A Cellular Neuronal' Approach to Optim ization Problems (2nd revised)

Gregory S. Duane

National Center for Atm ospheric Research,

P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307

and

School of M athem atics, University of M innesota, 206 Church St. S.E., M inneapolis, M N 55455

Abstract

The Hop eld-Tank (1985) recurrent neural network architecture for the Traveling Salesm an Problem is generalized to a fully interconnected \cellular" neural network of regular oscillators. Tours are de ned by synchronization patterns, allowing the simultaneous representation of all cyclic permutations of a given tour. The network converges to local optim a some of which correspond to shortest-distance tours, as can be shown analytically in a stationary phase approximation. Simulated annealing is required for global optimization, but the stochastic element might be replaced by chaotic intermittency in a further generalization of the architecture to a network of chaotic oscillators.

Keywords: cellular neural networks (CNNs); synchronization; optim ization; traveling salesm an problem

arXiv:0906.0115v1 [nlin.AO] 30 May 2009

Corresponding Author: gregory.duane@ colorado.edu 303-492-7263 fax: 303-492-3524

An arti cial neural network with a symmetric connection allowed between every pair of \neuronal" units evolves to a state that satis es an optim ization principle expressed in terms of the weights de ning those connections. In a Hop eld associative memory network, the optimization principle is satis ed when the network settles to one of many pre-stored patterns, depending on the initial state. In the H op eld-T an k^2 construction, weights were chosen so that the stable xed points of the network correspond to shortest-distance tours am ong a set of cities arbitrarily laid out on a map, o ering a potential solution to the algorithm ically di cult Travelling Salesm an Problem (TSP). A stochastic elem ent was introduced in a \sim ulated annealing" schem e so that the network would escape local optim a, such as those corresponding to good tours that are not necessarily shortest. Here, the Hop eld-Tank scheme is generalized to a \cellular neural netw ork" in which the units are dynam ical system s that oscillate in the absence of input from other units, arguably resembling real neurons a bit m ore closely. The representation of the TSP turns out to be som ew hat m ore natural in such a network, where tours are de ned in terms of synchronization patterns am ong the oscillating units. A dditionally, interm ittent synchronization m ight naturally 11 the role of the stochastic element in the original scheme. In this work we construct a network of regular oscillators that may solve the TSP about as well as the original H op eld-Tank network, as preparation for an extension to a network of chaotic oscillators.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The Hop eld-Tank neural network solution to the Travelling Salesm an Problem was a milestone in neurom orphic computation. While the proposed architecture provided a rather poor TSP solution, nding only locally optim al paths for problem s of touring more than a few cities, the approach supported the use of more successful \neural" solutions to sim pler problem s. In the associative memory problem, one simply seeks the closest local optimum; the issue of avoiding such solutions in favor of a global optimum does not arise. That a neural solution to the TSP exists at all still speaks to the power of the neurom orphic approach.

In more recent years the suggestion that the neuronal units of the old-style networks ought

to be replaced by oscillating dynam ical system s has become popular³. The oscillations are analogous to spike trains in real neurons. The resulting cellular neural networks (CNNs) arguably resemble biological system s m ore closely than their older counterparts, in which a \neuron" exists in a xed state and outputs a pre-de ned, approximately binary function of a collection of inputs. Attempts to capture the properties of biological systems in simple m odels, such as the G rossberg-M ingolla m odel of early vision⁴, indeed depend on neuronal dynamics. Further, in the realm of practical application, CNN architectures lend them selves to implementation in analog hardware.

A CNN generalization of the Hop eld associative memory network has recently been proposed^{5,6}. Some e ort was required to stabilize the desired retrieval state, as compared to the original Hop eld network. The resulting network performed almost as well as its xed-state counterpart, a nding that was taken to imply a broad utility for the CNN paradigm.

Relationships between oscillating \cellular" neurons o erricher possibilities for representation of solutions and of interm ediate com putational steps. Synchronization of two orm ore neurons (i.e. phase-locking) was the de ning relationship in the proposed CNN associative m em ory architecture. M ore generally, synchronization o ers a representation of binding that m ay be useful for in age segmentation and other com binatorial problem s⁷. Such applications are in line with the suggested role of synchronization in perceptual grouping in biological system s^{8,9,10}. We show here that synchronization in a CNN provides a particularly natural representation of the TSP, yielding a solution that generalizes the older H op eld m ethod. In future work, we will exam ine the use of synchronization, as an intrinsically lose form of binding, to avoid the problem of local optim a.

We rst summarize the original Hop eld solution in the next section, and then describe the CNN generalization in Section 3. Results are presented in Section 4, where the essential role of stochasticity in a simulated annealing scheme is also demonstrated. The stochastic version leads to a suggestion in the concluding section that the instability that was seen as an obstacle to the design of CNN's for associative memory may be bene cial in CNN's designed for optimization.

II. BACKGROUND: THE HOPFIELD TSP SOLUTION

The Hop eld-Tank network is a traditional neural network, fully interconnected, with xed weights chosen so that the globally optim all state corresponds to a shortest-distance \tour" among a collection of n cities with a pre-specie ed distance for each pair of cities. In this original representation, one considers an n n matrix of binary values, where the rows s correspond to cities and the columns correspond to slots, 1 through n, in the tour schedule. A tour is any pattern of 0's and 1's, such that there is exactly one 1 in each row (exactly one city visited at a time) and one 1 in each column (each city visited exactly once). For instance the tour depicted in Fig. 1a is ECABD. For the 5-city problem, there is a 10-fold degeneracy in optim all patterns (shortest-distance cyclic tours) due to arbitrariness in the selection of the starting city and the direction of the tour. The travelling salesm an problem, in this representation or any other, is dil cult because of the multiplicity of local optim a.

