# D iscovery of a Second N ova Eruption of V 2487 O phiuchi

A shley Pagnotta, Bradley E. Schaefer, Lim in Xiao, Andrew C. Collazzi

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803

pagnotta@phys.lsu.edu

and

Peter K roll

Sternwarte Sonneberg, D-96515 Sonneberg, Germ any

;

Received \_

accepted .

## ABSTRACT

A directed search for previously-undiscovered nova eruptions was conducted in the astronom icalplate archives at H arvard C ollege O bservatory and Sonneberg Observatory. We found that an eruption of V24870 ph (Nova Oph 1998) occurred on 1900 June 20. V 2487 O ph was previously classi ed as a classical nova, which we identi ed as a probable recurrent nova based on its large expansion velocities and the presence of high excitation lines in the outburst spectrum. The event was recorded on Harvard plate AM 505, at a B m agnitude of 10:27 0:11, which is near peak. The outburst can only be seen on one plate, but the in age has a characteristic dum bbell shape (caused by a double exposure) that is identical to the other star im ages on the plate, and thus is not a plate defect. We conclude that this is in fact a previously-undiscovered nova outburst of V24870ph, con ming our prediction that it is a recurrent nova. We also exam ine the discovery e ciency for eruptions of the system and conclude that a random ly-tim ed outburst has, on average, a 30% chance of being discovered in the past century. U sing this, we deduce a recurrence time for V2487 0 ph of approximately 18 years, which in plies that the next eruption is expected around 2016.

Subject headings: novae, cataclysm ic variables stars: individual (V 2487 O phiuchi)

#### 1. Introduction

Recurrent novae (RNe) are cataclysm ic variables which have undergone m ore than one nova eruption. They consist of a white dwarf accreting m aterial from a companion star until a runaway therm onuclear reaction is triggered on the surface of the white dwarf. The short recurrence timescale separates the RNe from the classical novae (CNe), which likely recur with a timescale of up to 10<sup>5</sup> years. To have such a short recurrence time, all RNe system s m ust have both a high-m ass white dwarf and a high accretion rate (Sekiguchi 1995; Townsley 2009). The presence of a near-C handrasekhar m ass white dwarf that is quickly accreting m ore m ass m akes it likely that RNe will collapse as Type Ia supernovae, thus RNe are a probable solution to the Type Ia progenitor problem. A central question is whether there are enough RNe in our galaxy to produce the observed Ia supernova rate. To answer this, we need better inform ation on the properties and discovery e ciency of RNe.

M any nova enuptions are m issed (c.f. Section 4), leading to the likelihood of a number of RN e for which only one eruption has been detected. This raises the question of just how m any recurrent systems are currently m islabeled as classical. The only e cient way to nd new RN e is to exam ine the current list of CN e for systems that are actually recurrent. (The alternative is to continuously m onitor the entire sky for m any decades, waiting for systems to erupt again.) M any CN e systems have been proposed to be RN e, on m any di erent grounds (D uerbeck 1986; H arrison 1992; K ato & H achisu 2003; K ato et al. 2004; W eight et al. 1994; Shears & Poyner 2007), but the only way to prove a system to be recurrent is to nd a second nova event.

A rchival photographic plates provide coverage of the whole sky over a tim e period that extends back more than a century, and thus provide an elective way to search for previous outbursts of nova systems for which only one outburst has been observed. Roughly three-quarters of the useful archival plates in existence are located either at the H arvard College Observatory in Cambridge, M assachusetts or at the Sonneberg Observatory in Sonneberg, Thuringen, Germany. Twenty of the thirty- ve known RN eruptions are found on plates at Harvard and/or Sonneberg; in eleven cases these plates are the only record of the eruption. Fourteen of the nineteen RN eruptions that occurred from 1890 to 1953 were actually discovered on plates. Thus, in seeking to nd RNem asquerading as CNe, a thorough examination of the Harvard and Sonneberg plates for previously-undiscovered eruptions is a logical step.

To make e cient use of time, it is best to select a subset of the CNe that are good candidates for RNe. P reviously, D uerbeck (1986) noted that all RNe have fast declines and bw eruption am plitudes. Seven of the nine RNe have long orbital periods, and four of the nine have red giant companion stars. These facts give us observational properties that can be used to select good RN candidates. We have also identified two spectral characteristics displayed by the RNe during outburst. From a search through the known CNe for these properties, we selected V 2487 O ph (N ova O ph 1998) as our rst target. This paper reports on our test of this prediction: an exhaustive search of all relevant plates at H arvard and Sonneberg. We discovered a prior eruption of V 2487 O ph in 1900, con rm ing that the system is in fact recurrent.

