## Trigonal warping and Berry's phase N in ABC -stacked multilayer graphene

M ikito Koshino<sup>1</sup> and Edward McCann<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YB, UK

The electronic band structure of ABC-stacked multilayer graphene is studied within an elective m ass approximation. The electron and hole bands touching at zero energy support chiral quasiparticles characterized by Berry's phase N for N-layers, generalizing the low-energy band structure of m onolayer and bilayer graphene. We investigate the trigonal-warping deformation of the energy bands and show that the Lifshitz transition, in which the Fermi circle breaks up into separate parts at low energy, rejects Berry's phase N. It is particularly prominent in trilayers, N = 3, with the Fermi circle breaking into three parts at a relatively large energy that is related to next-nearest-layer coupling. For N = 3, we study the electric eld, as well as opening an energy gap, strongly enhances the trigonal-warping elect. In magnetic elds, the N = 3 Lifshitz transition is manifested as a coalescence of Landau levels into triply-degenerate levels.

PACS num bers: 71.20.-b,81.05.Uw,73.63.-b,73.43.Cd.

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

Soon after the fabrication of individual graphene akes a few years ago [1], it was realized that low energy quasiparticles in graphene are chiral, with a linear dispersion and degree of chirality characterized by Berry's phase in m onolayer graphene [2, 3] and quadratic dispersion related to Berry's phase 2 in bilayers [4, 5]. In addition to their degree of chirality, bilayers are distinguished from m onolayers by the possibility of using doping or external gates to induce interlayer asym m etry that opens a tunable gap between the conduction and valence bands [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11], as observed in transport [12, 13] and spectroscopic m easurem ents [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

Recently, there has been experim ental interest in the transport properties of trilayer graphene [20, 21, 22]. It is expected that two di erent types of stacking order, ABA and ABC (illustrated in Fig. 1), will be realized in nature and that electronic properties will depend strongly on the stacking type. For ABA -stacked trilayer graphene, the low-energy electronic band structure consists of separate monolayer-like and bilayer-like bands [6, 7, 10, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] that become hybridized in the presence of interlayer asym m etry [7, 26]. By contrast, the low -energy bands of ABC -stacked trilayers [7, 10, 23, 28] do not resemble those of m on olayers or bilayers, but appear to be a cubic generalization of them . Thus, there is a cubic dispersion relation and chirality related to Berry's phase 3 [7, 29, 30], and, as in bilayers, the application of interlayer asymmetry is predicted to open an energy gap in the spectrum [7, 10].

In this paper, we show that the low -energy band structure of ABC -stacked multilayer graphene is not just a straightforward generalization of that of monolayers and bilayers. We focus on a particular aspect of the band structure, trigonal warping, which plays a crucial role in the low -energy band structure. Trigonal warping is a deform ation of the Ferm i circle around a degeneracy point

[31], at each of two inequivalent corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone that are known as K points [32] [Fig.1(b)]. In bilayer graphene, trigonal warping is enhanced by the interlayer coupling and leads to a Lifshitz transition [33] when the Fermiline about each K point is broken into severalpockets [5, 24, 29, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]. Here, we develop an e ective Ham iltonian for ABC -stacked trilayer graphene, to show that trigonal warping in it is both qualitatively and quantitatively di erent from that in bilayers. The main contribution to trigonal warping arises from a di erent type of interlayer coupling that is m issing in bilayers and we predict that it leads to a Lifshitz transition at a much larger energy 10m eV, which is 10 tim es as large as in a bilayer. M oreover, on undergoing the Lifshitz transition, the Ferm i surface breaks into a di erent number of pockets re ecting Berry's phase 3 in contrast to 2 in bilayers. Here, we also generalize our approach to describe trigonal warping in general ABCstacked N -layer graphene, to show that Berry's phase N m an ifests itself in di erent characteristics of the Lifshitz transition.

In the next Section, we describe the e ective mass m odel of ABC -stacked trilayer graphene and the resulting band structure. Then, in Section III, we derive an e ective low-energy H am iltonian and we use it to com pare the behavior of low-energy chiral quasiparticles in trilayers with those in monolayer and bilayer graphene. In Section IV, we provide an approximate analytical description of the Lifshitz transition in the absence and in the presence of interlayer asymmetry that opens a gap in the spectrum . Section V describes the manifestation of the Lifshitz transition in the degeneracy of Landau levels in the presence of a nite magnetic eld. In Section VI, we generalize our approach to ABC-stacked N layer graphene. Throughout, we com pare the approxim ate description of the e ective low -energy H am iltonian with num erical diagonalization of the full e ective mass m odel.



FIG. 1: (a) Schem atic of the ABC-stacked trilayer lattice containing six sites in the unit cell, A (white circles) and B (black circles) on each layer, showing the Slonczew skiW eiss-M cC lure parameterization [39] of relevant couplings  $_0$  to  $_4$ . (b) Schem atic of the hexagonal Brillouin zone with two inequivalent valleys K showing the momentum p measured from the center of valley K + . Schem atic of the unit cell of (c) ABC-stacked trilayer graphene, (d) ABA-stacked trilayer graphene. In (c),  $_2$  describes a vertical coupling between sites B 3 and A 1 in di erent unit cells.

