TYPE IA SUPERNOVA LIGHT CURVE INFERENCE: HERARCHICAL BAYESIAN ANALYSIS IN THE NEAR INFRARED

Kaisey S.Mandel¹, W.Michael Wood-Vasey², Andrew S.Friedman, Robert P.Kirshner Harvard-Smithsonian Center for A strophysics, 60 G arden St., Cambridge, M A 02138 Submitted 30 Nov 2008; ApJ A coepted 31 Jul 2009

ABSTRACT

We present a comprehensive statistical analysis of the properties of Type Ia SN light curves in the near infrared using recent data from PAIRITEL and the literature. We construct a hierarchical Bayesian fram ework, incorporating several uncertainties including photom etric error, peculiar velocities, dust extinction and intrinsic variations, for principled and coherent statistical inference. SN Ia light curve inferences are drawn from the global posterior probability of param eters describing both individual supernovae and the population conditioned on the entire SN Ia NIR dataset. The logical structure of the hierarchicalm odel is represented by a directed acyclic graph. Fully B ayesian analysis of the model and data is enabled by an e cient MCMC algorithm exploiting the conditional probabilistic structure using G ibbs sam pling. We apply this fram ework to the JHK s SN Ia light curve data. A new light curve model captures the observed J-band light curve shape variations. The marginal intrinsic variances in peak absolute magnitudes are: $(M_{,1}) = 0.17$ 0:03, $(M_{\rm H}) = 0:11$ 0:03. and $(M_{K_{S}}) = 0:19$ 0:04. We describe the rst quantitative evidence for correlations between the N IR absolute magnitudes and J-band light curve shapes, and demonstrate their utility for distance estimation. The average residual in the Hubble diagram for the training set SN at cz > 2000 km s¹ is 0.10 m ag. The new application of bootstrap cross-validation to SN Ia light curve inference tests the sensitivity of the statistical model t to the nite sample and estimates the prediction error at 0.15 m ag. These results dem onstrate that SN Ia NIR light curves are as e ective as corrected optical light curves, and, because they are less vulnerable to dust absorption, they have great potential as precise and accurate cosm ological distance indicators.

Subject headings: distance scale – supernovae: general

1. INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernova (SN Ia) rest-fram e optical light curves have been of great utility for m easuring of fundam ental quantities of the universe. As standardizable candles, they were critical to the detection of cosm ic acceleration (R iess et al. 1998; Perlm utter et al. 1999). The cosm ic acceleration may be caused by a dark energy com ponent of the universe (Friem an et al. 2008 provide a recent review). SN Ia have been used to constrain the equation-of-state param eter w of dark energy (G amavich et al.1998), and recent e orts have m easured w to 10%, (W ood-Vasey et al. 2007; A stier et al. 2006; K ow alskiet al. 2008; H icken et al. 2009b). SN Ia have also been used to establish the extragalactic distance scale and m easure the H ubble constant (Freedm an et al. 2001; Jha et al. 1999; R iess et al. 2005, 2009a,b),

The e ectiveness of SN Ia as distance indicators has been improved greatly by the construction of empirical methods that exploit relationships between peak optical lum inosities of SN Ia and distance-independent measures such as light curve shape or color that have been observed in the burgeoning sample of nearby low-z SN Ia (Hamuy et al. 1996a; Riess et al. 1999; Jha et al. 2006; Hicken et al. 2009a). Methods have included m $_{15}$ (B) (Phillips 1993; Hamuy et al. 1996b; Phillips et al. 1999), MLCS (Riess et al. 1996a, 1998; Jha et al. 2007), \stretch" (Goldhaber et al. 2001), CMAGIC (W ang et al. 2003), and SALT (Guy et al. 2005,2007). The largest system atic uncertainty that lim – its the precision of rest-fram e optical light curves is dust extinction in the host galaxy and the entanglement of dust reddening with the intrinsic color variations of SN (e.g., C onley et al. 2007).

Early observations of SN Ia in the infrared were made by K irshner et al. (1973); E lias et al. (1981, 1985); Frogelet al. (1987) and G raham et al. (1988). Studies of nearby SN Ia light curves in the N IR have found the peak near-infrared lum inosities of SN Ia have a dispersion sm aller than 0:20 m ag E lias et al. 1985; M eikle 2000; K risciunas et al. 2004a,c). Furtherm ore, the effect of dust extinction is signi cantly dim inished at nearinfrared wavelengths, relative to the optical. The com bination of optical and near-infrared observations of SN Ia light curves could lead to even better SN Ia distances (K risciunas et al. 2007).

W ood-Vasey et al. (2008) (hereafter W V 08) com piled the largest hom ogeneous sam ple of N IR SN Ia observations, taken with the Peters A utom ated InfraR ed Im aging TELescope (PA IR ITEL; B boom et al. 2006). A fter com bining these with N IR light curve observations from the literature to yield a sam ple of 41 N IR SN Ia, they constructed tem plate light curves by interpolating and sm oothing the data. They measured the scatter in the absolute m agnitudes at time of B maximum in each of the J, H, and K_s bands and found (M_H) 0:15 m ag, (M_J) 0:33 m ag and (M_{Ks}) 0:26 m ag. This analy-

 (M_J) 0:33 m ag and (M_{K_s}) 0:26 m ag. This analysis did not take into account N IR light curve shape vari-

¹ km andel@ cfa.harvard.edu

² Current Address: Dept. of Physics & Astronom y, 100 Allen Hall, 3941 O'Hara St., Univ. of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260

ations, but it was found, as in K risciunas et al. (2004a), that the Hubble diagram residuals had no trend with the optical light curve width.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we form ulate the hierarchical Bayesian approach to probabilistic inference with SN Ia light curves in general. A proper Bayesian approach provides a principled, coherent fram ework for inference based on the joint probability density over all quantities of interest conditioned on the available data. It is natural to employ a simultaneous multilevel approach and derive joint probability densities over the param eters of individual supernova light curves, their distance moduli, and also the variables that describe the population, including those governing the pint probability distributions over multi-band absolute m agnitudes and light curve shape parameters. This approach enables consistent statistical inference of all hierarchical param eters, by coherently incorporating several sources of uncertainty, including peculiar velocity uncertainties, photom etric m easurem ent errors, intrinsic random ness of light curves, and dust extinction into the global posterior probability density conditioned on the entire data set simultaneously. This fram ework leads to a natural and consistent method for probabilistic distance prediction with new SN Ia light curve data. The logical structure of our hierarchical model for SN Ia light curve inference is dem onstrated by the equivalent directed acyclic graph (DAG), a graphicalm odel that facilitates easy inspection of the probabilistic relationships.

A lthough the probabilities for fully Bayesian analysis of SN Ia light curves are simple to write down, the joint posterior distribution is generally non-gaussian and difcult to evaluate. To enable probabilistic inference, we have developed a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm, BayeSN, designed to exploit the conditional probabilistic structure using G ibbs sampling. We em ploy this code for both training the statisticalm odel and using the model to predict distances. The use of advanced sampling methods facilitates the computation of marginal probabilities of parameters from the global joint posterior density.

In the second part of the paper, we apply this fram ework to the NIR SN Ia light curve data from the com pilation of W V 08. We rst construct m odel tem plate light curves for the JHK $_{\rm s}$ bands. We compute xed maximum likelihood template models between -10 and 20 days for the H and K s using all available data. The J-band data is typically much less noisy than the H and K, so we construct an extensive J-band m odel between -10 and 60 days that accounts for light curve variations, in particular the structure around the second m axim um . Next, we apply the BayeSN method to simultaneously (1) the individual JH K $_{\rm s}$ light curves, (2) compute the population characteristics, especially the absolute m agnitude variances and covariances with J-band light curve shape and (3) estimate the joint and marginal uncertainties over all hierarchical parameters. We construct a Hubble diagram for the training set SN Ia and compute its residual errors.

The average Hubble diagram residual of the training set SN is an optim istic assessment of the predictive ability of the statisticalm odel for SN Ia light curves because it uses the SN data twice: rst for estimating the model parameters (training), and second in evaluating the error of its \predictions". Hence, the residuals, or training errors, underestim ate the expected prediction error. This e ect is present for all models based on nite training data, and is particularly important for small sample sizes. We perform bootstrap cross-validation to realistically estimate the out-of-sample prediction error and to test the sensitivity to the nite NIR SN Ia sample. This technique ensures that the same SN are not sim ultaneously used for training and prediction. It has not been used previously in SN Ia statistical modeling and inference.

This paper dem onstrates hierarchical B ayesian m odeling and distance estimation for SN Ia light curves in the N IR only. The application of these m ethods to combined optical and N IR light curves for the estimation of dust and distances will be described in a subsequent paper (M andel et al. 2009, in prep.).

This paper is organized as follows: In x2, we describe the hierarchical Bayesian fram ework for SN Ia light curve inference. The structure of the hierarchicalm odel can be depicted form ally as a directed acyclic graph presented in x2.3. In x2.4, we describe BayeSN, an MCMC algorithm designed for computing posterior inferences in the hierarchical fram ework. In x3, the construction of tem plate light curve models in JHK $_{\rm s}$ is described. In x4, we apply this approach to the NIR light curve data, and sum marize the posterior inferences for both individual supernovae and the population. In x4.4, we construct Hubble diagram s by applying the statistical model and describe the application of bootstrap cross-validation to estim ate prediction error. In x4.5, we discuss the potential im pact of dust in the N IR sam ple. W e conclude in x5. In appendix xA, we brie y review the conditional independence properties of graphical models. A ppendix xB presents m athem atical details of the BayeSN m ethod, and appendix xC describes its use in practice.

2. HERARCHICAL BAYESIAN FRAMEWORK FOR SN IA LIGHT CURVE INFERENCE

Simple Bayesian analysis describes an inference problem in which a generative model H with a free parameter is assumed to underly the observed data D. The Bayesian paradigm is to derive inferences on from the posterior density of the parameter conditioned on the data: P (jD;H) / P (D j;H)P (jH), where the rst factor is the likelihood function and the second factor is the prior on the model parameter.

H ierarchical, orm ulti-level, B ayesian analysis is a m odem paradigm of statistical modeling, which enables the expression of rich probabilistic models with complex structure on multiple logical levels (G elm an et al. 2003). For example, if D_i represents the data on individual i, and _i is a model parameter describing i, the values of i them selves may be drawn from a prior or population distribution P (ij;), which in turn depends on unknown variables that describe the group level probabilistic model. These unknown variables ; are term ed hyperparameters to distinguish them from the individual level parameters _i. The hierarchical B ayesian joint posterior distribution over all parameters f_ig and hyperparameters ; conditioned on the data for many (N)

where the last factor represents the hyperprior density on the hyperparam eters. The fully Bayesian approach is to analyze the full point posterior density of all parameters and hyperparam eters simultaneously conditioned on the entire data set. This ensures the complete and consistent accounting of uncertainty over all the inferred param eters. We can build in complex probabilistic structure by layering single-level models, at the individual level and also at possibly multiple population levels, and expressing the conditional relationships that connect them . The hierarchical Bayesian paradigm is very well suited to combining information and uncertainties from many logical sources of random ness, interacting in non-trivial ways, in a principled, coherent and consistent statistical fram ework for studying structured data. This is the strategy we adopt in this paper.

In contrast to m ore classical methods of model tting, the Bayesian approach is less concerned with the optimization problem, i.e. nding the \best" values or point estimates of model parameters tted to given data, and m ore concerned with the construction of the full joint probability model, consistent integration over uncertainties, and the simulation and sampling of the joint posterior density. For most non-trivial models, the integrations of interest are analytically intractable, so we employ modern computation techniques to enable probabilistic inference. We introduce an MCMC algorithm (BayeSN) that uses stochastic simulation to calculate posterior inferences from the hierarchical fram ework.

2.1. SN Ia Light Curve Models

A central task in the statistical analysis of Type Ia SN light curve data is thing empirical light curve models to time series photom etric data in multiple passbands. A data set for an individual supernova s, fD^Fg, consists of observations in n photom etric liters, F 2 fF¹;:::;Fⁿg (e.g. fB;V;J;Hg). Let D^F = ft_i;m^F₁; ²_{F;1}g^N_{I=1} be the set of N_F observations in band F. W e assume these have already been corrected for time dilation (B bondin et al. 2008) and K -corrected to the supernova rest frame, so that t_i is the rest-frame phase of the observation referenced to the time of maximum light in B-band (i.e. t = 0 corresponds to B_{max}), m^F₁ is the apparent magnitude in liter F at this phase, and ²_{F,i} is the measurem ent variance.

We wish to t to this data a light curve model, F₀ + I^{F} (t; ^F), where F₀ is the F-band apparent magnitude of the model at a reference time t = 0, and I^{F} (t; ^F) is the norm alized light curve model such that I^{F} (0; ^F) = 0. A vector of light curve shape parameters, ^F, governs the functional form of the light curve models and may take on di erent values for each supernova.

At the present time there is no known set of physical functions I^F (t; F) describing the temporal evolution of supernovae light curves. Theoretical SN Ia models alone provide insu cient guidance, so these functions must be constructed from the light curve data itself. Typical pa-

ram eterizations are motivated by a combination of sim plicity, intuition, mathematical convenience and the em pirical study of detailed light curve data of a large sample of supernovae. The ultimate utility of a functional form lies in its ability to t the observed modes of variation in data and capture the observable information in the light curves. Examples of light curve shape functions are:

- 1. \Stretch" tem plate method (Perlmutter et al. 1999; Goldhaber et al. 2001): I^{F} (t; F) = f (s^F t), where the shape parameter is $F = s^{F}$, the \stretch" factor in lter F, and f() is a ducial light curve, e.g. the Leibundgut tem plate (Leibundgut 1989), which is a function of the restfram e supernova phase with respect to t₀, the tim e of B_{m ax}.
- 2. The m $_{15}$ (B) decline rate parameterization (H am uy et al. 1996b; Phillips et al. 1999). Here the light curve shape parameter is ^B = m $_{15}$ (B), the m agnitude decline between the peak and 15 days after the peak in B-band. The light curve function is de ned at particular values of ^B₁ using BVI light curve tem plates generated from observed supernovae. Interpolation is used to t light curves at interm ediate values of m $_{15}$ (B). Prieto et al. (2006) presented an updated form ulation.
- 3. M LCS (R less et al. 1996a, 1998; Jha et al. 2007): The light curve model in UBRVI is of the form: I^{F} (t;) = F₀(t) + P_F(t) + ${}^{2}Q_{F}$ (t), where F₀(t), P_F(t), and Q_F(t) are de ned by tem plates. The light curve shape parameter is F = .

In this section, we do not assume any particular form for the light curve models F (t; ^F). The results will be applicable to a broad class of possible models. W ithout loss of generality, light curve model can depend on some parameters ($_{L}^{F}$) linearly and others ($_{NL}^{F}$) nonlinearly. Hence, a general form of a light curve model in band F for the data is

$$m_{i}^{F} = F_{0} + l_{0}^{F} (t_{i}; _{NL}^{F}) + l_{1}^{F} (t_{i}; _{NL}^{F}) _{L} + \frac{F}{i} :$$
 (2)

where l_{I}^{F} (t; $_{NL}^{F}$) is a vector of ∞ e cients to the linear parameters. It is convenient for ∞ m putational purposes to separate the linear from nonlinear shape parameters. The parameter F_0 could be considered a linear parameter and included with $_{L}$. However, they are physically distinct quantities, as F_0 sets the apparent magnitude scale for the light curve whereas $_{L}^{F}$ generally models the shape of the light curve, so we keep them separate.

C onstructing the G lobal Posterior D ensity Light Curve Likelihood Function

A ssum ing G aussian noise for F_i , we can write the likelihood of the light curvem odelparameters $F = (F_L, F_N, F_N)$ for a single SN data set D^F in one band F. Dene the vectors and matrices:

$$m^{F} = (m^{F} (t_{1}); \ldots; m^{F} (t_{N_{F}}))^{T}$$
(3)

$$\mathbf{L}_{0}^{\mathrm{F}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{F}\\\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{L}}\end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\mathbf{l}_{0}^{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}; \begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{F}\\\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{L}}\end{smallmatrix}\right); \ldots; \mathbf{l}_{0}^{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{F}}}; \begin{smallmatrix}\mathbf{F}\\\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{L}}\end{smallmatrix}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(4)

$$L_{1}^{F}(_{NL}^{F}) = (l_{1}^{F}(t_{1}; _{NL}^{F}); \dots; l_{1}^{F}(t_{N_{F}}; _{NL}^{F}))^{T} : (5)$$

Let us construct a vector of ones, 1, of the same length as the data m $_{s}^{F}$, and a measurement error covariance matrix W F . Due to the practical di culties of estim ating the error covariances, the current standard assum ption is that the error term s $_{i}^{F}$ are independent, so that W $_{ii}^{F} = \frac{2}{F_{fi}}$ is diagonal. The likelihood function for the light curve can be compactly written as:

$$P (D^{F} jF_{0}; F) = N (m^{F} jIF_{0} + L_{0}^{F} (F_{NL}) + L_{1}^{F} (F_{NL}) - F_{L}; W^{F})$$
(6)

where N (x j $_x$; $_x$) denotes the multivariate normal density in the random vector x with mean $_x$ and covariance $_x$. Since the photom etric observations in multiple

Iters are sam pled with independent noise, the likelihood function of all light curve param eters over all bands given the multi-band data fD^Fg is the simple product of n single-lter likelihoods.

$$P (fD^{F}gj) = P (D^{F}jF_{0}; F)$$
(7)

We de ne the observable (or apparent) parameters vector = $(F_0^1; \ldots; F_0^n; F^1; \ldots; F^n)$. This vector, with the light curve model functions F(t; F), encodes all the information needed to reconstruct the apparent light curve of a single supernova, i.e. the apparent magnitudes at the reference time and the light curve shape parameters in each ofn photom etric bands F. Sim ilarly, we de ne the intrinsic (or absolute) parameters vector = $(M_{F^1}; \ldots; M_{F^n}; F^1; \ldots; F^n)$ encoding all the information describing the absolute light curves of the supernova. The absolute magnitude at peak in liter F is $M_F = F_0$ A_F , where is the distance modulus and A_F is the dust absorption in that liter, for a particular supernova.

