Five P lanets and an Independent C on m ation of H D 196885A b from Lick O bservatory 1

Debra Fischer^{2;3}, Peter Driscoll⁴, Howard Isaacson², Matt Giguere^{2;3}, Geo rey W. Marcy⁵, Je Valent⁶, Jason T. Wright⁷, Gregory W. Henry⁸, John Asher Johnson⁹, Andrew Howard⁵, Katherine Peek⁵, Chris McCarthy²

fischer@stars.sfsu.edu

ABSTRACT

W e present tim e series D oppler data from Lick O bservatory that reveal the presence of long-period planetary companions orbiting nearby stars. The typical eccentricity of these massive planets are greater than the mean eccentricity of known exoplanets. HD 30562b has M sin i = 1.29 M_{Jup} , with sem im a praxis of 2.3 AU and eccentricity 0.76. The host star has a spectral type F 8V and is m etal rich. HD 86264b has M sin i = 7.0 M_{Jup} , $a_{rel} = 2.86 \text{ AU}$, an eccentricity, e = 0.7 and orbits a metal-rich, F 7V star. HD 87883b has M sin i = 1.78 M_{Jup} , $a_{rel} = 3.6 \text{ AU}$, e = 0.53 and orbits a metal-rich K 0V star. HD 89307b has M sin i = 1.78 M_{Jup} , $a_{rel} = 3.3 \text{ AU}$, e = 0.24 and orbits a G 0V star with slightly subsolar metallicity. HD 148427b has M sin i = 0.96 M_{Jup} , $a_{rel} = 0.93 \text{ AU}$, eccentricity of

 $^{^{1}}$ B ased on observations obtained at the Lick O bservatory, which is operated by the U niversity of C alifornia

²D epartm ent of Physics & Astronom y, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132

³D epartm ent of A stronom y, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511

 $^{^4}$ D epartm ent of E arth and P lanetary Science, Johns H opkins U niversity, B altim ore, M D

⁵D epartm ent of A stronom y, U niversity of C alifornia, B erkeley, B erkeley, C A

⁶Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltim ore, MD

⁷ComellUniversity, Ithaca, NY

⁸Center of Excellence in Information Systems, Tennessee State University, 3500 John A.Merritt Blvd., Box 9501, Nashville, TN 37209

⁹N SF A stronom y & A strophysics Postdoctoral Fellow, Institute for A stronom y, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, H I 96822

0.16 and orbits a m etal rich K 0 subgiant. W e also present velocities for a planet orbiting the F 8V m etal-rich binary star, HD 196885A. The planet has M sin i = 2.58 M_{Jup} , $a_{rel} = 2.37 \text{ AU}$, and orbital eccentricity of 0.48, in agreem ent with the independent discovery by C orreia et al. (2008).

Subject headings: planetary system s { stars: individual (HD 30562, HD 86264, HD 87883, HD 89307, HD 148427, HD 196885)

1. Introduction

O ver the past 14 years, m ore than 300 extrasolar planets have been discovered orbiting sunlike stars. M ost of these discoveries were m ade with high precision D oppler observations that m easure the re ex radial velocity of the host star. R adial velocity am plitudes scale with the m ass of the planet and are inversely proportional to the cube root of the orbital period. Therefore, detectability in D oppler surveys is enhanced for short period orbits and m assive exoplanets. An additional constraint on detectability is that at least one full orbital period m ust be observed in order to accurately m odel the D oppler velocity data with a K eplerian orbit. As a result, about 85% of detected exoplanets have M sin i greater than the m ass of Saturn; m ost have orbital periods shorter than four years (e.g., see http://exoplanets.eu) H igher cadence observations and im proved D oppler precision are now enabling the detection of both lowerm ass (B ouchy et al. 2009; M ayor et al. 2009a, b; H ow ard et al. 2009) and longer period (M outou et al. 2009) exoplanets.

The planet survey at Lick O bærvatory began in 1989 and is one of the oldest continuous D oppler program s in the world (M arcy et al. 1997). Because of this long time baseline of data, 55 C nc d, the only planet with complete phase coverage and a well determ ined orbital period greater than ten years was discovered at Lick O bærvatory (M arcy et al. 2002). The original Lick program contained 100 stars. In 1997, the sample was augmented to 400 stars (F ischer et al. 1999). In this paper, we describe the detection of single planets orbiting HD 30562, HD 86264, HD 89307, HD 87883, HD 148427, and HD 196885A b that have emerged from that extended sample. All are fairly massive planets (M sin i> 0:96 M $_{Jup}$) in relatively long period (P > 333 d) orbits that exhibit signi cant orbital eccentricities.

2. Doppler Analysis

O ur D oppler analysis m akes use of an iodine absorption cell in the light path before the entrance slit of the spectrom eter. The iodine absorption lines in each program observation

are used to m odel the wavelength scale and the instrum entalpro le of the telescope and spectrom eter optics for each observation (M arcy & Butler 1992; Butler et al. 1996) The iodine cell at Lick O bservatory has not been changed over the entire duration of the planet search project, helping to preserve continuity in our velocity m easurements despite three CCD detector upgrades. The velocity precision for the Lick project is generally photon-limited with typical signal-to-noise 120. To improve the precision, consecutive observations are som etim es independently analyzed and a single weighted mean velocity is determined.

In addition to photon statistics, there are sources of system atic errors. For example, the H am ilton spectrum eter (Vogt et al. 1987) resides in the C oud e room and is not tem perature controlled. D iumal tem perature variations of several degrees can occur in addition to seasonal tem perature swings of about 25 C. Tem perature and pressure changes can lead to gradual changes in the PSF through the night. Even m ore rapid PSF variations occur as guiding errors or changes in seeing shift the spectrum by a few tenths of a pixel (i.e., 400 m s^1) on the CCD. Ultim ately, the burden for tracking all of these system atic sources of error is placed on our ability to m odel the PSF from the iodine absorption lines in each program observation.

Because the PSF varies over the detector, the D oppler analysis is carried out independently in wavelength chunks that span about 3A of the spectrum. In each of these chunks, we model the wavelength solution, the PSF and the D oppler shift of the star. Each chunk is compared di erentially to chunks from previous observations containing the same spectral lines. The median velocity for all the chunks yields the di erential velocity measurement. The standard deviation of the velocities from the several hundred chunks provides an assessment of the uncertainty in the velocity measurement, but can be an underestimate of the residual RMS scatter arising from instrum ental sources or additional unidentied the several planets.

2.1. Jitter

The D oppler analysis provides form alm easurem ent errors, how ever additional system – atic noise in the center of mass velocity of the star can arise and are more di cult to characterize. The system atic errors can either be intrinsic to velocity elds in the stellar atm ospheres, or, as described in the previous section, they can be the result of instrum ental e ects that are inadequately modeled in our D oppler analysis. Unfortunately, it is often dif-

cult to identify the source of spurious velocity variations. For example, Q uebz et al. (2001) m easured velocity variations in HD 165435 with an amplitude of 80 m s¹ that correlated with photom etric variability. However, system atic studies of activity and velocity variations (Saar, Butler, M arcy 1998; Saar & Fischer 1999) have shown that it is di cult to identify

unam biguous correlations between astrophysical parameters and velocity variations.

Isaacson & Fischer (2009) have measured emission in the CaIIH & K line to produce S_{HK} values that are calibrated to the canonical M t W ilson values (D uncan et al. 1991) follow ing W right (2005). The S_{HK} values are used to calculate $\log R_{HK}^0$, the ratio of emm ission in the cores of the Ca II H & K lines to the ux in the photosphere, and to estimate rotational periods (N oyes et al. 1984). They searched for correlations between $\log R_{HK}^0$ values and radial velocity \jitter" using the highest precision velocity data sets from the California Planet Search survey, obtained after the CCD upgrade (August 2004) at Keck Observatory. Their model of jitter has a functional dependence on (1) $\log R_{HK}^0$, (2) height above the main sequence (evolutionary status), and (3) B V color. We adopt their model as an independent estim ate of jitter (which likely includes som e system atic instrum ental errors in addition to astrophysical noise) that is added in quadrature to form al velocity errors when thing Keplerian models to the data. These augmented errors are included in the calculation of ² and in the Figures showing Keplerian ts to the data; however, they are not included in the tabulated velocity errors for the time series data. To reproduce the errors used in Keplerian tting, the stellar jitter values should be added in quadrature to errors reported in the individual radial velocity Tables.

