# Fam ilies of Canonical Transform ations by Ham ilton-Jacobi-Poincare equation. Application to Rotational and Orbital Motion

S.Ferrer and M.Lara<sup>y</sup>
April 2, 2024

#### A bstract

The Ham ilton-Jacobi equation in the sense of Poincare, i.e. formulated in the extended phase space and including regularization, is revisited building canonical transform ations with the purpose of Ham iltonian reduction. We illustrate our approach dealing with orbital and attitude dynamics. Based on the use of Whittaker and Andoyer symplectic charts, for which all but one coordinates are cyclic in the Ham ilton-Jacobi equation, we provide whole families of canonical transform ations, among which one recognizes the familiar ones used in orbital and attitude dynamics. In addition, new canonical transform ations are demonstrated.

### 1 Introduction

Transform ation of variables, including or not the change of time used as evolution param eter, is a basic tool in almost any problem of physics. A recent illustration of the usefulness of this technique, which original application goes back to Laplace and Euler, may be found in [19]. Changes of variables belong to Geometry and, therefore, do not depend on the physical system to which they may be applied. However, transform ations are commonly derived for specic purposes and, hence, they are tightly related to the particular problem they are applied to.

A renewed interest on transform ation of variables tailored to speci c problems has recently emerged in three areas. On one hand there is a continuous search form ore e cient numerical integrators demanded in many applied elds, ranging from research groups endowed with powerful computing facilities, to research involved in very long periods of time (see [5, 16] and references therein). On the other hand the Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) equation is receiving new attention in extended phase space, connecting this technique with the variational principles of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Mechanics (see [31] and references therein). Finally a third area, which has its roots in Poincare's [30] fundamental

D epartam ento de M atem atica Aplicada, U niversidad de M urcia, 30071 E spinardo, Spain

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>y}$ R eal O bservatorio de la A m ada, 11110 San Fernando, Spain

problem of dynamics, deals with Hamiltonian systems of the form  $H = H_0 + H_1$  where  $H_0$  de nest an integrable system, and  $H_1$  is considered a perturbation. The H-J equation is built from  $H_0$  by searching for a generating function such that the new canonical variables satisfy some requirements of perturbation theories (see, for instance, [37, 17]). The content of this paper focuses in this last area. Finally note that the H-J approach has been used by many authors, along the history of this equation, looking for variables leading to separability. We do not consider that problem as such, although it might be included.

There is a long tradition in Classical and Celestial M echanics  $\mid$  now adays a common ground in many other elds  $\mid$  of using both canonical transformations and changes of independent varible dubbed as regularization. The H-J equation is the usual approach, in which the canonical transformation is obtained from either a principal or a characteristic function [18].

The characteristic function approach does not rely on the vanishing of the new H am iltonian. It only requires a non-zero transform ed H am iltonian that is cyclic in all variables but depends on one or several m om enta. The adequate selection of the new H am iltonian will make the transform ation successful for speci c purposes. Thus, for instance, if one wants the transform ed H am iltonian to retain the topology of the original problem, probably several m om enta must be retained in the new H am iltonian. On the contrary, useful transform ations for perturbation theory m ay look for transform ed H am iltonians that depend only on one m om enta, thus sim plifying the subsequent application of perturbation methods (which, in turn, have limited application to speci c solutions as, for instance, quasi-periodic motion).

Sentences like \after several trials", which are frequent in the literature, m ight produce the impression that succeeding in the choice of the reduced H am iltonian is a matter of educated guess or alquim ia. However, the new H am iltonian does not need to be specified since the beginning and, quite on the contrary, it may be handled formally throughout the process of computing the transformation [35]. Therefore, H am iltonian problems solved by the H-J equation give rise to whole families of canonical transformations from which the selection of a particular one requires only the materialization of the new H am iltonian.

We propose to obtain canonical transform ations from a general form of a H  $\rightarrow$ J equation that is form ulated in the extended phase space. In principle, the only requirement imposed to the H am iltonian function (6) is that H  $_0$  has to be integrable. More precisely, the two families studied are presented using symplectic charts where the unperturbed part denes a 1-0.0 F. In other words, we only deal with canonical transformations derived from integrable H am iltonians that are cyclic in all but one variable, and perform two specical applications. In attitude dynamics, we not a family of transformations that

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ To make more clear our point from [35] (p. 412), where E denotes the Hamiltonian in the new variables, we quote \W e are still free to choose the functional form of E. A convenient (and conventional) choice is E (p<sub>0</sub>;p<sub>1</sub>;p<sub>2</sub>) =  $m^2 = (2p_0^2)$ . With this choice the momentum p<sub>0</sub> has dimensions of angular momentum, and the conjugate coordinate is an angle." As we will show this is not the only way of reasoning. Indeed, we arrive to the same choice when we impose the function called Kepler equation as one of the expressions dening the transformation. In other words, when the mean anomaly is chosen as one of the new coordinates. As Delaunay showed, in doing so he avoided the presence of mixed secular terms in this theory of the Moon. For a recent study on these variables from a geometric point of view the reader should consult [7]

a ords for complete reduction of the Euler-Poinsot problem. In this case, we check that Sadov's [32, 33], K inoshita's [24], D eprit's [12], and the recent Fukushim a's [17] reduced Ham iltonians are just particular cases of our family. Notably, our approach provides explicit transform ations from Sadov's and K inoshita's elements to Andoyer variables [3], thus overcoming the major objection to both sets of elements of being constructed through implicit relations. Besides, we show that other selections of the new Hamiltonian provide new canonical transformations that may help in simplifying perturbation algorithms in attitude dynamics.

In orbital dynam ics we obtain three di erent fam ilies of isochronal canonical transform ations | derived from Henon's isochronal central potential [21, 6] | each one linked with a di erent form of the regularizing function. From our fam ilies we recover a variety of canonical transform ations in the literature, ranging from historic ones like Delaunay [8], Levi-Civita [25, 26], or Hill [22], to the recent transform ation of Yanguas [37]. In addition, we show that the set of Delaunay elements yields the simplest transform ation of the isochronal fam ily that reduces the Ham iltonian of the two body problem to one momentum and, besides, preserves the original form of the Kepler equation.

