## Stability of synchronization in coupled time-delay systems using K rasovskii-Lyapunov theory

D.V. Senthilkum ar<sup>1;2</sup>, J. Kurths<sup>2;3</sup>,<sup>y</sup> and M. Lakshm anan<sup>4z</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centre for Dynamics of Complex Systems, 14469 Potsdam Germany

> <sup>2</sup>Potsdam Institute for C lim ate Im pact Research, 14473 Potsdam G erm any

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Physics, Hum boldt University, 12489 Berlin, Germany and <sup>4</sup>Centre for Nonlinear Dynamics, Department of Physics, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli - 620 024, India

(Dated: April 2, 2024)

Stability of synchronization in unidirectionally coupled time-delay systems is studied using the K rasovskii-Lyapunov theory. We have shown that the same general stability condition is valid for di erent cases, even for the general situation (but with a constraint) where all the coe cients of the error equation corresponding to the synchronization m anifold are time-dependent. These analytical results are also con m ed by num erical simulation of paradigm atic examples.

PACS num bers: 05.45 X t,05.45 Pq

Synchronization of coupled chaotic dynam ical system s is an active area of research in di erent branches of science and technology [1, 2]. Di erent kinds of chaos synchronization have been reported both theoretically and experimentally since its discovery in coupled chaotic oscillators [3]. Recent studies on synchronization have been focused on coupled time-delay systems with or without time-delay coupling because of its intrinsic nature of generating high dim ensional chaotic signals [4] and the ease of experimental realization of these system s [5]. These system s have potential applications in secure communication, cryptography, controlling, long term prediction, optimization of nonlinear system perform ance, modelling brain activity, pattern recognition, etc. (cf. [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]). Speci cally, exploiting synchronization, communication with chaotic lasers was demonstrated in [7] and digital information at gigabyte rates was transferred successfully [8] exploiting tim e-delayed feedback to generate high-dim ensional, high-capacity waveforms at high bandwidths. With this kind of applications of high-dimensional chaotic signals of tim e-delay system s, it becom es extrem ely im portant to establish conditions under which synchronized states are asymptotically stable in coupled time-delay systems. For this purpose, the Krasvoskii-Lyapunov theory has becom e an extrem ely useful tool [9, 10, 11, 16, 17].

On the other hand, recently, it has been pointed out

that the K rasovskii-Lyapunov theory is not suitable for a m ore general case where the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold is time-dependent; especially when all its coe cients are time-dependent [11]. In this contribution we will show that the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory is not restricted to rather special cases discussed in the literature so far, but that it can be exploited as a powerful tool in identifying the synchronization thresholds and the stability of synchronization in general coupled time-delay systems. In particular, we will show that the same general stability condition resulting from the Krasovskii-Lyapunov theory is indeed valid for the general case, where the coe cients are time dependent. This general situation is very important in m any applications such as synchronization via dynam ical relaying [12], for instance, synchronization of neural activity in brain with complex functional architecture has been shown to underlie cognitive acts [13], in dynam ically evolving networks [14] such as ad-hoc networks [15], etc. In particular, we will discuss all the four possible cases that arise due to the nature of the coe cients in the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold and show that the sam e stability condition deduced from the K rasovskii-Lyapunov functional approach is valid for all the cases, subject to certain conditions. We will also con m these analytical results by num erical analysis using paradigm atic exam ples.

 $\mbox{C}\xspace{0.5}$  on sider the following linearly coupled scalar time-delay system ,

<u>x</u> (t) =	ax (t) + bf (x (t	));	(1a)
<u>у</u> (t) =	ay (t) + bf (y (t	)) + K (t) (x (t)	y(t))(1b)