# 2. P rediction

We considered a number of characteristics for each nova system, each of which has a range or particular value that indicates a possible RN. An orbital period longer than 0.6 days is characteristic of m ost recurrent systems; in systems where there is no direct m easurement of the orbital period, the observation of an infrared excess (pointing to a red giant companion) also implies a long period and is therefore of interest. The Duerbeck (1986) criterion is that RN e fall into a \region void of classical novae" on a plot of eruption am plitude vs.  $t_3$ , where  $t_3$  is the time it takes the brightness to fall three m agnitudes from peak. We have identied two new RN indicators that are easily observable in outburst spectra. First, we note that all RN e display expansion velocities in excess of 2000 km s<sup>1</sup>, as measured by the full width at half maximum of the H line, while most CN e have much lower velocities. Additionally, we not that all RN e outburst spectra feature high-excitation lines such as [Fe X] (and higher) and He II. Few of the CN e have He II or iron lines with ionization higher than [Fe II] during outburst.

U sing this set of criteria, V 2487 O ph rapidly cam e to our attention. The nova was discovered by K. Takam izawa at m agnitude 9.5 on 1998 June 15.561 (N akano 1998). W e have constructed a light curve from AAV SO observations, Liller & Jones (1999), H anzl (1998), and our own CCD observations at quiescence in 2002 and 2003. From this light curve we derive  $V_{max} = 9.5$ ,  $B_{max} = 10.1$ ,  $t_3 = 8$  days, and an am plitude of 8.2 m ag. This fast, bw-am plitude nova easily satis es the D uerbeck criterion. From its outburst spectrum (Lynch et al. 2000), we see an expansion velocity of 10,000 km s<sup>1</sup> and He II lines. V 2487 O ph is therefore a likely RN.

V 2487 O ph has previously been suspected to be a recurrent nova. Hachisu et al. (2002) describe the system as a \strong candidate recurrent nova" based on their analysis of the light curve from the 1998 outburst. They call particular attention to the rapid decline and the plateau phase of the outburst light curve, both of which are characteristic of fast RN e such as U Sco. Based on the mass transfer rate in quiescence, they estimate a recurrence time of 40 years. They also note that the system has a high-mass white dwarf accretor  $(M_{WD} = 1.35 = 0.01M)$ , as based on their model, which makes it a prime candidate for a Type Ia supernova progenitor in addition to being a probable RN. Rosenbush (2002) also nam es V 2487 O ph as a potential recurrent nova, and places it in his C I A ql group, based on the shape of the outburst light curve. Hemanz & Sala (2002) also point to V 2487 O ph

as in interesting case, as it was apparently seen before the 1998 outburst in X-rays, as part of the ROSAT All-Sky Survey.

#### 3. D iscovery

H arvard C ollege O bærvatory has approximately 500,000 archival plates, taken from the 1890s to the 1950s, and from the 1960s to 1980s. There are two main types of plates: patrol plates and deep plates. Patrol plates cover a large area of the sky, typically 20 square, and have a limiting magnitude 14. Deep plates cover a smaller area of the sky, but go much deeper, offen to around 18th magnitude. M any of the H arvard plates for V 2487 O ph were checked by one of us in 2004. In the sum mer of 2008 we com pleted an exhaustive search of all plates at H arvard showing V 2487 O ph. The 1900 outburst of V 2487 O ph was discovered at magnitude B = 10.27 0:11 on plate AM 505, which was taken on 1900 June 20 (JD 2415191.617). AM 505 is part of the AM patrol plate series taken at A requipa, Peru, is centered at right ascension  $17^{h}$  and declination -15, has a blue sensitive em ulsion, and has a limiting magnitude of B = 11:3.

A ll other plates housed at H arvard that could possibly show the 1900 outburst were exam ined. There are no plates covering the position of V 2487 O ph to a su cient depth during the m onths surrounding the eruption to provide con m ation. On plate B 25522 it can be seen that V 2487 O ph was not up on 1900 June 2. P late I 25510 covers the area on 1900 June 30, but has a limiting m agnitude of B = 11:3. By this time, approximately 10 days after peak, V 2487 O ph had decreased in brightness to B 13:6 and thus there is no chance of seeing it on the plate. D uring this time period, patrol in ages of a led were only taken approximately every two weeks, and deep plates were only taken for speci c observing cam paigns. W hen combined with the short duration of the V 2487 O ph outburst, it is therefore not surprising that no other plates show evidence of the outburst. W hile there are no con m ation plates, there are none for which the lack of detection is inconsistent with an outburst on 1900 June 20.