The two charts used enjoy similar characteristics. Both express the unperturbed part of the Ham iltonian families as genuine 1-DOF systems due to the central and axial symmetries that both possess. They belong to the category of superintegrable systems, but not maximally superintegrable (see Fasso [14] and Ortega and Ratiu [29]); only for particular choices of the parameters both systems fall into this last category. Moreover, from the computational point of view, these charts introduce great simplication in intermediary expressions which, in turn, lead to a deep insight about each family. Many authors still rely on Eulerian variables when dealing with rotational dynamics (see for instance Marsden and Ratiu [28]), and spherical variables for orbital type motions (see Goldstein et al. [18], Jose and Saletan [23] and Sussman and Wisdom [35]).

In spite of the fact that the two families considered are independent and consequently, they are studied in a totally selfcontained manner, we make both part of the paper because this allows to obtain a better insight in the way we approach the H-J formalism. A nal comment is due. Although both families have parameters, we have made the analysis for the generic case only. With respect to the orbital case, as an illustration, we have added details when we restrict to Keplerian systems, showing the connection of the new variables with the anomalies. We would like to make clear that we are not doing a review of regularizations. In other words, it is left for the reader to try other canonical transformations within the general scheme presented here.

## 2 A General Form of the Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

We only deal with Hamiltonians of the type

$$K (x_0; x; X_0; X; ) (X_0 + H) ;$$
 (1)

where  $x = (x_1; :::; x_n)$  are coordinates and  $X = (X_1; :::; X_n)$  conjugate m omenta;  $x_0$  is the independent variable and  $X_0$  its conjugate m omenta in the extended phase space formulation, in which we restrict to the manifold K = 0; is a vector of parameters, and

the Ham iltonian H as well as the \regularizing factor" may depend on all or some of the parameters de ning:

$$= (x_0; x; X_0; X; ); \qquad H = H (x_0; x; ; X; ):$$
 (2)

A dash in the place of a variable is used to remark that the corresponding variable is not present.

Ham ilton equations are

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{0}}{d} = \frac{\mathbf{e}K}{\mathbf{e}X_{0}}; \qquad \frac{dX_{0}}{d} = \frac{\mathbf{e}K}{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}_{0}}; 
\frac{d\mathbf{x}_{i}}{d} = \frac{\mathbf{e}K}{\mathbf{e}X_{i}}; \qquad \frac{dX_{i}}{d} = \frac{\mathbf{e}K}{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{x}_{i}}; \qquad (i=1;:::;n)$$
(3)

where is the evolution parameter of the ow. Note that the rst of the previous equations reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_0}{\mathrm{d}} = \tag{4}$$

which tells the function ought to verify that > 0 in its dom ain. Moreover, in the case of conservative systems H = H (;x;;X),  $X_0$  is an integral, and the manifold K = 0 may be also seen as  $X_0 = H = \text{constant}$ .

W e are interested in canonical transform ations

$$(x_0; x; X_0; X)^{T}! (y_0; y; Y_0; Y)$$
 (5)

in the sense of Poincare. More precisely, we look for transformations such that they simplify Hamiltonian systems dened by functions H which can be written as

$$H = H_0 + H_1 \tag{6}$$

where H  $_0$  = H  $_0$  ( ;x; ;X ) de nes an integrable system , and H  $_1$  = H  $_1$  (x $_0$ ;x;X ) is a perturbation. Speci cally, we focus on canonical transform ations such that the new H am iltonian K = K  $_0$  + K  $_1$  satisfies

$$K_0 = (X_0 + H_0) = (; ; Y_0; Y);$$
 (7)

i.e. the full reduction of the unperturbed part is carried out. This Eq. (7) is what we refers as the variant of Poincare to the H-J equation; the classical case chooses  $(;;Y_0;Y)$ 0.

The transform ations are de ned by

$$X_{i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}}; \qquad y_{i} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial y_{i}}; \qquad i = 0; :::; n$$
 (8)

derived from a generator  $W = W (x_0; x; Y_0; Y; )$  that is a complete solution of the generalized H-J equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_0} + H_0 & x; \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix} = (Y_0; Y);$$

$$(9)$$

Thus, the Ham iltonian K in the new variables will take the form

$$K = K_0 + K_1 = + H_1$$
 (10)

where  $H_1$  and are expressed in the new variables. Note that in what follows we take  $H_1 = 0$ .

In this paper we lim it to generators of the form

$$W = X_{i}Y_{i} + R(x_{n};Y_{0};Y);$$
 (11)

and regularizing function =  $(x_n; X_0; X_1; ...; X_{n-1})$ . Hence, from Eq. (9) we may write

$$H_{0} x; Y_{1}; ::: ; Y_{n-1}; \frac{QR}{Qx_{n}}! = \frac{(Y_{0}; Y_{1}; ::: ; Y_{n})}{(x_{n}; Y_{0}; Y_{1}; ::: ; Y_{n-1})} Y_{0}:$$
(12)

Depending on the form of H  $_0$ , Eq. (12) m ay be solved for  $@R = @x_n$  and, therefore, R is computed from a quadrature, which solution will depend on the choices made for and . O ther possibilities are under investigation [15]. Note that, in fact, there is no reason why we should impose on H  $_0$  to be cyclic in  $x_0$ . What we have presented above, properly adapted, remains valid if we lift that constraint. This is referred in the literature as nonautonom uos systems; the driven oscillator, the relativistic particle, etc are just simple examples within that category (for more recent systems of interest see Struckmeier [31]). In the families we will study below the possible presence of  $x_0$  occurs in the perturbing part.

A part from the general case of Eq. (12), transform ations non-based on the hom ogeneous form alism ( 1, =  $Y_0$  + ), adopt the sim pler form ulation

$$H_{0} x_{n}; Y_{1}; ...; Y_{n-1}; \frac{\partial R}{\partial x_{n}} = (Y_{1}; ...; Y_{n});$$
(13)

In the two types of H am iltonian systems we study below, instead of  $(x_0; x; X_0; X)$  we will start each Section considering brie y the two symplectic charts on which our study relies. In doing so we will use the standard notations, although there are more than one: A ndoyer variables [3] for rotational motion and W hittaker (polar-nodal) variables [36] for orbital dynamics.

W ith respect to the new variables  $(y_0;y;Y_0;Y)$ , we will use (d; v;u;D; ; U) for the rotational fam ilies and (f;g;h;u;F;G;H;U) for the orbital ones. For the di erent sets within each fam ily, we do not not not necessary to distinguish among them, like using subindices, etc. Each one distinguishes itself by the expression taken by  $K_0$ .