The Hop eld and Tank network converges in a few neural time constants for small n, but the required time grows rapidly with n and sub-optimal solutions are typically found. The nonlinear response function of each unit is key to the operation of the network. Flexibility comes from embedding a discrete problem in a continuous decision space. That is, each unit i generates a nearly, but not quite binary output as a sigmoid function $V(u_i) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tanh(u_i=u_o))$ of a continuous valued input u_i . Consider a general, fully interconnected network of N units (here N = n²), where each unit is updated according to:

$$\frac{du_{i}}{dt} = u_{i} = + \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} T_{ij}V_{j} + I_{i}$$
(1)

where T_{ij} is a symmetric matrix dening the connections among the units in the network, the I_i are biases, and is a decay time. It can be shown that an energy function

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} T_{ij} V_{i} V_{j} \qquad X^{N} V_{i} I_{i} \qquad (2)$$

is locally m inim ized, by computing the time derivative dE =dt and noting that the resulting form is negative semide nite and that E is bounded below. In the limit of high gain (small u_o), for which the sign oid function is in nitely steep, the minim a only occur when each V_i is 0 or 1, i.e. at the corners of the N-dimensional hypercube $[0;1]^N$ that is the state space of the network described in terms of the variables V_i . The class of optim ization problems that can be form ulated in this manner is quite large, as illustrated by the Travelling Salesman Problem, which is a priori dierent in form. The TSP energy is

$$E = \frac{A}{2} X X X V_{X i} V_{X j} + \frac{B}{2} X X X V_{X i} V_{Y i}$$

$$= \frac{A}{2} V_{X i} V_{X j} + \frac{B}{2} V_{X i} V_{X i} V_{Y i}$$

$$= \frac{C}{2} (V_{X i}) n$$

$$= \frac{D}{2} X X X V_{X i} + \frac{D}{2} (V_{X i}) n$$

$$= \frac{D}{2} X X X V_{X i} + \frac{D}{2} V_{X i} V_{X i} (V_{Y i + 1} + V_{Y i i})$$
(3)

where the sum m ation indices X and Y range over the n cities, and the indices i and j range over the n slots in the schedule. The set term in β inhibits multiple 1's in each row, the second term inhibits multiple 1's in each column, the third term tends to force the total number of 1's in the matrix to be exactly n, and the last term minimizes the total distance associated with any pattern of 0's and 1's that de nes a valid tour.

The network m ethod of optim ization succeeds largely because di cult decisions between similar tours can e ectively be postponed until the end of a calculation. In the interim, non-tour patterns (corresponding to multiple visits to a city or visits to more than one city at a time) can be regarded as representing alternative choices simultaneously. Choices can thus be winowed incrementally toward a true optimum. Nonetheless, the network tends to converge to local optima, rather than the global optimum, especially as n becomes large.

It was found that global optim ization perform ance could be greatly in proved by a $\sin - u$ and a decreasing in which a stochastic component of gradually decreasing amplitude is added to the dynamics. In the original Hop eld annealing scheme, the variables V_i were reinterpreted as expectation values of a statistical distribution of spin states", and a lowering of temperature" was elected by increasing the gain in the sign oid function that determ ines V_i , i.e. decreasing u_o . The stochastic component can also be represented directly as a noise term in the dynam ical equations.

III. A CELLULAR'GENERALIZATION OF THE HOPFIELD SOLUTION

The representation considered here is an n n array of coupled periodic oscillators. A tour is specified by a synchronization pattern in which all oscillators in each row and each



FIG. 1: In the original Hop eld representation (a), a tour (here ECABD) is specified by the collection of units that are \on", provided there is only one \on" unit in each row and only one in each column. In the CNN representation (b) equivalent cyclic permutations of the same tour are simultaneously represented as sets of 5 units that are synchronized, with an analogous proviso. Units with the same relative phase are shown in the same color. (D ash marks distinguish am biguous colors in the grayscale version.)

column are desynchronized, and such that for each oscillator in each column (row), there is exactly one oscillator in each other column (row) that is synchronized with it. In Fig. 1b, the same tour ECABD is depicted in the new representation, simultaneously with equivalant tours given by cyclic permutations of cities: CABDE, ABDEC, etc. There is now only a two-fold degeneracy in optim al patterns, due to arbitrariness in direction.