3. Keplerian Fitting

3.1. Levenberg M arquardt Fitting

T in e series velocity data are t with a K eplerian m odel using the partially linearized Levenberg-M arquardt m inimization algorithm described in W right & H ow ard (2009). The Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm employs a gradient search to m inimize the ² t between an assumed K eplerian m odel and the observed data. The free parameters for each planet in the K eplerian m odel include the orbital period, P, the time of periastron passage, T_P , the orbital eccentricity, e, the argument of periastron passage referenced to the ascending node, ! and the velocity sem i-am plitude, K. The velocity for the center of mass, , is an additional single free parameter for a system of one or more planets. The K eplerian m odel with the m inim um ² value provides the maximum likelihood estimate for the orbital parameters. W hile this approach is quite e cient for well-sam pled data sets, it is possible for the tting algorithm to become trapped in a local ² m inim um . Particularly when there are unobserved gaps in the orbital phase, covariance between the orbital parameters can be signi cant and K eplerian tting with a Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm does not capture the full range of possible orbital parameters.

Typically, N = 1000 M onte C arb trials are run with Levenberg-M arquardt M onte C arb (LM M C) tting, scram bling the residuals (with replacement of previously selected values) before adding the theoretical velocities back in and retting new K eplerian m odels using the Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm. For G aussian velocity errors, mean values from the M onte C arb trials de ne the parameter value. The standard deviation of the trial parameters de nes the uncertainties.

3.2. Markov Chain Monte Carlo Fitting

Following a technique outlined by Ford (2005) we have also tested a M arkov Chain M onte Carlo (M CM C) algorithm to derive K eplerian ts and to characterize uncertainties in the orbital parameters (D riscoll, F ischer, & Ford 2009). The M CM C algorithm is a Bayesian technique which samples the orbital parameters in proportion to an expected posterior probability distribution. It allows for larger steps in parameter space than a routine driven only by 2 m inimization, enabling a more complete exploration of parameter space.

O ne drawback of the MCMC method is that, since each step in the Markov chain is correlated with the previous step, MCMC can give misleading results if the Markov chain has not converged. For systems that do converge, the MCMC method provides a more robust characterization of the uncertainties in orbital parameters, particularly when the observational data results in either a rough ² surface or a ² surface with a shallow minimum. For data sets where the observations span multiple orbital periods with complete phase coverage, the MCMC algorithms converge to the same solutions obtained by the frequentist Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (D riscoll, F ischer, & Ford 2009).

4. HD 30562

4.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 30562 (H IP 22336, V = 5:77, B V = 0.63) is a F8V star. The H ipparcos parallax (ESA 1997) yields a distance of 26.5 pc. Isaacson & Fischer (2009) nd that HD 30562 is chrom ospherically inactive and measure $S_{HK} = 0.15$, $\log R_{HK}^0 = -5.064$. Based on their model of stellar jitter, we estimate a jitter of 2.9 m s⁻¹ for this star. We estimate a rotational period, $P_{rot} = 24.2$ d, using the calibration by Noyes et al. (1984).

A high resolution spectroscopic analysis has been carried out for all of the stars in this paper, including HD 30562, using spectroscopic modeling with SME (Valenti & Piskunov

1996). The analysis that was reported in Valenti & Fischer (2005) has been further re-ned, following the method described in Valenti et. al. (2009): the SME spectroscopic solution for surface gravity is determined iteratively with interpolation of the Yonsei-Yale (\Y2") isochrones (Demarque et al. 2004). This analysis yields $T_e = 5861 - 44K$, $\log g = 4.088 - 0.06$, $v \sin i = 4.9 - 0.50$ km s¹, [Fe/H] = 0.243 - 0.04 dex. The isochrone analysis yields the same value for surface gravity (by design) and a stellar luminosity of $L_2 = 2.85L$ with a bolom etric correction of BC = -0.064, a stellar mass of 1.219M and a stellar radius of 1.637 R. This compares well with the stellar mass and luminosity derived by Takeda et al. (2007) who model stellar evolution tracks using the spectroscopic model parameters. The stellar parameters described here are summarized in Table 1.

4.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

We have acquired 45 D oppler m easurements of HD 30562 over the past ten years. With typical seeing at Lick of $1^{-0.5}$, the exposure time for SNR of 140 is about 5 m inutes on the 3-m Shane telescope or about 30 m inutes on the 0.6-m C oud e Auxiliary Telescope (CAT).

The observation dates, radial velocities and measurement uncertainties are listed in Table 2. The initial phase coverage was rather poor, however, after velocity variations were detected we redoubled our e orts and obtained additional (co-added) observations using the 0.6-m CAT to 11 in phase coverage since 2006. The best tLMMC Keplerian model has a period of P = 1157 27 days, a sem i-velocity amplitude K = 33:7 2.2 m s¹ and orbital eccentricity, e = 0:76 0:05. The mean RMS to the t is 7.58 m s¹. Including the estimated jitter of 2.9 m s¹ we obtain ² = 1.31 as a measure of the goodness of the model t. Adopting a stellar mass of 1.219 M, we derive M sin i = 1.29 M_{Jup} and a sem i-m a jor axis of 2.3 AU.

A s described above, uncertainties in the orbital parameters were determined by running 1000 LM M C trials. In each trial, the theoretical t was subtracted from radial velocities and the residual velocities were scram bled and added back to the theoretical velocities. A new trialK eplerian t was then obtained. The standard deviation of each orbital parameter for the 1000 M onte C arb trials was adopted as the parameter uncertainty. The K eplerian orbital solution is listed in Table 3 and the time series velocity data are plotted with the best-t K eplerian m odel (solid line) in Figure 1.

We also carried out an MCMC t for HD 30562. The radial velocity data have good phase coverage for this system, and so the agreem ent between the LMMC and MCMC ts are quite good. The modest covariance between the velocity amplitude and orbital eccentricity

is shown in Figure 2, however, the best 2 contours are consistent with the form alparam eter errors derived from the LMMC analysis.

5. 86264

5.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 86264 (HIP 48780) is an F7V star with apparent brightness, V = 7.42, and color B V = 0.46. Based on the parallax m easurem ent from H ipparcos (ESA 1997) of 13:78 m as, this star is located at a distance of about 72 parsec with an absolute visual magnitude of M_V = 3.10. Using spectral synthesis modeling and iterating until there is a match in surface gravity with the value predicted from interpolation of the Y2 isochrones, we derive $T_e = 6210 - 44K$, logg = 4.02, v sin i = 12.8 km s¹, [Fe/H] = + 0.202. The bolom etric correction is -0.024, stellar lum inosity is $L_2 = 4.55 L_1$, $R_2 = 1.88 R_1$, and $M_2 = 1.42 M_2$. The star is moderately active, with S_{HK} = 0.20 and log $R_{HK}^0 = -4.73$. The expected jitter from Isaacson & Fischer (2009) is 3.3 m s¹. The stellar characteristics are compiled in Table 1.

5.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

HD 86264 has been on the Lick program since January 2001 and exhibits a large am plitude velocity variation with a periodicity of about 4 years. The expected jitter for this star is likely higher than predicted because the star is a relatively rapid rotator. For this reason, and because the star is at the faint m agnitude lim it of our program, we typically lim ited our exposure time and obtained SNR of only 80 to 100.0 urm can velocity precision for HD 86264 is 21 m s¹ (probably set both by the relatively low SNR for our observations and the relatively high vsini of the star). As a result, only planets with relatively large velocity am plitudes would have been detected around this star.