# 3 Rigid body transform ations

Within the frame set up by Eqs (7) and (8) we will consider rst canonical transform ations derived from free rigid body Hamiltonian function. As it is well known, meanwhile Euler of variables continues to be the ones used in classical and recent books (see [18], [28]) at the same time in research papers is already custom any to consider those systems formulated

in Andoyer variables. More precisely, the Hamiltonian of the free rigid body takes the form

$$H = H ( ; ; ; M ; N ; a_i) = \frac{1}{2} (a_1 \sin^2 + a_2 \cos^2 ) (M^2 N^2) + \frac{1}{2} a_3 N^2$$
: (14)

where  $0 < a_3$   $a_2$   $a_1$  are parameters. Then, according to our notation Eq. (1), we deal with the Hamiltonian

$$K = {\overset{h}{T}} + {\frac{1}{2}} (a_1 \sin^2 + a_2 \cos^2 ) (M^2 N^2) + {\frac{1}{2}} a_3 N^2 (; ; M; T);$$
 (15)

where (;;;M;N) are Andoyer variables [3], and M $^2$  N $^2$  m aking the Ham iltonian, (14), strictly positive and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $a_3$  M $^2$  H $\frac{1}{2}$   $a_1$  M $^2$ . As we said in what follows we consider only the generic case  $a_3 < a_2 < a_1$ . We do not need to give more details on those variables here. The interested reader may consult the classical note of Deprit [9] or in more geometric terms Fasso [13].

## 3.1 The general structure of the transform ation

W e look for canonical transform ations

$$(;;;t;;M;N;T)^{T}! (d;;u;D;;;U)$$
 (16)

that convert (15) in a certain function (D;; ; U) depending only on momenta. The transform ation will be de ned through a function S = S(;;;t;D;;U) in mixed variables such that

$$d = S_D$$
; = S;  $u = S_U$ ; = S;  $M = S$ ;  $N = S$ ;  $T = S_+$ : (17)

where we use the notation  $S_x = @S = @x$ . Thus, from (15) we set the H-J equation

h 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (a<sub>1</sub> sin<sup>2</sup> + a<sub>2</sub> cos<sup>2</sup> ) S<sup>2</sup> S<sup>2</sup> +  $\frac{1}{2}$  a<sub>3</sub> S<sup>2</sup> + S<sub>t</sub> (;S;S;S<sub>t</sub>);= (D;;U) (18)

and S is chosen in separate variables as

$$S = U t + + + W (;D;;;U)$$
 (19)

because t, , and are cyclic in (15). Then,

$$W = \bigcup_{0}^{Z} q \frac{1}{Q(i;D; j;U)d}; \qquad (20)$$

w here

$$Q = \frac{a_1 \sin^2 + a_2 \cos^2}{a_1 \sin^2 + a_2 \cos^2} \frac{A}{a_3}; \qquad A = \frac{2}{2} - U; \qquad (21)$$

and  $p - \sqrt{2}$  must be real for all ; therefore, <  $(\frac{1}{2}a_2)^2 + U$ ).

If we assume that the regularizing factor depends only on the coordinate  $\,$  , the transformation (17) are

$$d = \frac{1}{D} I_3; \qquad (22)$$

$$= + I_1 + \frac{2U}{2} I_2 \qquad \frac{2}{2} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \qquad I_3; \tag{23}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{} I_3; \tag{24}$$

$$u = t \frac{1}{2} I_2 + \frac{1}{2} U I_3$$
 (25)

$$N = Q; \qquad (26)$$

$$T = U; \qquad = ; \qquad M = ; \qquad (27)$$

w here

$$I_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & q & \underline{\phantom{A}} \\ Q & d & ; \end{bmatrix} \qquad I_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Q_{\underline{A}} \\ \frac{\overline{P}}{Q} & d & ; \end{bmatrix} \qquad I_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Q_{\underline{A}} \\ \underline{\phantom{A}} & \overline{\phantom{A}} & \overline{\phantom{A}} \\ \underline{\phantom{A}} & \overline{\phantom{A}} & \overline{\phantom{A}} & \overline{\phantom{A}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(28)

#### 3.2 The case = 1

We only deal with transform ations with = 1. Therefore,  $I_2$   $I_3$ .

Note that a restriction of Andoyer variables is N j = M, which, because of (26), further constrains the values of the new Ham iltonian to be into the positive interval  $2 \left(\frac{1}{2}a_3\right)^2; \frac{1}{2}a_2$ . In addition, one should be aware that the sign of  $\frac{p}{Q}$  must be taken in accordance with the sign of N.

A gain, the transform ation depends on the integration of two quadratures, which closed form solution requires well known changes of variables. Thus, introducing the param eters<sup>2</sup>

$$=\frac{a_1}{a_1}\frac{a_2}{a_2}; \qquad f=\frac{a_1}{a_2}\frac{a_2}{a_2}; \tag{29}$$

and the functions =  $(D; ; ; U; a_1; a_2; a_3), m = m (), de ned by$ 

$$= \frac{a_1 \quad a_2}{a_1 \quad 2(\quad U)} \quad {}^2; \tag{30}$$

$$m = \frac{a_1 \quad a_2}{1} = \frac{a_1 \quad a_2}{a_1 \quad 2(\quad U)} = \frac{2(\quad U)^{2} a_3}{a_2 \quad a_3}; \quad (31)$$

the quadratures in (28) are solved to give

$$I_1 = \frac{m F (jm) (m + f) (j fjm)}{F f};$$
 (32)

$$I_2 = \frac{e^{\frac{F(j_n)}{a_2 - a_3}}}{e^{\frac{a_2}{a_1}}} \frac{e^{\frac{F(j_n)}{a_2}}}{2a_1 - 2(-U)}$$
 (33)

For the sake of linking with recent literature we used Fukushim a's notation [17]. Deprit [12] calls  $_3$ ,  $_3^0$ , and  $_3^0$ , and Sadov [32] names m and  $_5^0$  and  $_5^0$ .

where F ( jm ) is the elliptic integral of the rst kind of modulus m which amplitude is unambiguously dened through

$$\cos = \frac{\sin}{1 + \cos^2}; \quad \sin = \frac{p - \cos}{1 + \cos^2}; \quad d = \frac{p - \cos}{1 + \cos^2} d : \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, (; f;m) is the elliptic integral of the third kind of modulus m, characteristic f, and am plitude . A Itematively, one can write

$$I_1 = \frac{f}{f} (1) (jn);$$
 (35)

where the new amplitude is unambiguously de ned through

$$\cos = \frac{\cos}{1 + \sin^2}; \quad \sin = \frac{p}{1 + \sin^2}; \quad d = \frac{p}{1 + \sin^2} d : \quad (36)$$