To solve the travelling salesm an problem in the representation we have described, let each oscillator be given by a complex number z_{ij} (i = 1;2;...n $j = A;B;...X_n$) that contains both a phase arg(z_{ij}) and an amplitude \dot{z}_{ij} \dot{j} . A ssum e all oscillators have the sam e frequency ! and m ake the replacement z_{ij} ! exp(i!t) z_{ij} , so that only the relative phases are represented in the complex quantities z_{ij} . The Lyapunov function we seek to m inim ize

$$L = A \sum_{ij}^{X} (\dot{z}_{ij} \dot{j}^{2} \ 1)^{2} + B \sum_{ij}^{X} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j}^{n} 1^{2}$$

$$C \sum_{i \ jj^{0}}^{X} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{ij^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{ij^{0}}j^{2}}^{2}$$

$$D \sum_{j \ ii^{0}}^{X} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i^{0}j}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j}^{2}$$

$$+ E \sum_{i \ j \ j^{0}}^{X} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j}^{2} (4)$$

where asterisks denote complex conjugates, and we close the tours by dening $z_{i+1,j}$ z_{ij} . The rst term, with coecient A, tends to force $j_{kj}j=1$. The second term, with coecient B tends to force each z_{ij} to one of n phase states, corresponding to the nth roots of unity. The term swith coecients C and D penalize for synchronization within each row and within each colum n, respectively. The last term, expressed in terms of distances d_{jj^0} between cities j and j⁰, tends to a minimum when each partial sum P_{sync} over synchronized oscillators at i; j and i + 1; j⁰ (i.e. for which arg(z_{ij}) = arg($z_{i+1;j^0}$)), $P_{ijj^0}^{sync} d_{jj^0}$ is minimized, that is when the specied tour has shortest distance, since it is expected that such partial sum s will dominate the total sum.

If the oscillators are governed by equations

$$\underline{z_{ij}} = \frac{\underline{\theta}L}{\underline{\theta}z_{ij}}; \quad \underline{z_{ij}} = \frac{\underline{\theta}L}{\underline{\theta}z_{ij}}$$
(5)

following Hoppensteadt¹², then the derivative of the Lyapunov function $\mathbf{L} = \Pr_{ij}[(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{z}_{ij}) \mathbf{z}_{ij} + (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{z}_{ij}) \mathbf{z}_{ij}] = 2 \sum_{ij}^{P} \mathbf{z}_{ij} \mathbf{z}_{ij}^{2} \mathbf{0}$, so that the cost function is monotonically decreasing and must reach at least a local minimum, since L can be easily seen to be bounded below.

For su ciently large B, the units are each forced to one of the n allowed phase states. For the restricted con gurations thus de ned, it is easily seen that the sum of the terms in (4) with coe cients C and D is minimized when the n phases in any column or any row are all di erent. Such con gurations de ne a set of n tours, some or all of which may be equivalent via cyclic permutation. Each such tour j(i) de nes a set of units with the same relative phases, giving a contribution to the distance term in (4) equal to $E \begin{bmatrix} P \\ i d_{j(i);j(i+1)} Re(\frac{z_{i;j(i)}}{j_{i;j(i)},j_{j+1;j(i+1)}}) = E \begin{bmatrix} P \\ i d_{j(i);j(i+1)} Re(\frac{z_{i;j(i)}}{j_{i;j(i)},j_{j+1;j(i+1)}}) \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} P \\ i d_{j(i);j(i+1)} Re(\frac{z_{i;j(i)}}{j_{i;j(i)},j_{j+1;j(i+1)}}) \end{bmatrix}$

is:

synchronized units. Each of the n such contributions is minimized when each tour length P_id_{j(i);j(i+1)} is minimized. A dditional contributions from cross terms between desynchronized units can be neglected in a stationary phase approximation. Coe cients A; B; C; D; E can then be chosen so that the various optimization criteria, forcing select phase states, forcing tour con gurations, and minimizing distance, can indeed be satisfied simultaneously. A form alproof is given in Appendix A.



FIG.2: Map, to scale, of the vecities de ning the distances g_0 used for all 5-city TSP simulations, showing the shortest tour, ACBED (solid line), and the second-shortest tour, ABECD (dashed line).¹¹

IV. RESULTS

A. The n-phase CNN

The dynamical equations derived from (4) and (5) are:

$$\frac{dz_{ij}}{dt} = 2A (\dot{z}_{ij} f 1) z_{ij}
= \frac{n}{2}B \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{n}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{1}{\dot{z}_{ij}} \frac{1}{z_{ij}}
+ \frac{1}{2}C \frac{X}{j^{0}} \frac{z_{ij}}{z_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{ij^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{1}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{ij^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j}
+ \frac{1}{2}D \frac{X}{j^{0}} \frac{z_{ij}}{z_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i^{0}j}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{1}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i^{0}j}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \\
\frac{1}{4}E \frac{X}{j^{0}} d_{jj^{0}} \frac{1}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i+1;j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{i+1;j^{0}}j} + \frac{z_{i-1;j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{i-1;j^{0}}j} \\
\frac{z_{ij}}{z_{ij}\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i+1;j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{i+1;j^{0}}j} + \frac{z_{i-1;j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{i-1;j^{0}}j}$$
(6)

A network governed by (6) almost never reaches a global minimum, or even a state de ning a tour. For the set of pre-speci ed distances computed from the map in Fig2, the network reached a phase state shown in Table I, in a typical run. How ever, if gaussian noise is added to the dynamics at periodic intervals:

$$z(t+) = z(t)(t)$$
 (7)

whenever t = k for some integer k and where is a random complex number, then the system can be made to attain the desired state, provided that the amplitude of the noise in the phase of (an analog \temperature") is decreased slow ly enough. We used (k) = exp(i_k), where the magnitude is uniform ly distributed between 0.7 and 1.3, and the random variable k in the phase is a unit gaussian deviate with standard deviation k, that steadily decreases over time, i.e. $_{k} = _{k-1}$, starting from a value $_{0} = 4$ that e ectively random izes the initial phase perturbations. Resulting states such as the one shown in Table II are indeed tours. Typical convergence histories are shown in Fig. 3. The tour state in Table III, for the table of distances that was used to construct the network. This direct simulated annealing method is e ective in selecting one of a small number of global optim a