E lectronic address: skum ar@ cnld.bdu.ac.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Y</sup>E lectronic address: Juergen K urths@ pik-potsdam .de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>E lectronic address: lakshm an@ cnld.bdu.ac.in

where a and b are positive constants, > 0 is the delaytime, K (t) is the coupling function between the drive and the response system s and f (x) is some nonlinear function. Now we can deduce the stability condition for complete synchronization of the general unidirectionally coupled time-delay systems (1). The time evolution of the difference system with the state variable = x (t) y (t) (the error equation corresponding to the complete synchronization m anifold of the coupled time-delay system (1)) for sm all values of can be written as

$$= (a + K (t)) + bf^{0}(y(t)); = (t);$$
(2)

It is to be noted that there arises four cases depending on the nature of the coe cient of the and terms of the above error equation as follows:

- 1. Both coe cients of the and terms are timeindependent.
- 2. The coe cient of the term is time-independent and that of the term is time-dependent.
- 3. The coe cient of the term is time-dependent and that of the term is time-independent.
- 4. Both coe cients of the and terms are timedependent.

The synchronization manifold of the error equation (2) is locally attracting if the origin of this equation is stable. Following the K rasovskii-Lyapunov theory [9], we de ne a continuous, positive-de nite Lyapunov functional of the form

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{2}^{2} + \frac{2}{2}(t+)d; \quad V(0) = 0$$
 (3)

where is an arbitrary positive parameter, > 0. The derivative of the functional V (t) along the trajectory of the error equation (2),

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (a + K (t))^{2} + bf^{0}(y(t )) + {}^{2} {}^{2};$$
(4)

has to be negative to ensure the stability of the solution

= 0. The requirem ent that  $\frac{dV}{dt}$  < 0 for all and , results in the condition for stability as

$$a + K (t) > \frac{b^2}{4} f^0 (y(t ))^2 + = ():$$
 (5)

Now, () as a function of for a given f  $^{0}(\!x\!)$  has an absolute m inimum at

$$= (\mathbf{j} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{f}^{0} (\mathbf{y} (\mathbf{t} )) \mathbf{j} = 2;$$
 (6)

with  $m in = p f^{0}(y(t )) j$ . Since  $m in = p f^{0}(y(t )) j$  from the inequality (5), it turns out that a su cient condition for asymptotic stability is

$$a + K (t) > jbf^{0}(y(t ))j;$$
 (7)

It is to be noted that since is an arbitrary positive parameter due to the denition of the positive denite Lyapunov function (3), the above stability condition is valid only when =  $(bf^{0}(y(t )))=2$  is a constant, i. e., only when  $f^{0}(x)$  is a constant (in other words when the coe cient of term in the error equation (2) is time-independent, which corresponds to the cases (1) and (3) discussed above). On the other hand if  $f^{0}(x)$ is time-dependent, then can be obtained alternatively by rewriting Eq. (5) as

$$b^{2}f^{0}(y(t ))^{2} < 4 (a + K (t) ); \qquad (8)$$
  
= 4 [ (a + K (t))=2]<sup>2</sup> + (a + K (t))<sup>2</sup>;  
():

Now, ( ) as a function of for a given f  $^{0}(\!x\!)$  has an absolute maximum at

$$= (a + K (t)) = 2;$$
 (9)

with  $m_{ax} = (a + K (t))^2$ . Using this maximum value in the right hand side of (8), we obtain the same stability condition as that of (7), provided (a + K (t))=2 > 0 since

> 0. Since a > 0, this implies K (t) > a, that is coupling function K (t) should be either positive de nite or K (t) j> a if it is negative. In particular for the case 2, since the coe cient of the term in the error equation is time independent (which corresponds to the cases (1) and (2) mentioned above), K (t) = k > a for all t (k : const:).