# II. THE EFFECTIVE MASS MODEL OF ABC -STACKED TRILAYER GRAPHENE

The lattice of ABC -stacked trilayer graphene consists of three coupled layers, each with carbon atom s arranged on a honeycomb lattice, including pairs of inequivalent sites fA1;B1g, fA2;B2g, and fA3;B3g in the bottom, center, and top layers, respectively. The layers are arranged as shown in Fig. 1 (a,c), such that pairs of sites B1 and A2, and B2 and A3, lie directly above or below each other [for com parison, the unit cell of ABA-stacked graphene is shown in Fig. 1 (d)]. In order to write down an e ectivem ass H am iltonian, we adapt the Slonczew ski-Weiss-McClure parameterization of tight-binding couplings of bulk graphite [39]. Nearest-neighbor (A i-B i for i = f1;2;3q) coupling within each layer is described by param eter 0, 1 describes strong nearest-layer coupling between sites (B1-A2 and B2-A3) that lie directly above or below each other,  $_3$  ( $_4$ ) describes weaker nearestlayer coupling between sites A1-B2 and A2-B3 (A1-A2, B1-B2, A2-A3, and B2-B3). With only these couplings, there would be a degeneracy point at each of two inequivalent corners, K , of the hexagonal B rillouin zone [32] but this degeneracy is broken by next-nearest-layer coupling 2, between sites A1 and B3 that lie on the sam e vertical line [10, 23, 28]. For typical values of bulk

ABA graphite we quote  $[39]_0 = 3.16eV$ ,  $_1 = 0.39eV$ ,  $_2 = 0.020eV$ ,  $_3 = 0.315eV$  and  $_4 = 0.044eV$ . A lthough the atom ic structures of ABA and ABC (rhom – bohedral) graphite are di erent, we refer to those values in the following num erical calculations, assuming that the corresponding coupling parameters have similar values [40].

In a basis with atom ic components  $_{A1}$ ,  $_{B1}$ ,  $_{A2}$ ,  $_{B2}$ ,  $_{A3}$ ,  $_{B3}$ , the ABC-stacked trilayer H am iltonian [7, 28, 40, 41] is

where the 2 2 blocks are

7

$$D_{i} = \begin{array}{c} U_{i} & v^{y} \\ v & U_{i} \end{array} \quad (i = 1;2;3); \qquad (2)$$

$$V = \begin{array}{cccc} v_{1} & y & v_{3} \\ & & & \\ 1 & v_{1} & y \end{array}; \quad W = \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & {}_{2}=2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}; \quad (3)$$

where  $v = (\overline{3}=2)a_0 = v$ ,  $v_3 = (\overline{3}=2)a_3 = v$ ,  $v_4 = (\overline{3}=2)a_4 = v$ ,  $= p_1 + ip_y$ ,  $y = p_x - ip_y$ , and = 1 is the valley index. Here  $p = (p_x; p_y) = p(\cos s; \sin s)$  is the momentum measured with respect to the center of the valley [Fig. 1(b)]. The parameters  $U_1, U_2$ , and  $U_3$  describe on-site energies of the atom s on the three layers that m ay be di erent ow ing the presence of substrates, doping, or external gates. In the following, we set the average on-site energy to zero  $U_1 + U_2 + U_3 = 0$  and write di erences between the on-site energies in term s of asymmetry parameters 1 and 2 [26],

$$_{1} = (U_{1} \quad U_{3}) = 2;$$
  
 $_{2} = (U_{1} \quad 2U_{2} + U_{3}) = 6:$ 

Parameter  $_1$  describes a possible asymmetry between the energies of the outer layers, whereas  $_2$  takes into account the possibility that the energy of the central layer may dier from the average outer layer energy.

As there are six atoms in the unit cell, ABC-stacked trilayer graphene has six electronic bands at low energy as plotted in Fig. 2. For no interlayer asymmetry  $_1 = _2 = 0$ , and exactly at the K point, p = 0, the eigenvalues of Hamiltonian Eq. (1) are given by (<sup>2</sup>  $\binom{2}{1}^{2}$  (<sup>2</sup>  $\frac{2}{2}=4$ ) = 0. Four of the bands are split away from zero energy by interlayer coupling  $_1$  ( =  $_1$ twice). These high-energy bands correspond to dimer states form ed primarily from orbitals on the atom ic sites B1, A2, B2, and A3 that are strongly coupled by  $_1$ . The other two bands ( = $_2=2$ ) are split slightly away from zero energy by next-nearest layer coupling  $_2=2$  that connects atom ic sites A1 and B3 [10, 23, 28].

Figure 2 shows the band structure at several 1's with 2 = 0, using the parameter values quoted above. 1 opens an energy gap between the lower electron and hole bands, because of the energy di erence between A1 and



FIG.2: Band dispersion of ABC-stacked trilayer graphene in the vicinity of K  $_{\rm +}$  along  $p_x$  axis. Parameter values are  $_0$  = 3:16eV,  $_1$  = 0:39eV,  $_2$  = 0:020eV,  $_3$  = 0:315eV and  $_4$  = 0:044eV [39].

B 3 sites [7, 10]. Figure 3 shows contour plots of the low er electron band at (a)  $_1 = _1 = 0$  and (b) 0.4, showing that the band is trigonally warped, and the contour splits into three pockets at low energy. The detailed band structure and its relation to the band parameters will be studied in the following sections.