A total of 37 radial velocity measurements are listed in Table 4. A periodogram of the velocities shows a strong broad peak at about 1475 days with an FAP less than 0.0001 or 0.01%. The Keplerian model was derived with a Levenberg-M arquardt (LMMC) tting algorithm with an assumed stellar jitter of 3.3 m s¹ added in quadrature to the form al velocity errors. The best t LMMC solution has a period of P = 1475 55d, velocity amplitude, K = 132 m s¹, and eccentricity e = 0.7. However, the LMMC trials revealed an asymmetry in the distribution of modeled velocity amplitudes. W hile K was rarely less than 120 m s¹, some models were found with K up to 246 m s¹. Furthermore, a large

standard deviation in the 1000 trials was found for the orbital eccentricity. The 2 ts for eccentricities down to 0.4 were only worse by 5%, with $^2 = 1.28$.

The linear trend included in the LMMC K eplerian model has a positive slope of about 1.8 m s^1 per year or 16.4 m s^1 over the nine year duration of velocity measurements. This slope is only marginally significant given the large uncertainty in the radial velocity measurements for this star, however it was retained because of the significant improvement to 2 . We note that a similar improvement in 2 could have been achieved by adopting a larger (and still physically plausible) value for jitter.

The implied planet mass is M sin i = 7 M_{Jup} with a sem imager axis of 2.86 AU. The LM M C K eplerian solution is summarized in Table 3. The time series velocity measurements are plotted in Figure 3. The LM M C K eplerian model with best t eccentricity of 0.7 is indicated in Figure 3 with a solid line and a K eplerian model with eccentricity of 0.4 is overplotted with a dashed line. A lthough the dimension between 0.4 and 0.7 is substantial, it is apparent from Figure 3 that the solutions are nearly consistent with either value, resulting in a modest 5% penalty in ².

The radial velocity data set for HD 86264 has a gap in the time series data as the planet approaches periastron. As a result, the LMMC tting algorithm may not have captured the full range of possible parameters (also suggested by the large uncertainty in eccentricity and velocity am plitude). The probability density functions from the MCMC simulations are plotted in F igure 4 and show general agreement with the LMMC trials. However, the MCMC simulations quantify a broader range of parameter values, particularly for orbital eccentricity and the velocity am plitude. The covariance of these two parameters is illustrated in F igure 5; eccentricity is correlated with velocity am plitude because of the gap in the time-series velocity measurements.

5.3. Photom etry

HD 86264 is the only star in the present sample for which we have photom etric observations. The star was observed in the Johnson B and V pass bands by the T 3 0.4 m autom atic photom etric telescope (APT) at Fairborn O bservatory as one of two comparison stars for another observing program (Henry, Fekel, & Henry 2007, Tables 3 and 4). D etails of the T 3 APT, the di erential observing sequence, and the reduction of the data are given in that sam e paper.

Between 2003 N ovember and 2004 M ay, the T3 APT acquired 239 good observations in the V band and 232 observations in B. We have reanalyzed these observations from

Henry, Fekel, & Henry (2007) for the present study, searching for low-am plitude variability that m ight allow the direct determ ination of the star's rotation period. W ith a log R'HK value of -4.73, HD 86264 is the most active star in our sample and so a good candidate for exhibiting starspot activity, which m ight result in detectable rotational modulation of the star's brightness (Henry 1999, see Figure 11).

The H ipparcos catalog (ESA 1997) lists 107 photom etric m easurements acquired during the m ission between 1989 N ovember and 1993 M arch, but the H ipparcos team does not venture a variability classication. Our 2003{04 APT measurements have standard deviations of 0.0065 and 0.0064 in the B and V, respectively. These values agree with typical scatter observed in constant stars measured with the T 3 APT. Power spectrum analysis fails to nd any signicant periodicity between one and 25 days and limits the sem i-am plitude of any real signal within this period range to a maximum of 0.0015 mag.

We were somewhat surprised by our failure to detect rotational variability in HD 86264, given its modest activity level. However, we note from Tables 1 and 8 that HD 86264 has the lowest color index in the sample (B V = 0.46) and thus a relatively shallow convection zone. Furthermore, the estimated rotation period, stellar radius, and observed v sin i given in Table 1 in ply a low equatorial inclination of 30. Both of these factors work to m inim ize observable rotational variability in HD 86264. Surface magnetic activity should not have a signi cant e ect on the measured radial velocities.

6. 87883

6.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 87883 (HIP 49699) is a KOV star with B V = 0.96 and Hipparcos parallax based distance of 18 parsecs. The star has a V m agnitude of 7.57 and an absolute visual m agnitude, M_V = 6.3. Isaacson & Fischer (2009) m easure m odest chrom ospheric activity with an S_{HK} value of 0.26, $\log R_{\rm HK}^0$ = -4.86 and predict a stellar jitter of 4.5 m s¹. The rotation period predicted from this activity level is 41.2 days (N oyes et al. 1984). W e again adopt an iterative approach to the surface gravity from the spectroscopic analysis to the Y 2 isochrone interpolation. The surface gravity converges at logg = 4.58 and yields T_e = 4980 44K, [Fe/H] = +0.093, v sin i = 2.2 km s¹ in good agreem ent with the original analysis of Valenti & Fischer (2005). The stellar lum inosity is L₂ = 0.318 L , stellar radius is R₂ = 0.76 R , and stellar m ass is 0.82 M . The stellar parameters are sum m arized in Table 1.

6.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

HD 87883 has been observed at Lick O bservatory since D evem ber 1998. The 44 radial velocity m easurements from Lick O bservatory are listed in Table 5, along with the observation dates and uncertainties. The mean signal-to-noise of 120 for the observations produces a typical velocity m easurement uncertainty of 4 m s¹. The data were initially t with a single planet model with a period of 7.9 years. The initial m s of the K eplerian t was surprisingly high, 9.0 m s¹. A fter adding the expected jitter of 4.5 m s¹ in quadrature with the internal errors, we found a relatively poor ² of 1.7, suggesting that the single planet model of the Lick data showed only a modest peak in the periodogram.

In an e ort to better understand the residual velocities, we obtained 25 additional velocity measurements from the Keck Observatory. These velocities are included in Table 5 with a designation of K in the Observatory column to distinguish them from the Lick observations. The average signal-to-noise at Keck is 220 and the single measurement precision is 2.2 m s¹, providing higher quality velocity measurements. The Lick and Keck velocities were merged with velocity o set as a free parameter to minimize ² of the Keplerian t. The velocity o set was only 1.6 m s¹ for these two data sets and has been subtracted from the Keck radial velocity measurements listed in Table 5.

The combined Lick and Keck velocities were modeled with a Keplerian with a period of 7.9 years, an eccentricity of e = 0.53, and velocity sem i-amplitude of $K = 34.7 \text{ m s}^1$. The velocities are plotted in Figure 6 with the expected 4.5 m s^1 jitter added in quadrature with the form all errors. After thing the combined Lick and Keck velocities, the mms for the Keck data alone was 8.6 m s^1 and the mms for the combined data sets was 9.2 m s^1 with a $^2 = 1.71$. The periodogram of the residuals to the t (with combined Lick and Keck data) does not show any signi cant power. Continued observations may eventually reveal an additional short-period planetary companion. Alternatively, a background star, blended with the image of HD 87883 on the slit could also introduce unexpected velocity variations. Since the star is relatively close (18 parsecs) a stellar companion separated by less than 0.5 m ight be resolvable with adaptive optics observations and would be helpful for understanding the high ms to our Keplerian t.

{ 11 {

7. 89307

7.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 89307 (HIP 50473) is a GOV star with an apparent magnitude of V = 7.06 and B V = 0.640. The Hipparcos-based distance is 30.9 parsecs in plying an absolute visual magnitude of M_V = 4.57. Our spectroscopic analysis yields T_e = 5950 44K, log g = 4.414 0.10, v sin i = 3.21 0.50 km s¹, [Fe/H] = -0.14 0.04 dex. The log g value in the spectroscopic model was tied to the Y2 isochrones, which yield a stellar lum inosity of 1.24 L with a bolom etric correction of -0.075, radius of 1.05 R and stellar mass of 1.028 M , in good agreem ent with Takeda et al. (2007) who derive a stellar mass of 0.989 M , age of 6.76 G yr, a stellar radius of 1.1 R and log g of 4.36.

HD 89307 is chrom ospherically inactive with a measured $S_{HK} = 0.154$, $\log R_{HK}^0 = -4.98$, and estimated velocity jitter of 2.8 m s¹. The inferred rotational period from the chrom ospheric activity is 23.7d. The stellar properties of HD 89307 are compiled in Table 1.

