

Negative Even Grade mKdV Hierarchy and its Soliton Solutions

J.F. Gomes, G.Starvaggi França, G. R. de Melo and A.H. Zimerman

Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP
Rua Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz, 271, Bloco II
01140-070, São Paulo - SP, Brazil

ABSTRACT

In this paper we provide an algebraic construction for the negative even mKdV hierarchy which gives rise to time evolutions associated to even graded Lie algebraic structure. We propose a modification of the dressing method, in order to incorporate a non-trivial vacuum configuration and construct a deformed vertex operator for $\hat{sl}(2)$, that enable us to obtain explicit and systematic solutions for the whole negative even grade equations.

⁰jfg@ift.unesp.br, guisf@ift.unesp.br, gmelo@ift.unesp.br, zimerman@ift.unesp.br

1 Introduction

The odd mKdV hierarchy consists of a series of non-linear equations of motion associated to certain odd graded Lie algebraic structure such that, each equation correspond to a time evolution according to time $t = t_{2n+1}$ [1]. Since these are directly associated to odd graded operators, they are dubbed *odd order mKdV hierarchy*.

In this paper we employ the algebraic technique which, for positive order, the graded structure of the zero curvature representation imposes severe restrictions so that only odd times are allowed. For negative order however, the structure is less restrictive and gives also rise to a subclass of equations of motion, described by time evolutions associated to *negative even grades*. These are constructed by the Lax operator in terms of an affine graded Lie algebra, $\hat{sl}(2)$ which, from the zero curvature representation generates systematically a series of nonlinear integrable equations.

By considering a special case of Zakharov-Shabat AKNS spectral problem and using recursion techniques, a class of integrable equations were considered [2] in order to develop negative order mKdV hierarchy as well as to obtain some parametric type of solutions.

Here, in our approach, the simplest case of the even mKdV where $t = t_{-2}$, is studied in detail and a crucial observation that a trivial zero constant solution is not admissible, lead us to extend the dressing method to incorporate non-zero constant vacuum solutions. This implies in deforming the usual vertex operators preserving the nilpotency property peculiar in solving for soliton solutions. Employing the modified dressing formalism, we construct multi-soliton solutions for the whole negative even grade mKdV hierarchy.

In Sect. 2 we discuss the algebraic formalism for positive and negative hierarchies [3, 4]. In particular, the construction of the equation of motion for $t = t_{-2}$. Such equation agrees with the one proposed in [2] using recursion operator techniques. In Sect. 3 we discuss the dressing formalism [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] to construct soliton solutions for the odd hierarchy. In this case the formalism is based upon a constant zero vacuum solution which, by gauge transformation, generate multi-soliton solutions. In Sect. 4 we extend the dressing formalism to the negative even hierarchy, by introducing a non-zero constant vacuum configuration. We then construct the deformed vertex operators which generate explicitly the multi-soliton solutions. Some details involving the explicit calculation of matrix elements of products of vertex operators and the proof of their nilpotency are described in the appendix.

2 Positive and Negative Hierarchies

Consider the *positive mKdV hierarchy* given by the zero curvature representation

$$[\partial_x + E^{(1)} + A_0, \partial_{t_n} + D^{(n)} + D^{(n-1)} + \dots + D^{(0)}] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $E^{(2n+1)} = \lambda^n (E_\alpha + \lambda E_{-\alpha})$, $A_0 = v h$ contains the field variable $v = v(x, t_n)$, and $\{E_{\pm\alpha}, h\}$ are $sl(2)$ generators satisfying $[h, E_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2E_{\pm\alpha}$, $[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = h$. The grading operator $Q = 2\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}h$ decomposes the affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}(2)$ into graded subspaces, $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \oplus_i \mathcal{G}_i$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{2m} = \{h^{(m)} = \lambda^m h\}, \quad \mathcal{G}_{2m+1} = \{\lambda^m (E_\alpha + \lambda E_{-\alpha}), \lambda^m (E_\alpha - \lambda E_{-\alpha})\} \quad (2.2)$$

$m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $D^{(j)} \in \mathcal{G}_j$. A more subtle structure arises if one consider the decomposition $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ where $\mathcal{K} = \{\lambda^n (E_\alpha + \lambda E_{-\alpha})\}$ denotes the Kernel of $E \equiv E^{(1)}$, i.e., $\mathcal{K} = \{k \in \hat{\mathcal{G}} \mid [E, k] = 0\}$ and \mathcal{M} is its complement. We assume that E is semi-simple in the sense that this second decomposition is such that

$$[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}] \subset \mathcal{K}, \quad [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}] \subset \mathcal{M}, \quad [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}] \subset \mathcal{K}. \quad (2.3)$$