2.2.2. Redshift-D istance Likelihood Function

Type Ia SN can be used as distance indicators because we possess som e know ledge of their relative distances in the low redshift regime (where they are independent of the cosm ological parameters $_{\rm M}$; , and w) from the Hubble law and measured redshifts to the host galaxies of the SN. However, inference of true lum inosities and absolute distances requires external calibration (e.g. from C epheids) or know ledge of the Hubble constant, H $_{\rm o}$, which has not yet been independently measured to high precision. If we are concerned only with relative distance estimation, it is su cient to x the distance scale with an assumed h = H $_{\rm o}$ =100 km s¹. The uncertainty in these local distances is then dom inated by the peculiar velocity eld, which we model probabilistically.

Let z_c be the cosm obgical redshift of a SN. The measured redshift is z, with measurement variance $\frac{2}{z}$, corrected to the CMB and the local infall ow model of M ould et al. (2000). In a smooth cosm ological model, the distance modulus is related to z_c : = f(z_c) = 25 + 5 log₁₀ (d_L (z_c) M pc⁻¹), where d_L (z_c) is the lum inosity distance in M pc. If we model the e ect of random peculiar velocity as a G aussian noise with variance $\frac{2}{pec}$, then $z = z_c + N$ (0; $\frac{2}{pec} = c^2 + \frac{2}{z}$), and the likelihood func-

tion is P (zj) = N (zjf¹ (); ${}_{pec}^2 = c^2 + {}_{z}^2$). The posterior density of the distance m odulus conditioning only on the redshift is P (jz) / P (zj). We use a at prior P () / 1, since we have no a priori know ledge about without the data. For recession velocities cz

without the data. For recession velocities cz = pec, f(z_c) can be linearized about the xed z to nd f⁻¹ (), so that, to a good approximation,

P (jz) = N [jf (z);
$$^{2} = [f^{0}(z)]^{2} (_{pec}^{2} = c^{2} + _{z}^{2})]$$
: (8)

In the low -z regime, where $d_L(z)$ is linear in z (the Hubble law), the variance is

$${}^{2} = \frac{5}{z \ln 10} {}^{2} {}^{2}{}_{z} + \frac{2}{c^{\text{pec}}}^{\text{#}} : \qquad (9)$$

Under the assumption that peculiar velocity uncertainty amounts to Gaussian noise, at recession velocities cz < 5 $_{pec}$, the approximation of P (jz) with a norm al distribution breaks down, due to the non-linearity of the logarithm. This e ect is inconsequential for our analysis because even though the distribution becomes non-Gaussian, at such low recession velocities, its width in magnitudes is much larger than the dispersion in SN Ia absolute magnitudes. The redshift of a very low -z SN Ia carries little inform ation about the absolute m agnitude, so that P (jz) is essentially at over the width of the posterior density in conditioning on the light curves of the SN. Hence, the exact form of P (2) is irrelevant in this regime. SN Ia light curves can be used to infer the distances of these near-eld supernovae and to measure the the local velocity eld (Riess et al. 1995; Haugh lle et al. 2007; Neillet al. 2007).

2.2.3. The SN Ia Population Distribution

The utility of Type Ia SN for cosm ological studies lies in the observed correlation of their peak lum inosities with the shapes of their optical light curves. A lthough the peak optical lum inosities of SN Ia range over a factor of 3, using correlations with light curve shape reduces the scatter about the Hubble line to less than

0.20 m ag. Physicalm odeling and simulation of SN Ia progenitors m ay provide useful explanations for the observed relationships between the observable properties of SN Ia and their peak lum inosities. Such work m ay also describe detailed probabilistic relationships between the two. For example, we can de ne the joint population distribution of absolute m agnitudes (at the reference tim e) and the observable light curve shapes in multiple passbands. In our notation this population distribution is P (jPhysicalParam eters) where the \PhysicalParam eters" m ay include, for example, the m ass of the progenitor, the chem ical composition and distribution within the progenitor, and the details of the explosion m echanism. H illebrandt & N iem eyer (2000) provide a review of progress in SN Ia explosion m odeling.

In the absence of such detailed information, we learn the probabilistic relationships from the data. We describe the SN Ia population distribution as P(j;). Here, is a vector of hyperparameters that describe the average intrinsic characteristics, and

is a collection of hyperparam eters describing the dispersion (variance) and correlations of the intrinsic characteristics of absolute light curves. Since we have no inform ation on these hyperparam eters a priori, we seek to estim ate them (and their uncertainties) from the data.

W e w ill include this distribution in the global posterior density in the mathematical form of a prior" on the intrinsic parameters of a single SN. However, it is better to think of this distribution as a population" distribution from which the intrinsic parameters are random ly drawn. Its hyperparameters are unknown and must be estimated simultaneously from the data. It has a different interpretation in the context of the hierarchical model than the xed prior of the simple Bayesian treatment (which has no hyperparameters to be estimated).

Since we have no a priori inform ation on the functional form of P (j;), we must make some assumptions. The simplest choice for a multivariate probability density that models correlations between parameters is the multivariate G aussian. In the rest of this paper we will assume P (j;) = N (j;) with an unknown mean vector = E(s) and unknown covariance matrix = Var(s). The intrinsic parameters of individual supernovae are independent, identically distributed random variables drawn from this probability density:

N (;): If the data indicate a di erent distribution from the one we have assumed, we can attempt another choice of the form of the intrinsic population distribution.

The population hyperparameters ; are the most in portant variables in this hierarchical fram ework. During the training process, they model the intrinsic statistical properties of the SN Ia light curves, including the average behavior, intrinsic variability, correlations between di erent modes of light curve shape variation, correlations between absolute magnitudes in di erent 1ters, and cross-correlations between light curve shape param eters and the absolute magnitudes. When the model is used to make predictions, they are crucial for using this inform ation, and its uncertainty, to make distance estimates for new SN Ia light curves.

2.2.4. Incorporating D ust Inform ation

D ust along the line of sight from the supernova to the observer causes both extinction and reddening of the em itted light. These e ects originate from G alactic dust, which has been measured and mapped (Schlegel et al. 1998), and dust in the supernova's host galaxy, which is often more important, poorly understood and is currently the largest system atic uncertainty in cosm ological inference with SN Ia (C onley et al. 2007). P revious e orts to estim ate dust extinction from SN Ia color excesses include R iess et al. (1996b), Phillips et al. (1999), and K risciunas et al. (2000).

We incorporate the e ects of host galaxy dust on supernova observations probabilistically within the full statistical m odel as follows. The extinction in a given passband is denoted A_F . A ssum ing a CCM reddening law (Cardelliet al. 1989), the extinction in a given band can be related to the visual extinction A_V by $A_F = A_V = a_F + b_F R_V^{-1}$, so that there are two free parameters: the m agnitude of visual extinction A_V and the slope of the extinction law in the optical bands R_V . The xed regression coe cents a_F and b_F are determined from the dust reddening analysis of supernova spectra. Jha et al. (2007) suggested using an exponential prior on the nonnegative A_V extinction to a particular supernova.

Interpreted as a population distribution, this can be incorporated into our fram ework as:

$$P(A_{V};R_{V}j_{A_{V}};R_{V}) = E \operatorname{xpon}(A_{V}j_{A_{V}})P(R_{V}j_{R})$$
(10)

where A, is a hyperparam eter describing the exponential scale length or the average am ount of visual extinction to the population of SN Ia. The form of the population distribution of the R_V is unknown, but we suppose it may be specied with hyperparameters $_{\rm R}$. For example, if R_V is xed to a single known value, e.g. R = 1.7or3:1,wemaysetP(RvjR) = (Rv R).Oronemay allow the R_V to vary within a population probability density, e.g. R_v N ($_{\rm R}$; $_{\rm R}^2$), where the hyperparam eters $_{R} = (_{R}; _{R}^{2}) m ay be xed or we may attempt to learn$ them from the data, if the data is su ciently inform ative. It is not known a priori whether A_V and R_V can be treated as independent random variables and if the population probability density of $(A_V; R_V)$ is separable as indicated in Eq. 10.

W hen modeling the near infrared observations, it makes more sense to reference the extinction values to the H-band, rather than to A_V . For given A_H ; R_V values, the extinction in any band, A_F can be computed from the dust law. The ratio of near infrared to visual extinction is roughly $A_H = A_V$ 0.2. Since the behavior of dust in the near-infrared is relatively insensitive to the slope of the reddening law in the optical bands, R_V , it makes sense to set it to a xed representative global value $_R$. W ith this choice, the extinction population distribution is:

$$P(A_{H};R_{V}j_{A_{H}};R_{N}) = Expon(A_{H}j_{A_{H}})(R_{V},R)$$
(11)

where A_{H} is the exponential scale length in m agnitudes of the population distribution of H-band extinctions. To simplify the notation, we denote this hyperparameter as A_{H} , which controls the dust scale for all liters through the reddening law.

2.2.5. Conditionally Conjugate Hyperpriors

To estimate the population hyperparameters and , we make our priors on them explicit, called hyperpriors. If we lack any external motivating evidence, we should choose non-inform ative or di use hyperpriors. Additionally, it is convenient to choose the hyperprior from a param etric fam ily that is conditionally conjugate to the param etric fam ily of the population density. This m eans that the posterior density of the hyperparam eters conditioned on the values of the other parameters and data is from the same parametric family of probability densities as the hyperprior. This property is advantageous because if one can sam ple directly (generate random numbers from) the hyperprior density, then one can sample directly from the conditional posterior density of the hyperparam eters. This is useful for constructing M arkov chains for statistical com putation of the posterior density using G ibbs sampling (section 2.4).

The hyperparameters and describe a multivariate norm aldensity on $_{\rm s}$. The conjugate family to the multivariate norm alw ith unknown m ean and covariance m atrix is the Norm al-Inverse-W ishart. This hyperprior can be expressed as P (;) = P (j)P () such that

j N
$$(_0; =_0)$$
: (13)

The non-inform ative or di use conditionally conjugate density is obtained in the limit as $_0 ! 0, _0 ! 1$, $j_0 j! 0$ (G elm an et al. 2003) (the conventions regarding the W ishart distributions di er: we choose the convention that if W Inv-W ishart (S¹) is a random matrix, then E (W) = S = (d 1), where d is the dimension of the d d covariance matrix). In this limit, the hyperprior of the population mean becomes at and the hyperprior of the covariance is a di use distribution over the space of positive sem i-de nite matrices, so that the hyperprior does not favor any particular solution.

For the extinction exponential scale length $_{\rm A} > 0$, we choose a uniform positive hyperprior, expressing no prior preference for a particular value. If this is viewed as an Inv-G amma (1;0) density on $_{\rm A}$, it is conditionally conjugate to the exponential distribution.

2.2.6. The Global Posterior Density

We now have the elements necessary to construct the full joint posterior density of the sample of SN Ia. A single SN s with multi-band light curve data $D_s = fD_s^F g$, and redshift z_s , is described by intrinsic light curve parameters s, observable parameters s, and distance modulus s, with dust extinction modeled by A_H^F and R_V^s . The relations between these parameters can be encoded as follows. Let v be a constant indicator vector with the jth component v^j de ned as:

$$v^{j}$$
 1; if $j; j$ are m agnitudes, e.g. F_{0} or M_{F}
0; if $j; j$ are shape parameters.

(14)

Furtherm ore, de ne the vectors A_s with jth component A_s^j : if $s and s^j$ are m agnitudes in band F, then

$$A_{s}^{j} = A_{F,s}^{Gal} + A_{F} (A_{H}^{s}; R_{V}^{s}); \qquad (15)$$

otherw ise, $A_s^j = 0$ if s_s^j and s_s^j are shape parameters. The non-zero components depend on the host galaxy reddening law and H-band extinction, $A_{F,s}^{Gal}$ is the Galactic extinction, and A_F (A_H^s ; R_V^s) is the dust extinction in leter F as a function of A_H^s and R_V^s using the dust law. The relationship between the intrinsic and observable parameters of supernova s can then be written compactly as:

 $_{s} = _{s} + v _{s} + A_{s}$: (16)

This equation encodes the relationship between apparent magnitudes, absolute magnitudes, extinction and distance moduli. In this expression, neither dust nor distance modify the light curve shape parameters, $\frac{F}{s}$ com - m on to both the observable s and intrinsic s vectors. The joint posterior probability density for the parameters of a single supernova, conditioned on the values of the hyperparameters and the data, is proportional to the product of

the probability of observing the photom etric data given the apparent light curve,

the probability of the distance modulus given the measured redshift,

the probability of an absolute light curve equal to the apparent light curve m inus the distance m odulus and extinction, and

the probability of the extinction value and dust law,

conditioned on the population hyperparameters of the absolute light curves and dust properties:

$$P(_{s}; _{s}; A_{H}^{A}; R_{v}^{S} j \mathbb{D}_{s}; z_{s}; ; ; _{A}; _{R})$$

$$/ P(\mathbb{D}_{s}j_{s}) P(_{s}jz_{s})$$

$$P(_{s} = _{s} v_{s} A_{s}j ;)$$

$$P(A_{H}^{S}; R_{v}^{S}j_{A}; _{R}):$$

$$(17)$$

Now consider the full database of SN Ia light curves D = fD_sg with measured cosm ological redshifts Z = fz_sg . The global joint posterior density of all supernova observables f $_sg$, distance moduli f $_sg$, dust parameters fA_H^s ; R_V^sg and the population hyperparameters conditioned on the database D; Z is proportional to the product of N $_{SN}$ individual conditional posterior densities multiplied by the hyperpriors.

$$P (f_{s}; s; A_{H}^{s}; R_{V}^{s}g; ; ; A; RjD;Z)$$

$$Y_{Y^{SN}}$$

$$/ P (s; s; A_{H}^{s}; R_{V}^{s}jD_{s}; z_{s}; ; ; A; R)$$

$$s=1$$

$$P (;) P (A; R)$$
(18)

For full generality we have derived the global joint posterior density in the case that we wish to estimate the probable values of the hyperparameters $_{\rm R}$ from the data. If we x the R $_{\rm V}^{\rm s}$ to a xed global value $_{\rm R}$, this is equivalent to evaluating the above joint density conditioned on R $_{\rm V}^{\rm s}$ = R $_{\rm V}$ = $_{\rm R}$. All fully Bayesian inferences on the remaining parameters and hyperparameters are based on mapping out this global joint posterior density. The marginal posterior density of the hyperparameters, P (;; A jD;Z;R_V) or P (;; A; R jD;Z) is obtained by integration over the individual SN parameters f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm A}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$ g or f $_{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}^{\rm s}$; $_{\rm R}$

We refer to the set of SN in D;Z as a training set, and \training" means computing the marginal posterior density of the hyperparameters, conditioned on this data. In the Bayesian paradigm we are interested not just in point estimates of the hyperparameters, e.g. \best values" ^; $\hat{}$, but on their joint posterior probability density as a quanti cation of their uncertainties.

2.2.7. The Predictive Posterior Density

The ultim ate purpose of SN Ia light curve inference is to estim ate lum inosity distances to distant SN that are not included in the nearby, low -z training set. That is, given observations of a new supernova's multi-band light curve D_s , we wish to t the light curve model and to predict the distance modulus. P rediction di ers from training in the fact that we do not use any prior information on the distance (from e.g. the redshift) in our probability calculus. The predictive posterior density for the new supernova s (with param eters denoted by tilde) conditioned on the population hyperparam eters and the new light curve data D_s is:

$$P(\tilde{s}; \tilde{k}_{H}^{s}; \tilde{k}_{V}^{s}; \tilde{k}_{V}^{s}; \tilde{p}) \tilde{p}_{s}; ; ; A; R)$$

$$/ P(\tilde{p}_{s}; \tilde{p}_{s})$$

$$P(\tilde{s} = \tilde{s} \quad V \tilde{s} \quad \tilde{A} \tilde{s}; j;)$$

$$P(\tilde{k}_{H}^{s}; \tilde{k}_{V}^{s}; j; R; R):$$
(19)

We must also incorporate our (jpint) uncertainties of the hyperparameters. This is encapsulated in the marginal posterior density of the hyperparameters from the training set. The full predictive posterior probability density for the new supernovas is the previous expression multiplied by the training posterior density P(;; $_{A}$; $_{R}$ jD;Z) and integrated over the probability of the hyperparameters ; $_{A}$; $_{R}$. The marginal predictive posterior density of the new supernova's distance modulus \sim_{s} , P(\sim_{s} jD'_s;D;Z), is obtained by integrating this over the remaining parameters, \sim_{s} ; A_{H}^{*} ; R_{V}^{*} .