7.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

We obtained 59 observations of HD 89307 with a typical SNR of 120 using the Shane 3m telescope at Lick O bservatory over the past ten years, yielding a mean velocity precision of about 6 m s¹. The orbital solution was presented before the orbital solution was secure in Fischer & Valenti (2005) with an orbital period of 3090 days and in Butler et al. (2006) with an orbital period of 2900 1100 d ays.

The observation dates, radial velocities and instrum ental uncertainties for this system are listed in Table 6. The time series data are plotted in Figure 8 and exceed more than one full orbit. The data were twith a Keplerian model using a Levenberg-M arquardt algorithm (LMMC). The best torbital solution has a period, $P = 2157 \quad 63 \text{ d}$; eccentricity, $e = 0.241 \quad 0.07$; and velocity sem i-amplitude, $K = 28.9 \quad 2.2 \text{ m s}^1$. W ith the assumed stellar mass of 1.028 M for this slightly metal-poor star, we derive a planet mass, M sin i $= 1.78 \text{ M}_{Jup}$ and sem i-m a jor axis of 3.27 AU. The ² for this t is 1.37 with an RM S of 9.9m s¹. Orbital parameters for HD 89307 are listed in Table 3.

{ 12 {

8. HD 148427

8.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 148427 (H IP 80687) is a moderately evolved K 0 subgiant with an apparent brightness V = 6.9 and B V color 0.98. A distance of 59.3 parsec was derived from the H ipparcos parallax of 16.87 m as, which yields an absolute magnitude M $_{\rm V}$ = 3.02 and lum inosity of 6 L for this star. Spectroscopic modeling of the star provides T_e = 5052 44K, logg = 3.586 0.10, v sin i = 2.13 km s¹ and [Fe/H] = 0.154 0.04. Our iterative interpolation of the Y2 isochrones yields a stellar mass of 1.45 M , stellar radius of 3.22 R in good agreem ent with Takeda et al. (2007) who also derive an age of 2.5 G yr from evolutionary tracks. Isaacson & Fischer (2009) derive S_{HK} = 0.14, log R⁰_{HK} = -5.18 and a stellar jitter of 3.5 m s¹. The stellar param eters are sum marized in Table 1.

8.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

HD 148427 has been observed at Lick since 2001 with a typical SNR of 120 and single m easurem ent uncertainties of about 4 m s¹. The radial velocity observations of this star are listed in Table 7. The periodogram of these velocities has a strong peak at about 331 days with an FAP less than 0.01%. The data are well-m odeled with a Keplerian orbit that has a period of 331.5 3.0 days, velocity sem i-am plitude of 27.7 2 m s¹, and an eccentricity of 0.16 0.08. Adopting the stellar m ass of 1.45 M , we derive a planet m ass, M sin i = 0.93 M _{Jup} and an earth-like orbital radius of 0.96 AU. The orbital solution is listed in Table 3. The radial velocity m easurem ents are plotted with jitter of 3.5 m s¹ added in quadrature in Figure 9 to yield a ² t of 1.08 with an rm s of 7.0 m s¹. The phase-folded Keplerian m odel is overplotted in Figure 9 as a dashed line.

9. HD 196885 A

We began observing HD 196885 A at Lick Observatory in 1998. In 2004 the velocity variations for this star were modeled with a preliminary orbital period of P = 346d. This (unpublished) result appeared temporarily on the California Planet Search exoplanet website (as noted by Correia et al. (2008)) and was picked up on the Extrasolar Planets Encyclopedia (Schneider 2009). However, when it became apparent that a signi cant residual trend had skewed the Keplerian model, the link was removed from our website while additional data were collected. Although the initial twas incorrect, one advantage of this early notice to

the community is that the star was added to the NACO direct in aging survey at the VLT (Chauvin et al. 2006) and a low mass stellar companion was imaged, HD 196885 B, with an angular separation of only 0^{0} ? corresponding to a projected linear separation of 23 AU. Chauvin et al. (2007) report that photom etry of the stellar companion is consistent with an M 1V dwarf star with a mass of 0.5 – 0.6 M .

9.1. Stellar C haracteristics

HD 196885 A (H IP101966) is a F8V star with absolute visual magnitude M $_{\rm V}$ of 3.79. The apparent stellar magnitude is V = 6.39, and color is B V = 0.509. The H ipparcos parallax is 0.0303 arcseconds, placing this star at a distance of 33 parsecs. We obtained a spectroscopic solution, iterating to obtain the same value for logg in the Y2 isochrones. We measure T_e = 6254 44K, logg = 4.31 0.1, v sin i = 7.8 0.50 km s¹, and [Fe/H] = 0.22 0.04 dex. Including a bolom etric lum inosity correction of -0.028, we obtain a stellar lum inosity of 2.4 L from the Y2 stellar evolutionary tracks, with a radius of 1.31 R and mass of 1.28 M . The stellar age from evolutionary tracks is 3.12 (2.72, 3.48) G yr (Takeda et al. 2007). Isaacson & Fischer (2009) m easure $S_{\rm HK}$ = 0.148 and log $R_{\rm HK}^0$ = -4.98, indicating low chrom ospheric activity for HD 196885 A . Based on the activity level, we estim ate a stellar rotation period of 9.4 days and intrinsic radial velocity jitter of 2 m s¹. Stellar param eters for HD 196885 A are sum marized in Table 1.

9.2. Doppler Observations and Keplerian Fit

A snoted at the beginning of this section, HD 196885 A has an M dwarfstellar companion with a projected separation of only 23 AU. The time series velocities are plotted in Figure 10 and show an obvious large am plitude trend with curvature, caused by the stellar companion. The re ex velocities from the stellar orbit are modulated by a lower am plitude variation from a planet orbiting the prim ary component of this binary star system.

The observation dates, radial velocities, and uncertainties for HD 196885 A are listed in Table 8. Seventy- ve observations have been obtained at Lick O bservatory since 1998 July. Figure 10 shows the time series radial velocity measurements with a Keplerian model that is the combination of a planet model plus a stellar binary orbit. In thing the Keplerian orbit, we added 2 m s¹ in quadrature to the internal errors as the best estimate for stellar noise based on the spectral type and activity of the star. However, we note that the close M dwarf companion will contam inate the spectrum of the primary star, increasing our D oppler

errors. We is tested periods from 30 to 100 years for the stellar companion detected by Chauvin et al. (2007). With a double-Keplerian model for the binary star and planet, we found that ² decreases to a minimum of 1.43 for orbital periods greater than about 40 years. However, ² is at for longer orbital periods, out to 200 years with strong covariances in the solutions in the orbital elements (K and the period) of the stellar binary system.

The best tK eplerian solution for the planetary orbit, HD 196885 Ab, was determined with a Levenberg-M arquardt search of parameter space, which is reliable with phase coverage spanning several orbits. Our best tm odel has an orbital period of 1333 15d, eccentricity 0:48 0:06, velocity semi-amplitude K = 53:9 3:7. The residuals to the tof HD 196885 Ab have an RMS of 14.7 m s¹ and ² = 1.58. The K eplerian solution for the planet orbit is sum marized in Table 3.

Figure 12 shows the modeled K eplerian orbit for HD 196885 Ab after the orbit from the assumed stellar binary HD 196885 B companion has been subtracted o . The contribution of light from the spatially unresolved M dwarf companion should be less than 1 part in 1000, but may have added system atic errors in our D oppler analysis that resulted in the poorer

t. Figure 12 shows the (stellar binary) residual velocities after the planetary orbit from HD 196885 Ab is rem oved. C learly, the fractional phase of the observed stellar is not enough to constrain the period, am plitude or eccentricity of its orbit.

HD 196885 was also observed with ELOD \blacksquare and CORAL \blacksquare from June 1997 to August 2006. Correia et al. (2008) present those radial velocity data and include a longer time baseline of lower precision CORAVEL data to add modest constraints to the stellar binary orbit. They model acceptable periods ranging from 40 to 120 years for the stellar binary system. Their orbital solution for the planet, HD 196885 Ab, has a period of 3.69 years, eccentricity of 0.462, and velocity sem i-am plitude of 40.5 2.3 m s¹. We cannot resolve the inconsistencey between their velocity am plitude and the larger velocity am plitude of 53:9 3:7 m s¹ that we measure.