Eqn. (2.1) can be decomposed grade by grade and solved for $D^{(j)}$. For instance, the highest grade in (2.1) yields

$$[E, D^{(n)}] = 0 \Rightarrow D^{(n)} = D_{\mathcal{K}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since by (2.2) \mathcal{K} has grade $2m + 1$, this last equation implies that $n = 2m + 1$ and hence $t_n = t_{2m+1}$, showing that only *odd* grades are admissible for positive mKdV hierarchy (2.1). Moving down grade by grade and using the symmetric space structure (2.3), eqn. (2.1) allows one to solve for all $D^{(j)} = D_{\mathcal{K}}^{(j)} + D_{\mathcal{M}}^{(j)}$, $j = 0 \dots n$. In particular, the zero grade projection in \mathcal{M} yields the equation of motion

$$\partial_{t_n} A_0 - \partial_x D_{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)} - [A_0, D_{\mathcal{K}}^{(0)}] = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

where we have taken into account that $A_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Eqn. (2.5) represents a series of nonlinear evolution equations associated with time t_{2m+1} . Choosing $m = 1$ for example, we will obtain the well known mKdV equation [1, 3].

The same, however, does not happen for the *negative mKdV hierarchy* [3, 4], i.e., for $n < 0$. Let us consider the zero curvature representation

$$[\partial_x + E^{(1)} + A_0, \partial_{t_{-n}} + D^{(-n)} + D^{(-n+1)} + \dots + D^{(-1)}] = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Here, the lowest grade projection,

$$\partial_x D^{(-n)} + [A_0, D^{(-n)}] = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

yields a nonlocal equation for $D^{(-n)}$. The second lowest projection of grade $-n + 1$ leads to

$$\partial_x D^{(-n+1)} + [A_0, D^{(-n+1)}] + [E^{(1)}, D^{(-n)}] = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

which determines $D^{(-n+1)}$. The same mechanism works recursively until we reach the zero grade equation

$$\partial_{t_{-n}} A_0 + [E^{(1)}, D^{(-1)}] = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

which gives the time evolution for the field in A_0 according to time t_{-n} . The simplest model of this sub-hierarchy is obtained for $n = 1$, for which the following equations arrive from the zero curvature (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x D^{(-1)} + [A_0, D^{(-1)}] &= 0, \\ \partial_{t_{-1}} A_0 - [E^{(1)}, D^{(-1)}] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

These equations can be solved in general if we parametrize the fields as

$$D^{(-1)} = B^{-1} E^{(-1)} B, \quad A_0 = B^{-1} \partial_x B, \quad B = \exp(\mathcal{G}_0) \quad (2.11)$$

in terms of the zero grade subalgebra \mathcal{G}_0 . Space-time is associated to the light-cone coordinates \bar{z}, z as $x = \bar{z}$, $t_{-1} = z$. The time evolution is then given by the Leznov-Saveliev equation,

$$\partial_{t_{-1}} (B^{-1} \partial_x B) = [E^{(1)}, B^{-1} E^{(-1)} B] \quad (2.12)$$

which for $\hat{sl}(2)$ with principal gradation $Q = 2\lambda \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}h$, yields the sinh-Gordon equation [7]

$$\partial_{t_{-1}} \partial_x \phi = e^{2\phi} - e^{-2\phi}, \quad B = e^{\phi h}. \quad (2.13)$$

Note that from the definition of A_0 and the parametrization (2.11), we find the following relation between ϕ and v : $A_0 = vh = B^{-1} \partial_x B \Rightarrow v = \partial_x \phi$.