TABLE I: Relative phases (from to) of oscillators in the 5 5 CNN representation of the 5-city travelling salesm an problem, after reaching a steady state, with coe cients A = 0.5, B = 0.08, C = D = 4.0, E = 0.4. The dynamical equations (6) were integrated using a nite di erence scheme with time step t = 0.01. No tours are evident.

		slot in schedule					
		1	2	3	4	5	
city	A	-2.470	-2.187	-0.144	1,284	1,286	
	В	2.496	-1.221	1.404	-2.484	-0.012	
	С	1,240	2.643	-2.619	0.009	-1,221	
	D	0.004	1.088	-2.637	-1,271	2.533	
	Е	-1,292	1.112	0.049	2,519	-2.530	

(precisely 2 5!=240, since there are 5! assignments of phase states to synchronized subsets, and two overall choices of direction) out of 5^{25} network states. Locally optimal states are sometimes selected for a given annealing schedule, but the procedure appears to converge to a perfect one as the annealing rate is lowered. That is, as shown in Table III, the network appears more likely to converge to the shortest-distance tour as the analog \tem perature" is lowered more slow ly.

The highly ineqular convergence that is seen is to be expected as the system random ly jumps among basins of attraction corresponding to dimensional box local optimes as the temperature is low ered. Only some of these optimes a correspond to tours. The more slow ly the temperature is low ered, the greater the chance that the system will reach a \deep" global optimum, corresponding to a shortest-distance tour, and have insuminate energy to escape at that point in the process. The convergence pattern is typical of simulated annealing, as reported for instance by K awabe et al.¹³.

Varying the di erent coe cients a ects the network in a way similar to the variation of corresponding coe cients in the original xed-state network. The largest e ect comes from varying E, which multiplies the distance term, but increasing E tends to force the network toward non-tour states. Increasing E fourfold to E = 1:6 tended to favor shorterdistance tours among the runs that converged to tours, as shown in the table, but m ost runs converged to non-tour states. No tours were obtained in 20 runs with a tenfold increase of

TABLE II: Relative phases as in Table I, but with noise of steadily decreasing am plitude included in the oscillator dynamics (7), using a noise reduction factor = 0:9999993 every ve time steps, i.e. at time intervals = 0.05 = 5 t. The tour found, ADEBC, is seen by focussing attention on oscillators with relative phase 2 =5 1.28 (bold). Permutations of the same tour are represented by other collections of oscillators with approximately equal relative phases, e.g. those with phase 0, de ning the equivalent tour CADEB (italics). The tour class found is indeed the shortest-distance tour class for the pre-specie ed table of distances (d_{j^0}) computed from Fig. 2.

		sbt in schedule					
		1	2	3	4	5	
city	A	-1.282	0.036	1,240	2.494	-2.521	
	В	1.233	2.485	-2.500	-1.320	-0.016	
	С	0.034	1,280	2.524	-2.537	-1.259	
	D	-2.498	-1.293	-0.013	1.195	2.502	
	Ε	2.493	-2.423	-1.190	0.046	1,285	

the coe cient to E = 4:0.

The statistical signi cance of the selection of tour states over non-tour states is obvious. The observed tendency of the network to select shortest-distance tours is weaker, but still statistically signi cant. Form ally, the inverse correlation of the number of occurrances of each tour class with tour length is signi cant at the 95% level for all three cases show n, and at the 99% level for the case of slow annealing. The tendency of the network to speci cally select the shortest tour ACBED, am ong the twelve tour classes, is signi cant at the 97% level for slow annealing, and at the 99.9% level for fast annealing with E = 1.6. A dditional details of the signi cance calculations are provided in Appendix B. The observed trends for slow er annealing and for increased E add to the signi cance.

For a larger number of cities n, preliminary experiments indicate that the architecture continues to select tour states over non-tour states and prefers shortest-distance tours. The convergence time increases, but is strongly dependent on the conguration of cities and the associated \energy" landscape. Typical results for an n = 7 problem are shown in Fig. 4. Parameters are the same as in the n = 5 example, except that the coeccients on the term s that force de-synchroniation within the separate row s and columns had to be increased

fourfold and the annealing schedule further slowed. In two out of three runs, the network converged to a tour state, in about twice the number of iterations required for n = 5. For n = 10, with the simple conguration shown in Fig.5b, and with no changes in parameters as compared to n = 5, the network converged to a globally optimal tour state even more quickly than in the n = 5 example (Fig. 5a). For other 10-city congurations, the network did not converge to any tour or non-tour state, even with vanishing noise level, with the same xed-step numerical scheme used in the other examples. This is to be attributed to the complexity of the landscape and the large number of closely spaced local optima. An analog implementation, the ultimate target of the initial digital investigation, would obviate the numerical issues.