2.3. Representation as a D irected A cyclic G raph

W e have constructed the posterior density of all individual param eters and population-level hyperparam eters conditioned on the observed dataset of multi-band SN Ia light curves. This was done by layering relationships of conditional probability. All hierarchical joint probability densities of data and param eters can be represented in terms of a probabilistic graphical model known as a directed acyclic graph. The graph consists of nodes representing param eters and data connected by arrows that represent probabilistic dependencies. It obeys the restriction that there are no directed cycles, i.e. it is in possible to move from any node along the arrows and return to the same node. The acyclic requirem entensures that inference from the posterior density contains no loops of circular logic. It is useful to represent complex inference problem s, involving m any potential sources of random ness, with an equivalent directed acyclic graphicalm odel. A Ithough all the inform ation about the model and data is expressed by writing down the joint probability density explicitly, probabilistic graphical models serve as a useful visual representation of the structure of the hierarchicalm odel and their interface with data. Form al graphicalm odels have not been used before in SN Ia inference, and they are not prevalent in astronom y, so we provide a basic introduction below. Further background and theory of graphical models can be found in Bishop (2006), Jensen (2001) and Pearl (1988).

The directed graph is constructed as follows. Each parameter or datum corresponds to a node (or vertex). Conditional relationships between nodes are encoded using directed links or arrows (edges). Hence the joint probability of two variables P (x;y) = P (x)P (y;x) is represented by x ! y. For obvious reasons, the parameter x is term ed the parent and y is term ed the child. More generally, in a high-dimensional problem, if there exists a directed path of any length between node x and another node y, then y is a descendant of x. The joint probability distribution over N random variables i represented by a directed graph can be written as the product of the

conditional probabilities (the factorization):

$$P (f_{i}g) = P (i_{j}fP \text{ arents of } i_{j}g)$$
(20)

If a parameter is observed (and thus conditioned on), its node is shaded. If the parameter is unknown and hidden, it is left open. The graph clearly distinguishes between the observed data and the hidden variables that are inferred. Graphical models are most useful in inference problem s involving many potentially interacting components or sources of random ness. The complexity of the problem is releated in the connectedness of the graph. The links between the nodes encode statements of conditional independence (xA).

2.3.1. Directed G raph for M odel Training

The directed graph corresponding to the global posterior density conditioned on the training set D of N SN Ia is shown in Figure 1. The pathways from the roots to the data can be understood as a generative model for a data set of SN Ia light curves. At the highest hierarchical level (far left), the population distributions for SN Ia and dust extinction are described by unknown hyperparam eters ; , and $_{\rm A}$. At the next level, each supernova s draw s intrinsic light curves s and extinction values A_s from these populations as independently and identically distributed random samples. These hidden param eters com bine with the supernova distance m odulus $_{\rm s}$ to produce the observable light curve $_{\rm s}$, which is sam pled with m easurem ent noise to produce the photom etric light curve data D_s. In addition, the (hidden) distance m odulus is associated w ith a redshift z_s , observed with both measurement noise and peculiar velocity uncertainty. For a sam ple of SN Ia light curves, this process is replicated N_{SN} times. Our goal is to compute the pint posterior density of all the open nodes conditioned on the data in the shaded nodes.

The conditional independence properties of the graph and m odel in ply that, although the individual param eters of one SN are conditionally independent from those of a di erent SN, given the population hyperparam eters, they are not m arginally independent. The hidden hyperparam eters are unknown a priori; they m ust be learned from the data jointly with the individual SN param eters. Thus, the full graph does not factor into independent N_{SN} subgraphs. W em ust condition the whole graph and the global joint density on a database of m any SN Ia light curves sin ultaneously rather than on each supernova individually.

Figure 1 shows that the SN Ia population hyperparam – eters ; are conditionally independent from every other parameter and datum in the graph, given the intrinsic SN parameters f $_{s}g: P($; j; $D;Z; f_{s}g) = P($; jf $_{s}g)$. Here we use () to indicate all the other parameters in the global joint density that have not been denoted explicitly. Similarly, given all the extinction values of the supernovae, fA $_{s}g$, the extinction population exponential scale is conditionally independent from all other parameters (and data), so that $P(Aj; D; Z; fAg) = P(AjfA_{s}g)$.

The graph also shows that $_{\rm s}$, $_{\rm s}$ and A $_{\rm s}$ are conditionally dependent in the posterior distribution, because



Fig. 1. Directed acyclic graph for hierarchical Bayesian inference from a training set of Type Ia SN light curves. This is a graphical representation of the pint distribution of unknown param eters and observations for a training set of N SN Ia. Each param eter is represented by a node, and the links between node indicate relationships of conditional probability. The variables in the far left colum n are the hyperparam eters which describe the population probability distribution of supernova characteristics, and the population distribution of extinction values. The variables in the m iddle left colum n describe the distances, extinctions, and absolute light curves of individual supernovae. The variables in the m iddle right colum n are the observable param eters that describe the apparent light curves of individual SN Ia. The nalcolum n contains the observations of the redshifts and multi-band light curves of individual SN Ia. The open nodes describe unknown and hidden param eters, whereas the shaded nodes describe observed values that are conditioned upon in the posterior density.

their descendant D_s is observed, even though they are a priori independent random variables. This dependency is rejects the tradeo s involved in explaining the observed light curves as a combination of random uctuations due to dust, intrinsic random ness of the absolute light curves, and distance uncertainties attributed to peculiar velocities. The Bayesian approach is not to pick out just one possible combination of the separate factors, but to consider the probability distribution over the whole ensemble of hypotheses.

A nother consequence of this conditional dependency is that there are unblocked paths between the SN Ia population hyperparameters, and and the dust extinction hyperparameter $_A$. These paths pass through the conditionally dependent parameters A_s , $_s$, and $_s$ for each supernova. Thus, the population hyperparameters are also conditionally dependent. This im plies that posterior inferences of ; and those of $_A$ cannot be separated. This is why we take the global approach, conditioning the global posterior density on the entire data set sin ultaneously, and exploring the com plete joint param eter space.

The conditional independence structure in plied by the graph depends neither on the choices of distributions made in Section 2.2, nor on the particular functional light curve model that is assumed. We depicted the directed graph for inference with xed $R_{\rm V}$. If we wish to learn about $R_{\rm V}$, it would become a random variable with a population distribution. Hence the graph would include nodes for each $R_{\rm V}^{\rm s}$ and a node for the hyperparameters $_{\rm R}$, with the appropriate links.



Fig. 2. Directed acyclic graph for training and prediction with Type Ia SN light curves. The rectangle depicts a plate representing the N_{SN} SN Ia in the training set. The tilde param eters describe a new supernova for which we seek to predict the distance m odulus. The open nodes describe unknown and hidden param eters, whereas the shaded nodes describe observed values that are conditioned upon in the predictive posterior density.

2.3.2. D irected G raph for P rediction

The directed graph for the prediction task using data from a new supernova is presented in Figure 2. W e depict the entire training set of supernovae on a plate which is understood to represent N_{SN} di erent instances. The quantities relevant to the prediction supernova are labeled with tildes. The essential di erence between training and prediction is that in the training set we use distance information from the redshift, whereas in prediction we do not. The task of prediction is to infer the joint probability density of the hidden quantities ~, A~, and \sim by thing the light curve data D described by the observable parameters \sim plus measurement noise. The unblocked paths between the training set and the prediction set depict how information from the training set constrains the population hyperparam eters (i.e. by informing the posterior density), which in turn pass that information (and its uncertainty) onto the prediction variables. The marginal predictive posterior density for the new supernova's distance modulus is obtained by integrating over the uncertainties in the population hyperparam eters , , and $_{\rm A}$, and over the extinction A $\tilde{}$, m agnitudes and the shape parameters, $\tilde{}$.

2.4. StatisticalComputation of the G lobalPosterior Density

The global posterior probability density of all param eters and hyperparam eters of the full model conditioned on the training set database of SN Ia observations, Eq. 18, is a function of many variables. Consider a minimal model that does not account for dust extinction. We suppose it has one shape parameter , and models light curves in three liters. There are four observable param eters, plus one for the distance modulus, for each supernova. In addition, the hyperparameters and contain four plus ten variables (since the covariance matrix of the absolute magnitudes and light curve shape param - eters must be symmetric). Suppose a minimal training set contained observations of forty SN Ia light curves in the three lters. The total number of variables, which is the dimensionality of the space over which the global posterior density is de ned, is 214. C learly, mapping the joint posterior on a rectangular multidimensional grid is intractable. Even a relatively crude grid, with only ve points per dimension, would require more than 10¹⁴⁹ evaluations of the posterior density.

To address the complexities of hierarchical inference with realistic data sets, it would be practically useful to construct a statistical inference approach without appealing to the asymptotic results from large-sample theory. The only way to account for all uncertainties in the model parameters consistently is to compute the full hierarchical joint density, Eq. 18, and estim ate all individual param eters and hyperparam eters simultaneously, conditioned on the entire SN Ia database. M arginal estim ates of param eters are obtained by integration over non-Gaussian uncertainties. However, the obstacles to this approach are two-fold: (1) we must compute the global posterior density in a parameter space with hundreds of dim ensions, and (2) the marginal estimates of single parameters require integration of the posterior density over hundreds of other param eters.

W e tackle both of these problem s by using stochastic simulation techniques to sample the fullparameter space e ciently. In this section we describe the construction and operation of a M arkov Chain M onte Carlo (M CM C) algorithm that takes advantage of the conditional independence structure evident in the directed acyclic graph (Fig. 1) of Section 2.3 to sample the global posterior density, Eq. 18.

2.4.1. M etropolis-H astings and the G ibbs Sam pler

M arkov Chain M onte Carlo is a general and wellestablished technique for statistical analysis and is well suited for B ayesian computations. It is employed, for example, in CMB and joint cosmology analyses (Lew is & Bridle 2002; Tegm ark et al. 2004), for tting light curve m odels (M andel & Agol 2002) to planetary transit observations (H olm an et al. 2006), and for radial velocity analysis of extrasolar planetary system s (Ford 2005). Since M CM C has not been used previously in SN Ia light curve inference m ethods, we brie y review som e basic elements of M CM C to establish term inology. M ore thorough treatments of M CM C m ethods and theory can be found elsewhere (G ilks et al. 1995; Liu 2002; G elm an et al. 2003).

The purpose of an MCMC algorithm is to generate a M arkov chain stochastic process that is irreducible and ergodic, and converges in probability to a stationary distribution that is the same as the target distribution (the posterior density). Upon convergence, the probability that the chain is in a particular state is equal to the posterior density of the state, and the proportion of time the chain spends in a given region of parameter space is proportional to the posterior probability of that region. Hence, MCMC can be used to generate many samples from an arbitrary, com plex probability distribution (which cannot be sampled from directly), and those sam ples can be used to represent the target distribution and com pute characteristics of the distribution, such as m eans, m odes, intervals and integrals.

The cornerstone of m any MCMC im plem entations is the M etropolis-H astings algorithm . Suppose our target posterior probability density is P(D) for a vector of generic parameters , and can be computed up to a normalization constant. The MCMC algorithm generates a sequence of samples. Let ^t denote the tth sample. We start with some initial estimate t= 1, and generate subsequent values of the chain as follows. We select a proposal (or jum ping) probability density Q (j), giving the probability of proposing for the next value given that the current state is . This proposal density is chosen so that it can be directly sampled (e.g. a Gaussian). If the current state is ^t, then we generate a proposal from $Q(j^t)$. We then compute the M etropolis-H astings ratio:

$$r = \frac{P(\underline{p}) = Q(\underline{j}^{t})}{P(\underline{p}) = Q(\underline{j}^{t})}$$
(21)

The proposal is accepted ($t^{+1} =$) with probability m in (r; 1). If it is not accepted, the proposal is rejected and the next value of the chain is the sam e as the current value $t^{+1} = t$. In the next iteration a new proposal is generated from Q (j^{t+1}) and the algorithm repeats.

A special case of M etropolis-H astings is the random – walk M etropolis algorithm in which the proposal distribution is symmetric Q (j) = Q (j) and the proposal is centered around the current position, e.g. N (; ²I). G ibbs sam pling is another very useful case of the M etropolis-H astings rule and proceeds by sim ply drawing from the conditional probability of each block of parameters in turn, conditioning on the others as xed, until all the parameters have been sam pled. W e can em – ploy G ibbs sam pling in our hierarchical SN Ia fram ework because the model is built up from conditional relations, and m any of the conditional posterior distributions can be directly sam pled. O urB ayeSN algorithm uses a com – bination of these strategies to generate e cient M CM C chains.

2.4.2. The BayeSN Algorithm - Training

Wedescribe the BayeSNMCMC algorithm in the context of computing the global posterior in Eq. 18 for xed R_V . Let $S = (f_s; s; A_H^s g; ; A_H)$ be a vector containing all the current values of the param eters and hyperparameters in the model (the \state" or position). BayeSN utilizes a sequential G ibbs sampling structure that updates blocks of parameters in S. After a full scan (after all parameters have been given a chance to update), the current state of S is recorded as an MCMC sample. To begin a chain we populate S with a set of initial positions. The BayeSN MCMC algorithm works in two stages: a) sam pling the population hyperparam eters conditional on the individual param eters, and b) sam pling the individual supernova param eters conditionalon the population hyperparam eters. An outline of the G ibbs scan follow s; m ore details are presented in the appendices xB , xC .

1. Update the SN Ia population hyperparameters, ; , conditionalon the current values f_sg , obtained from the current parameters: $s = s v_s A_s$. This is done by G ibbs sampling directly from the conditional posterior density P (; j;D;Z) = P (; jf sg). 2. G ibbs sample the extinction population hyperparam eter A from the conditional density P (A j ;D;Z) = P (A jfA $^{s}_{H}$ g).

Next we update the individual supernova parameters, conditional on the population hyperparameters we have just sampled. The individual parameters of one supernova are conditionally independent from those of another supernova, given the hyperparameters. We cycles through the list of SN Ia, and for each supernova s we repeat steps 3a to 3c to update observable parameters for each passband F.Let $_{\rm s}^{\rm Fo}$, $_{\rm s}^{\rm LiF}$, and $_{\rm s}^{\rm NLiF}$ denote all the observable parameters in $_{\rm s}$ other than the apparent m agnitude, linear shape parameters, and nonlinear shape parameters in F, respectively.

3a. Gibbs sample the apparent magnitude $F_{0;s}$ by drawing directly from the conditional posterior $P (F_{0;s} j_s^{F_0}; s; A_s; ;; A_s; D_s; z_s)$.

3b. G ibbs sam ple the linear shape param eters ^F_{L;s} in lter F by draw ing directly from the conditional density, P (^F_{L;s}j ^{L;F}_s; A_s; ; ; A_s; D_s; Z_s).

3c. Random walk M etropolis update the nonlinear shape parameters in band F, $_{NL;s}^{F}$, using a jumping kernel $_{jump;s}^{NL;F}$ to move through the conditional density P ($_{NL;s}^{F}$ j $_{s}^{NL;F}$; $_{s};A_{s}$; ; ; $D_{s};z_{s}$).

3d. Update the distance modulus $_{\rm s}$ using M etropolis-Hastings. We propose a new $_{\rm s}$ drawn from a Gaussian approximation to the conditional posterior density P ($_{\rm s}$ j $_{\rm s}$; A $_{\rm s}$; ; ; A $_{\rm s}$; D $_{\rm s}$; Z $_{\rm s}$), and use M etropolis-Hastings rejection to correct for the approximation.

3e. Update the extinction A_{H}^{s} using a random – walk Metropolis step along the conditional density $P(A_{H}^{s} j_{s}; s; ;; ;_{A})$, with a jumping scale $\frac{2}{jumps}$.

4. Steps 3a to 3e are repeated for all SN Ia in the data set. A fier all parameters have been updated, we record the current state of S as an M CM C sample, and return to step 1. A fier we have iterated n times we nish with a M arkov chain $S = (S_1; ::: S_t; ::: S_n)$.

2.4.3. BayeSN Algorithm - Prediction

The prediction mode of BayeSN follows essentially the same algorithm . We assume that the prediction set is sampled from the same population as the training set. This could be false, for example, if the SN Ia in the prediction set had extrem ely di erent observed light curves. This would also be false if either observational selection e ects or progenitor evolution caused a distant prediction set to sample a di erent portion of the SN Ia population, or a physically di erent population, that is not represented in the nearby training set. Training and prediction actually can be conducted simultaneously in a single run of the G ibbs sam pler. The main distinction is we do not condition on the redshifts of the SN in the prediction set, i.e. the factor P (s zs) would be replaced by P ($_{\rm s}$) / 1 in step 3d above. W ith this change, the BayeSN algorithm willgenerate inferences on the graphicalm odel in Fig. 2, for both the training and prediction set SN simultaneously.

In many cases, however, we may wish to train the model on the training set SN once, and store the posterior inferences of the population hyperparameters. To make predictions for new SN, we would recall this information and repeatedly apply it to the new data, without updating the training posterior inferences. We can do this by making two changes to the above algorithm. The goal is to generate a M arkov chain S $_{\rm P}$ that samples the predictive posterior density. We assume we have already done a training M CM C and have a chain S that samples the training posterior density conditioned on the training set D ;Z. Steps 1, 2 and 3d change to:

1P & 2P.D raw the population hyperparameters

and $_{A}$ from the marginal posterior training density P (; ; $_{A}$ D;Z). This is easily done by picking a random sample from the training chain S and using the values of the hyperparameters in that sample.