We now propose the first nontrivial example for the *negative even* sub-hierarchy:

$$\partial_x D^{(-2)} + [A_0, D^{(-2)}] = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\partial_x D^{(-1)} + [A_0, D^{(-1)}] + [E^{(1)}, D^{(-2)}] = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\partial_{t_{-2}} A_0 - [E^{(1)}, D^{(-1)}] = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

From grading (2.2) and decomposition (2.3) we find

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(-2)} &= c_{-2} \lambda^{-1} h, \\ D^{(-1)} &= a_{-1} (\lambda^{-1} E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}) + b_{-1} (\lambda^{-1} E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

From eqn. (2.14) and (2.15) we find, $c_{-2} = \text{const.}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x (a_{-1} + b_{-1}) + 2v (a_{-1} + b_{-1}) - 2c_{-2} &= 0, \\ \partial_x (a_{-1} - b_{-1}) - 2v (a_{-1} - b_{-1}) + 2c_{-2} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

which are ordinary differential equations with solution

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-1} + b_{-1} &= 2c_{-2} \exp(-2d^{-1}v) d^{-1} (\exp(2d^{-1}v)), \\ a_{-1} - b_{-1} &= -2c_{-2} \exp(2d^{-1}v) d^{-1} (\exp(-2d^{-1}v)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

In (2.19) we have denoted $d^{-1}f = \int^x f(x') dx'$. Having determined $D^{(-1)}$, the evolution equation associated to time t_{-2} is then given by eqn. (2.16):

$$\partial_{t_{-2}} v + 2c_{-2} e^{-2d^{-1}v} d^{-1} (e^{2d^{-1}v}) + 2c_{-2} e^{2d^{-1}v} d^{-1} (e^{-2d^{-1}v}) = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Differentiating twice with respect to x , and setting $c_{-2} = 1$ for convenience, we find the local equation

$$v_{xxt_{-2}} - 4v^2 v_{t_{-2}} - \frac{v_x v_{xt_{-2}}}{v} - 4 \frac{v_x}{v} = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

Eqn. (2.21) was already obtained in [2] using recursion operator techniques.

3 Odd Hierarchy Solutions

In order to employ the dressing method to construct soliton solutions, we now introduce the full $\hat{sl}(2)$ affine Kac-Moody algebra with central extensions:

$$\begin{aligned} [h^{(m)}, h^{(n)}] &= 2m\delta_{m+n,0}\hat{c}, \\ [h^{(m)}, E_{\pm\alpha}^{(n)}] &= \pm 2E_{\pm\alpha}^{(m+n)}, \\ [E_{\alpha}^{(m)}, E_{-\alpha}^{(n)}] &= h^{(m+n)} + m\delta_{m+n,0}\hat{c} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

together with the derivation operator \hat{d} such that,

$$[\hat{d}, T_a^{(n)}] = nT_a^{(n)}, \quad T_a^{(n)} = \{h^{(n)}, E_{\pm\alpha}^{(n)}\}. \quad (3.23)$$

The grading operator now reads $Q = 2\hat{d} + 1/2h^{(0)}$. A well established method for determining soliton solutions is to choose a vacuum solution and then to map it into a non trivial solution by gauge transformation (dressing) [6],[7]. The zero curvature condition (2.1) or (2.6) implies pure gauge connections, $A_x = T^{-1}\partial_x T = E + A_0$ and $A_{t_n} = T^{-1}\partial_{t_n} T = D^{(n)} + \dots + D^{(0)}$ or $A_{t_{-n}} = T^{-1}\partial_{t_{-n}} T = D^{(-n)} + \dots + D^{(-1)}$, respectively. Suppose there exists a vacuum solution satisfying

$$A_{x,vac} = E^{(1)} - t_k\delta_{k+1,0}\hat{c}, \quad A_{t_k,vac} = E^{(k)}, \quad (3.24)$$

where now $[E^{(k)}, E^{(l)}] = \frac{1}{2}(k-l)\delta_{k+l,0}\hat{c}$, for (k, l) odd integers.

The solution for $A_{x,vac} = T_0^{-1}\partial_x T_0$ and $A_{t_k,vac} = T_0^{-1}\partial_{t_k} T_0$ is therefore given by

$$T_0 = \exp(xE^{(1)})\exp(t_kE^{(k)}). \quad (3.25)$$

The dressing method is based on the assumption of the existence of two gauge transformations, generated by Θ_{\pm} , mapping the vacuum into non trivial configuration, i.e.

$$A_x = (\Theta_{\pm})^{-1}A_{x,vac}\Theta_{\pm} + (\Theta_{\pm})^{-1}\partial_x\Theta_{\pm}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$A_{t_k} = (\Theta_{\pm})^{-1}A_{t_k,vac}\Theta_{\pm} + (\Theta_{\pm})^{-1}\partial_{t_k}\Theta_{\pm}. \quad (3.27)$$