If we further introduce

$$= \frac{q}{a_1 + a_2 + a_3} = \frac{p}{a_1} = \frac{1}{a_1 + a_2} = \frac{1}{a_2 + a_3} = \frac{1}{f};$$
 (37)

then, the transform ation equations above, (22) { (26), adopt the compact form

$$d = -_{D} F (;m)$$
 (38)

$$= - (a_3) F(;m) + (a_1 a_3) (; fjm)$$
 (39)

$$= -2 \frac{U}{} F (;m) 2 \frac{U}{} a_2 (;jm) (40)$$

$$= + - F (;m) = + - d$$
 (41)

$$u = t + - (u - 1)F(jm) = t + \frac{u - 1}{m}d;$$
 (42)

$$N = \begin{array}{c} \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{1} - (1 - \cos^2 s)} \\ \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{1} - \cos^2 s} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} r - (1 - m \sin^2 s); \\ - (43) \end{array}$$

where we maintain two expressions for to ease comparisons with transform ations in the literature. Remark that remains arbitrary. Therefore,  $(37)\{(43) \text{ de ne a fam ily of canonical transform ations that provide complete reduction of the Euler-Poinsot problem. Note, besides, from (42) that reduced Hamiltonians of the form <math>= U + (D;;)$  are required for transform ations preserving the time scale.

Despite the family of transform ations above has been obtained from a specie dynamical system, the rigid-body problem, once it has been derived it may be applied to any problem we wish. However, successful transformations for dierent problems are closely dependent on the selection of . Thus, for instance, if we are to apply one of the transformations of the family (38) { (43) above to reduce the rigid body dynamics or to study

a perturbed rigid body, we should consider specic facts. Because neither nor T are altered by the transform ation it seems natural to choose = U + (D;). Then, u is the time, , , U, and D, remain constant, and

$$= _{0} + u; d = d_{0} + _{D} u:$$
 (44)

Because the super-integrability of the Euler-Poinsot problem the closure of a generic trajectory is a two-torus [4], Therefore, should depend both on D and if we want to retain the topology of the problem. Specic selections of depending only on D whose appearance is mandatory for equation (38) to be de ned will produce just periodic solutions. Even particular selections = (D; ) may reduce the solutions to just periodic orbits. For instance, if we impose

$$i = j_{D}; (45)$$

i, j, integer, any computed = U + (iD + j) with arbitrary will provide onlyperiodic solutions.

We discuss here some other possible choices of . Without any application in mind, one criteria m ight be 'to sim plify' the coe cients of the variables in the transform ation.

Thus, for instance, = D in (37) implies

$$= U + \frac{2}{2} a_1 \frac{D^2}{a_2 a_3}$$
 (46)

has dimensions of momentum and D of inverse of momentum of inertia. This Ham iltonian simplies the transformation equations, (38) { (43), to

$$d = \frac{D^{2}}{a_{2} a_{3}} F (;m)$$

$$= + D a_{1} a_{2} \frac{D^{2}}{a_{2} a_{3}} (;jm)$$
(47)

$$= + D a_1 a_2 \frac{D^2}{a_2 a_3} (; jm)$$
 (48)

$$= (49)$$

$$u = t; (50)$$

$$u = t;$$
 (50)  
 $N = D^{\frac{v}{u}} \frac{1 + m \sin^2}{(a_1 + a_3)(a_2 + a_3)};$  (51)

To discuss other simplications, we not it convenient to introduce

$$s_1 = \frac{U}{p}; \quad s_2 = 2 \frac{U}{p}; \quad s_3 = \frac{U}{p}; \quad (52)$$

and consider the possible choices of that make  $s_i$  (i = 1;2;3) independent of the m om enta.

3.2.1 Case  $s_1 = s_{1,0}$  and  $s_3 = s_{3,0}$  constant.

Then, from the rst and third of (52) and from (37), we get

$$= s_{3;0} \quad D = \frac{s_{3;0}}{s_{1;0}} p \frac{1}{a_2 \quad a_3} q \frac{1}{a_1^2 \quad 2(U);}$$
 (53)

and, therefore

$$U = \frac{a_1}{2} {}^{2} \frac{a_2}{2 s_{1,0}^{2}} [s_{3,0} + (;D;U)]^{2} :$$
 (54)

with arbitrary.

Because the topology of the problem, we can add the condition = 0, and therefore  $s_{3;0}$  0. In addition, if we want to preserve the time scale (42), we restrict to the case

$$U = \frac{a_1}{2} {}^{2} \frac{a_2}{2 s_{1,0}^2} (;D)^{2};$$
 (55)

Sadov's transform ation. The choice

$$s_{1;0}$$
  $\frac{a_1}{a_2}$   $a_3$   $a_3$ 

gives

$$U = \frac{a_1^2}{2} 1 \frac{a_1 a_3}{a_1} = \frac{a_1^2}{2} 1 \frac{a_1 a_3}{a_1} = \frac{a_1^2}{2} (56)$$

that is Sadov's [32] reduced Hamiltonian in which 2 f and

$$^{2} = ^{2} \frac{^{2}}{D^{2}} \frac{^{!}}{1} :$$
 (57)

K inoshita's case. If we instead choose

$$s_{1;0} \stackrel{\text{V}}{\overset{\text{U}}{\overset{\text{U}}{=}}} \frac{1}{(a_1 + a_2 + a_3)} D; \qquad (58)$$

we nd

$$U = \frac{2a_1}{a_1 + a_2} H^*; \qquad H^* = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} ^2 + \frac{1}{b} D^2 ; \quad \frac{1}{b} = a_3 \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + a_2) < 0; \quad (59)$$

where H is K inoshita's reduced H am iltonian [24, see Eq. (10') on p. 433]. A Itematively, the scaling m ay be avoided in our general formulation by choosing a regularizing factor  $= 2a_1 = (a_1 + a_2)$  independent of the variables.

Thus, the major objection to Sadov's and K inoshita's elements of being related to Andoyer canonical variables through in plicit transformations is easily circum vented using the general transformation (37) { (43) above particularized for either Sadov's or K inoshita's Hamiltonians, Eq. (56) and (59) respectively.