3dP. Gibbs sample the predictive $_{\rm s}$ from P($_{\rm s}$ j $_{\rm s}$; A $_{\rm s}$; ; ; $_{\rm A}$;D;Z), om itting the factor P($_{\rm s}$; $z_{\rm s}$) since we do not condition on the redshift for prediction SN s.

W ith these steps the algorithm is run to build up a M arkov chain S_P of samples from the predictive posterior density.

3. CONSTRUCTING TEMPLATE MODELS FOR NEAR INFRARED SN IA LIGHT CURVES

To complete the statistical model we must specify functional models for the normalized light curves, I^F (t; F), such that $F_0 + I^F$ (t; F) describes the apparent light curve. The function I^F (t; F) captures variations in the light curve shapes in the observed liters. There is significant freedom in de ning these functions and they will generally depend on the application. For the remainder of this paper, we apply the hierarchical model described above to the SN Ia data in the JH K S NIR bands. In this section, we describe ourm ethods for generating empirical tem plate light curve models in the JH K bands.

In the H and K $_{\rm S}$ bands, we model the light curves using maximum likelihood tem plates that assume the normalized light curves of all the SN are identical, at least between -10 and 20 days from maximum light in B. In the J-band, where the PA IR IT EL photom etry is better, we construct a light curve model that captures the shape variations, speci cally the tim ing and am plitudes of the initial decline, trough, second rise, second peak and subsequent decline. This F exible Light-curve Infrared Tem - plate (FLIRT) model is constructed over the range -10 to 60 days past maximum light in B and depends on four light curve shape parameters to desribe the features of the J-band light curve.

3.1. Maximum Likelihood Light Curve Templates

The simplest possible light curve model assumes that the normalized light curve in Iter F of all SN are identical. The norm alized light curve data from all SN are sampled with noise from an underlying light curve function of the rest fram e phase $\mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{F}}$ (t). A strategy for describing this function is to construct a tem plate that is de ned by a set of knots fp^F ; g and an interpolation m ethod. The knots are dened such that $l^F (t = i) = p_i^F$, and \mathbb{F} (t) = s(t;) \mathbb{F} , where the vector s(t;) is de ned by an interpolation method that is linear in the knot values p^F. W e choose a natural cubic spline rule which ensures sm oothness in the tem plate up to two continuous derivatives (Press et al. 2007). It is convenient to choose the i to lie on a regular grid with spacing such that one of the i = 0 coincides with the reference time (T_{Bmax}) , forcing the corresponding $p_{i}^{F} = 0$, so that

 $f(t = j = 0) = p_j^F = 0$ for the norm alized light curve.

The N $_{\rm S}^{\rm F}$ photom etric data points from supernova s are sampled with G aussian noise from the model template plus a constant apparent magnitude o set F $_0^{\rm S}$. The joint posterior of the apparent magnitude o sets and the tem – plate for the data sets D $_{\rm S}^{\rm F}$ consisting of measurements from N $_{\rm SN}$ supernovae in one band F is proportional to the likelihood:

$$P (fF_{0}^{s}g;p^{F} jfD_{s}^{F}g) / N (m_{s}^{F} jlF_{0}^{s} + Sp^{F};W_{s}^{F})$$

$$= 1$$
(22)

where S is a matrix with ith row equal to $s\left(t_{i}^{s}\right)$. The joint maximum likelihood estimates of the apparent magnitudes $fF_{0}^{s}g$ and the template p^{F} is obtained by maximizing the log joint likelihood function subject to the linear constraint $f\left(0\right)=p_{j}=0$. This can be accomplished using the method of Lagrange multipliers. The quadratic optimization problem can be solved easily using non-iterative linear methods and yields a unique solution. The maximum likelihood template light curve model produced this way is de ned as $I^{F}(t)=s\left(t_{i}\right)$, p^{F} for band F. The H and K $_{s}$ bands templates are depicted in Fig. 3 and the values p^{F} are listed in Table 1.

32. Flexible Light-curve InfraRed Template

321. De nition

A lthough the J-band light curves are very sim ilar in shape near the peak, past 10 days after B_{max} , there are variations in the tim e and depth of the trough and the tim e and height of the second peak. This behavior may be explained by the changes in the ionization states of iron in the SN atmosphere (K asen 2006). We describe an empirical model for J-band light curves that transforms with simple scalings to m in ic the individual decline tim escales, trough depths, second rise tim escales and second peak amplitudes of each supernova light curve. These scalings are suggested by an inspection of the light curves.

W e posit a J-band ducial norm alized light curve f () that can be transform ed to accom m odate the variations in characteristic tim e scales and am plitudes observed in



Fig.3.| M axim um likelihood tem plates (grey curves) with the H and K $_{\rm S}$ band data. The H and K $_{\rm S}$ norm alized light curves of di erent SN are very sim ilar between -10 and 20 days.

individual J-band light curves. Here is a feature time scale describing the timings of features in the ducial light curve. We can map this time scale to the chronological time scale t of a particular supernova by introducing a time-warping function that allows the pre-trough phase to be scaled independently from the post-trough phase. The rate at which the feature time maps to the chronological time is:

$$\frac{dt}{d} = ; if t;$$
(23)

where the parameters , are positive constants and of order one and t is the feature time of the trough in the ducial light curve. The solution is t() = m in (; t)+

 $(t_{t})^{+}$ where $u^{+} = m ax(u;0)$. This function can be inverted as

(t) =
$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & t; & \text{ift} & t \\ 1 & t + t & t & t \end{array}$$
 (24)

These equations represent simple transform ations between the chronological and feature time axes, or the \horizontal" dimensions.

Even after adjusting for variations in the two tim escales, there are still variations in the depth of the trough and the amplitude of the second peak. This suggests that an individual norm alized light curve is related to the standard light curve f () as

$$I^{J}(t; ; ;d;r) = \begin{array}{ccc} d[f((t)) & f_{0}]; & (t) & t \\ d[f(t) & f_{0}] + r[f((t)) & f(t)]; & (t) > t \\ \end{array}$$
(25)

where $f_0 = f(0)$ 0, and the param eters d and r are positive constants of order one. The decline param eter d controls the depth of trough by scaling the decline from maximum light, \vertically" in the magnitude dimension. A larger d will produce a deeper trough and a faster decline rate (in m agnitudes per day). At the trough the magnitude is $J(T_{tr}) = J_0 + d[f(t_1) - f_0]$ and rise parameter r controls the rise in ux towards the second maximum relative to the trough magnitude. A larger r will produce a higher second peak and a faster rise rate. This param eterization is constructed to preserve continuity in the light curve even as di erent phases are scaled in am plitude. This quantitative param eterization of two constants (;) to control time scales and two constants (d;r) to control am plitudes in two di erent regimes of the light curve is su cient to describe the variation in Jband light curves. This is a simple transform ation from the ducial light curve to the realized light curves of individual supernovae.

A fler the ducial light curve f() is solved as a continuous function of feature time , one can easily measure any key features, such as the feature time of frough m inimum (t), the feature time of second peak ($_{p2}$), and the norm alized m agnitudes at these points, f(t) and f($_{p2}$). For any particular supernova's J-band light curve, we can measure these features using the solved parameters. The chronological time of the trough is $T_{tr} = t(t) = t$, the trough-to-second-peak time is $T_{tr} = t(p_{2} - t)$, the depth of the trough is $J(T_{tr}) = d[f(t) - f_{0}]$

and the height of the second peak above the trough is $J(T_2) - J(T_{tr}) = r [f(_{p2}) - f(_t)]$. The parameters of the model can be directly related to observable features of light curves.

322. Maximum Likelihood Construction of the FLIRT model

The FLIRT m odel described above is completely speci ed by the ducial normalized light curve function f(). We represent this function in the same way as the Maxim um Likelihood Light Curve Template (x3.1). A set of knots (p;) is de ned on a regular grid, such that f() = s(;) p, where the vectors(;) is fully speci ed by natural cubic spline interpolation. Once p is known, an individual supernova light curve can be tted with the FLIRT m odel by m eans of nonlinear m axim ization of the likelihood to get point estimates of the light curve shape parameters $\int_{a}^{b} = (d^{s};r^{s}; s; s)$ and apparent m agnitude F_{0}^{s} . All that is now required is an estimate of the ducial template p. The joint posterior over the supernova parameters and the ducial template is proportional to the likelihood function

$$P (fJ_0^s; {}_s^Jg;pjfD_s^Jg) / {}^{N_{YSN}}_{s=1} N (m {}_s^JjJ_0^s + S' ({}_s^J)p;W {}_s^F)$$

where the matrix S ($_{s}^{J}$) is derived from the dening equations Eq. 24, Eq. 25 and the interpolation method s(;). If fJ₀^s; $_{s}^{J}$ g are estimated and xed, then the conditional maximization of logP (p jfJ₀^s; $_{s}^{J}$ g;fD $_{s}^{J}$ g) with respect to the template p subject to the constraint that f(=0) = $p_{j} = 0$ is a linear problem.

It is straightforward to solve for the FLIRT model tem plate iteratively. We select a subset of SN light curves that are well sampled. First we pool the photom etric data together and estim ate the M axim um Likelihood Light Curve Template \hat{p}_0 (x3.1) as a rst approximation. Then we t the FLIRT model using this tem plate to each SN light curve by conditional maxim ization of P (J_0^s ; $_s^J$; jp_0 ; fD J g) to get estim ates \hat{J}_0^s ; $\hat{_s}^J$. Next we x the SN parameters and update the ducialtem plate by constrained conditionalm axim ization of P (p jf \hat{J}_0^s ; \hat{J}_s^J ; fD $_s^J$ g) to get a new tem plate \hat{p}_1 . W e iterate until the maximum likelihood tem plate p converges. The tem plate is rescaled so that the sam ple m edian valuesofthe tted SN shape parameters (d;r; ;) are equal to one (so that the ducial tem plate model, which has shape parameters equal to one, rejects a typical light curve).

In practice, it is convenient to measure the relative decline rates d= and the relative rise rates r= rather than the depths and heights directly. The light curve shape parameters are then $^{\rm J}$ = (d= ;r= ; ;). The maximum likelihood J-band FLIRT ducial template $\hat{p}_{\rm J}$ is listed in Table 1, and depicted in Fig. 4, which also shows the e ects of varying each of the parameters. The trough of the ducial template is located at ($_{\rm t}$;f($_{\rm p2}$)) = (14:43 days;1:64 m ag) and the second peak is ($_{\rm p2}$;f($_{\rm p2}$)) = (29:55 days;0:90 m ag).

In Fig. 5 we display the J-band FLIRT ducial light curve, along with the J-band photom etry for the 39 SN listed in Table 2, shown in grey. We have also transform ed each SN light curve data set using the tted light



Fig. 4. | FLIRT model for J-band light curve shape variations. In each panel, the ducial FLIR template with parameters (d= ;r= ; ;) = (1;1;1;1) is shown as the middle curve along with models with one parameter varied while keeping the others xed. For example, the rst panel depicts (0:7;1;1;1) and (1:3;1;1;1). The parameters correspond to the initial decline rate, the second rise rate, the time from peak to trough and the time from trough to second peak.

TABLE 1 JHK_s FLIRT and Max.Likelihood Templates

Т	Τ ₀	J	J ₀	Η	H ₀	K s	K s0
	T_0 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	J 	J ₀ 0.74 0.11 0.00 0.44 1.36 1.63 1.44 1.15 0.91 1.33 1.82 2.23 2.60	H	H ₀ -0.18 0.00 0.09 0.21 0.08 -0.13	K s	K s0 0.48 0.03 0.00 0.22 0.40 0.30 0.18
	55 60		2.91 3.29				

Note. | All tem plates are interpolated using natural cubic splines.

curve parameters in Table 2 to the same scales as the ducial light curve by inverting Eq. 25. The dramatic reduction of dispersion from 5 to 60 days shows that the FLIRT model successfully captures the shape variations in the J-band SN Ia light curves. The double-peaked light curve structure is also seen in the H, K and I bands. In the future, it may be worth exploring FLIRT models in those bands.

4. APPLICATION AND RESULTS

4.1. Nearby SN Ia NIR Light Curves

A comprehensive data set of nearby SN Ia light curves in the near infrared was compiled by W V08, including observations of 21 recent SN with the Peters Automated InfraRed Imaging TELescope (PAIRITEL) taken by the CfA Supernova G roup and observations of 23 SN from the literature



Fig. 5. | The J-band light curve data (blue squares) exhibits signi cant shape variation after the initial decline. After tting for these variations using the FLIRT model, the data was transform ed to the ducial fram e and overplotted (black dots) with the ducial FLIR tem plate light curve (black line). This dem onstrates that the FLIRT m odel successfully captures these light curve shape variations.

(Jha et al. 1999; Hernandez et al. 2000; Krisciunas et al. 2000; DiPaola et al. 2002; Valentiniet al. 2003; 2001, 2003, K risciunas et al. 2004a,b, 2007: Elias-Rosa et al. 2006, 2008; Pastorello et al. 2007; Stanishev et al. 2007; Pignata et al. 2008). Of these, three (SN 2005bl, SN 2005hk, and SN 2005ke) are om itted because they are fast-declining, peculiar SN with \drom edary" H -band light curves that have only one peak, whereas most H -band light curves are \bactrian," having two peaks. We use the remaining data set with two exceptions. The very late J-band secondary maximum of SN 2002cv, and its extrem e reddening and estimated optical extinction $(A_v > 8)$ (E lias-Rosa et al. 2008) suggest this light curve is unusual, so we have om itted it from the analysis. We have also om itted the PAIRITEL observations of SN 2005eu, because we judged the JHKs image subtractions to be of poor quality. The nal, edited set of observations covers 39 SN Ia. We have only used photom etric measurements with signal-to-noise ratio > 3. Extensive studies of two SN in this set, SN 2005cf and SN 2006X, were presented by W ang et al. (2008, 2009).

To construct the H and K $_{\rm s}$ -band tem plates (Fig. 3, Table 1) we used all the light curve observations from the data set. For the J-band light curves we selected a subset of 16 well-sam pled light curves to generate the ducial FLIRT tem plate (Fig. 4, Table 1). This subset consisted of SN 1998bu, SN 1999ee, SN 2001bt, SN 2001cn, SN 2001cz, SN 2002bo, SN 2005el, SN 2005eq, SN 2005na, SN 2006D, SN 2006N, SN 2006X, SN 2006ac, SN 2006ax, SN 2006le, and SN 2006lf.

All photom etric data were K-corrected to the SN rest frame by linearly interpolating the tables of Krisciunas et al. (2004b), and registered to a common phase by subtracting from the Julian Day the time of B-band maximum, $T_{B\,m\,ax}$, as determined by the MLC S2k2 ts to the optical light curves observed by the CfA Supernova Group (Hicken et al. 2009a). The phases were corrected for time dilation using the he-

liocentric redshifts. Recession velocities were corrected to the CMB+Virgo infall rest frame, as described in WV08. Furthermore, a peculiar velocity uncertainty $_{pec} = 150 \text{ km s}^1$ (Radburn-Sm ith et al. 2004) was assumed. Lum inosity distances were computed from the redshifts assuming an LCDM model with $_M = 0.27$, = 0.73 and a Hubble constant scale of h = 0.72 (Freedman et al. 2001; Spergel et al. 2007). At the most distant end of the sam ple at z 0.04, the relative di erence between the lum inosity distances in LCDM and in an Einstein-de Sitter universe is 2%.

4.2. JHK s Light Curve M odel Speci cation

The light-curve models we construct for the JHK s data set consist of maximum likelihood templates for H and K s between -10 and 20 days (x3.1, Fig. 3) and the J-band FLIRT modelbetween -10 and 60 days (x3.2, Fig. 4) with = 5 days. The H and K s models have no light curve shape parameters, and the J-band FLIRT model has four: H = ;, $^{K_{s}}$ = ;, and J = (d= ;r= ; ;). The multiband norm alized light curve models as de ned in Eq. 2 are then fully speci ed by

$$H(t) H_0 = I_0^H(t) \quad f_H(t) = S(t;) \quad H_{\rho}; \quad (27)$$

$$K_{s}(t) \quad K_{s0} = I_{0}^{K_{s}}(t) \quad f_{K_{s}}(t) = S(t;) \quad f_{0}^{s};$$
(28)

$$J(t) \quad J_0 = l_1^{J}(t; N_L) \quad L$$
 (29)

where the J-band linear parameters are $_{L}^{J} = (d= ;r=)$, the nonlinear parameters are $_{NL}^{J} = (;)$, and the vector function $l_{1}^{J}(t; _{NL}^{J})$ is determined by Eq. 24 and Eq. 25.

In the notation of the hierarchical framework described in x2, the observable or apparent parameters are $_{\rm s} = (J_0; H_0; K_{\rm s0}; d=; r=;;)$ for each for supernova s, and the intrinsic or absolute parameters are $_{\rm s} = (M_J; M_H; M_{K_s}; d=; r=;;)$ for each supernova s. The population hyperparameters are $= E[_{\rm s}]$ and $= C \operatorname{ov}[_{\rm s}; _{\rm s}^{\rm T}]$ with expectations with respect to the SN Ia NIR light curve population random ness.