10. Discussion

We report D oppler velocities for six exoplanet discoveries from Lick O bærvatory. The planets are allmore massive than Jupiter and have signi cant orbital eccentricity with periods ranging from 0.9 to 7.6 years. HD 30562b has M sin $i = 1.29 M_{Jup}$, an orbital eccentricity of 0.76, and an orbital period of 3 years. HD 86264b has M sin $i = 7 M_{Jup}$, eccentricity of 0.7 and an orbital period of 4 years. HD 87883b has a mass, M sin $i = 1.78 M_{Jup}$, eccentricity of 0.53 and an orbital period of 7.6 years. HD 89307b has M sin $i = 1.78 M_{Jup}$, eccentricity

of 0.241 and an orbital period of 5.9 years. HD 148427b has a mass, M sin i = 0.96 M $_{Jup}$, a m ore m odest eccentricity of 0.16 and an orbital period of 0.9 years. HD 196885A b has M sin i = 2.58 M $_{Jup}$, eccentricity of 0.48 and an orbital period of 3.65 years. Am ong the planets presented in this paper, the high m ass planets orbit at wider separations and have higher eccentricity orbits.

The host stars HD 86264 and HD 148427 are essentially identical in m ass (M 1:4 M) and chem ical composition (Fe/H] +02), but di er in their evolutionary states. HD 86264 is a F7V star, while JD 148427 resides on the subgiant branch as a KOIV star. Like most massive main-sequence stars, HD 86264 has moderate rotation with v sin i = 12.8 km s¹ and modest chromospheric activity. A sa result, the Doppler precision is much poorer for HD 86264 than for HD 148427 with internal errors of 19m s¹ vs 6.8 m s¹, respectively. Therefore, it would have been in possible to detect the planet found around HD 148427 if it had been orbiting a dwarf star of the sam e m ass, like HD 86264. This emphasizes the value in searching for planets around stars in their cooler, evolved states (Johnson et al. 2007; Sato et al. 2008).

Before the detection of planets orbiting other stars, it was expected that exoplanets would reside on nearly circular orbits, like planets in our own solar system, as a result of eccentricity damping in protoplanetary disks. However, about one third of Dopplerdetected exoplanets have measured orbital eccentricities greater than 0.3. As a result, a number of mechanism s have been proposed for exciting eccentricity in the orbits of gas giant planets (see Ford & Rasio (2008) and extensive references therein), including perturbations by stellar companions, scattering in the protoplanetary disk, resonant interactions between planet embryos and tidal interactions with the disk.

P lanet-planet interactions appear to provide a mechanism that is able to reproduce the observed eccentricity distribution. A fier dissipation of the protop lanetary disk, eccentricities can grow rapidly and lead to graviatational encounters between planets (C hatterjee et al. 2008; Ford & Rasio 2008; Juric & Trem aine 2008). (Ford & Rasio 2008) nd that sim ulations of encounters between unequal mass planets produce fewer collisions and a broader range of naleccentricities that reproduce the observed eccentricity distribution. Juric & Trem aine (2008) nd that there are many di erent sets of initial conditions that can lead to sim ilar \relaxed" eccentricity distributions and note that details of initial conditions may therefore be impossible to deduce from the nal observed states. In order to explain the observed eccentricity distribution, Juric & Trem aine (2008) expect that one or two additional gas giant planets must reside in most exoplanet system s. A natural outcom e of planet-planet scattering, a signi cant number of ejected planets and non-coplanar system s are expected.

HD 196885A is a starwith a massive planet in a binary stellar system . W hile exoplanets

have been found in several binary systems, this system is unusual because of the small projected linear separation of the stellar components. The current best solution places the M dwarf companion at a projected angular separation corresponding to only 23 AU. One of the challenges for planet form ation models is the growth of planetesim als from meter-sized objects to kilom eter-sized objects. This challenge is even greater in close binary systems, yet the primary star hosts a fairly massive planet with a sem im a jor axis of 2.37 AU. A good measurement of the sem im a jor axis of the stellar binary would help to understand how this planet could have form ed and survived the dynamics of this challenging environment.

M ost of the host stars presented in this paper have high (super-solar) m etallicity. Thus, we note that the planet-m etallicity correlation for gas giant planets (F ischer & Valenti 2005; Santos, Israelian & M ayor 2004) continues to hold for longer orbital periods.

We gratefully addrow ledge the dedication and support of the Lick Observatory sta . DAF addrow ledges research support from NASA grant NNX 08AF42G. JAJ is an NSF A stronom y and A strophysics Postdoctoral Fellow with support from the NSF grant AST – 0702821. A W H gratefully addrow ledges support from a Townes Postdoctoral Fellow ship at the U.C. Berkeley Space Sciences Laboratory. The authors extend thanks to those of H awaiian ancestry on whose sacred m ountain of M auna K ea we are privileged to be guests. W thout their kind hospitality, the K eck observations of HD 87883 would not have been possible. This research has m ade use of the SIM BAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France, and of NASA's A strophysics D ata System B ibliographic Services.

{ 17 {

REFERENCES

- Baliunas, S.L., et al. 1995, ApJ, 438, 269
- Baliunas, S.L., Henry, G.W., Donahue, R.A., Fekel, F.C. & Soon, W.H. 1997, ApJ, 474, L119
- Bouchy, F., Mayor, M., Lovis, C., Udry, S., Benz, W., Bertaux, J.-L., Delfosse, X., Mordasini, C., Pepe, F., Queloz, D. & Segransan, D., A&A, 496, 572
- Butler, R.P., Marcy, G.W., Williams, E., McCarthy, C., Dosanjh, P.& Vogt, S.S. 1996, PASP, 108, 500
- Butler, R.P., W right, J.T., Marcy, G.W., Fischer, D.A., Vogt, S.S., Tinney, C.G., Jones, H.R.A., Carter, B.D., Johnson, J.A., McCarthy, C., Penny, A.J. 2006, ApJ, 646, 505
- Chatterjee, S., Ford, E.B., Matsumura, S., Rasio, F.A. 2008, ApJ, 686, 580
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A.-M., Udry, S., Fusco, T., Galland, F., Naef, D., Beuzit, J.-L. & Mayor, M. 2006, A & A, 456, 1165
- Chauvin, G., Lagrange, A.-M., Udry, S. & Mayor, M. 2007, A& A, 475, 723
- Correia, A.C.M., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, 271
- Dem arque, P., W oo., J.H., Kim, Y.-C. & Yi, S.K. 2004, ApJS, 155, 667
- Duncan, D.K., et al. 1991, ApJS, 76, 383
- Driscoll, P., Fischer, D. & Ford, E. 2009, ApJ, in press
- ESA 1997, The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogs. ESA -SP 1200
- Fischer, D.A. & Valenti, J.A. 2005, ApJ 622, 1102
- Fischer, D.A., Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Vogt, S.S. & Apps, K. 1999, PASP111, 50
- Ford, E.B. 2005, AJ, 129, 1706
- Ford, E.B., Rasio & Rasio, F.A. 2008, ApJ, 686, 621
- Giguere, M. & Fischer, D. A. 2009, ApJ (in prep)
- Henry, G.W. 1999, PASP, 111, 845