As a consequence we relate

$$\Theta_{-}\Theta_{+}^{-1} = T_0^{-1}gT_0 \quad (3.28)$$

where g is an arbitrary constant group element. We suppose that Θ_{\pm} are group elements of the form

$$\Theta_{-}^{-1} = e^{p^{(-1)}}e^{p^{(-2)}}\dots, \quad \Theta_{+}^{-1} = e^{q^{(0)}}e^{q^{(1)}}e^{q^{(2)}}\dots \quad (3.29)$$

where $p^{(-i)}$ and $q^{(i)}$ are linear combinations of grade $(-i)$ and (i) generators, respectively ($i = 0, 1, \dots$). In considering Θ_{+} , the zero grade component of (3.26) admits solution

$$e^{q^{(0)}} = B^{-1}e^{-\nu\hat{c}} \quad (3.30)$$

where we have used $A_x = E^{(1)} + B^{-1}\partial_x B + \partial_x\nu\hat{c} - t_k\delta_{k+1,0}\hat{c}$. From eqn. (3.28) we find

$$\dots e^{-p^{(-2)}}e^{-p^{(-1)}}B^{-1}e^{-\nu\hat{c}}e^{q^{(1)}}e^{q^{(2)}}\dots = T_0^{-1}gT_0 \quad (3.31)$$

hence,

$$< \lambda' | B^{-1} | \lambda > e^{-\nu} = < \lambda' | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda > \quad (3.32)$$

where $|\lambda >$ and $< \lambda' |$ are annihilated by $\mathcal{G}_>$ and $\mathcal{G}_<$, respectively. Explicit space time dependence for the field in \mathcal{G}_0 , defined in (2.13), is given by choosing specific matrix elements (6.64):

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\nu} &= < \lambda_0 | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda_0 >, \\ e^{-\phi-\nu} &= < \lambda_1 | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda_1 >. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where $|\lambda_i >, i = 0, 1$ correspond to highest weight states, i.e. annihilated by positive grade operators. Suppose we now write the constant group element g as

$$g = \exp\{F(\gamma)\}, \quad (3.34)$$

where γ is a complex parameter and we choose $F(\gamma)$ to be an eigenstate of $E^{(k)}$, i.e.

$$[E^{(k)}, F(\gamma)] = f^{(k)}(\gamma) F(\gamma) \quad (3.35)$$

where $f^{(k)}$ are specific functions of γ . It therefore follows that

$$T_0^{-1} g T_0 = \exp\{\rho(\gamma) F(\gamma)\} \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$\rho(\gamma) = \exp\{-t_k f^{(k)}(\gamma) - x f^{(1)}(\gamma)\}. \quad (3.37)$$

For more general cases in which

$$g = \exp\{F_1(\gamma_1)\} \exp\{F_2(\gamma_2)\} \dots \exp\{F_N(\gamma_N)\} \quad (3.38)$$

with

$$[E^{(k)}, F_i(\gamma_i)] = f_i^{(k)}(\gamma_i) F_i(\gamma_i) \quad (3.39)$$

we find

$$T_0^{-1} g T_0 = \exp\{\rho_1(\gamma_1) F_1(\gamma_1)\} \exp\{\rho_2(\gamma_2) F_2(\gamma_2)\} \dots \exp\{\rho_N(\gamma_N) F_N(\gamma_N)\} \quad (3.40)$$

where

$$\rho_i(\gamma_i) = \exp\{-t_k f_i^{(k)}(\gamma_i) - x f_i^{(1)}(\gamma_i)\}. \quad (3.41)$$

The specific eigenstate, in this case of $\hat{sl}(2)$, is given by

$$F(\gamma) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(h^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{n,0} \hat{c} \right) \gamma^{-2n} + \left(E_{\alpha}^{(n)} - E_{-\alpha}^{(n+1)} \right) \gamma^{-2n-1} \quad (3.42)$$

whose eigenvalues are obtained from

$$[E^{(k)}, F(\gamma)] = -2\gamma^k F(\gamma). \quad (3.43)$$

From eqns. (3.33) we obtain solutions for ϕ (or equivalently $v = \partial_x \phi$) for the whole odd hierarchy, i.e, for all variables t_k in eq. (3.41). Observe that in eqns. (3.35) and (3.39), $F(\gamma)$ is a simultaneous eigenstate of both $E^{(1)}$ and $E^{(k)}$ and belong to the kernel \mathcal{K} . The above argument is therefore valid only for $k = 2m + 1$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ since \mathcal{K} contains only odd grade elements. Then, this method gives explicit solutions for both, eqns. (2.1) and (2.6) for $n = k = 2m + 1$. See for instance [7, 8, 9].