Since dust extinction and reddening have smalle ect on the N IR light curves in our sample, we om it the full m odeling of them ultiband extinctions A_s and dust population characteristic A. The most optically reddened SN in the sample (SN 1999cl, 2006X, and SN 2003cg) are also at low redshifts, where the adopted velocity model gives them little weight in the determ inations of population m eans and covariances of the N IR absolute m agnitudes. Hence we set all A_s to zero and use the one-population m odel for SN Ia N IR light curve random ness only. In x4.5 we estimate the potential e ect of dust on our posterior inferences. In the near future, we will use the full two-population model with N IR and optical data for a sim ultaneous hierarchical modeling of SN Ia light curve shapes and dust extinction.

A fler plugging the speci ed JH K $_{\rm S}$ light curve m odels and param eter dependence into the hierarchical fram ework of x2, we perform probabilistic inference using the B ayeSN algorithm of x2.4 to compute the joint posterior density over all individual param eters for the 39 SN and population hyperparam eters. There is a total of 347 param eters and hyperparam eters in the statistical m odel. Initial positions for the M arkov chains were obtained by adding random noise to the MLE estimates of the SN parameters obtained in x3. It is not necessary to specify initial guesses for the hyperparameters. We set the scale of the inverse W ishart hyperprior, $_0 = _0I$ by choosing a small value $_0 = 10^4$. We found our inferences were insensitive to ten-fold changes in $_0$.

The BayeSN MCMC algorithm was run for 5 independent chains with 2 10^4 sam ples each. The G elm an-Rubin statistic was computed for all parameters: the maximum value was 1.03 and 99% had values less than 1.02, with the mean and median values less than 1.005. A coeptable values of the G-R statistic are typically less than 1.10 (G elm an et al. 2003). The rst 2000 sam ples of each of the chains were then discarded as burn-in and the chains were concatenated for posterior analysis. We found that our inferences were insensitive to the burn-in cuto if it was greater than 1000 sam ples.

4.3. Posterior Inferences

The MCMC results produce samples from the global posterior density over all param eters and hyperparam eters, Eq. 18. We summarize the posterior density by examining marginal posterior densities over subsets of parameters. Inferences at the level of individual supernovae can be summarized by the probability density P (_s; _s jD;Z) for each supernova s. Inferences at the SN Ia NIR population level are summarized by P (; jD;Z). This can be further broken down into the marginal densities over mean properties of absolute light curves P (jD;Z), the probability over covariances between multiband absolute m agnitudes P ([(M $_J$;M $_H$;M $_K_s$); (M $_J$;M $_H$;M $_K_s$)]jD;Z), marginal densities the covariances in light curve shape: P ((;)) and marginal posterior densities over covariances between light curve shape and absolute magnitudes: P ($[(M_J; M_H; M_K_s);]$ jD;Z). These posterior densities are integrals over the global posterior density and can all be computed easily and directly from the MCMC chain. We show example light curve data and model ts in Figs. 6, 7, and 8.

4.3.1. SN Ia JHK s Light Curves

The univariate marginal posterior median and standard deviations of the individual SN light curve parameters $_{\rm S}$ = $(J_0; H_0; K_{\rm S0}; d=; r=;;)$ for each of the 39 SN are listed in Table 2. The light curve ts are excellent, especially in the J-band, where the PA IR ITEL photom etry is the best. The M CM C chains quickly nd the region of parameter space near the peak of the posterior probability distribution, especially if the data tightly constrain the light curve ts.

The SN light curve data in the training set is not homogeneously well sampled. Some SN, e.g. SN 2006X, have extremely good sampling in JHK_s from before maximum to well past the secondary maximum. Such well sampled, complete data sets constrain the observable light curve parameters very well. Other SN are sparsely sampled or have incomplete coverage over the range of the model, for example SN 1999gp, SN 2007cq, SN 2005ao. Some SN, for example SN 1998bu, are well sampled in the early part of the J-band light curve but the measurements stop before the secondary maximum. Several SN in our sample (SN 1999ee, SN 2000bk, SN

2000ca, SN 2005iq, and SN 2007cq) have no K $_{\rm s}\mbox{-band}$ data.

In these cases the advantages of the B ayesian approach are clear. Since we have de ned a joint probability density over all data and param eters (both of which are considered random variables) in Eq. 18, we have a probability distribution over the param eters that are not well. constrained by the individual SN data because of m issing observations. The Bayesian computation yields sensible num erical estim ates of the poorly constrained param eters and their uncertainties using the joint probability over the observed param eters, the population distribution of individual SN param eters, and the uncertainty in the hyperparam eters of the population distribution, all conditioned on the actual observed data and its uncertainty. For the very well-sam pled, com plete light curves, the posterior density over SN light curve param eters will be dom inated by the inform ation from its own light curve data. An example of this is SN 2006X, shown in Fig. 6 along with the light curve ts. For sparse light curves, for example, SN 2006cp (Fig. 7), som e of the param eters will be informed by the population distribution constrained by the whole training set of SN. In an interm ediate case (e.g. SN 2005cf, Fig. 8, an incom plete light curve), a balance between the existing data, observed parameters and the population distribution of the poorly constrained param eters is achieved, and an appropriate uncertainty is computed. These computations are already handled autom atically by our sampling of the global posterior probability density.

4.3.2. NIR Absolute Magnitudes

A sum m ary of posterior inferences of the SN Ia N IR light curve population hyperparam eters is presented in Table 3. The univariate expectation of the m eans is shown along with the standard deviations of the univariate m arginal densities. We list the m odal values of the square root of the variances 2 , which are the diagonal



Fig. 6.] JHKs light curve data and model ts to SN 2006X. This is a very well sampled light curve, and the t to the light curve model (black curves) is excellent. The H and Ks bands are t to the maxim um likelihood tem plates, and the J-band is t to the FLIRT model. The data of this SN tightly constrain the light curve parameters.

TABLE 2 Posterior Summary of SN Ia JH K $_{\rm S}$ Light Curve Parameters

SN	T _{Bmax} a [MJD]	J ₀ [m ag]	Н ₀ [m ag]	K _{s0} [m ag]	d=	r=			Ref. ^b
SN 1998bu	50952.40	11.75 (0.01)	11.87 (0.01)	11.58 (0.01)	0.88 (0.03)	0.94 (0.08)	1.12 (0.02)	1.02 (0.13)	J99 , Н 00
SN 1999cl	51342.20	12.94 (0.01)	13.01 (0.01)	12.66 (0.01)	1.10 (0.03)	1.12 (0.08)	0.76 (0.02)	1.05 (0.13)	K 00
SN 1999cp	51363.20	14.66 (0.02)	14.93 (0.03)	14.64 (0.07)	0.85 (0.07)	0.86 (0.08)	1.15 (0.06)	1.06 (0.26)	K 00
SN 1999æ	51469.30	14.96 (0.01)	15.19 (0.01)	14.94 (0.13)	0.80 (0.01)	0.85 (0.02)	1.20 (0.01)	1.08 (0.01)	K 04b
SN 1999ek	51481.80	16.30 (0.01)	16.42 (0.01)	16.27 (0.09)	0.97 (0.02)	1.09 (0.07)	1.00 (0.02)	0.97 (0.26)	K 04c
SN 1999gp	51550.10	16.95 (0.17)	17.17 (0.12)	16.75 (0.12)	1.00 (0.18)	0.84 (0.11)	0.98 (0.17)	1.10 (0.27)	K 01
SN 2000E	51577.20	13.58 (0.01)	13.90 (0.02)	13.49 (0.03)	0.93 (0.02)	0.88 (0.04)	1.09 (0.01)	1.16 (0.03)	V 03
SN 2000bh	51636.00	16.53 (0.03)	16.88 (0.01)	16.69 (0.02)	1.03 (0.03)	0.97 (0.02)	1.06 (0.01)	1.09 (0.01)	K 04b
SN 2000bk	51647.00	17.15 (0.04)	17.43 (0.01)	17.52 (0.22)	0.84 (0.04)	1.23 (0.05)	1.04 (0.02)	0.58 (0.01)	K 01
SN 2000ca	51666.20	16.53 (0.01)	16.78 (0.02)	16.62 (0.20)	0.83 (0.02)	0.83 (0.09)	1.14 (0.03)	0.97 (0.25)	K 04b
SN 2000œ	51667.30	15.98 (0.12)	16.28 (0.02)	15.87 (0.03)	0.94 (0.11)	0.89 (0.07)	1.06 (0.04)	1.05 (0.25)	K 01
SN 2001ba	52034.20	17.19 (0.01)	17.51 (0.02)	17.27 (0.02)	1.03 (0.02)	1.00 (0.04)	1.06 (0.02)	1.10 (0.03)	K 04b
SN 2001bt	52062.90	15.55 (0.01)	15.82 (0.02)	15.51 (0.02)	1.03 (0.02)	0.96 (0.03)	0.99 (0.02)	0.96 (0.02)	K 04c
SN 2001an	52071.00	15.64 (0.03)	15.91 (0.02)	15.61 (0.05)	0.96 (0.03)	1.01 (0.03)	1.09 (0.02)	0.98 (0.02)	K 04c
SN 2001cz	52103.40	15.53 (0.03)	15.91 (0.05)	15.63 (0.06)	0.96 (0.04)	0.89 (0.06)	1.16 (0.04)	1.08 (0.04)	K 04c
SN 2001el	52182.10	13.03 (0.01)	13.11 (0.01)	12.86 (0.02)	0.75 (0.02)	0.93 (0.01)	1.14 (0.01)	0.88 (0.01)	K 03
SN 2002bo	52356.00	13.78 (0.02)	14.08 (0.01)	13.99 (0.02)	0.90 (0.03)	0.92 (0.03)	1.02 (0.02)	0.97 (0.02)	K 04c
SN 2002dj	52450.60	14.68 (0.02)	14.91 (0.01)	14.64 (0.01)	0.86 (0.02)	0.88 (0.04)	1.13 (0.02)	0.92 (0.02)	P 08
SN 2003cg	52729.10	13.71 (0.04)	13.92 (0.01)	13.45 (0.01)	1.04 (0.04)	0.93 (0.04)	0.95 (0.04)	1.12 (0.03)	ER 06
SN 2003du	52765.90	14.29 (0.02)	14.66 (0.02)	14.35 (0.01)	0.95 (0.02)	0.96 (0.09)	1.20 (0.02)	1.04 (0.27)	St07
SN 2004S	53038.70	14.82 (0.02)	15.00 (0.01)	14.71 (0.02)	0.70 (0.01)	0.85 (0.04)	1.32 (0.03)	0.83 (0.02)	K 07
SN 2004eo	53278.70	15.73 (0.04)	15.97 (0.04)	15.76 (0.08)	1.09 (0.09)	1.02 (0.04)	0.87 (0.05)	0.94 (0.05)	Pa07
SN 2005ao	53442.00	17.98 (0.07)	18.13 (0.01)	18.34 (0.02)	0.73 (0.17)	1.06 (0.12)	1.07 (0.14)	0.88 (0.20)	W V 08
SN 2005cf	53533.60	13.93 (0.01)	14.08 (0.01)	13.99 (0.01)	0.81 (0.02)	0.91 (0.05)	1.13 (0.02)	1.12 (0.17)	M A 08
SN 2005ch	53536.00	17.03 (0.07)	17.28 (0.03)	17.07 (0.05)	1.10 (0.08)	1.05 (0.08)	1.00 (0.04)	0.99 (0.19)	W V 08
SN 2005eL	53646.10	15.60 (0.01)	15.82 (0.01)	15.59 (0.01)	1.13 (0.01)	1.01 (0.02)	0.87 (0.01)	0.86 (0.01)	W V 08
SN 2005eq	53653.90	16.95 (0.01)	17.34 (0.02)	16.89 (0.03)	0.76 (0.02)	0.72 (0.03)	1.19 (0.02)	1.12 (0.02)	W V 08
SN 20051q	53687.10	17.60 (0.05)	17.79 (0.14)	17.52 (0.20)	1.06 (0.11)	1.03 (0.10)	0.92 (0.12)	1.04 (0.26)	W V 08
SN 2005na	53740.50	16.66 (0.08)	17.12 (0.07)	16.85 (0.13)	0.99 (0.12)	0.89 (0.06)	0.89 (0.05)	1.21 (0.06)	W V 08
SN 2006D	53756.70	14.49 (0.01)	14.70 (0.01)	14.69 (0.01)	1.05 (0.02)	1.10 (0.04)	0.98 (0.01)	0.79 (0.02)	W V 08
SN 2006N	53/60.60	15.69 (0.08)	15.97 (0.07)	15.81 (0.10)	1.11 (0.09)	1.10 (0.07)	0.87 (0.04)	0.93 (0.03)	W V 08
SN 2006X	53/85.50	13.04 (0.02)	13.08 (0.01)	12./8 (0.01)	0.91 (0.02)	0.95 (0.01)	0.97 (0.01)	1.09 (0.01)	W V 08
SN 2006ac	53/81.20	16.64 (0.07)	16.90 (0.09)	16.67 (0.09)	0.94 (0.12)	0.90 (0.08)	0.88 (0.09)	1.31 (0.19)	W V 08
SN 2006ax	53826.70	15.87 (0.01)	16.37 (0.03)	16.13 (0.03)	1.08 (0.02)	1.06 (0.06)	1.12 (0.02)	1.03 (0.02)	W V 08
SN 2006cp	53896./0	17.04 (0.04)	10.91 (0.05)	17.62 (0.08)	1.10 (0.06)	1.02 (0.07)	0.94 (0.08)	1.00 (0.26)	W V U8
SN 2006gr	54014.00	1,94 (U.10)	10.00 (0.14)	1(00) (0.18)	0.91 (0.09)	T'NS (N'TO)	1.03 (0.12)	1.01 (U.27)	W V U8
SN 20061E	54048.00	15 79 (0.03)	15.04 (U.U2)	15 56 (0.05)	0.90 (0.05)	U.96 (U.U5)	1.16 (U.U4)	1.14 (U.U4)	W V U8
SN 2007	54044.80	16 EQ (0.03)	17.01 (0.04)	16.00 (0.05)	1.12 (U.U/)	1.12 (U.U8)	0.03 (0.05)	0./9 (0.05)	W V US
5M 200 /00	54280.00	10.00 (0.03)	17.01 (0.24)	10.80 (0.28)	0.96 (0.21)	0.03 (0.12)	0.97 (0.30)	⊥ . ⊥∪ (∪.∠9)	W V U8

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Time of maximum light in B: Julian Date -2,400,000. J₀; H₀; K_{s0} are J, H, and K_s at T_{B m ax}.

^b Reference codes: W V 08 W ood-Vasey et al. (PA IR IT EL photom etry; 2008); J99: Jha et al. (1999); H 00: H emandez et al. (2000); K 00: K risciunas et al. (2000); K 01: K risciunas et al. (2001); V 03: V alentini et al. (2003); K 03: K risciunas et al. (2003); K 04b: K risciunas et al. (2004b); K 04c: K risciunas et al. (2004c); K 07: K risciunas et al. (2007); ER 06: E lias-R osa et al. (2006); Pa07: Pastorello et al. (2007); St07: Stanishev et al. (2007); P 08: P ignata et al. (2008).

i	M _J	M _H	M _{Ks}	d=	r=		
()	-18.25 (0.03) 0.17 (0.03)	-18.01 (0.03) 0.11 (0.03)	-18.25 (0.04) 0.19 (0.04)	0.95 (0.03) 0.15 (0.03)	0.97 (0.03) 0.13 (0.03)	1.04 (0.03) 0.15 (0.02)	1.01 (0.04) 0.22 (0.04)
(M _J ;)	1.00	0.73 (0.03)	0.41 (0.09)	-0.14 (0.29)	0.52 (0.03)	-0.07 (0.46)	-0.28 (0.18)
(M _H ;)	0.73 (0.03)	1.00	0.53 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.47)	0.59 (0.03)	0.24 (0.20)	-0.21 (0.35)
(M _{Ks} ;)	0.41 (0.09)	0.53 (0.04)	1.00	-0.16 (0.34)	0.76 (0.01)	0.07 (0.39)	-0.48 (0.11)
(d=;)	-0.14 (0.29)	-0.03 (0.47)	-0.16 (0.34)	1.00	0.55 (0.02)	-0.77 (0.00)	0.07 (0.41)
(r= ;)	0.52 (0.03)	0.59 (0.03)	0.76 (0.01)	0.55 (0.02)	1.00	-0.50 (0.02)	-0.43 (0.07)
(;)	-0.07 (0.46)	0.24 (0.20)	0.07 (0.39)	-0.77 (0.00)	-0.50 (0.02)	1.00	-0.04 (0.47)
(;)	-0.28 (0.18)	-0.21 (0.35)	-0.48 (0.11)	0.07 (0.41)	-0.43 (0.07)	-0.04 (0.47)	1.00

TABLE 3 Summary of Posterior Inference: Population Hyperparameters

Note. (top) P opulation m eans and variances of the absolute param eters. Values in the parentheses are the standard deviations of the m arginal posterior density in each param eter. The estimates of the () are m odal values. (bottom) P opulation correlation m atrix for the absolute param eters. Estimates of the correlations (;) are the m odal values. The parentheses contain the tail probabilities as described in Eq. 30.



Fig. 7. JHK_s light curve data and model ts (black curves) to SN 2006cp. The light curve data is sparse and incom plete. The BayeSN method estimates the J-band light curve where the data is m issing using the information in the population distribution of the set of SN and its uncertainty. For example, the correlation of the initial decline rate with the second rise rate provides som e inform ation. Since the population of J-band light curves exhibits signi cant late-time shape variations, the late-time model t is very uncertain, as re ected by the grey error tube spanning the 16% and 84% quantiles of the posterior uncertainty in the light curve.