However, there arises an even more general situation where the coe cients of both the and terms are time dependent (case 4), in which case the arbitrary positive parameter in the Lyapunov functional has to be chosen as a positive de nite function, = g(t) > 0 for all t. In this case, one has to consider the derivative of = g(t) also in the derivative of V (t) as follows,

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (a + K (t))^{2} + bf^{0}(y(t ))$$
(10)  
+ g(t)(2)^{2}(t) + g(t)^{2}(t)^{2}(t+ )d < 0:

It is known from the Lyapunov functional that the term  $R_0^{2}$  (t+ )d is positive and let us suppose that g(t)

0 for all t, then for  $V_{-}(t) < 0$  a su cient condition is that

$$(a + K (t))^{2} + bf^{0}(y(t ))$$
(11)  
+ g(t) (<sup>2</sup><sup>2</sup>) < 0;

$$(a + K (t)) b^{2} f^{0} (y(t))^{2} = 4g(t) g(t)^{2} (12)$$
$$g(t) [ b f^{0} (y(t)) = 2g(t)]^{2} < 0:$$

The second term in the above equation is negative by assumption of g(t) and hence it follows that

$$b^{2}f^{0}(y(t ))^{2} < 4g(t)(a + K(t) g(t));$$
(13)  
= 4 [g(t) (a + K(t))=2j^{2} + (a + K(t))^{2};   
(g(t)):



FIG.1: (Color online) The time trajectory plot of the variables x (t) and y (t) of the coupled time-delay system s (1) indicating complete synchronization between them . (a) Piecewise linear time-delay system, (1) and (14), for the parameters a = 1.0; b = 1.2; = 25.0 and for the constant coupling  $k_1 = k_2 = 0.9$ . (b) Ikeda time-delay system, (1) and (15), for the parameters a = 1.0; b = 5; = 2.0 along with the constant coupling  $k_1 = k_2 = 5.0$ . (c) Piecewise linear time-delay system for the same values of the system parameters as in Fig.1a with the square wave coupling rate  $k_1 = 0.9$  and  $k_2 = 1.0$ . (d) Ikeda system for the same values of the system parameters and with the square wave coupling rate  $k_1 = 5.0$  and  $k_2 = 6.0$ .

Consequently we obtain the same stability condition as in Eq. (7) with the maximum of ,  $_{max} = (a + K (t))^2$ , occuring at g(t) = (a + K (t))=2 > 0, along with the condition  $\underline{g}(t) = 0$ , that is  $K_{-}(t) = dK (t)=dt = 0$ , for all t.

Note that our above analysis holds good in case (4), only for K-(t) 0 and is not valid for K-(t) > 0. For the latter case, we are unable to obtain a su ciency condition yet. Consequently the cases (1)-(3) cannot be treated as special cases of the most general case (4) at present.

Thus, we have shown that the same general stability condition, Eq. (7), is valid for all the four cases that arise in the error equation (2) corresponding to the synchronization manifold of the unidirectionally coupled timedelay system s with a restriction in case (4).

In this section, we will provide numerical con mation of the above stability analysis for all the four cases using appropriate nonlinear functional forms f(x) and suitable coupling K (t) in the coupled time-delay systems (1). For this purpose we will consider the nonlinear functions f(x)as the piecew ise linear function, which has been studied in detail recently [16, 18, 19],

0

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x & 4=3 \\ 1:5x & 2; & 4=3 < x & 0:8 \\ x; & 0:8 < x & 0:8 \\ 1:5x + 2; & 0:8 < x & 4=3 \\ 0; & x > 4=3; \end{cases}$$
(14)

and

$$f(x) = \sin(x(t));$$
 (15)

which is the paradigm atic Ikeda model [6]. We have xed the parameters as a = 1.0; b = 1.2 and = 25.0 for the coupled piecewise linear time-delay system dened by 1) and (14), for which the uncoupled system s exhibit a hyperchaotic behavior with nine positive Lyapunov exponents [16, 17, 18, 19]. For the coupled Ikeda system s (1) and (15), the parameters are chosen as a = 1.0; b = 5.0and = 2.0 where the uncoupled individual Ikeda system s exhibit a hyperchaotic behavior with three positive Lyapunov exponents [17].