Henry, G.W., Fekel, F.C., & Henry, S.M. 2007, AJ, 133, 1421

- Howard, A.W., Johnson, J.A., Marcy, G.W., Fischer, D.A., Wright, J.T., Henry, G.W., Giguere, M.J., Isaacson, H., Valenti, J.A., Anderson, J. & Piskunov, N.E. 2009, ApJin press
- Isaacson, H. & Fischer, D. A. 2009, ApJ in prep
- Johnson, J.A., Fischer, D.A., Marcy, G.W., Wright, J.T., Driscoll, P., Butler, R.P., Hekker, S., Re ert, S., Vogt, S.S. 2007, ApJ, 665, 785
- Juric, M., Trem aine, S. 2008, ApJ, 686, 603
- Marcy, G.W. & Butler, R.P. 1992, PASP, 104, 270
- Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Williams, E., Bildsten, L., Graham, J.R., Ghez, A.M. & Jernigan, J.G. 1997, ApJ, 481, 926
- Marcy, G., Butler, R.P., Fischer, D., Laughlin, G., Vogt, S., Henry, G. & Pourbaix, D. 2002, ApJ, 581, 1375
- Mayor, M., Bon ls, X., Forveille, T., Delfosse, X., Udry, S., Bertaux, J.-L., Beust, H., Bouchy, F., Lovis, C., Pepe, F., Perrier, C., Queloz, D., Santos, N.C. 2009, arXiv0906.2780
- Mayor, M., Udry, S., Lovis, C., Pepe, F., Queloz, D., Benz, W., Bertaux, J.-L., Bouchy, F., Mordasini, C., Segransan, D. 2009, a, 493, 639
- Moutou, C., Mayor, M., Lo Curto, G., Udry, S., Bouchy, F., Benz, W., Lovic, C., Naef, D., Pepe, F., Quebz, D. & Santos, N.-C. 2009, A & A, 496, 513
- Noyes, R.W., Hartmann, L., Baliunas, S.L., Duncan, D.K. & Vaughan, A.H. 1984, ApJ, 279, 763
- Quebz, D., Henry, G.W., Sivan, J.P., Baliunas, S.L., Beuzit, J.L., Donahue, R.A., Mayor, M., Naef, D., Perrier, C. & Udry, S. 2001, A & A, 379, 279
- Saar, S.H., Butler, R.P. & Marcy, G.W. 1998, ApJ, 498, 153
- Saar, S.H. & Fischer, D.A. 2000, ApJ, 534, 105
- Santos, N. C., Israelian, G., Mayor, M. 2004, A&A, 415, 1153

- Sato, B., Izum iura, H., Toyota, E., Kambe, E., Ikoma, M., Omiya, M., Masuda, S., Takeda, Y., Murata, D., Itoh, Y., Ando, H., Yoshida, M., Kokubo, E., & Ida, S. 2008, PASJ, 60, 539
- Schneider, J. 2009, http://exoplanet.eu/catalog.php
- Takeda, G., Ford, E.B., Sills, A., Rasio, F.A., Fischer, D.A., & Valenti, J.A. 2007, ApJS, 168, 297
- Valenti, J.A., Fischer, D.A., Marcy, G.W., Johnson, J.A., Henry, G.W., Wright, J.T., Howard, A.W., Giguere, M. & Isaacson, H. 2009, ApJ, in press
- Valenti, J.A. & Fischer, D.A. 2005, ApJS, 159, 141
- Valenti, J.A. & Piskunov, N. 1996, A & A, 118, 595
- Vogt, S.S. et al. 1994, SP E, 2198, 362.
- Vogt, S.S. 1987, PASP, 99, 1214
- VandenBerg, D.A. & Clem, J.L. 2003, AJ, 126, 778
- W right, J.T. 2005, PASP, 117, 657
- Wright, J.T. & Howard, A. 2009, ApJ (in press)

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IPT_EX m acros v52.



Fig. 1. Time series radial velocities from Lick Observatory are plotted for HD 30562 with 2.9 m s¹ of expected velocity jitter added in quadrature with the single measurement uncertainties. The K eplerian model is overplotted with an orbital period of 3.2 years, velocity amplitude of 33.7 m s¹ and eccentricity, e = 0.76. W ith these parameters and the stellar mass of 1.219 M , we derive a planet mass, M sin i = 1.29 M _{Jup} and sem im a jor axis of 2.3 AU. Residual velocities to the tare o set and show some slight system atic variation.



Fig. 2. | The MCMC analysis revelas a modest covariance between the orbital eccentricity and velocity amplitude that is a factor of two larger than the form alerrors from the LMMC analysis.



Fig. 3. Time series radial velocities for HD 86264 from Lick Observatory. The best t Keplerian orbit is plotted as a solid line and has an orbital period of alm ost 4 years, a velocity amplitude of 132 m s¹ and eccentricity of 0.7. The stellar mass of 1.42 M yields a planet mass, M sin i = 7 M_{Jup} and sem im a jor axis of 2.86 AU. An alternative Keplerian model with an eccentricity of 0.4 is shown with a dashed line. Such a model has a ² t that is only worse by 5% compared to the 0.7 eccentricity solution.



Fig. 4. The posterior probability distributions from M arkov Chain M onte Carlo (M CM C) simulations show peak values close to those derived from Levenberg-M arquardt tting. The widths of these distributions characterize the uncertainty in the orbital parameters.



Fig. 5. The MCMC probability density functions used to model the Keplerian orbital parameters reveals covariance between orbital eccentricity and velocity amplitude. For the most likely velocity amplitude of about 132 m s¹, eccentricities between 0.5 and 0.7 are plausible.



Fig. 6. Our time series D oppler measurements from Lick Observatory are shown with led circles and velocities from the Keck Observatory are represented by diamonds. We have added 4.5 m s¹ velocity jitter in quadrature to both of the error bars shown here. The best t Keplerian orbital period is 7.6 years, the eccentricity for this planet is e = 0.53 and the velocity sem i-amplitude is K = 34.7 m s¹. Because there is a gap in the phase approaching periastron, the eccentricity is poorly constrained and values as low as 0.4 are plausible for this star. With the stellar mass of 0.82 M we derive a planet mass, M sin i= 1.78 M _{Jup} and sem i-m a jor axis of 3.6 AU. The best t theoretical curve is overplotted with a solid line and the lower eccentricity solution is plotted with a dashed line.



Fig. 7. Periodogram of residuals to the Keplerian model of HD 87883 for higher cadence, higher precision Keck radial velocities A modest peak appears at 2.5 days, but we do not consider this to be signi cant. The residual Keck data do not show any period with an FAP below 5%.



Fig. 8. Radial velocities from Lick Observatory are plotted for HD 89307, including 2.8 m s¹ of jitter added in quadrature to the internal errors. The best t Keplerian model is overplotted with a solid line and yields an orbital period 5.9 years, velocity amplitude of 28.9 m s¹ and eccentricity of 0.24. W ith the assumed stellar mass of 1.028 M we derive a planet mass, M sin i= 1.78 M _{Jup} and sem i-m a jor axis of 3.27 AU.



Fig. 9. Time series radial velocities are shown for the KOIV star, HD 148427 and include $3.5 \text{ m} \text{ s}^1$ of jitter added in quadrature with the form alm easurem ent errors. The dashed line shows a Keplerian best t model with an orbital period of 0.9 years, velocity am plitude of 27.7 m s¹ and eccentricity of 0.16. Adopting a stellar mass of 1.45 M we derive a planet mass, M sin i= 0.96 M _{Jup} and orbital radius of 0.93 AU.



Fig. 10. Time series radial velocities for HD 196885 are best twith a double Keplerian model that includes the known M dwarf stellar companion and a second planetary companion. An assumed jitter of 2 m s¹ was added in quadrature to the form all uncertainties to model this system.



Fig. 11. The Keplerian model for HD 196885A b is shown with the Keplerian model for the stellar companion subtracted o. The residual data are best t by a planetary companion with M sin i= 2.58 M_{Jup} in an orbit with a sem i-m a jor axis of 2.37 AU.



Fig. 12. Residual velocities for HD 196885 A are plotted after removing the modeled velocities from the planet. The Keplerian model for the stellar companion has a xed period of 120 years, how ever the phase coverage is poor and the 2 t is nearly constant for periods from 40 to 200 years.