W ith only one plate showing the outburst, we must provide reasons for our condence in this discovery. A 1.8° trailing in right ascension gives all of the star in ages on the plate a distinct dum bbell shape. In Figure 1, it can be seen that the object at the location of V 2487 Oph has the same dum bbell shape, and therefore the light forming the in age came from a xed location in the sky. This provides strong proof that the in age is not any type of plate defect. Trailing such as this is not unusual on the H arvard plates, though most plates show a more typical (circular) point spread function (PSF). In this case, the in perfect tracking provides a unique PSF which, when combined with the fact that the in age is in focus, indicates that the light originated beyond the Earth's atm ophere and then passed through it on its way to the telescope, a further argum ent against a plate defect or local light source. The fact that the trail over the 61-m inute exposure has the same length and orientation as the trails of nearby stars demonstrates that the point source cannot be an asteroid or other N ear-Earth O bject. The M inor P lanet C enter con rm s that there were no m inor planets in this location at that time.

Q uantitative astrom etry on the scanned plate places the star in age in the correct location in relation to other stars in the area to within  $2^{00}$  in declination and  $15^{00}$  in right ascension. For this plate series,  $15^{00}$  corresponds to 0.025 mm on the plate. The limiting magnitude of the plate precludes the possibility of the image being a background star, except in the case that the background star was undergoing a are greater than 10 m agnitudes, which is extrem ely improbable. In all, despite having only one image, we have strong proof that the light forming the image was from a point source above the telescope, outside the Earth's atm osphere and our Solar System, and from the location of a speci c nova suspected to have had prior eruptions. Thus we conclude that we found a previously-undiscovered nova eruption of V 2487 Oph. Combined with the nova outburst observed in 1998 (Nakano 1998), we can be con dent that V 2487 Oph is a recurrent nova.

In addition to the Harvard plates, we also checked all of the available plates in the archive at the Sonneberg O bærvatory. Sonneberg has approximately 300,000 plates, the majority of which were taken in Germany, so the southern coverage is not as complete as at Harvard. Despite this, there are still approximately 1,500 plates that cover V 2487 O ph during the time span from 1926 to 1994. While there was no possibility of nding a con mation plate for the 1900 outburst, we continued the search in an attempt to nd more undiscovered outbursts of V 2487 O ph. It is reasonable to expect that there should be other outbursts because of purely statistical reasons (c.f. the following section on D iscovery Statistics), and because of the 40 year recurrence time estimated by Hachisu et al. (2002). We did not nd any additional outbursts.

# 4. D iscovery Statistics

Nova eruptions are m issed for m any reasons, such as the solar gap when the nova is too close to the sun to be observed, the lunar gap when nova searchers generally pause due to the brightness of the M oon, and the scarcity of nova searchers who observe to faint m agnitudes over the whole sky. (Shaffer (2002) shows that half of the novae peak fainter than 7th m ag.) Our detailed study of observing times and limits from the m any nova hunters and archival plate collections around the world shows a discovery e ciency ranging from 0.6% to 19% over the last century, for undirected searches, depending on the peak m agnitude and  $t_3$  of the system (Schaefer 2009). We distinguish between directed and undirected searches because there is a much higher chance of catching a system in outburst during a directed search, i.e. when we monitor a known recurrent system or search through the archives because there is reason to believe the system has had multiple nova eruptions. M ost novae, however, are discovered during undirected searches; they just happen to be observed, often during completely unrelated observations, or during general variable star searches. Shafter (2002) has presented a comparison of model and observed magnitude distributions which demonstrates that only 10% of faint nova eruptions (with an apparent  $m_{peak} > 8 m ag$ ) are discovered. Our calculations based on the known properties of the RN e indicate that the ratio of RN e currently m islabeled as CN e to the known RN e is roughly ten to one; since we now know of ten RN e, there are 100 recurrent system s currently known as CN e (Schaefer 2009).