D istance m inimization is achieved in all cases because of the validity of the stationary phase approximation, according to which them inimum of the term in the Lyapunov function with coeccient E is also them inimum of the partial sum without cross-terms. Convergence histories of the total sum in this term for the 5-city example are plotted with the histories of the corresponding partial sums in Fig. 3. For the case shown in Fig. 3b, where a nonoptimal tour is selected, the total sum is also seen to be slightly higher than the minimal value attained in the other two panels. The partial and total sum s are widely separated,



FIG. 3: Network histories for three runs of the cellular neural network with parameters and annealing schedules as in Table II. Runs shown are for slow annealing (a) and fast annealing (b,c), with random ly chosen initial conditions. Only states (squares) corresponding to tours are plotted, with tour lengths as indicated. For each such state, a tour length is also estimated (dots) as one- fit the value of the distance-minimizing part of the Lyapunov function 4), i.e. $(1=5) \begin{bmatrix} P & P \\ i & j \end{bmatrix}_{j^0} d_{jj^0} Re = \frac{z_{ij}}{j_{ij} j_{j+1,j^0}}$, that gives an average over the choice of initial city, and would be equal to the actual tour length, if cross-terms for which arg $(z_{i,j}) \in \arg(z_{i+1,j^0})$ could be neglected in the sum over j and j^0 .

indicating that the cross-term s do not cancel, but sum to e ectively random values that have no average e ect on the minimization of the partial sum s over synchronized units.

B. The CNN with unrestricted phases

The second term in (4), restricting the phase of each oscillator to one of n values, can be dropped, but the temperature must be lowered even more slowly to attain a globally optim al pattern. Adding noise at every time step, at a level that is reduced by the factor $= 1 \quad 1=10^7$ after each time step, we obtained results for a single long run that are displayed in Table IV. The shortest-distance tour was indeed selected, but the global optimality of such states for the general problem remains to be proved.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND PROPOSED EXTENSIONS

Synchronous ring of neurons, som etim es widely separated in the brain, is a ubiquitous phenom enon that has been advanced as an explanation for perceptual grouping^{10,14,15}, motor control^{16,17}, and consciousness^{18,19,20}. Inform ation in the brain may generally be coded in synchronization patterns. The dynam ical evolution of such patterns would then de ne



FIG. 4: Network history (a) for a run of the cellular neural network for 7 cities plotted as in Fig. 3. Network parameters are as in the 5-city case, but with C = D = 16:0 and annealing schedule given by = 0:99999995, = 0:02 = 2 t. In two out of three runs with the city map (b), the network converged to tour states: the optim altour ADCEGBF (solid) and a suboptim altour AFCBGED (dashed).



FIG.5: Network history (a) for a run of the cellular neural network for 10 cities plotted as in Fig. 3. Network parameters are as in the 5-city case, with with a \slow annealing" schedule (= 0.9999998, = 0.02 = 2 t). With cities placed on a circle, with uniform spacing, in arbitrary order (b), the network converged to the optimal tour.

m ental processing. One may inquire as to the utility of synchronization patterns for representation of objective states.

The main result of this paper is that the evolution of synchronization patterns can be organized so as to satisfy a complex optimization principle. Indeed, the 5-city architecture is electing 2 5! = 240 shortest-distance tours out of 5^5 possible states. The original H op eld architecture, by contrast, would select 2 5 = 10 binary-valued tour states out of 2^{25} possibilities. The task of the synchronization network is more di cult by a factor of $\frac{10}{240}$ $\frac{5}{2}$ $^{25} = 3:7$ 10^8 , a factor which grows exponentially with the number of cities. The landscape searched by the synchronization network is correspondingly rougher, requiring shorter time steps in a digital in plementation. A large research e ort with the original H op eld architecture resulted in eld ective performance only for relatively small n, up to about 100. Preliminary indications are that the synchronization network will be competitive for simple congurations of cities and may reach at least the original H op eld-Tank² level (n = 30) for arbitrary congurations, with in proved numerics or in an analogue

TABLE III: Tour lengths for the twelve tour classes in the 5-city problem, with the number of times each class occurs as the nalstate in 20 runs of the CNN architecture using a fast annealing schedule (= 0.9999999, = 0.05 = 5 t), in 20 runs with the same fast annealing schedule but with the weight E of the distance-minimizing term increased fourfold, and in 20 runs with a slow annealing schedule (= 0.9999998, = 0.02 = 2 t). Other parameters are as in Table I. Synchronization, as used to de ne tour patterns, is deemed to occur when the relative phases of two or more units di er by less than 0.6.

nalstate	tour length	num ber of c		occurrences	
tour class		fast annealing		slow annealing	
		E = 0:4	E = 1 : 6		
ACBED	1.806	1	4	4	
ABECD	1.845	4	1	3	
ADCBE	1.868	4		1	
ACEBD	1.874	1	1	3	
ABCED	2.005			1	
ADBCE	2.096	2		1	
ABEDC	2.098	1		1	
ACDBE	2.189				
ABCDE	2,320				
ABDEC	2,326				
ACBDE	2.349	1			
ABDCE	2,388				
non-tour		6	14	6	

in plem entation. The dem onstrated skill is surprising in view of the increased di culty of the task in the new representation. Further, the network's sensitivity to the complexity of the map is suggestive of a hum an's response to the same problem s. Thus the results support synchronization-based theories of biological information processing.