4 Negative Even Hierarchy Solutions

In order to modify the dressing method to construct systematic solutions of equations like (2.20) or (2.21), we notice that $v = 0$ cannot be solution of (2.21). Therefore, let us propose the simplest vacuum configuration

$$\begin{aligned} A_{x,vac} &= \left(E_{\alpha}^{(0)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(1)} \right) + v_0 h^{(0)} - \frac{1}{v_0} t_{-2m} \delta_{m-1,0} \hat{c}, \\ A_{t_{-2m},vac} &= \frac{1}{v_0} \left(E_{\alpha}^{(-m)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(1-m)} \right) + h^{(-m)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

with $v_0 = \text{const.} \neq 0$. It is straightforward to verify the zero curvature equation

$$[\partial_x + A_{x,vac}, \partial_{t_{-2m}} + A_{t_{-2m},vac}] = 0. \quad (4.45)$$

This *nontrivial vacuum* leads to the following modification of eqn. (3.25), but now for negative even grades,

$$T_0 = \exp \left\{ x \left(E_{\alpha}^{(0)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(1)} + v_0 h^{(0)} \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{t_{-2m}}{v_0} \left(E_{\alpha}^{(-m)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(1-m)} + v_0 h^{(-1)} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.46)$$

The analogous of eqn. (3.30) leads to

$$e^{q(0)} = B^{-1} e^{x v_0 h^{(0)}} e^{-\nu \hat{c}}. \quad (4.47)$$

Observe that consistency of the zero curvature representation with nontrivial vacuum configuration requires terms with mixed gradation in constructing T_0 as in (4.46). The solution is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\nu} &= \langle \lambda_0 | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda_0 \rangle \equiv \tau^+, \\ e^{-\phi + x v_0 - \nu} &= \langle \lambda_1 | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda_1 \rangle \equiv \tau^- \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

and hence,

$$v = v_0 - \partial_x \ln \left(\frac{\tau^+}{\tau^-} \right), \quad v = \partial_x \phi. \quad (4.49)$$

In order to construct explicit soliton solutions we need a simultaneous eigenstate of $b_1 \equiv E_{\alpha}^{(0)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(1)} + v_0 h^{(0)}$ and $b_{-2m} \equiv \left(E_{\alpha}^{(-m)} + E_{-\alpha}^{(-m+1)} + v_0 h^{(-m)} \right)$. Let

$$F(\gamma, v_0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\gamma^2 - v_0^2 \right)^{-n} \left[h^{(n)} + \frac{v_0 - \gamma}{2\gamma} \delta_{n,0} \hat{c} + E_{\alpha}^{(n)} (\gamma + v_0)^{-1} - E_{-\alpha}^{(n+1)} (\gamma - v_0)^{-1} \right]. \quad (4.50)$$

be our deformed vertex operator. A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [b_1, F(\gamma, v_0)] &= -2\gamma F(\gamma, v_0), \\ [b_{-2m}, F(\gamma, v_0)] &= -2\gamma \left(\gamma^2 - v_0^2 \right)^{-m} F(\gamma, v_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Therefore from (3.37) we find,

$$\rho(\gamma, v_0) = \exp \left\{ 2\gamma x + \frac{2\gamma t - 2m}{v_0(\gamma^2 - v_0^2)^m} \right\}. \quad (4.52)$$

It only remains to calculate the matrix elements in eqns. (4.48). They are shown in Appendix. Note that, because of the nilpotency property of the vertex operator between matrix elements, as discussed in the Appendix, the exponential series in eqn. (4.48) truncates, e.g, if we take,

$$g = \exp \{ F(\gamma, v_0) \} \quad (4.53)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_a | T_0^{-1} g T_0 | \lambda_a \rangle &= \langle \lambda_a | \exp \{ \rho(\gamma, v_0) F(\gamma, v_0) \} | \lambda_a \rangle \\ &= 1 + \rho(\gamma, v_0) \langle \lambda_a | F(\gamma, v_0) | \lambda_a \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

Thus, from eqn. (4.49), we obtain *explicit* solutions for the *whole negative even hierachy*. The introduction of a nontrivial vacuum configuration, v_0 , in the dressing method, seems to have the same effect as a change in the boundary conditions when looking for solutions of differential equations, as can be noted in eqn. (4.49). In this vein, we can say that our modified dressing approach implements a different boundary condition than the usual dressing of a trivial vacuum, largely used until now.