Fig. 8. JHK s light curve data and m odel ts (black curves) to SN 2005cf. The light curve data is adequately sam pled in the early part of the light curve up to second rise, but ends before reaching the second peak and decline. The BayeSN method estimates the second maximum and late-time decline using a combination of the constraints imposed by the data and the population distribution of the training set. For example, the nal data point provides a low er bound for the time of the second m axim um . This m akes the posterior distribution of the parameter non-gaussian.

values of the covariance m atrix , and the standard deviations of their univariate marginal posterior probability densities. We also list the modal values of the correlations (;) obtained from the o -diagonal terms by d=, is moderately correlated (after factoring out the variances. of the covariance The marginal modes are estimated from the histogram of M C M C samples in each quantity. In addition we list

the tail probabilities of each correlation coe cient, dened as

$$p_{tail} = \begin{array}{c} P(<0); \text{ ifm ode}() > 0; \\ P(>0); \text{ ifm ode}() < 0: \end{array}$$
(30)

The sm aller the tail probability, the greater the evidence that the correlation is di erent from zero, either positively or negatively. The probability densities of correlation coe cients have support between -1 and 1 and are typically asymmetric. The probability densities of variance parameters are also non-gaussian, since they are forced to be positive, and have fat tails tow ards higher variance. This captures the intuition that for a nite sample with xed scatter, it is more di cult to discount the hypothesis that it arose from a high-variance distribution rather than a low variance one.

The population mean absolute magnitudes are 18:25 0:03, (M_J) = $(M_{\rm H})$ = 18:01 0:03, and $(M_{Ks}) =$ 18:25 0:04 mag (on the scale of h = 0.72), and the population standard deviations are 0:03, (M_H) = 0:11 $(M_J) = 0:17$ 0:03, and (M_{K s}) = 0:19 0:04 m ag. In Figure 9, we show the bivariate joint posterior density of the mean and variance for the absolute m agnitude in each band, and the bivariate m odal values. The skews in the posterior densities for the variances are visible. The absolute m agnitude in the H-band clearly has much less intrinsic dispersion than in the J-and K -band and is the best constrained. W e have used bivaraite kernel density estimation with the MCMC samples to compute the 68% and 95% highest posterior density contours and the mode, shown in the gure.

Figure 10 shows the marginal posterior estimates of the individual SN H and J absolute m agnitudes (obtained from , e.g. P (J_0^s) $_{\rm s}$ jD ;Z)) plotted with contours representing the 68% and 95% probability contours of the bivariate population density $P (M_J; M_H j;)$ estim ated using the modal values of the covariance matrix

(Table 3). We also show the marginal posterior density of the correlation coe cient for the pair of absolute m agnitudes.

We see that the absolute magnitudes in J and H are highly correlated (0:73) with strong evidence for positive correlation (P (> 0) > 0:97). The data also suggest that intrinsically brighter SN are typically bluer in J H color. Interestingly, this parallels the \brighterbluer" relation seen in optical light curves (e.g.Guy et al. 2005; Jha et al. 2006). There is also evidence for positive correlations between M $_{\rm J}$, M $_{\rm K~s}$ and M $_{\rm H}$, M $_{\rm K~s}$, although the modal correlations are weaker (0:4 0:5, Table 3).

4.3.3. Statistical Structure of J-band Light Curve Shapes

W e exam ine the statistical relationships between the di erent features of the J-band light curve. W e focus only on those correlations which are signi cantly di erent from zero, as measured by the tail probabilities of the posterior distribution (Table 3).

The peak-to-trough initial decline rate, as measured 0:55) with the trough-to-second peak rise rate, as measured by r= . The posterior probability of a positive correlation is 98%. This trend indicates faster pre-trough declines lead to



Fig. 9. | Joint posterior probability densities in the population mean and population variance ² of the peak absolute magnitudes in each N IR band. The crosses and the numbers in each panel denote the mode of the bivariate probability density. The contours contain the 68% and 95% highest posterior density regions. These estimates were obtained directly from the BayeSN M CM C dain of the trained statistical model. The univariate magnial estimates of the population variances are: (M_J) = 0:17 0:03, (M_H) = 0:11 0:03, and (M_{K s}) = 0:19 0:04.



Fig.10. Strong correlation between J-and H-band peak luminosities. The grey ellipses contain 95% and 68% of the bivariate population probability distribution using the modal values of the population covariance. The straight lines indicate sets of constant J H color. There appears to be a trend that bluer J H objects are also intrinsically brighter. The marginal posterior probability density of the correlation coe cient obtained via M CM C is shown in the inset. Them ode is = 0.73, and P(> 0) = 0.97 is obtained by num erical integration of the marginal density.

faster post-trough rises. There is a strong correlation (0:77) in the early light curve between the initial decline rate and the time to trough (), dem onstrating that slow er declining light curves have later troughs. There is a m oderate (0:43) correlation between the post-trough rise rate and the trough-to-second peak time (), suggesting that in the post-trough phase, slow er risers have later secondary m axim a. The posterior probability of a negative correlation is 93%. As shown in Table 3, there is no correlation between the early time scale ()

and the late, post-trough time scale ().

4.3.4. Statistical Comelations between N IR Absolute M agnitudes and Light Curve Shape

Statistical correlations between peak SN Ia absolute m agnitudes and light curve shape are of param ount im portance to cosm ological studies, because they relate the intrinsic lum inosity, a hidden, intrinsic param eter, to a distance-independent observable m easure. Relations between optical light curve shape and optical lum inosity have been leveraged to im prove the utility of SN Ia as standard candles and distance indicators. We present the rst quantitative search and m easurement of correlations between near infrared absolute m agnitudes and light curve shape as m easured from the J-band light curves. A gain, we only highlight correlations having the highest posterior probability of being non-zero as m easured from the tail probability.

Figure 11 show the M_J and r= estimates for individual SN together with 68% and 95% probability contours of the population density P (M_J;r= j;) using the expected posterior estimate of the population mean and the modal covariance matrix. The most likely correlation is moderate (0.52). The evidence for a positive correlation is fairly strong: P (> 0) = 97%. This demonstrates that brighter SN Ia J-band light curves are likely to rise more slow by to the second maximum.

Figure 12, shows a moderate correlation (0.59) of J-band rise rate with the H-band lum inosity. There is good evidence for a positive correlation (P (> 0) = 0.97). Figure 13 shows that the K_s-band lum inosity has a fairly strong correlation with the J-band rise rate (0.76) with strong evidence (P (> 0) = 99%) for a positive relation. In these bivariate plots we have only shown individual SN with posterior uncertainty sm aller than the population width in each parameter. The fully B ayesian calculation properly accounts for the uncertainties in the parameters of individual SN when determ ining the posterior density of the population correlation.

Taken together these correlations suggest that SN Ia light curves brighter in the NIR at peak have slower rates of evolution at later times, as measured from the J-band light curve. A larger sample of SN Ia light curves in the NIR is needed to con m these correlations. The best m easured light curves tend to be at low er redshifts, where peculiar velocity uncertainties make the absolute m agnitudes highly uncertain. Supernovae farther out in the Hubble ow have better determ ined absolute m agnitudes but are likely to have poorer quality m easurem ents of the whole light curve evolution. Continued monitoring of local SN in the NIR over a wide range of distances and redshifts will help to solidify our estim ates of these correlations (by narrow ing their posterior probability densities and providing better estim ates of the modal correlation coe cients).

U sing the trained statistical model, we can estim ate the expected precision of distance prediction for di erent types of light curve observations represented by subsets of the observable light curve parameters in s. Suppose the observable vector \sim_{s} of a new hypothetical SN can be partitioned into the observed parameters and the unobserved parameters $\sim_{s} = (\sim_{s}^{\circ}; \sim_{s}^{u})$. We have computed the variance of the predictive \sim_{s} conditional on \sim_{s}° , and



Fig.11.| Evidence form oderate correlation between the J-band second rise rate and the J-band peak lum inosity. The grey ellipses contain 95% and 68% of the bivariate population probability distribution using the m odal values of the population covariance. The inset shows the m arginal posterior probability density of the correlation coe cient obtained via M CM C, along with the m ode and probability of positive correlation with the absolute m agnitude.



Fig.12. Moderate correlation between the J-band second rise rate and the H-band peak lum inosity. The grey ellipses contain 95% and 68% of the bivariate population probability distribution using the modal values of the population covariance. The inset shows the marginal posterior probability density of the correlation coe cient obtained via MCMC, along with the mode and probability of positive correlation with the absolute magnitude.

marginalizing over \sim_{s}^{u} and the posterior uncertainty of the hyperparam eters for various partitions of \sim_{s} . We nd that the statistical model in plies the following properties: (1) If one only observes the light curve around T_{Bmax} , then the single most valuable measurem ent is the H -band apparent m agnitude, providing a distance m odulus precision of 0:14 m ag; the J-and K_s-bands do not add much more statistical power. (2) If one monitors the J-band light curve at late tim es to measure the second rise to the secondary m axim um, the m oderate correlation of the rise rate with absolute m agnitudes can be used to decrease the uncertainty towards 0:1 m ag. In the next section, we will test the predictive perform ance of the modelusing the NIR SN Ia sample.



Fig.13. Evidence for correlation between the J-band second rise rate and the K_s-band peak lum inosity. The grey ellipses contain 95% and 68% of the bivariate population probability distribution using the modal values of the population covariance. The inset shows the marginal posterior probability density of the correlation coe cient obtained via MCMC, along with the mode and probability of positive correlation with the absolute magnitude.

4.4. The Hubble Diagram of JHK_s SN Ia Light Curves

4.4.1. Hubble Residuals and Training Error

W e list in Table 4 the redshifts and several estim ates of the distance moduli to the SN Ia in our training set. We list the redshift-based LCDM Hubble ow distance and its uncertainty, described by Eq. 8, on the $H_{o} = 72 \text{ km s}^{1} \text{ Mpc}^{1}$ scale. This describes the factor P ($_{\rm s}$ $\dot{\rm z}_{\rm s}$), conditioning on the redshift only, and incorporating the assumed $_{pec} = 150$ km s 1 peculiar velocity uncertainty and the redshift measurement error. As a product of the Bayesian treatment, we obtain the posterior estimate of the distance modulus, combining the redshift in form ation with the statistical light curvem odel and conditioning on the entire dataset to generate an \inform ation update." This is expressed as the m arginal posterior probability P ($_{s}jD;Z$). The mean and standard deviation of this probability density are listed as post and post for each supernova.

The typical measure of the quality of a model for SN Ia standard candles is the average residual in the Hubble diagram. First, the redshift-based distance moduli and photom etric light curves are used to \train" a statisticalm odel, by determ ining the mean absolute magnitude and variance, and perhaps relationships between absolute magnitude and light curve shape. Once these parameters of the statistical model are determ ined from the training set data, the photom etric light curves are fed into the model without the redshift-based distances to \predict" standard candle distances using the model. These new distances are compared to the Hubble ow distances to calculate the average residual error.

This measure of \training error" may be called the resubstitution error because it involves using the redshifts and light curve data of the training set to train the model parameters, and then the resubstituting the light curve data back into the model to produce distance \predictions" as if the light curve data were new.

In our fully Bayesian form ulation, the process of \training" corresponds to computing the (non-gaussian) pos-

TABLE 4 SN Ia NIR Distance Modulus Estimates

SN	cz ^a	CZerr	гсри ја	ż	post	post	resub	resub	pred	S _{p red}	pred
	[km s ¹]	[km s ¹]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]	[m ag]
SN 1998bu	709	20	30.00	0.46	29.84	0.10	29.85	0.09	29.84	0.09	0.12
SN 1999cl	957	86	30.62	0.39	30.95	0.10	30.99	0.08	31.00	0.12	0.14
SN 1999cp	2909	14	33.05	0.11	32.98	0.08	32.94	0.14	32.94	0.04	0.15
SN 1999ee	3296	15	33.32	0.10	33.23	0.06	33.20	0.05	33.16	0.07	0.09
SN 1999ek	5191	10	34.32	0.06	34.36	0.06	34.45	0.14	34.47	0.06	0.15
SN 1999gp	8113	18	35.30	0.04	35.28	0.04	35.10	0.20	35.05	0.06	0.20
SN 2000E	1803	19	32.00	0.18	31.89	0.06	31.89	0.07	31.86	0.09	0.11
SN 2000bh	6765	21	34.90	0.05	34.91	0.04	34.90	0.04	34.88	0.04	0.06
SN 2000bk	7976	20	35.27	0.04	35.27	0.04	35.34	80.0	35.57	0.13	0.15
SN 2000ca	6989	62	34.97	0.05	34.92	0.05	34.78	0.10	34.75	0.05	0.11
SN 2000ce	5097	15	34.28	0.06	34.28	0.06	34.28	0.12	34.24	0.09	0.14
SN 2001ba	8718	22	35.46	0.04	35.48	0.03	35.53	80.0	35.51	0.06	0.10
SN 2001bt	4220	13	33.87	0.08	33.84	0.05	33.83	0.05	33.82	0.06	0.08
SN 2001cn	4454	250	33.97	0.14	33.84	0.05	33.83	0.06	33.86	0.05	0.08
SN 2001cz	4506	20	34.00	0.07	33.94	0.06	33.88	0.12	33.85	0.04	0.12
SN 2001el	978	10	30.70	0.33	31.08	0.07	31.10	0.03	31.17	0.08	0.08
SN 2002bo	1696	20	31.88	0.19	32.19	0.06	32.19	0.05	32.21	0.11	0.12
SN 2002dj	2880	22	33.03	0.11	32.95	0.05	32.94	0.05	32.92	0.07	0.09
SN 2003cg	1340	24	31.37	0.25	31.92	0.07	31.97	0.06	31.97	0.18	0.19
SN 2003du	2206	14	32.44	0.15	32.58	0.10	32.61	0.17	32.60	0.08	0.19
SN 2004S	2607	16	32.81	0.13	32.96	0.08	33.01	0.06	33.07	0.12	0.14
SN 2004eo	4859	17	34.17	0.07	34.05	0.05	33.93	0.10	33.92	0.05	0.11
SN 2005ao	11828	126	36.14	0.04	36.15	0.04	36.20	0.10	36.40	0.20	0.23
SN 2005cf	2018	11	32.24	0.16	32.14	0.08	32.13	0.06	32.10	0.09	0.11
SN 2005ch	8094	1499	35.30	0.40	35.26	0.10	35.27	0.11	35.25	0.06	0.12
SN 2005el	4349	8	33.93	0.08	33.91	0.05	33.90	0.03	33.85	0.08	0.08
SN 2005eq	8535	25	35.41	0.04	35.40	0.04	35.39	0.05	35.26	0.15	0.16
SN 2005.iq	10102	40	35.79	0.03	35.79	0.03	35.86	0.17	35.81	0.06	0.18
SN 2005na	7826	26	35.23	0.04	35.22	0.04	35.21	0.14	35.16	0.10	0.17
SN 2006D	2560	18	32.76	0.13	32.73	0.06	32.72	0.06	32.76	0.12	0.14
SN 2006N	4468	27	33.99	0.07	33.97	0.06	33.98	0.15	33.95	0.06	0.16
SN 2006X	1091	20	30.88	0.30	31.10	0.07	31.12	0.03	31.12	0.09	0.10
SN 2006ac	7123	17	35.01	0.05	35.01	0.05	34.98	0.14	34.99	0.09	0.17
SN 2006ax	4955	20	34.21	0.07	34.26	0.06	34.31	0.09	34.38	0.06	0.11
SN 2006cp	6816	14	34.92	0.05	34.92	0.05	34.92	0.14	34.90	0.04	0.14
SN 2006gr	10547	22	35.89	0.03	35.90	0.03	36.00	0.19	36.02	0.09	0.21
SN 2006le	5403	12	34.40	0.06	34.50	0.06	34.63	0.08	34.69	0.04	0.09
SN 20061£	4048	10	33.77	0.08	33.80	0.07	33.83	0.11	33.85	0.17	0.20
SN 2007cq	7501	50	35.13	0.05	35.11	0.05	35.01	0.19	34.91	0.03	0.19

 $^{\rm a}$ C orrected to the C M B + V irgo fram e.

 b_{post} and post are the mean and standard deviation of the trained posterior density in the distance modulus. p_{resub} and p_{resub} are the mean and standard deviation of the resubstituted predictive posterior of the distance modulus. p_{red} and s_{pred} are the average and scatter over multiple bootstrapped training sets of the expected predictive distance moduli. p_{pred}^2 is the quadrature sum of predictive uncertainty and the scatter over bootstrap predictions.

terior density over the hyperparam eters P (; jD;Z) obtained by integrating over Eq. 18. This is to be contrasted with simpler approaches that merely ind point estimates of the model parameters. The process of prediction uses this posterior probability together with new light curve data D_s to compute the predictive posterior P ($\sim_s jD_s;D;Z$). The marginalization over the hyperparameters correctly incorporates the uncertainties in the means, variances, and correlations of the absolute magnitudes and light curve shape parameters. Recall that the training set is $D = fD_sg$ and $Z = fZ_sg$. The resubstitution distance estimate is obtained by setting $D_s = D_s$ and computing the predictive probability P ($_s jD_s;D;Z$) for each supernova s in the training set.