Fukushim a proposal. If we choose now

$$s_{1;0} = \frac{a_1}{a_2 a_3} p_{\frac{2}{2} D^2};$$
 (60)

we get Fukushim a's proposal [17]

$$= U + \frac{1}{2} a_1 D^2; (61)$$

that, depending on less than the required momenta, constrain the topology of the transform ed H am iltonian to periodic solutions only. Nevertheless, this way of proceeding may be perfectly adequate for a perturbation theory, as shown in [37].

TR-type mapping. In a similar way to Fukushima, we propose to choose

$$s_{1;0} = \frac{a_1}{a_2 a_3} (D);$$
 (62)

leading to

$$U = a_1 D \qquad \frac{1}{2} D \qquad (63)$$

that is form ally equal to the reduced H am iltonian of the TR-m apping [34, 11] given in Eq. (125) below. It is quadratic, depends on two momenta | as it should be for the more general case of a super-integrable three degrees of freedom problem with four independent integrals [4] and the momenta keep the dimensions of the original problem because the H am iltonian is multiplied by the inverse of the momentum of inertia  $a_1$ .

Then, the reduced system has four elements (;D;) and two variables that evolve linearly with time

$$= _{0} + a_{1} D t_{i}$$
  $d = d_{0} + a_{1} ( D ) t_{i}$ 

the condition =D rational providing the subset of periodic solutions.

#### 3.2.2 Case $s_2 = s_{2,0}$ and $s_3 = s_{3,0}$ constant

From the second and third of (52) we get

$$s_{2;0} = \frac{s_{2;0}}{s_{3;0}} = 2 \frac{U}{s_{3;0}} = 2 \frac{U}{s_{2;0}} = 2 \frac{U}{s_{2;0}$$

where is arbitrary.

A simple choice satisfying the condition in (64) could be  $= U + {}^2$  (D  $s_{2;0}$ ), for instance. Particular cases in the literature make  $s_{2;0} = 0$ . Thus, Deprit and Elipe [12] choose

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{D}; \tag{65}$$

O ther solutions could be, for instance,

$$U = {}^{2}D^{2}; U = {}^{2}D : (66)$$

#### 4 Orbital Canonical transform ations

What we have said at the beginning of the previous Section for canonical variables in rotational dynamics, it appears again in orbital variables. Thus, meanwhile Hamiltonian particle dynamics still happens to be introduced in spherical canonical variables (see for instance [6], [18], [23], [35]), at the same time in space research another canonical set of variables is widely in use; we refer to nodal-polar variables, already considered for planetary theories almost a century ago [22]. The main dierence of both set of variables is that nodal-polar variables carries out a double reduction (axial and central symmetries) of Kepler type systems, meanwhile spherical variables only deals with the axial symmetry.

#### 4.1 The general structure of the transform ations

G iven the function

$$H = \frac{1}{2} R^{2} + \frac{2!}{r^{2}} + V(r) + T \quad (r; ; N; T)$$
(67)

where (r; ; t;R; ;N;T) are Hillor polar-nodal variables in the extended phase space and V only depends on distance, we look for a canonical transform ation

that converts (67) in a certain function (F;G;H;U) depending only on the momenta.

The transform ation will be de ned through a generating function in m ixed variables S = S(r; ; ;t;F;G;H;U) such that

$$f = S_F$$
;  $g = S_G$ ;  $h = S_H$ ;  $u = S_U$ ;  $R = S_r$ ;  $= S$ ;  $N = S$ ;  $T = S_t$ : (68)

Then, from (67) we set the H-J equation

$$\frac{1}{2} S_{r}^{2} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} S^{2} + V(r) + S_{t} (r; S; S_{t}) = (F; G; H; U)$$
 (69)

Because t, , and are not present in (7), the generating function m ay be chosen in separate variables

$$S = U t + H + G + W (r; F; G; H; U)$$
 (70)

Then,

$$\frac{1}{2} |W|_{r}^{2} + \frac{G^{2}}{r^{2}} + V(r) + U = \frac{(F;G;H;U)}{(r;G;H;U)}$$
(71)

that can be solved for W by a simple quadrature:

$$W = \int_{r_0}^{Z_r q} \frac{Q_r; F; G; H; U}{Q_r; F; G; H; U} dr;$$
 (72)

w here

$$Q = 2 \frac{(F;G;H;U)}{(r;G;H;U)} \quad 2V(r) \quad \frac{G^{2}}{r^{2}} \quad 2U \quad 0:$$
 (73)

Therefore, the transform ation is

$$f = {}_{F} I_{3}; \qquad (74)$$

$$g = + G I_1 + {}_{G} I_3 \qquad {}_{r_0} \frac{{}_{G} - {}_{Q} G}{Q} dr;$$
 (75)

$$f = {}_{F} I_{3}; \qquad (74)$$

$$g = + G I_{1} + {}_{G} I_{3} \qquad {}_{r_{0}} \xrightarrow{\frac{G}{2P}} \overline{Q} dr; \qquad (75)$$

$$h = + {}_{H} I_{3} \qquad {}_{r_{0}} \xrightarrow{\frac{H}{2P}} \overline{Q} dr; \qquad (76)$$

$$u = t I_{2} + {}_{U} I_{3} \qquad {}_{r_{0}} \xrightarrow{\frac{U}{2P}} \overline{Q} dr; \qquad (77)$$

$$R = W_r = Q_r \qquad (78)$$

$$= G; N = H; T = U:$$
 (79)

w here

$$I_1 = \sum_{r_0}^{Z} \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{Q} d \frac{1}{r}$$
;  $I_2 = \sum_{r_0}^{Z} \frac{dr}{p}$ ;  $I_3 = \sum_{r_0}^{Z} \frac{dr}{p}$ : (80)

Transform ations are clearly simplied when we choose the reg-The case = (r). ularizing factor independent of the momenta G, H, and U. Thus, if = (r), we have

$$_{G} = _{H} = _{U} = 0;$$
 (81)

and the rightmost term son the right side of (74) { (77) vanish, which turn these equations into

$$f = F I_3; (82)$$

$$g = + G I_1 + G I_3;$$
 (83)  
 $h = + H I_3;$  (84)

$$h = + H I_3; (84)$$

$$u = t I_2 + U I_3$$
: (85)

Note that = 1 and  $= r^2$  make  $I_2 = I_3$  and  $I_3 = I_1$ , respectively. We plan to discuss these choices in detail below, focusing on a two-param etric family V = V (r; ;b) of radial potentials.