## III. THE LOW ENERGY EFFECTIVE HAM ILTONIAN

To describe the low-energy electronic properties of ABC-stacked trilayer graphene it is useful to derive an e ective two-com ponent H am iltonian that describes hopping between atom ic sites A1 and B3. Such a procedure has been applied to bilayer graphene [5] and to ABCstacked trilayer graphene [7] for  $_3 = _2 = _2 = 0$ . We begin with the energy eigenvalue equation H =of the six-component Ham iltonian, Eq. (1), elim inate the dimercomponents =  $(B_1; A_2; B_2; A_3)^T$  and, then, sim plify the expressions for low -energy com ponents  $\begin{pmatrix} A_1; B_3 \end{pmatrix}^T$  by treating interlayer coupling 1 as a large energy scale  $j j v p; j_2 j; j_3 j; j_4 j; j_1 j; j_2 j$  $_1.Wede$ note h as the diagonal block of H am iltonian of Eq. (1)corresponding to , h as the four by four diagonal block corresponding to , and u as the o -diagonal 2 4 block coupling and . The Schrodinger equation for can be  $uh^{1}u^{y}] =$ expanded up to rst order in as [h S 1+ uh<sup>2</sup>u<sup>y</sup>. Then, the e ective H am iltonian for w ith S  $\sim = S^{1=2}$  becomes H<sup>(e)</sup> S<sup>1=2</sup> h  $uh^{1}u^{y} lS^{1=2}$ .

Thus, we nd the following two-component Ham ilto-



FIG.3: (a) Equi-energy contour plots of the lowest electron band of ABC trilayer graphene at (a)  $_1 = 0$  and (b) 0:4  $_1$ . Numbers on the contours indicate energy in units of  $_1$ . Filled and empty triangles represent local minima and maxima, respectively, of the energy band.

nian in a basis of the A1-B3 sites:

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{ABC}^{(e)} &= \hat{H}_{3} + \hat{H}_{3w} + \hat{H}_{3c} + \hat{H}_{1} + \hat{H}_{2}; \quad (4) \\ \hat{H}_{3BC}^{2} &= \frac{v^{3}}{2} \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 & v^{3} \\ \frac{v^{3}}{2} & 0 & v^{3} \\ \frac{v^{3}}{2} & \frac{v^{3}}{2} & \frac{v^{3}}{2} \\ \hat{H}_{3w}^{2} &= \frac{2vv_{3}p^{2}}{1} + \frac{2}{2} \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & i \\ \end{array} \\ \hat{H}_{3c}^{2} &= \frac{2vv_{4}p^{2}}{2} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ \end{array} \\ \hat{H}_{1}^{2} &= \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & \frac{v^{2}p^{2}}{2} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ \end{array} \\ \hat{H}_{2}^{2} &= \begin{array}{c} 2 & 1 & \frac{3v^{2}p^{2}}{2} & \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ \end{array} \\ \end{split}$$

Here we keep only the leading order for the term s including  $_2$ ,  $v_3$  and  $v_4$ . Term s  $\hat{H}_3$  and  $\hat{H}_1$  were derived in Ref. [7]. The cubic term  $\hat{H}_3$  describes e ective hopping between sites A 1 and B 3 via the other sites on the lattice

that are strongly coupled by  $_1$ . Taken on its own, it produces a dispersion =  $\sqrt[3]{p^3} = \frac{2}{1}$ .  $\hat{H}_{3w}$  arises from the skewed interlayer coupling 3 and the next-nearest interlayer coupling 2, and is responsible for trigonal warping as discussed in detail later. H<sub>3c</sub>, com ing from another interlayer coupling 4, gives an identical curvature to the electron and hole bands and thus introduces electronhole asymmetry. Terms  $\hat{H}_1$ ,  $\hat{H}_2$  arise from the interlayer asymmetries  $_1$  and  $_2$ , respectively.  $\hat{H}_1$  leads to the opening of an energy gap between the conduction and valence bands, while  $\hat{H}_2$  produces electronhole asymmetry in a similar way as  $\hat{H_{3c}}$  . In the twocom ponent basis of  $\hat{H}_{A\,B\,C}^{\;(e)}$  , tim e reversal is described by  $\hat{H}$  (p; 1; ) =  $\hat{H}$  ( p; 1; ) and spatial inversion by  $_{x}\hat{H}$  (p; 1; )  $_{x} = \hat{H}$  ( p; 1; ). Manes et al (29] showed that the Ferm ipoints of ABC -stacked multilayers are stable with respect to the opening of a gap against perturbations that respect combined time reversal and spatial inversion, as well as translation invariance.

The low energy e ective H am iltonian for ABC -stacked trilayer graphene bears som e resem blance to that of bilayer graphene [5]. In the lattice of bilayer graphene, F ig. 1 (e), two of the sites (B 1 and A 2) are directly above or below each other and are strongly coupled by interlayer coupling  $_1$  whereas two sites (A 1 and B 2) do not have a counterpart in the other layer. The low energy H am iltonian is written in a basis (A 1; B 2) of these two sites:

$$\hat{H}_{AB}^{(e)} = \hat{H}_{2} + \hat{H}_{2w} + \hat{H} ;$$
(5)  

$$\hat{H}_{2} = \frac{v^{2}}{1} \frac{0}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{0} ;$$

$$\hat{H}_{2w} = v_{3} \frac{0}{v_{0}} ;$$

$$\hat{H}_{2c} = \frac{2vv_{4}p^{2}}{\frac{2}{1}} \frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{1} ;$$

$$\hat{H} = 1 \frac{2v^{2}p^{2}}{\frac{2}{1}} \frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{1} ;$$

where parameter describes interlayer asymmetry between on-site energy of the atoms, A1 and B1, on the rst layer and of the atoms, A2 and B2, on the second layer.