W e choose the coupling function K (t) as a square wave function represented as [20]

$$K (t) = f(t_0;k_1); (t_1;k_2); (t_2;k_1); (t_3;k_2); :::g; (16)$$

where  $t_j = t_0 + (j \ 1)_{s}; j \ 1$  is the switching instant,  $k_1 > 0; k_2 > 0$  with  $k_1 \notin k_2$ . For constant coupling, K (t) =  $k_1 = k_2$ . On the other hand, either  $k_1 = 0$ or  $k_2 = 0$ , then the coupling is called an intermittent coupling/control which is now being widely studied in the literature [21].

First, we use the piecewise linear function (14), and the constant coupling K (t) =  $k_1 = k_2$ . It is clear from the form of the nonlinear function f (x) and the coupling that both coe cients of the and term s in the error equation, (2), are constant (case 1) and consequently can either be chosen as =  $(bf^{0})(y)(t)$ )))))=2 or =  $(j_a + K (t) j) = 2$ . The time trajectory of the variables x (t) and y (t) of the coupled piecew ise linear time-delay systems, (1) and (14), are shown in Fig. 1a indicating complete synchronization between them for the coupling strength  $k = k_1 = k_2 = 0.9$  satisfying the stability condition  $a + k > bf^0(y(t)) = 1.5b$ . Here, the other system param eters are xed as noted above.

Next, we analyse the function  $f(x) = \sin(x(t))$ , given by (15), of the Ikeda system with constant coupling, which corresponds to the case 2 where the coe cient of the term in the error equation is time-dependent, while that of the term is still time-independent and hence can take the form = (a + K(t))=2 with K(t) > 0. The coupling strength is xed as  $k = k_1 = k_2 = 5.0$  such that the stability condition  $a + k > bf^0(y(t)) = b$  is satisticed. The variables x(t) and y(t) of the coupled Ikeda system s, (1) and (15), are plotted as a function of time in Fig. 1b demonstrating complete synchronization between them.

Again, we consider the piecewise linear function (14), and the same parameter values as in the case 1 but with the square wave coupling K (t) chosen as  $k_1 = 0.9$  and  $k_2 = 1.0$  such that the stability condition (7) is satis ed for all t. The switching instant  $\ _{s}$  between  $k_{1}$  and  $k_{2}$  for the square wave coupling rate is xed as  $_{s} = 1.0$ . This situation corresponds to the case 3, where the coe cient term in the error equation is time-dependent, of the while that of the term is time-independent and as a result can be xed as =  $(bf^{0})(y)(t)$ ))))=2. The time trajectory of the variables x (t) and y (t) are shown in Fig. 1c indicating complete synchronization. Note that here K (t) > 0 and the stability condition (7) is indeed

satis ed.

Finally for the more general case where both coefcients of the and term of the error equation are time-dependent, = g(t) can be given as g(t) =(a + K (t))=2 for the chosen form of the square wave coupling K (t) with K (t) > 0 and K-(t) = 0. Figure 1d is plotted for the same values of the system parameters as in Fig. 1b with  $k_1 = 5.0$ ;  $k_2 = 6.0$  and s = 1.0 satisfying the stability condition (7), indicating com plete synchronization between the variables x (t) and y (t).

A sym ptotic stability of synchronized state in a unidirectionally coupled time-delay system is studied using the K rasovskii-Lyapunov theory. We have shown that the same stability condition is valid for all the four cases that arises due to the nature of the coe cients of the and terms in the error equation corresponding to the synchronization manifold. In particular, we have shown that the same general stability condition is valid even for the general case where both coe cients of the and terms in the error equation are time-dependent, which is of high in portance for various applications. We have also numerically con med these results using appropriate examples along with suitable coupling con guration.

The work of DVS has been supported by A lexander von Hum boldt Foundation. JK has been supported by his Hum boldt-CSIR research award and NoE BIOSIM (EU) Contract No. LSHB-CT-2004-005137. ML acknow ledges the support from a D epartm ent of Science and Technology (DST), G overnm ent of India sponsored IRHPA research project and DST R am anna Program.