W hat is the likelihood of discovering an eruption? An operational de nition of the discovery e ciency is the fraction of the days in a year on which the nova can peak and the eruption would be detected. This will depend on the dates and limiting magnitudes of the observations, as well as the eruption light curve of the nova. Let us here work out an equation for calculating the discovery e ciency (). The coverage can usually be reasonably idealized as having some nearly constant limiting magnitude, and the nova light curve will be brighter than that limit for some length of time,  $t_{vis}$ . For example, the light curve of V2487 Oph is brighter than B = 11 m ag for 3 days, and brighter than B = 14 m ag for 13 days (Schaefer 2009). If we had a plate series that covered the position of V2487 Oph to B = 14 on every night of the year, then the discovery e ciency would be unity because all eruptions that year would be discovered.

The reality, how ever, is that most sets of observations have a signi cant gap of duration  $G_s$  due to the passage of the Sun close to the position of the nova. An eruption during most of this time period will go undiscovered. The length of the solar gap depends on the series of observations, the latitude of the observers, and the declination of the nova. To give typical examples for other RNe, the Harvard plates have average solar gaps of 100 days for T Pyx, 150 days for U Sco, and 235 days for RS Oph. To take a speci c

example, suppose we had a plate series that went to B = 14 for 183 consecutive days in a year, with the solar gap covering the other half of the year. In such a case, a V2487 Oph eruption could be discovered if the nova peaked anytim e during the 183 consecutive days plus anytim e in the last 13 days of the solar gap, as the declining tail of the eruption would be seen on the rst post-gap plate. The eruption would be discovered if the peak occurred on any of 196 days in the year, for an e ciency of 196/365=0.54. In general for this simple case, the e ciency would be =  $[365 (G_s t_{vis})]=365$ . In this equation, the subtracted quantity ( $G_s t_{vis}$ ) must never be allowed to become negative. The numerator should be the number of days in the year on which the nova could peak and the eruption be discovered. To give an important example, the recurrent nova T Pyx has a solar gap of near zero for AAV SO observations ( $G_s$  0) and yet the nova is brighter than the typical AAV SO limiting magnitude of V = 14.0 m ag for a duration of 270 days ( $t_{vis}$  = 270 days), so we take the  $G_s t_{vis}$  value to be zero. With this, = 1.0, and we realize that any eruption of T Pyx after 1967 would certainly have been discovered.

The observations which m ight discover an eruption are almost never conducted nightly outside the solar gap, and indeed most data series have substantial gaps even when the nova is well placed in the sky. Moon interference is a common cause of these gaps: if the moon is bright and close to the system, the limiting magnitude of the observations is too bright to be useful. Because of this, many observers do not work on nights with a bright moon, causing gaps in the observational record. Short gaps in the record can arise for other reasons. The most common cause is simply a lack of observations, for example during the early years at both HCO and Sonneberg when fewer plates were taken, so the ones that do exist are spaced farther apart. For purposes of calculating , the cause of the gap does not month to month and year to year, but typical gaps are 15-20 days for lunar gaps and 20-40 days when gaps are caused by sparse records.

Ideally, every gap would be m eticulously recorded, but in reality the recording of every plate time would provide no useful in provement in accuracy. Instead, we have recorded all plate times for many sample years and use average observed gap durations (G<sub>1</sub>). The number of days on which the peak would be missed due to a lunar gap is G<sub>1</sub> t<sub>vis</sub>, again with this number never being allowed to fall below zero. For example, a fast nova with  $t_{vis} = 10$  days, when covered by a source with a 20 day lunar gap, could be observed, on average, if it enupted on 20 days in a given month { the 10 days at the end of the lunar gap and the 10 days outside the lunar gap. If the nova is slow enough so that the eruption would be visible on the plates for longer than the lunar gaps occur during the time outside the solar gap; on average there are N<sub>1</sub> lunar gaps per year. With this, the number of possible nova peak days that would result in a missed eruption is N<sub>1</sub>(G<sub>1</sub> t<sub>vis</sub>). The nal general equation for the discovery e ciency is then

$$=\frac{365 (G_{s} t_{vis}) N_{1}(G_{1} t_{vis})}{365}$$
(1)