Freem an^{21,22} has suggested a fundam ental role for chaos in intelligent inform ation processing. (See also $N \operatorname{ara}^{23}$.) In Freem an's view, chaos provides a combination of sensitivity and stability that m ight account for the observed range of m ental competence in the face

TABLE IV : Relative phases as in Table I, but for a network with B = 0 in which the phases are unrestricted, and with very slow annealing, given by = 0.99999999 and $= 0.01 = \pm 10$ (7). The other CNN coe cients are A = 0.5, C = D = 20.0, and E = 0.12. A fiber a very large number of iterations, the network converged to the shortest tour.

		slot in schedule					
		1	2	3	4	5	
	A	2.316	-2 . 583	-1.209	-0.151	1,289	
city	В	-1 . 607	0.129	1.237	2.335	-2.286	
	С	-2 . 878	-1.291	0.321	1,227	2.372	
	D	0.929	2.600	3.032	-1.482	0.461	
	Е	0.392	1.077	2.344	-2.610	-1.388	

of unique inputs. The known highly interm ittent synchronization of 40H z oscillations was taken to support the suggested role for chaos. Inform ation is thought to be coded in spatial patterns of coherent activity that exist for very brief periods of time, typically less than 200 m illiseconds.

Synchronization networks of the type described in this paper can be naturally extended to a chaotic fram ework. If the lim it cycle oscillators were to be replaced by chaotic oscillators, chaos m ight replace noise in the simulated annealing scheme. (The \chaotic oscillators" m ight be higher-level constructs formed from groups of units with incommensurate frequencies.) Synchronization of chaotic oscillators typically degrades via on-o interm ittency, wherein the synchronized phase is interrupted at irregular intervals by bursts of de-synchronization^{24,25}. A stable synchronization m anifold, like other invariant m anifolds, typically contains unstable periodic orbits (UPO s) embedded within strange attractors. Trajectories that approach such orbits while still o -m anifold commonly burst away. That behavior would resemble the noise-induced jumping among di erent synchronization patterns in the network of regular oscillators studied here.

Bursts away from local optim a might play the role of a \reset" mechanism such as the one introduced in A daptive R esonance Theory²⁶ for old-style networks. Unlike the situation with associative memory networks, continuous reset is here desirable. The dynamical regime of nearly continuous bursting²⁷ might be identified with the biological case, in which the syn-

chronized phase is very short-lived. Slow Hebbian learning, to adjust connection strengths, m ight be used for \perm anent" global optim ization, if desired, replacing the gradual low ering of tem perature in the simulated annealing scheme. Such chaotic architectures will be the subject of future work. The simplied limit cycle version con most he representational utility of synchronized oscillator networks.

A cknow ledgem ents: The author thanks Frank Hoppensteadt for encouraging the publication of the prelim inary, regular-oscillator version of the proposed CNN architecture. A fraction of this work was supported by NSF G rants 0327929 and 0838235. The National Center for Atm ospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. A P P E N D IX A : P roof of the optim ality of shortest-distance tours for the n-phase synchronization network

W ithout loss of generality, consider the TSP for a table of distances expressed in units such that d_{ij} 1 for all i; j. The Lyapunov function, previously expressed as (4) is:

$$L = A \begin{pmatrix} X & (\dot{z}_{ij})^{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{2} + B \begin{pmatrix} X & \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} & n & 2 \\ ij & ij & \dot{z}_{ij}j & \dot{z}_{ij}j \end{pmatrix}^{2} \\ C & \frac{Z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} & \frac{Z_{ij0}}{\dot{z}_{ij^{0}}j^{2}} \\ D & \frac{X & X}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} & \frac{Z_{i0}j}{\dot{z}_{ij^{0}}j^{2}} \\ J & & \dot{z}_{ij}j & \frac{Z_{i0}j}{\dot{z}_{ij}jj} & z_{i0}j \end{pmatrix}^{2} \\ + E & d_{jj^{0}}Re & \frac{Z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}jz^{2}} \frac{Z_{i+1,j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{ij}z^{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

The term

$$D = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ D & & & d_{jj^0}Re & \frac{z_{ij}}{\not z_{ij}} \frac{z_{i+1,j^0}}{j \not z_{i+1,j^0}} \\ & & & & i & j & j^0 \end{array}$$
(9)

is intended to minimize total tour length. Consider strict tour congurations for which each z_{ij} is one of the nth roots of unity, i.e. $z_{ij} = \exp(2 \text{ im }_{ij}=n)$ for some integer m_{ij}, $0 \text{ m}_{ij} < n$, and the integers m_{ij} are all dimensioned in each column and within each row. That is, we allow m_{ij} = m_{i⁰j⁰} only if i = i⁰ and j = j⁰ or if $i \in i⁰$ and $j \in j⁰$. Among such strict tour congurations, let m⁰_{ij} be chosen so as to minimize D.

In a stationary phase approximation, one could relate D to a sum \hat{D} without the cross term s:

$$\hat{D} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \qquad X \\ & \sum_{i \quad f j j^0 j m_{i+1} j^{0} = m_{ij} g} d_{jj^0} Re \quad \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij} j \dot{z}_{i+1} j^0} \\ \end{array}$$

W e do not require equality or proportionality of D and \hat{D} , but m ake a weaker assumption that tour con gurations that m inim ize D also m inim ize \hat{D} , i.e.

$$\hat{D} (fm_{ij}^{0}g) \qquad \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ i & fjj^{0}jm_{i+1j}^{0-m}m_{ij}g \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} Z_{ij} & Z_{i+1j^{0}} \\ & & \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array}$$
(10)

for any tour con guration given by $f_{2j} = \exp(2 \operatorname{im}_{ij}=n)g$ that is not necessarily shortestdistance. We regard (10) as empirically validated.