The uncertainty-weighted mean resubstitution error is then a sum over all resubstituted predicted distances for each supernova.

$$\operatorname{en}_{\operatorname{resub}}^{2} = \overset{\aleph_{S^{N}}}{\underset{s=1}{\overset{h}{\operatorname{w}}}} \overset{h}{\underset{\operatorname{resub}}{\overset{s}{\operatorname{resub}}}} \overset{i_{2} \cdot \aleph_{S^{N}}}{\underset{s=1}{\overset{s}{\operatorname{resub}}}} w_{s} \quad (31)$$

where the expected predictive distance is ${}^{s}_{resub}$, the variance is ${}^{2}_{resub;s}$ and the weights are $w_{s}{}^{1} = {}^{2}_{;s} + {}^{2}_{resub;s}$. The resubstitution predictive distance m oduli and uncertainties are listed in Table 4. Figure 14 shows the Hubble diagram constructed from the resubstitution distance m oduli from our JH K s statisticalm odel. We compute the training resubstitution error over the training set SN with recession velocities cz > 2000 km s^{1}, and nd err_{resub} = 0:10 m ag.

4.4.2. Cross-Validation and Prediction Error

The resubstitution error is an optim istic estimate of the predictive, or generalization, error arising from predicting the distances of new SN Ia light curves that were not in the training set (\out of sam ple"). The resubstitution prediction P ($_{s}jD_{s};D;Z$) conditions on the data D_{s} twice: once during training when it is included in the training set D;Z and once in resubstitution to assess training error. D ouble use of the data for both training and evaluation is likely to lead to optim istic measures of predictive perform ance, underestimating the true predic-

1



Fig.14. | Hubble diagram constructed by resubstitution of training set N IR SN Ia light curves into the trained statistical model. The dotted lines indicate the uncertainty in distance modulus due to peculiar velocities. The average residual at cz > 2000 km s⁻¹ is an excellent 0.10 m ag. The three open circles are the SN with $A_V > 2$ as measured from the optical light curves with M LC S2k2.

tive error. It is always possible to reduce the residuals of a t to a nite, noisy sample by introducing arbitrarily m ore complex relations, but arbitrarily complex models will typically not generalize well to new data. We should compute the generalization error for out-of-sample cases to assess predictive perform ance of the statistical model. The distinction between the training error, or Hubble residual, and the expected prediction error has not been fully addressed in the literature on SN Ia light curve inference m ethods. In this section, we describe the novel application of a cross-validation procedure to assess the prediction error and to test sensitivity of the statistical m odel to the training set SN.

To estimate the out-of-sample prediction error and to avoid using the light curve data twice for training and evaluation, we perform ed bootstrap cross-validation (E fron 1983; E fron & Tibshirani 1997). W e sam ple SN with replacement from the original training set to sim ulate the generation of alternative training sets of the sam e size. B ecause of the random resampling, each bootstrapped training set will typically contain duplicate SN data sets and will be missing others. Each bootstrapped training set of size n SN will be missing approximately 37% of the SN in the original training set. (1 1=n)ⁿ The SN missing from the bootstrap training set form a prediction set, on which we assess the predictive error of a model trained on the complementary training set. Let D^B; Z^B be a training set bootstrapped from the original D ; Z . Then the prediction set is D n $D^{\,B}$. To train the statistical model we compute P (; jD^B; Z^B) as in Eq. 18. For each supernova light curve $D_s 2$ fD $nD^B g$, we compute the predictive density $P(_{s}jD_{s};D^{B};Z^{B})$. This random process is repeated so that each supernova distance is predicted several times from dierent bootstrapped training sets. This process avoids using each SN light curve simultaneously for both prediction and training.

We repeated this process fly times for the original

training set in Table 2. On average, each supernova is held out of the training set and its distance modulus s is predicted about eighteen times. For each supernova, the average over all of its predictions pred and the standard deviation s_{pred} over all predictions are listed in Table 4. We also list the sum of the variance over predictions s_{pred}^2 and the average uncertainty of a prediction (the variance of P ($_{s}jD_{s};D^{B};Z^{B}$)) as $_{pred}^{2}$. Offen the uncertainty of a single prediction is larger than the scatter of the predictions from di erent bootstrapped training sets, although this is not always true. In Fig. 15 we show the H ubble diagram of mean predicted distance moduli $_{pred}$ and their total scatter $_{pred}$. Because we do not use the data twice for training and prediction, the scatter about the H ubble line is less tight than in the resubstitution H ubble diagram, Fig. 14.

The \leave-one-out" bootstrap error is computed as an uncertainty-weighted average of squared prediction errors.

$$E rr_{(1)}^{2} = \frac{P_{50} P_{B=1} S2 fD nD^{B} g W_{S}^{B} S_{pred;B} E(s \dot{z}_{S})}{P_{50} P_{B=1} S2 fD nD^{B} g W_{S}^{B}}$$
(32)

where ${}^{s}_{pred;B}$ E (${}_{s} D_{s};D^{B};Z^{B}$) and the weights are $(w_{s}^{B})^{1} = {}^{2}_{;s} + Var[{}_{s}jD_{s};D^{B};Z^{B}]$. This bootstrap error estimate is known to be upwardly biased. E from (1983) and E from & T ibshirani (1997) have shown that a better estimate of prediction error is obtained by averaging the bootstrap error with the resubstitution error, using the $\.632$ bootstrap estimator":

$$E rr_{:632}^2 = 0.632 \quad E rr_{(1)}^2 + 0.368 \quad err_{resub}^2$$
 (33)

For the Hubble ow SN (cz > 2000 km s¹) in our sample, we compute this estimate of prediction error: Err_{:632} = 0:15 m ag. This is a larger error than the resubstitution error computed above, as expected. However, it is a more realistic estimate of predictive perform ance of distance estimation with our JH K_s light curve model and the current SN sample. This result con rm s that N IR SN Ia are excellent standard candles.

This process of resampling of alternative training set tests how sensitive the predictions are to the composition of the nite training set. If the statistical model is reasonable, and we had an in nite training set, we would expect the original training set to be representative of the population of N IR SN Ia and we would expect the resam – pled training sets (and also the complem entary held out sets) to look like the original, and also be representative of the population. We would expect that the prediction error and the resubstitution error to be alm ost the sam e. O ur actual training set is nite, so the resampled sets will not look exactly like the original set. This procedure tests the sensitivity to the nite sample in addition to making predictions without double use of the light curve data.

The gap between the estimated prediction error (0.15 m ag) and the resubstitution error (0.10 m ag) tells us that the trained statistical model is sensitive to the nite sam – pling of the training set. A larger training set we would be more robust to resam pling and we expect that the fu-



Fig. 15. Hubble diagram constructed using predicted distances of NIR SN Ia light curves obtained by inferring the statisticalm odel from 50 bootstrapped training sets. The error bars include both the predictive posterior uncertainty and the scatter over multiple bootstrapped predictions. The estim ate of the prediction error for cz > 2000 km s¹ is an excellent 0.15 m ag. The three open circles are the SN with $A_V > 2$ as measured from the optical light curves with M LC S2k2.

ture predictive uncertainty will be in between the current resubstitution error and the estim ated predictive error. A na ve argum ent would suggest that if this gap of 0.05 m ag between the prediction error and the training error decreases with the square root of the num ber of SN Ia in the sample, then a set of 200 SN Ia would reduce it to about 0.02 m ag, and a few hundred would be needed to 0.01 m ag. To build up statistical strength reduce it to and further solidify our know ledge of the properties of SN Ia in the NIR, we are continuing our campaign to observe SN Ia in the near infrared with PAIR ITEL.

4.5. The E ect of Dust on the SN Ia NIR Sample

The results presented thus far have ignored the e ects of dust extinction in the NIR sample. We can exam ine the possibility of extracting information about the dust distribution from the NIR by looking at the colors J_0 H_0 , J_0 K_{s0} , and H_0 K_{s0} at peak. From the population hyperparam eters we can compute the mean and standard deviation of these colors. The mean colors are -0.25, 0.0 and 0.25, and their population dispersions are 0.14,0.20,0.17, respectively. Thism eansthat, for optical extinctions that are less than A_V 2 3, dust extinction in the near infrared cannot be clearly distinguished from intrinsic color variations, because of the dim inished effects of dust absorption in the NIR. Only SN with much greater N IR reddening carry inform ation on the dust distribution from their NIR data alone. Estim ates of the opticalA_V extinctions of the SN in our sample from MLCS analysis of optical light curves (Hicken et al. 2009a) were reported in W V 08. There are only three highly reddened SN with $A_v > 2$: SN 1999cl, 2006X, and SN 2003cg $(A_V = 3:49;3:83;4:20, respectively)$ in the sample of 39 SN, and they are depicted in Figs. 14, 15 with open circles. Although they are redder in their NIR colors than the population mean, their colors are only about

2 redder, so they are barely distinguishable from 1

the intrinsic color variations. This conclusion does not change if we calculate the m ean and standard deviation of the colors by including or excluding the highly optically reddened SN .

If we take the A_V estimates from the optical data at face value, we can estim ate the likely e ect of dust extinction on our posterior estimates of absolute magnitudes. The relative weight of a particular supernova in posterior inferences about absolute m agnitude- related quantities (m eans, variances and correlations) is inversely proportional to its magnitude uncertainty due to peculiar velocities: $w_M^s = c = \frac{2}{3}$; and $c^1 =$ $s = \frac{2}{s}$. Com paring these weights to the A_V for each SN, we nd that 87% of the magnitude weight lies with SN with $A_V < 0.5$, 97% of the weight is in $A_v < 1$, and 99.7% of the weight is in $A_v < 2$. The three SN with $A_v > 2$ have a totalweight of 0.32% in m agnitude calculations. A lthough the highly reddened supernovae have large Hubble residuals in Figs. 14, 15, since they are at low redshifts where the contribution of peculiar velocity uncertainties to their distance uncertainty is large, they have little in uence on the posterior inferences about the NIR absolute m agnitudes. Furtherm ore, they have no e ect on the estim ates of the training error or prediction error, because only the Hubble ow SN at cz > 2000 km s¹ are useful for validation of the statistical model.

 $_{\rm P}$ The weighted mean $A_{\rm V}$ value of the sample is $_{\rm s}\,w_{\rm M}^{\,\rm s}\,A_{\rm V}^{\,\rm s}$ = 0.23 m ag. Assuming a CCM law with $R_V = 2$, this means that the estimated NIR absolute m agnitudes would be impacted by mean extinctions of about $A_J = 0.05$, $A_H = 0.03$ and $A_K = 0.02$. The weighted scatters in the NIR extinctions implied by the A_V values are about $(A_J) = 0.08$, $(A_H) = 0.05$,

 $(A_K) = 0.03$. If these are the dust contributions to the measured dispersions (M $_{\rm X}$), then subtracting them in quadrature yields intrinsic dispersions of $(M_J) = 0.15$, $(M_H) = 0:10$, and $(M_{Ks}) = 0:19$. These rough estim ates do not substantially change our results.

W e conclude that the N IR sam ple alone contains little if any inform ation about the dust distribution and hence it is not worthwhile to use the full model described in x2 at this time to infer the dust properties. Additionally, if we extrapolate the A_V estimates obtained from the opticaldata to the near infrared, the estim ated e ect of dust extinction is fairly sm all. These rough estimates do not take into account the non-gaussianity of the dust distribution and a full Bayesian analysis of the directed graph in Fig. 1, conditioned on both the NIR and optical data simultaneously will be required to obtain informative inferences about the dust properties (M andel et al. 2009, in prep.).

5. CONCLUSION

We have constructed the hierarchical Bayesian form ulation of statistical inference with SN Ia light curves, and represented the probabilistic structure using form algraphicalm odels. Furtherm ore, we have presented a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm that uses the conditional independence structure of the equivalent directed acyclic graph to e ciently sam ple the global posterior probability distribution over individual light curve param eters and population hyperparam eters for training the statistical model on the low-z data set, and for prediction on future SN Ia data. We have applied this approach and com putationalm ethod to the JH K $_{\rm s}$ light curve data set com piled by W V 08, including a recent homogeneous set of light curves from PA IR IT EL, and com - puted the joint posterior probabilities over all individual light curve parameters (Table 2) and the statistical characteristics of the population, including the covariance of absolute m agnitudes and J-band light curve shape parameters (Table 3).

We summarize the assumptions of our statistical model. First, we have assumed that the normalized H and K_s-band light curves of dierent SN are identical between -10 and 20 days around m axim um . Furtherm ore, we have posited a parametric light curve model for the J-band between -10 and 60 days that captures the variations in the double-peaked structure. A quick look at the data and the tem plate m odels we have constructed in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5 reveals that these are reasonable m odels for the JHK_s data. The major assumption in the application of our hierarchicalm odel is that the param eters governing the multi-band absolute light curves are drawn from a pintly multivariate Gaussian population distribution. This is the simplest multivariate distribution that models correlations, and its use is reasonable in the absence of other guiding inform ation. Our results (Figs. 9-13) reveal no obvious deviations from this assum ption, but this is certainly not proof, especially with a sm all sample. This assumption must be constantly reevaluated in applications of the hierarchical fram ework to larger or di erent data sets or with other light curve models. In this paper, we have not estimated the dustrelated aspects of Fig. 1, because the e ects of dust are small for our NIR sample. However, in future studies in conjunction with optical data, the full graph can be com puted using BayeSN to perform probabilistic inference of the SN Ia population and dust distribution.

The marginal intrinsic scatter in peak absolute magnitudes were found to be $(M_J) = 0:17 \quad 0:03, (M_H) =$ 0:11 0:03, and $(M_{Ks}) = 0:19$ 0:04. We have presented the rst quantitative m easurem ents of the correlations of N IR absolute m agnitudes with J-band light curve shape. We showed that with greater than 95% probability there are positive correlations between peak JHK s absolute m agnitudes and the J-band post-trough rise rate. Intrinsically dimmer SN Ia light curves tend to rise to the second J-band maximum faster. Since in our J-band m odel, the post-second-peak decline rate is linked to rise rate, this also suggests that the late-time slopes of Jband light curves are steeper for dimmer SN.We have also quantitatively measured correlations of the rise rate with other aspects of the light curve shape (Table 3), which show that faster decline rates go with faster rise rates, shorter times to trough and shorter times to the second maximum. These results suggest that NIR SN Ia are excellent standard candles at peak, and they can be improved by using the information in the late-time light aurve.

These relations may be useful for better understanding of SN Ia progenitor explosions in conjunction with physical modeling. The theoretical models of K asen (2006) suggest that the structure of the secondary maximum in the N IR is related to the ionization evolution of the iron group elements in the SN atmosphere. They also indicate that N IR peak absolute magnitudes have relatively weak sensitivity to the input progenitor 56 N im ass, with a dispersion of 0.2 m ag in J and K, and 0.1 m ag in H overmodels ranging from 0.4 to 0.9 solarm asses of 56 N i. The optical and bolom etric peak m agnitudes have m uch larger variations over the same range of m ass. Further observational studies of SN Ia in the N IR m ay place valuable constraints on theoretical explosion m odels.

We constructed a Hubble diagram with the training set SN Ia, and found an average residual of 0.10 m ag for cz > 2000 km s¹. We have also performed bootstrap cross-validation to estim ate the out-of-sam ple prediction error, which was found to be an excellent 0.15 m ag. The gap between these estim ates suggests that a larger sam – ple is needed to solidify our inferences about the population of near-infrared SN Ia light curves. Our group continues to collect an extensive set of nearby N IR SN Ia light curves using PA IR IT EL. With an ever-growing data set, in the near future, we will be able to expand them odel considered here to include m ore extensive light curve m odels in H and K_s, and to com bine the N IR and optical data to gain a better understanding of SN colors and dust extinction (M andel et al. 2009, in prep.).

It is worth considering whether the propitious properties of SN Ia in the NIR can be leveraged by future space missions for SN Ia cosm ology. The measurement ofdark energy properties by the NASA /DOE Joint Dark Energy Mission will be limited by systematic elects, in particular dust extinction. The diminished absorption by dust and the narrow dispersion of peak luminosities in the NIR, particularly in the H-band, may be crucial to the precise measurement of dark energy, if observations of high-z SN can be conducted in the rest-fram e NIR.

KM. thanks Stephane Blondin, Peter Challis, Jonathan Chang, Ryan Foley, Andrew Gelman, Malcolm Hicken, Joseph Koo, Sam Kou, and Gautham Narayan for useful discussions and clari cations. We thank the anonym ous referee for useful suggestions that led to an improved manuscript. Supernova research at Harvard University is supported by NSF grant AST 06-06772. The Peters Autom ated Infrared Im aging Telescope (PAIRITEL) is operated by the Sm ithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) and was made possible by a grant from the Harvard University Milton Fund, the camera loan from the University of Virginia, and the continued support of the SAO and UC Berkeley. Partial support for PAIRITEL operations com es from National A emonautics and Space A dm inistration (NASA) grant NNG 06G H 50G (\PA IR IT EL: Infrared Follow-up for Swift Transients"). This publication makes use of data products from the 2MASS Survey, funded by NASA and the US National Science Foundation (NSF). IAUC/CBET were useful. M W .V. is funded by a grant from the USN ational Science Foundation (AST-057475). A SF.acknow ledges support from an NSF G raduate Research Fellow ship and a NASA G raduate Research Program Fellowship.