#### 4.2 Fam ilies of the isochronal potential

In what follows we only deal with the transform ation (82) { (85) and, in addition, lim it our study to the speci c case of Henon's isochronal potential [21, 6]

$$V = \frac{p}{b + \frac{p}{b^2 + r^2}};$$
 (86)

where band are parameters. A relevant, particular case of the isochronal is the Keplerian potential V = =r that occurs for b = 0.

For the isochronal potential (86) we nd convenient to write (73) as

$$Q = \frac{r^2 + b^2}{r^2} Q \quad 0; \quad Q = \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2} \quad \frac{2}{r^2 + b^2} + \frac{1}{a}; \quad (87)$$

where , p, and a, are certain functions of the m om enta and param eters, which only will be determined after the regularizing parameter has been chosen. Then,

$$Q = p \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s_1} \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{1}{s} ;$$
 (88)

where  $s_1$   $(s = \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2})$  $s_2$  are the two possible roots of the conic Q = 0:

$$s_{1;2} = \frac{p}{1 + \frac{p}{1 + \frac{p}{a}}} = \frac{a(1 + e^2)}{1 + e} = a(1 + e); \qquad e^2 = 1 + \frac{p}{a} < 1;$$
 (89)

#### Introducing auxiliary variables

The roots  $s_{1,2}$ , the extrem e values of s, m ake natural the introduction of the auxiliary variables and , de ned through

$$p \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2} = a (1 - e \cos );$$
  $q \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2} = a e \sin d ;$  (90)

$$p = \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2} = \frac{p}{1 + e \cos};$$
  $d p = \frac{1}{r^2 + b^2} = \frac{e}{p} \sin d;$  (91)

in order to ease the solution of quadratures in (80), which we nd convenient to write

$$I_{1} = \sum_{\substack{r_{0} \\ r_{0} \\ \hline P}} \frac{r^{2} + b^{2}}{\overline{Q}} d \frac{1}{\overline{r^{2} + b^{2}}}; \qquad (92)$$

$$I_{2} = \sum_{\substack{r_{0} \\ r_{0} \\ \hline P}} \frac{1}{\overline{Q}} d \frac{\overline{P}}{\overline{r^{2} + b^{2}}}; \qquad (93)$$

$$I_{3} = \sum_{\substack{r_{0} \\ r_{0} \\ \hline P}} \frac{r^{2} + b^{2}}{\overline{Q}} d \frac{1}{\overline{r^{2} + b^{2}}} = \sum_{\substack{r_{0} \\ \hline P}} \frac{1}{\overline{Q}} d \frac{\overline{P}}{\overline{r^{2} + b^{2}}}; \qquad (94)$$

$$I_2 = \int_{r_0}^{2} \frac{1}{Q} d \frac{p}{r^2 + b^2}; \qquad (93)$$

$$I_{3} = \sum_{r_{0}}^{Z} \frac{r^{2} + b^{2}}{p \cdot Q} d \cdot \frac{1}{p \cdot r^{2} + b^{2}} = \sum_{r_{0}}^{Z} \frac{1}{p \cdot Q} d \cdot \frac{p}{r^{2} + b^{2}} :$$
 (94)

For given values of the regularizing factor , the quadratures above, (92) { (94), m ay be integrated in closed form without need of specifying the new Hamiltonian, which remains as a form alfunction of the momenta = (F;G;H;U).

Details on the families of canonical transform ations generated by the cases = 1, =  $b + \frac{b^2 + r^2}{b^2 + r^2}$ , and =  $r^2$  are given below. Besides, for the Keplerian case b = 0 we recover D elaunay's [8], Levi-C ivita's [25, 26], and H ill's [22] canonical transform ations, as particular exam ples of our families. An elegant alternative for the derivation of these historic transform ations may be found in [2].

4.3 Case I: Delaunay's fam ily of transform ations = 1.

From (73) and (87) we obtain

=; 
$$a = \frac{G^2}{2(U)}$$
;  $p = \frac{G^2}{a} + 2b + \frac{b^2}{a}$ : (95)

Then, introducing the change of (90) in (93),  $I_2$  is easily solved to give

$$I_2 = I_3 = \frac{1}{8(U)^3} (esin)$$
: (96)

A nalogously, the change of (91) is introduced in (92) to solve the quadrature  $I_1$ . We obtain

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2G} \frac{p}{2^P G^2 + 4b};$$
 (97)

where we have introduced two new auxiliary variables  $_1$ ,  $_2$ , de ned by m eans of the trigonom etric relations [37]

$$\tan \frac{1}{2} = \frac{v_{\mu}^{v}}{1 + e \quad b=a} = \frac{1 - e}{1 + e} = \tan \frac{1}{2} = \frac{v_{\mu}^{v}}{1 + e \quad b=a} = \tan \frac{1}{2};$$
 (98)

$$\tan \frac{2}{2} = \frac{{\stackrel{v}{u}}}{\stackrel{t}{u}} \frac{1 + e + b = a}{1 + e + b = a} \frac{1}{1 + e} \tan \frac{2}{2} = \frac{{\stackrel{v}{u}}}{\stackrel{t}{u}} \frac{1 + e + b = a}{1 + e + b = a} \tan \frac{2}{2}; \tag{99}$$

Substitution of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$   $I_3$ , given by (97) and (96), respectively, in (82){ (85) provides the family of canonical transformations of the isochronal potential to which we attach the name of D elaunay.

Am ong the variety of possible choices of the new H am iltonian , a sim plifying option is to take = U + (F;G;H;;b), that makes u to remain as the original time. In addition, the choice  $_{\rm H}$  = 0,  $_{\rm F}$  =  $_{\rm G}$  =  $^{1}$  (2)  $^{3=2}$ , may be solved for , to give

$$= U \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{(F + G)^2}$$
 (100)

that maximally simplies the transformation equations:

$$f = e\sin ; (101)$$

$$g = \frac{1}{2} p \frac{G}{G^2 + 4b} \frac{2}{2} + f;$$
 (102)

$$h = ;$$
 (103)

$$u = t; (104)$$

while retaining the topology of the original H am iltonian. Delaunay's selection  $_{\rm H}=0$ ,  $_{\rm G}=0$  leads to

$$= U \frac{^{2}}{2F^{2}}$$
 (105)

that depends on fewer momenta and, therefore, constrains the topology of the original system to periodic solutions only | which may be adequate for a perturbation study like [37].