We can then show that the Lyapunov function (8) is appropriate for the TSP by proving the following theorem .

Theorem : There are values of the coe cients A; B; C; D; and E, in the Lyapunov function $L : C^{n^2}$! R that is de ned in 8), such that the global minima of L occur at states $fz_{ij} : i; j = 1:::$ ng that correspond to shortest-distance tours, and only occur at such states, provided that the assumption (10) holds. The required coe cients can be chosen universally for the n-city problem, except for dependence on the di erence in the value of D (as de ned in 9)) between the shortest and the second-shortest tour con gurations.

Proof:

The rst term in §) is a function only of the n^2 magnitudes $j_{ij}j$, while the remaining term s are functions only of the n^2 phases that de ne the normalized values $z_{ij}=j_{ij}j$. Thus the rst term, which is non-negative, can be minimized separately, by choosing all $j_{2j}j = 1$, so that the term vanishes.

Note that the third, fourth, and fith term s satisfy the respective bounds:

$$0 D D \sum_{j ii^{0}}^{X X} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j} \frac{z_{i^{0}j}}{\dot{z}_{i^{0}j}j}^{2} 4n^{3}D$$
(12)

$$n^{3}E E \sum_{\substack{i \ j \ j^{0}}}^{X \ X \ X} d_{jj^{0}}Re(\frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}}\frac{z_{i+1,j^{0}}}{\dot{z}_{ij}j\dot{z}_{i+1;j^{0}}}) n^{3}E$$
(13)

We rst show that each normalized value $\frac{1}{2}j=jz_{ij}j$ can be made to dier from one of the nth roots of unity by an arbitrarily small amount $_{ij}$ when L is minimized. De ne the deviations $_{ij}$ by

$$\sum_{ij}^{2n} \frac{z_{ij}}{\dot{z}_{ij}} = 1$$
(14)

for a set of values $fz_{ij}g$ that m inim izes L. If the remaining ∞e cients C = D = E = 0, the m inim a of L occur when each $_{ij} = 0$. For nonvanishing C, D, and/or E, no sum m and in the term with $\cos c$ cient B can raise the m inim um value by an am ount greater than the range of values of the remaining three term s, so B $_{ij}^{2n} < 4n^{3}C + 4n^{3}D + 2n^{3}E$, or

$${}^{2n}_{ij} < (4n^{3}C + 4n^{3}D + 2n^{3}E) = B$$
 (15)

Thus, by choosing B su ciently large as compared to C,D, and E, each $_{\rm ij}$ can be made as small as desired.

Choose B so that each norm alized value $z_{ij}=jz_{ij}j$ is much closer to one particular nth root than to any other, i.e. $_{ij} < < jl exp(2 i=n)j$ as will follow from (15) if

$$(4n^{3}C + 4n^{3}D + 2n^{3}E) = B < < j = exp(2 i=n)j^{2n}$$
 (16)

For any given set of deviations $f_{ij}g_{1}_{ij}g_{1}$, one is free to choose one of the n roots arbitrarily for each member of the set. It is easily seen that the term s with coe cients C and D are minimized when the n values in each row and each column, respectively, are spaced as widely as possible about the unit circle, while the term with coe cient B is invariant. Thus if E = 0, the minim a of L occur when the phases that are approximately nth roots of unity are all di erent in each row and each column, i.e. for con gurations that de nen \tours".

If E is su ciently small, then the minim a of L still occur at tour con gurations. Specifically, let be the minimum di erence between the value of a sum over a single row or column ($_{ii^0}^{P}$ or $_{jj^0}^{P}$ in (8)) for all phases di erent and the sum for the case of one phase duplication. One nds

$$j = \exp(2 i=n)j^2$$
 (17)

Then, using (13), the minim a of L occur at tours if

$$n^{3}E < C + O(^{2})$$
 $n^{3}E < D + O(^{2})$ (18)

where $\max_{ij} f_{ij} g_i$.

For strict tour con gurations (with all $_{ij} = 0$), the last term in (8), ED, is separately minimized for shortest tours, since by assumption (10), ED is minimized for values $z_{ij} = \exp(2 \text{ im }_{ij}^0 = n)$ that minimize ED. The latter can be written as a sum over n tours, = 1;:::;n, each tour de ned by a set of n units with equal phases:

$$E \hat{D} = E \int_{=1}^{X^{n}} d_{j (i); j (i+1)}$$
(19)

where labels the tour and j (i) is the city visited at time i while on tour . This sum is minimized if and only if all the j are shortest-distance tours.

For non-strict tour con gurations with ij 6 0

$$D = \sum_{\substack{i = j = j^{0}}}^{X X X} d_{jj^{0}}Re e^{2 im_{ij}=n}e^{2 im_{i+1}j^{0}=n} + O(2)$$
(20)

for some fm $_{ij}g$. This sum is minimized for shortest-distance tours provided that the di erence D (fm $_{ij}^0g$) D (fm $_{ij}^1g$) j in the value of D between the shortest tour con guration fm $_{ij}^0g$ and the second-shortest tour con guration fm $_{ij}^1g$ is not too sm all, i.e.

$$>> 0 (2)$$
 (21)

which is satis ed, according to the bound 15), if

$$(4n^{3}C + 4n^{3}D + 2n^{3}E) = B < < ^{n}$$
 (22)

For given , we note that the coe cients B ;C ;D ; and E can always be chosen so as to satisfy (16),(18), and (22), completing the proof.