where the terms in parentheses cannot go negative. Patrol plates are taken regularly, at evenly-spaced intervals, providing regular gap structures and good coverage during the observable times, at a cadence that is usually fast enough to catch most nova events. Deep plates are not taken with as much regularity as the patrols; the coverage depends strongly on the eld and the time period, and therefore deep plates do not always contribute signi cantly to the overall discovery e ciency of a particular system. The total number of plates enters into this calculation only to the extent that the average gap durations can be reduced when there are a lot of plates, but in practice, an increase in the number of observations does little to decrease the gap durations because the sun and bright m oon still dom inate. We now have a general way of calculating the discovery e ciency, and this only depends on the length of time that the nova would be visible and on the gap structure of the data series. Let us give a typical worked example for V 2487 0 ph. For the year 1990, the dom inant detection source is the plate archive at Sonneberg. The average solar gap for these plates is  $G_s = 210$  days, and there are four lunar gaps averaging  $G_1 = 15$  days each. The lim iting m agnitude of the plates is typically B = 12 m ag. Based on the 1998 eruption light curve, a V 2487 0 ph eruption would be visible for  $t_{vis} = 6$  days total. The discovery e ciency is therefore

$$=\frac{365 \quad (G_{s} \quad t_{vis}) \quad N_{1}(G_{1} \quad t_{vis})}{365} = \frac{365 \quad (210 \quad 6) \quad 4 \quad (15 \quad 6)}{365} = 0.34$$

for V 2487 O ph in 1990. That is, had the eruption peaked on any of 34% of the nights in 1990, the eruption would have been recorded on the Sonneberg plates and discovered by us.

The low amplitude and short  $t_3$  of V 2487 0 ph are two of the reasons it was a strong candidate RN, but they also lead to a short amount of time during which the eruption is brighter than plate limits, and therefore a low discovery e ciency. Because of these characteristics, it is possible for an outburst to happen completely during a solar or lunar gap, leaving no observable evidence on the plates.

The nova searches we considered are undirected photographic and visual searches by am ateurs and professionals, the H arvard plate collection, the Sonneberg plate collection, the All Sky Autom ated Survey (ASAS-3) (Pojn anski 2002), and the observations of the Am erican A ssociation of Variable Star Observers. For V2487 Oph, we have calculated the average detection rate on a year-by-year basis. These results can be seen in Figure 2. From 1890 to 2008, the discovery e ciency of V2487 Oph ranges from 1% to 73%, and averages 30%. The years before the beginning of plate archive coverage are not considered, because V2487 Oph has such a low apparent m agnitude that the probability of detection is negligible.

We can estimate the number of missed eruptions and the recurrence time scale in two ways. First, we have used a Monte Carlo simulation to calculate the probability that a

given recurrence time scale would produce exactly two discovered eruptions given the yearly probability of discovery from Figure 2. Supposing that the recurrence time scale is 98 years, then the average probability that a random ly-phased series of eruptions separated by 98 years will have exactly two discovered eruptions is 1.2%. Supposing that the recurrence time scale is 98=2 = 49 years, then the mean probability that a random ly-phased series of eruptions separated by 49 years will have exactly two discovered eruptions is just 9.1%. Supposing that the recurrence time scale is 98/N years, then the average probability that a random ly phased series of eruptions will produce exactly two discovered eruptions will continue rising as N rises from 1 to 5 and then start falling. For example, with N = 10, we would have expected many more than two eruptions to have been discovered since 1890. The probability of getting exactly two eruptions is > 30% for 4 7, while the Ν probability is < 10% for N values of 1, 2, and > 12. The most probable hypothesis is that 5. The N = 5 case corresponds to a recurrence time scale of 20 years and a total of six Ν eruptions in the last 118 years, four of which were missed.

U sing the second m ethod, we can directly estim ate the m ost likely number of eruptions since 1890 by using the number of discovered eruptions and the 30% average discovery e ciency. Thus, the total number of eruptions should be 2/0.30, or 6.7 total eruptions in the past 118 years (four or ve of which were m issed). The average recurrence time scale is then 118=6:7 = 18 years. Because of the large uncertainties in these m ethods, this is not inconsistent with the 40 year recurrence time predicted by H achisu et al. (2002).

#### 5. Im plications

V 2487 O ph is now the tenth known galactic recurrent nova. Because of the importance of RN dem ographic information to the Type Ia supernova progenitor problem, and the small number of known RNe, it is important to obtain more information on V 2487 O ph. In particular, its orbital period, spectrum and spectral energy distribution at quiescence, and long-and short-term photom etric variability should be investigated. As a recurrent nova with a recurrence time on the order of 18 years, V 2487 Oph could have another outburst as soon as 2016. The monitoring of V 2487 Oph should be increased, both by am ateurs and professionals.