In the Keplerian case b=0, V==r, the selection of the new Hamiltonian =(U;F) does not constrain the range of solutions and the specic selection of (105) provides the popular Delaunay transform ation that, taking into account that  $_1=_2=_0$ , is

$$f = e \sin ; q = ; h = ; u = t;$$
 (106)

where the most extended notation writes L F, f.

4.4 Case II: Levi-Civita's family of transform at ions =  $b + \frac{p}{b^2 + r^2}$ Now, we write

$$= + ; a = \frac{+}{2U}; p = \frac{G^2}{+} + 2b \frac{b^2}{a};$$
 (107)

The change (91) is used to integrate  $I_1$ , (92), and the change (90) to integrate  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , (93) and (94). We get

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2G} \frac{2}{2 G^2 + 4b(+)};$$
 (108)

$$I_2 = \frac{p}{8U^3} (e \sin );$$
 (109)

$$I_3 = \frac{p}{2U} = \frac{b_2}{G^2 + 4b(+)}$$
: (110)

where  $_1$ ,  $_2$ , are the sam e auxiliary variables de ned in (98) and (99) respectively. Then, substitution of  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$ , (108) { (110), in (82) { (85) provides the family of canonical transform ations of the isochronal potential to which we tie the name of Levi-C ivita.

The, Keplerian case b = 0,  $_1 = _2 =$ , gives

$$f = F \frac{1}{2U} ; \qquad (111)$$

$$g = + G \frac{p}{2U} ;$$
 (112)

$$h = + H \frac{1}{2U} ;$$
 (113)

$$u = t \frac{p}{8U^3} (e \sin ) + u \frac{1}{2U} :$$
 (114)

The canonical transform ation still remains unde ned. We note in (111) that f = when  $f = \sqrt{2U}$ , a partial di erential equation that may be solved to give

where  $C_1$  is an arbitrary function of G, H, and U. The simple choice  $C_1 =$  provides the  $\setminus$  rst" Levi-C ivita [25] transform ation<sup>3</sup>

$$f = ;$$
  $g = ;$   $h = ;$   $u = t \frac{p}{8U^3} (f e sin f)$ : (116)

O ther possibility is to force  $_{\rm U}$  = ( + )=(2U) so that only periodic oscillations are introduced in the time scale (114). Then,

$$= + U C_2 (F;G;H):$$
 (117)

with C2 arbitrary. The combination of both conditions (115) and (117) leads to

$$= {}^{p} \overline{2U} + C (G; H);$$
 (118)

with C arbitrary. If we further take C 0, we get

$$f = ;$$
  $g = ;$   $h = ;$   $u = t + \frac{F}{2U} e \sin f$ : (119)

that is the fam ous \second" Levi-C ivita [26] transform ation.

## 4.5 Case III: Hill's fam ily of transform ations: $= r^2$

W e get now

=; 
$$a = \frac{G^2}{2U}$$
;  $p = \frac{G^2}{2} + 2b + \frac{b^2}{a}$ : (120)

The required quadratures are solved with the same changes of variables as before, giving

$$I_1 = I_3 = \frac{p}{2^p G^2} = \frac{1}{2^p G^2};$$
 (121)

$$I_2 = \frac{p}{8U^3} (e \sin ); \qquad (122)$$

which substitution in (82) { (85) provides the family of canonical transformations of the isochronal potential to which we assign the name of Hill.

Focusing on the K eplerian case b=0,  $_1=_2=_$ , a simplication choice that makes  $f=_$  is to select in such a way that  $_F$   $I_1=_$ , then

$$= \frac{1}{2}^{h} G^{2} \quad (F + C)^{2}^{i}; \tag{123}$$

where  $C \in C(G;H;U)$  is an arbitrary function. Then,

$$_{F} = (F + C);$$
  $_{G} = G (F + C) C_{G};$   $_{H} = (F + C) C_{H};$   $_{U} = (F + C) C_{U}: (124)$ 

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ N ote that Levi-C ivita proceeds by scaling r by  $1=\frac{p}{2U}$ , or choosing  $=r=\frac{p}{2U}$  in our notation. This is equivalent to scaling our new H am iltonian by the same factor, and yields to Levi-C ivita's original H am iltonian =F while the transform ation (116) rem ain identical

The trivial choice C = 0 m akes g = h = 0, and u = t  $(exin) = \frac{p}{8U^3}$ .

Choosing C as a linear combination of the momenta, sim ply ads to the angle variables. Specically, when we set C = G we obtain  $^4$ 

$$= F G \frac{1}{2}F \tag{125}$$

and recover the TR-m apping [34, 11]

$$f = ;$$
  $g = f;$   $h = ;$   $u = t \frac{p}{8U^3} (f e sin f)$ : (126)

#### 5 Conclusions

A deep insight in the computation of canonical transform ations is obtained through a general formulation of the Ham ilton-Jacobi equation in the extended phase space that, besides, includes a regularizing function. As far as the transform ed Ham iltonian may remain formal, one can obtain fam ilies of canonical transformations instead of single sets of canonical variables. Then, particular transformations that meet the user's required characteristics are systematically computed from the solution of partial dierential equations that may enjoy very simple solutions.

Our formulation shows that dierent transform ations in the literature belong to the same families. Furtherm ore, it reveals fundamental features of the transformations. Thus, among the worked examples, we identify families having as members known canonical changes of variables that originally were dened through implicit relations.

## A cknow ledgem ents

Partial support is recognized from projects M TM 2006-06961 (S.F.) and AYA 2009-11896 (M.L.) of the G overnment of Spain, and a grant from Fundacion Seneca of the autonomous region of M urcia.

#### R eferences

- [1] Abraham, R., Marsden, J.E., 1985, Fundations of Mechanics, Perseus Books, Cambridge Mass.
- [2] Andoyer, M.H., 1913, \Sur l'Anomalie Excentrique et l'Anomalie Vraie comme Elements Canoniques du Mouvement Elliptique d'apres M. T. Levi-Civita et G.-W. Hill, Bulletin astronomique, Vol. 30, pp. 425 {429.
- [3] Andoyer, M. H., 1923, Cours de Mecanique Celeste, t. 1, Gauthier-Villars et cie, Paris, p. 57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Note that Hillproceeds by scaling r by  $1=\frac{1}{G}$   $\frac{1}{2}F$ , or choosing  $=r^2=(G-\frac{1}{2}F)$  in our notation. This is equivalent to scaling our new H am iltonian by  $G-\frac{1}{2}F$ , what yields to Hill's H am iltonian =F while the transform ation (126) remains unaltered.