The rst term in each Ham iltonian,  $\hat{H}_2$  for bilayers, Eq. (5), and  $\hat{H}_3$  for ABC-stacked trilayers, Eq. (4), are members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = F(p)$  members of a family of Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J = 0$  is a bilayer, and, here, J = 3 in ABC-stacked trilayer. Quasiparticles described by the Ham iltonians  $\hat{H}_J$  acquire a Berry's phase  $i_c dp = h j_p j i = J$ , upon an adiabatic propagation along an equi-energetic line C. Thus charge carriers in ABC-stacked trilayer graphene are Berry's phase 3 quasiparticles, in contrast to Berry's phase particles in monolayers, 2 in bilayers. As well as the statem in the Hamiltonian Eq. (4) of ABC -trilayers being a generalization of that in bilayers, the in uence of interlayer asymmetry  $_1 = (U_1 \quad U_3)=2$  as described by  $\hat{H}_1$  is similar to that in bilayers as described by  $\hat{H}_2$ , Eq. (5).

# IV. TRIGONAL WARPING AND THE LIFSHITZ TRANSITION

In a similar way to bulk graphite [39], the parameter  $_3$ [where  $v_3 = (3=2)a_3=~$ ] produces trigonal warping in bilayer graphene [5], where the equi-energetic line around each valley is stretched in three directions. This is due to the interference of the matrix elements connecting A 1 and B 2, where an electron hopping from A 1 to B 2 acquires a factor  $e^{2i}$  in  $\hat{H}_2$  and  $e^{i}$  in  $\hat{H}_{2w}$ . We neglect the term s including  $v_4$  which add a term /  $p^2$  to the energy but don't contribute to trigonal warping. At = 0, the eigenenergy of Eq. (5) is given by

s 
$$\frac{1}{v_3^2 p^2} 2 \frac{v_3 v^2 p^3}{1} \cos 3 + \frac{v^4 p^4}{\frac{2}{1}}$$
: (6)

The warping has a dram atic e ect when  $\hat{H}_2$  and  $\hat{H}_{2w}$  have comparable am plitudes, i.e.,  $v^2p^2 = 1$  vsp, which is satis ed at p  $p_0 = 1v_3 = v^2$ . It leads to a Lifshitz transition [5, 24, 29, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38], in which the equi-energetic line is broken into four separate pockets. There is one central pocket located around p = 0 and, three \leg" pockets centered atmomentum of magnitude  $p = p_0$  and angle  $_0 = 2n = 3 + (1) = 6$ . The Ferm i pocket separation occurs at energy  $_L = (v_3 = v)^2 = 4$ , which is estimated to be  $_L = 1m \, eV$ .

In ABC-stacked trilayer graphene, there is a similar, but much greater warping e ect. In hopping from A1 to B3, an electron acquires a factor  $e^{3i}$  from  $\hat{H}_3$  and a factor of unity from  $\hat{H}_{3w}$ , giving trigonal symmetry in . At  $_1 = _2 = 0$ , the eigenenergy of Eq. (4) reads,

$$q = \frac{1}{f(p)^2 + 2 f(p)g(p)\cos 3 + g(p)^2}; \quad (7)$$

where  $f(p) = v^3 p^3 = \frac{2}{1}$  comes from  $\hat{H}_3$  and  $g(p) = 2vy_3 p^2 = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{2}{2} = 2$  from  $\hat{H}_{3w}$ . Similarly to the bilayer, the warping e ect is prominent when jg(p)j = f(p), or  $p = p_0$  with  $vp_0 = \frac{1}{1} = [\frac{2}{2} = (2 + \frac{1}{2})]^{1-3}$  ( $y_3 = v = v = 3$ . This estimate is valid as long as  $jy_3 = v \neq j = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ , which holds for typical parameter values of bulk graphite [39].

The major di erence from the bilayer is the contribution of the parameter  $_2=2$ , which appears in the H am iltonian without an accompanying momentum-dependent factor and, thus, it doesn't vanish at p = 0. Such trigonal warping produces a Lifshitz transition at low energy, but, unlike bilayers, it occurs at energy  $_L$  j<sub>2</sub>=2j. A lthough the value of  $_2$  in ABC-trilayer graphene has not been measured experimentally, comparison with similar couplings in bulk graphite [39] suggest that j<sub>2</sub>j 20m eV. This opens up the possibility that the Lifshitz transition in ABC-trilayer graphene could occur at a much higher energy than that in bilayers. At energy low er than  $j_2 \neq 2$ , the contour splits into three leg pockets centered at p p in a trigonal manner. Unlike bilayer graphene, the central pocket is missing because  $\hat{H}_2$  does not vanish at p = 0.