Our discovery of this second eruption of V 2487 Oph was a direct result of the test of our prediction that the system was in fact recurrent. With this success, we have gained substantial condence in the utility of identifying probable RNe based on high expansion velocities and high-excitation lines in outburst spectra, in addition to previously-published indicators. It is highly likely that there are many more mislabeled RN systems for which only one eruption has been seen. Identication of the best RN candidates, archival searches, and frequent monitoring of these candidates should be performed to discover more RNe and to obtain the best possible RN demographic information.

W e greatly appreciate the help and hospitality of A lison D oane and Jain e Pepper at H arvard and the 4 System e sta at Sonneberg, especially K laus Lochel for his insights into the m odern process of large-scale sky in aging on plates and lm s. W e also adknow ledge the AAV SO sta and the observers worldwide who contribute to the AAV SO International D atabase. Funding for this research was provided by N SF G rant A ST -0708079.

## { 15 {

#### REFERENCES

- Duerbeck, HW. 1986, in RS Ophiuchi (1985) and the Recurrent Nova Phenomenon, ed.M. F.Bode (Utrecht, The Netherlands: VNU Science Press), 99
- Hachisu, I., Kato, M., Kato, T., & Matsumoto, K. 2002, in ASP Conf. Proc. Vol. 261, The Physics of Cataclysmic Variables and Related Objects, ed. B. T. Gansicke, K. Beuermann, & K. Reinsch (San Francisco: ASP), 629
- Hanzl, D. 1998, IAU Circ. 6976
- Harrison, T.E. 1992, MNRAS, 259, 17
- Hemanz, M., & Sala, G. 2002, Science, 298, 393
- Kato, M., & Hachisu, I. 2003, ApJ, 598, L107
- Kato, T., Yam aoka, H., & Kiyota, S. 2004, PASJ, 56, 83
- Liller, W ., & Jones, A . 1999, IBVS 4774
- Lynch, D.K., Rudy, R.J., Mazuk, S., & Puetter, R.C. 2000, ApJ, 541, 791
- Nakano, S. 1998, IAU Circ. 6941
- Pojmanski, G. 2002, Acta Astronomica, 52, 397
- Rosenbush, A.E.2002, in A IP Conf. Proc. Vol. 637, Classical Nova Explosions: International Conference on Classical Nova Explosions, ed. M. Hemanz & J. Jose (Melville, NY: A IP), 294
- Schaefer, B.E. 2009, ApJS, Submitted
- Sekiguchi, K. 1995, A strophysics & Space Science, 230, 75

- Shafter, A.W. 2002, in A IP Conf. Proc. Vol. 637, Classical Nova Explosions: International Conference on Classical Nova Explosions, ed.M. Hemanz & J. Jose (Melville, NY: AIP), 462
- Shears, J., & Poyner, G. 2007, JBAA, 117, 136
- Townsley, D.M. 2009, in ASP Conf. Series Vol. 401, RS Ophiuchi (2006) and the Recurrent Nova Phenomenon, ed.A. Evans, M.F. Bode, T.J. O'Brien, & M.J. Damley (San Francisco: ASP), 131
- Weight, A., Evans, A., Naylor, T., Wood, J.H., & Bode, M.F. 1994, MNRAS, 266, 761

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS  ${\ensuremath{\mathbb I}} T_E X$  macros v5.2.



Fig. 1. Top: Scanned in age of H arvard plate AM 505. V2487 Oph is marked with the arrow. The eld is approximately  $60^{\circ}$  wide by  $30^{\circ}$  tall; north is up, and east is to the left. The  $1.8^{\circ}$  in age trail of V2487 Oph is identical to that of the neighboring stars, providing evidence that the in age is not a plate defect, a near-telescope light source, or a solar system object. The in age is within  $15^{\circ}$  (0.025m m on the plate) of the sky position of V2487 Oph, which is a small fraction of the length of the trail. Bottom : SAO -D SS in age of the same eld. The fourm ost prominent stars from the plate are marked and labeled, as is the position of V2487 Oph.





Fig. 2. This plot shows the yearly discovery e ciency for V 2487 0 ph, the percentage of days each year on which the nova can erupt and be detected. The detection sources we consider are undirected photographic and visual searches, the H arvard plate collection, the Sonneberg plate collection, the All Sky A utom ated Survey (A SA S-3), and the observations of the Am erican A ssociation of Variable Star O bærvers. The average discovery e ciency over the past 118 years is 30%. W ith two eruptions discovered, we estim ate the total num ber of eruptions to be 2=0:30 = 6:7, which in plies that four or ve eruptions have likely been m issed. This indicates an average eruption tim escale of 18 years, and the possibility of the next eruption occurring as soon as 2016.