APPENDIX

A.CONDITIONAL INDEPENDENCE AND D-SEPARATION

Let P (f ig) be a joint distribution of random variables represented by a directed acyclic graph. Consider three disjoint subsets of the random variables f ig : A;B and C. Two sets are marginally independent if P (A;B) = P (A)P (B). Two sets, A and B, are conditionally independent given a third set C if P (A;B \dot{D}) = P (A \dot{D}) P (B). Two sets, A and B, are conditionally independent given a third set C if P (A;B \dot{D}) = P (A \dot{D}) P (B \dot{D}). Marginal independence between A and B can be seen in a directed graph because there will be no links between the nodes in set A and the nodes in set B. C onditional independence indicates that if the values of the nodes in C are known, then the variables in A and those in B are statistically independent. G raphically, this means that all directed or undirected paths (ignoring the arrows) from one set to the other are \blocked" by nodes in C.

C onditional independence between two sets of nodes given a third set can be ascertained from a directed graph using the d-separation property (Pearl 1988): A path between a node in A and a node in B is blocked at node $_i$ if (1) the interm ediate node $_i$ is in set C and the arrow s m eet at $_i$ in a tail-to-tail or head-to-tail fashion (not convergent), or (2) the arrow s m eet head-to-head (convergent) and the interm ediate node $_i$ is not in C, and neither are any of its descendants. The nodes A are d-separated from the nodes B given set C if all paths between elements in A and B are blocked. If the nodes A are d-separated from the nodes B by C, then A is conditionally independent from B given C.

B.THE BAYESN ALGORITHM -MATHEMATICAL APPENDIX

In this appendix, we present mathematical details of the BayeSN algorithm. Let $s^{M_{0}^{f}}$, $s^{L,F}$, and $s^{N,L,F}$ indicate all the intrinsic parameters in s other than the peak absolute magnitude, the linear shape parameters, and the nonlinear shape parameters in liter F, respectively.

1. We have used the conjugate hyperprior density P (;) de ned in Eqns. 12 and 13 and choose the non-inform ative limit by setting $_{0} = 0$; $_{0} = 1$, and $_{0} = _{0}I$ for small $_{0}$. Let be the sample mean of the f $_{s}g$, and let $S = \sum_{s=1}^{N_{sN}} (s) (s) (s)^{T}$ be the matrix sum of squared deviations from the mean. The conditional posterior density P (; jf $_{s}g$) can be decomposed as jf $_{s}g$ Inv-W isharts $_{1} [0 + S]^{1}$ and j; f $_{s}g N$ (; =N $_{sN}$) (Gelman et al. 2003). We rst directly sample a new covariance matrix from the inverse W ishart distribution The matrix drawn in this way is guaranteed to be a proper covariance matrix (i.e. positive sem i-de nite). Conditional on that matrix we directly sample a new population mean from the multivariate norm al distribution.

2. Let A be the sample mean of the $fA_{H}^{s} g$. The conditional posterior density is P ($_{A} jfA_{H}^{s} g$) = Inv-G am m a (N $_{SN}$ 1; N $_{SN} A$).

3a. Let N¹ = 1^TW¹ 1 and F₀ = N 1^T (W $_{s}^{F}$)¹ [m $_{s}^{F}$ L^F₀ ($_{NL,s}^{F}$) L^F₁ ($_{NL,s}^{F}$) $_{L,s}^{F}$]. We can compute the population conditional expectation: $F_{0} = {}_{s} + A_{s}^{F} + E M _{0,s}^{F} j {}_{s}^{M _{0}^{F}}$; ;] and the population conditional variance C = VarM $_{0,s}^{F} j {}_{s}^{M _{0}^{F}}$; ;], using the conditioning property of the multivariate G aussian distribution. Then the conditional density of $F_{0,s}$ is normal N ($F_{0,s} j F_{0}$;) with variance = (N $^{1} + C ^{1}$)¹ and mean $F_{0} = (N {}^{1} F_{0} + C {}^{1} F_{0}$).

3b. Compute N¹ = $L_1^{F,T} \begin{pmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ s \end{pmatrix}^1 L_1^F \begin{pmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{pmatrix}$ and $L_L^F = N L_1^{F,T} \begin{pmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ s \end{pmatrix}^1 \begin{bmatrix} M \\ s \end{pmatrix}^F = 1F_{0;s}$ $L_0^F \begin{pmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{pmatrix}$]. The conditional population expectation and variance are: $L_L^F = E \begin{bmatrix} F \\ L;s \end{bmatrix} L_s^{L;F}$; ;] and C = $Var[L_{i,s}^F]_s L_i^{F}$; ;]. The conditional posterior density of $L_{i,s}^F$ is normal N $\begin{pmatrix} F \\ L;s \end{pmatrix}^{-1} L_{i,s} L_{i,s}^{F}$;) with covariance matrix = $(N^{-1} + C^{-1})^{-1}$ and mean $L_L^F = (N^{-1} + C^{-1} L_i^{-1})$. Note that steps 3a and 3b could be combined by G ibbs sampling from P $(F_{0;s}; L_{i,s}^F]_s L_i^{F}$; ; $i \in A_s$; ; $i \in A_s$; ; $i \in A_s$;

3c. C on pute the expectation and covariance of the conditional population density: ${}_{NL}^{F} = E \begin{bmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{bmatrix} {}_{s}^{NL;F}$; ;] and C = Var $\begin{bmatrix} F \\ NL;s \end{bmatrix} {}_{s}^{NL;F}$; ;]. The conditional posterior density of the nonlinear parameters in band F, ${}_{NL}^{F}$ is proportional to N (m ${}_{s}^{F}$ jlF_{0;s} + L $_{0}^{F}$ (${}_{NL;s}^{F}$) + L $_{1}^{F}$ (${}_{NL;s}^{F}$) ${}_{L}^{F}$; $W {}_{s}^{F}$) N (${}_{NL;s}^{F}$ j ${}_{NL}^{F}$; C): W e obtain a proposal ${}_{NL;s}^{F;}$ N (${}_{NL;s}^{F}$; jum p;s), and apply the M etropolis rejection rule. 3d. W e allow for the possibility that the probability density of the distance m odulus conditioned on the redshift

3d. We allow for the possibility that the probability density of the distance modulus conditioned on the redshift only, P ($_{s}\dot{p}_{s}$), may be mildly non-Gaussian. The conditional posterior density is P ($_{s}j_{s}$; A_{s} ; ; ; D_{s} ; z_{s}) / N ($_{s}$ A_{s} $v_{s}j$;) P ($_{s}\dot{p}_{s}$), and generally cannot be sampled directly. However, we can approximate P ($_{s}\dot{p}_{s}$) N ($_{s}j_{g}$ f(z_{s}); ²) with a Gaussian using Eq. 8. We choose the M etropolis-H asting proposal distribution to be Q ($_{s}j_{s}^{*}; A_{s};$;) / N ($_{s}$ A_{s} $v_{s}j$;) N ($_{s}j_{g}$; ²) = N ($_{s}j_{s}^{*}; ^{2}$), where 2 = (2 + s 2) ¹, and $^{-}$ = 2 (2 $_{g}$ + s 2 ·) is a weighted average of the distance inform ation from the redshift and the light curves. The mean \sim = s²v^T ¹ ($_{s}$ A_{s}) and variance s² = (v^{T} ^{1}v) ¹ describe the distance inform ation from the individual SN light curves only. We draw a proposed $_{s}$ from Q. The M etropolis-H astings ratio is computed from Eq. 21 using the above conditional posterior density and the proposal density. A fler cancellation of terms, this sim pli es to: $r = P(_{s}\dot{p}_{s})N(_{s}j_{g}; ^{2}) = P(_{s}\dot{p}_{s})N(_{s}j_{g}; ^{2})$. If $P(_{s}\dot{p}_{s})$ is actually close to Gaussian, Eq. 8, then the M H ratio is identically one, and the proposal $_{s}$ is always accepted, as this is the sam e as G ibbs sam pling. If $P(_{s}\dot{p}_{s})$ is

m ildly non-Gaussian, then r and the acceptance rate will be slightly less than one.

3dP. For distance prediction, the distance modulus s is G ibbs sampled from the conditional posterior density N (sj^{2}).

3e. Sample a proposed extinction $A_{H}^{s;}$ N $(A_{H}^{s}; _{jump,A,s}^{2})$. The conditional posterior density of the extinction $A_{H}^{s;}$ is proportional to N ($_{s}$ v $_{s}$ $A_{s}(A_{H}^{s;})$ j;) Expon $(A_{H}^{s;} j_{A})$. Apply M etropolis rejection.

C.BAYESN -PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The chain is seeded with initial starting estimates for all the parameters. It is useful before running the MCMC to obtain rough point estimates of the light curve parameters using, e.g. the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE). Point estimates of the distance moduli can be obtained from $E(_s jz_s)$. The extinction values A_H^s can be chosen to be small random numbers. Random noise is added to these point estimates to generate di erent starting positions of each chain, to ensure that each chain begins in a di erent region.

The M etropolis steps within the G ibbs scan use jumping kernels that must be tuned to generate e cient M CM C chains. The scalar kernels $_{jump;A}$, are tuned to generate 40% acceptance rates for their respective M etropolis steps. This is easily done by running a few preliminary short chains to compute the average acceptance rates and adjusting the jumping sizes accordingly. The nonlinear jumping kernel, $_{jump;s}^{NL;F}$ is a matrix if there are more than one nonlinear parameters in the light curve model for band F. This can be estimated from the inverse of the F isher information matrix at the MLE estimate, or from the sample covariance of the $_{NL;s}^{F}$ chain values, to reject the shape of the underlying density. The overall size of the matrix is then scaled to produce acceptance rates of 40% in preliminary short runs, or 23% if the dimensionality of $_{NL;s}^{F}$ is high (G elman et al. 2003). Once the jumping kernels have been set to appropriate values, long chains are run.

To assess the convergence of the M CM C, a few independent long chains with di erent initial positions are run. The BayeSN computation is easily be parallelized as each independent M CM C chain can be run on a separate processor. The G elm an-Rubin statistic (G elm an & Rubin 1992) compares the between-chain variances with the within-chain variances in each parameter to compare the coverages of the chains. If the chains have converged, the G elm an-Rubin ratio should be close to 1. The sample paths of representative parameters are inspected visually to ascertain that the chains are wellm ixed. U pon convergence, the initial portions of each chain are discarded as \burn-in", and the chains are concatenated for nal inferences.

REFERENCES

- A stier, P., et al. 2006, A & A , 447, 31
- Bishop, C.M. 2006, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (New York: Springer)
- B londin, S., et al. 2008, ApJ, 682, 724
- Bloom, J.S., et al. 2006, in A stronom ical Society of the Pacic Conference Series, Vol. 351, A stronom ical D ata A nalysis Software and System s XV, ed.C.G abriel, C.A rviset, D.Ponz, & S.Enrique, 751{+
- Cardelli, J.A., Clayton, G.C., & Mathis, J.S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Conley, A., Carlberg, R.G., Guy, J., Howell, D.A., Jha, S., Riess, A.G., & Sullivan, M. 2007, ApJ, 664, L13
- D i Paola, A., Larionov, V., Arkharov, A., Bernardi, F., Carattio Garatti, A., Dolci, M., DiCarlo, E., & Valentini, G. 2002, A&A, 393, L21
- E fron, B. 1983, Journal of the Am erican Statistical A ssociation, 78, 316
- E fron, B. & Tibshirani, R. 1997, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 92, 548
- E lias, J. H., Frogel, J. A., Hackwell, J. A., & Persson, S. E. 1981, ApJ, 251, L13
- E lias, J. H ., M atthew s, K ., N eugebauer, G ., & Persson, S.E. 1985, A pJ, 296, 379
- E lias-Rosa, N., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 369, 1880
- E lias-Rosa, N., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 384, 107
- Ford, E.B. 2005, AJ, 129, 1706
- Freedm an, W .L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 553, 47
- Friem an, J.A., Turner, M.S., & Huterer, D.2008, ARA&A, 46, 385
- Frogel, J. A., G regory, B., K awara, K., Laney, D., Phillips, M. M., Temdrup, D., Viba, F., & W hitford, A. E. 1987, ApJ, 315, L129
- Gamavich, P.M., et al. 1998, ApJ, 509, 74
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J.B., Stern, H.S., & Rubin, D.B. 2003,
- Bayesian Data Analysis, Second Edition (Boca Raton, Fla.: Chapman & Hall/CRC)
- Gelman, A.& Rubin, D.B. 1992, Statistical Science, 7, 457

- Gilks, W. R., Richardson, S., & Spiegelhalter, D. 1995, Markov Chain Monte Carlo in Practice (Boca Raton, Fla.: Chapman & Hall/CRC)
- Goldhaber, G., et al. 2001, ApJ, 558, 359
- G raham , J. R ., M eikle, W . P. S., Longm ore, A . J., & W illiam s, P. M . 1988, A pJ, 333, 743
- Guy, J., et al. 2007, A & A , 466, 11
- Guy, J., Astier, P., Nobili, S., Regnault, N., & Pain, R. 2005, A&A, 443, 781
- Hamuy, M., et al. 1996a, AJ, 112, 2408
- Hamuy, M., et al. 1996b, AJ, 112, 2438
- Haugb lle, T., Hannestad, S., Thom sen, B., Fynbo, J., Sollerm an, J., & Jha, S. 2007, ApJ, 661, 650
- Hemandez, M ., et al. 2000, M NRAS, 319, 223
- Hicken, M., et al. 2009a, ApJ, 700, 331
- Hicken, M., et al. 2009b, ApJ, 700, 1097
- Hillebrandt, W . & Niem eyer, J.C. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 191
- Holman, M.J., et al. 2006, ApJ, 652, 1715
- Jensen, F.V. 2001, Bayesian Networks and Decision G raphs (New York: Springer)
- Jha, S., et al. 1999, ApJS, 125, 73
- Jha, S., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 527
- Jha, S., Riess, A.G., & Kirshner, R.P. 2007, ApJ, 659, 122
- Kasen, D. 2006, ApJ, 649, 939
- Kowalski, M., et al. 2008, ApJ, 686, 749
- K risciunas, K ., et al. 2007, A J, 133, 58
- K risciunas, K., Hastings, N.C., Loom is, K., M dM illan, R., Rest, A., Riess, A.G., & Stubbs, C.2000, ApJ, 539, 658
- K risciunas, K ., et al. 2001, A J, 122, 1616
- K risciunas, K ., Phillips, M .M ., & Suntze , N .B .2004a, ApJ, 602, L81
- Krisciunas, K., et al. 2004b, AJ, 127, 1664
- K risciunas, K ., et al. 2003, A J, 125, 166
- K risciunas, K ., et al. 2004c, A J, 128, 3034
- Leibundgut, B. 1989, PhD thesis, Univ. Basel
- Lew is, A . & Bridle, S. 2002, Phys. Rev. D , 66, 103511

- Liu, J.S. 2002, M onte C arlo Strategies in Scienti c C om puting (N ew York: Springer)
- Mandel, K. & Agol, E. 2002, ApJ, 580, L171
- Meikle, W.P.S.2000, MNRAS, 314, 782
- Mould, J.R., et al. 2000, ApJ, 529, 786
- Neill, J.D., Hudson, M.J., & Conley, A. 2007, ApJ, 661, L123
- Pastorello, A ., et al. 2007, M N R A S, 376, 1301
- Pearl, J. 1988, P robabilistic reasoning in intelligent system s: networks of plausible inference (San M ateo, CA: M organ K aufm ann)
- Perlm utter, S., et al. 1999, ApJ, 517, 565
- Phillips, M.M. 1993, ApJ, 413, L105
- Phillips, M .M ., Lira, P., Suntze , N .B ., Schommer, R .A ., Hamuy, M ., & M aza, J. 1999, A J, 118, 1766
- Pignata, G., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 388, 971
- Press,W .H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling,W .T., & Flannery, B.P.2007, Num erical Recipes: The Art of Scientic Computing (New York: Cambridge University Press)
- Prieto, J. L., Rest, A., & Suntze, N. B. 2006, ApJ, 647, 501
- Radburn-Smith, D.J., Lucey, J.R., & Hudson, M.J. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1378
- Riess, A.G., et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 1009

- Riess, A.G., et al. 1999, AJ, 117, 707
- Riess, A.G., et al. 2005, ApJ, 627, 579
- Riess, A.G., et al. 2009a, ApJ, 699, 539
- Riess, A.G., et al. 2009b, ApJS, 183, 109
- Riess, A.G., Press, W.H., & Kirshner, R.P. 1995, ApJ, 445, L91
- .1996a, ApJ, 473, 88
- .1996b, ApJ, 473, 588
- Schlegel, D.J., Finkbeiner, D.P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
- Spergel, D.N., et al. 2007, ApJS, 170, 377
- Stanishev, V., et al. 2007, A & A, 469, 645
- Tegm ark, M ., et al. 2004, P hys. R ev. D , 69, 103501
- Valentini, G., et al. 2003, ApJ, 595, 779
- W ang, L., Goldhaber, G., Aldering, G., & Perlm utter, S. 2003, ApJ, 590, 944
- W ang, X., et al. 2009, ApJ, 697, 380
- W ang, X ., et al. 2008, A pJ, 675, 626
- W ood-Vasey, W .M ., et al. 2008, ApJ, 689, 377
- Wood-Vasey, W .M ., et al. 2007, ApJ, 666, 694