Let us introduce the shorthand notation:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^\pm &= \frac{v_0 \pm \gamma_i}{2\gamma_i}, \\ a_{ij} &= \left(\frac{\gamma_i - \gamma_j}{\gamma_i + \gamma_j} \right)^2, \\ \rho_i &= \exp \left\{ 2\gamma_i x + \frac{2\gamma_i t - 2m}{v_0(\gamma_i^2 - v_0^2)^m} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

The 1-soliton solution in eqn. (4.49), is obtained with one vertex as in (4.53). The explicit tau functions are:

$$\tau^\pm = 1 + c_1^\pm \rho_1. \quad (4.56)$$

The 2-soliton solution is obtained with

$$g = \exp \{ F(\gamma_1, v_0) \} \exp \{ F(\gamma_2, v_0) \} \quad (4.57)$$

in (4.48), and then

$$\tau^\pm = 1 + c_1^\pm \rho_1 + c_2^\pm \rho_2 + c_1^\pm c_2^\pm a_{12} \rho_1 \rho_2. \quad (4.58)$$

The 3-soliton solution, obtained as a product of 3 exponential vertices, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^\pm &= 1 + c_1^\pm \rho_1 + c_2^\pm \rho_2 + c_3^\pm \rho_3 + \\ &+ c_1^\pm c_2^\pm a_{12} \rho_1 \rho_2 + c_1^\pm c_3^\pm a_{13} \rho_1 \rho_3 + c_2^\pm c_3^\pm a_{23} \rho_2 \rho_3 + \\ &+ c_1^\pm c_2^\pm c_3^\pm a_{12} a_{13} a_{23} \rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3. \end{aligned} \quad (4.59)$$




Figure 1: 1-soliton solutions for eqn. (2.21), $t_n = t_{-2}$ in mKdV hierarchy. In all these graphs we set $v_0 = 5$ and choose a fixed time $t_{-2} = 10$.

If we then substitute

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\{F(\gamma_i, v_0)\} \quad (4.60)$$

in eqns. (4.48) we obtain the general n-soliton solution:

$$\tau^\pm = \sum_{J \subset I} \left(\prod_{i \in J} c_i^\pm \right) \left(\prod_{i,j \in J, i < j} a_{ij} \right) \prod_{i \in J} \rho_i \quad (4.61)$$

where $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and the sum is over all subsets J of I . These solutions present the same structure as those constructed from the trivial vacuum solution. They differ only by the deformation in (4.55) which now incorporates the parameter v_0 .

The solutions of eqn. (2.21), $t_{-2m} = t_{-2}$, are obtained by setting $m = 1$ in (4.55). Considering 1-soliton solution (4.56), we see that a critical behavior occurs when $\gamma_1 \rightarrow \pm v_0$ or $\gamma_1 \rightarrow 0$. So, we have 4 different regions to consider: $\gamma_1 < -v_0$; $-v_0 < \gamma_1 < 0$; $0 < \gamma_1 < v_0$; $\gamma_1 > v_0$. All these regions are considered separately in Fig. (1). These solutions keep their form for any t_{-2} . Note that 3 different types of behavior occur, Fig. (1-a) and Fig. (1-d) are of the same type, and have the same form as the usual *trivial vacuum* solutions of *odd grade* mKdV hierarchy, except from the fact that the solution is displaced by v_0 in the y -axis. Fig. (1-b) and Fig. (1-c) are different ones, and their form are not obtained from the trivial vacuum configuration. Also, note that $v \rightarrow v_0$ when $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

In Fig. (2) we show the 2-soliton solution for eqn. (2.21), where we illustrate the mixing of different type of solutions. Again, a different behavior emerges compared with the trivial




Figure 2: 2-soliton solutions for eqn. (2.21). $v_0 = 5$ and $t_{-2} = 10$. Parameters: (a) $\gamma_1 = -4$, $\gamma_2 = -3$; (b) $\gamma_1 = -4$, $\gamma_2 = -10$; (c) $\gamma_1 = 1.3$, $\gamma_2 = 1.5$; (d) $\gamma_1 = 4.8$, $\gamma_2 = 7$.

vacuum 2-soliton solutions.