An e ective H am iltonian in the vicinity of the leg pockets, for j j  $_{L}$ , may be obtained by transform ing to momentum  $q = (q_{x}; q_{y})$  measured from their centers,

$$q_x = p_x \cos_0 + p_y \sin_0 p_i;$$
 (8)

$$q_y = p_x \sin_0 + p_y \cos_0; \qquad (9)$$

and taking the limit of in nitely large  $_1$ :

$$\hat{H}_{ABC}^{leg} = 3v \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$$

where =  $1 + (4v_3=3v)(2_1=2)^{1=3}$ . Thus, the pockets are <u>elliptical</u> with dispersion

 $3j_2=(2_1)j^{2=3}v$   $^2q_x^2 + q_y^2$ . The di erent nature of the Lifshitz transition in bilayers and ABC-stacked trilayers is a manifestation of Berry's phase. In trilayer graphene, the geometrical phase integrated around the equi-energy line of each pocket is as in a monolayer, giving 3 in total. This is di erent from bilayers, where 3 arises from three leg pockets and from the center pocket gives 2 in total 29, 37].

Interlayer asymmetry  $_1$  opens a gap in the spectrum and produces a M exican hat feature in the low-energy dispersion. [7] The eigenenergy corresponding to Eq. (4) is given by

$$q = \frac{1}{(p)^2 + 2 f(p)g(p)\cos 3 + g(p)^2 + h(p)^2}; (11)$$

with an extra term as compared to Eq. (7),  $h(p) = \frac{1}{1}(1 \quad \sqrt[4]{p^2} = \frac{2}{1})$ , coming from  $\hat{H}_{-1}$ . For no trigonal warping g(p) = 0, it yields  $2 = \frac{2}{1}(1 \quad \sqrt[4]{p^2} = \frac{2}{1})^2 + v^6 p^6 = \frac{4}{1}$ . The energy is  $= \frac{1}{1}$  at zero momentum, but there is a minimal located isotropically about the center of the valley at nite momentum  $p = p_1$  (2=3)<sup>1=4<sup>1</sup></sup>  $j_{-1-1}j=v$  (for  $j_{-1}j_{-1}j_{-1}j$ ) at which the energy is  $= \frac{1}{1}$ 

In the presence of trigonal warping, there is an interplay between the M exican hat feature and the Lifshitz transition. In the large gap regime, such that jgj f;h, the circular edge of the band bottom is trigonally distorted by the perturbation of g(p), making three pockets on it. The bottom of the pockets moves to momentum  $p = p_1 + p$  with v p = 1 ( $\overline{6}=8$ )[ $_2=(2 \ 1$ )] ( $\overline{5}=6$ ) ( $v_5=v$ ), and energy  $= 1 \ 1 \ with \ 1 = (2=3)^{3=4} \frac{p}{1=1} \ 2=2 \ \frac{p}{8=3}(v_3=v) \ 1$ .

The area of the pocket in k-space becomes of the order of  $p_1 p_1$ , and the depth in energy is of order  $_1$ , both of which increase as  $_1$  increases. This signi cant enlargement of the trigonal pockets, in the presence of nite  $_1$ ,

is illustrated in Figure 3 which is produced by numerical diagonalization of the fullH am iltonian Eq. (1). Note that sim ilarwidening of the pockets by the gap term occurs in bilayer graphene as well. This can be understood in an analogous way, by writing f (p) =  $v^2p^2 = 1$ , g(p) =  $v_3p$ , and h (p) =  $(1 \quad 2v^2p^2 = \frac{1}{2})$ .

### V. LANDAU LEVEL SPECTRUM

The energy levels in a magnetic eld are given by replacing p with p + eA in the Hamiltonian Eq. (1), where A (r) is the vector potential corresponding to the magnetic eld. Here we consider a uniform magnetic eld B applied along + z direction in a Landau gauge A = (0;Bx). Operators and <sup>y</sup> are then related to raising and lowering operators  $a^{y}$  and a of the Landau level in a conventional two-dimensional system, such that  $[l_{B} = (2^{-})]^{y} = \frac{a^{y}}{-(eB)}$ . The operator a acts as  $a'_{n;k} = \frac{p_{n-1;k}}{n'_{n-1;k}}$ , and  $a'_{0} = 0$ , where  $'_{n;k}(x;y) / e^{iky}e^{z^{2}=2}H_{n}(z)$  is the wavefunction of the nth Landau level in a conventional two-dimensional system with  $z = (x + kl_{B}^{2}) = l_{B}$ , and  $H_{n}$  being a Herm ite polynomial.

In the sim plest model including only  $_0$  and  $_1$  without trigonal warping, the elective H am iltonian  $\hat{H}_3$  in Eq. (4) yields the eigenstates for K  $_+$  [7]

$$n = 0; \quad nk / \begin{pmatrix} n,k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (n = 0;1;2);$$

$$sn = s - \frac{3}{2} p \frac{9}{n(n-1)(n-2)}$$

$$snk / \begin{pmatrix} n,k \\ s',n-3;k \end{pmatrix} (n-3); (12)$$

where s = 1 describes the electron and hole levels, respectively,  $_{\rm B}$  =  $2 \cdot v^2 \, {\rm eB}$ . The eigenstates n = 0;1;2 have a non-zero am plitude only on the rst elem ent (A1), and rem ain at zero energy regardless of the magnetic eld strength, while the energy of the other levels behaves as / B<sup>3=2</sup>. At the other valley K , there is a sim ilar structure except that the rst and second elem ents are interchanged, i.e., the zero-energy Landau levels have am plitudes only on sites B 3 [7].