APPENDIX B: Statistical signi cance of the results in TableIII

The correlation between tour-length d_i and number of occurrences n_i , as listed in the second and third columns of Table III, respectively, for the case with fast annealing and E = 0.4, is $r = \prod_{i=1}^{P} d_i n_i = (d_n) = 0.62$. If the data were truly uncorrelated, the correlations would be t-distributed about r=0. In such a distribution with 12 = 2 = 10 degrees of freedom ,, r = 0.62 corresponds to a t-score of t = 2.50, and the probability of r = 0.62 is found to be less than 5%, using a t-distribution table or online calculator²⁸. So the negative correlation is signing cant at the 95% level.

The signi cance of the rate of occurrence of the optim altour, ACBED, among all tours found, is calculated as follows: In the slow annealing case, ACBED occurs in 4 instances out of the 14 tour states chosen. If all 12 possible tour states were selected with equal probability, the probability of 4 or more occurrences of the shortest-distance tour would be:

im plying signi cance at the 97% level.

Signi cance levels of the correlations and of the shortest-distance tour selection rates are computed similarly for the other 5-city networks, with results as shown in Table V. (The fast-annealing scheme with E = 0.4 did not select the optimal tour at a signi cant rate.)

The trends in Table III as E is increased and as annealing is slowed lend additional signi cance to the results.

TABLE V: Correlation r between length of a tour and frequency of selection of the tour for the three network con gurations represented in Table III, with the t-score of each correlation, the signi cance level, and the signi cance level (if any) of the selection of the shortest-distance tour.

	fast an	nealing	slow annealing	
	E = 0 : 4	E = 1 : 6		
r	-0.62	-0.59	-0.87	
t-score	2.50	2.30	5.58	
signi cance level of distance/frequency correlation	95%	95%	99.9%	
signi cance level of shortest-distance tour selection	-	99.9%	97%	

- ¹ J.J.Hop eld, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. U.S.A. 79, 2554 (1982).
- ² J.J.Hop eld and D.W. Tank, Biol. Cybern. 52, 141 (1985).
- ³ L.O. Chua and T. Roska, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I 40, 147 (1993).
- ⁴ S.G rossberg and E.M ingolla, Perception and Psychophysics 38, 141 (1985).
- ⁵ T.Nishikawa, Y.-C.Lai, and F.C.Hoppensteadt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, art. no. 108101 (2004).
- ⁶ T.Nishikawa, F.C.Hoppensteadt, and Y.-C.Lai, Physica 197D, 134 (2004).
- $^7\,$ D.Term an and D.W ang, Physica 81D , 148 (1995).
- ⁸ S. Strogatz, Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order (Theia, New York, 2003).
- ⁹ A.Yazdanbakhsh and S.G rossberg, Neural Networks 17, 707 (2004).
- ¹⁰ C M . G ray, P. Konig, A K . Engel, and W . Singer, Nature 338, 334 (1989).
- ¹¹ Results for a Hop eld-Tank network applied to the same con guration of cities were reported in: W. Thom pson and B. Thom pson, AIE xpert 2, 27 (1987).
- ¹² F.C. Hoppensteadt and E.M. Izhikevich, Biol. Cybern. 75, 129 (1996).
- ¹³ T.Kawabe, T.Ueta, and Y.Nishio, Proc. of the 41st SICE Annual Conference, 3106 doi: 10.1109/SICE 2002.1195604 (2002).
- ¹⁴ B.Schechter, Science 274, 339 (1996).
- 15 C.von der Malsburg, Neuron 24, 95 (1999).
- ¹⁶ E.Vaadia, I.Haalman, M.Abeles, H.Bergman, Y.Prut, H.Slovin, and A.Aertsen, Nature 373, 515 (1995).
- ¹⁷ N.K opell, Toward a theory of modelling central pattern generators, in NeuralControl of R hythm ic M ovem ent in Vertebrates, ed.A.H.Cohen, S.Rossignol, and S.G rillner, p. 369 (John W iley, New York, 1988).
- ¹⁸ C.von der Malsburg and W.Schneider, Biol.Cybern.54, 29 (1986).
- ¹⁹ E.Rodriguez, N.George, J.P. Lachaux, J.M artinerie, B.Renault, F.J. Varela, Nature 397, 430 (1999).
- ²⁰ C.Koch and S.Green eld, Scienti cAmerican 297, 76 (2007).
- ²¹ W J.Freem an, Int.J. Intelligent Syst. 10, 71 (1995).
- ²² W J.Freem an, Scienti cAmerican 264, 78 (1991).
- ²³ S.Nara, Chaos 13, 1110 (2003).

- ²⁴ N.Platt, E.A. Spiegel, and C. Tresser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 279 (1993).
- ²⁵ E.Ott and J.C. Som m erer, Phys. Lett. A 188, 39 (1994).
- ²⁶ G A. Carpenter and S. Grossberg, Computer 21, 77 (1988).
- ²⁷ A dynam ical regime of nearly continuous bursting away from a synchronization manifold is illustrated in: G.S.D uane, Phys. Rev. E. 56, 6475 (1997).
- ²⁸ See, for example http://faculty.vassar.edu/low ry/rsig.htm 1