5 Conclusions

We extended the mKdV hierarchy to include *negative even grade* equations, based on a graded infinite dimensional Lie algebra $\hat{sl}(2)$. This procedure systematically lead us to obtain new non-linear integrable equations, e.g. eqn. (2.21) which was previously obtained in [2]. Our method can also provide other higher order integro-differential equations, like for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \partial_{t_{-4}} \phi &= 4e^{-2\phi} d^{-1} \left[e^{2\phi} d^{-1} \left(e^{-2\phi} d^{-1} e^{2\phi} + e^{2\phi} d^{-1} e^{-2\phi} \right) \right] - \\ &- 4e^{2\phi} d^{-1} \left[e^{-2\phi} d^{-1} \left(e^{-2\phi} d^{-1} e^{2\phi} + e^{2\phi} d^{-1} e^{-2\phi} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.62)$$

This subhierarchy of even grade equations are not solved by the usual dressing method, based on a trivial vacuum configuration. Nevertheless, we also extended the dressing method to incorporate a constant non trivial vacuum configuration v_0 .

Remarkably, all these modifications lead us to obtain solutions for the whole negative even grade mKdV subhierarchy, in particular for eqn. (2.21). Our solutions for eqn. (2.21) does not appear in [2]. The introduction of the constant vacuum, v_0 , showed that the simplest 1-soliton solution splits into three different classes, depending on the sign of the parameter γ_1 and its difference from v_0 . The general form of the solutions agree with the trivial vacuum

ones, but its behavior is modified by the presence of the v_0 parameter. The 1, 2 and 3 soliton solutions were explicit checked for eqn. (2.21). Moreover, the 1-soliton (4.56) with (4.55) and $m = 2$ was also verified to satisfy eqn. (5.62), using symbolic computational methods.

Acknowledgments. We thank CNPq for support.

6 Appendix - Matrix Elements

Consider the vertex operator for $\hat{sl}(2)$,

$$F(\gamma, v_0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma^2 - v_0^2)^{-n} \left[h^{(n)} + \frac{v_0 - \gamma}{2\gamma} \delta_{n,0} \hat{c} + E_{\alpha}^{(n)} (\gamma + v_0)^{-1} - E_{-\alpha}^{(n+1)} (\gamma - v_0)^{-1} \right]. \quad (6.63)$$

In the highest weight representation $\{|\lambda_0\rangle, |\lambda_1\rangle\}$ we have the following action of $\hat{sl}(2)$ operators:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha}^{(0)} |\lambda_a\rangle &= 0, \\ E_{\pm\alpha}^{(n)} |\lambda_a\rangle &= 0, \quad n > 0 \\ h^{(n)} |\lambda_a\rangle &= 0, \quad n > 0 \\ h^{(0)} |\lambda_a\rangle &= \delta_{a1} |\lambda_a\rangle \\ \hat{c} |\lambda_a\rangle &= |\lambda_a\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.64)$$

where $a = 0, 1$. Using the adjoint relations $(h^{(n)})^{\dagger} = h^{(-n)}$, $(E_{\alpha}^{(n)})^{\dagger} = E_{-\alpha}^{(-n)}$ and $\hat{c}^{\dagger} = \hat{c}$ we also know their actions on $\langle \lambda_a|$. From this, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_0 | F(\gamma, v_0) | \lambda_0 \rangle &= \frac{(v_0 - \gamma)}{2\gamma} \equiv c^-, \\ \langle \lambda_1 | F(\gamma, v_0) | \lambda_1 \rangle &= \frac{(v_0 + \gamma)}{2\gamma} \equiv c^+. \end{aligned} \quad (6.65)$$

In order to calculate $\langle \lambda_a | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) | \lambda_a \rangle$, after distributing the products and keeping only non-trivial terms, we make use of the commutator rules to change the order. The double sum simplifies to a single sum, which can then be substituted for power series like $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1/(1-x)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n = x/(1-x)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n = x/(1-x)^2$. The result is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_a | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) | \lambda_a \rangle &= \delta_{a1} + \frac{2(\gamma_1^2 - v_0^2)(\gamma_2^2 - v_0^2)}{(\gamma_1^2 - \gamma_2^2)^2} + \frac{v_0 - \gamma_1}{2\gamma_1} \delta_{a1} + \\ &+ \frac{v_0 - \gamma_2}{2\gamma_2} \delta_{a1} + \frac{(\gamma_1 - v_0)(\gamma_2 - v_0)}{4\gamma_1\gamma_2} - \frac{(\gamma_1 - v_0)(\gamma_2 + v_0)}{\gamma_1^2 - \gamma_2^2} \delta_{a1} + \frac{(\gamma_1 + v_0)(\gamma_2 - v_0)}{\gamma_1^2 - \gamma_2^2} \delta_{a1} - \end{aligned}$$