Trigonal warping gives a remarkable feature in the structure of Landau levels. In enough small edds, the three leg pockets independently accommodate an equal number of Landau levels so that they are triply degenerate. This is in contrast to bilayer graphene where the central pocket also contributes to the degeneracy [5]. The low-energy elective Hamiltonian, Eq. (10), shows that the Landau level energy follows a similar sequence as that in monolayer graphene,  $n = 3j_2=(2_1)j^{2-3}P_{--} B \operatorname{sgn}(n)^{4}\overline{n}$  where n is integer. The total number of Landau levels accommodated in each pocket is roughly estimated by the condition  $"_n j_2 j=2$ , as n  $(1 = B)^2 [2 = (2_1)j^{2-3} = (9_1)$ .

Fig. 4 (a) show s the Landau level spectrum at the valley  $\frac{1}{2}$  $K_{+}$  as a function of  $_{B}$  (/ B), num erically calculated for the full parameter model Eq. (1) at  $_1 = _2 = 0$ . Below =  $_2$ =2, the Landau levels are triply degenerate and move in proportion to  $\overline{B}$ . The degeneracy of each level is broken at = 2=2, and it splits into three separate levels, corresponding to coalescence of the leg pockets at the Lifshitz transition. At even higher energy, it approaches B<sup>3=2</sup> behavior as described in Eq. (12). The triply degenerate level around zero energy is regarded as the n = 0 level in each of three pockets. In actual fact, its degeneracy is split slightly in a large magnetic eld, owing to magnetic break down among the sem iclassical orbits in the leg pockets, which is caused by the parameter  $v_4$ . When the trigonal warping vanishes, those three levels switch to the degenerate levels with indices n = 0;1;2 in Eq. (12).

Fig. 4 (b) shows the Landau level spectrum at K  $_{+}$  as a function of asymmetry  $_{1}$  with xed magnetic eld  $_{B} = 0.1 _{1}$  (B  $_{1}$ T).As  $_{1}$  is changed from negative to positive, three Landau levels [indicated by the single diagonal line that crosses = 0 at  $_{1} = 0$  in Fig. 4 (b)] are pumped from the hole side to the electron side. In the approximate m odel of Eq. (12), this corresponds to the fact that the energy levels n = 0;1;2 have a wave am plitude only on A1, so that it acquires on-site energy +  $_{1}$ in the rst order of perturbation. At the other valley K , there is the opposite m ovem ent, i.e., the three levels go down from positive to negative energies in increasing 1.

The energy of the Lifshitz transition appears as a region where the levels are densely populated, and below that energy the levels are triply degenerated [indicated by the shaded region in F ig. 4 (b)]. It should be noted that the num ber of triply-degenerate levels increases for larger 1, re ecting the enlargem ent of the trigonal pockets discussed above. In a measurem ent of H all conductivity, those triply-degenerate Landau levels would be observed as quantum H all steps of magnitude  $3g_v g_s e^2 = h$ , where  $g_v = g_s = 2$  are the valley and spin degeneracies, respectively.

### VI. GENERAL ABC-STACKED MULTILAYER GRAPHENE

The analysis of ABC -stacked trilayer graphene can be extended to multilayers with N layers. We consider each layer to consist of carbon atom s on a honeycom b lattice, and the layers are arranged with ABC stacking. The Ham iltonian is written in a basis  $_{A1}$ ,  $_{B1}$ ,  $_{A2}$ ,  $_{B2}$ ,



FIG. 4: Landau levels of ABC trilayer graphene, plotted against (a)  $B^{1=2}$  at xed  $_1 = 0$ , and (b)  $_1$  at xed magnetic eld  $(2 \sim v^2 eB)^{1=2} = 0:1_1$  (B 1T). The region in which Landau levels are triply degenerate is highlighted by shading.

where the 2 2 blocks  $D_i$ , V, W are de ned in Eqs. (2,3). Pairs of sites B (i) and A (i+1) (i = 1; ;N 1) are vertically above or below each other, and are strongly coupled by  $_1$  giving dimer states. Thus, all the sites in the lattice, except two, contribute to bands that lie away from zero energy. The remaining two sites,  $A_1$ and  $B_N$ , form the lowest-energy electron and hole bands. Note that these sites lie on the outer layers, so that the lowest bands are missing in an in nite system with periodic boundary conditions applied in the stacking direction. The band structure has trigonal symmetry for any N. This is checked by applying the transform ation ! + 2 = 3 to Eq. (13), where the change in the matrix elements can be canceled by the gauge transform ation  $\tilde{A}_{\rm An} = {}_{\rm An}$  and  $\tilde{}_{\rm Bn} = {}_{\rm n} {}_{\rm Bn}$ , with  ${}_{\rm n} = {\rm e}^{{\rm i} 2n} {}^{=3}$ .

The elective low-energy H am iltonian is obtained by treating terms other than  $_1$  as perturbations. The effective H am iltonian in a basis f  $_{A1}$ ,  $_{BN}$  g reads

$$\hat{H}_{N}^{(e)} = \begin{array}{c} 0 & X(p) \\ X^{y}(p) & 0 \end{array} + \frac{2vv_{4}p^{2}}{\frac{2}{1}} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} ; \\ X(p) = \begin{array}{c} X & \frac{(n_{1} + n_{2} + n_{3})!}{n_{1} \ln_{2} \ln_{3}!} \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ (1)^{n_{1} + n_{2} + n_{3} - 1} \end{array} \\ (vpe^{i} \quad )^{n_{1}} (v_{3}pe^{-i} \quad )^{n_{2}} \quad \begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{2} \end{array} ;$$
 (14)

where the summation is taken over positive integers which satisfy  $n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = N$ . Here we collected all the higher order terms not including  $v_4$ , but retain just the leading term for  $v_4$ . The trigonalwarping structure can be described well in this treatment as shown below, since  $v_4$  only gives the circularly-symmetric band curvature as in ABC trilayer.