- [4] Benettin, G., 2004, \The elements of Hamiltonian perturbation theory," in Hamiltonian Systems and Fourier Analysis: New Prospects for Gravitational Dynamics, D. Benest and C. Froeschle (ed.), pp.1{98.Cambridge Scientic Publ., Cambridge, UK.
- [5] Blanes, S., Budd, C., 2004, \Explicit Adaptive Symplectic (Easy) Integrators: A Scaling Invariant Generalisation of the Levi-Civita and KSR egularisations," Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy, Vol. 89, No. 4, pp. 383 {405.
- [6] Boccaletti, D., Pucacco, G., 2001, Theory of Orbits. 1: Integrable Systems and Non-perturbative Methods, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 316 and .
- [7] Chang D. E. and Marsden, J. E., 2003, Geometric derivation of the Delaunay variables and geometric phases, Celes Mech & Dyn Astron 86:185{208.
- [8] Delaunay, Ch E., 1867, \Theorie du Mouvement de la Lune, "Memoires de l'Academie des Sciences de l'Institut Imperial de France, Vol. 28, See Chap. 1, para. 5, pp. 9{11.
- [9] Deprit, A., 1967, Free Rotation of a Rigid Body Studied in the Phase Space, American J Physics 35, 424 (428.
- [10] Deprit, A. and Rom, A., 1970, Celest Mech. 2, 166.
- [11] Deprit, A., 1981, \A Note Concerning the TR-Transform ation," Celestial Mechanics, Vol. 23, pp. 299{305.
- [12] Deprit, A., 1993, \Complete Reduction of the Euler-Poinsot Problem," Journal of the Astronautical Sciences, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 603 (628.
- [13] Fasso, F., 1996, The Euler-Poinsot top: a non-commutatively integrable system with-out global action-angle coordinates. J. Appl. Math. Phys. (ZAMP) 47, 953 {976.
- [14] Fasso, F., 2005, \Superintegrable Ham iltonian Systems: Geometry and Perturbations," Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, Vol. 87, pp. 93{121
- [15] Ferrer, S., Lara, M., 2009, \O rbitalCanonicalTransform ations. The IsochronalFam ily", X II Jornadas Mecanica Celeste, Lal n, Spain 1—3 July 2009.
- [16] Fukushim a, T., 2008, \Simple, Regular, and E cient Numerical Integration of Rotational Motion, The Astronomical Journal, Vol. 135, pp. 2298 (2322.
- [17] Fukushim a, T., 2008, \Canonical and Universal Elements of the Rotational Motion of a Triaxial Rigid Body," The Astronomical Journal, Vol. 136, pp. 1728 (1735.
- [18] Goldstein, H., Poole, C., and Safko, J., 2002, Classical Mechanics, 3nd edition, Pearson Addison-Wesley.
- [19] Grandati, Y., Berard, A., and Mohrbach, H., 2008, \Bohlin-Amold-Vassiliev's duality and conserved quantities," arX iv:0803.2610v2 [math-ph]

- [20] Gur l, P., 2005, Canonical Formalism for Modelling and Control of Rigid Body Dynamics, in New Trends in Astrodynamics and Applications, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., Vol. 1065: 391{413.
- [21] Henon, M., 1959, L'am as isochrone I," Annales d'Astrophysique, Vol. 22, pp. 126{39.
- [22] Hill, G.W., 1913, \M otion of a system of material points under the action of gravitation," A stronom ical Journal, Vol. 27, iss. 646 (647, pp. 171 (182.
- [23] Classical Mechanics,....
- [24] Kinoshita, H., 1972, \First-Order Perturbations of the Two Finite Body Problem,"
  Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan, Vol. 24, pp. 423{457.
- [25] Levi-Civita, T., 1913, \Nouvo sistema canonico di elementi ellittici," Annali di Matematica, serie III, Vol. XX, pp. 153{170.
- [26] Levi-C ivita, T., \Sur la regularization du problem e des trois corps," Acta m athem atica, Vol. 42, 1918, pp. 99{144.
- [27] Lum, K-Y, Bloch, A.M., 1999, Generalized Serret-Andoyer Transform ation and Applications for the Controlled Rigid Body, Dynamics and Control, Vol. 9, 39 (66.
- [28] Marsden, J.E., and Ratiu, T. 1999, Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry, TAM 17, 2nd Ed, Springer, New York.
- [29] Ortega, J.P. and Ratiu, T. 2004, Momentum Maps and Hamiltonian Reduction, Birkhauser, Boston.
- [30] Poincare, H., 1893, Les methodes mouvelles de la mecanique celeste, Vol. 2, Gauthier-Villars et Fils, Paris, pp. 315 {342.
- [31] Struckm eier, J., 2005, \Ham iltonian D ynam ics on the sym plectic extended phase space for autonom ous and non-autonom ous system s," Journal of Physics A: M athematical and General, Vol. 38, No. 6, pp. 1257{1278.
- [32] Sadov, Yu. A., 1970, \The Action-Angles Variables in the Euler-Poinsot Problem,"
  Prikladnaya Matematika i Mekhanika, Vol. 34, pp. 962-964. [Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics (English translation), Vol. 34, No. 5, 1970, pp. 922 {925]
- [33] Sadov, Yu. A., 1970, \The Action—Angle Variables in the Euler—Poinsot Problem,"
  Preprint No. 22 of the Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of the Academy of
  Sciences of the USSR, Moscow (in Russian).
- [34] Scheifele, G., 1970, \Generalisation des elements de Delaunay en mecanique celeste. Application au mouvement d'un satellite articiel", Les Comptes rendus de l'Academie des sciences de Paris, Ser. A, Tome 271, pp. 729{732.
- [35] Sussman, G.J., Wisdom, J., 2001, Structure and Interpretation of Classical Mechanics, The MIT Press, Cambridge, pp. 403 and ...

- [36] W hittaker, E.T., A treatise on the analytical dynam ics of particles and rigid bodies, Cambridge M athematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988, Reprint of the 1937 edition.
- [37] Yanguas, P., 2001, \Perturbations of the isochrone model, "Nonlinearity, Vol. 14, pp.  $1{34}$ .