Param eter	HD 30562	HD 86264	HD 87883	HD 89307	HD 148427	HD 196885A
SpectralType	F 8V	F 7V	K OV	g OV	K OIV	F8V
Distance (pc)	26.5	72.6	18.1	30.9	59.3	33
B V	0.63	0.46	0.96	0.640	0.93	0.509
T _e (K)	5861 (44)	6210 (44)	4980 (44)	5950 (44)	5052 (44)	6254 (44)
logg	4.09 (0.10)	4.02 (0.10)	4.58 (0.10)	4.414 (0.10)	3.59 (0.10)	4.31 (0.10)
[Fe/H]	+0.243 (0.04)	+ 0.202 (0.04)	+0.093 (0.04)	0:14 (0.04)	+0.154 (0.04)	+ 0.22 (0.04)
vsinikm s ¹	4.9 (0.50)	12.8 (0.50)	2.17 (0.50)	321 (0.50)	2.13 (0.5)	7.8 (0.50)
V	5.77	7.42	7.57	7.06	6.89	6.39
BC	-0.064	-0.024	-0.305	-0.075	-0.26	-0.028
M _v	3.65	3.10	6.27	4.57	3.02	3.79
L _? (L)	2.85 (0.19)	4.55 (0.6)	0.318 (0.018)	124 (0.09)	6.09 (0.75)	2.41 (0.16)
M _? (M)	1.219 (0.04)	1.42 (0.05)	0.82 (0.04)	1.028 (0.04)	1.45 (0.06)	1.28 (0.05)
R _? (R)	1.637 (0.06)	1.88 (0.12)	0.76 (0.03)	1.05 (0.04)	322 (02)	1.31 (0.05)
S _{HK}	0.15 (0.005)	0.20 (0.017)	0.278 (0.021)	0.154 (0.008)	0.139 (0.017)	0.148 (0.018)
$\log R_{HK}^{0}$	-5.064	-4.73	-4.81	-4.98	-5.18	-5.02
P _{rot} (d)	24.2	4.15	38.6	23.7	55.7	9.81
Age (Gyr)	4.0	2.24	9.8	6.76	2.5	3.12

Table 1. Stellar Param eters

{ 32 {

{ 33 {

{	34	{
-		-

Table 2. Radial Velocities for HD 30562

=

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	ms ¹)
11174.91602	17.82	10.03
11175 . 80664	20.83	7.57
11206.75391	22.75	8.74
11467 . 97958	-9.75	6.37
11482.93596	-20.05	6.73
11534.86523	-17.74	7.35
11535.85769	-14.54	5.67
11859 . 89453	-6.57	8.25
12937.90033	11.27	3.48
13282.98926	10.04	5.10
13341.85063	19.69	4.90
13360.80337	18.80	5.44
13389.76837	14.19	5.10
13391.77977	18.54	5.32
13743.72993	-36.48	6.28
13751.78702	-34,28	5.69
13988.95190	-22.96	5.50
14049.94301	-11.77	5.02
14071.95702	-0.83	6.80
14072.80531	-13.94	5.34
14073 . 86159	-17.66	5.54
14099.84141	-19.94	5.19
14103.77660	-10.93	4.77
14337.98200	-1.27	4.99
14374.02309	2.84	5.64
14428.95087	10.40	5.07
14447.83085	3.26	3.81
14461.87088	4.07	5.23
14517.65772	9.41	7.29

Table 2 | Continued

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
14548.65551	21.61	5.11
14723.02289	35.82	3.85
14724.01284	37.37	3.55
14756.94272	18.69	4.43
14783.85702	-29.31	5.30
14784.89422	-22.55	6.08
14785.94216	-22.72	4.06
14845.77865	-27.85	3.24
14846.75067	-23.42	4.61
14847.73611	-32.97	4.38
14848.75761	-37,29	3.70
14849.72956	-37.37	3.92
14850.75859	-21.96	4.11
14863.74239	-18.96	4.57
14864.68794	-17.42	5.47
14865.70517	-16.68	4.62

Parameter	HD 30562b	HD 86264b	HD 87883b	HD 89307b	HD 148427b	HD 196885Ab
P (d)	1157 (27)	1475 (55)	2754 (87)	2157 (63)	331.5 (3.0)	1333 (15)
K (m s ¹)	33.7 (2.2)	132 (33)	34.7 (4.5)	28.9 (2.2)	27.7 (2)	53.9 (3.7)
е	0.76 (0.05)	0.7 (0.2)	0.53 (0.12)	0.241 (0.07)	0.16 (0.08)	0.48 (0.06)
T _p (JD)	10131.5 (14)	15172 (114)	11139 (90)	10228 (80)	13991 (15)	11992 (12)
! (deg)	81 (10)	306 (10)	291 (15)	36 (52)	277 (68)	78 (7.6)
Trend (m s 1 yr 1)		0.005				
a (AU)	2.3 (0.02)	2.86 (0.07)	3.6 (0.08)	3.27 (0.07)	0.93 (0.01)	2.37 (0.02)
M siniM _{Jup})	1.29 (0.08)	7.0 (1.6)	1.78 (0.34)	1.78 (0.13)	0.96 (0.1)	2.58 (0.16)
N _{obs}	45	37	69	59	31	76
jitterm s ¹	2.9	3.3	4.5	2.8	3.5	2.0
RMS (m s ¹)	7.58	262	92	9.9	7.0	14.7
2	1.31	1.21	1.71	1.37	1.08	1.58
FAP	< 0:0001	< 0:0001	< 0:0001	< 0:0001	< 0:0001	< 0:0001

Table 3. Orbital Param eters

{	37	{

Table 4. Radial Velocities for HD 86264

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
11913.97949	-124.29	21.75
11914.95215	-106.63	25.94
11915.97266	-133.09	22.51
11927.95800	-114.71	20.32
11946.87109	-110.60	19.18
12298.95410	124.05	19.34
12333.84668	101.74	20.59
13033.93945	-58.99	19.57
13101.71289	-51.24	24.08
13389.83370	-48.75	18.64
13391.97233	-96.12	18.67
13392.81923	-45.12	14.91
13756.87898	133.09	19.13
13869.71119	94.29	16.79
13895.69225	62.54	27.79
14073.04851	13.04	17.77
14074.02220	-30.92	18.04
14099.03887	-18.92	24.35
14099.99183	-2.54	19.56
14103.92013	-11.77	18.46
14133.86964	-21.51	10.55
14135.79582	11.93	13.57
14165.79559	91.33	17.90
14461.93014	-64.70	24.53
14548.77584	-89.39	16.58
14574.75097	-40.01	16.78
14578.76834	-26.36	14.60
14622.69367	-59.33	31.96
14844.97356	-87.15	12.52

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
14846.05428	-91.75	15.39
14846 . 97546	-114.10	13.59
14848.92699	-67.28	12.89
14849.87817	-105.34	12.34
14850.92234	-80.79	13.45
14863.88580	-93.69	16.25
14864.89708	-132.25	20.60
14865.90181	-78.03	15.50

Table 4 | Continued

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)	0 bærvatory
1998.93369	12.80	7.97	L
199917408	33.63	7.17	L
1999 . 97128	18.35	6.44	L
2001.01165	0.88	5.85	L
2001.01429	-5.14	6.10	L
2001.01709	5.80	5.87	L
2001.04992	-1.50	6.07	L
2001.09908	13.53	6.08	L
2001.10167	13.45	6.32	L
2001.45430	-17 . 65	6.10	L
2002.06567	-14.97	4.72	L
2002.16120	5.50	5.98	L
2002.16649	-9,29	6.11	L
2003.13049	-22.78	4.23	L
2003.96032	-20.66	4.64	L
2003.96316	-18.05	5.25	L
2004.00956	-18.31	4.81	L
2004 26352	-42.47	5.83	L
2005.05229	-41.12	5.03	L
2005.05815	-32.01	4.92	L
2006.92539	20.38	5.46	L
2006.95556	42.47	2.80	K
2007.00210	28.05	5.22	L
2007.00472	36.03	5.33	L
2007.00740	26.61	5.16	L
2007.09195	18.36	5.43	L
2007.17675	19.74	5.27	L
2007.26151	33.31	4.83	L
2007 . 98755	14.49	5,27	L