The eigenenergies are given by " =  $2vv_4p^2 = \frac{2}{1}$ X (p)j. If we neglect 2 and  $v_3$ , we have X =  $(vpe^{i})^{N} = (1)^{N}^{1}$  which gives a pair of bands, isotropic in m om entum, which touch at the origin [5, 7], 29, 30]. Berry's phase integrated along an energy conat every energy. Perturbation by 2 and tour is N  $v_3$  produces trigonal warping as observed in the trilayer. F igure 5 shows the low erenergy band structure for = 0at several N 's, where the solid lines are calculated using the original H am iltonian Eq. (13), and the dashed lines use Eq. (14). We can see that the e ective Ham iltonian reproduces the original band structure rather well including the positions of the band touching points, except that the magnitude in energy tends to be overestim ated around vp  $_1$  where the perturbative approach fails.

The band touching points, or D irac points, are given by the solution of X (p) = 0. They appear in a series of p's at only three angles  $_0 = 2n = 3+ (1 ) = 6$ , and around which the H am iltonian has a chiral structure sim ilar to m onolayer graphene. We empirically found that the arrangement of these points obeys the following rules: We have [(N + 1)=3] D irac points at  $p \in 0$  at each of three angles, and each of them has Berry's phase . Here [x] represents the greatest integer which does not exceed x. The D irac point at the center (p = 0) only appears when N is not a multiple of 3, and its Berry's phase is

and when N 1 and 1 (m od 3) respectively. The total Berry's phase sum med over all D irac points is always N , the same as the value without trigonal warping. The energy scale for ne structure around the D irac points becomes smaller as N increases, because the



FIG. 5: Low-energy band structure of ABC-stacked multilayer graphene for several di erent layer numbers N, at  $_1 = _2 = 0$ . Solid and dashed curves are calculated using Eq. (13) and its approximation Eq. (14), respectively. Insets show the equi-energy lines at  $= 0.04_1$ . The black and white arrows (circles in insets) represent D irac points having Berny's phase and , respectively.

m atrix elements connecting  $A_1$  and  $B_N$  become higher order in p for larger N. We see that N=3 has the most prom inent structure, where  $_2$  directly connects  $A_1$  and  $B_N$ . The parameter  $v_4$  never opens a gap at the D irac points but gives an energy shift by  $2vv_4p^2=\frac{2}{1}$  and associated band curvature, leading to m isalignment of the D irac point energies as shown in Fig.5. The curvature is independent of N because it is due to the second order process from  $A_1$  or  $B_N$  to the nearest-neighboring dimer state.

The approach applied to the Landau levels of the trilayer in Sec.V can be extended to the N -layer case. In the simplest model including only  $_0$  and  $_1$ , the Landau

$$n = 0; \quad nk / \int_{0}^{n;k} (n = 0;1; ;N = 1);$$

$$sn = s \frac{\sum_{B}^{N} p}{(N-1)} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} (n = N \stackrel{9}{\geq} + 1)$$

$$snk / \int_{0}^{1} \int_{n;k}^{n;k} (n = N);$$

with s = 1. The rst and second elements are again interchanged at the other valley K . The zero-energy level is now N -fold degenerate per valley and per spin [7, 29, 30]. In presence of trigonal warping and  $v_4$ , how - ever, this is expected to split in accordance with the discrepancy between the energies of di erent D irac points shown in Fig. 5 for B = 0, while some levels keep three-fold degeneracy ow ing to trigonal sym m etry as in the trilayer case. It is possible that electronic interactions m ay create exotic collective m odes in such highly-degenerate Landau levels, but we leave the discussion of this for future studies.

#### VII. CONCLUSIONS

In ABC-stacked multilayer graphene with N layers, two low-energy bands in the vicinity of each valley are

- K.S.Novoselov, A.K.Geim, S.V.Morozov, D.Jiang, Y.Zhang, S.V.Dubonos, I.V.Grigorieva, A.A.Firsov, Science 306, 666 (2004).
- [2] K.S.Novoselov, A.K.Geim, S.V.Morozov, D.Jiang,
   M.I.Katsnelson, I.V.Grigorieva, S.V.Dubonos, and
   A.Firsov, Nature 438, 197 (2005).
- [3] Y.Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H.L.Storm er, and P.K in, Nature 438, 201 (2005).
- [4] K.S.Novoselov, E.McCann, S.V.Morozov, V.I.Falko, M.I.Katsnelson, U.Zeitler, D.Jiang, F.Schedin, and A.K.Geim, Nat. Phys. 2, 177 (2006).
- [5] E.M & ann and V.I.Falko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086805 (2006).
- [6] C.L.Lu, C.P.Chang, Y.C.Huang, R.B.Chen, and M.L.Lin, Phys. Rev. B 73, 144427 (2006).
- [7] F.Guinea, A.H.Castro N eto, and N.M.R.Peres, Phys. Rev.B 73, 245426 (2006).
- [8] E.M cCann, Phys. Rev. B 74, 161403 (R) (2006).
- [9] H.Min, B.R.Sahu, S.K.Banerjee, and A.H.MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155115 (2007).
- [10] M. Aoki and H. Amawashi, Solid State Commun. 142 123 (2007).
- [11] P.Gava, M.Lazzeri, A.M. Saitta and F.Mauri, Phys. Rev. B 79, 165431 (2009).
- [12] E. V. Castro, K. S. Novoselov, S. V. Morozov, N. M. R. Peres, J. M. B. Lopes dos Santos, Johan Nilsson, F. Guinea, A. K. Geim, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 216802 (2007).

