}\right)$, which we have left for future w ork.

To determ ine the long-range forces betw een tw o noncom $m$ utative baby Skym ions, we com pute the energy of a tw o-center BPS soliton, because for large separation this con guration approaches a supenposition of tw o rank-one BP S solitons, which we have already found to be baby Skyrm ions. In the two-center con guration

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(;)}=\frac{1}{1 j j^{2}} j \text { ih } j+j \text { ih } j \quad j \text { ih } j \quad j \text { ih } j \quad w \text { ith }=h j i \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the lumps are centered at positions and in the com plex M oyal plane, and the coherent states $j$ i and $j i$ are norm alized to one. This pro jector obeys the BPS condition (2.12) hence $\left.\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{zz}}^{(;)} ; \mathrm{P}^{(;)}\right]=0$ but $\left.\mathrm{F} \mathbb{P}^{(;)}\right] 0$ unless $\quad$ ! 0 or 1 . Employing the de ning relations (a ) ji=0 and (a ) ji=0 as well as $=e^{j} j^{2}$, it is straightforward to com pute

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.E \mathbb{P}^{(;)}\right] & =8 T r_{H} \quad\left[a^{y} ; P^{(;)}\right]\left[a ; P^{(;)}\right]+\frac{2^{2}}{}\left[a^{y} ;\left[a ; P^{(;)}\right]\right]^{2} \\
& =82+8-1+\frac{1}{4} r^{4} \sinh ^{2} \frac{r^{2}}{2} \quad \text { with } r: j \quad j: \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression interpolates sm oothly betw een

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.E \mathbb{P}^{(r=0)}\right]=8\left(2+\frac{4^{2}}{} 4\right)=E \mathbb{P}^{(2)}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad E \mathbb{P}^{(r!1)}\right]=28 \quad\left(1+4^{2}\right)=2 E \mathbb{P}^{(1)}\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which again underscores the decay channel $P^{(2)}!P^{(1)}+P^{(1)}$. For large separation, the interaction potential is exponentially repulsive,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r) \quad 64-\frac{2}{4} e^{r^{2}=2} \text { for } r!1 \text { : } \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e close w th a list of open problem s. It would be interesting to (a) nd other exact abelian noncom $m$ utative baby Skym ions or rule out this possibility, (b) determ ine whether $P^{(1)}$ has $m$ inim al energy in the rank-one G rassm annian (i.e. is stable), and (c) work out the scattering of two such lum ps. A nother prom ising task is to deform the full Skym em odel (on $R^{1 ; 3}$ ) and to construct noncom $m$ utative Skym ions from noncom $m$ utative instantons [15].
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ D i erent aspects of $M$ oyal-deform ing a Skym em odel have appeared in [14].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ O ne m ay wonder how this can be given as a function of $N$. O ne possiblity is $j 0 i h 0 j=\frac{\sin N}{N}$.