Table 5. Radial Velocities for HD 87883

{	40	{
---	----	---

Table 5 | Continued

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)	0 bærvatory
2008.14057	16.07	4.63	L
2008,22279	9.73	4.87	L
2008,29645	0.97	5.21	L
2008.38397	-4.94	6.73	L
2008.39214	20.11	5.62	L
2008.42769	2.86	6.03	L
2008.47985	1.75	4.16	K
2008.85326	17.75	4.20	K
2008.85584	10.71	4.01	K
2008.85868	-6.52	3.96	К
2008.87491	-10.34	8.02	L
2008.88886	3.65	3.88	K
2008.92978	17.89	3.96	К
2008.93511	11.34	5.32	К
2008.93805	-2.68	3.92	К
2008.94051	5.14	4.05	К
2008.94338	10.12	3.93	К
2009.02007	-4.52	4.02	K
2009.03607	7.99	2.81	L
2009.03925	15.00	3.49	L
2009.04198	1.03	4.21	K
2009.04426	-0.41	3.77	L
2009.04697	-2.47	3.67	L
2009.04970	-13.64	3.63	L
2009.05243	-11.98	4.53	L
2009.08818	-3.23	4.63	L
2009.09078	-11.39	6.23	L
2009.09116	-21.38	4.11	К
2009.09359	10.95	6.34	L

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)	0 bærvatory
2009.09890	-12,31	4,24	K
2009.26318	-7.77	4.14	K
2009,26863	-14.77	4.20	K
2009,28229	-15.93	4.35	K
2009.33710	-10.03	3.82	K
2009.36175	-3.13	3.99	K
2009.41635	-4.02	3.86	K
2009.41906	-9.49	3.92	K
2009.42181	-15.32	4.16	K
2009.42461	-1.15	3.94	K
2009.42729	-13.37	4.31	K

Table 5 | Continued

{	42	{
---	----	---

Table 6. RadialVelocities for HD 89307

=

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	ms ¹)
10831.87988	-9.78	12.67
11155.06152	-43.23	9.82
11212.96387	-40.94	14.81
11533.03711	-24.86	7.96
11536.94238	-13.00	6.46
11914.03613	0.51	6.77
11914 . 97949	9.90	7.03
11916.00391	10.64	6.00
12075.69141	3.13	7.97
12299.01562	35.54	5.90
12299.81152	34.38	5.56
12333.89355	26.96	6.57
12334.78516	43.23	7.53
12335.84668	35.15	7.04
12991.03027	-17.15	5.84
12992.05762	-17.21	6.13
13009.01367	-8.14	5.50
13009.97070	-17.99	5.28
13048.88880	-37,26	7,21
13068.85645	-23.63	6.92
13100.75994	-13.11	6.25
13101.78418	-4.40	6.38
13108.80719	-6.78	5.50
13130.74121	-28.86	5.65
13155.68164	-29,22	5.29
13156.69141	-20.03	5.39
13361.03331	-2.62	8.45
13362.97417	-24.75	6.35
13744 . 96252	-14.16	4.98

{	43	{
---	----	---

Table 6 | Continued

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
13756.89883	-31,22	6.39
13843.85424	2.56	7.64
13895.70819	-6.08	6.18
14072.05486	7.35	6.63
14073.05723	5.55	5.96
14074.03192	1.07	6.34
14099.05421	-1.15	6.93
14103.99258	13.67	6.01
14133.92363	17.59	5.87
14134.86984	0.39	6.40
14135.81388	4.83	7.31
14219.75881	18.05	5.90
14220.77130	16.48	5.49
14428.07576	31.82	9.01
14429.07464	25.71	6.91
14461 . 97798	33.97	6.42
14547.88772	35.95	5.99
14574.81520	29.59	5.42
14606.73102	25.25	7.14
14609.71447	25.74	7.05
14622.73115	28.91	6.63
14844.94877	-6.94	3.47
14846.99865	15.72	3.79
14847.99892	15.93	4.15
14848.94512	-2.65	4.31
14849.93880	-0.10	4.24
14850 . 94371	12.47	4.37
14863.93069	12.09	6.65
14864.88307	19,22	5.49

Table 6 Continued		
JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
14865 . 91973	2.72	5.84

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	(m s ¹)
12117.77468	3.02	5.84
12121.73047	8.93	7.15
12122.74609	0.73	4.99
12470.75977	12.77	3.94
12707.99301	28.24	3.50
12795.82135	13.79	3.39
13069.05859	17.30	5.59
13130.97559	15.45	4.56
13482.91224	-2.92	5.06
13514.81401	-5.86	4.83
13515.77733	-14.75	4.61
13774.07313	8.72	5.17
13775.06466	-2.58	5.11
13953.67145	-11.12	4.99
13954.67293	-4.05	4.61
13988.64826	15.42	5.15
13989.64802	10.30	5.48
14196.94310	-18.46	4.76
14255.88785	-23.03	3.60
14310.74223	-6.88	4.23
14549.03808	-23.48	4.66
14574.91787	-21.97	4.91
14578.89804	-30.23	3.05
14606.89543	-12.78	4.42
14622.86542	-16.01	4.29
14723.66497	31.67	2.92
14845.09173	-31.67	5.13
14847.10053	-10.97	4.69
14848.09531	-16.06	3.20

Table 7. Radial Velocities for HD 148427

JD -2440000	RV (m s ¹)	^{RV} (m s ¹)
14865 . 07943	-20 . 97	3.61
14866 . 07445	-25 . 72	4.03

Table 7 | Continued

JD -2440000.	RV (m s ¹)	Uncertainties (m s ¹)
11004.87786	156.62	17.99
11005.91113	192.76	14.54
11014.87598	188.81	14.59
11026.84668	178.52	14.52
11027.87109	207.85	16.91
11045.85156	225.65	13.38
11062.77637	189,21	17.01
11440.64692	72.65	10.70
11445.72070	79.05	11.09
11751 . 85840	109.24	9.18
11802.79239	84.09	8.69
11824.63919	123.29	8.56
11836 . 69527	97.32	8.54
11839.62402	87.54	12,24
11867.63857	113,28	9.64
12075 . 96484	107.64	7.97
12104.88281	134.78	11.78
12120.84375	139.73	11.79
12122.85938	130.53	9.83
12189.63457	110.52	12.50
12449.96387	109.00	8.79
12493.81152	140.81	8.45
12534.77637	92.01	7.53
12535.67998	105.53	5.70
12834.92419	8.73	7.03
12857.93443	7.09	6.51
12893.79688	-28.15	8.96
12921.69336	2.33	6.73
12990.64258	15.11	7.78

Table 8. RadialVelocities for HD 196885A

{	48	{
---	----	---

Table 8 | Continued

JD -2440000.	RV (m s ¹)	Uncertainties (m s ¹)
12991.59863	15.60	7.85
13154.95898	-27.71	12.08
13155.92090	0.59	8,15
13156.95117	1.17	9,31
13204.88432	3.41	9.82
13210.93750	-24.94	7.91
13211.91406	-6,21	7,52
13212.85449	-13.81	6.89
13215.90261	1.96	9.82
13216.86158	-30.02	8.00
13218.85502	-24.73	8.53
13222.87458	4.10	10.15
13236.80479	-14.96	6.69
13237.80842	2.00	7.35
13239.87256	-5 . 86	10.66
13250.83496	-26.50	9.40
13280.76758	-0.69	8.72
13281.72754	-9.9 2	7.17
13282.69531	-28.07	8.07
13567.90576	-21.60	7.69
13568.94066	-14.24	7.28
13636.69163	-19.68	8.45
13866.95528	-78.52	8.18
13867.88733	-58.85	8.63
13868.98856	-79.86	8.03
13924.88196	-159.16	7.36
13954.79810	-111.27	7.85
13956.86757	-147.65	8.80
14047.64309	-187.58	9.78

Table 8 | Continued

JD -2440000.	RV (m s ¹)	Uncertainties (m s ¹)
14071.60554	-180.85	8.84
14072.60604	-219.97	10.38
14073.57926	-173.23	9.71
14102.59081	-204.95	10.44
14103.58541	-203.28	9.64
14255.98494	-199.99	9.78
14310.86948	-186.92	7.63
14339.83862	-197.52	8.41
14373.72263	-203.25	8.51
14424.65135	-200.30	8.46
14425.58582	-217.71	9.11
14575.00470	-211.34	8.28
14606.98432	-225 . 65	11.16
14623.95213	-191.09	11.68
14673.88099	-219.00	4.25
14694.90059	-191.19	8.66
14783.63365	-198.13	9.19
14784.60365	-207.20	9.13