form ed from two electronic orbitals that lie on the bottom and top layers of the system. Such bands support chiral quasiparticles corresponding to Berry's phase N [5, 7, 29, 30]. The interplay between di erent types of interlayer coupling produces trigonal warping, in which the Ferm i circle around each valley is stretched in three N); directions. At very low energy, trigonal warping leads to a Lifshitz transition [33] when the Ferm i circle breaks up into separate pockets, in such a way that the total (16) Berry's phase is conserved. We predict that the Lifshitz transition is particularly prom inent in trilayers, N = 3, with the Ferm i circle breaking into three parts at a relatively large energy that is related to next-nearest-layer coupling.

### VIII. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors thank T. Ando, V. I. Falko, and H. Schomerus for discussions. This project has been funded by EPSRC First G rant EP/E063519/1, the Royal Society, and the Daiwa Anglo-Japanese Foundation, and by G rants-in-Aid for Scienti c Research from the M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

- [13] J.B.Oostinga, H.B.Heersche, X.Liu, A.F.Morpurgo, and L.M.K.Vandersypen, Nat.Mater. 7, 151 (2007).
- [14] T. Ohta, A. Bostwick, T. Seyller, K. Hom, and E. Rotenberg, Science 313 (2006) 951.
- [15] Z.Q.Li, E.A. Henriksen, Z.Jiang, Z.Hao, M.C. Martin, P.K in, H.L.Stormer, and D.N.Basov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 037403 (2009).
- [16] L. M. Zhang, Z. Q. Li, D. N. Basov, M. M. Fogler, Z. Hao and M. C. Martin, Phys. Rev. B 78 235408 (2008).
- [17] A.B.Kuzmenko, E.van Heumen, D.van der Marel, P. Lerch, P.Blake, K.S.Novoselov, and A.K.Geim, Phys. Rev.B 79 115441 (2009).
- [18] Y.Zhang, T.-T.Tang, C.G irit, Z.Hao, M.C.Martin, A. Zettl, M.F.C rom mie, Y.R.Shen, and F.W ang, Nature 459, 820 (2009).
- [19] K. F. Mak, C. H. Lui, J. Shan and T. F. Heinz, arXiv:0905.0923
- [20] T. Ohta, A. Bostwick, J. L. McChesney, T. Seyller, K. Hom, and E. Rotenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 206802 (2007).
- [21] J.Guettinger, C.Stam pfer, F.Molitor, D.Graf, T.Ihn, and K.Ensslin, New J.Phys. 10, 125029 (2008).
- [22] M.F.Craciun, S.Russo, M.Yam am oto, J.B.O ostinga, A.F.M orpurgo, and S.Tarucha, Nat. Nanotechnol. 4, 383 (2009).
- [23] S. Latil and L. Henrard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 036803 (2006).
- [24] B. Partoens and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 74, 075404

(2006); ibid. 75, 193402 (2007).

- [25] M. Koshino and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B 76, 085425 (2007); ibid. 77, 115313 (2008).
- [26] M. Koshino and E. M cC ann, Phys. Rev. B 79 125443 (2009).
- [27] A. A. Avetisyan, B. Partoens and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 79, 035421 (2009).
- [28] C.-L.Lu, H.-C.Lin, C.-C.Hwang, J.W ang, M.-F.Lin, and C.-P.Chang, Appl Phys. Lett. 89, 221910 (2006).
- [29] J. L. M anes, F. Guinea, and M. A. Vozm ediano, Phys. Rev. B 75, 155424 (2007).
- [30] H.M in and A.H.M acD onald, Phys. Rev. B 77, 155416 (2008).
- [31] T. Ando, T. Nakanishi, and R. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 2857 (1998).
- [32] C omers of the hexagonal B rillouin zone are located at wave vector  $K = \frac{4}{3} a^{-1};0$ , where = 1 and a is the lattice constant.

- [33] L.M. Lifshitz, Zh. Exp. Teor. Fiz., 38, 1565 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP 11, 1130 (1960)]; A.A. Abrikosov, Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals. Elsevier, 1988.
- [34] M. Koshino and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B 73, 245403 (2006).
- [35] J.C serti, A.C sordas and G.D avid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 066802 (2007).
- [36] E.McCann, D.S.L.Abergeland V.I.Falko, Solid State Commun. 143, 110 (2007).
- [37] G.P.M ikitik and Y.Sharlai, Phys. Rev. B 77, 113407 (2008).
- [38] C. Toke and V. I. Falko, arX iv:0903.2435
- [39] M.S.D resselhaus and G.D resselhaus, Adv. Phys. 51, 1 (2002).
- [40] J.W .M cC lure, Carbon 7, 425 (1969).
- [41] D. P. A rovas and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B 78, 245416 (2008).