$$-(\gamma_1 - v_0)(\gamma_2 + v_0) \frac{\gamma_2^2 - v_0^2}{(\gamma_1^2 - \gamma_2^2)^2} - (\gamma_1 + v_0)(\gamma_2 - v_0) \frac{\gamma_1^2 - v_0^2}{(\gamma_1^2 - \gamma_2^2)^2}. \quad (6.66)$$

This expression can be further simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_0 | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) | \lambda_0 \rangle &= \frac{(\gamma_1 - v_0)(\gamma_2 - v_0)}{4\gamma_1\gamma_2} \left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} \right)^2 = c_1^- c_2^- a_{12}, \\ \langle \lambda_1 | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) | \lambda_1 \rangle &= \frac{(\gamma_1 + v_0)(\gamma_2 + v_0)}{4\gamma_1\gamma_2} \left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} \right)^2 = c_1^+ c_2^+ a_{12}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.67)$$

where $c_i^\pm = c^\pm(\gamma_i)$, see (6.65), and we have defined:

$$a_{ij} = \left(\frac{\gamma_i - \gamma_j}{\gamma_i + \gamma_j} \right)^2. \quad (6.68)$$

Note that (6.67) $\rightarrow 0$ when $\gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_1$. This proves the nilpotency property of the vertex operator when evaluated within diagonal states $|\lambda_0\rangle$ and $|\lambda_1\rangle$.

A more tedious calculation shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_0 | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) F(\gamma_3, v_0) | \lambda_0 \rangle &= c_1^- c_2^- c_3^- a_{12} a_{13} a_{23}, \\ \langle \lambda_1 | F(\gamma_1, v_0) F(\gamma_2, v_0) F(\gamma_3, v_0) | \lambda_1 \rangle &= c_1^+ c_2^+ c_3^+ a_{12} a_{13} a_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.69)$$

In general, using Wick theorem, it is possible to show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_0 | \prod_{i=1}^n F(\gamma_i, v_0) | \lambda_0 \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^- \prod_{i,j=1, i < j}^n a_{ij}, \\ \langle \lambda_1 | \prod_{i=1}^n F(\gamma_i, v_0) | \lambda_1 \rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^n c_i^+ \prod_{i,j=1, i < j}^n a_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.70)$$

References

- [1] T. Miwa, “ Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras of Hidden Symmetries of Soliton equations”, in *Soliton Theory: a survey of results*, Ed. A. Fordy, Manchester Univ. Press, Manchester (1990), p. 338.
- [2] Z. Qiao and W. Strampp, *Physica* **A313** (2002) 365
- [3] H. Aratyn, J. F. Gomes, A. H. Zimerman, “Algebraic construction of integrable and super integrable hierarchies” , XI International Conference on Symmetry Methods in Physics, June 21-24, 2004, Prague, Czech Republic, hep-th/040823
- [4] H. Aratyn , J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman *J. of Geom. and Phys.* **46** (2003) 21, hep-th/0107056

- [5] H. Aratyn, J.F. Gomes, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva and A.H. Zimerman “Symmetry flows, conservation laws and dressing approach to the integrable models”, Proc. of the NATO Advanced Research Workshop on Integrable Hierarchies and Modern Physical Theories (NATO ARW - UIC 2000), Chicago (2000), nlin/0012042
- [6] L. A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes and J. Sanchez-Guillen, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 882, hep-th/9606066
- [7] O. Babelon, D. Bernard, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A8** (1993) 507, hep-th/9206002
- [8] D.I. Olive, N. Turok, J.W.R. Underwood, *Nucl. Phys.* **B409** (1993) 509, hep-th/9305160
- [9] L. A. Ferreira, J. Sanchez-Guillen, “Aspects of Solitons in Affine Integrable Hierarchies”, “International Workshop on Selected Topics of Theoretical and Modern Mathematical Physics - SIMI/96”, Tbilisi, Georgia, September/96; hep-th/9701006