- [L] A.S.Pikovsky, M.G.Rosenbulm, and J.Kurths, Synchronization - A Uni ed Approach to Nonlinear Science (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- [2] S. Boccaletti, J. Kurths, G. Osipov, D. L. Valladares, and C. S. Zhou, Phys. Reports 366, 1 (2002).
- [3] H.Fujisaka and T.Yam ada, Prog. Theor. Phys. 69, 32 (1983); L.M. Pecora and T.L.Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 821 (1990); J.F.Heagy, L.M. Pecora, and T.L. Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4185 (1995).
- [4] J.D.Farmer, Physica D 4, 366 (1982).
- [5] A. Namajunas, K. Pyragas and A. Tamasevicius, Phys. Lett.A 201,42 (1995); M.Y.Kim, C.Sramek, A. Uchida and R. Roy, Phys. Rev. E 74, 016211 (2006); S. Sano, A. Uchida, S. Yoshim ori and R. Roy, Phys. Rev. E 75, 016207 (2007).
- [6] K. Ikeda, H. Daido, and O. A kim oto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 709 (1980); K. Ikeda, and M. M atsum oto, Physica D 29, 223 (1987).
- [7] G. D. VanW iggeren, and R. Roy, Science 279, 1198 (1998).
- [8] A. Argyris, D. Syvridis, L. Larger, V. Annovazzi-Lodi, P. Colet, I. Fischer, J. Garcia-O jalvo, C. R. M irasso, L. Pesquera, and K. A. Shore, Nature (London) 438, 343 (2005).
- [9] N. N. K rasovskii, Stability of M otion (Stanford University P ress, Stanford, 1963); Y. K uang, D elay D i erential E quations (A cadem ic P ress, N ew York, 1993); J.K. H aleand S.M. V. Lunel, Introduction to FunctionalD i erential E quations (Springer, N ew York, 1993); K. Pyragas, Phys. Rev. E 58, 3067 (1998).
- [10] H. U. Voss, Phys. Rev. E 61, 5115 (2000); E.M. Shahverdiev, S. Sivaprakasam, and K.A. Shore,

ibid. 66, 017204 (2002); E.M. Shahverdiev, ibid. 70, 067202 (2004).

- [11] S. Zhou, H. Li, and Z. W u, Phys. Rev. E 75, 037203 (2007).
- [12] I. Fischer, R. Vicente, J. M. Buldu, M. Peil, C. R. Mirasso, M. C. Torrent, and J. Garcia-O jalvo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 123902 (2006).
- [13] E.Rodriguez, N.George, J.-P.Lachaux, J.Martinerie, B.Renault, and F.J.Varela, Nature (London) 397, 430 (1999).
- [14] A. A renas, A. D az-G uilera, J. K urths, Y. M oreno, and C. Zhou, Physics Reports 469, 93 (2008).
- [15] R. Hekm at, Ad-hoc N etworks: Fundm ental P roperties and N etwork Topologies (Springer, Berlin, G erm any, 2006).
- [16] D.V. Senthikum ar and M. Lakshm anan, Phys. Rev. E 71, 016211 (2005); Phys. Rev. E 76, 066210 (2007).
- [17] D. V. Senthikum ar, J. Kurths and M. Lakshm anan, Chaos 19, 023107 (2009).
- [18] D.V. Senthilkum ar and M. Lakshm anan, Int. J. B ifurcation and Chaos 15, 2895 (2005); P.Thangavel, K.Murali, and M. Lakshm anan, Int. J. B ifurcation and Chaos 8, 2481 (1998).
- [19] D. V. Senthikum ar, M. Lakshm anan, and J. Kurths, Phys. Rev. E 74, 035205 (R) (2006); Chaos 18, 023118 (2008).
- [20] M.Chen, and J.Kurths, Phys. Rev. E 76, 036212 (2007).
- [21] M. Zochowski, Physica D 145, 181 (2000); C.D.Li, X.
   F.Liao, and T.W. Huang, Chaos 17, 013103 (2007); T.
   W. Huang, C.D.Li, and X.Z.Liu, Chaos 18, 033122 (2008).